SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

.ld M. Sullivan Jesse T. Mountjoy

Frank Stainback

James M. Miller

Michael A. Fiorella

Allen W. Holbrook

R. Michael Sullivan Bryan R. Reynolds

Tyson A. Kamuf

Mark W. Starnes

C. Ellsworth Mountjoy

Susan Montalvo-Gesser

Mary L. Moorhouse

July 17, 2012

RECEIVED

JUL 18 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Via Federal Express

Jeff DeRouen **Executive Director Public Service Commission** 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Re:

An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Two-Year Billing Period Ending July 31, 2011

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter are an original and ten copies of (i) Big Rivers Electric Corporation's response to the Commission Staff's First Request for Information and (ii) the Direct Testimony of Ralph A. Ashworth in support of the reasonableness of the application of the environmental surcharge mechanisms of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Kenergy Corp., and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation during the period under review.

Sincerely,

Tyson Kamuf

TK/ej

Enclosures

cc:

Mark A. Bailey Albert Yockey G. Kelly Nuckols Gregory J. Starheim Sanford Novick Burns E. Mercer

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

VERIFICATION

I, Ralph A. Ashworth, verify, state, and affirm that the Direct Testimony and data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Ralph A. Ashworth

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Ralph A. Ashworth on this the lotter day of July, 2012.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires 1-12-13

Paula Mitchell

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that the Direct Testimony and data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Lawrence V. Baronowsky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. Baronowsky on this the 17th day of July, 2012.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_ (-/2-/3

ORIGINAL



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC)	
SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE)	
ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE)	Case No.
MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC)	2012-00262
CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR)	
BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011)	

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

FILED: July 18, 2012

ORIGINAL

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

1	Item 1) Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of $E(m)$
2	and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the billing
3	periods under review. Form 1.1 can be used as a model for this summary.
4	Include the expense months for the two expense months subsequent to the
5	billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments
6	for the months included for the billing period. Include a calculation of
7	any additional over- or under-recovery amount Big Rivers believes needs
8	to be recognized for the two-year review. Include all supporting
9	$calculations\ and\ documentation\ for\ the\ additional\ over-\ or\ under-$
10	recovery.
11	
12	Response) Please see the attached 13-page schedule, in the format of Form 1.10,
13	covering each of the expense months from June 2009 through July 2011, which
14	were billed August 2009 through September 2011 (i.e. the 24 months under review
15	plus the immediately following two months). No additional over/under recovery is
16	sought by Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
17	
18	
19	Witness) Ralph A. Ashworth
20	

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		 June 2009		 July 2009
5 6 7			 nvironmental npliance Plans		 nvironmental npliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$ 2,407,863	22	\$ 2,087,974
12 13	E(m)	==	\$ 2,407,863	=	\$ 2,087,974
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor				
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=	88 005834%	=	89.300566%
19	Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	=	\$ 2,119,060	=	\$ 1,864,573
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	==	•	===	-
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	.x	*	=	•
22 23 24	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$ 2,119,060	=	\$ 1,864,573
25 26 27	$\label{eq:Jurisdictional} \textbf{S(m)} = \textbf{Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month}$	322	766,504,720	=	807,268,689
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:				
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	===	\$0.002765	=	\$0.002310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3	E(m) =OE - BA OE BAS	S, where = Pollution Control Operating Expenses = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales			ugust 2009		e.	ptember 2009
3 4	DAS	- Total Froceeus from By-Froduct and Allowance Sales			ugust 2005			ptember 2005
5			~					
6				~~~	nvironmental npliance Plans		_	nvironmental mpliance Plans
7								
8								
9	OE		=	\$	1,975,312	=	\$	2,294,830
10	BAS		222		-	=		-
11	T3 ()			•	1.077.010		m	0.004.000
12 13	E(m)		===	\$	1,975,312	=	\$	2,294,830
14								
15	Calculation o	f Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
16								
17								
18		Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	===		94.604496%	=		90.386273%
19		$E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	=	\$	1,868,734	=	\$	2,074,211
20	,,,	or Over/(Under) Recovery	==		55,692	=		146,778
21		adjustment (if necessary)	=		•	===		,
22	Net Jurisdicti	onal E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)		_				
23		plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	1,813,042	===	\$	1,927,433
24	T 1 17.41 .1	C(/.) No. (13 T. 12) 177 1 C(3. C. (1. No. (1.			070 714 000			010 001 055
25 26	Jurisaictional	S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=		870,714,832	===		819,961,855
27								
28	Jurisdictional	Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29		onal E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002082	==		\$0.002351

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE · BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		 October 2009		No	ovember 2009
5 6 7			 nvironmental mpliance Plans			nvironmental mpliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$ 1,688,255 -	=	\$	1,924,876
11 12 13	$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{m})$	****	\$ 1,688,255	=	\$	1,924,876
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor					
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	==	91.185887%	==		93.105639%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$ 1,539,450	=	\$	1,792,168
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	==	(105,881)	==		30,342
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	-	=		
22	Net Jurisdictional $E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m)$ plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)					
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$ 1,645,331	=	\$	1,761,826
24 25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=	832,741,230	=		810,898,902
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	=	\$0.001976	=		\$0.002173

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE · BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		_ <u>r</u>	ecember 2009		J	anuary 2010
5 6 7				Environmental ompliance Plans		_	nvironmental mpliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$	1,883,244	=======================================	\$	2,163,463
11 12 13	$\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{m})$	=	\$	1,883,244	=	\$	2,163,463
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=		93.293554%	==		89.144672%
19	Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	===	\$	1,756,945	≈	\$	1,928,612
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=		(42,995)	==		221,087
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	===		-	=		~
22	Net Jurisdictional $E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m)$ plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)						
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	100	\$	1,799,940	==	\$	1,707,525
24 25 26 27	$\label{eq:Jurisdictional} Jurisdictional \ Kwh \ Sales \ for \ the \ Month$	==		912,523,308	=		948,038,699
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	==		\$0.001972	=		\$0.001801

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) = OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		<u>F</u>	ebruary 2010		1	March 2010
5 6 7			_	Environmental ompliance Plans			nvironmental npliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$	2,202,404	=	\$	2,212,826
11 12 13	E(m)	***	\$	2,202,404	=	\$	2,212,826
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	-		84.509490%	=		84.776470%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	1,861,241	=	\$	1,875,956
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=		69,592	=		(158,248)
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=		•	=		-
22 23	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	1,791,649	=	\$	2,034,204
24 25 26 27	Juris dictional $S(m)$ = Monthly Juris dictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=		860,231,564	=		872,078,048
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002083			\$0.002333

