

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

NOTICE OF SOUTH CENTRAL BELL)
TELEPHONE COMPANY OF AN ADJUST-) CASE NO. 8847
MENT IN ITS INTRASTATE RATES)
AND CHARGES)

and

In the Matter of:

THE VOLUME USAGE MEASURED RATE)
SERVICE AND MULTILINE SERVICE) CASE NO. 8879
TARIFF FILING OF SOUTH CENTRAL)
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that South Central Bell Telephone Company ("SCB") shall file an original and 15 copies of the following information with the Commission on or before October 14, 1983. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible. Where information requested herein has been provided along with the original application, in the format requested herein,

reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request. When applicable, the information requested herein should be provided for total company operations and Kentucky jurisdictional operations, separately. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

1. Provide the economic studies done leading to the decisions to replace the #1ESS at LSVL-APC60 in 1985 and the #1ESS at LSVL-APCG1 in 1984.

2. Provide a breakdown of toll minutes of use between intrastate and interstate for a representative sample of large (greater than \$100,000 MTS, WATS, PL revenue) users. State the total number of customers which meet this criteria from which the sample is drawn.

3. Identify the percentage of the CSO budget allocated to the provision of national security and emergency preparedness services required by the MFJ.

4. Identify the number of CSO employees, by work area, (e.g. network planning, marketing) allocated to the provision of national security and emergency preparedness services required by the MFJ.

5. Identify and provide all CSO work packages relating to the provision of national security and emergency preparedness services required by the MFJ.

6. In response to Staff Request Two, Item Number 20, the Company provided the Kentucky intrastate

portion of SCB's share of RSC services expense provided by Southern Bell. Please provide the Kentucky intrastate portion of SCB's share of RSC services expense provided by SCB which is to be reimbursed by Southern Bell. Identify where these two dollar amounts have been included in Mr. Ballard's Exhibit 3.

7. In response to Staff Request Two, Item Number 46, SCB responds that flat rate messages, message rate messages and the total number of local messages are not available. Please provide SCB's estimates for the following ratios:

- a) Total Local Messages/Total Local Attempts
- b) Flat Rate Attempts/Total Local Attempts
- c) Message Rate Attempts/Total Local Attempts
- d) Flat Rate Messages/Total Local Messages
- e) Message Rate Messages/Total Local Messages

8. SCB shall amend its application to reflect changes in revenue requirement and rate levels as a result of the FCC's July 27, 1983 Order and its filing in Case No. 8838 on October 3, 1983. This should include an updated revenue summary, billing analysis and price-out information, and revised tariff pages.

9. In Staff Request Five, Item Number 7, an updated wage and salary schedule, as affected by the recent CWA contract, was supplied.

a) SCB should immediately file it's amended adjusted operating income statement (Ballard Exhibit 3, Part 2) to reflect the actual August wage contract settlement

with the Communication Workers of America. If salary changes to non-union employees estimated at the filing date and now certain (Ballard Exhibit 3, Part 2, Sheet 2 of 2) have changed amended results should also be submitted.

b) All data requests (particularly Staff Information Request dated August 12, 1982, Item Number 10) should be resubmitted reflecting the above changes.

10. In Item Number 58 of Staff Request Three, the dollar amount proposed for the construction budget is given for 1985. Please provide a breakdown of the dollar amounts for each exchange for the construction budget for the years 1983, 1984, 1985. Also provide the details of the construction schedule for the following central offices: LSVL-APCGO, LSVL-APCG1, LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville. For these listed specific central offices, provide the type of equipment to be installed, the amount of equipment to be installed, the cost of the equipment, and the cost to install the equipment. Also provide the estimated date of changeover and detailed comparison of the short-term and long-term cost of replacement vs. the cost of leaving existing equipment in place.

11. In Item Number 59 of Staff Request Three, indicate the manner in which SCB arrived at the figures for the specified plant categories. Provide the workpapers used to derive these figures. Also provide the details on the methodology SCB uses to determine the need for increased

amounts of COE and outside plant. Are traffic studies done? If so, provide the details of the type of traffic studies used to determine the need for additions to telephone plant.

12. In Item Number 60 of Staff Request Three, SCB responded that workpapers for economic justification were very voluminous. Please provide the detailed economic justification for the replacement of the following offices: LSVL-APCG0, LSVL-APCG1, LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville.

13. In Item Number 61, a) and b), Staff Request Three, SCB provided some manufacturers of equipment. Please provide all types of equipment and manufacturers for the replacement of the following central offices: LSVL-APCG0, LSVL-APCG1, LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville. Provide economic justification for the manufacturers selected.

14. In Item Number 62, Staff Request Three, SCB was asked the percentage of work done by contract as opposed to internal work force. Please provide the percentage of work to be done by contract for the specific exchanges listed in the previous question.

15. In Item Number 63, Staff Request Three, SCB responded generally, but did not address the specific questions posed therein. Please provide the specifics of the question asked in Item Number 63 for the following exchanges: LSVL-APCG0, LSVL-APCG1, LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville. Also

provide the same for the outside plant in the exchanges which the specified central offices serve.

16. In Item Number 64, Staff Request Three, SCB provided some figures on the incremental construction budget necessary to provide local measured service. Please provide the specific incremental cost of providing local measured service for the following exchanges: LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville. Also provide the total number of access lines in each of the specified offices, and the total number projected for the time of replacement. Also list any additions to any AMA Recording Center that will be used in the provision of local measured service for the specified offices, and any cost of necessary data lines.

17. In Item Number 66, Staff Request Three, SCB referred to AG Request Item AC-7. Please provide the construction work necessary to provide equal access for the period 1983 through 1985, by year, for the following central offices and their serving exchanges: LSVL-APCG0, LSVL-APCG1, LSVL-Six Mile Lane, Henderson, Eminence-Main, Danville, McDaniels, and Cornishville.

18. In Item Number 68, Staff Request Three, SCB projects access line growth for 1984 and 1985. Please provide in detail, the method used in making these projections.

19. Provide a cost study for volume usage message service filed in Case No. 8879, and indicate the estimated

number of potential customers in Kentucky. Also, provide a statement of estimated revenue effect.

20. Identify the estimated number of potential multi-line service customers in Kentucky. Also, provide a statement of estimated revenue effect.

21. In view of the FCC's July 27, 1983 Order concerning Centrex, does SCB plan to amend its Centrex and ESSX proposal in this case? If so, file revised revenue and price-out information, and revised tariff pages.

Done this 7th day of October, 1983, at Frankfort, Kentucky.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Secretary