COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF BIG SANDY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION FOR A GENERAL ADJUSTMENT OF EXISTING RATES

CASE NO. 2017-00374

NOTICE OF FILING

Notice is given to all parties that the following materials have been filed into the record of this proceeding:

- The digital video recording of the evidentiary hearing conducted on March 27, 2018 in this proceeding;

- Certification of the accuracy and correctness of the digital video recording;

- All exhibits introduced at the evidentiary hearing conducted on March 27, 2018 in this proceeding;

- A written log listing, *inter alia*, the date and time of where each witness’ testimony begins and ends on the digital video recording of the evidentiary hearing conducted on March 27, 2018.

A copy of this Notice, the certification of the digital video record, hearing log, and exhibits have been served upon all persons listed at the end of this Notice. Parties desiring to view the digital video recording of the hearing may do so at

https://psc.ky.gov/av_broadcast/2017-00374/2017-00374_27Mar18_Inter.asx.
Parties wishing an annotated digital video recording may submit a written request by electronic mail to pscfilings@ky.gov. A minimal fee will be assessed for a copy of this recording.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of April 2018.

_______________________________
Gwen R. Pinson
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF BIG SANDY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION FOR A GENERAL ADJUSTMENT OF EXISTING RATES

CASE NO. 2017-00374

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that:

1. The attached DVD contains a digital recording of the hearing conducted in the above-styled proceeding on March 27, 2018. The Hearing Log, Exhibit List and Witness List are included with the recording on March 27, 2018.

2. The digital transcription was prepared by Pam Hughes and later reduced to digital recording by Kathy Gillum at the undersigned’s direction.

3. To the best of my knowledge, the “Hearing Log” attached to this Certificate accurately and correctly states the events that occurred at the hearing of March 27, 2018 and the time at which each occurred.

Given this 2 day of April, 2018.

Nancy J. Vinsel
Assistant General Counsel

Commonwealth of Kentucky
County of Franklin

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me by Nancy J. Vinsel on this the ___ day of April, 2018.

My commission expires: Sept 3, 2021
ID # 584704

Notary Public, State at Large
Session Report - Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Time</th>
<th>Log Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:21:17 AM</td>
<td>Session Started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:21:19 AM</td>
<td>Session Paused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:58:51 AM</td>
<td>Session Resumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:58:53 AM</td>
<td>Chairman Schmitt preliminary remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:59:09 AM</td>
<td>Intro of Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vice Chairman Cicero and Commissioner Mathews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:59:18 AM</td>
<td>Case No. 2017-00374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Big Sandy R.E.C.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:59:28 AM</td>
<td>Introduction of Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark David Goss and Evan Buckley for Big Sandy, Quang Nguyen for PSC,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00:08 AM</td>
<td>Public Notice filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00:17 AM</td>
<td>No Public Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00:35 AM</td>
<td>No Outstanding Motions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00:53 AM</td>
<td>Atty Goss calls Witness Sexton to the stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sworn in by the chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:01:27 AM</td>
<td>Atty Goss directs Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adopts his testimony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bobby Sexton, Intern General manager and President of Big Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:02:18 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding the years he has been at Big Sandy in previous years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Last rate case in 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic conditions in service territory and how it effected the customer base.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:03:54 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding the drop in customer base and what is driving this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:04:49 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding decrease in energy consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:05:38 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding direct testimony, page 5. Increases of operational expenses of the company. Depreciation expense and what is causing this expense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:06:49 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding the type of cost containment items mentioned on page 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health insurance premiums and other similar measures explanation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:08:59 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding decrease in annual labor costs. Reduction in number of employees and contractors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:09:55 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding him being on a one year contract or discussions of extending that. No later than the end of this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:11:16 AM</td>
<td>Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Hughes, Pam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regarding capital credit policy of Big Sandy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Created by JAVS on 4/2/2018
Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding the problems he had with the application.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Application, page 6. Regarding 2017-00171 initial rate adjustment that was withdrawn. Witness started right as it was submitted and wasn't satisfied with it.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Direct testimony, page 6. The initial rate case was withdrawn but these two men's input were used, so they felt they needed to pay them for what they had done. Why is it reasonable for these men's expenses to be included in the rate case now.