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		 April 2010		 May 2010
5 6 7			Environmental ompliance Plans		 nvironmental mpliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$ 2,059,511	=	\$ 1,973,563
11 12 13	E(m)	***	\$ 2,059,511	=	\$ 1,973,563
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor				
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	appear Audit	 87.858288%	=	 88.254598%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$ 1,809,451	=	\$ 1,741,760
20 21 22	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Unde	= = r)	24,890 -	=	(160,135)
23 24	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$ 1,784,561	=	\$ 1,901,895
25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=	803,287,177	=	850,030,579
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=	\$0.002222	=	 \$0.002237

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales			June 2010			July 2010
5 6				nvironmental mpliance Plans			nvironmental mpliance Plans
7 8							
9	OE	=	\$	2,522,594	=	\$	2,507,666
10	BAS	==		-	==		•
11			Φ.	0 500 504		ø.	0 500 000
12 13	E(m)	==	\$	2,522,594	=	\$	2,507,666
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
17	T that IAN of Date C. The commonly	===		89.983856%	=		89.913254%
18 19	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	2.269.927	=	\$	2,254,724
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery		Ψ	104.207	=	Ψ	101,193
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=		•	=		•
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)						
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	2,165,720	=	\$	2,153,531
24 25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=		895,434,778	=		936,132,880
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002419	222		\$0.002300

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales			August 2010		Sej	otember 2010
5 6 7				invironmental mpliance Plans			nvironmental apliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	# #	\$	2,493,391	=	\$	2,227,386
11 12 13	E(m)	200	\$	2,493,391	=	\$	2,227,386
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	==		88.898875%	===		89.981106%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	2,216,597	=	\$	2,004,227
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	-	*	98,785	=		23,989
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=			==		,
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)						
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	2,117,812	=	\$	1,980,238
24	F		•	,,			, ,
25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=		946,747,828	===		838,864,886
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002237	==		\$0.002361

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) = OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		 October 2010		No	ovember 2010
5 6 7			Environmental ompliance Plans		_	nvironmental npliance Plans
8 9 10 11	OE BAS	=	\$ 2,233,385	=	\$	2,122,193
12 13	E(m)	=	\$ 2,233,385	=	\$	2,122,193
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor					
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=	90.786825%	=		88.027118%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	==	\$ 2,027,619	=	\$	1,868,105
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=	(241,271)	=		(51,094)
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	2000	•	=		,
22 23 24	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Undo plus Prior Period Adjustment	er) =	\$ 2,268,890	-	\$	1,919,199
25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	=	817,087,685	=		824,335,603
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	and and	\$0.002777	=		\$0.002328

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE · BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		De	cember 2010		J	anuary 2011
5 6 7				nvironmental npliance Plans			nvironmental mpliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	==	\$	2,341,126	11 11	\$	2,451,684
11 12 13	E(m)	=	\$	2,341,126	=	\$	2,451,684
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=		86.693548%	=		84.946610%
19	Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	==	\$	2,029,605	=	\$	2,082,622
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=		20,290	≈		286,368
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=		(60, 126)	==		34,414
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)						
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	==	\$	1,949,189	==	\$	1,830,668
24 25 26 27	Jurisdictional $S(m) \approx Monthly$ Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	==		947,408,384	Mon		961,948,971
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002057	=		\$0.001903

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE · BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		F	ebruary 2011		 March 2011
5 6				nvironmental mpliance Plans		 nvironmental mpliance Plans
7 8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$	2,051,529	==	\$ 2,249,144
11 12 13	E(m)	=	\$	2,051,529	=	\$ 2,249,144
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor				-	
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	==		81 066930%	===	 79.790198%
19 20	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=	\$	1,663,112 29,540	=	\$ 1,794,596 (288,389)
21 22	Adjustment of Over/(Older) NetOvery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)	=		23,340	=	(200,303)
23 24	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	1,633,572	=	\$ 2,082,985
25 26 27	$\label{eq:Jurisdictional} \textbf{Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month}$	=		810,446,264	=	879,152,796
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh	=		\$0.002016	=	\$0.002369

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and All	owance Sales		April 2011		 May 2011
5 6 7			(Environmental Compliance Plans		 nvironmental npliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	=	\$	2,068,701	=	\$ 2,515,188
11 12 13	E(m)	=	\$	2,068,701	****	\$ 2,515,188
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge	Billing Factor				
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=		76.065513%	=	71.923811%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	anno man	\$		=	\$ 1,809,019
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=		138,800	==	(144,791)
21 22	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustmen	t for Over/(Under)		-	=	19,500
23 24	plus Prior Period Adjustment	==	\$	1,434,768	=	\$ 1,973,310
25 26 27	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for th	e Month =		820,604,367	=	864,021,595
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:			*********		***************************************
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh			\$0.001748	=	 \$0.002284

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2009 - July 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		 June 2011	••••	 July 2011
5 6 7			 nvironmental npliance Plans		 nvironmental npliance Plans
8 9 10	OE BAS	==	\$ 2,420,131	=	\$ 2,659,704
11 12 13	E(m)	=	\$ 2,420,131	=	\$ 2,659,704
14 15 16	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor		***************************************		
17 18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=	80.100218%	=	80.578072%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	===	\$ 1,938,530	===	\$ 2,143,138
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=	79,834	=	39,570
21 22	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	-	==	1,455
22 23 24	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment	2000 2000	\$ 1,858,696	==	\$ 2,105,023
25 26 27	$\label{eq:Jurisdictional} \textbf{S(m)} = \textbf{Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month}$	***	881,295,826	=	956,369,264
28 29	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor: Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m) ; Per Kwh		\$0.002109	=	\$0.002201

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

1	Item 2) For each of the three Member Cooperatives, prepare a
2	summary schedule showing the Member Cooperative's pass-through
3	revenue requirement for the months corresponding with the two-year
4	review. Include the two months subsequent to the billing period included
5	in the review periods. Include a calculation of any additional over- or
6	under-recovery amount the Member Cooperative believes needs to be
7	recognized for the two-year review. Include all supporting calculations
8	and documentation for the additional over- or under-recovery.
9	
10	Response) The attached two sets of schedules (one set for non-dedicated delivery
11	points and one set for dedicated delivery points) reflect Big Rivers' Members'
12	environmental surcharge pass-through for the months corresponding to Big
13	Rivers' 24 expense months of June 2009 through May 2011, service months of July
14	2009 through June 2011, which Big Rivers billed to its Members August 2009
15	through July 2011. There is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers.
16	As requested by the Commission, the attached schedules include the
17	Members' two billing months immediately following the two year billing period
18	under review. The information on the attached schedules was provided by Big
19	Rivers' Members. Other than the on-going cumulative over/under recovery
20	mechanism, no additional over/under recovery amount is requested.
21	
22	Witness) Ralph A. Ashworth

