

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF D. H.)
CAMPBELL AND JOAN CAMPBELL,)
PARTNERSHIP, FOR AN ORDER)
ESTABLISHING INITIAL RATES FOR)
A WASTE WATER COLLECTION AND) CASE NO. 8888
AND TREATMENT SYSTEM TO SERVE)
THE RESIDENTS OF EAST PINE)
KNOT ESTATES SUBDIVISION IN)
MCCREARY COUNTY, KENTUCKY)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that D. H. Campbell and Joan Campbell, Partnership ("D. H. Campbell") shall file an original and six copies of the following information with the Commission by November 30, 1983. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

1. In the original application the annual recurring engineering, accounting, and legal expense has been projected to be \$1,200. In response to Item 11 of the Commission's Order of September 30, 1983, concerning a breakdown of these expenses the expense was projected to be \$800. Please explain this adjustment to the original projection. In addition provide a detailed description of the services to be provided on an annual basis in support of the projected fees.

2. D. H. Campbell has based its projected collection system maintenance expense on the assumption that three blockages

or other repair occurrences will be required per year. Please provide any additional information in explanation of the basis for this assumption. (Comparison to other utilities, engineering report, etc.).

3. Provide the quoted price per load for sludge hauling.

4. Provide the following information concerning the lab service expense:

A. The quoted price per lab test.

B. The number of lab tests anticipated per year

5. In the answer to Question 2(b) of the September 30, 1983 request for information, calculations were provided to show the estimated electrical consumption of the treatment facility. What was the basis for reducing the nominal horsepower rating of each electrical motor by an 80 percent efficiency factor?

6. In the answer to Question 2(a) of the September 30, 1983 request for information, why was the estimated electrical consumption increased by a 15 percent contingency factor?

7. In the answer to Question 3 of the September 30, 1983 request for information, what was the basis for estimating the volume of sludge produced by the extended aeration treatment facility at 19,400 gallons per million gallons treated?

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 11th day of November, 1983.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



For the Commission

ATTEST:

Secretary