Note: Hughes, Pam

John Wolfram's exhibit in his testimony. Page 26 of 38 JW-2. There was a revised one but it was the same as original. Itemizations for rate case expenses.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding a study that has been done on fixed charge on low income customers. Increase from residential customer charge is 15.00 proposing to increase that to 21.25

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding study to know if the low income customers use more or less than average energy.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding comparison of proposed customer charge of surrounding utilities. Defers to Mr Wolfram.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding information with respect to what other utilities have as a level of customer charge.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding if he would be final decision maker of increasing the customer charge from 15.00 to 21.25. Was this based on COS done?

Atty Nguyen hands out PSC exhibit 1

Note: Hughes, Pam

Response from KY Power to AG's DR1 in Case No. 2017-00179.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Challenge for the service territory to increase the residential rates to what they want.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Served by the TVA in western part of the state.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding PSC exhibit 1. Customer charge for Ky Power Co., page 2 shows the list of comparisons of residential customer rates among a number of utilities.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding that only two utilities on this list have a greater rate than proposed by Big Sandy.

Atty Nguyen moves to have this exhibit put in the record.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Atty Goss doesn't object but doesn't admit to the correctioness of the document.

VC cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Last rate case he was in was in 2005. There was another rate case in 2012 but Witness not involved in that one.

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding the declining customer base and load. 2013 to present seems to be same.

VC cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding employee participation in healthcare costs and what their costs will be. It will be done in tiers in 3 years.

VC cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding Dental coverage at 100% coverage for 9 employees. This is just for single coverage.

VC cross of Witness Sexton

Note: Hughes, Pam

Regarding the overall health of the Big Sandy system.
Note: Hughes, Pam
Plans for cost containment

Note: Hughes, Pam
PSC staff exhibit 1. Look at the chart on 2nd page. Grayson RECC service charge is 15.00, next energy charge is 0.1091 cents. Big Sandy present is 15.00, energy is a little less than 2 cents than Grayson. Continues to go over other utilities charges on the exhibit.

Note: Hughes, Pam
Mr. Worley wrote a letter as a comment in this case. Two public comments, one was resident of Morgan Co and Mr. Worley lives in Danville.

Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding coal companies and other companies in the service territory. Largest is Highlands Regional Hospital in Prestonsburg.

Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding counties they operate in. Some of the poorest counties.

Atty Buckley calls Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Sworn in by the Chairman

Atty Buckley directs Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Billy Frasure, Accounting and Financing Manager. Adopts his testimony and responses.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Exhibit BF-2, page 1 of 2. Capital credits and objectives.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding Capital credit policy. 1.8 tier. Last time they met that was in 2012.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Timing of Big Sandy to undertake to exhaust those credits.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Reads the bottom section of page in direct testimony. Donated capital.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Commission staff's 1st DR. Big Sandy's response. Item 4, page 5 of 15. Average costs per mile line. Explain why Big Sandy's cost is more than others. Witness refers to page 8 of 15 for comparison.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Page 5 of 15 in direct testimony. Increase since 2011. Right of way costs increase.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Page 5 of Mr. Sexton's direct testimony. Regarding the increase in depreciation since 2012.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Page 6 of Witnesses direct testimony. Increase in since 2013 due to plant assets.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Direct testimony, page 11. Supplemental performance process for management staff. What is status? Incentive pay or bonus pay is being discontinued.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
PHDR - savings by Big Sandy quantified by dollar expense.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Direct testimony, page 12. Regarding cost containment measures to avoid rate increase.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Direct testimony, Bottom of page 12, regarding Big Sandy's tier. 2017 actual tier was 0.36. Significant drop, primary was lack of sales and weather.
Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding if Big Sandy is in jeopardy of defaulting ?? 2015 tier was in excess and met tier. Critical for Big Sandy to get some rate relief.

Atty Nguyen cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding the rate design. Defers to Mr. Wolfram

VC cross of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding the capital credits and procedure from an accounting standpoint.
Note: Hughes, Pam
PHDR for procedure how it goes into undonated??? Quantified

Atty Buckley re direct of Witness Frasure
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding the terrain of Big Sandy service territory.

Witness excused
10:12:20 AM
Calls John Wolfram to the stand
Note: Hughes, Pam
Sworn in by the Chairman

Atty Goss direct of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Retained by Big Sandy to do a COS and ??? . Adopts his testimony.
Note: Hughes, Pam
John Wolfram- Principal Catalyst Consulting LLC

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding Big Sandy's proposal in this case for it's rate classes and total allocations.

