BRUCE E. SMITH LAW OFFICES, PLLC

201 SOUTH MAIN STREET

NICHOLASVILLE, KENTUCKY 40356 (859) 885-3393 + (859) 885-1152 FAX

BRUCE E. SMITH bruce@smithlawoffice.net

HENRY E. SMITH henry@smithlawoffice.net

October 9, 2014

VIA UPS

Mr. Jeff R. Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602 RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District Notice of Filing

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Delivered under cover of this letter is an original and ten (10) copies of Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District's Responses to the Kentucky Public Service Commission's First Requests for Information with attachments.

Sincerely,

Bruce E. Smith

Enclosures

cc: Robert M. Watt, III, Esq. Jennifer Black Hans, Esq.

g:\...\JSEWD\Forest Hills\Derouen ltr 100914

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMMISSION ECEIVED

In the Matter of:		OCT 1 0 2014
APPLICATION OF JESSAMINE-SOUTH ELKHORN)	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
WATER DISTRICT FOR A CERTIFICATE OF)	00111111001011
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO)	
CONSTRUCT AND FINANCE A WATERWORKS)	CASE NO 2014-00084
IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT PURSUANT TO KRS)	
278.020 AND 278.300)	

JESSAMINE-SOUTH ELKHORN WATER DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Comes the Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District ("Water District"), by counsel, and for its Responses to the First Requests for Information from the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("PSC"), answer as follows:

Facts, documents and things now known may be imperfectly understood and, accordingly, such facts, documents, and things may not be included in the following responses. The Water District reserves the right to reference, discover, or offer into evidence at a later time any and all facts, documents and things notwithstanding the initial responses and objections interposed herein. The Water District also reserves the right to reference, discover, or offer into evidence at a later time any and all facts, documents, and things that it does not presently recall but may recall at some time in the future.

To the extent that The Water District inadvertently discloses information that may arguably be protected from discovery under attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, such inadvertent disclosure does not constitute a waiver of any such privilege.

The responses set forth below are made without in any manner waiving (1) the right to object to the use of any response for any purpose, in this proceeding or any other action, on the grounds of privilege, relevance, materiality, or any other appropriate grounds: (2) the right to object to any other documents requests involving or relating to the subject matter of the responses herein; and (3) the right to revise, correct, supplement or clarify any of the responses provided below, at any time.

Subject to and without waiving the reservations set forth above, the Water District responds as follows to PSC's Requests for Information in accordance with the Water District's understanding of the fair meaning of those Requests. The respondent or witness for each Response will be shown in bold-faced type following the Response or that portion of the Response for which the individual is responsible.

REQUESTS FOLLOW ON NEXT PAGE

KPSC Case No. 2014 - 00084 PSC's Requests for Information Served September 24, 2012 Request No. 1

Page 3 of 8

Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District

1. Information Request No. 1: Refer to page 28 of the Storage Analysis prepared by

Horne Engineering, Inc. on March 1, 2014 ("Storage Analysis") provided with the Application.

a. Describe the method used to select the 13 buildings chosen to calculate the

storage volume in the proposed tank. Include why these 13 buildings and locations were selected.

b. Provide how the fire volumes for each location were determined.

Answer:

1. a - As supported by the various footnote references in the Storage Analysis, the

Needed Fire Flows (NNF) for a service area should be the maximum NFF required for all

structures in the service area. Although NFF for a structure is not directly predicated on

the usage classification of that structure, the selection of test structures was conducted to

include structures within the usage classification of Historic, Office, Residential, Church,

Retail, and Agricultural.

Based on the Engineer's familiarity with the service areas (45-years of

engineering/surveying practice in Jessamine County), structures were selected that would

provide a representative sample of NFF. Selection of two or more structures in the same

usage

3

KPSC Case No. 2014 - 00084

PSC's Requests for Information

Served September 24, 2012

Request No. 1

Page 4 of 8

classification were made in order to account for different types of building

construction.

