

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE ADOPTION OF A STANDARD)	
METHODOLOGY FOR ESTABLISHING)	ADMINISTRATIVE CASE
RATES FOR CABLE TELEVISION)	NO. 251
POLE ATTACHMENTS)	

O R D E R

Hearings were held in this matter on February 2, 3, and 4, 1982, for the purpose of cross-examination of pre-filed testimony. Following the conclusion of these hearings, the Commission determined that it would be useful to its deliberations to have at its disposal certain information from the parties to the proceeding.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that each telephone and electric utility which is a party to this case shall respond by March 4, 1982, to the following:

1. How many CATV systems have you refused to allow to attach cables to your poles?;
2. How many CATV system pole attachments have you terminated, in whole or in part?;
3. How many of your CATV system customers have defaulted or refused to pay charges?;

4. Supply, in comparative form, a listing of joint-use pole attachment charges which you presently levy upon other electric and telephone utilities in Kentucky;

5. Supply, in comparative form, a listing of CATV pole attachment charges which you presently levy upon CATV operators in Kentucky; and

6. As best you can, based upon the formulas/methods proposed by parties to this proceeding, provide the rate which you would charge CATV operators for pole attachments by applying each of those formulas/methods. Note underlying assumptions concerning accounts/subaccounts which you feel are appropriate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Kentucky CATV Association shall respond by March 4, 1982, to the following:

1. How many of your members have reported refusal or termination of pole attachment privileges or billing problems with any of the utilities which are parties to this proceeding? Give details, indicating the parties involved, and a short summary of the problems encountered;

2. As best you can, based upon the formulas/methods proposed by parties to this proceeding, provide the rate which you feel would be derived by applying each of those formulas/methods. Note underlying assumptions concerning accounts/subaccounts which you feel are appropriate. For

comparative purposes, calculate these rates from the data supplied by South Central Bell and Union Light, Heat and Power Company.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 12th day of February, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Marlin M. Vohy
Chairman

Katherine Gansell
Vice Chairman

Sam Carney
Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary