COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I	ln	th	9	N	lat	tei	ر ا	f٠

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF HYDEN-LESLIE)	CASE NO.
COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR A RATE)	2024-00022
ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076)	

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of February 26, 2024, the attached report containing the recommendations of Commission Staff regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding. Pursuant to the Commission's February 26, 2024 Order, Hyden-Leslie County Water District (Hyden-Leslie District) is required to file written comments regarding the recommendations of Commission Staff no later than 14 days from the date of service of this report. The Commission directs Hyden-Leslie District to the Commission's July 22, 2021 Order in Case No. 2020-00085¹ regarding filings with the Commission.

Linda C. Bridwell, PE
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED <u>JUN 10 2024</u>

cc: Parties of Record

¹ Case No. 2020-00085, *Electronic Emergency Docket Related to the Novel Coronavirus COVID- 19* (Ky. PSC July 22, 2021), Order (in which the Commission ordered that for case filings made on and after March 16, 2020, filers are NOT required to file the original physical copies of the filings required by 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8).

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF HYDEN-LESLIE)	CASE NO.
COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR A RATE)	2024-00022
ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076)	

COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT ON HYDEN-LESLIE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Hyden-Leslie County Water District (Hyden-Leslie District) is a water utility organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 74 that owns and operates a distribution system through which it provides retail water service to approximately 3,431 residential customers and 238 commercial customers that reside in Clay, Leslie, and Perry counties, Kentucky.¹

On February 5, 2024, Hyden-Leslie District filed its application with the Commission requesting an adjustment to its water service rates pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076. To comply with the requirements of 807 KAR 5:076, Section 9,² Hyden-Leslie District used the calendar year ended December 31, 2022, as the basis for its application. The application was filed pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 2020-00141 which required Hyden-Leslie District to file an application for an adjustment of its base

¹ Annual Report of Hyden-Leslie District to the Public Service Commission for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2022 (2022 Annual Report) at 12 and 49.

² The reasonableness of the proposed rates shall be determined using a 12-month historical test period, adjusted for known and measurable changes, that coincides with the reporting period of the applicant's annual report for the immediate past year.

rates by February 5, 2024.³ Hyden-Leslie District's last base rate increase pursuant to the alternative rate filing procedure was in Case No. 2020-00141.⁴ Since that matter, Hyden-Leslie District has not adjusted its rates. To ensure the orderly review of the application, the Commission established a procedural schedule by Order dated February 26, 2024. Hyden-Leslie District responded to two requests for information from Commission Staff.⁵

UNACCOUNTED-FOR WATER LOSS

The Commission notes that in its 2022 Annual Report, Hyden-Leslie District reported a water loss of 24.7056 percent.⁶ Commission regulation 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3), states that for ratemaking purposes, a utility's water loss shall not exceed 15 percent of total water produced and purchased, excluding water consumed by a utility in its own operations. Hyden-Leslie currently produces its own water and does not purchase water from another source.⁷ The table below shows that the 2022 total annual cost of water loss to Hyden-Leslie District is \$108,609, while the annual cost of water loss in excess of 15 percent is \$42,667.

³ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 13, 2023).

⁴ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020).

⁵ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information (Staff's First Request) (filed Apr. 2, 2024). Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information (Staff's Second Request) (filed Jan. 24, 2024). Supplemental filings with additional information were made on May 7, 2024, May 13, 2024, and May 14, 2024.

⁶ 2022 Annual Report at 57.

⁷ 2022 Annual Report at 57.

P		Chemicals and			
Power		Lab Testing			Total
\$	324,191	\$	115,423	\$	439,614
	24.7056%		24.7056%		24.7056%
\$	80,093	\$	28,516	\$	108,609
Р	Purchased	Che	emicals and		
	Power	La	ab Testing		Total
\$	324,191	\$	115,423	\$	439,614
	9.7056%		9.7056%		9.7056%
\$	31,465	\$	11,202	\$	42,667
	\$ \$ F	\$ 324,191 24.7056% \$ 80,093 Purchased Power \$ 324,191 9.7056%	Power La \$ 324,191 \$ 24.7056% \$ 80,093 \$ Purchased Che Power La \$ 324,191 \$ 9.7056%	Power Lab Testing \$ 324,191 \$ 115,423 24.7056% 24.7056% \$ 80,093 \$ 28,516 Purchased Power Chemicals and Lab Testing \$ 324,191 \$ 115,423 9.7056% 9.7056%	Power Lab Testing \$ 324,191 \$ 115,423 \$ 24.7056% \$ 80,093 \$ 28,516 \$ 24.7056% Purchased Power Chemicals and Lab Testing \$ 324,191 \$ 115,423 \$ 9.7056%

Hyden-Leslie District was approved to charge a water loss reduction surcharge in its last alternative rate adjustment (ARF) case in 2020.⁸ The surcharge is currently \$1.53 per customer per month for 48 months, or until \$263,124 has been assessed, whichever occurs first.⁹ Hyden-Leslie District reported its 12-month average water loss is 19.15 percent as shown in the following table.¹⁰

	Water	Total Water	Water Loss
Period	Produced	Loss	Percentage
6/23/2023	22,310	3,780	16.9431%
7/23/2023	22,200	2,767	12.4640%
8/23/2023	23,300	3,807	16.3391%
9/23/2023	23,080	3,052	13.2236%
10/23/2023	21,940	3,968	18.0857%
11/23/2023	22,270	3,836	17.2250%
12/23/2023	22,020	4,985	22.6385%
1/23/2024	23,150	6,048	26.1253%
2/23/2024	27,330	6,638	24.2883%
3/23/2024	22,370	4,871	21.7747%
4/23/2024	22,851	5,367	23.4869%
5/23/2024	21,614	3,443	15.9295%
_	274,435	52,562	19.1528%

⁸ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020).

⁹ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020), Order at 26, ordering paragraph 3.

¹⁰ Case No. 2020-00340, Electronic Hyden-Leslie Water District's Unaccounted-For Water Loss Reduction Plan, Surcharge and Monitoring. PSC Surcharge Reports June 2023 thru May 2024.

During the rate case Hyden-Leslie District's water loss was 32.86 percent.¹¹ Therefore, Hyden-Leslie has made significant progress at reducing the excess water loss.

DISCUSSION

Using its pro forma test-year operations, Hyden-Leslie District determined that a base rate revenue increase of \$559,735, or 24.03 percent, was necessary to achieve the revenue requirement as shown in the table below.¹² The rates requested by Hyden-Leslie District would increase the residential monthly bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons per month by \$12.50 from \$54.74 to \$67.24 or approximately 22.84 percent.¹³

	Hyden-Leslie			
Description	District			
Pro Forma Operating Expenses	\$	2,640,682		
Plus: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments		289,597		
Additional Working Capital		57,919		
Overall Revenue Requirement		2,988,198		
Less: Other Operating Revenue		(7,601)		
Proposed Late Charges		(90,541)		
Interest Income		(1,119)		
Revenue Required from Rates		2,888,937		
Less: Pro Forma Present Rate Service Revenues		(2,329,202)		
Required Revenue Increase	\$	559,735		
	•			
Percentage Increase	24.03%			

¹¹ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020), final Order at 10.