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Non-Dedicated Delivery Points

1 2	KENERGY CORP. ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: TWO YEAR REVIEW									
3			ON-DEDICATED I							
4										
5	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)				
6]				
7		Big Rivers Electric				Remaining				
8		Invoice			Month	Over/Under Recovery				
9		Amount	Over/Under	Total	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding				
10	Service	for Service	Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column				
11	Mo/Yr	Month	from column (f)	column (b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)				
12	Jul-09	\$ 132,656		\$ 132,656						
13	Aug-09	\$ 253,472		\$ 253,472						
14	Sept-09	\$ 187,791	\$ (10,707)	\$ 177,084	\$ 143,363	\$ (10,707)				
15	Oct-09	\$ 190,629	\$ 29,217	\$ 219,846	\$ 224,255	\$ 29,217				
16	Nov-09	\$ 168,228	\$ 11,911	\$ 180,139	\$ 165,173	\$ 11,911				
17	Dec-09	\$ 260,790	\$ (19,291)	\$ 241,499	\$ 239,137	\$ (19,291)				
18	Jan-10	\$ 262,063	\$ (45,704)	\$ 216,359	\$ 225,843	\$ (45,704)				
19	Feb-10	\$ 205,976	\$ (10,821)	\$ 195,155	\$ 252,320	\$ (10,821)				
20	Mar-10	\$ 191,619	\$ 19,648	\$ 211,267	\$ 196,711 \$ 168,257	\$ 19,648 \$ 26,898				
$\frac{21}{22}$	Apr-10	\$ 170,087	\$ 26,898	\$ 196,985	\$ 168,257 \$ 190,839	\$ 26,898 \$ 20,428				
23	May-10 Jun-10	\$ 196,406 \$ 267,131	\$ 20,428 \$ (26,494)	\$ 216,834 \$ 240,637	\$ 223,479	$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 20,428 \\ $ & (26,494) \end{pmatrix}$				
23 24	Jul-10 Jul-10	\$ 311,711	\$ (26,494) \$ (52,576)	\$ 259,135	\$ 269,410	\$ (20,494) \$ (52,576)				
25	Aug-10	\$ 297,403	\$ (52,576) \$ (10,516)	\$ 286,887	\$ 251,153	\$ (32,576) \$ (10,516)				
26	Sep-10	\$ 214,535	\$ (10,510) \$ (8,945)	\$ 205,590	\$ 268,080	\$ (8,945)				
27	Oct-10	\$ 179,028	\$ 38,924	\$ 217,952	\$ 247,963	\$ 38,924				
28	Nov-10	\$ 246,574	\$ 22,494	\$ 269,068	\$ 183,096	\$ 22,494				
29	Dec-10	\$ 306,397	\$ (37,524)	\$ 268,873	\$ 255,476	\$ (37,524)				
30	Jan-11	\$ 268,235	\$ (82,168)	\$ 186,067	\$ 351,236	\$ (82,168)				
31	Feb-11	\$ 193,924	\$ 4,672	\$ 198,596	\$ 264,201	\$ 4,672				
32	Mar-11	\$ 188,832	\$ 24,720	\$ 213,552	\$ 161,347	\$ 24,720				
33	Apr-11	\$ 178,729	\$ 21,494	\$ 200,223	\$ 177,102	\$ 21,494				
34	May-11	\$ 153,190	\$ 20,070	\$ 173,260	\$ 193,482	\$ 20,070				
35	Jun-11	\$ 247,066	\$ (18,298)	\$ 228,768	\$ 218,521	\$ (18,298)				
36	Jul-11	\$ 285,991	\$ (33,530)	\$ 252,461	\$ 206,790	\$ (33,530)				
37	Aug-11	\$ 265,771	\$ (22,290)	\$ 243,481	\$ 251,058	\$ (22,290)				
38	Sep-11	\$ 164,119	\$ 22,335	\$ 186,454	\$ 230,126	\$ 22,335				
39	Oct-11	\$ 198,801	\$ 41,309	\$ 240,110	\$ 202,172	\$ 41,309				

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Non-Dedicated Delivery Points