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Page 21 of direct testimony, table 3. COS results. Schedule A1 farm and home. Schedule LPR return is -????% Explain the magnitude of that allocation to the residential rate class as proposed by Big Sandy.
Note: Hughes, Pam
Direct testimony, page 24. Percentage increase proposed in base rates is 5.5% to Schedule ???
Note: Hughes, Pam
Schedule LPR- 1.4% increase

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Page 24, table 4. LPR class provides 2.9% contribution to total revenues. How many customers served in LPR class- 86 divided by 12.

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
PHDR- type of customers served under LPR.

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Whether low income customers use more or less energy than other residential customers.
Note: Hughes, Pam
Rate design based on costs rather than energy used.
Note: Hughes, Pam
Rate design- if Big Sandy knows the impact on lower income customers if rates go up. 6.25 a month for all residential customers.

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
PSC exhibit 1. Response of Ky Power Co in Case No. 2017-00179. Page 2 of 2. When decision was made to increase the rates was only the COS used.????

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding other consideration be to look at a neighboring utility customer charge.
Note: Hughes, Pam
Regarding why no comparison was done to nearby utilities before this application was filed.

Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam
JW-3 page 2 of 2. LPR class customer charge. Witness states that change could be made to that class to increase its charge.
Note: Hughes, Pam JW-3 exhibit of his direct testimony. Page 2 of , summary of COS with respect to the customer charge, energy charge and demand charge for each of the 5 classes of Big Sandy. What is current charge for LPR - is 107.68 Proposed will remain the same.

10:38:35 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Revised exhibit JW-2. 1.14 page 38. Schedule shows miscellaneous costs are non recurring charge.
Note: Hughes, Pam Line 13, Office /Clerical personell. Typical non-recurring charge under this schedule.

10:41:24 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding the source of the minutes of each of these misc service types. Regarding meter call taking 10 minutes more than other calls or other time they take to do other things.

10:43:28 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Big Sandy's Response to Staff's DR3, item 4. Page 2 of 2. Breakdown of costs for each of the lighting categories. Explain how the rate charges for these lighting class is ???.
Note: Hughes, Pam Exhibit JW-3. Page 1 of 2. Lighting class shows its contribution to rate base is 10%.
Note: Hughes, Pam Components of the lighting. Broader language in its lighting Tariffs. Costs of the lighting.

10:53:31 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam DSM rate of ???
Note: Hughes, Pam Schedule 1 offers a farm and home???

10:54:58 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding lighting and language in the Tariff. Why the inclusion of lumens.

10:57:01 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam PHDR- provide all the lights it is offering. Witness states it is in the exhibit he submitted as item 4 to Staff's 3rd DR.

10:59:09 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding lights offered by Big Sandy and what they are.

11:00:37 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding the trend to only offer LED lights.

11:01:39 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Item 4 page 2 of 2. Lumens range.
Note: Hughes, Pam Concern if there is an equivelent????

11:04:23 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding Under the 400 watt category under the 145 LED light. Why would this not be under the 175 watt category?

11:06:06 AM Break
11:06:12 AM SessionPaused
11:17:56 AM Session Resumed
11:18:00 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Goes on to talk about the LED bulb. In item 4 DR4 of Staff, page 2 of 2

11:19:00 AM Atty Nguyen cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Recommendations to how this change in revenue requirement be treated the same as the original. No changes to fixed charge
Note: Hughes, Pam Supplemental testimony. Regular application and amended application. Revised revenue requirement.

11:20:47 AM VC cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam Regarding the Misc service charges. Meter test compared to everything else in time. PHDR to validate these numbers are correct.
Chairman cross of John Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Schedule LPR. 7 to 8 customers. Hos

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Why do Utilities strive to make its rates cost????

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding COS performed indicated the true cost to customer charge???

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  If request to approve is not given what will have to happen to make up for that difference.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  What will be easier on typical residential consumer - raising charge or raising energy charge.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  LPR class and tariff. 7 to 8 customers and that charge moved, is the effect going to be substantial.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding looking at chart like staff exhibit 1 to see if one is more reasonable or unreasonable than the other.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  PSC exhibit 1. Comparison of residential customer rates of all COOPS, other than KY Power. Salt River is not on there.
Note: Hughes, Pam  Page 1 of PSC exhibit 1. Reads this response into the record of KY Power to the AG's DR1, question 13.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding health insurance and other benefits. Explain the test year adjustments in health benefits that were adjusted for this case.

Atty Goss re direct of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding rate case expense. Some of Mr. Atkinsons and Mr. Zumtimes??? costs for doing prior analysis.