¹² Amended Response to Staff's First Request, (filed May. 14, 2024), Item1f, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie.xlsx.

¹³ Application, Attachment 1, Customer Notice.

To determine the reasonableness of the rates requested by Hyden-Leslie District, Commission Staff performed a limited review of Hyden-Leslie District's test-year operations. The scope of Commission Staff's review was limited to determining whether operations reported for the test year were representative of normal operations. Known and measurable thanges to test-year operations were identified, and adjustments were made when their effects were deemed material. Insignificant and immaterial discrepancies were not necessarily pursued or addressed.

Commission Staff's recommendations are summarized in this report. William Foley reviewed the calculation of Hyden-Leslie District's Overall Revenue Requirement, and Elizabeth Stefanski reviewed Hyden-Leslie District's reported revenues and rate design.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

1. Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase. By applying the Debt Service Coverage (DSC) method, as generally accepted by the Commission, Commission Staff determined that Hyden-Leslie District's required revenue from water sales is \$2,860,729 to meet the Overall Revenue Requirement of \$2,955,852 and that a \$532,213 revenue increase, or 22.86 percent, to pro forma present rate revenues is necessary to generate the Overall Revenue Requirement.

¹⁴ Commission regulation 807 KAR 5:076, Section 9, sets the standard for the determination of the reasonableness of proposed rates and states, in pertinent part, that the test period shall be "adjusted for known and measurable changes." See also Case No. 2001-00211, Application of Hardin County Water District No. 1 for (1) Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity; (2) Authorization to Borrow Funds and to Issue its Evidence of Indebtedness Therefore; (3) Authority to Adjust Rates; and (4) Approval to Revise and Adjust Tariff (Ky. PSC Mar. 1, 2002); Case No. 2002-00105, Application of Northern Kentucky Water District for (A) an Adjustment of Rates; (B) a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Improvements to Water Facilities if Necessary; and (C) Issuance of Bonds (Ky. PSC June 25, 2003); and Case No. 2017-00417, Electronic Proposed Adjustment of the Wholesale Water Service Rates of Lebanon Water Works (Ky. PSC July 12, 2018).

2. <u>Monthly Water Service Rates.</u> Hyden-Leslie District proposed a 24.03 percent across-the-board increase in its application, and requested that the Commission consider applying the increase over a three year period.¹⁵ Hyden-Leslie District applied its proposed rate increase across the board in its notice,¹⁶ and did not provide a phase-in table within the notice.

Hyden-Leslie District performed a cost of service study (COSS) in 2020 to review the appropriateness of its rates and rate design as part of Case No. 2020-00141,¹⁷ and Commission Staff, in that case, performed a COSS which is detailed in the Staff Report.¹⁸ Hyden-Leslie District did not file a new COSS in this case, considering there have been no material changes in its system since its last rate case.¹⁹ Hyden-Leslie District stated that in the event of material changes to its system, a new COSS would be performed.²⁰

The Commission has previously found that the allocation of a revenue adjustment evenly across the board to a utility's rate design is appropriate when there has been no evidence entered into the record demonstrating that this method is unreasonable and in the absence of a COSS. Finding no such evidence in this case, Commission Staff allocated the \$532,213 revenue increase following Hyden-Leslie District's proposed

¹⁵ Cover letter to Application (filed Feb. 5, 2024), Read First ARF Application Cover Letter.pdf.

¹⁶ Application, Attachment 1, Customer Notice.

¹⁷ Case No. 2020-00141, *Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment* (filed July 6, 2020), ResponseToOrder 6-18-2020.pdf, Item 3.

¹⁸ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Sep. 18, 2020), Staff Report, Appendix A.

¹⁹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 17.

²⁰ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 17.

three-year phased-in approach, and evenly across Hyden-Leslie District's monthly retail water service rates.

As discussed above in the Unaccounted-for Water Loss section, Hyden-Leslie District currently charges a monthly Water Loss Reduction Surcharge of \$1.53 per bill. The rates set forth in Appendix B to this report are based upon the revenue requirement, as calculated by Commission Staff, and will produce sufficient revenues from water sales to recover the \$2,860,729 Revenue Required from Water Sales, an approximate 22.86 percent increase. The rates, as calculated by Commission Staff and including the current Water Loss Reduction Surcharge of \$1.53, will increase the monthly water bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons from \$56.27 to \$68.68, an increase of \$12.41, or approximately 22.05 percent.²¹ The Water Loss Reduction Surcharge is project to be discontinued December 1, 2024.²² The new rates, without the Water Loss Reduction Surcharge, will increase the monthly water bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons from \$54.74 to \$67.15, an increase of \$12.41, or approximately 22.67 percent.²³ Alternately, in the phased-in approach, Phase 1 rates will increase the monthly water bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons from \$54.74 to \$58.81, an

²¹ \$67.15 (Average Bill New Water Rates) + \$1.53 (water loss reduction surcharge) minus (\$54.74 (Average Bill Current Water Rates) + \$1.53 (water loss reduction surcharge)) = \$12.41 (Total Difference in Customer Bill) ÷ \$56.27 (Average Bill Current Water Rates with water loss reduction surcharge) = 22.05%.

²² Case No. 2020-00340, *Electronic Hyden-Leslie Water District's Unaccounted-For Water Loss Reduction Plan, Surcharge and Monitoring.* PSC Surcharge Report 2024, PSC_Surcharge_Report_MAY_2024.PDF, First surcharge collected for period of 12/01/2020–12/31/2020.

²³ \$67.15 (Average Bill New Water Rates) - \$54.74 (Average Bill Current Water Rates) = \$12.41 (Total Difference in Customer Bill) ÷ \$54.74 (Average Bill Current Water Rates) = 22.67%.

increase of \$4.07, or approximately 7.44 percent.²⁴ Phase 2 rates will increase the monthly water bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons from \$58.81 to \$63.19, an increase of \$4.38, or approximately 7.45 percent.²⁵ Finally, Phase 3 rates will increase the monthly water bill of a typical residential customer using 4,000 gallons from \$63.19 to \$67.15, an increase of \$3.96, or approximately 6.27 percent,²⁶ which will complete the phase-in for this rate case.

3. <u>Nonrecurring Charges.</u> Following the Commission's recent decisions,²⁷ Commission Staff has reviewed Hyden-Leslie District's Nonrecurring Charges. The Commission approved the current Nonrecurring Charges in Hyden-Leslie District's tariff on August 4, 2021.²⁸ In Hyden-Leslie District's prior ARF case, Nonrecurring Charge Cost Justification forms were provided for each nonrecurring charge,²⁹ and the Nonrecurring Charges approved in the tariff filing for Case No. 2021-00071, reflect the removal of Field Labor Costs and Office/Clerical Labor Costs from those charges that

²⁴ \$58.81 (Average Bill Phase 1 Water Rates) - \$54.74 (Average Bill Current Water Rates) = \$4.07 (Total Difference in Customer Bill) ÷ \$54.74 (Average Bill Current Water Rates) = 7.44%.

