

RECEIVED

NOV 26 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

November 26, 2012

Public Service Commission

VIA FAX #502 564 3460

RE: Case Numbers 2012-00221 and 2012-00222
LG&E and KU

I am writing to object to the structure of the rate increase that subject companies have requested. I am a customer of KU in Lexington.

I have received several letters from KU telling me that my usage is out of line with similar homes. Accordingly, I ordered the KU energy audit and made all follow up changes that were feasible. I had KU re-cycle my old freezer and bought a smaller Energy Star freezer.

I had my old wood stove removed and replaced with a 99% efficient gas log stove.

My usage did go down so I was satisfied with my efforts; however I think that a lot of it was in vain if I now would be expected to pay a higher monthly flat fee. I think it is fairer to base rates on usage.

Further, I object to the efforts of KU and LG&E to continually try to get every dollar invested in upgrades, etc. from users. Financial writers are predicting that earnings from investments are going to average 2 – 3 per cent in the next few years. I think it is obscene for PPL to cling to its profit margins that allow its shareholders to earn in excess of 5%.

Sadie L. Criswell
1647 Duntreath Dr
Lexington KY 40504

