COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

V.

CINTAS CORPORATION

COMPLAINANT

CASE NO. 2015-00093

)

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER

Kentucky Power Company ("Kentucky Power") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint deemed filed on March 31, 2015, a copy of which is attached as the Appendix to this Order.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 19, Kentucky Power is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or file a written answer to the complaint within ten days of the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.



ATTEST Executive Director

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2015-00093 DATED APR 0 7 2015

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter	of:			
<u>Cintas Corpo</u>		Full Name))	
		COMPLAINANT)	RECEIVED
VS.)	MAR 31 2015
AEP Kentucky Power (Name of Utility))	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	(r tame	DEFENDANT)	
		COMPL	AINT	
The complain	t of		res	spectfully shows:
		(Your Full Name)		
(a)		Sophia R. Jannace (Your Full Name)		
		One East Fourth St., Suite 14 (Your Address)	400, Cincinnati, OH	45202
	sjannace@kmklaw.com/szelestar@Cintas.com (Your Email Address)			
(b)		AEP Kentucky Power (Name of Utility)		
		P. O.Box 24401, Canton, OF (Address of Utility)	<u>H 44701-4401</u>	
(c)	That:	See Attachment (Describe here, attaching additional sheets if necessary,		
	- Constant de la Canada de Canad	the specific act, fully and cle	arly, or facts that ar	e the reason

and basis for the complaint.)

Continued on Next Page

Formal Complaint

Cintas Corp	vs. A	AEP Kentucky Power
Page 2 of 2		
	Wherefore, complainant asks Corporation \$21,422.07	AEP Kentucky Power to refund Cintas (Specifically state the relief desired.)
x	Dated at <u>Cincinnati</u> (Your City) <u>March</u> , (Month)	2015. Your Signature*)
	Sophia R. Jannace Keating Muething & Klekamp One East Fourth Street, Suite 140 <u>Cincinnati, OH 45202</u> (Name and address of attorne	3/25/14

*Complaints by corporations or associations, or any other organization having the right to file a complaint, must be signed by its attorney and show his post office address. No oral or unsigned complaints will be entertained or acted upon by the commission.

6016680.1

AEP Kentucky Power is the electric utility for Cintas Corporation at the facility identified in this formal complaint. This facility is an industrial laundry, which launders uniforms and facility services products such as entrance mats and towels. In Spring 2014, Cintas discovered that its AEP meter was not functioning correctly, and that the meter was underreporting usage. Cintas notified AEP of the malfunctioning meter. Following notice from Cintas, AEP issued a bill to Cintas for \$66,000 for the past two years of underpayment. Cintas paid the \$66,000 under protest.

Cintas believes the \$66,000 bill is not correct. The AEP bill did not identify the number of units consumed by Cintas but instead calculated the bill based on the average of three high-usage months. This average did not account for months in the year when Cintas consumed substantially less electric. AEP's bill is not based on Cintas' logical use, but instead is a significant overestimate without any documentary support or calculations from AEP.

Cintas believes the proper bill should have been \$44,527.93, based on the following reasons:

- Average pounds of laundry processed per day at the Cintas facility from May 9, 2013 through April 8, 2014 was 62,100 lbs. (before the issue was identified to the utility).
- Average pounds processed per day at the Cintas facility from May 8, 2014 through December 9, 2014 was 63,700 lbs. (after the utility fixed the meter).
 - Total difference per day is 1,600 lbs., which would equate to an additional 4 loads of laundry per day and result in a minimal increased electric usage overall.
 - The omitted time frame of April 9, 2014 through May 7, 2014 as meter was repaired on April 26th
 - The overall poundage increase from the year before the meter was fixed was a 2.58% increase; meaning the Cintas facility used more electric after the meter was fixed.
- Knowing that the meter is 3 legs and only 2 were working Cintas estimates that the utility short billed it by 33%
 - The average billed kWh from April 2012 through April 2014 was 55,943 kWh per month.
 - If all three legs were working this would equate to 74,404 kWh per month which is a difference of 18,461 kWh that would have been unbilled during the two year time-frame that the utility can legally go back.
 - Taking random months between April 2012 and April 2014, electric costs ranged from \$.094 to \$.107; therefore, taking the average of 18,461 kWh times the average of \$.1005 times the 24 month look back, the actual amount AEP should have billed Cintas is \$44,527.93 and not the \$66,000 that was actually billed.

Based upon Cintas' documentation and the above calculations, the correct amount Cintas should have been billed is \$44,527.93. Cintas paid \$66,000 billed to it by AEP and asks the board to grant a refund of \$21,472.07.

*Sophia R Jannace Keating, Muething & Klekamp PLLC Attorneys At One East Fourth Street Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Kentucky Power Company 101 A Enterprise Drive P. O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602

*Christopher J Skufca Deputy General Counsel & CCO Cintas Corporation 6800 Cintas Boulevard Mason, OHIO 45040

*Rob Szelesta Cintas Corporation 4125 Winchester Avenue Ashland, KENTUCKY 41101

*Ranie Wohnhas Managing Director, Reg & Finance Kentucky Power Company 101 A Enterprise Drive P. O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602