 $^{^{25}}$ \$63.19 (Average Bill Phase 2 Water Rates) - \$58.81 (Average Bill Phase 1 Water Rates) = \$4.38 (Total Difference in Customer Bill) \div \$58.81 (Average Bill Phase 1 Water Rates) =7.45%.

 $^{^{26}}$ \$67.15 (Average Bill Phase 3 Water Rates) - \$63.19 (Average Bill Phase 2 Water Rates) = \$3.96 (Total Difference in Customer Bill) \div \$63.19 (Average Bill Phase 2 Water Rates) = 6.27%.

²⁷ Case No. 2023-00299, Electronic Application of Magoffin County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 24, 2024); Case No. 2023-00284, Electronic Application of Montgomery County Water District No. 1 for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Mar. 5, 2024); Case No. 2023-00258, Electronic Application of Kirksville Water Association, Inc. for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 3, 2024); and Case No. 2023-00220, Electronic Application of East Casey County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 21, 2024).

²⁸ Case No. 2021-00071, *Electronic Tariff Filing of Hyden-Leslie County Water District* (Ky. PSC Aug. 4, 2021), final Order.

²⁹ Case No. 2020-00141, *Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment,* (filed May 7, 2020), Application at unnumbered pages 797-800.

occur during normal business hours.³⁰ Therefore, Hyden-Leslie District's current Nonrecurring Charges do not include Field Labor Costs and Office/Clerical Labor Costs for those charges occurring during normal business hours. However, when Hyden-Leslie District provided updated cost justification information for the Nonrecurring Charges in this case,³¹ it included field and office labor cost in the calculations. Commission Staff reviewed the updated cost justification information provided by Hyden-Leslie District and removed the labor charges. Due to current transportation costs, the updated Nonrecurring charges have increased. The calculation of these adjustments to the Nonrecurring Charges are included in a table provided in Appendix A. Commission Staff's proposed nonrecurring charges are listed below.

Nonrecurring Charge	Current Charge	Revised Charge
Connection Turn-On Charge	10.44	\$20.10
Reconnection Charge	10.44	\$20.10
Reconnection Charge -After Hours	53.62	\$67.00
Service Call/Investigation	10.44	\$20.10
Service Call/Investigation-After Hours	53.62	\$67.00
Meter Test Request	20.44	\$45.00
Damage to Meter	Actual	Actual
Meter Relocate	Actual	Actual
5/8-Inch x 3/4-Inch Meter	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
All Larger than 5/8-Inch x 3/4-Inch		
Meters	Actual	Actual

The adjustments to Nonrecurring Charges result in an increase to test year Other Water Revenues of \$7,042 as shown below:

³⁰ Case No. 2021-00071, *Electronic Tariff Filing of Hyden-Leslie County Water District* (Ky. PSC Aug. 4, 2021), final Order.

³¹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 21, and Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's Second Request (filed Apr. 30, 2024), Item 6.

				Revised		
Description	No.	Current Charge	Current Total	Charge	Adjustment	Pro Forma
Connection Turn-On Charge	234	\$10.44	\$2,443	\$20.10	\$2,260	\$4,703
Reconnection Charge	495	\$10.44	5,168	\$20.10	4,782	9,950
Reconnection Charge -After Hours	0	\$53.62	0	\$67.00	0	0
Service Call/Investigation	0	\$10.44	0	\$20.10	0	0
Service Call/Investigation-After Hours	0	\$53.62	0	\$67.00	0	0
Meter Test Request	0	\$20.44	0	\$45.00	0	0
Damage to Meter	0	Actual	0	Actual	0	0
Meter Relocate	0	Actual	0	Actual	0	0
Total		•	\$7,611	•	\$7,042	\$14,653

No adjustments were made to the Tap-On charges as the cost justification sheet provided by Hyden-Leslie District indicated that the cost of installing a 5/8-Inch by 3/4-Inch meter has not changed since the most recent tariff was approved.³²

4. <u>Late Payment Penalties.</u> Hyden-Leslie District discontinued late fee charges in 2020 due to the COVID-19 epidemic. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed to resume charging a late payment penalty of 10 percent for outstanding bills,³³ and submitted a cost justification sheet.³⁴ Hyden-Leslie District stated that no late fees were charged for the calendar years of 2022 or 2023,³⁵ and provided historical data concerning prior year's collections of penalties for late payments.³⁶ Commission Staff recommends Commission approval for the reintroduction of a 10 percent late payment penalty to be added to Hyden-Leslie District's tariff and charged to customers for any

³² Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), 22_Tap_Fee_Calculation_2024.pdf.

³³ Application, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment B.

³⁴ Cover letter and cost justification for late payment charge (filed May 13, 2024).

³⁵ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 19.

³⁶ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 18, 2022 Rate Study Hyden-Leslie, Late Charges Tab.

amount outstanding. Hyden-Leslie District calculated an adjustment to normalize expected future late payment revenue, which is discussed below for Adjustment B, Forfeited Discounts.

PRO FORMA OPERATING STATEMENT

Hyden-Leslie District's Pro Forma Operating Statement for the test year ended December 31, 2022, as determined by Commission Staff appears in the table below.

		Hyden-Leslie District Proposed	Commission Staff Proposed	Total Dranges d		
Description	Test Year	Adjustment	Adjustment	Total Proposed Adjustment	(Ref.)	Pro Forma
Operating Revenues	TOST TOUT	rajustinient	rajustinont	rajustinent	(1101.)	1 10 1 Ollila
Total Metered Retail Sales	\$ 2,346,067	\$ (16,865)	\$ (686)	\$ (17,551)	(A)	\$ 2,328,516
Surcharge Revenue	67,449	, , , , , ,	, ()	0	()	67,449
Total Metered Sales	2,413,516	(16,865)	(686)	(17,551)	-	2,395,965
Other Water Revenues						
Forfeited Discounts		90,541	(11,180)	79,361	(B)	79,361
Other Water Revenues	9,629	(2,028)	(11,100)	(2,028)	(C)	. 0,00
0.110. 174.0. 1.0.0.11400	0,0=0	(=,0=0)	7,042	7,042	(C)	14,643
Total Other Water Revenues	9,629	88,513	(4,138)	84,375	•	94,004
Total Operating Revenues	2,423,145	71,648	(4,824)	66,824		2,489,969
Operating Expenses						
Operation and Maintenance Expenses						
Salaries and Wages - Employees	562,663	(4,571)	371	(4,200)	(D)	
		57,181	(17,660)	39,521	(E)	597,984
Salaries and Wages - Commissioners	30,000			0		30,000
Employee Pensions	-	130,823	(12,133)	118,690	(F)	118,690
Employee Benefits	245,611	(67,837)	(19,936)	(87,773)	(G)	157,838
Purchased Power	324,191	(31,465)		(31,465)	(H)	292,726
Chemicals	115,423	(11,202)		(11,202)	(H)	104,221
Materials and Supplies	177,942	(10,665)	865	(9,800)	(D)	168,142
Contractual Services	30,674			0		30,674
Transportation Expense	54,167			0		54,167
Insurance - Other	62,653			0		62,653
Bad Debt Expense	21,667			0		21,667
Miscellaneous Expense	111,640			0		111,640
Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses	1,736,631	62,264	(48,493)	13,771	•	1,750,402
Depreciation Expense	798,357	(3,989)	15,092	11,103	(I)	
		0	112	112	(J)	809,572
Taxes Other Than Income	39,778	7,640	944	8,584	(K)	48,362
Total Operating Expenses	2,574,766	65,915	(32,345)	33,570	•	2,608,336
Net Operating Income	(151,621)	5,733	27,521	33,254		(118,367)
Interest and Dividend Income	1,119			0		1,119
Income Available to Service Debt	\$ (150,502)	\$ 5,733	\$ 27,521	\$ 33,254	• •	\$ (117,248)