1 2	JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: TWO YEAR REVIEW										
3	NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS										
$\frac{4}{5}$	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)									(f)	
6	(a)	(b)	Γ			(u)		(6)	T		
7		Big Rivers Electric]							Remaining	
8		Invoice						Month		(Over)/Under Recovery	
9		Amount	(0)ver)/Under		Total	1	Billing Occurs	((Column (d) 2nd preceding	
10	Service	for Service	,	Recovery	R	lecoverable	1	to Retail	,,,	month less column	
11	Mo/Yr	Month	1	m column (f)		umn (b) + (c)		Customer		(e) current month)	
12	Jul-09	\$ 76,268		7	\$	76,268					
13	Aug-09	\$ 145,395			\$	145,395					
14	Sep-09	\$ 106,638	\$	3,309	\$	109,947	\$	72,959	\$	3,309	
15	Oct-09	\$ 103,076	\$	35,246	\$	138,322	\$	110,149	\$	35,246	
16	Nov-09	\$ 90,752	\$	25,678	\$	116,430	\$	84,269	\$	25,678	
17	Dec-09	\$ 143,372	\$	3,412	\$	146,784	\$	134,910	\$	3,412	
18	Jan-10	\$ 140,679	\$	(83,022)	\$	57,657	\$	199,452	\$	(83,022)	
19	Feb-10	\$ 107,542	\$	(8,886)	\$	98,656	\$	155,670	\$	(8,886)	
20	Mar-10	\$ 102,962	\$	(31,730)	\$	71,232	\$	89,387	\$	(31,730)	
21	Apr-10	\$ 94,101	\$	72,198	\$	166,299	\$	26,458	\$	72,198	
22	May-10	\$ 109,912	\$	(23,768)	\$	86,144	\$	95,000	\$	(23,768)	
23	Jun-10	\$ 151,977	\$	(5,690)	\$	146,287	\$	171,989	\$	(5,690)	
24	Jul-10	\$ 179,949	\$	(80,338)	\$	99,611	\$	166,482	\$	(80,338)	
25	Aug-10	\$ 171,248	\$	(46,715)	\$	124,533	\$	193,002	\$	(46,715)	
26	Sep-10	\$ 119,364	\$	(11,013)	\$	108,351	\$	110,624	\$	(11,013)	
27	Oct-10	\$ 99,597	\$	47,816	\$	147,413	\$	76,717	\$	47,816	
28	Nov-10	\$ 133,941	\$	32,213	\$	166,154	\$	76,138	\$	32,213	
29	Dec-10	\$ 165,291	\$	(13,526)	\$	151,765	\$	160,939	\$	(13,526)	
30	Jan-11	\$ 144,991	\$	(106,656)	\$	38,335	\$	272,810	\$	(106,656)	
31	Feb-11	\$ 106,243	\$	(21,876)	\$	84,367	\$	173,641	\$	(21,876)	
32	Mar-11	\$ 104,279	\$	(32,782)	\$	71,497	\$	71,117	\$	(32,782)	
33	Apr-11	\$ 99,503	\$	74,097	\$	173,600	\$	10,270	\$	74,097	
34	May-11	\$ 84,395	\$	(30,233)	\$	54,162	\$	101,730	\$	(30,233)	
35	Jun-11	\$ 144,106	\$	551	\$	144,657	\$	173,049	\$	551	
36	Jul-11	\$ 161,441	\$	(64,564)	\$	96,877	\$	118,726	\$	(64,564)	
37	Aug-11	\$ 150,142	\$	(53,130)	\$	97,012	\$	197,787	\$	(53,130)	
38	Sep-11	\$ 91,106	\$	12,221	\$	103,327	\$	84,656	\$	12,221	
39	Oct-11	\$ 108,148	\$	20,031	\$	128,179	\$	76,981	\$	20,031	

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Non-Dedicated Delivery Points

1	MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: TWO YEAR REVIEW									
2										
3	NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS									
4 5	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)									
6					1					
7		Big Rivers Electric				Remaining				
8		Invoice			Month	(Over)/Under Recovery				
9		Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding				
10	Service	for Service	Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column				
11	Mo/Yr	Month	from column (f)	column(b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)				
12	Jul-09	\$ 47,307		\$ 47,307						
13	Aug-09	\$ 91,992		\$ 91,992						
14	Sep-09	\$ 67,075	\$ (3,960)	\$ 63,115	\$ 51,267	\$ (3,960)				
15	Oct-09	\$ 73,477	\$ 17,692	\$ 91,169	\$ 74,300	\$ 17,692				
16	Nov-09	\$ 68,063	\$ (14,686)	\$ 53,377	\$ 77,801	\$ (14,686)				
17	Dec-09	\$ 112,332	\$ 7,877	\$ 120,209	\$ 83,292	\$ 7,877				
18	Jan-10	\$ 116,417	\$ (36,057)	\$ 80,360	\$ 89,434	\$ (36,057)				
19	Feb-10	\$ 92,559	\$ 28,110	\$ 120,669	\$ 92,099	\$ 28,110				
20	Mar-10	\$ 79,213	\$ (20,620)	\$ 58,593	\$ 100,980	\$ (20,620)				
21	Apr-10	\$ 65,510	\$ 47,490	\$ 113,000	\$ 73,180	\$ 47,490				
22	May-10	\$ 72,893	\$ (21,565)	\$ 51,328	\$ 80,158	\$ (21,565)				
23	Jun-10	\$ 98,353	\$ 11,257	\$ 109,610	\$ 101,743	\$ 11,257				
24	Jul-10	\$ 116,038	\$ (34,183)	\$ 81,855	\$ 85,511	\$ (34,183)				
25	Aug-10	\$ 109,272	\$ 30,510	\$ 139,782	\$ 79,100	\$ 30,510				
26	Sep-10	\$ 79,023	\$ (31,868)	\$ 47,155	\$ 113,723	\$ (31,868)				
27	Oct-10	\$ 69,354	\$ 55,748	\$ 125,102	\$ 84,034	\$ 55,748				
28	Nov-10	\$ 101,359	\$ (38,760)	\$ 62,599	\$ 85,915	\$ (38,760)				
29	Dec-10	\$ 138,048	\$ 18,697	\$ 156,745	\$ 106,405	\$ 18,697				
30	Jan-11	\$ 120,297	\$ (62,678)	\$ 57,619	\$ 125,277	\$ (62,678)				
31	Feb-11	\$ 83,975	\$ 63,638	\$ 147,613	\$ 93,107	\$ 63,638				
32	Mar-11	\$ 78,979	\$ (40,490)	\$ 38,489	\$ 98,109	\$ (40,490)				
33	Apr-11	\$ 69,631	\$ 60,006	\$ 129,637	\$ 87,608	\$ 60,006				
34	May-11	\$ 57,737	\$ (52,498)	\$ 5,239	\$ 90,987	\$ (52,498)				
35	Jun-11	\$ 88,908	\$ 47,711	\$ 136,619	\$ 81,926	\$ 47,711				
36	Jul-11	\$ 104,974	\$ (58,602)	\$ 46,371	\$ 63,841	\$ (58,602)				
37	Aug-11	\$ 96,473	\$ 42,092	\$ 138,565	\$ 94,527	\$ 42,092				
38	Sep-11	\$ 60,123	\$ (28,953)	\$ 31,170	\$ 75,324	\$ (28,953)				
39	Oct-11	\$ 76,716	\$ 55,007	\$ 131,723	\$ 83,558	\$ 55,007				

