SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ronald M. Sullivan
Jesse T. Mountjoy
Frank Stainback
James M. Miller
Michael A. Fiorella
Allen W. Holbrook
R. Michael Sullivan
Bryan R. Reynolds
Tyson A. Kamuf

Mark W. Starnes

C. Ellsworth Mountjoy Susan Montalvo-Gesser

Mary L. Moorhouse

April 18, 2011

RECEIVED

APR 1 9 2011

Jeff DeRouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re:

An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Six-Month Billing Period Ending January 31, 2011, and the Pass-Through Mechanism for its Three Member Distribution Cooperatives, PSC Case No. 2011-00085

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced matter are an original and ten copies of Big Rivers Electric Corporation's response to the Commission Staff's first data requests and an original and ten copies of the direct testimony of Mark A. Davis in support of the reasonableness of the environmental surcharge mechanisms of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Kenergy Corp. and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation. A copy of this letter, the testimony, and the data request responses have been served on all parties of record.

Sincerely,

34

Tyson Kamuf

TAK/ej Enclosures

cc:

Albert Yockey Sanford Novick Kelly Nuckols Burns Mercer

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2011-00085

VERIFICATION

I, Mark A. Davis, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared, or supervised the preparation of, the data request responses for which I am a witness and the testimony filed with this verification, and that those responses and that testimony are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Mark A. Davis

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
COUNTY OF HENDERSON

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark A. Davis on this the 18 day of April, 2011.

Paula Mitchell
Notary Public, Ky. State at Large
My Commission Expires /-/2-/3

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2011-00085

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Lawrence V. Baronowsky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY (COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. Baronowsky on this the day of April, 2011.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large
My Commission Expires 1-12-13

1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
2	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	
6	AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC)
7	COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)
8	SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS)
9	ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE) CASE NO.
10	SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING) 2011-00085
11	JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH)
12	MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER)
13	DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	DIRECT TESTIMONY
30	OF
31	MARK A. DAVIS
32	ON BEHALF OF
33	BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION
34	AND
35	ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES

DIRECT	TESTI	IONV	OF	MA	DK	٨	DA	VIC
1711814.1		VIR DIN Y	T)r	IVE	\mathbf{n}	Α.	11/4	

_	
′)	

3 Q. Please state your name, business address and occupation.

5 A. My name is Mark A. Davis and my business address is Big Rivers Electric Corporation, 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky, 42420. I am a Senior

7 Accountant.

Q. Please summarize your education and professional experience.

A. I received a B.S. in Accounting in December 2004 from Murray State University ("Murray State") in Murray, Kentucky. I became a Certified Public Accountant in April 2007. In January 2005, after graduating from Murray State, I was employed as an accountant with Alford, Nance and Jones LLP ("Alford Nance") in Madisonville, Kentucky. My duties at Alford Nance included preparing individual and corporate tax returns, auditing clients, and preparing clients' budgets, financial plans, and financial forecasts. In July 2007, I was employed by Phoenix Coal Corp of Madisonville as an accountant. My duties there included preparing and filing severance, excise, and sales/use taxes; coal tracking and quality analysis; preparing the quarterly MD&A; and preparing budgets and forecasts.

Q. Please summarize your duties at Big Rivers.

A.

As a Senior Accountant in the Accounting Department, my principal duties primarily include preparing the monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause Form A and the monthly Environmental Surcharge which are filed with the Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("the Commission"). I also perform accounting duties related to Big Rivers' Member Rate Stability Mechanism, the Unwind Surcredit, and the Non–Fuel Adjustment Clause Purchased Power Adjustment. I am also responsible for calculating and preparing the monthly entries for Big River's debt obligations including Rural Utilities Service loans, Pollution Control Bonds, lines of credit, and letters of credit. I also monitor the debt covenants and budget debt expenses.

Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

A. Yes. I testified at the October 12, 2010 hearing in Big Rivers' Six-Month FAC review proceeding for the six-month period ending April 30, 2010 (Case No. 2010-00269). I also testified at the March 22, 2011 hearing in Big Rivers' FAC review proceeding for the period from July 17, 2009 through October 31, 2010 (Case No. 2010-00495). I also prepared and filed data responses in Big Rivers' Environmental Surcharge Proceeding for the six-month billing period ending January 31, 2010 in Case No. 2010-00368.