(A) <u>Billing Analysis</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed a decrease of \$16,865 to Total Metered Retail Sales for the test year of 2022 to reflect the

current billing analysis.³⁷ Hyden-Leslie District reported total metered water sales for the test year of \$2,346,067.³⁸ Hyden-Leslie District provided a billing analysis to calculate a normalized revenue amount of \$2,329,202, based on the usage during the test year and using the rates authorized in its current tariff.³⁹ In its application, Hyden-Leslie District calculated billing adjustments totaling \$21,757, which decreased total water sales from \$2,350,959 to the normalized amount of \$2,329,202.⁴⁰ Hyden-Leslie District provided a detailed list of billing adjustments from the test year, which totaled \$22,443.⁴¹ Commission Staff calculated an adjustment decrease to Total Metered Retail Sales of \$17,551 for a normalized revenue amount of \$2,328,516, as shown in the table below. Commission Staff recommends Commission approval of the adjustment to decrease Total Retail Metered Sales by \$17,551, because it meets the ratemaking criteria of being known and measurable.

Revenue Classification	Bills	Gallons Sold	Revenue
All Meters	44,144	161,866,600	\$2,350,959
Less: Billing Adjustments			(22,443)
Normalized Revenue Less: Metered Sales in Annual Report			2,328,516 (2,346,067)
Adjustment Needed to Retail Sales			(\$17,551)

³⁷ Application, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment A.

^{38 2022} Annual Report at 49.

³⁹ Application, Attachment 5, Current Billing Analysis.

⁴⁰ Application, Attachment 5, Current Billing Analysis.

⁴¹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 23.

(B) <u>Forfeited Discounts</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment of \$90,541 to increase Other Water Revenue-Forfeited Discounts.⁴² The calculations resulted in a \$90,541 adjustment are provided in the Late Charges tab of the Rate Study, and are shown in the table below.⁴³

Year	2017	2018	2019	Total
Forfeited Discount Revenue	\$43,888	\$50,459	\$48,990	\$143,337
Divided by 3 year Average				3
3 year Average Forfieted Disco	•	47,779		
Rate Increase 2020-00141			66.10%	31,582
Rate Increase Proposed			23.40%	11,180
Total			·	\$ 90,541

Commission Staff agrees that an adjustment to Other Water Revenue is necessary, but disagrees with the proposed inclusion of \$11,180 in which the proposed rate increase percentage is multiplied by the 3-year average amount of \$47,779, as the adjustment is meant to normalize current income, not future income. The ARF filing regulations require the use of a historical test period, and the Commission allows for pro forma adjustments to current revenues and expenses. Commission Staff recommends the adjustment include only the 3-year average of \$47,779 and the prior rate increase percentage of \$31,582, which totals an adjustment increase of \$79,361.

(C) Other Water Revenue. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment to Other Water Revenues of \$26,038,44 which was attributed to a billing

⁴² Application, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment B.

⁴³ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 18, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie, Late Charges Tab.

⁴⁴ Application, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment I.

system upgrade that automatically assessed service charges.⁴⁵ Hyden-Leslie District provided additional details about the Service Charges in response to Commission Staff's request for information.⁴⁶ On May 14, 2024, Hyden-Leslie District filed additional information amending the adjustment to Other Water Revenue, explaining that due to an audit reconciliation from the test year, \$6,795 is not considered revenue to the utility.⁴⁷ In its amended response, Hyden-Leslie District proposed a decrease to Other Water Revenue of \$2,028.⁴⁸ As discussed in the Nonrecurring Charges section above, Commission Staff calculated adjustments to the nonrecurring charges resulting in an increase to Other Water Revenue of \$7,042. Together with the adjustment decrease proposed by Hyden-Leslie, the combined adjustment equals an increase to Other Water Revenue of \$5,014. Commission Staff recommends Commission approval of the total adjustment to increase Other Water Revenue by \$5,014, because it meets the rate making criteria of being known and measurable.

(D) <u>Expenses Related to Meter Installations</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment to decrease Salaries and Wages – Employees by \$4,571,⁴⁹ and Materials and Supplies by \$10,665,⁵⁰ to account for tap fee expenses that

⁴⁵ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request (filed Apr. 2, 2024), Item 1f, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie, Tab SAO and Item 20.

⁴⁶ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's Second Request (filed Apr. 30, 2024), Item 7.

⁴⁷ Hyden-Leslie District's Amended Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, (filed May 14, 2024), Item 1f, Cover-Letter Read First.pdf.

⁴⁸ Hyden-Leslie District's Amended Response to Staff's First Request for Information, Item 1f, 2022 Rate Study Hyden-Leslie.xlsx, SAO Tab, Cell F10.

⁴⁹ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment C.

⁵⁰ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment C.

were included as part of these expenses during the test year.⁵¹ The Uniform System of Accounts for Class A/B Water Systems (USoA) requires that these costs be capitalized as Utility Plant in Service and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.⁵² Commission Staff agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's proposed adjustment methodology. However, during the test year, Hyden-Leslie District installed 14 new water connections⁵³ and collected \$14,000.⁵⁴ Therefore, Commission Staff decreased Salaries and Wages – Employee by \$4,200, which is \$371 less than Hyden-Leslie District proposed, and decreased Materials and Supplies by \$9,800, which is \$865 less than proposed by Hyden-Leslie District, as shown in the following table.

