COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| I | ln | th | 9 | N/ | lat | ter | ٠ ٠ | f٠ |
|---|----|----|---|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| | | | | | | | | |

| ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF MORGAN |) | CASE NO. |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR A RATE |) | 2024-00010 |
| ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076 |) | |

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of April 12, 2024, as amended by Orders entered July 25, 2024, and August 16, 2024, the attached report containing the recommendations of Commission Staff regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding. Pursuant to the Commission's August 16, 2024, Order, Morgan County Water District (Morgan District) is required to file written comments regarding the recommendations of Commission Staff no later than 14 days from the date of service of this report. The Commission directs Morgan District to the Commission's July 22, 2021, Order in Case No. 2020-00085¹ regarding filings with the Commission.

Linda C. Bridwell, PE Executive Director

Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED ___ SEP 10 2024

cc: Parties of Record

¹ Case No. 2020-00085, *Electronic Emergency Docket Related to the Novel Coronavirus COVID- 19* (Ky. PSC July 22, 2021), Order (in which the Commission ordered that for case filings made on and after March 16, 2020, filers are NOT required to file the original physical copies of the filings required by 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8).

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF MORGAN |) | CASE NO. |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR A RATE |) | 2024-00010 |
| ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076 |) | |

COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT ON MORGAN DISTRICT

Morgan County Water District (Morgan District) is a water utility organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 74 that owns and operates a distribution system through which it provides retail water service to approximately 2,863 residential customers and 121 commercial that reside in Morgan County, Kentucky.¹

On March 22, 2024,² Morgan District filed its application with the Commission requesting an adjustment to its water service rates pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076. To comply with the requirements of 807 KAR 5:076, Section 9,³ Morgan District used the calendar year ended December 31, 2022, as the basis for its application. The application was filed pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 2021-00476 which required Morgan District to file an application for an adjustment of its base rates by February 18,

¹ Annual Report of Morgan County Water District to the Public Service Commission for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2022 (2022 Annual Report) at 12 and 49.

² Morgan District tendered its application on March 15, 2024. By letter dated March 20, 2024, the Commission rejected the application for filing deficiencies. The deficiencies were subsequently cured, and the application is deemed filed on March 22, 2024.

³ The reasonableness of the proposed rates shall be determined using a 12-month historical test period, adjusted for known and measurable changes, that coincides with the reporting period of the applicant's annual report for the immediate past year.

2025.⁴ Morgan District's last base rate increase pursuant to the alternative rate filing procedure was in Case No. 2020-00386.⁵ Since that matter, Morgan District has only adjusted its rates pursuant to purchased water adjustments, financing approval, or in conjunction with an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

On March 22, 2024, Morgan District filed a motion requesting an emergency hearing to allow it to present evidence in support of an emergency interim rate increase of 25.3 percent and for the Commission to grant a deviation from the notice requirements of 807 KAR 5:076, Section 12(3).⁶ The Commission granted Morgan District's motion for an emergency hearing but denied its motion for a deviation of the notice requirements of 807 KAR 5:076, Section 12(3). To ensure the orderly review of the application, the Commission established a procedural schedule by Order dated April 12, 2024. On May 2, 2024, a hearing was held for the purpose of taking evidence to determine whether Morgan District's request for interim emergency rate relief met the standard set forth in KRS 278.190(2). Morgan District responded to four rounds of requests for information from Commission Staff.⁷ Morgan District filed a post hearing brief on May 17, 2024.⁸ On

⁴ Case No. 2021-00476, Electronic Application of Morgan County Water District for Approval to Issue of Securities for the Purpose of Refinancing Certain High Interest Indebtedness (Ky. PSC Feb. 18, 2022), final Order at 8.

⁵ Case No. 2020-00386, *Small Utilities Rate Adjustment Filing of Morgan County Water District* (Ky. PSC June 9, 2021), final Order.

⁶ Motion for Emergency Interim Rates, (filed Mar. 22, 2024).

Morgan District's Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information (Staff's First Request) (filed Apr. 26, 2024). Morgan District's Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information (Staff's Second Request) (filed May 24, 2024). Morgan District's Response to Staff's Third Request for Information (Staff's Third Request) (filed Jun. 20, 2024), Morgan District's Response to Commission Staff's Fourth Request for Information (Staff's Fourth Request) (filed Aug. 26, 2024).

⁸ Morgan District's Post-Hearing Brief (filed May 17, 2024).

July 25, 2024, the Commission amended the procedural schedule.⁹ On July 26, 2024, the Commission issued an Order granting Morgan District the interim base rate increase of 25.3 percent, subject to refund.¹⁰ On August 15, 2024, Morgan District filed two motions. In the first motion Morgan District requested the Commission to grant it a deviation to authorize Morgan District to place the interim base rate increase into effect on and after August 16, 2024. In the second motion, Morgan District requested recovery of actual rate case expenses. Both motions are pending. On August 16, 2024, the Commission amended the schedule an additional time in order to allow time for the Staff's Fourth Request.

<u>UNACCOUNTED-FOR WATER LOSS</u>

The Commission notes that, in its 2022 Annual Report, Morgan District reported a water loss of 32.4487 percent.¹¹ Commission regulation 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3), states that for ratemaking purposes, a utility's water loss shall not exceed 15 percent of total water produced and purchased, excluding water consumed by a utility in its own operations. The table below shows that the 2022 total annual cost of water loss to Morgan District is \$252,111, while the annual cost of water loss in excess of 15 percent is \$135,568.

⁹ Order (Ky. PSC July 25, 2024).

¹⁰ Order (Ky. PSC July 26, 2024) at 9.

¹¹ 2022 Annual Report at 57.

| Description | Purchase Water | | Purchased Power | | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|---------------|
| Description | | vvalei | | | |
| Pro Forma Expenses | \$ | 717,565 | \$ | 59,385 | \$ 776,950 |
| Water Loss Percent | | 32.4487% | | 32.4487% | |
| Total Water Loss | \$ | 232,841 | \$ | 19,270 | \$ 252,111 |
| | Р | urchased | Р | urchased | |
| Description | | Water | | Power | Total |
| Pro Forma Expenses | \$ | 717,565 | \$ | 59,385 | \$ 776,950 |
| Water Loss in Excess of 15 Percent | | 17.4487% | | 17.4487% | |
| Cost of Excess Water Loss | \$ | 125,206 | \$ | 10,362 | \$ 135,568 |

The Commission is placing greater emphasis on monitoring utilities that consistently exceed the 15 percent unaccounted-for water loss threshold. Commission Staff strongly encourages Morgan District to study its system to identify the sources of unaccounted-for water loss. This is the logical first step toward developing the comprehensive plan to improve Morgan District's infrastructure and eliminate the identified sources of excessive water loss. Further steps would be determined based on the results of Morgan District's system study and the overall structural integrity of it and may require the seeking financing for system improvements.

DISCUSSION

Using its pro forma test-year operations, Morgan District determined that a base rate revenue increase of \$767,513, or 40.80 percent, was necessary to achieve the revenue requirement as shown in the table below.¹³

¹² See generally Commission final Orders for Rate Applications from 2017-present for language explaining the greater emphasis on encouraging efforts to reduce water loss and including the approximate amount of money the lost water represented to the utility. Case No. 2017-00176, *Electronic Application of Estill County Water District No. 1 for Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076* (Ky. PSC Dec. 20, 2017), Order at 4.