Q. On whose behalf are you filing this testimony?

A. I am filing this testimony on behalf of Big Rivers and its three member distribution cooperatives, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation ("JPEC"), Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy"), and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Meade County") (JPEC, Kenergy, and Meade County are collectively referred to herein as the "Members").

8 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the application of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism as billed from August 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011 (which corresponds to the expense months June 2010 through November 2010 and service months July 2010 through December 2010). Additionally, I have coordinated with Big Rivers' Members in the preparation of this testimony and prepared the responses to the Commission's first data requests ("Commission's Initial Requests") which accompany this testimony.

This testimony includes information the Members' have provided me in support of their pass-through mechanisms that are also under review in this proceeding and that the Members use to pass through to their retail members the costs Big Rivers charges to them under Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism. As can be seen in my response to Item 2 of the Commission's Initial Requests, the review period for the Members' pass-through mechanisms that corresponds to the

1		August 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011 billing period for Big Rivers'
2		environmental surcharge mechanism are the billing months of September 2010
3		through February 2011 for non-dedicated delivery point customers, and August
4		1, 2010 through January 31, 2011 for dedicated delivery point customers (i.e.,
5		there is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers).
6		
7	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge
8		mechanism.
9		
10	A.	Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism was approved by the
11		Commission by Order dated June 25, 2008, in Case No. 2007-00460. Big Rivers'
12		environmental surcharge went into effect immediately following the July 16,
13		2009, closing of the Unwind Transaction for service commencing July 17, 2009.
14		The Commission approved the Unwind Transaction by its Order dated March 6,
15		2009, in Case No. 2007-00455.
16		
17		Big Rivers issued an amendment to its Environmental Surcharge tariff on
18		December 17, 2010, to conform the tariff language with the Commission-
19		approved form for calculating the Environmental Surcharge. That amendment
20		was accepted by the Commission on January 18, 2011. The amendment does not
21		affect the operation of the Environmental Surcharge.
22		

Big Rivers' environmental compliance plan approved by the Commission in Case No. 2007-00460 consists of a program and the costs associated with controlling each of sulfur dioxide ("SO₂"), nitrogen oxide ("NOx"), and sulfur trioxide ("SO₃"). The environmental surcharge costs Big Rivers may recover under KRS 278.183, and its environmental compliance plan, include reagent costs, sludge and ash disposal costs, and allowance costs.

For the SO₂ program, Big Rivers recovers through its environmental surcharge mechanism the costs of reagents, the costs for the disposal of coal combustion byproducts (fly ash, bottom ash, and scrubber sludge), and the costs of purchasing SO₂ emission allowances. For the NOx program, Big Rivers recovers the costs of reagents and the costs of purchasing additional NOx emission allowances as needed. For the SO₃ program, Big Rivers recovers the costs of a reagent. Due to generating unit design and Big Rivers' compliance plan, no Big Rivers generating unit utilizes all the same reagents. Depending on the unit facilities, various reagents are used to treat the flue gas, thereby removing the three targeted emissions. The SO₂ reagents are comprised of emulsified sulfur, lime, fixation lime, limestone, dibasic acid, and sodium bisulfite. The NOx reagents are comprised of anhydrous ammonia and emulsified sulfur. The SO₃ reagent is comprised of lime hydrate.

Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism is comprised of the specific environmental compliance operating expenses described above, less proceeds

1		from by-product and emission allowance sales, plus or minus an ongoing
2		cumulative over- or under-recovery adjustment.
3		
4	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of the Members' pass-through mechanisms.
5		
6	A.	The Members' pass-through mechanisms allow each Member to bill its retail
7		customers for the portion of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge that Big Rivers
8		bills each Member. JPEC's pass-through mechanism was approved by the
9		Commission in Case No. 2008-00010; Kenergy's mechanism was approved by
10		the Commission in Case No. 2008-00009; and Meade County's was approved by
11		the Commission in Case No. 2007- 00470.
12		
13	Q.	Has Big Rivers sold any SO ₂ or NOx allowances during the expense months
14		of June 2010 through November 2010?
15		
16	A.	No. Big Rivers has not sold any SO ₂ or NOx allowances during the expense
17		months of June 2010 through November 2010.
18		
19	Q.	Please summarize how the Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor
20		("MESF") is calculated for Big Rivers' environmental surcharge
21		mechanism?
22		