	Salaries and			
	Wages			Materials
Description	En	nployees	ar	d Supplies
Tap Fees Collected	\$	14,000	\$	14,000
Allocation Percent		30%		70%
Proposed Adjustment ()		(4,200)		(9,800)
Less: Hyden-Leslie District Proposed Adjustments		4,571		10,665
Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustment	\$	371	\$	865

Additionally, Hyden-Leslie District confirmed that it has not capitalized the water tap labor, and only capitalized \$9,267 of the materials and supplies expense of the new meter.⁵⁵ Therefore, Commission Staff capitalized the full labor costs and remaining

⁵¹ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment C.

⁵² USoA, Accounting Instruction 19 and 33.

⁵³ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 14a.

⁵⁴ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 20.

⁵⁵ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 15b and 15c.

materials expense, and made a corresponding adjustment to test-year depreciation as shown in Adjustment (J).

(E) <u>Salaries and Wages – Employee Normalization.</u> In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment to increase Salaries and Wages – Employees by \$57,181.⁵⁶ However, the explanation for the proposed adjustment only stated that the increase should be \$20,792, to reflect changes on personnel.⁵⁷ Hyden-Leslie District provided the test year employee list,⁵⁸ test year hours worked,⁵⁹ current wage rates,⁶⁰ and a current employee list,⁶¹ as well as an explanation for changes to employees subsequent to the application being filed.⁶² Commission Staff normalized current staffing to 2,080 hours resulting in an increase of 1,612 hours as shown in the following table. In addition, subsequent to the test year, employees received an increase in wages.⁶³

⁵⁶ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment D.

⁵⁷ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment D.

⁵⁸ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 3, Redacted spreadsheet, 3-6_Pay_and_Benefits.xlsx (filed Apr. 3, 2024), Columns A and B.

⁵⁹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 3, Redacted spreadsheet, 3-6_Pay_and_Benefits.xlsx (filed Apr. 3, 2024), Column AD.

⁶⁰ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 18, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie, Wages Tab, Column E.

⁶¹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 18, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie, Wages Tab, Column A.

⁶² Additional Information requested by Commission Staff, Additional_Answers_Email_May_7_ 2024.pdf (filed May 7, 2024).

⁶³ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 18, 2022_Rate_Study_Hyden-Leslie, Wages Tab, Column E.

	Test Year		
Employee	Hours	Normalized	Difference
Employee 2	591	2,080	1,489
Employee 7	608	2,080	1,472
Employee 8	2,301	-	(2,301)
Employee 12	513	2,080	1,567
Employee 10	2,695	-	(2,695)
Employee 14	-	2,080	2,080
Total	6,708	8,320	1,612

Commission Staff calculated a Normalized Salaries and Wages – Employees amount of \$602,184. Commission Staff's calculated amount is an increase of \$39,521, which is \$17,660 less than Hyden-Leslie District proposed \$57,181 increase, as shown in the following table.

		Test Year	Current	-	Pro-Forma Regular	Test Year Overtime	Holiday Worked		Current vertime	Pro-Forma Overtime	Pro-Forma Overtime				
Title	Total Hours	Regular Hours	Wage Rate		Wages	Hours	Hours		Rate	Wages		Wages			
Bookkeeper	2,260	2,110.28	\$ 21.51	\$	45,392	149.95	-	\$	32.27	\$ 4,838	\$	50,230			
Billing Clerk	2,087	2,080.00	16.31		33,925	6.91	-		24.47	169.05		34,094			
Distribution Laboror	2,273	2,094.01	19.17		40,142	178.90	-		28.76	5,144.27		45,286			
Distribution Operator	2,750	2,298.79	21.14		48,596	451.18	49.50		31.71	15,876.56		64,473			
Distribution Operator	2,631	2,441.62	22.63		55,254	189.85	1.08		33.95	6,481.12		61,735			
Distribution Operator	2,345	2,151.67	23.59		50,758	193.14	13.82		35.39	7,323.28		58,081			
Distribution Laboror	2,173	2,080.00	16.31		33,925	93.44	-		24.47	2,286.01		36,211			
Manager	2,428	2,428.37				-				-		75,512			
Billing Clerk	2,443	2,189.23	18.97		41,530	253.71	0.92		28.46	7,245.50		48,775			
WTP Operator	2,119	2,080.00	23.10		48,048	38.82	-		34.65	1,345.11		49,393			
WTP Operator	2,512	2,208.26	17.13		37,827	303.85	60.65		25.70	9,365.83		47,193			
Operator in Training	2,080	2,080.00	15.00		31,200	-	-		22.50	-		31,200			
Total Gross Wages	28,102	26,242.23	-		466,597.26	1,859.75				60,074.87		602,184			
Less: Test Year Sa	laries		-					_				(562,663)			
Pro Forma Salaries &	Wages Adjustr	nent										39,521			
Less: Hyden-Leslie	District Propos	ed Adjustment										(57,181)			
Additional Proposed A	djustment											(17,660)			

(F) <u>Employee Pension – County Employees Retirement System (CERS)</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed to increase Employee Pensions and Benefits by \$130,823,⁶⁴ to reflect Hyden-Leslie District joining the Kentucky Public Pensions

⁶⁴ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment E.

Authority (KPPA) – CERS.⁶⁵ Commission Staff agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's methodology; however, as discussed in Adjustment (E), Commission Staff calculated a normalized Salaries and Wages – Employees' expense of \$602,184. In addition, the KPPA fiscal year 2025, effective July 1, 2024 contribution rate is 19.71 percent.⁶⁶ Using the full time Salaries and Wages – Employees, of \$602,184 and the most recent contribution rate, Commission Staff calculated a CERS contribution of \$118,690, which is an increase of \$118,690 to Hyden-Leslie District's test year pension contribution amount of \$0. The adjustment is \$12,133 less than Hyden-Leslie District's proposed increase of \$130,823, as shown in the following table.

	Commission					
Description	Adjustment					
Full Time Salaries and Wages - Employees Multiplied by: Current CERS Contribution Rate	\$	602,184 19.710%				
CERS Retirement- Employer Contribution Less: Test Year Retirement ()		118,690 -				
Employee Pensions and Benefits Adjustment		118,690				
Less: Hyden-Leslie District Proposed Adjustment ()		(130,823)				
Commission Staff Proposed Adjustment	\$	(12,133)				

(G) <u>Employee Benefits – Medical Insurance Premiums</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment to decrease Employee Benefits by \$67,837⁶⁷ to reflect Commission policy of limiting expenses for ratemaking purposes associated with providing employer contributions to employees' medical insurance at a

⁶⁵ Application, Exhibit 4, References, Adjustment E.

⁶⁶ KPPA, GASB Contribution Rates (https://www.kyret.ky.gov/Employers/GASB/Pages/Contribution-Rates.aspx).

⁶⁷ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment F.

percentage comparable to the Bureau of Labor Statistics' report.⁶⁸ Hyden-Leslie District's employees currently contribute between 5.74 to 32.79 percent to their insurance premiums, an average of 19.39 percent. Hyden-Leslie District contributes between 67.21 to 94.26 percent, an average of 80.61 percent, for full-time employees' health insurance plans,⁶⁹ as shown in the following table.

