

DONALD ROBERTS

COMPLIANTANT

V

DEXTER-ALMO

WATER DISTRICT

DEFENDANT

RECEIVED

SEP 09 2021

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

CASE NO: 2021-00319

RESPONSE

I RECEIVED WATER DISTRICTS RESPONSE

ON 3 SEPTEMBER 2021

I, DONALD ROBERTS, RESPOND TO THE DISTRICTS RESPONSE

TO WIT:

THERE ISN'T A SINGLE COMPLAINT THAT THE WATER METER WAS **DEFECTIVE** AS STATED BY THE ALLEDGED LAWYER RESPONSE.

NOT ONCE DID I EVER CLAIM SUCH.

2) THIS LAWYER REFERENCED THAT THE DISTRICT ***DID, IN FACT, ON A REGULAR BASIS INSPECT THE METER AND/OR PIT TO DETERMINE IF LEAKAGE WAS OCURRING.***

THIS LAWYER **PURPOSELY HAS MISLEAD THIS COURT AND/OR COMMISSION ABOUT SUCH ACTION BEING ACCOMPLISHED.**

WHY---ONE CAN SPEW MANY WORDS IN ANY LEGAL PROCEEDING BUT THIS INDIVIDUAL IN A LAYMAN'S WORLD HAS **FLAT OUT LIED** ABOUT SUCH ACTION.

HOW---NO DOCUMENTATION, OTHER THAN WORDS WRITTEN ON PAPER CLAIM SUCH, THEREFORE, PURE B.S. OR SPECULATION.

I'M RETIRED/DISABLED AND SPEND THE VAST MAJORITY OF MY TIME AT HOME DAILY. I BASICALLY LIVE ON A DEAD END ROAD (YES IT GOES AROUND THE BLOCK BUT STILL I'M THE LAST RESIDENCE) I SIT IN MY CHAIR WHERE I HAVE A **CLEAR VIEW OF ALL INCOMING/OUTGOING TRAFFIC**. I HAVE NEVER, EVER SEEN ANYONE COME AND INSPECT SAID AREA AND MATTER OF FACT IS THE VERY FIRST TIME THIS WAS EVER BROUGHT OUT IN THE OPEN. HENCE, ANOTHER FABRICATED ACT OF **MISINFORMATION**.

3) I HAVE NEVER, IN THE COMPLIANT, EXPRESSED THAT THE **METER WAS**

A) DEFECTIVE

B) BROKEN

WHAT I CLAIMED WAS THIS FACT AS OUTLINED IN THE COMPLAINT-----

THAT IT IS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO USE ANYWHERE FROM 2,500--4,500 GALLONS OF WATER IN A MONTHS TIME FRAME.

APPARENTLY, COUNSEL FOR THE DISTRICT IS WELL VERSED IN PROVIDING MISINFORMATION; LIES; FABRICATIONS OR FLAT OUT IGNORANCE OF ACTUAL COMPLAINT.

4) I DID CLEARLY STATE A CLAIM---HOW

BY SHOWING AN EVER INCREASING AMOUNT OF WATER USAGE MONTHLY THAT THIS SO-CALLED LAWYER EITHER FLAT REFUSED TO ADDRESS OR DOESN'T KNOW AN IOTA TO THE REALITY OF THE COMPLAINT SINCE AN **UNDERLINING PERSON INVESTIGATED, GATHERED DOCUMENTS AND WROTE THE RESPONSE**

SIMPLY PUT---HAS SKEWERED THE FACTS.

THIS LAWYER WANTS THIS ACTION **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.**

BY SEEKING TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE WOULD IN ALL REALITY DENY ME FUTURE DUE PROCESS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE(S)

SURROUNDING ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH.

UNLESS A NEW DIGITAL METER IS INSTALLED NOT ONLY AT WATER CONNECTION BUT WITHIN THE WATER LINE WITHIN THE HOUSING STRUCTURE----THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER THEN WILL NEVER BE KNOWN

THIS IS CALLED A POSSIBLE COVER=UP FOR FRAUD.

CHANGING THE METER ON OR ABOUT 26 AUGUST 2021 DOESN'T RECTIFY NOR DOES NOT ADDRESS VIA A LOGICAL EXPLANATION AS TO HOW I'VE BEEN UTILIZING OVER 4,000 GALLONS MONTHLY.

ALL IT DOES IS START THE PROCESS OF POSSIBLE FRAUD TO START AGAIN.

MY NEIGHBOR RECIEVES THE SAME BILL MONTHLY SINCE I PHYSICALLY MOVED IN ON NOVEMBER 1, 2019-----HIS BILL INDICATES ONLY 600 GALLONS MONTHLY.

HE EXPRESSED TO ME HE WASHES 2 X WEEKLY, SHOWERS ON A REGULAR BASIS AND HE HAS 2 BATHROOMS.

PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW HIS BILL REMAINS THE SAME.

MY THEORY---HIS METER AIN'T BEING READ.

I ASKED FOR A **DIGITAL** METER BE INSTALLED NOT ONLY OUTSIDE BUT WITHIN THE WATER LINE INSIDE TO PROVIDE A MORE THAN ACCURATE ACCOUNT OF WATER USAGE.

THIS ISSUE WAS IGNORED BY COUNSEL FOR THIS DISTRICT THEREBY MISLEADING THIS BODY INTO BELIEVING THAT I'M A LIAR.

I HEREBY DEMAND, NOT REQUEST, THAT MY METER BE READ 2 X DAILY ----ONCE IN THE MORNING AND APPROXIMATELY 8-10 HOURS LATER.