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Dedicated Delivery Points

1	KENERGY CORP-ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: TWO YEAR REVIEW DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS								
2 [DEDICATED DELIVI	ERY POINT CUSTO	MERS J					
$\frac{3}{4}$	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)					
5 [
6		Big Rivers Electric		Monthly					
7		Invoice	Service Month	Over/Under					
8		Amount	to	(Column (b)					
9	Service	for Service	Retail	less column (c)					
10	Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer						
11	Jul-09	\$ 857,385	\$ 857,385	-					
12	Aug-09	\$ 1,518,125	\$ 1,518,125	\$ -					
13	Sep-09	\$ 1,343,562	\$ 1,343,562	\$ -					
14	Oct-09	\$ 1,587,061	\$ 1,587,061	\$ -					
15	Nov-09	\$ 1,272,585	\$ 1,272,585	\$					
16	Dec-09	\$ 1,464,196	\$ 1,464,196	\$ -					
17	Jan-10	\$ 1,347,962	\$ 1,347,962	\$ -					
18	Feb-10	\$ 1,140,552	\$ 1,140,552	\$ -					
19	Mar-10	\$ 1,440,039	\$ 1,440,039	\$ -					
20	Apr-10	\$ 1,541,331	\$ 1,541,331	-					
21	May-10	\$ 1,506,722	\$ 1,506,722	-					
22	Jun-10	\$ 1,482,852	\$ 1,482,852	-					
23	Jul-10	\$ 1,654,242	\$ 1,654,242	-					
24	Aug-10	\$ 1,596,061	\$ 1,596,061	\$ -					
25	Sep-10	\$ 1,460,359	\$ 1,460,359	-					
26	Oct-10	\$ 1,578,935	\$ 1,578,935	\$ -					
27	Nov-10	\$ 1,804,942	\$ 1,804,942	-					
28	Dec-10	\$ 1,593,085	\$ 1,593,085	-					
29	Jan-11	\$ 1,443,240	\$ 1,443,240	-					
30	Feb-11	\$ 1,156,760	\$ 1,156,760	-					
31	Mar-11	\$ 1,398,782	\$ 1,398,782	\$ -					
32	Apr-11	\$ 1,589,795	\$ 1,589,795	-					
33	May-11	\$ 1,217,867	\$ 1,217,867	-					
34	Jun-11	\$ 1,530,732	\$ 1,530,732	\$					
35	Jul-11	\$ 1,462,904	\$ 1,462,904	-					
36	Aug-11	\$ 1,523,959	\$ 1,523,959	-					
37	Sep-11	\$ 1,294,421	\$ 1,294,421	-					
38	Oct-11	\$ 1,693,436	\$ 1,693,436	-					

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Dedicated Delivery Points

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: TWO YEAR REVIEW DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

-
2
3
4
5

5	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
6	(a)	[\ <u>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>	T	
7		Big Rivers Electric		
8		Invoice	Service Month	Monthly
9		Amount	То	(Over)/Under Recovery
10	Service	for Service	Retail	(Column (b)
11	Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	Less column (c)
12	Jul-09	\$ 1,606	\$ 1,606	\$ -
13	Aug-09	\$ 2,366	\$ 2,366	\$ -
14	Sep-09	\$ 2,094	\$ 2,094	-
15	Oct-09	\$ 3,532	\$ 3,532	\$ -
16	Nov-09	\$ 2,708	\$ 2,708	\$ -
17	Dec-09	\$ 2,223	\$ 2,223	\$ -
18	Jan-10	\$ 2,411	\$ 2,411	\$ -
19	Feb-10	\$ 2,647	\$ 2,647	\$ -
20	Mar-10	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,706	-
21	Apr-10	\$ 3,039	\$ 3,039	- (
22	May-10	\$ 2,835	\$ 2,835	\$ -
23	Jun-10	\$ 2,774	\$ 2,774	\$
24	Jul-10	\$ 2,565	\$ 2,565	-
25	Aug-10	\$ 3,536	\$ 3,536	-
26	Sep-10	\$ 3,260	\$ 3,260	\$ -
27	Oct-10	\$ 2,230	\$ 2,230	-
28	Nov-10	\$ 2,364	\$ 2,364	\$ -
29	Dec-10	\$ 2,747	\$ 2,747	\$ -
30	Jan-11	\$ 1,966	\$ 1,966	-
31	Feb-11	\$ 1,377	\$ 1,377	\$ -
32	Mar-11	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500	\$ -
33	Apr-11	\$ 1,390	\$ 1,390	\$ -
34	May-11	\$ 1,413	1 '	\$ -
35	Jun-11	\$ 2,068	\$ 2,068	\$ -
36	Jul-11	\$ 1,673	\$ 1,673	\$ -
37	Aug-11	\$ 1,411	\$ 1,411	\$ -
38	Sep-11	\$ 787	\$ 787	\$ -
39	Oct-11	\$ 905	\$ 905	

40 41

42

Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation has no dedicated delivery point customers.

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's **Initial Request for Information** Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

1	Item 3) Refer to Form 2.5, Operating and Maintenance Expenses, for
2	the last six expense months covered by the billing period under review. For
3	each of the expense line items listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s)
4	for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that change is
5	greater than plus or minus 10 percent.
6	
7	Response) Please see the attached schedules of Operating and Maintenance
8	("O&M") expenses, including the requested variance explanations, for the expense
9	months November 2010 through May 2011. Please note, November 2010 is only
10	being shown for purposes of calculating the variances in the first month of the last
11	six months covered by the billing period under review.
12	Note: While preparing the response to this item, an error was
13	identified in the classifications of certain O&M expenses included in the original
14	filings. Specifically, certain Emulsified Sulphur for SO ₂ expenses were incorrectly
15	classified as Emulsified Sulfur for NOx, and Hydrated Lime for SO3 expenses were
16	incorrectly classified as Reagent Lime for SO ₂ . These errors related to the
17	classification between recoverable O&M expense categories only and had no
18	impact on the ES factors calculated during the related expense months. The total
19	dollars included in the original filings were correct. Separate schedules (including
20	the original "As Filed" amounts and the corrected "As Revised" amounts) have
21	been prepared and included as attachments to this response.
22	

22

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

i		
2	Witnesses)	Ralph A. Ashworth (Schedules of O&M Expenses) and
3		Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes in Expense Levels)
1		

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Operating and Mantenance Expenses (As Originally Filed)

NOx Plan:						,								35 44 71	_			T		May-11 vs. Apr-
			1		Dec-10 vs. Nov-			Jan-11 vs. Dec-			Feb-11 vs. Jan-			Mar-11 vs. Feb-			Apr-11 vs. Mar-	ł		
Expense Month	1	Nov-10		Dec-10	10 % Change		Jan-11	10 % Change		Feb-11	11 % Change		Mar-11	11 % Change	-	Apr-11	11 % Change	 	May-11	11 % Change
NOx Plan Expenses:						_			_	00 500	-54%		98,480	-1%		106,883	9%		88,949	-17%
Anhydrous Ammonia	\$	68,041		263,255	287% -84%	\$	217,426	-17% -100%	\$	99,788	-54% See Note 1	Þ	90,400	See Note 1	1	100,000	See Note 1	۳	-	See Note 1
Emulsified Sulphur for NOx (See note 3)	+	56.583		9,061	119%	6	217.426	-20%	e	99,788	-54%	S	98,480	-1%	S	106,883	9%	S	88,949	-17%
Total NOx Plan O&M Expenses	18	124,624	1.8	272.316	11970	10	217,420	-20/6	டி	33,700	-0470		50,100	1	, ,	*****				·