1 A. The jurisdictional portion of Big Rivers' actual (booked) eligible environmental 2 surcharge cost of the second preceding billing month (e.g., November 2010 3 expense month; December 2010 service; January 2011), as adjusted for any over-4 or under- recovery carry-forward, is divided by the jurisdictional kWh sales of the 5 second preceding billing month to determine the MESF. The MESF is then 6 applied to the actual kWh service of the month following the expense month. 7 8 Q. Has Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism been accurately 9 compiled, and is it operating as intended? 10 11 A. Yes. 12 13 0. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and 14 are they operating as intended? 15 16 A. The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately 17 compiled and are operating as intended. 18 19 Q. Are the amounts charged under Big Rivers' environmental surcharge 20 mechanism during the review period just and reasonable? 21 22 A. Yes. 23

1	Q.	Are the amounts charged under the Members' pass-through mechanisms
2		during the review period just and reasonable?
3		
4	A.	The Members believe the amounts charged under their pass-through mechanisms
5		are just and reasonable.
6		
7	Q.	Do Big Rivers and its Members have additional over- or under-recovery
8		amounts they believe need to be recognized?
9		
10	A.	No. Big Rivers and its Members are not requesting any additional over- or under-
11		recovery amount. The normal over/under recovery carry-forward element of Big
12		Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism is operating as intended.
13		
14	Q.	Does Big Rivers recover any capital costs through its environmental
15		surcharge mechanism?
16		
17	A.	No. Big Rivers only recovers those variable costs mentioned above (reagent,
18		disposal, and allowance costs).
19		
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
21		
22	A.	Yes.

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER **DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES** CASE NO. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011 April 19, 2011

Item 1)	Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of $E(m)$ and the
surcharge f	actor for the expense months covered by the billing periods under review.
Form 1.1 c	an be used as a model for this summary. Include the expense months for
the two exp	ense months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and
under-recov	very adjustments for the months included for the billing period. Include a
calculation	of any additional over- or under-recovery amount Big Rivers believes needs
to be recog	nized for the six-month review. Include all supporting calculations and
documentat	ion for the additional over- or under-recovery.

Please see the attached four page schedule, in the format of Form 1.10, Response) covering each of the expense months of June 2010 through January 2011 (billed in August 2010 through March 2011), the six months under review plus the immediately following two months. Big Rivers is not seeking any additional over- or under-recovery.

Witness) Mark A. Davis

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17 18

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

Case No. 2011-00085 Witness: Mark A. Davis ES Item 1 Page 1 of 1

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2010 - January 2011

1	E(m) = OE - BAS, where						
2	OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses						
3	BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and A	llowance Sales		June 2010			July 2010
4 ~ I				***************************************			
5			E	nvironmental		E	nvironmental
6				mpliance Plans			mpliance Plans
7							
8	OF		æ	2 522 504		₽	2 507 666
9	OE	=	\$	2,522,594	=	\$	2,507,666
10 11	BAS	=		-	==		-
12	E(m)	=	\$	2,522,594	===	\$	2,507,666
13			Ψ	2,522,571		Ψ	2,507,000
14							
15	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Bill	ng Factor					
16				***************************************			
17							
18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=		89.983856%	===	_	89.913254%
19	Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	=	\$	2,269,927	=	\$	2,254,724
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=		104,207	=		101,193
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	****		-	=		-
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment	for Over/(Under)					
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	==	\$	2,165,720	-	\$	2,153,531
24							
25	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the	: Month =		895,434,778	-		936,132,880
26 27							
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	-		\$0.002419	=		\$0.002300
	_(, ,			40.00			30.00=200

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2010 - January 2011

1 2 3 4	E(m) =OE - BAS, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		I	August 2010		Se	ptember 2010
5			F	nvironmental	***************************************	F	nvironmental
6				mpliance Plans			mpliance Plans
7				•			
8							
9	OE	==	\$	2,493,391	-	\$	2,227,386
10	BAS	==		-	=		-
11	w						
12	E(m)	=	\$	2,493,391	=	\$	2,227,386
14				······································			
15	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
16				7200 Pris 2000 P			***************************************
17	Total distinct Allegation Datis Confirment Mand			00.0000750/			00.00110707
18 19	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	88.898875%	=	ď	89.981106%
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery	=	Ф	2,216,597 98,785	=	\$	2,004,227 23,989
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	_		70,703			23,767
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under)						
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	==	\$	2,117,812		\$	1,980,238
24							
25	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month	===		946,747,828	===		838,864,886
26							
27							
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:			00.00000			00.000344
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	=		\$0.002237	=		\$0.002361
L							