Insurance Type	Coverage	Med	dical (EE)	Percentage	Medic	al (ER)	Percentage
Living Well PPO	FAM	\$	717	32.79%	\$	1,469	67.21%
Living Well CDHP	FAM		339	18.18%		1,527	81.82%
Living Well CDHP	FAM		137	10.80%		1,132	89.20%
Living Well CDHP	FAM		399	19.20%		1,679	80.80%
Living Well CDHP	EMP		53	5.74%		877	94.26%
Living Well CDHP	FAM		339	18.18%		1,527	81.82%
Living Well PPO	FAM		717	32.79%		1,469	67.21%
Living Well PPO	EMP		129	13.61%		820	86.39%
Living Well PPO	FAM		717	32.79%		1,469	67.21%
Living Well CDHP	FAM		399	19.20%		1,679	80.80%
Living Well CDHP	EMP		93	10.04%		837	89.96%
Total		\$	4,040	19.39%	\$ 1	14,486	80.61%

The Commission continues to place greater emphasis on evaluating employees' total compensation packages, including both salary and benefits programs, for market and geographic competitiveness to ensure the development of a fair, just and reasonable rate. Consistent with precedent, ⁷⁰ Commission Staff agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's methodology but calculated a different amount. Commission Staff adjusted Hyden-Leslie

⁶⁸ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment F.

⁶⁹ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 4, 4_Benefits_Invoice_Redacted.pdf.

⁷⁰ Case No. 2019-00053, *Electronic Application of Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation for a General Adjustment in Existing Rates* (Ky. PSC June 20, 2019), Order at 8–12.

District's single health insurance plan premiums contribution expense to 79 percent,⁷¹ and family insurance plan premiums contribution expense to 67 percent,⁷² as shown in the calculation below. Hyden-Leslie District provided the most recent copy of its health insurance invoice.⁷³ Accordingly, utilizing the most recent invoice amounts, Commission Staff recalculated the proposed adjustment and decreased Employee Benefits by \$87,773, which is \$19,936 more than proposed by Hyden-Leslie District, as shown below.

				Average		Monthly	Pr	o Forma
	Number of	Е	mployer	Employee	Ρ	remium	ľ	Monthly
Type of Premium	Employees	Co	ntributions	Contribution Rate	Ac	djustment	Р	remium
Health Insurance - Single	3	\$	2,811	21%	\$	(590)	\$	2,221
Health Insurance - Family	8		15,715	33%		(5,186)		10,529
Total Pro Forma Monthly Premium	11		18,526			(5,776)		12,750
Times: 12 Months			12			12		12
Total Annual Pro Forma Premium		\$	222,312		\$	(69,312)		153,000
Plus: Uniform Expense				•				4,838
Pro Forma Employee Benefits						•		157,838
Less: Test Year Insurance							(245,611)
Employee Benefits Adjustment								(87,773)
Less: Commission Staff Recommen	nded Adjustm	ent						67,837
Final Pro Forma Employee Benef	its Adjustment	t					\$	(19,936)

(H) <u>Excess Water Loss</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed adjustments to decrease Purchased Power expense by \$31,465⁷⁴ and Chemicals expense by \$11,202.⁷⁵ The adjustments are to reflect the expense for water loss in

⁷¹ Bureau of Labor Statistics, Healthcare Benefits, March 2020, Table 3, private industry workers. (https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ebs2.pdf).

⁷² Bureau of Labor Statistics, Healthcare Benefits, March 2021, Table 4, private industry workers. (https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ebs2.pdf).

⁷³ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 4, 4_Benefits_Invoice_Redacted.pdf.

⁷⁴ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment G.

⁷⁵ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment G.

excess of 15 percent.⁷⁶ During the test year, Hyden-Leslie District reported water loss of 24.7056 percent.⁷⁷ As noted earlier in the report, Commission regulations disallows the recovery of expenses for water loss in excess of 15 percent. Hyden-Leslie District reduced Purchased Power Expense by \$31,465, and Commission Staff agreed with the adjustment; and reduced Chemical Expense by \$11,202, which Commission Staff also agreed with, as shown in following table

	Р	urchased	Chemicals	
Description		Power	Expense	Total
Pro Forma Expenses	\$	324,191	\$ 115,423	\$ 439,614
Multiply by: Water Loss in Excess of 15 Percent		-9.71%	-9.71%	-9.71%
Excess Cost	\$	(31,465)	\$ (11,202)	\$ (42,667)

(I) <u>Depreciation Expense</u>. In the application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed a decrease to Depreciation Expense of \$3,989⁷⁸ to adjust the service lives of assets using the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) titled *Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities* (NARUC Study).⁷⁹ To evaluate the reasonableness of the depreciation practices of small water utilities, the Commission has historically relied upon the same NARUC Study published in 1979.⁸⁰ When no evidence exists to support a specific life that is outside the NARUC ranges, the Commission has

⁷⁶ Application, Exhibit 4, Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment G.

⁷⁷ 2022 Annual Report at 57.

⁷⁸ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment H.

⁷⁹ Application, Exhibit 4, References, Adjustment H.

⁸⁰ Case 2023-00134, Electronic Application of North Marshall Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Dec. 22, 2023), Order at 30. Case 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024), Order at 36.

historically used the midpoint of the NARUC ranges to depreciate the utility plant.⁸¹ Upon examination, Commission Staff agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's methodology to adjust depreciation expense. However, Commission Staff calculated a depreciation expense of \$809,460. Commission Staff found no evidence to support depreciable lives that vary significantly from the midpoint of the NARUC ranges. Therefore, Commission Staff increased Hyden-Leslie District's Depreciation Expense by \$11,103, which is \$15,092 more than proposed by Hyden-Leslie District, as shown in the following table:

	Service Life	Test Year	Depreciation	Pro Forma
Asset Class	Range	Depreciation	Adjustment	Depreciation
Land & Land Rights	N/A	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Structures and Improvements	35 - 40	150,197	-	150,197
Collection & Impounding Reservoirs	50 - 75	-	-	-
Lake, River, and Other Intakes	35 -45	11,864	-	11,864
Supply Mains	50 - 75	7,823	-	7,823
Pumping Equipment	20	68,630	713	69,343
Water Treatment Equipment	20 -35	124,516	8,081	132,597
Reservoirs and Tanks	30 - 60	62,676	-	62,676
Transmission & Distribution Mains	50 - 75	265,579	-	265,579
Services	30 - 40	-	-	-
Meter Installation	40 - 50	35,594	206	35,800
Hydrants	40 - 60	3,422	-	3,422
Office Furniture & Equipment	20 - 25	5,048	2,103	7,151
Transportation	7	24,946	-	24,946
Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	15 - 20	395	-	395
Power Operated Equipment	10 - 15	36,386	-	36,386
Communication Equipment	10	1,281	-	1,281
Total		\$ 798,357	11,103	\$ 809,460
Less: Hyden Leslie District's Prope	osed Adjustme	ent	3,989	
Total Depreciation Adjustment			\$ 15,092	

⁸¹ See Case No. 2020-00195, Electronic Application of Southeast Daviess County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 30, 2020), Order. Case 2023-00134 Electronic Application of North Marshall Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Dec. 22, 2023), Order at 30. Case 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024), Order at 36.