VC re cross of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding the LPR rate class
Note: Hughes, Pam  Staff's DR3 - COS.

VC re cross of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding the impact of weather and volume of electricity consumed in Big Sandy's territory. Has sales been greater than what was expected when this rate case was filed.

Comm Mathews cross of Witness Wolfram
Note: Hughes, Pam  Regarding lighting. Keeping watt based but adding Lumen prices on Tariff.

Witness excused

Chairman Schmitt remarks about PHDR
Note: Hughes, Pam  Adjourned hearing.
Note: Hughes, Pam  Filed by Mar 30 and answer in 10 days

Session Paused

Session Ended
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSC Exhibit 01</td>
<td>Ky Power's Response to AG Data Request No. 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA REQUEST

AG_1_013

Using data regarding the average level of consumption for the residential class, provide:

a. How Kentucky Power residential customers rank in electricity prices compared to the national average residential customer.

b. The most recent comparison of residential bills of KPCo, Duke Energy (Kentucky), Louisville Gas & Electric Co., and Kentucky Utilities, displayed in $/month, based on 1,000 kWh consumption per month, as provided in the format set forth in KPCo’s response to AG 1-2 in Case No. 2013-00197, Attachment 1, p. 57 of 158.

c. How Kentucky Power customers rank in electricity prices in comparison to non-investor owned electricity providers in the state of Kentucky, including TVA.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power objects to this request on the ground it seeks irrelevant information not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Kentucky Power’s is entitled “to demand, collect, and receive fair, just, and reasonable rates for the service rendered or to be rendered by it to any person.” Those rates are to be determined based on the specifics of the Company’s operations and not those of any other electric distribution company. Without waiving this objection refer to KPCO_R_AG_1_13_Attachment1.xlsx for the requested information.

Witness: Ranie K. Wohnhas
## Comparison of Residential Customer Rates

**Base Rates in Effect as of 08/16/17**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Service Charge</th>
<th>Energy Charge $/kWh*</th>
<th>Base Bill 1000 kWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grayson RECC</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>0.1091</td>
<td>$124.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenergy</td>
<td>$18.20</td>
<td>0.102038</td>
<td>$120.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation</td>
<td>$12.45</td>
<td>0.1078</td>
<td>$120.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Energy Cooperative</td>
<td>$16.44</td>
<td>0.09849</td>
<td>$114.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade County RECC</td>
<td>$8.97</td>
<td>0.09421</td>
<td>$103.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licking Valley RECC</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>0.094542</td>
<td>$108.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Energy</td>
<td>$12.43</td>
<td>0.09245</td>
<td>$104.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegrass Energy</td>
<td>$16.50</td>
<td>0.08531</td>
<td>$101.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Sandy RECC</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>$104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers RECC</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>0.088779</td>
<td>$102.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby Energy Cooperative</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>0.09088</td>
<td>$105.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owen Electric Cooperative</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>0.08491</td>
<td>$104.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolin RECC</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td>0.09271</td>
<td>$106.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland Valley Electric</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>0.08749</td>
<td>$99.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Kentucky RECC</td>
<td>$12.82</td>
<td>0.08543</td>
<td>$98.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming-Mason Energy</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>0.08431</td>
<td>$99.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor County RECC</td>
<td>$9.82</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>$92.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennyrile RECC</td>
<td>$20.90</td>
<td>0.07198</td>
<td>$92.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren RECC</td>
<td>$18.80</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>$89.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Kentucky RECC</td>
<td>$23.40</td>
<td>0.10064</td>
<td>$124.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson EMC</td>
<td>$21.50</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>$100.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County EMC</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>0.09848</td>
<td>$116.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Power Current</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>0.08795</td>
<td>$98.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Power Proposed</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>0.10853</td>
<td>$126.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The base energy rates denoted here are in effect as of 8/16/17, and do not include company surcharges, FACs, local taxes, or other fees unique to each company.

**Warren RECC has a seasonal energy charge. 7.331¢ June-Sept, 7.02¢ Dec-Mar, 6.825¢ in Oct, Nov, Apr and May; average of 7.0587¢

***Gibson EMC (merged with Hickman-Fulton in 2016) has a seasonal energy charge. 8.152¢ June-Sept, 7.802¢ Dec-Mar, 7.607¢ in Oct, Nov, Apr and May; average of 7.8537¢
Sources: Utility websites and KPSC