¹³ Application, Attachment 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, 4_SAO_With_ Attachments.pdf

| Description | Morgan District |
|--|-----------------|
| Pro Forma Operating Expenses | \$ 2,412,954 |
| Plus: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments | 249,358 |
| Plus: Additional Working Capital | 49,872 |
| Overall Revenue Requirement | 2,712,184 |
| Less: Other Operating Revenue | (30,827) |
| Less: Interest Income | (72) |
| Less: Forfieted Discounts | (32,431) |
| Revenue Required from Rates | 2,648,854 |
| Less: Pro Forma Present Rate Service Revenues | (1,881,341) |
| Required Revenue Increase | \$ 767,513 |
| Percentage Increase | 40.80% |

To determine the reasonableness of the rates requested by Morgan District, Commission Staff performed a limited financial review of Morgan District's test-year operations. The scope of Commission Staff's review was limited to determining whether operations reported for the test year were representative of normal operations. Known and measurable thanges to test-year operations were identified, and adjustments were made when their effects were deemed material. Insignificant and immaterial discrepancies were not necessarily pursued or addressed. However, Commission Staff notes there were issues with Morgan District's accounting and record keeping which

_

¹⁴ Commission regulation 807 KAR 5:076, Section 9, sets the standard for the determination of the reasonableness of proposed rates and states, in pertinent part, that the test period shall be "adjusted for known and measurable changes." See also Case No. 2001-00211, Application of Hardin County Water District No. 1 for (1) Issuance of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity; (2) Authorization to Borrow Funds and to Issue its Evidence of Indebtedness Therefore; (3) Authority to Adjust Rates; and (4) Approval to Revise and Adjust Tariff (Ky. PSC Mar. 1, 2002); Case No. 2002-00105, Application of Northern Kentucky Water District for (A) an Adjustment of Rates; (B) a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Improvements to Water Facilities if Necessary; and (C) Issuance of Bonds (Ky. PSC June 25, 2003); and Case No. 2017-00417, Electronic Proposed Adjustment of the Wholesale Water Service Rates of Lebanon Water Works (Ky. PSC July 12, 2018).

created difficulties in fully reviewing transactions to determine their purpose and appropriateness.

Commission Staff's recommendations are summarized in this report. William Foley reviewed the calculation of Morgan District's Overall Revenue Requirement, and Jason Green reviewed Morgan District's reported revenues and rate design.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- 1. Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase. By applying the Debt Service Coverage (DSC) method, as generally accepted by the Commission, Commission Staff determined that Morgan District's required revenue from water sales is \$2,655,957 to meet the Overall Revenue Requirement of \$2,712,545 and that a \$774,616 revenue increase, or 41.17 percent, to pro forma present rate revenues is calculated to generate the Overall Revenue Requirement.
- 2. <u>Monthly Water Service Rates.</u> Based upon the revenue requirement, Morgan District proposed to increase all of its monthly retail water service rates evenly across the board by 40.80 percent. Morgan District did not perform a cost-of-service study (COSS).¹⁵

The Commission has previously found that the allocation of a revenue adjustment evenly across the board to a utility's rate design is appropriate when there has been no evidence entered into the record demonstrating that this method is unreasonable and in the absence of a COSS.¹⁶ Finding no such evidence in this case, Commission Staff followed the proposed allocation methodology by Morgan District and allocated

¹⁵ Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 17a, 17b, and 17c.

¹⁶ Case No. 2021-00218, *Electronic Application of Madison County Utilities District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment* (Ky. PSC Jan. 5, 2022).

Commission Staff's revenue increase of \$774,616 across the board to Morgan District's monthly retail water service rates.

The Commission issued an Order on July 26, 2024, authorizing Morgan District to implement emergency rates on an interim basis, an immediate increase of approximately 25.3 percent.¹⁷ The rates calculated and set forth in Appendix B will recover an additional 14.98 percent, for a total increase of 41.17 percent, which will produce sufficient revenues from water sales to recover the \$2,655,957 Revenue Required from Water Sales.

Using the rates that were in effect at the time of Morgan District's filing, the emergency interim rates will increase a typical residential customer's monthly water bill from \$43.99, to \$55.08, and increase of \$11.09, or approximately 25.20 percent. The rates contained in Appendix B to this report will increase a typical residential customer's monthly water bill from the emergency interim rates from \$55.08 to \$62.00, an increase of \$6.92, or approximately 12.56 percent. Therefore, overall, Commission Staff's recommended increase will increase a typical customer's bill from \$43.99 to \$62.00, an increase of \$18.01, or approximately 40.93 percent increase.

3. <u>Nonrecurring Charges.</u> Following the Commission's recent decisions, ¹⁹ Commission Staff has reviewed Morgan District's Nonrecurring Charges. The Commission found that because district personnel are currently paid during normal

¹⁷ Order (Ky. PSC July 26, 2024) at 9.

¹⁸ The average usage for a residential customer is 3,020 gallons.

¹⁹ Case No. 2023-00299, Electronic Application of Magoffin County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 24, 2024); Case No. 2023-00284, Electronic Application of Montgomery County Water District No. 1 for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Mar. 5, 2024); Case No. 2023-00258, Electronic Application of Kirksville Water Association, Inc. for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 3, 2024); and Case No. 2023-00220, Electronic Application of East Casey County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC May 21, 2024).

business hours, estimated labor costs previously included in determining the amount of Nonrecurring Charges should be eliminated from the charges.²⁰ The labor during regular business hours is already recovered as a part of the revenue requirement. Morgan District provided updated cost justification information for the Nonrecurring Charges²¹ and Meter Tap charges.²² Commission Staff reviewed the cost justification information provided by Morgan District and adjusted these charges by removing the Field Labor Costs and the Office/Clerical Labor Costs from those charges that occur during normal business hours. Commission Staff also removed the Office/Clerical Labor Costs from the After-Hours Reconnection Charge, as office labor is typically performed during normal business hours. The calculation of these adjustments to the Nonrecurring Charges are included in a table provided in Appendix A. The adjustments to the Nonrecurring Charges result in a net decrease in Other Operating Revenue of \$6,742 as shown below.

| | Occurrences | Current Charge | Revised Charge | Adjustment | Pro Forma |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| Disconnection Charge | 404 | \$45.00 | \$25.00 | -\$8,072 | \$10,100 |
| Reconnection Charge | 398 | \$17.40 | \$20.00 | \$1,037 | \$7,960 |
| Reconnection Charge (After Hours) | 3 | \$80.00 | \$60.00 | -\$60 | \$180 |
| Returned Payment Charge | 31 | \$2.00 | \$15.00 | \$403 | \$465 |
| Service Call/Investigation | 10 | \$17.40 | \$21.00 | \$0 | \$210 |

²⁰ Case No. 2023-00284, Electronic Application of Montgomery County Water District No. 1 for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Mar. 5, 2024) at 10, citing Case No. 2020-00141, Electronic Application of Hyden-Leslie County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Nov. 6, 2020); Case No. 2020-00167, Electronic Application of Ohio County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 3, 2020); Case No. 2020-00196, Electronic Application of West Daviess County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 30, 2020); and Case No. 2020-00195, Electronic Application of Southeast Daviess County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 30, 2020).

²¹ Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 15, Nonrecurring Charge Cost Justification.

²². Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 15, Average Meter Connection Expense Cost Justification.

| Service Call/Investigation (After Hours) Meter Test Request | 3 2 | \$80.00 \$35.40 | \$61.00 \$21.00 | \$0 | \$183 \$42 |
|---|--------|--------------------|--------------------|-----|----------------------|
| Pro Forma Test Year NRC Revenue Less: Test Year NRC Revenue | | | | | \$19,140 \$25,882 |
| Adjustment | | | | | -\$6,742 |

In addition to its nonrecurring charges, Morgan District provided updated cost justification sheets for their Meter Tap-On Fees for its 3/4 x 5/8-Inch meter sizes. The updated cost justification sheets support a tap-on fee of \$1,255, which is a decrease from its current tap-on fee of \$1,450. Commission Staff reviewed the cost justification sheets²³ provided by Morgan District and recommends a decrease in its Meter Tap-On Fee as the revised cost justification supports a lower cost.

4. <u>Water Loss Surcharge.</u> In its tariff, Morgan District has an existing water loss surcharge of \$5.87 per meter per month.²⁴ The \$5.87 surcharge was established in Morgan District's last rate case²⁵ and an ongoing monitoring case was established to monitor revenues generated from the surcharge in June 2021.²⁶ On October 13, 2023, Morgan District filed a motion that stated it had recovered the full amount it was authorized and requested to terminate the surcharge.²⁷ The Commission granted the motion on

²³ Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 15, Average Meter Connection Expense Cost Justification.