SO2 Plan:			T		T 5	T	Feb-11 vs. Jan-	1	Mar-11 vs. Feb-		Apr-11 vs. Mar-	1	May-11 vs. Apr-
			Dec-10 vs. Nov-	Ì	Jan-11 vs. Dec-		1				11 % Change	3	11 % Change
Expense Month	Nov-10	Dec-10	10 % Change	Jan-11	10 % Change	Feb-11	11 % Change	Mar-11	11 % Change	Apr-11	11 % Change	May-11	11 % Change
SO2 Plan Expenses:								0 400 010	18%	s 343,912	-30%	\$ 426,416	24%
Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge (See note 2)	\$ 381,091	\$ 385,827	1%	\$ 418,959	9%	\$ 417,820	0%	\$ 492,913		3 343,312	See Note 1	\$ 420,410	See Note 1
Off Spec Gypsum	-	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1		See Note 1		1	253.487	41%
Fixation Lime	197,416	143,957	-27%	193,573	34%	170,126	-12%	178,873	5%	179,848		255,487	See Note 1
Reagent-Calcium Oxide (landfill stabilization)		-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1		
Reagent-Limestone	264.333	348,382	32%	364,407	5%	354,082	-3%	335,902	-5%	349,626	1	442,068	26%
Reagent-Lime (See note 3)	1.041,666	953,275	-8%	1.069.028	12%	837,997	-22%	947,348	13%	918,664		1,011,769	10%
Emulsified Sulphur for SO2	9.187		-100%	7.622	See Note 1		-100%	14,678	See Note 1	-	-100%	-	See Note 1
	36,060	150,132	316%	90,944	-39%	111.793	23%	90,552	-19%	129,163	43%	191,424	48%
Reagent-DiBasic Acid	4.837	29.233	504%	65.677	125%	39.934	-39%	73.858	85%	26.371	-64%	66,454	152%
Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO2				\$ 2.210.210	10%	\$ 1.931.752	-13%	S 2.134,124	10%	\$ 1.947.584	-9%	\$ 2.391,618	23%
Total S02 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 1.934.590	\$ 2,010,806	4%	3 2,210,210	1070	0 1,001,702	-1070	10 2,101,222		1 4 14 - 114 - 1			

SO3 Plan:					·				131 11 73	T	A 11 M		May-11 vs. Apr-
		Į	Dec-10 vs. Nov-		Jan-11 vs. Dec-		Feb-11 vs. Jan-		Mar-11 vs. Feb-		Apr-11 vs. Mar-		
Femores Month	Nov-10	Dec-10	10 % Change	Jan-11	10 % Change	Feb-11	11 % Change	Mar-11	11 % Change	Apr-11	11 % Change	May-11	11 % Change
Expense Month	1404-10	1 200 10	20 10 0111110									İ	1
SO3 Plan Expenses:			2.2		-100%	e	See Note 1	e .	See Note 1	s .	See Note 1	\$ 19,658	See Note 1
Reagent - Hydrated Lime - SO3 (See note 3)	S 36,362		-21%	<u> </u>		9 -		6	See Note 1	e	See Note 1	\$ 19.658	
Total S03 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 36,362	\$ 28,665	-21%	s <u>-</u>	-100%	- 8	See Note 1		See Note 1	- 4	See Note 1	3 13,000	Dee Ivote I

Total \$ 2.095,576 \$ 2.311.787 \$ 2.427,636 \$ 2.031,540 \$ 2.232,604 \$ 2.054,467 \$ 2.500,225

Case No. 2012-00262

Attachment for Response to PSC 1-3

Witnesses: Ralph A. Ashworth and Larry V. Baronowsky

Page 1 of 3

Note 1: Percentage change not calculated because the cost incurred during the prior expense month was \$0.

Note 2: The monthly totals for Disposal Bottom Ash, Disposal Flyash and Disposal Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge have been consolidated due to similarity to better facilitate consistency.

Note 3: While preparing the response to this item, an error was identified in the original filings related to the classifications of certain O&M expenses associated with the D.B. Wilson generation plant. Specifically, Emulsified Sulphur for SO2 was incorrectly classified as Emulsified Sulfer for NOx, and Hydrated Lime for SO3 amounts were incorrectly classified as Reagent Lime for SO2 amounts. These errors related to the classification of the expenses between recoverable O&M expense categories only. The total dollars included in the original filings were correct and the errors had no impact on the calculations of the ES factors during the related expense months. Refer to the attached "AS REVISED" schedule for revised amounts and explanations for all variances greater than plus or minus 10%.

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Operating and Mantenance Expenses (As Revised)

NOx Plan;	T	Т		Dec-10 vs. Nov-		Jan-11 vs. Dec-		Feb-11 vs. Jan-	T	Mar-11 vs. Feb-	1	Apr-11 vs. Mar-		May-11 vs. Apr-
Expense Month	Nov-10		Dec-10	10	Jan-11	10	Feb-11	11	Mar-11	11 % Change	Apr-11	11 % Change	May-11	11 % Change
NOx Plan Expenses: Anhydrous Ammonia Emulsified Sulphur for NOx	\$ 68,0- 23,0		\$ 263,255 9,061	287% -61%	\$ 217,426	-17% -100%	\$ 99,78	-54% - See Note 1	\$ 98,480	-1% See Note 1	S 106,883	9% See Note 1	\$ 88,949	See Note 1
Total NOx Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 91.0		\$ 272,316		\$ 217,426	-20%	\$ 99,78	-54%	\$ 98,480	-1%	\$ 106,883	9%	\$ 88.949	-17%
SO2 Plan:										Mar-11 vs. Feb-		Apr-11 vs. Mar-		May-11 vs. Apr-
E-mana Month	Nov-10		Dec-10	Dec-10 vs. Nov- 10 % Change	Jan-11	Jan-11 vs. Dec- 10 % Change	Feb-11	Feb-11 vs. Jan- 11 % Change	Mar-11	Mar-11 vs. Feb- 11 % Change	Apr-11	11 % Change	May-11	11 % Change

