COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ADJUSTMENT OF RATES OF)
R. A. WILLIAMS DBA)
CEDARBROOK TREATMENT PLANT)
HARRISON COUNTY, KENTUCKY)

CASE NO. 8582

ORDER

On August 6, 1982, the Commission received the application for an increase in rates of R. A. Williams Development Company, d/b/a Cedarbrook Treatment Plant ("Cedarbrook"). The application was filed on the forms provided under the Commission's regulation 807 KAR 5:076 Alternative Rate Adjustment Procedure for Small Utilities. In accordance with the Commission's regulations, in order to be eligible to file under the alternative rate adjustment procedure, a utility must have on file "fully completed annual reports for at least the two (2) prior years when the applicant has been in existence that long." Due to deficiencies in the annual reports the Commission issued an Order requiring Cedarbrook to file additional information necessary to correct the existing deficiencies. On September 9, 1982, Cedarbrook filed additional information and after reviewing the information submitted the Commission has determined that certain problems continue to exist with the annual reports.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Cedarbrook shall file an original and seven copies of the following information with this Commission by October 29, 1982. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case will be dismissed.

(1) Item 1 of the August 16, 1982, letter requesting corrections to the annual reports of Cedarbrook contained a request for a breakdown of operation and maintenance expenses for 1979, 1980 and 1981. The breakdown provided in the response of September 9, 1982, is not in accordance with the expense account classifications included in the Uniform System of Accounts which is a requirement of the annual report. In order to comply with this request and for the Commission to proceed with your request for an increase in rates under 807 KAR 5:076, Cedarbrook must provide a detailed breakdown of these expenses along with corrected pages from the annual reports for 1979, 1980 and 1981.

In classifying the expenses of 1979, 1980 and 1981, Cedarbrook should be consistent from year to year in order to provide a proper comparison of costs.

(2) For each item of information requested in the letter of February 19, 1982, and August 16, 1982, provide copies of pages of the annual report reflecting the appropriate corrections, additions or modifications.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 13th day of October, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Secretary