²⁴ P.S.C. Ky. 3, Sheet No. 4 (issued July 17, 2022), effective July 17, 2022.

²⁵ Case No. 2020-00386, (Ky. PSC Nov. 24, 2021), Order.

²⁶ Case No. 2021-00206, Electronic Morgan County Water District's Unaccounted-For Water Loss Reduction Plan, Surcharge and Monitoring.

²⁷ Case No. 2021-00206, (filed Oct. 13, 2023), Motion to Terminate Surcharge, Item 1.

December 4, 2023.²⁸ Commission Staff does not recommend implementing a new surcharge at this time. As recommended above, Commission Staff strongly encourages Morgan District to study its system to identify the sources of unaccounted-for water loss. Further steps would be determined based on the results of Morgan District's system study and the overall structural integrity of it, but Morgan District will likely require additional debt in order to upgrade or improve its system. However, Morgan District also should focus on stabilizing rates and customer impact.

PRO FORMA OPERATING STATEMENT

Morgan District's Pro Forma Operating Statement for the year ended December 31, 2022, as determined by Commission Staff appears in the table below.

²⁸ Case No. 2021-00206, (Ky. PSC Dec. 4, 2023), Order at 3, ordering paragraph 2.

| | | | Commission | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| | | Proposed | Staff | Total | | |
| | Test Year | Adjusment | Adjustment | Adjustment | (Ref.) | Pro Forma |
| Operating Revenues | | | | | | |
| Total Metered Retail Sales | \$1,922,574 | \$ (32,431) | | \$ (32,431) | (A) | |
| | | (8,802) | | (8,802) | (B) | \$ 1,881,341 |
| Other Water Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Forfeited Discounts | | 32,431 | - | 32,431 | (A) | 32,431 |
| Other Water Revenue | 229,652 | (198,825) | | (198,825) | (C) | |
| | | | (6,742) | (6,742) | (D) | 24,085 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 2,152,226 | (207,627) | (6,742) | (288,033) | - | 1,864,193 |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance Expenses | | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages - Employees | 444,858 | 209,648 | - | 209,648 | (E) | |
| | | (32,805) | - | (32,805) | ` ' | 621,701 |
| Employee Pensions and Benefits | 293,806 | 33,265 | (11,532) | 21,733 | (G1) | |
| | | (53,127) | (23,759) | (76,886) | ` ' | |
| | | | 5,227 | 5,227 | (G3) | 243,880 |
| Purchased Water | 717,565 | (127,294) | 2,088 | (125,206) | (H) | 592,359 |
| Purchased Power | 59,385 | (10,535) | 173 | (10,362) | (H) | 49,023 |
| Materials and Supplies | 231,172 | (76,545) | - | (76,545) | ` ' | 154,627 |
| Contractual Services | 123,570 | (10,010) | 4,950 | 4,950 | (l) | 128,520 |
| Transportation Expenses | 58,562 | | 1,000 | 1,000 | (1) | 58,562 |
| Insurance - Gen. Liab. & Workers Comp | 22,319 | | | | | 22,319 |
| Insurance - Worker's Compensation | 6,175 | | | | | 6,175 |
| Advertising | 1,519 | | | | | 1,519 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 29,948 | | (8,957) | (8,957) | (J) | 20,991 |
| Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses | 1,988,879 | (57,393) | (31,810) | (89,203) | - | 1,899,676 |
| Depreciation Expense | 519,891 | (88,493) | 12,484 | (76,009) | (K) | |
| · | | · - | 3,198 | 3,198 | (L) | 447,080 |
| Amortization Expense | - | - | 16,453 | 16,453 | (M) | 16,453 |
| Taxes Other Than Income | 33,243 | 16,827 | - | 16,827 | (N) | 50,070 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 2,542,013 | (129,059) | 325 | (128,734) | - | 2,413,279 |
| Net Operating Income | (389,787) | (78,568) | (7,067) | (159,299) | | (549,086) |
| Nonutility Income | 353,443 | (353,443) | - | (353,443) | (O) | - |
| Interest Income | 72 | , , | | · - | | 72 |
| Income Available to Service Debt | \$ (36,272) | \$(432,011) | \$ (7,067) | \$ (512,742) | - | \$ (549,014) |

Commission

(A) <u>Reclassification of Forfeited Discounts</u>. In its application, Morgan District proposed a decrease of \$32,431²⁹ from Metered Water Sales Revenue, and a corresponding increase to Forfeited Discounts, stating that Late Payment Penalties in the test year were incorrectly reported with sales from metered water sales.³⁰ After review of

 $^{^{\}rm 29}$ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment A.

³⁰ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Reference, Adjustment A.

Morgan District's 2021 general ledger, Commission Staff agrees with the reclassification of the \$32,431 to Forfeited Discounts.

- (B) <u>Billing Analysis</u>. In its application, Morgan District reported total metered water sales revenue of \$1,922,574 for the test year in its Schedule of Adjusted Operations.³¹ Morgan District provided a billing analysis listing the water usage and water sales revenue for the 12-month test year³² and calculated the normalized Meter Retail Sales based on the usage during the test year using the rates authorized in its current tariff to be \$1,881,341 and proposed an adjustment to decrease test-year water sales revenue by \$8,802³³ to reflect the revenues from water rates generated by the billing analysis.³⁴ Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept the adjustments because the amounts are known and measurable.
- (C) Other Water Revenues Water Loss Surcharge Revenue Removal. In its application, Morgan District proposed to decrease Other Water Revenues by \$198,825³⁵ to reflect the removal of water loss surcharge collections.³⁶ During the test year, Morgan District reported collecting \$198,825 as revenues from water sales³⁷ that, according to

³¹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations.

³² Application, Attachment 5, 5_Current_Billing_Analysis.pdf, Current Billing Analysis.

³³ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment B.

³⁴ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment B.

³⁵ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment C.

³⁶ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment C.

³⁷ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Reference B.

the Uniformed System of Accounting, should be recorded as Contributions in Aid of Construction and credited to Account 271 – Contributions in Aid of Construction³⁸ and not included in Revenues. Commission Staff agrees with this adjustment, as it should not have been reported as Other Water Revenues. Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept the proposed adjustment.

- (D) Other Water Revenues Nonrecurring Charges. As discussed in the Nonrecurring Charges section above, the adjustments to the nonrecurring charges result in a decrease in Other Water Revenues of \$6,742.
- (E) <u>Salaries and Wages Employees</u>. In its application, Morgan District proposed an adjustment to increase Salaries and Wages by \$209,648,³⁹ to reflect the normalization of Employee Salaries.⁴⁰ Morgan District provided the test year employee list,⁴¹ test year overtime hours worked,⁴² current wage rates,⁴³ and a current employee list.⁴⁴ Subsequent to the test year, four employees left employment and five new

 $^{^{\}rm 38}$ Uniform System of Accounts for Class A/B Water Companies, at 83, Section 271 Contributions in Aid of Construction, Item A.

³⁹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment D.

⁴⁰ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment D.

⁴¹ Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 24, 24_Employee_Salaries_and_Wages-5-24.xlsx, Emp Sal & Wages -2022 Tab.

⁴² Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 5, Response_1-5_Rate_Study.xlsx, Adjustment Tab, Salaries & Wages and Associated Adjustments Table, Column J.

⁴³ Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 5, Response_1-5_Rate_Study.xlsx, Adjustment Tab, Salaries & Wages and Associated Adjustments Table, Column K.

