COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF CINCINNATI) BELL INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO) ADJUST ITS RATES AND CHARGES) AND TO CHANGE ITS TARIFFS)

CASE NO. 8174

ORDER

On September 29, 1981, Cincinnati Bell Inc. ("Company"), by counsel, filed its Petition for Rehearing in the above case. On September 29, 1981, Gallatin County, Grant County, Pendleton County, Dry Ridge, Falmouth, Warsaw and Williamstown, by counsel, filed a Petition for Reconsideration. On October 1, 1981, the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Division filed its Response to the Company's Petition for Rehearing.

The Commission, having considered the Petitions, Response and being advised, finds that the Petition for Rehearing and the Petition for Reconsideration should be granted and the Company should be directed to provide the information set forth in Appendix A, including data which will enable the Commission to consider the consistency of the Company's elasticity estimates which relate to its adjustment to reflect curtailment of revenues.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED That the Petition for Rehearing of Cincinnati Bell Inc. and the Petition for Reconsideration of Gallatin County, Grant County, Pendleton County, Dry Ridge, Falmouth, Warsaw and Williamstown, be and they hereby are granted





and this case be and it hereby is set for hearing on the 15th day of December 1981, at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, in the Commission's offices at Frankfort, Kentucky.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That Cincinnati Bell Inc. shall file with the Commission, with copies to parties of record, on or before November 16, 1981, the information set forth in Appendix A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That the Commission reserves the right to affirm its Order entered September 9, 1981.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 19th day of October 1981.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ssioner

ATTEST:

Secretary





APPENDIX A

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CINCINNATI BELL CASE NO. 8174

- 1. Provide all workpapers supporting "usage separation" figures as supplied in Appendix A, pages 1-4 in the petition for rehearing.
- 2. Provide the total plant in service and the related reserve for depreciation for the Cincinnati Metropolitan service area (CMSA) by subaccount.
- 3. Provide a breakdown of the CMSA plant in service physically located in Kentucky (excluding common plant) by subaccounts as follows:
 - 1. Interstate Toll Plant in Service
 - 2. Intrastate Toll Plant in Service
 - 3. Local Plant in Service
- 4. Provide the reserve for depreciation applicable to the plant in service assets in #3 above. (If possible by major plant account).
- 5. Provide a breakdown of the CMSA plant in service physically located in Ohio (excluding common plant) by subaccounts as follows:
 - 1. Interstate Toll Plant in Service
 - 2. Intrastate Toll Plant in Service
 - 3. Local Plant in Service
- 6. Provide the reserve for depreciation applicable to the plant in service assets in #5 above. (If possible by major plant accounts).
- 7. Provide the Common plant in service necessary to provide service to the CMSA by state and by subaccount as follows:
 - 1. Interstate Toll Plant in Service
 - 2. Intrastate Toll Plant in Service
 - 3. Local Plant in Service





- 9. Provide a listing of all other plant in service (and the reserve for depreciation) in Kentucky outside the CMSA.
- 10. (a) Provide a listing in comparative form of the following factors for the last four calendar years and the test year for the Ohio portion of the CMSA (provide interstate toll, intrastate toll and local factors):
 - (1) subscriber line usage factors,
 - (2) subscriber plant factors,
 - (3) composite station ratios,
 - (4) conversation-minute-miles factors,
 - (5) dial equipment minutes-of-use factors (composite for all offices),
 - (6) traffic unit factors (composite for all toll centers), and
 - (7) holding time minutes-of-use factors (composite for all offices).
 - (b) Provide the same information as required in #10(a) above for the Kentucky portion of the CMSA.
- 11. Provide the quarterly income values (I = local Cincinnati Income) used by Dr. Harvey in his reestimation of the price of elasticity values.
- 12. Describe how the local income values (I) were determined.
- 13. Provide a copy of the correlation matrix for the Residence Local Service Model, Business Local Service Model and Coin Local Service Model that resulted from Ms. Chang's analysis.
- 14. Provide a copy of the correlation matrix for the Residence Local Service Model, Business Local Service Model and Coin Local Service Model that resulted from using local income as an independent variable.
- 15. If available, provide stepwise regression results for each of the three models Residence, Business and Coin when employment or real GNP is the independent variable.
- 16. If available, provide stepwise regression results for each of the three models Residence, Business and Coin when local income is the independent variable.