NOTE: I READ THE METER APPROXIMATELY 6:30 am & 6:30 pm

SINCE NEW METER WAS INSTALLED HERE ARE THOSE READING

AUGUST

27--27 GALLONS @ 9:00 am

28--39 GALLONS @ 7:00am 45 GALLONS @ 6:30 pm USAGE 6
GALLONS

29--50 GALLONS @ 7:00am 60 GALLONS @ 6:30 pm USAGE 10
GALLONS

30--65 GALLONS @ 7:00 am NO READING

31--NO READING 83 GALLONS @ 7:00 pm

SEPTEMBER

1--NO READING 90 GALLONS @ 7:00 pm

2--94 GALLONS @ 6:30am 105 GALLONS @ 6:00pm USAGE
11 GALLONS

3--111 GALLONS @ 6:00am 117 GALLONS @ 6:30pm USAGE 6
GALLONS

4--124 GALLONS @ 7:00am 128 GALLONS @ 5:30pm USAGE 4
GALLONS

5--135 GALLONS @ 9:00am 140 GALLONS @ 7:00pm USAGE 5
GALLONS

6--145 GALLONS THIS RESPONSE WAS WRITTEN ON SEPTEMBER
6 BEFORE NIGHTLY READING

NOW, I ASK, NOT ONLY THE LAWYER FOR THE DISTRICT, BUT THE
DISTRICT ITSELF TO EXPLAIN HOW I'M ONLY AVERAGING 10
GALLONS A DAY(OH IN THE ADUIT REPORTS SHOWING 4,500
GALLONS OF USE DAILY AVERAGE WOULD BE 150 GALLONS USED).
AFTER THEY INSTALLED A NEW METER ON 26 AUGUST 2021. THIS
WILL HELP CLAIRIFY CONFUSION AND OR POSSIBLE FRAUD IN

THE READING OF THE METER WHICH IN THE PREMISE OF THE COMPLAINT AS WELL.

I'LL BE WAITING FOR A SOLID, LOGICAL EXPLANATION WHICH I TRULY BELIEVE WILL NEVER BE FORTHCOMING DUE TO LIES, DECIET, DECEPTION SKEWING FACTS AND MISINFORMATION TO HAVE COMPLAINT DISMISSED.

THIS WAY--A MORE ACCURATE READING WILL OCCUR; SHOW THE ACTUAL AMOUNT OF DAILY WATER USAGE AND HOPEFULLY ELIMINATE POSSIBLE FRAUD IN THE FUTURE.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT EVENTUALLY I'LL BE USING 10 THOUSAND GALLONS MONTHLY AT THE RATE THIS WATER DISTRICT OPERATES.

THIS MATTER SHOULD NOT BE CLOSED UNTIL OUTSIDE EXPERTS ARE APPOINTED AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE SO-CALLED CERTIFIED TECHNICAN FOR THE DISTRICT AND/OR THE MANUFACTURER OF SAID METER, BUT A TOTALLY INDEPENDENT, NON-BIASED EXPERT IN THE FIELD THAT I MUST APPROVE OF PRIOR TO ANY APPOINTMENT.

IN ORDER TO RESOLVE THIS QUAGMIRE, MAYBE THE LAWYER FOR THE DISTRICT SHOULD START BY USING THE VERY BASIC TOOLS AT HIS DISPOSAL AND WHAT HE/SHE LEARNED NOT ONLY IN ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE OR LAW SCHOOL ---WHAT WOULD THAT BE--- READ THE DAMN DOCUMENTS ONESELF AND QUIT LYING, DECIEVING, MISLEADING BY PROVIDING SKEWED INFORMATION THAT PUTS THE LAWYER AND/OR DISTRICT IN THE BEST OF LIGHT.

I REALIZE A LAWYERS ACTUAL JOB ISN'T TO PRESENT THE TRUE FACTS, BUT TO MISALIGN THEM IN ORDER TO GET THEIR CLIENT THE MOST FAVORABLE REULTS.

CONCLUSION

-5-

I, DONALD ROBERTS, NEVER STATED METER WAS 1) BROKEN; 2) DEFECTIVE. THE DISTRICTS LAWYER MANUFACTURED SUCH NON-SENSE TO DISTRACT THE COMMISSION FROM THE ACTUAL COMPLAINT----BEING---

IT'S VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO UTILIZE 4 THOUSAND 5 HUNDRED GALLONS IN A MONTH WITHOUT AN EXPERTS OPINION AS TO HOW & WHY.

UNTIL THIS PORTION OF THE COMPLAINT IS ADDRESSED IN A TRUTHFUL MANNER THIS COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR ANY LOGICAL REASON EXCEPT MAYBE TO MAKE THE LAWYER FEEL BETTER INTO BELIVING HE/SHE AGAIN HAS SCREWED A COMMONER AND TO BOLSTER ONE'S EGO AND MAKE EVERYONE TRULY HAPPY WITHIN THE WATER DISTRICTS OFFICE

I REPEAT THIS MATTER SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED----

ESPECIALLY WITH PREJUDICE.


OATH

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTIES OF PERJURY, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE & BELIEF AND REFLECTS ALL RESPONSES THE DISTRICT LAWYER PRESENT IN A SKEWED FASHION.

CERTIFICATE

A TRUE COPY HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE DISTRICTS LAWYER VIA UNITED STATES POSTAL SYSTEM THIS 7th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021

DONALD ROBERTS


112 O'BRYAN CIRCLE

ALMO, KY 42020