⁴⁴ Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 5, Response_1-5_Rate_Study.xlsx, Adjustment Tab, Salaries & Wages and Associated Adjustments Table, Column H.

employees were hired.⁴⁵ During the hearing Morgan District reported that the five new employees were required.⁴⁶ Commission Staff calculated a Current Salaries and Wages – Employees amount of \$654,506, using the test year hours for employees and current wage rates. Commission Staff calculated a decrease of \$9,636, as shown in the following table. Morgan District normalized all full-time employees' hours worked to 2,080,⁴⁷ and provided the actual test year overtime hours worked for the employees still with Morgan District.⁴⁸

| | Normalized | Current | | Test-Year | Current | Pro Forma | Pro Forma |
|---|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Employee | Regular Hours | Normal Wage | Pro Forma | Overtime | Overtime | Overtime | Salaries and |
| Number | Worked | Rates | Normal Wages | Hours Worked | Wage Rates | Wages | Wages |
| Employee 1 | 2,080 | \$ 35.10 | \$ 73,008 | | \$ 52.65 | \$ - | \$ 73,008 |
| Employee 2 | 2,080 | 21.63 | 44,990 | 10.00 | 32.45 | 324 | 45,314 |
| Employee 3 | 2,080 | 21.63 | 44,990 | 12.00 | 32.45 | 389 | 45,379 |
| Employee 4 | 2,080 | 21.00 | 43,680 | 180.50 | 31.50 | 5,686 | 49,366 |
| Employee 5 | 2,080 | 21.00 | 43,680 | | 31.50 | - | 43,680 |
| Employee 6 | 2,080 | 21.00 | 43,680 | 72.20 | 31.50 | 2,274 | 45,954 |
| Employee 7 | 2,080 | 20.00 | 41,600 | 90.00 | 30.00 | 2,700 | 44,300 |
| Employee 8 | 2,080 | 19.23 | 39,998 | 12.50 | 28.85 | 361 | 40,359 |
| Employee 9 | 2,080 | 18.27 | 38,002 | | 27.41 | - | 38,002 |
| Employee 10 | 2,080 | 17.00 | 35,360 | 10.00 | 25.50 | 255 | 35,615 |
| Employee 11 | 2,080 | 17.00 | 35,360 | 3.50 | 25.50 | 89 | 35,449 |
| Employee 12 | 2,080 | 16.00 | 33,280 | | 24.00 | - | 33,280 |
| Employee 13 | 2,080 | 15.00 | 31,200 | | 22.50 | - | 31,200 |
| Employee 14 | 2,080 | 15.00 | 31,200 | | 22.50 | - | 31,200 |
| Employee 15 | 2,080 | 15.00 | 31,200 | | 22.50 | - | 31,200 |
| Employee 16 | 2,080 | 15.00 | 31,200 | | 22.50 | - | 31,200 |
| Total | | | \$ 642,428 | _ | | \$ 12,078 | 654,506 |
| Less: Test Ye | Less: Test Year Employee Salarries and Wages Expense | | | | | | |
| Total Salaries and Wages Adjustment | | | | | | | 209,648 |
| | District's Propos | | | | | | (209,648) |
| Commission Staff's Additional Adjustment \$ | | | | | | | |

 $^{^{\}rm 45}$ Morgan District's Post Hearing Brief at 6–7, Gateway Grant Program.

⁴⁶ Hearing Video Transcription (HVT) of the May 2, 2024 Hearing, Shannon Elam Testimony, 02:03:26.

⁴⁷ Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 5, Response_1-5_Rate_Study.xlsx, Adjustment Tab, Salaries & Wages and Associated Adjustments Table, Column I.

⁴⁸ Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 5, Response_1-5_Rate_Study.xlsx, Adjustment Tab, Salaries & Wages and Associated Adjustments Table, Column J.

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$209,648 increase to Salaries and Wages – Employees; to reflect the normalization of test year salaries at current rates.

(F) Expenses Related to Meter Installations. In its application, Morgan District proposed an adjustment to decrease Salaries and Wages – Employees by \$32,805,⁴⁹ and Materials and Supplies by \$76,545,⁵⁰ to account for tap fee expenses that were included as part of these expenses during the test year.⁵¹ The Uniform System of Accounts for Class A/B Water Systems (USoA) requires that these costs be capitalized as Utility Plant in Service and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.⁵² Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's proposed adjustment methodology. During the test year, Morgan District installed 74 new water connections,⁵³ 73 of which were 3/4-inch meters and 1 was a 1-inch meter and collected \$109,350⁵⁴ as shown in the following table.

⁴⁹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment E.

⁵⁰ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment E.

⁵¹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment E.

⁵² USoA, Accounting Instruction 19 and 33.

⁵³ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment E.

⁵⁴ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment E.

| | Number of New | | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Meter Size | Connections | Tariff Charge | Total |
| 3/4" meters | 73 | \$ 1,450 | \$ 105,850 |
| 1" meters | 1 | Actual Cost | 3,500 |
| Total | 74 | | \$ 109,350 |

Therefore, Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's proposed adjustments to decreased Salaries and Wages – Employee by \$32,805, and Materials and Supplies by \$76,545, as shown in the following table.

| | Sala | aries and | | |
|---|------|-----------|----|--------------|
| | W | ages - | Ma | aterials and |
| Description | Em | ployees | | Supplies |
| Tap Fees Collected | \$ | 109,350 | \$ | 109,350 |
| Allocated Percent | | 30% | | 70% |
| Total Proposed Adjustment | | (32,805) | | (76,545) |
| Less: Morgan District Proposed Adjustments () | | 32,805 | | 76,545 |
| Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustment | \$ | - | \$ | - |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Morgan District's \$32,805 decrease to Salaries and Wages – Employees and \$76,545 decrease to Materials and Supplies, to reflect the removal of expenses related to the installation of new water taps.

(G) <u>Employee Pensions and Benefits – Medical Insurance Premiums</u>. In its application, Morgan District proposed an adjustment to increase Employee Pensions and Benefits by \$33,265⁵⁵ to reflect Commission policy of limiting expenses for ratemaking purposes associated with providing employer contributions to employees' medical

⁵⁵ Application, Attachment 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, 4_SAO_With_ Attachments.pdf, Adjustment D.

insurance at a percentage comparable to the Bureau of Labor Statistics' report.⁵⁶ Morgan District currently pays 100 percent for employee health, dental, and vision insurance premiums.⁵⁷ The Commission continues to place greater emphasis on evaluating employees' total compensation packages, including both salary and benefits programs, for market and geographic competitiveness to ensure the development of a fair, just and reasonable rate.

The Commission has found that, in most cases, 100 percent of employer-funded health care does not meet those criteria. Consistent with precedent, Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's proposed methodology but calculated a different amount. Commission Staff adjusted Morgan District's single health insurance plan premiums contribution expense to 79 percent, and family insurance plan premiums contribution expense to 67 percent, as shown in the calculation below. Morgan District provided the most recent copy of its health, Dental, Vision, and Life insurance invoices. Accordingly, utilizing the most recent invoice amounts; Commission Staff calculated a net increase to

⁵⁶ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment D.

⁵⁷ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment F.

⁵⁸ Case No. 2020-00167, Electronic Application of Ohio County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 3, 2020); Case No. 2020-00296, Electronic Application of Allen County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Feb. 3, 2021).

⁵⁹ Case No. 2019-00053, *Electronic Application of Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation for a General Adjustment in Existing Rates* (Ky. PSC June 20, 2019), Order at 8–12.

⁶⁰ Bureau of Labor Statistics, Healthcare Benefits, March 2023, Table 3, private industry workers. (https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ebs2.pdf).

⁶¹ Bureau of Labor Statistics, Healthcare Benefits, March 2023, Table 4, private industry workers. (https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ebs2.pdf).

⁶² Morgan District's Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 20.