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2010 - January 2011

1	E(m) = OE - BAS, where						
2	OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses						
3	BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Al	lowance Sales	(October 2010		Νe	vember 2010
4							
5			-			_	
6				nvironmental			nvironmental
7			Co	mpliance Plans		Coi	npliance Plans
8							
9	OE	=	\$	2,233,385	=	\$	2,122,193
10	BAS	=			=		_
11							
12	E(m)	=	\$	2,233,385	=	\$	2,122,193
13							
14							
15	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billin	ng Factor					
16	E-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-						
17				00 70 60 70 6			
18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	=		90.786825%	*****		88.027118%
19	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	2,027,619	-	\$	1,868,105
20	Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery			(241,271)	=		(51,094)
21	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	name.		-	=		-
22	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment	for Over/(Under)					
23	plus Prior Period Adjustment	=	\$	2,268,890	=	\$	1,919,199
24							
25	Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the	Month =		817,087,685	=		824,335,603
26							
27							
28	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
29	Net Jurisdictional E(m) / Jurisdictional S(m); Per Kwh	===		\$0.002777	-		\$0.002328

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: June 2010 - January 2011

OE BAS	 Pollution Control Operating Expenses Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sa 	ales	Do	ecember 2010		J	anuary 2011
				nvironmental mpliance Plans		-	nvironmental mpliance Plans
OE		Manufacture Manufacture	\$	2,341,126	=	\$	2,451,684
BAS		===		-	=		-
E(m)		*****	\$	2,341,126	=	\$	2,451,684
Calculation of	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor				****		
Jurisdictional /	Allocation Ratio for Expense Month	-		86.693548%	=		84.946610%
	$E(m) = E(m) \times \text{Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio}$	=	\$	2,029,605	=	\$	2,082,622
1	Over/(Under) Recovery	=		20,290	=		286,368
Prior Period A	djustment (if necessary)	=		(60,126)	===		34,414
Net Jurisdictio	nal E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(U	Jnder)					
	plus Prior Period Adjustment	Nomen Name	\$	1,949,189	=	\$	1,830,668
		=		947,408,384	name Video		961,948,971
Jurisdictional S	S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month						
	S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011 April 19, 2011

Item 2) For each of the three Member Cooperatives, prepare a summary schedule showing the Member Cooperative's pass-through revenue requirement for the months corresponding with the six-month review. Include the two months subsequent to the billing period included in the review periods. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount the Member Cooperative believes needs to be recognized for the six-month review. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for the additional over- or under-recovery.

Response) The attached two schedules, one for non-dedicated delivery points and one for dedicated delivery points, reflect Big Rivers' distribution cooperative members' environmental surcharge pass-through for the months corresponding to Big Rivers' six expense months of June 2010 through November 2010, service months of July 2010 through December 2010, which Big Rivers billed to its members August 2010 through January 2011. There is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers.

As requested by the Commission, the attached schedules include the members' two billing months immediately following the six-month Big Rivers' billing period under review. The information on the attached schedules was provided by Big Rivers' Members, except that, at this time April information for Kenergy's and JPEC's dedicated delivery point customers is not available, and Kenergy's March information for its non-dedicated delivery point customers is not available. Big Rivers will update these schedules once the respective April and March information is available. Other than the on-going cumulative over/under recovery mechanism, no additional over/under recovery amount is requested.

 Case No. 2011-00085 Witness: Mark A. Davis ES Item 2 Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011 April 19, 2011

Witness) Mark A. Davis

Case No. 2011-00085 Witness: Mark A. Davis ES Item 2 Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Data Request dated March 22, 2011

KENERGY CORP-ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE:	SIX MONTH REVIEW
NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CU	STOMERS

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Mo/Yr July-10 Aug-10 Sept-10 Oct-10	Big Rivers Electric Invoice Amount for Service Month \$ 311,711 297,403 214,535 179,028	Over/Under Recovery from column (f) \$ (52,576) (10,516) (8,945) 38,924	Total Recoverable column (b) + (c) \$ 259,135 286,887 205,590 217,952	Month Billing Occurs to Retail Customer \$ 269,410 251,153 268,080 247,963	Remaining Over/Under Recovery (Column (d) 2nd preceding month less column (e) current month) \$ (52,576) (10,516) (8,945) 38,924
Nov-10 Dec-10 Jan-11 Feb-11 Mar-11 Apr-11	246,574 306,397 268,235 193,924	22,494 (37,524) (82,168) 4,672		183,096 255,476 351,236 264,201	22,494 (37,524) (82,168) 4,672