1. b - The formula shown on p. 28 of the Storage Analysis was applied to the thirteen

(13) listed structures. Based on the Engineer's experience and familiarity and a site visit to

each of the selected structures, the equation components of F, O, X, and P were determined

by application of the appropriate table and values contained in Section 300 (pages 5-13) of

Fire Suppression Schedule, Insurance Services Office, Inc., (2012). From these determined

formula components, the NFF for that structure was calculated.

Interestingly, the maximum NFF (540,000 gallons) occurred for three (3) of the thirteen

(13) selected structures and encompassed the usage classification of Historical, Church, and

Stable.

[Witness: John G. Horne]

KPSC Case No. 2014 - 00084 **PSC's Requests for Information**

Served September 24, 2012

Request No. 2

Page 5 of 8

Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District

1. **Information Request No. 2:** Refer to page 25 of the Storage Analysis and the Water

Usage Northwest Area chart for August 2011 to July 2012 ("Water Usage Chart") provided in

the Application in Case No. 2012-004701 that has been incorporated into this case. Page 25 of

the Storage Analysis refers to a maximum day demand of 1,784,250 gallons on July 6, 2010. The

Water Usage Chart indicates the maximum day usage in July 2012 was 1,929,375 gallons.

a. Identify the correct maximum day demand.

b. Explain the two different amounts.

Answer:

1. a - The July 6, 2010 maximum day demand of 1,784,250 gallons is correct for this

analysis

1. b - Demand data for the year 2010 was selected as the base year for this analysis.

The reason 2010 was selected is because it is the year of the most recent census data.

Population projections are based on this base year. While there is a higher

maximum day demand in 2012, the 2010 maximum day demand was used for

consistency of using 2010 census data.

[Witness: John G. Horne]

5

KPSC Case No. 2014 - 00084

PSC's Requests for Information

Served September 24, 2012

Request No. 3

Page 6 of 8

Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District

1. Information Request No. 3: Provide a discussion regarding any provision of

corrosion control as it relates to the design of the proposed tank. Include inspection procedures

for this tank as it pertains to 807 KAR 5:006, Section 26.

The District's experience has proven that the current method of Answer:

epoxy/epoxy coating has proven to be far superior to other types of protection such as wax

coating and/or cathotic protection. Even today, there has been significant advances in

coating systems as compared to those used previously in the District's existing tanks.

The District employs the services of J & J Pressure Washing & Tank Services to

conduct an annual inspection of all their tanks, both elevated and standpipe. These

inspection include visual structural and coating analysis. In addition, the District has, in

the past, employed a firm to conduct underwater inspection of the interior bowl.

[Witness: John G. Horne]

6

CERTIFICATION

Comes John G. Horne, consulting engineer for the Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District ("District") and after first being duly sworn states that he supervised the preparation of this response on behalf of the District and that the foregoing responses are true and accurate to his knowledge, information and belief after a reasonable inquiry.)

John G. Horne

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY COUNTY OF JESSAMINE, SCT...

Acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State by John G. Horne, consulting engineer for the Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District, this the 9th day of October, 2014.

NOTARY PUBLIC

NO.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony G. Martin, Esq. P.O. Box 1812 Lexington, KY 40588

and

Bruce E. Smith, Esq. Henry E. Smith, Esq. 201 South Main Street Nicholasville, Kentucky 40356

CO-COUNSEL FOR DISTRICT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Jessamine-South Elkhorn Water District's Responses to Kentucky Public Service Commission's First Set of Requests or Information was served by first class mail, postage prepaid and e-mail, this the 9th day of October, 2014, to:

Robert M. Watt, III, Esq. Monica H. Braun, Esq. Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Ste. 2100 Lexington, KY 40507-1801 robert.watt@skofirm.com monica.braun@skofirm.com Jennifer Black Hans, Esq. Gregory T. Dutton, Esq. Assistant Attorneys General 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601-8204 jennifer.hans@ag.ky.gov gregory.dutton@ag.ky.gov

BRUCE E. SMITH