Employee Benefits of \$21,733 (G1), in order to account for both an increase in insurance premiums and a reduction for the average contribution based on the BLS statistics. Commission Staff's proposed adjustment is \$11,532 less than the adjustment proposed by Morgan District, as shown below.

| | | | Average | Monthly | Pro Forma | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | Number of Employer | | Employee | Premium | Monthly | |
| Type of Premium | Employees | Contributions | Contribution Rate | Adjustment | Premium | |
| Health Insurance - Single | 11 | \$ 8,953 | 21% | \$ (1,880) | \$ 7,073 | |
| Health Insurance - Family | 1 | 2,320 | 33% | (766) | 1,554 | |
| Vision Insurance | 14 | 137 | 0% | - | 137 | |
| Dental Insurance | 14 | 405 | 60% | (243) | 162 | |
| Life Insurance | 16 | 163 | 0% | - | 163 | |
| Total Pro Forma Monthly Premium | | 11,978 | | (2,889) | 9,089 | |
| Times: 12 Months | | 12 | | 12 | 12 | |
| Total Annual Pro Forma Premium | | \$ 143,736 | | \$ (34,668) | 109,068 | |
| Less: Test Year Insurance | | | | | (87,335) | |
| Employee Benefits Adjustment | | | | | 21,733 | |
| Less: Commission Staff Recommended Adjustment | | | | | | |
| Final Pro Forma Employee Benef | its Adjustmer | nt | | | \$ (11,532) | |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$21,733 increase to Employee Benefits; to reflect the change in insurance premiums and the reduction of Employee insurance employer contributions to the average contribution based on the BLS statistics.

<u>Employee Benefits – Retirement (CERS)</u>. In its application, Morgan District proposed to decrease Employee Pensions and Benefits by \$53,127,⁶³ to reflect Morgan District's current salaries multiplied by the current contribution rates.⁶⁴ Morgan District participates in the Kentucky Public Pensions Authority (KPPA) – CERS through the

 $^{\rm 64}$ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment G.

 $^{^{\}rm 63}$ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment G.

Morgan County Fiscal Court.⁶⁵ Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's methodology; however, as discussed in Adjustment (E), Commission Staff calculated a normalized Salaries and Wages – Employees' expense of \$654,506. In addition, the KPPA fiscal year 2025, Effective July 1, 2024, contribution rate is 19.71 percent.⁶⁶ Using the Salaries and Wages – Employees, of \$654,506 and the current contribution rate, Commission Staff calculated a CERS contribution of \$129,003, which is a decrease of \$76,886 (G2) to Morgan District's test year pension contribution amount of \$205,889. The proposed adjustment is \$23,759 more than Morgan District's proposed decrease of \$53,127, as shown in the following table.

| | Commission Staff | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Description | Α | djustment | | | |
| Salaries and Wages - Employees | \$ | 654,506 | | | |
| Multiplied by: Current CERS Contribution Rate | | 19.71% | | | |
| CERS Retirement- Employer Contribution | | 129,003 | | | |
| Less: 'Test Year Expense () | | (205,889) | | | |
| Employee Pensions and Benefits Adjustment | | (76,886) | | | |
| Less: Morgan District's Proposed Adjustment () | | 53,127 | | | |
| Commission Staff Proposed Adjustment | \$ | (23,759) | | | |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$76,886 decrease to Employee Benefits – Retirement to reflect the reduction in expenses due to changes in both contribution rate and Employee Salaries and Wages.

 $^{\rm 65}$ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment G.

⁶⁶ KPPA, GASB Contribution Rates (https://www.kyret.ky.gov/Employers/GASB/Pages/Contribution-Rates.aspx).

Other Net Employee Pensions and Benefits Adjustment. Commission Staff calculated total Pro Forma Employee Pensions and Benefits of \$243,880 as shown below.

| | F | Pro Forma | |
|---|----|-----------|-----|
| Employee Pensions and Benefits Components | | Amount | Ref |
| Pro Forma Medical Insurance Premiums | \$ | 109,068 | |
| Uniforms/ Boots | | 5,143 | |
| Clothing Allowances | | 666 | |
| Pro Forma Retirement - CERS | | 129,003 | |
| Pro Forma Employee Pensions and Benefits | | 243,880 | |
| Less: Test Year Employee Pensions and Benefits () | | (293,806) | |
| Net Adjustments | \$ | (49,926) | G |

As discussed above, Commission Staff calculated an increase of \$21,733 for Medical Insurance Premiums; and a decrease of \$76,886 for Retirement – CERS. An additional \$5,227 adjustment, in order to attempt to reconcile the Uniforms/ Boots and the Clothing Allowances from the test year to the pro forma as determined by Commission Staff's review,⁶⁷ as shown in the following table.

| Description | ı | Amount | Ref |
|---------------------------------------|----|----------|-----|
| Medical Insurance Premiums Adjustment | | 21,733 | G1 |
| Retirement - CERS Adjustment | | (76,886) | G2 |
| Other Employee Benefits Adjustment | | 5,227 | G3 |
| Net Adjustments | \$ | (49,926) | G |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's increase of \$5,227 to Employee Benefits; to reflect the reconciliation of the Uniforms/Boots and the Clothing Allowances from the test year to the pro forma.

⁶⁷ Commission Staff's Adjustment reflects the most accurate information related to the General Ledger that Commission Staff was able to determine based on Morgan District's incomplete information.

(H) Excess Water Loss. In its application, Morgan District proposed adjustments to decrease Purchased Water expense by \$127,294⁶⁸ and Purchased Power expense by \$10,535.⁶⁹ The adjustments are to reflect the expense for water loss in excess of 15 percent.⁷⁰ During the test year, Morgan District reported water loss of 32.4487 percent.⁷¹ As noted previously, Commission regulations do not permit the recovery of expenses for water loss in excess of 15 percent. In its application, Morgan District reported a test year Water Loss of 32.74 percent,⁷² Using the water loss percentage reported in the 2022 Annual Report; Commission Staff calculated a decrease of \$125,206 to Purchased Water expense, which is \$2,088 less than the \$127,294 proposed by Morgan District. Commission Staff also calculated a decrease of \$10,362 to Purchased Power Expense, which is \$173 less than the \$10,535 proposed by Morgan District, as shown in following table.

| | Ρ | urchased | Ρ | urchased | |
|--|----|-----------|----|----------|---------------|
| Description | | Water | | Power | Total |
| Pro Forma Expenses | \$ | 717,565 | \$ | 59,385 | \$ 776,950 |
| Water Loss in Excess of 15 Percent | | 17.4487% | | 17.4487% | 17.4487% |
| Cost of Excess Water Loss | | (125,206) | | (10,362) | (135,568) |
| Morgan District Proposed Adjustment () | | 127,294 | | 10,535 | 137,829 |
| Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustment | \$ | 2,088 | \$ | 173 | \$ 2,261 |

⁶⁸ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment H.

⁶⁹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment H.

⁷⁰ Application, Attachment 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, 4_SAO_With_ Attachments.pdf, References, Adjustment H.

^{71 2022} Annual Report at 57.

⁷² Application, Attachment 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, 4_SAO_With_ Attachments.pdf, References, Adjustment H.

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$125,206 decrease to Purchased Water and \$10,362 decrease to Purchased Power to reflect the reduction in expenses due to excess water loss above 15 percent.

(I) <u>Contractual Services</u>. In its Post Hearing Brief, Morgan District reported its billing software will expire or become obsolete in December of 2024.⁷³ Morgan District reviewed its current options for upgrades and provided the selected quote.⁷⁴ Commission Staff recommends including the annual support fee for the new billing software in Morgan District's Contractual Services. Morgan District reported the total annual cost for the software updates, toll-free phone support, and remote modem support will be \$4,950 annually.⁷⁵ Commission Staff proposed an adjustment to increase Contractual Services by \$4,950, as shown in the following table.

| Annual Billing Software Cost | Amount | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Billing Module | \$ | 2,700 | | | |
| Accounting Module | | 1,500 | | | |
| Mobile Work Order Application | | 750 | | | |
| Total Annual Cost | \$ | 4,950 | | | |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$4,950 increase to Contractual Services to reflect the inclusion of expenses related to Morgan District's new billing software.

(J) <u>Miscellaneous Expense – Charitable Contributions</u>. During the test year, Morgan District hosted a Fundraising golf tournament in partnership with CITGO Water

⁷³ Morgan District's Post Hearing Brief at 9.