(J) <u>Capitalization of Water Tap Labor</u>. As explained in Adjustment (D) above, the expenses related to the installation of new water connections are capital expenditures that should be capitalized as Utility Plant in Service and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Hyden-Leslie District confirmed that it has already capitalized \$9,267 for materials cost, ⁸² but has not capitalized the labor costs or the remaining materials used. ⁸³ Therefore, Commission Staff calculated the annual depreciation amount for the test year and increased depreciation expense by \$99 to account for the Tap Fee Labor Expense and \$13 for the remaining Tap Fee Material Expense, for a total increase of \$112 as shown below:

Description	E	₋abor ¢pense mount		laterials Supplies
Test Year Water Connections Expense Less: Expense Already Capitalized ()	\$	4,200 -	\$	9,800 (9,267)
Total Amount to Capitalize Divided by: NARUC Proposed Service Lives		4,200 42.5		533 42.5
Pro Forma Depreciation Adjustment	\$	99	_	13
Total Capitalized Expense Increase			\$	112

(K) <u>Taxes other than Income – Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA)</u>. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District proposed an adjustment to increase Taxes Other Than Income by \$7,640.⁸⁴ However, the reference to the adjustment stated the change to account for an increase in payroll taxes due to the proposed increase in Salaries and

⁸² Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 14c.

⁸³ Hyden-Leslie District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 14b.

⁸⁴ Application, Exhibit 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment D.

Wages Expense is only \$4,856.⁸⁵ However, as explained in Adjustments (D) and (E) above, Commission Staff calculated pro forma Salaries and Wages – Employees of \$602,184 and Hyden-Leslie District reported Salaries and Wages – Officers of \$30,000. Therefore, Commission Staff calculated an increase to Taxes Other Than Income of \$1,699, which is \$1,786 less than proposed by Hyden-Leslie District, as shown in the following table.

	Co	mmission
Description		Staff's
Salaries and Wages - Employees	\$	602,184
Salaries and Wages - Officers		30,000
Total Salaries and Wages		632,184
Times: 7.65 Percent FICA Rate		7.65%
Total Pro Forma Payroll Taxes		48,362
Less: Test Year Payroll Taxes ()		(39,778)
Payroll Tax Adjustment		8,584
Less: Proposed Adjustment ()		(7,640)
Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustment	\$	944

OVERALL REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND REQUIRED REVENUE INCREASE

The Commission has historically applied a Debt Service Coverage (DSC) method to calculate the Overall Revenue Requirement of water districts and water associations.⁸⁶ This method allows for recovery of (1) cash-related pro forma operating expenses; (2)

⁸⁵ Application, Exhibit 4, References, Adjustment D.

⁸⁶ Case No. 2022-00124, Electronic Application of Elkhorn Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Oct. 24, 2022). Case No. 2021-00475, Electronic Application of Carroll County Water District #1 for an Adjustment of Rates Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC June 28, 2022).

recovery of depreciation expense, a noncash item, to provide working capital;⁸⁷ (3) the average annual principal and interest payments on all long-term debts; and (4) working capital that is in addition to depreciation expense.

	H	yden-Leslie	Commission			
Description		District		Staff		
Pro Forma Operating Expenses	\$	2,640,682	\$	2,608,336		
Plus: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments		289,597		289,597		
Additional Working Capital		57,919		57,919		
Overall Revenue Requirement		2,988,198		2,955,852		
Less: Other Operating Revenue		(7,601)		(14,643)		
Proposed Late Charges		(90,541)		(79,361)		
Interest Income		(1,119)		(1,119)		
Revenue Required from Rates		2,888,937		2,860,729		
Less: Pro Forma Present Rate Service Revenues		(2,329,202)		(2,328,516)		
Required Revenue Increase	\$	559,735	\$	532,213		
Percentage Increase		24.03%		22.86%		

1. <u>Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments</u>. At the time of Commission Staff's review, Hyden-Leslie District had three Bonds with United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development (RD),⁸⁸ one outstanding Kentucky

The Kentucky Supreme Court has held that the Commission must permit a water district to recover its depreciation expense through its rates for service to provide internal funds for renewing and replacing assets. See Public Serv. Comm'n of Kentucky v. Dewitt Water Dist., 720 S.W.2d 725, 728 (Ky. 1986). Although a water district's lenders require that a small portion of the depreciation funds be deposited annually into a debt reserve/depreciation fund until the account's balance accumulates to a required threshold, neither the Commission nor the Court requires that revenues collected for depreciation be accounted for separately from the water district's general funds or that depreciation funds be used only for asset renewal and replacement. The Commission has recognized that the working capital provided through recovery of depreciation expense may be used for purposes other than renewal and replacement of assets. See Case No. 2012-00309, Application of Southern Water and Sewer District for an Adjustment in Rates Pursuant to the Alternative Rate Filing Procedure for Small Utilities (Ky. PSC Dec. 21, 2012).

⁸⁸ Case No. 2000-00077, In The Matter of the Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Finance Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.023, (Ky. PSC Mar. 20, 2000). Case No. 2010-00384, Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct, Finance and Increase Rates Pursuant to KRS 278.023 (Ky. PSC Oct. 29, 2010).

Rural Water Finance Corporation (KRWFC) bond,⁸⁹ and one Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (KIA) Loan.⁹⁰ In its application, Hyden-Leslie District requested recovery of the average annual principal and interest on its indebtedness based on an average of the annual principal, and interest and fee payments for the five years following the test year, which is 2024 through 2028.⁹¹ Commission Staff calculated the average annual principal and interest on a five-year average for the years 2024 through 2028, and agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's proposed Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments of \$289,597, as shown in the following table.

