

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2017

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

In the matter of:

ROGER W. PARRY
(Your Full Name)
COMPLAINANT
VS.
KENTUCKY AMERICAN WATER
(Name of Utility)
DEFENDANT

Case No. 2017-00452

COMPLAINT

The complaint of ROGER W. PARRY respectfully shows:
(Your Full Name)

(a) ROGER W. PARRY
(Your Full Name)

3415 WOODSIDE WAY, LEXINGTON, KY 40502-3344
(Your Address)

(b) KENTUCKY AMERICAN WATER
(Name of Utility)

2300 RICHMOND RD., LEXINGTON, KY 40502
(Address of Utility)

(c) That: PLEASE SEE ATTACHED
(Describe here, attaching additional sheets if necessary,

the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts that are the reason

and basis for the complaint.)

Continued on Next Page

Roger Parry
Complainant

Attachment under section (c) of Complaint dated November 27, 2017

(c) That:

This complaint concerns my June – July 2017 and July – August 2017 bills from the Kentucky American Water company.

I received my June-July 2017 water bill on July 15, 2017; the amount was \$659.94. On Monday, July 17, 2017, I visited the Kentucky American water office to inquire about the high billing amount. A technician came to inspect my water meter during the week of July 24, 2017 and confirmed that the meter was operating normally and could not explain why the bill was so high (a 13,100% increase over my bill from June 2016). At my request, Kentucky American Water replaced my meter (number 90739475) on July 25, 2017. A subsequent inspection of my water lines on August 9, 2017 by Right On Leak and Line Inspection (phone 859-619-5589, ticket #1827) confirmed that there were no leaks on my water system.

My complaint is that I believe that Kentucky American Water failed to comply with its obligations under Kentucky Public Service Commission Number 6, Rule 20, Monitoring of Customer Usage (C) 1 through (C) 4. While Kentucky American Water did notify me on July 12, 2017 of the increase in my water bill, as required under (C) 3, there was no indication that the meter was re-read before my account was billed, as required under (C) 2. As such, I am questioning the meter reading process itself.

A review of my water bills since October 2015 indicates a number of nearly identical readings with occasional spikes prior to the major spikes between June – August 2017. Specifically, between December 2016 and April 2017 my water bill reflected a 250% increase – increasing to 5 units in April 2017 – after three consecutive months of 2 units of usage. I paid these bills, but then noted that my April 2017 and May 2017 bills dropped inexplicably to 1 unit each. When the June 2017 bill indicated my usage had increased from 1 unit to 132 units, I immediately contacted the water company.

I have seen no evidence that my meter was actually read, and not just estimated, in April and May 2017. I find it difficult to understand how my usage of 1 unit was identical during April and May 2017, following an inexplicable spike between February and March 2017 (from 2 units to 5 units). The below table summarizes the issue:

ROGER W. PARRY
NOVEMBER 27, 2017

Billing Period Start	Billing Period End	Billed Consumption (Units)
Dec. 2, 2016	Jan. 3, 2017	2
Jan. 4, 2017	Feb. 1, 2017	2
Feb. 2, 2017	March 1, 2017	2
March 2, 2017	April 3, 2017	5
April 4, 2017	May 1, 2017	1
May 2, 2017	June 1, 2017	1
June 2, 2017	July 3, 2017	132
July 4, 2017	Aug. 1, 2017	83

If a problem with the meter had begun to occur in March 2017 and continued until I became aware of its severity in July 2017 (June 2017 bill), a pattern would have been apparent on the April 2017 bill and could have been investigated before it became so severe. In fact, the drop from 5 units to 1 unit on the April 2017 and May 2017 bills led me to believe that there was no problem. Given that I was charged 1 unit each for these two months – which is the same that I was charged for these months in 2016 – I am led to believe that the April 2017 and May 2017 bills were based on estimates rather than actual readings.

To illustrate the significance of these bills, if my June 2017 bill was correct, I would have had to have consumed an average of 3,085 gallons per day (128 gallons per hour) for the entire billing period. Similarly, if my July 2017 bill was correct, I would have had to have consumed an average of 2,956 gallons per day (123 gallons per hour) for the entire billing period.

As I live alone, do not have an in-ground sprinkler system or swimming pool, and have confirmed that I did not have leaks during these billing periods, I cannot agree to pay the amounts billed. However, I have continued to make monthly payments on all bills received, all of which have been in excess of the normally expected billing (based on historical amounts billed).

ROGER W. PARRY
NOVEMBER 27, 2017

Formal Complaint

ROGER W. PARRY vs. KENTUCKY AMERICAN WATER

Page 2 of 2

Wherefore, complainant asks PLEASE SEE ATTACHED
(Specifically state the relief desired.)

Dated at LEXINGTON, Kentucky, this 27 day
(Your City)

of NOVEMBER, 2017.
(Month)

Roger W. Parry
(Your Signature*)

(Name and address of attorney, if any)

Date

*Complaints by corporations or associations, or any other organization having the right to file a complaint, must be signed by its attorney and show his post office address. No oral or unsigned complaints will be entertained or acted upon by the Commission.

Roger Parry
Complainant

Attachment under section (c) of Complaint dated November 27, 2017

Wherefore, complainant asks that the following proposed relief be accepted:

Billing amounts for the periods of June 2, 2017 through July 3, 2017 (\$659.94), and July 4 – 24, 2017 (\$420.54) be waived, and below payments be accepted:

Billing Period (2017)	Billing Date	Amount Billed	Amount Paid
June 2 through July 3	July 11	659.94	\$50.00
July 4 through August 1	August 2	\$420.54	\$25.00
August 2 through September 1	September 6	\$16.51	\$16.51
September 2 through October 2	October 3	\$17.18	\$17.18
October 3 through November 1	November 2	\$17.37	\$17.27

ROGER W. PARRY
NOVEMBER 27, 2017