⁷⁴ Morgan District's Post Hearing Brief, Exhibit 9: Billing Software information.

⁷⁵ Morgan District's Post Hearing Brief, Exhibit 9: Billing Software information at 2.

and One Foundation.⁷⁶ Morgan District also participated in a river cleanup event on June 11, 2022, and also provided shirts for the event. Commission Staff calculated the total expenses of \$7,406 for the golf tournament and \$1,551 for the river clean-up, as shown in the following table:

| Description | Amount | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--|--|
| MCWD Charity Golf Scramble | | | | |
| Hole In One Insurance | \$ | 181 | | |
| Boosting Facebook Post | | 10 | | |
| Greens Fees for Golf Tournament | | 2,000 | | |
| Hole Prizes for Golf Tournament | | 2,500 | | |
| Prize Money for Golf Tournament | | 2,250 | | |
| Boosting Facebook Post | | 21 | | |
| Reno's Roadhouse - Green Fees for Tournament | | 444 | | |
| sub-total | | 7,406 | | |
| Charitable Contribution - Ky River Clean-up | | | | |
| Food for River Clean-up | | 104 | | |
| Company Shirts for Girls and River Clean Up | | 1,447 | | |
| sub-total | | 1,551 | | |
| Total | \$ | 8,957 | | |

The Commission has consistently denied the inclusion of charitable contributions as an operating expense for rate-making purposes.⁷⁷ Therefore, Commission Staff removed these expenses from the Miscellaneous Expense, resulting in a decrease to Miscellaneous Expense of \$8,957. Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$8,957 decrease to Miscellaneous Expense.

⁷⁶ Morgan District's Response to Staff's First Request, Item 4, April 11th Board Meetings.

⁷⁷ Case No. 9061, Re Kentucky Power Company (filed Dec. 4, 1984).

(K) <u>Depreciation Expense.</u> In the application, Morgan District proposed a decrease to Depreciation Expense of \$88,493⁷⁸ to adjust the service lives of assets using the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) titled *Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities* (NARUC Study).⁷⁹ To evaluate the reasonableness of the depreciation practices of small water utilities, the Commission has historically relied upon the same NARUC Study published in 1979.⁸⁰ When no evidence exists to support a specific life that is outside the NARUC ranges, the Commission has historically used the midpoint of the NARUC ranges to depreciate the utility plant.⁸¹ Upon examination, Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's methodology to adjust depreciation expense. However, Commission Staff calculated a depreciation expense of \$443,882. Commission Staff found no evidence to support depreciable lives that vary significantly from the midpoint of the NARUC ranges. Therefore, Commission Staff reduced Morgan District's Depreciation Expense by \$76,009, which is \$12,484 less than the \$88,493 proposed by Morgan District, as shown in the following table:

⁷⁸ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment H.

⁷⁹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment H.

⁸⁰ Case 2023-00134, Electronic Application of North Marshall Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Dec. 22, 2023), Order at 30. Case 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024), Order at 36.

⁸¹ See Case No. 2020-00195, Electronic Application of Southeast Daviess County Water District for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Dec. 30, 2020), Order. Case 2023-00134 Electronic Application of North Marshall Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Dec. 22, 2023), Order at 30. Case 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. for an Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024), Order at 36.

| | Service Life | Test Year | Depreciation | Ρ | ro Forma |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|
| Categories | Range | Depreciation | Adjustment | De | preciation |
| Structure and Improvements | 35 - 40 | 814.80 | (379.80) | | 435.00 |
| Power Operated Equipment | 10 - 15 | 8,838 | (1,796) | | 7,042 |
| Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment | 15 - 20 | 5,925 | 12,673 | | 18,598 |
| Office Furniture and Equipment: | 20 - 25 | 3,333 | (2,296) | | 1,037 |
| Hydrants | 40 - 60 | 312 | 104 | | 416 |
| Reservoirs and Tanks | 30 - 60 | 651.15 | 217 | | 868 |
| Transportation Equipment | 7 | 36,829 | (1,305) | | 35,524 |
| Transmission and Distribution Mains | 50 - 75 | 462,511 | (85,882) | | 376,629 |
| Meters | 30 - 60 | 677 | 34,847 | | 3,333 |
| Total | | \$ 519,891 | \$ (43,818) | \$ | 443,882 |
| Less: Recorded Test Year Depreciation | n Expense () | | | | (519,891) |
| Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustm | | | | (76,009) | |
| Less: Morgan District's Proposed A | | | 88,493 | | |
| Total Depreciation Expense Adjustmen | nt | | | \$ | 12,484 |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$76,009 decrease to Depreciation Expense, to reflect the normalization of Depreciation Expense.

(L) <u>Depreciation Expense – Billing Software</u>. As discussed in Adjustment (I), Morgan District's billing software will become obsolete or expire in December 2024, therefore will require replacement. Morgan District provided the quote for the Utility Billing Module as well as the Accounting Module;⁸² the total cost for both the billing and accounting modules is \$31,975. Commission Staff proposes to include \$3,198 to the annual depreciation expense for the new Billing and accounting modules, as shown in the following table.

⁸² Morgan District's Post Hearing Brief, Exhibit 9: Billing Software information at 1.

| Description | Amount |
|---|--------------|
| Cost of Total Cost for Billing and Accounting Modules | \$ 31,975 |
| Divided by NARUC Deprecation Communication Equipment | 10 |
| Billing Software Depreciation | \$ 3,198 |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept Commission Staff's \$3,198 increase to Depreciation Expense, to reflect the annual depreciation of the Billing and Accounting modules.

(M) Amortization of Rate Case Expense. On August 15, 2024, Morgan District filed a motion requesting the Commission allow recovery of rate case expenses incurred as of July 31, 2024.⁸³ In the motion, Morgan District proposed an adjustment to increase Amortization Expense by \$16,453 to reflect the three-year amortization of the estimated rate case expense.⁸⁴ As a part of the Motion, Morgan District supplied copies of the invoices for the expenses incurred in the preparation of the rate case.⁸⁵

It is Commission precedent to amortize the cost of rate case assistance over three years in the absence of a different period requested by a utility;⁸⁶ therefore, Commission Staff agrees with amortizing the rate case expenses over a three-year period. Setting the amortization along the lines with the expected time between rate cases ensures regulatory assets are not over –or under- amortized. Commission Staff reviewed the invoices supplied and agrees with the proposed recovery of the \$49,360 over a three-year period, for an annual amortization expense of \$16,453. Commission Staff

⁸³ Motion to Recover Rate Case Expense, (filed Aug. 15, 2024), Item 6.

⁸⁴ Motion to Recover Rate Case Expense, Item 6.

⁸⁵ Motion to Recover Rate Case Expense, Appendix A.

⁸⁶ Case 2021-00475, Electronic Application of Carroll County Water District #1 for an Adjustment of Rates Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC June 28, 2022), Order at 9–10.

recommends the Commission agree with Morgan District's motion to allow the recovery of rate case expenses over a three-year amortization period.

(N) <u>Taxes other than Income – Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA)</u>. In its application, Morgan District proposed an adjustment to increase Taxes Other Than Income by \$16,827,87 to reflect the increase in payroll taxes due to the proposed increase in Salaries and Wages Expense.88 As explained in Adjustment (E) above, Commission Staff calculated Salaries and Wages – Employees of \$654,506. Therefore, Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's calculation for an increase to Taxes Other Than Income of \$16,827, as shown in the following table.

| Description | Amount |
|---|----------|
| Total Salaries and Wages | 654,506 |
| Times: 7.65 Percent FICA Rate | 7.65% |
| Total Taxes Other Than Income | 50,070 |
| Less: Test Year Taxes Other Than Income | (33,243) |
| Taxes Other Than Income Tax Adjustment | 16,827 |
| Less: Proposed Adustment | (16,827) |
| Commission Staff's Proposed Adjustment | \$ - |

Commission Staff recommends the Commission approve the adjustment to increase Taxes other than Income by \$16,827, because it is a direct result from changes to Salaries and Wages – Employees

⁸⁷ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Adjustment J.

