#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* • \* \* \*

In the Matter of:

PURCHASED WATER ADJUSTMENT OF )
MARTIN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 ) CASE NO. 7505-1

## ORDER

On May 3, 1984, the Martin County Water District No. 2 ("Martin No. 2") filed an application with the Commission for permission to increase the rates charged to its customers in accordance with the provisions of its purchased water adjustment clause on file with the Commission. In this proceeding the Commission has found that Martin No. 2 can partially absorb the increased cost of water and has, therefore, allowed an increase of \$5,892.

### BACKGROUND

Until October, 1983, Martin No. 2 purchased its water from Martin County Water District No. 1 ("Martin No. 1") for \$.60 per 1,000 gallons. In the latter part of 1983, Martin No. 1, because of internal problems in its system, became unable to supply 100 percent of Martin No. 2's required water. Consequently, Martin No. 2 found it necessary to purchase some of its water from the City of Kermit, West Virginia, ("Kermit") at \$1.20 per 1,000 gallons. In its application, Martin No. 2 estimated that approximately 50 percent of its water would have to be purchased from Kermit at the higher rate.

### COMMENTARY

The Commission is of the opinion that the events in this case do not specifically constitute a purchased water adjustment under 807 KAR 5:067 in that no change in the base rate has occurred. Martin No. 2 recognizes this fact by stating in its application that "this request is not a normal or usual PWA." However, after due investigation, the Commission is also of the opinion that Martin No. 2 will continue to find it necessary to purchase a portion of its water from Kermit in that Martin No. 1 is not expected to be able to serve 100 percent of Martin No. 2's demand for water in the foreseeable future. Therefore, the Commission is further of the opinion that since Martin No. 2 has experienced an increase in its purchased water cost that will continue into the foreseeable future, Martin No. 2's filing should appropriately be treated as a purchased water adjustment.

Prior to approving increased rates resulting from purchased water cost increases pursuant to 807 KAR 5:067, the Commission must examine an applicant's financial condition to determine whether it can absorb any of the increased cost. If there is potential for absorption, the Commission may adjust rates to offset only that portion of the increased water cost which cannot be absorbed.

#### TEST PERIOD

In order to determine the reasonableness of the proposed purchased water adjustment and the increase in rates, the Commission has adopted the 12-month period ended December 31, 1983, as the test period in this matter. Adjustments deemed

proper and reasonable have been included to more accurately reflect current operating conditions.

## REVENUES AND EXPENSES

The rates proposed by Martin No. 2 would increase revenues by \$23,220 to offset the increased cost of purchased water. No other adjustments were proposed. The Commission has made the following adjustments to expenses, in conformance with its rate-making policy, to more fairly represent the actual operating expenses of Martin No. 2:

## Depreciation Expense

Martin No. 2's test period depreciation expense was This reflects depreciation on total plant using a \$34,713. composite rate of approximately 2.29 percent. 1 Commission's policy to compute depreciation rate-making purposes on the basis of original cost of plant in service less contributions in aid of construction. The balance sheet of Martin No. 2 shows contributions in aid of construction at the end of the test period to be \$969,215. This amount is approximately 64 percent of the total cost of the utility plant in service. In determining the pro forma depreciation expense, the Commission has utilized the depreciation rate applied by Martin No. 2 and has excluded depreciation associated with contributed The adjusted depreciation expense for rate-making property.

 $<sup>^{1} \</sup>quad $34,713 \pm $1,517,026 = 2.298.$ 

purposes is \$12,594.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the Commission has reduced test period depreciation expense by \$22,119.

# Purchased Water

During the test period, Martin No. 2 had purchased water cost of \$56,619. Martin No. 2's 1983 Annual Report reflected water loss for the test period to be approximately 30.18 percent, which is in excess of the maximum of 15 percent allowed by the Commission for rate-making purposes. Thus, the Commission has determined the allowable gallons of purchased water for rate-making purposes to be 78,049,217 gallons. Using the average cost of water during the test period of 59.6 cents per 1000 gallons, allowable water cost is \$46,517.