SO2 Plan:			Dec-10 vs. Nov-		Jan-11 vs. Dec-		Feb-11 vs. Jan-	I	Mar-11 vs. Feb-		Apr-11 vs. Mar-		May-11 vs. Apr-
T Manak	Nov-10	Dec-10	10 % Change	Jan-11	10 % Change	Feb-11	11 % Change	Mar-11	11 % Change	Apr-11	11 % Change	May-11	11 % Change
Expense Month SO2 Plan Expenses:	1404-10	Dec 10	10 10 01101110								1		
Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge (See note 2	\$ 381.091	\$ 385,827	1%	\$ 418,959	9%	\$ 417,820	0%	\$ 492,913	18%	\$ 343,912		\$ 426,416	24%
Off Spec Gypsum		-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1		See Note 1	-	See Note 1	253.487	See Note 1 41%
Fixation Lime	197,416	143,957	-27%	193,573	34%	170,126	-12%	178,873	5%	179,848	1% See Note 1	200,407	See Note 1
Reagent-Calcium Oxide (landfill stabilization)	-		See Note 1	-	See Note 1	074000	See Note 1	335.902	See Note 1	349,626		442.068	26%
Reagent-Limestone	264,333		32%	364,407	5% 11%	354,082 834,417	-3% -21%	918,642	10%	898,629		981,213	1
Reagent-Lime	1,041,666	953,275	-8%	1,054,501 7,622	See Note 1	004,411	-100%	14,678	See Note 1	050,025	-100%		See Note 1
Emulsified Sulphur for SO2	42,753 36,060	150.132	-100% 316%	90,944	-39%	111,793	23%	90.552	-19%	129,163	1	191,424	48%
Reagent-DiBasic Acid	4.837	29,233		65,677	125%	39,934	-39%	73,858	85%	26,371	-64%	66,454	152%
Reagent-Sodium Bisulfite for SO2 Total SO2 Plan O&M Expenses	S 1.968.156			\$ 2.195,683		\$ 1.928,172	-12%	\$ 2,105,418	9%	\$ 1,927,549	-8%	\$ 2,361,062	22%

SO3 Plan:												,			·					11 A
					Dec-10 vs. Nov-			Jan-11 vs. Dec-			Feb-11 vs. Jan-	١,		Mar-11 vs. Feb-		۸ 11	Apr-11 vs. Mar- 11 % Change		Mav-11	May-11 vs. Apr- 11 % Change
Expense Month		Nov-10	Dec	10	10 % Change	Jan-1	11	10 % Change		Feb-11	11 % Change	-	Mar-11	11 % Change	-	Apr-11	11 % Change	+	viay-11	11 /0 Change
SO3 Plan Expenses:		36,362		8,665	-21%	\$ 14	4.527	-49%	s	3,580	-75%	s	28,706	702%	s	20,035	-30%	\$	50,214	151%
Reagent - Hydrated Lime - SO3 Total S03 Plan O&M Expenses	S	36,362		8.665	-21%		4.527	-49%	\$	3.580	-75%	\$	28,706	702%	\$	20,035	-30%	\$	50,214	151%
Total	\$	2,095,576	\$ 2,31	1,787		\$ 2,427	7,636	···	\$ 2	2,031,540	•	\$:	2,232,604		\$ 2	2,054,467	:	\$ 5	2,500,225	=

Note 1: Percentage change not calculated because the cost incurred during the prior expense month was \$0.

Note 2: The monthly totals for Disposal Bottom Ash, Disposal Flyash and Disposal Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge have been consolidated due to similarity to better facilitate consistency.

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2012-00262 Operating and Mantenance Expenses (As Revised)