⁸⁸ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, References, Adjustment J.

In its application, Morgan District proposed an (O) Nonutility Income: adjustment to reduce Nonutility Income by \$353,443,89 to reflect the removal of funds provided to Morgan District that were erroneously reported as part of nonutility income. 90 The funds are: (1) USDA gave Morgan District \$292,591 to fund the Old Hwy 12 Project - a water loss project that replaced sections of line that had multiple water leaks over the last few years; (2) In 2022 the Federal Emergence Management Agency (FEMA) gave Morgan District \$53,543 for damage caused by the 2021 ice storm; (3) Another payment from FEMA for \$709 for damage caused by the 2021 flood; and (4) An insurance reimbursement of \$6,600 was received to replace meters and meter setters that were stolen from Morgan District.91 These transactions are unusual transactions not expected to reoccur;⁹² and therefore should be removed from the test year. Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's proposed adjustment and decreased Nonutility Income by \$353,443. Commission Staff recommends the Commission accept the adjustment to remove unusual occurrences from the Pro Forma Nonutility Income.

⁸⁹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, Revenue Requirements Table, Adjustment L.

⁹⁰ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Requirements Table, References, Adjustment L.

⁹¹ Application, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Requirements Table, References, Adjustment L.

⁹² HVT of the May 2, 2024 Hearing, Robert Miller Testimony, 09:53:30.

OVERALL REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND REQUIRED REVENUE INCREASE

The Commission has historically applied a Debt Service Coverage (DSC) method to calculate the Overall Revenue Requirement of water districts and water associations.⁹³ This method allows for recovery of (1) cash-related pro forma operating expenses; (2) recovery of depreciation expense, a noncash item, to provide working capital;⁹⁴ (3) the average annual principal and interest payments on all long-term debts; and (4) working capital that is in addition to depreciation expense.

| | | Commission | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| Descriptio | Morgan District | Staff | |
| Pro Forma Operating Expenses | \$ 2,412,954 | \$ 2,413,279 | |
| Plus: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments | 249,358 | 249,388 | (1) |
| Plus: Additional Working Capital | 49,872 | 49,878 | (2) |
| Overall Revenue Requirement | 2,712,184 | 2,712,545 | • |
| Less: Other Operating Revenue | (30,827) | (24,085) | |
| Less: Interest Income | (72) | (72) | |
| Less: Forfeited Discounts | (32,431) | (32,431) | |
| Revenue Required from Rates | 2,648,854 | 2,655,957 | |
| Less: Pro Forma Present Rate Service Revenues | (1,881,341) | (1,881,341) | |
| Required Revenue Increase | \$ 767,513 | \$ 774,616 | |
| Percentage Increase | 40.80% | 41.17% | |

⁹³ Case No. 2022-00124, Electronic Application of Elkhorn Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Oct. 24, 2022); Case No. 2021-00475, Electronic Application of Carroll County Water District #1 for an Adjustment of Rates Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC June 28, 2022).

⁹⁴ The Kentucky Supreme Court has held that the Commission must permit a water district to recover its depreciation expense through its rates for service to provide internal funds for renewing and replacing assets. *See Public Serv. Comm'n of Kentucky v. Dewitt Water Dist.*, 720 S.W.2d 725, 728 (Ky. 1986). Although a water district's lenders require that a small portion of the depreciation funds be deposited annually into a debt reserve/depreciation fund until the account's balance accumulates to a required threshold, neither the Commission nor the Court requires that revenues collected for depreciation be accounted for separately from the water district's general funds or that depreciation funds be used only for asset renewal and replacement. The Commission has recognized that the working capital provided through recovery of depreciation expense may be used for purposes other than renewal and replacement of assets. See Case No. 2012-00309, *Application of Southern Water and Sewer District for an Adjustment in Rates Pursuant to the Alternative Rate Filing Procedure for Small Utilities* (Ky. PSC Dec. 21, 2012).

1. Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments. At the time of Commission Staff's review, Morgan District had three loans with United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development (RD),95 and two outstanding Kentucky Rural Water Finance Corporation (KRWFC) bonds. ⁹⁶ In its application, Morgan District requested recovery of the average annual principal and interest on its indebtedness based on an average of the annual principal, and interest and fee payments for the five years following the test year, which is 2024 through 2028.97 However, because the statutory date for a final Order to be issued in this proceeding is January 22, 2025, the 2024 debt service payments will be recovered through Morgan District's existing rates. Therefore, only the debt service payments that will be made after the new rates are placed into effect should be considered in determining Morgan District's Annual Principal and Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's proposed Interest Expense. methodology. However, when Commission Staff calculated the five-year Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments or the years 2025 through 2029, it calculated \$249,388, as shown in the following table.

⁹⁵ Case No. 2000-00492, The Application of Morgan County Water District for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Finance Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.023 (Ky. PSC Dec. 12, 2000). Case No. 2006-00204, The Application of Morgan County Water District for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Finance Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.023 (Ky. PSC May 31, 2006). Case No. 2008-00242, The Application of Morgan County Water District for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct, Finance and Increase Rates Pursuant to KRS 278.023 (Ky. PSC Jul. 29, 2008).

⁹⁶ Case No. 2016-00342, Application of Morgan County Water District to Issue Securities in the Approximate Principal Amount of \$1,320,000 for the Purpose Refunding Certain Outstanding Revenue Bonds of the District Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 And 807 Kar 5:001 (Ky. PSC Nov. 22, 2016). Case No. 2021-00476, Electronic Application of Morgan County Water District for Approval to Issue of Securities for the Purpose of Refinancing Certain High Interest Indebtedness (Ky. PSC Feb. 18, 2022).

⁹⁷ Application, Attachment 4, Attachment 4, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Revenue Requirements Table.

| | | CY 2 | 202 | 5 | | CY 2 | 202 | 6 | | CY 2 | 202 | 7 | | CY 2 | CY 2028 | | | | CY 2029 | | | | | |
|--|----|----------|-----|----------|----|-----------|-----|----------|---------|-----------|-----|----------|----|-----------|---------|---------|----|----------|---------|---------|--------|------|--|--|
| C.Y. | Pi | rincipal | | Interest | F | Principal | | Interest | F | Principal | | Interest | F | Principal | | nterest | Р | rincipal | | nterest | Tota | al | | |
| Series 2002 | \$ | 9,000 | \$ | 6,143 | \$ | 9,500 | \$ | 5,850 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 5,541 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 5,216 | \$ | 5,216 | \$ | 15,216 | \$ 81, | ,682 | | |
| Series 2006 | | 22,000 | _ | 30,071 | | 23,000 | | 29,164 | | 24,000 | | 28,215 | | 25,000 | | 27,225 | | 26,000 | | 26,194 | 260, | ,869 | | |
| Series 2008 | | 28,820 | • | 47,191 | | 28,820 | | 46,002 | | 31,250 | | 44,764 | | 32,540 | | 43,475 | | 33,880 | | 42,133 | 378, | ,875 | | |
| KRWFC Series 2016 | | 60,000 | | 37,762 | | 60,000 | | 35,062 | | 65,000 | | 32,262 | | 65,000 | | 29,662 | | 65,000 | | 27,062 | 476, | ,810 | | |
| KRWFC Series 2021 | | 6,500 | | 3,288 | | 6,500 | | 3,215 | | 6,500 | | 3,142 | | 6,500 | | 3,068 | | 7,000 | | 2,993 | 48, | ,706 | | |
| 5-Year Totals | \$ | 126,320 | \$ | 124,455 | \$ | 127,820 | \$ | 119,293 | \$ | 136,750 | \$ | 113,924 | \$ | 139,040 | \$ | 108,646 | \$ | 137,096 | \$ | 113,598 | 1,246, | ,942 | | |
| Divided by 5 years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | |
| Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments | | | | | | | | | \$ 249, | ,388 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2. Additional Working Capital. The DSC method, as historically applied by the Commission, includes an allowance for additional working capital that is equal to the minimum net revenues required by a district's lenders that are above its average annual debt payments. In its application, Morgan District requested recovery of an allowance for working capital that is equal to 120 percent of its average annual principal and debt payments at the time of its application for a total of \$49,872.98

Following the Commission's historic practice, ⁹⁹ Commission Staff agrees with Morgan District's methodology and determined an Additional Working Capital amount of \$49.878, as shown in the following table.

| Average Annual Principal and Interest | \$ 249,388 |
|---|---------------|
| Times: DSC Coverage Ratio | 120% |
| Total Net Revenues Required | 299,266 |
| Less: Average Annual Principal and Interest Payments () | (249,388) |
| Additional Working Capital | \$ 49,878 |

⁹⁸ Application, Attachment 4, Schedule of Adjusted Operations, 4_SAO_With_ Attachments, 4_SAO_With_Attachments.pdf, Revenue Requirements Table.