		20	24			20	25			20	26			20	27		20	28			
	P	rincipal		nterest	F	Principal		Interest I		Principal		Interest		terest Principal Interest Principal		ı	nterest		Total		
USDA 20000	\$	8,100	\$	8,690	\$	8,500	\$	8,352	\$	8,900	\$	7,952	\$	9,300	\$	7,534	\$ 9,700	\$	7,096	\$	84,124
USDA 2011A		18,000		35,441		18,500		34,658		19,500		33,946		20,500		33,196	21,500		32,498		267,739
USDA 2011B		41,000		35,546		42,000		34,514		43,000		33,557		44,000		32,579	45,000		31,665		382,861
KRWFC Bond		40,000		4,756		42,083		3,491		45,000		2,140		45,000		113	23,334		-		205,917
KIA Loan B19-008		88,781		12,688		89,226		12,244		89,672		11,797		90,121		11,348	90,572		10,897		507,346
Total	\$	195,881	\$	97,121	\$	200,309	\$	93,259	\$	206,072	\$	89,392	\$	208,921	\$	84,770	\$ 190,106	\$	82,156	1	1,447,987
Divided by: 5 ye	ars																				5
Average Annual Pri	ncip	al and Inte	ere	st Paymei	nts															\$	289,597

2. Additional Working Capital. The DSC method, as historically applied by the Commission, includes an allowance for additional working capital that is equal to the minimum net revenues required by a district's lenders that are above its average annual debt payments. In its application, Hyden-Leslie District requested recovery of an allowance for working capital that is equal to 120 percent of its average annual principal and debt payments at the time of its application for a total of \$57,919.92

⁸⁹ Case No. 2013-00388, Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for Authority to Issue Securities and Issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct an Improvements Project Pursuant to KRS 278.020 and 278.300 (Ky. PSC Feb. 6, 2014).

⁹⁰ Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment, (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020), order paragraph 7.

⁹¹ Application, Attachment 4, Revenue Requirements Calculation, Table B, Debt Service Schedule.

⁹² Application, Attachment 4, Revenue Requirements Calculation.

Following the Commission's historic practice, ⁹³ Commission Staff agrees with Hyden-Leslie District's methodology. Therefore, when the change from 2023 through 2027 to 2024 through 2028 in considered, \$57,919 is included in the revenue requirement as shown in the following table.

Average Annual Principal and Interest Times: DSC Coverage Ratio	\$ 289,597 120%
Total Net Revenues Required Less: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments	347,516 (289,597)
Additional Working Capital	\$ 57,919

⁹³ Case No. 2022-00431, Electronic Application of Letcher County Water and Sewer District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant To 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Nov. 17, 2023). Case No. 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. For An Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024). Case No. 2023-00182, Electronic Application of Western Mason County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Jan. 4, 2024).

Signatures

/s/ William Foley

Prepared by: William Foley
Revenue Requirement Branch
Division of Financial Analysis

/s/ Elizabeth Stefanski

Prepared by: Elizabeth Stefanski Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2024-00022 DATED JUN 10 2024

* Denotes Rounding

Nonrecurring Charges Adjustments			
	ion/Turn-On Charge Utility Revised Charge	Staff Revised Charge	
Field Materials Field Labor (\$33.15 at 1 hours) Office Supplies	\$33.15		
Office Labor	\$31.32		
Transportation (30 miles @\$.67)	\$20.10	\$20.00	
Misc.	\$0.00		
Total Revised Charge	\$84.57	\$20.00	
Current Rate	\$10.44		
Reco	nnection Charge		
	Utility Revised	Staff Revised	
Field Materials	Charge	Charge	
Field Materials Field Labor (\$33.15 at 1 hours) Office Supplies	\$33.15		
Office Labor	\$31.32		
Transportation (30 miles @\$.67)	\$20.10	\$20.00	
Misc.	\$0.00		
Total Revised Charge	\$84.57	\$20.00	
Current Rate	\$10.44		
Reconnection	on Charge (After Hours)	·	
	Utility Revised	Staff Revised	
	Charge	Charge	
Field Materials			
Field Labor (\$46.85 at 1 hours) Office Supplies	\$46.85	\$46.85	
Office Labor	\$44.12		
Transportation Misc.	\$20.10	\$20.10	
Total Revised Charge	\$111.07	\$67.00	
Current Rate	\$53.62		

Service Call / Investigation			
	Utility Revised Charge	Staff Revised Charge	
Field Materials	ona.go	enange	
Field Labor (\$33.15 per hour)	\$33.15		
Office Supplies			
Office Labor	\$31.32		
Transportation (30 miles @\$.67)	\$20.10	\$20.00	
Misc.	\$0.00		
Total Revised Charge	\$84.57	\$20.00	
Current Rate	\$10.44		
Service Call / I	Investigation (After Hours)		
	Utility Revised	Staff Revised	
	Charge	Charge	
Field Materials	* 40.0 =	* 40.0=	
Field Labor (\$46.85 at 1 hours)	\$46.85	\$46.85	
Office Supplies Office Labor	\$44.12		
Transportation	\$20.10	\$20.10	
Misc.	Ψ20.10	Ψ20.10	
Total Revised Charge	\$111.07	\$67.00	
Current Rate	\$53.62		
Wete	er Test Request Utility Revised	Staff Revised	
	Charge	Charge	
Field Materials	5	g-	
Field Labor			
Office Supplies			
Office Labor			
Other: Test Fee	\$15.00	\$15.00	
Shipping	\$30.00	\$30.00	
Total Revised Charge	\$45.00	\$45.00	
Current Rate	\$20.44		

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TO COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2024-00022 DATED JUN 10 2024

The following rates and charges are recommended by Commission Staff for the customers in the area served by Hyden-Leslie County Water District. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein are recommended to remain the same as those in effect under the authority of the Commission.

Monthly Water Rates

PHASE 1 effective for one year as of the date of this Order

All Meters			
First	2,000 Gallons	\$32.01	Minimum Bill
Next	3,000 Gallons	0.01340	Per Gallon
Next	20,000 Gallons	0.01172	Per Gallon
Next	75,000 Gallons	0.01006	Per Gallon
Over	100,000 Gallons	0.00840	Per Gallon

PHASE 2 implement one year after the date of this Order

All Meters			
First	2,000 Gallons	\$34.41	Minimum Bill
Next	3,000 Gallons	0.01439	Per Gallon
Next	20,000 Gallons	0.01257	Per Gallon
Next	75,000 Gallons	0.01079	Per Gallon
Over	100,000 Gallons	0.00901	Per Gallon

PHASE 3 implement two years after the date of this Order

All Meters			
First	2,000 Gallons	\$36.55	Minimum Bill
Next	3,000 Gallons	0.01530	Per Gallon
Next	20,000 Gallons	0.01338	Per Gallon

Next Over	75,000 Gallons 100,000 Gallons	 Per Gallon Per Gallon
	Nonrecurring Charges	
Late Pay	ment Penalty	10%
Connection Turn-On Charge		\$20.00
Reconnection Charge		\$20.00
Reconnection Charge -After Hours		\$67.00
Service (Call/Investigation	\$20.00
Service Call/Investigation-After Hours		\$67.00

5/8-Inch x 3/4-Inch Water Tap On \$1,000.00

All Larger than 5/8-Inch x 3/4-Inch

Meter Test Request Damage to Meter

Meter Relocate

Meters Actual

\$45.00

Actual

Actual

*Robert K. Miller Straightline Kentucky LLC 113 North Birchwood Ave. Louisville, KENTUCKY 40206

*Hyden-Leslie County Water District 356 Wendover Road Hyden, KY 41749

*Kevin Cook Chairman Hyden-Leslie County Water District 356 Wendover Road Hyden, KY 41749