In order to adjust purchased water cost to reflect purchases from Kermit, the Commission found it necessary to analyze actual purchases from its two suppliers for the months of October, 1983, through August, 1984, the most recent data available. During these months, it was found that Martin No. 2 purchased approximately 30 percent of its water from Kermit. It is the Commission's opinion that this ratio more accurately reflects future water purchases than the estimate of 50 percent

Total Plant
Less: Contributions in Aid of Construction
Non-Contributed Plant
Composite Rate
Depreciation Allowed for Rate-Making

\$1,519,178
969,215
\$549,963
\$2.29\$

<sup>3 66,341,834</sup> gallons (Water Sold + Company Usage) + 0.85 = 78,049,217 gallons

made by Martin No. 2. Therefore, the Commission has determined Martin No. 2's adjusted test period purchased water cost to be \$60,879<sup>4</sup> based on allowable water purchases of 78,049,217 allowable gallons, an increase in water cost of \$14,362.

Therefore, the Commission finds that Martin No. 2's adjusted test period operations are as follows:

	Actual	Adjustments	Adjusted	
Operating Revenues Operating Expenses	\$217,216 203,766	\$ -0- <17,859>	\$217,216 185,907	
Operating Income	\$ 13,450	\$ 17,859	\$ 31,309	

## REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Martin No. 2's annual debt service based on outstanding debt at the end of the test period is \$33,570. Martin No. 2's adjusted net operating income of \$31,309 plus interest income from water operations of \$3,083 provides a debt service coverage ("DSC") of approximately 1.02x. The Commission is of the opinion that this coverage is unfair, unjust and unreasonable.

Adjusted Purchased Water Cost Average Average Price/000 Supplier Purchases Gallons Gallons Cost 70.0% 54,634,452 .60 \$32,781 Martin County 23,414,765 78,049,217 Kormit \$ 1.20 28,098 30.0% Totals 100.08 \$60,879 5 Bonds (5-year average principal 1984-88) \$ 7,600 25,970 \$33,570 Interest (5-year average 1984-88) Debt Service

Therefore, the Commission is of the opinion that a net operating income of \$40,284 will provide a DSC of 1.2X, which is the fair, just and reasonable coverage necessary for Martin No. 2 to pay its operating expenses and to meet the requirements of its lenders. Accordingly, the Commission has determined that additional revenue of \$5,892 is necessary to provide the 1.2X DSC which will ensure the financial stability of Martin No. 2.

# SUMMARY

The Commission, after consideration of the evidence of record and being advised, is of the opinion and finds that:

- 1. Martin No. 2's need to purchase a portion of its water at a higher price constitutes a change in the base rate.
- 2. Martin No. 2 possesses the potential to absorb a portion of the increase in purchased water cost.
- 3. The rates proposed by Martin No. 2 will produce revenues in excess of those found reasonable herein and should be denied upon application of KRS 278.030.
- 4. The rates and charges in Appendix A are the fair, just and reasonable rates to be charged by Martin No. 2 in that they should produce total revenues of \$226,196 and will provide funds to pay operating expenses and provide a DSC of 1.2X.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the rates requested by Martin No. 2 be and they hereby are denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rates and charges in Appendix A are the fair, just and reasonable rates and charges to

be charged by Martin No. 2 for water service rendered on and after the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Martin No. 2 shall file with the Commission within 30 days of the date of this Order its revised tariff sheets setting forth the rates and charges approved herein.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 12th day of December, 1984.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary

## APPENDIX A

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 7505-1 DATED 12/12/84

The following rates are prescribed for customers of Martin County Water District No. 2. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of the Commission prior to the effective date of this Order.

Usage	Block		R	ate			
First	2,000	gallons	\$	9.33	Min	Imum	
Next	4,000	gallons		3.29	per	1,000	gallons
Next	4,000	gallons		3.19	per	1,000	gallons
Next	10,000	gallons		3.09	per	1,000	gallons
Next	20,000	gallons		2.64	per	1,000	gallons
Next	60,000	gallons		2.04	per	1,000	gallons
Over	100,000	gallons		1.24	per	1,000	gallons

# Special Contract Customers

Warfield Eleme	entary			
First 75,000 Next 25,000 Over 100,000	gallons	2.04	Minimum per 1,000 gallons per 1,000 gallons	
Pigeon Roost School				
First 60,000 Next 40,000 Over 100,000	gallons	2.04	Minimum per 1,000 gallons per 1,000 gallons	
Peter Cave Coal/Wolf Creek Collerie				
First 100,000 Over 100,000			Minimum per 1,000 gallons	
Dempsey Housing				
First 80,000 Over 80,000	gallons gallons	,	Minimum per 1,000 gallons	