⁹⁹ Case No. 2022-00431, Electronic Application of Letcher County Water and Sewer District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant To 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Nov. 17, 2023). Case No. 2023-00154, Electronic Application of Harrison County Water Association, Inc. For An Alternative Rate Adjustment (Ky. PSC Jan. 11, 2024). Case No. 2023-00182, Electronic Application of Western Mason County Water District for a Rate Adjustment Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Ky. PSC Jan. 4, 2024).

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMISSION STAFF COMMENTS

Commission Staff has serious concerns about Morgan District's accounting records. During its review of the general ledger, Commission Staff experienced considerable difficulty tracing and reviewing transactions to determine their purpose and appropriateness for inclusion in Pro Forma calculations. Commission Staff recommends that the Commission encourage Morgan District evaluate the need for more extensive operational and functional management guidance in the form of Kentucky Rural Water assistance, either hiring or contracting a full-time accounting or financial management assistance and continuing to utilize of legal counsel who is experienced with Kentucky law for regulated utilities.

Signatures

/s/ William Coston for William Foley

Prepared by: William Foley Revenue Requirement Branch Division of Financial Analysis

/s/ Jason Green_

Prepared by: Jason Green Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2024-00010 DATED SEP 10 2024

| * Denotes Rounding | curring Charg | es Adjustments | • | | |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|----------|----------------------|--|
| | Disconnection | - | <u> </u> | | |
| | | Utility Revised Charge | | Staff Revised Charge | |
| Field Materials | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 4.00 | |
| Field Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | |
| Office Supplies | | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | |
| Office Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | |
| Transportation | \$ \$ \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 | |
| Misc. | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Total Revised Charge* | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 25.00 | |
| Current Rate | | \$45.00 | | | |
| Service (| | tion (After Hour | | | |
| | Utility R | Revised Charge | Staff R | evised Charge | |
| Field Materials | • | 40.00 | • | 40.00 | |
| Field Labor | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 40.00 | |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | |
| Office Labor | \$ \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | |
| Transportation | \$ \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 | |
| Misc. Total Revised Charge* | \$ | 101.00 | \$ \$ | 61.00 | |
| Current Rate | Ψ | \$80.00 | Ψ | 61.00 | |
| Current Rate | Matau Taat F | · | | | |
| | Meter Test R | • | Ctoff D | ariand Charge | |
| Field Materials | Othity R | Revised Charge | Stall R | evised Charge | |
| Field Labor | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | _ | |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 | |
| Office Labor | | 25.00 | \$ | - | |
| Transportation | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 | |
| Misc. | \$ \$ \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Total Revised Charge* | \$ | 96.00 | \$ | 21.00 | |
| Current Rate | | \$35.40 | | | |
| Recon | nection Charg | je (After Hours) | | | |
| | Utility R | Revised Charge | Staff R | evised Charge | |
| Field Materials | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Field Labor | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 40.00 | |
| Office Supplies | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| Office Labor | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | |
| Transportation | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 | |
| Misc. | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ <u>\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ </u> | - | \$ | - | |
| Total Revised Charge | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 60.00 | |
| Current Rate | | \$80.00 | | | |

| | Doconnoction | Chargo | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Reconnection | evised Charge | Staff D | evised Charge |
| Field Materials | \$ | evised Charge | \$ | evised Charge |
| Field Labor | \$ \$ | 25.00 | \$ \$ | _ |
| Office Supplies | \$ \$ | 25.00 | \$ \$ | |
| Office Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ \$ | _ |
| Transportation | \$ | 20.00 | \$ \$ | 20.00 |
| Misc. | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| Total Revised Charge | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| Current Rate | \$ | 17.40 | <u> </u> | |
| | Returned Chec | k Charge | | |
| | Utility R | evised Charge | Staff Re | evised Charge |
| Field Materials | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Field Labor | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Office Supplies | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Office Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - |
| Transportation | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Misc. (Bank Charge) | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 15.00 |
| Total Revised Charge | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 15.00 |
| Current Rate | \$ | 2.00 | | |
| | Service Call/Inv | estigation | | |
| | _ | | evised Charge | |
| Field Materials | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Field Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 1.00 |
| Office Labor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - |
| Transportation | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| Misc. | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total Revised Charge* | \$ | 71.00 | \$ | 21.00 |
| Current Rate | \$ | 17.40 | | |

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TO COMMISSION STAFF'S REPORT OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2024-00010 DATED SEP 10 2024

The following rates and charges are recommended by Commission Staff based on the adjustments in Commission Staff's Report for the customers in the area served by Morgan County Water District. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same.

Monthly Water Rates

| 5/8- x 3/4-Ind | ch Meter | | | |
|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| First | 2,000 | gallons | \$ 44.97 | Minimum bill |
| Next | 3,000 | gallons | 0.016 | 669 per gallon |
| Next | | gallons | | 550 per gallon |
| Next | | gallons | | 432 per gallon |
| All Over | | gallons | | 309 per gallon |
| 1-Inch Meter | | | | |
| First | | gallons | \$ 95.41 | Minimum bill |
| Next | | gallons | · | 550 per gallon |
| Next | | gallons | | 132 per gallon |
| All Over | | gallons | | 309 per gallon |
| All Over | 15,000 | galions | 0.01 | bus per gallon |
| 2-Inch Meter | | | | |
| First | | gallons | \$ 242.71 | Minimum bill |
| All Over | 15,000 | | 0.013 | 309 per gallon |
| | | | | |
| 6-Inch Meter | | | • | |
| First | 100,000 | | • • | Minimum bill |
| All Over | 100,000 | gallons | 0.013 | 309 per gallon |
| | | | | |
| 4-Inch Meter | Wholesal | e | \$0.006 | 638 per gallon |
| | | | 4 0.000 | gen per gemen |
| | | | Nonrecurring Charges | |
| Disconnection | n Charge | | \$25.0 | 20 |
| Reconnectio | | | \$20.0 | |
| Reconnectio | | (After Ho | | |
| 1 COOTH COU | ii Silaige | (, 11101 1 101 | φου.(| <i>5</i> 0 |

\$15.00

Returned Payment Charge

| \$21.00 |
|--------------------|
| \$21.00 \$61.00 |
| |

Tap On Fee $- 3/4 \times 5/8$ -Inch Meter \$1,255.00

*Morgan County Water District 1009 Hwy 172 West Liberty, KY 41472

*L. Allyson Honaker Honaker Law Office, PLLC 1795 Alysheba Way Suite 1203 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40509

*Robert K. Miller Straightline Kentucky LLC 113 North Birchwood Ave. Louisville, KENTUCKY 40206

*Brittany H. Koenig Honaker Law Office, PLLC 1795 Alysheba Way Suite 1203 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40509

*Heather Temple Honaker Law Office, PLLC 1795 Alysheba Way Suite 1203 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40509

*John Coffey General Manager Morgan County Water District 1009 Hwy 172 West Liberty, KY 41472

*Mark Frost Montgomery County Water District #1 4412 Camargo Road Mt. Sterling, KY 40353