ance Explanations:		
inhydrous Ammonia:		This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CPS) got behind on invoicing BREC, and also at Wilson service hours at
innydrous Ammonia.	Dog-10 vs Nov-10 T	This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they (CFS) got belief of the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by CFS and they was purchased by CFS and they was purchased by CFS and
	1~	concration were lower in November due to a one week historia and an appropriate the concrete on the concrete on the concrete of the concrete on the concrete o
	Jan-11 vs. Dec-10 T	reportation were lower in November due to a one week planned outage plus one farced outage. This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CPS) got behind on invoicing BREC. This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CPS) got behind on invoicing BREC. This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CPS) got behind on invoicing BREC. This was an invoice timing issue at HMP&L due to the fact that Miles Farm Supply was purchased by Crop Production Service and they (CPS) got behind on invoicing BREC.
	Fob 11 vo Inn-11 F	Pohrnary's generation and fuel burn decreased at rivir & by 35% as compared to bandary and week way
	May-11 vs. Apr-11 T	Fiming of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson.
mulsified Sulphur NOx:		Lors champed was added in December.
maismed Daipma, 1104.	Dec-10 vs Nov-10 E	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber chemistry. Less chemical was added in December.
	Ton 11 vs Dec-1018	Emplisified sulfur expense varies month to month because the themical is access a second success.
isposal - Flyash, Bottom	Ash, Sludge:	10,420 more tons of ash were hauled at Coleman due to weather conditions and contractor work load, and more tons were hauled at HMP&L due to March's generation and fuel burn increased by 25% as compa
1300301 1174001 2000000	Mar-11 vs. Feb-11 1	10.420 more tons of ash were hauled at Coleman due to weather conditions and contractor work load, and more tons were hauled at Third &B due to Materia government of the conditions and contractor work load, and more tons were hauled at Third &B due to Materia government.
	t	to February. 11,932 less tons of ash were hauled at Coleman in April due to contractor work load, and April's generation and fuel burn at HMP&L decreased by 35% as compared to March due to a 12 day planned outage.
	Aprell vs Marell 1	11.932 less tons of ash were hauled at Coleman in April due to contractor work load, and April's generation and fuer burn at their burn at the burn at their burn at the burn at
	1101 11 10:1:44	TIME I
	May-11 vs Apr-11 5	5.596 more tons of ash were hauled at Coleman in May due to contractor work load, and May's generation and fuel burn at HMP&L increased by 23% as compared to April.
ixation Lime:		
TXALION LAME.	Dec-10 vs Nov-10	Timing of deliveries and invoicing at Green and HMP&L
	7 0 .00	The set deliverage and investigate at Wilson and HMP&L
	May-11 ve Apr-11	May's generation increased by 23% at HMP&L as compared to April, and generation increased as occurry on the same series of the
Reagent-Limestone:	May-11 vs. 7491-11	Generation increased by 55,628 MW's at Coleman in December over November and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased at Wilson in December due to a
Reagent-Limestone.	Dog 10 vs Nov-10 (Generation increased by 55,628 MW's at Coleman in December over November and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and generation increased as wisson in December and 2,125 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand.
	Dec-10 vs. 110v-10	Sentence of the sentence of th
	May 11 vo Apr. 11	week planned outage in November. Generation increased by 14,683 MW's at Coleman in May over April and 830 more tons of limestone was used due to higher load demand, and timing of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson.
Reagent-Lime:	T 11 Dec 10	January generation increased 6% over December at Green, and timing of deliveries and invoicing at HMP&L
	Jan-11 vs. Dec-10	January generation increased 6% over December at Green, and timing of deliveries and invoicing at HMP&L. February's generation decreased by 16% at Green as compared to January, and timing of deliveries and invoicing at HMP&L.
	rep-11 vs. Jan-111	Petitiany Secretarion detraces 1
Emulsified Sulfur for SO2		Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at Wilson and HMP&L in November and none was added in December. Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at HMP&L in January and none was added in February.
	Dec-10 vs. Nov-10	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at HMP&L in January and none was added in February. Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at HMP&L in March and none was added in April.
	Feb-11 vs. Jan-11	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at HMP&L in March and none was added in April. Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Chemical was added at HMP&L in March and none was added in April.
	Apr-11 vs. Mar-11	Emulsined surfur is ordered on an as needed basis, and water
Reagent-DiBasic Acid:		Service hours and generation increased at Wilson in December due to a 1 week planned outage and one forced outage in November, along with timing of deliveries and invoicing.
	Dec-10 vs. Nov-10	Service hours and generation incleased at winson in Section 2.
	Jan-11 vs. Dec-10	Timing of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson.
	Feb-11 vs. Jan-11	Timing of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson. The state of the state
	Mar-11 vs. Feb-11	Service hours and generation decreased Wilson in March due to a 1 week planned outage.
	Apr-11 vs. Mar-11	Timing of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson.
	Mav-11 vs. Apr-11	Timing of deliveries and invoicing at Wilson.
Reagent-Sodium Bisulfite	for SO2:	Service hours and generation increased at Wilson in December due to a 1 week planned outage and one forced outage in November, and SBS is added following an outage to help restore scrubber chemistry.
	Dec-10 vs. Nov-10	Service hours and generation increased at Wilson in December due to a 1 week plainted datage and one to the control of the con
	Jan-11 vs. Dec-10	Added extra chemical at Wilson to enhance scrubber chemistry.
	Feb-11 vs. Jan-11	Added extra chemical at Wilson in February as scrubber chemistry improved. Added extra chemical at Wilson to enhance scrubber chemistry following 1 week planned outage in March, and added chemical at HMP&L in March.
	37 44 13 1. 11	A 11-1 enter shomical at Wilson to enhance semipher chemistry ionowing I week planned dutage in trader, and dutage in trader,
	1 11 Man 11	It are showing needed at Wilson in April as scripper chemistry improved.
	May-11 vs. Apr-11	Added extra chemical at Wilson to enhance scrubber chemistry.
Reagent-Hydrated Lime-	203.	- A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
The state of the s	Dec-10 vs. Nov-10	Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in December required less chemical than in November. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in January required less chemical than in December. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in February required less chemical than in January.
	Jan-11 vs. Dec-10	Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in January required less chamical than in January
	Feb-11 vs. Jan-11	Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in February required less chemical than in January. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in February required less chemical than in January. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in February required less chemical than in January.
	Mar-11 vs. Feb-11	Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Colder temperatures in regularly reduced to repeate for warmer weather. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Due to the mild weather in April did not used as much chemical as anticipated. Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Due to the mild weather in April did not used as much chemical as anticipated.
		Leave the good was a support on ambient conditions. Due to the mild weather in ADDI did not used as independent on ambient conditions.
	Apr-11 vs Mar-11	Hydrated lime for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Due to the initial weather in Figure during the for SO3 mitigation is dependent on ambient conditions. Warmer weather in May required more chemical than in April.

Case No. 2012-00262 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-3 Witnesses: Ralph A. Ashworth Larry V. Baronowsky Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

Commission shall, to the extent appropriate, incorporate environmed surcharge amounts, found just and reasonable, into the existing back trates of the utility.	se
•	
4 rates of the utility.	
5	
6 a. Does Big Rivers believe any surcharge amounts nee	d to be
7 incorporated into its base rates in conjunction with	this
8 two-year review?	
b. If yes to part (a), provide the surcharge amount that	t Big
10 Rivers believes should be incorporated into its exist	ing
base rates. Explain how the surcharge amount show	ıld be
incorporated into the base rates. Include all suppor	ting
calculations, work papers, and assumptions, as well	ll as,
any analysis that Big Rivers believes supports its pe	sition.
c. Provide the Base Environmental Surcharge Factor	
16 ("BESF") that reflects all environmental surcharge	
17 amounts previously incorporated into existing base	rates
and the amount determined in part (b). Include all	l
19 supporting calculations, work papers, and assump	t ions.
20 d. Does Big Rivers believe that there will need to be	
21 modifications to either the surcharge mechanism o	r the
22 monthly surcharge reports, other than a revision to	BESF,
23 as a result of incorporating environmental surchar	$\cdot ge$

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2011 CASE NO. 2012-00262

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated July 2, 2012

July 18, 2012

1			amounts into Big Rivers' existing base rates? If yes,
2			provide a detailed explanation of the modifications and
3			provide updated monthly surcharge reports.
4			
5	Response)		
6		a.	No. In Case No. 2012-00063, Big Rivers has proposed revisions
7			to its environmental surcharge tariff, including changes to the
8			methodology used for allocating costs under the tariff. Big
9			Rivers believes an environmental surcharge amount should not
10			be incorporated into its base rates until the Commission has
11			ruled on those proposed revisions.
12		b.	Not Applicable.
13		c.	No environmental surcharge amounts have been incorporated
14			into Big Rivers' base rates. As such, the current Base
15			Environmental Surcharge Factor ("BESF") of \$0.00000/kWh
16			reflects all environmental surcharge amounts previously
17			incorporated into existing base rates.
18		d.	Not applicable.
19			
20			
21	Witness)	Ral	lph A. Ashworth
22			