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

<u>(a)</u>	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Big Rivers Electric Invoice Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	Month Billing Occurs	Remaining (Over)/Under Recovery (Column (d) 2nd preceding
Service		Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column
Mo/Yr	Month	from column (f)		Customer	(e) current month)
Jul-10	\$ 179,949	\$ (55,121)	\$ 124,828	\$ 166,482	\$ (48,940)
Aug-10	171,248	(48,940)	122,308	193,002	(22,992)
Sep-10	119,364	(22,992)	96,372	110,624	14,204
Oct-10	99,597	14,204	113,801	76,717	45,591
Nov-10	133,941	45,591	179,532	76,138	20,234
Dec-10	165,291	20,234	185,525	160,939	(47,138)
Jan-11	144,991	(47,138)	97,853	272,810	(93,278)
Feb-11	106,243	(93,278)	12,965	173,641	11,884
Mar-11				71,117	26,736
Apr-11					

MEADE COUNTY RECC - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	(f)
	Big	Rivers Electric					Remaining
		Invoice			-	Month	(Over)/Under Recovery
		Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	-	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding
Service		for Service	Recovery	Recoverable	-	to Retail	month less column
Mo/Yr		Month	from column (f)	column (b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)
Jul-10	\$	116,038	(3,671)	\$ 112,367	7	\$ 85,511	\$ (22,925)
Aug-10		109,272	(1,357)	107,916	}	79,100	(3,671)
Sep-10		79,023	23,882	102,905	;	113,723	(1,357)
Oct-10		69,354	16,990	86,344	H	84,034	23,882
Nov-10		101,359	(20,061)	81,298	3	85,915	16,990
Dec-10		138,048	(43,979)	94,069)	106,405	(20,061)
Jan-11		120,297	962	121,259)	125,277	(43,979)
Feb-11		83,975	23,150	107,125	5	93,107	962
Mar-11					-	98,109	23,150
Apr-11						87,608	19,517

Case No. 2011-00085 Witness: Mark A. Davis Item 2 - Attachment

Page 1 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION Response to Commission Staff's Data Request dated March 22, 2011

KENERGY	CORP-ENVIRON	MENTAL	SURCHARGE:	SIX MONTH	REVIEW
	DEDICATED	DELIVER	Y POINT CUST	OMERS	

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Big Rivers Electric		Monthly
	Invoice	Service Month	Over/Under
	Amount	to	(Column (b)
Service	for Service	Retail	less column (c)
Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	
July-10	\$1,654,242	\$1,654,242	\$0
Aug-10	1,596,061	1,596,061	0
Sept-10	1,460,359	1,460,359	0
Oct-10	1,578,935	1,578,935	0
Nov-10	1,804,942	1,804,942 *	0
Dec-10	1,593,085	1,593,085	0
Jan-11	1,443,240	1,443,240	0
Feb-11	1,156,760	1,156,760	0

^{*}Originally reported on the November schedule as \$1,804,859

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Big Rivers Electric		
	Invoice	Service Month	Monthly
	Amount	То	(Over)/Under Recovery
Service	for Service	Retail	(Column (b)
Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	Less column (c)
Jul-10	\$2,565	\$2,565	\$0
Aug-10	3,536	3,536	0
Sep-10	3,260	3,260	0
Oct-10	2,230	2,230	o l
Nov-10	2,364	2,364	ol
Dec-10	2,747	2,747	0
Jan-11	1,966	1,966	0
Feb-11	1,377	1,377	0

Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation has no dedicated delivery point customers.

:			

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER **DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES** CASE NO. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011 April 19, 2011

		1.p. 1.3, 2.4.1
1	Item 3)	Refer to Form 2.5, Operating and Maintenance Expenses, for each of
2	the expense	months covered by each billing period under review. For each of the
3	expense line	items listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the
4	expense leve	ls from month to month if that change is greater than plus or minus 10
5	percent.	
6		
7	Response)	Please see the attached schedules, including the requested variance
8	explanations,	for the expense months May, 2010 through November, 2010. Please note,
9	May 2010 is	only being shown for purposes of calculating the variances in the first month
10	of the review	period.
11		
12		
13	Witnesses)	Mark A. Davis (Schedules of Operating and Maintenance Expenses)
14		Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes in Expense Levels)
15		
16		
17		
18		
10		

Case No. 2011-00085

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules of Operating and Maintenance Expenses) and Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes in Expense Levels) ES Item 3 Page 1 of 1

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES Case No. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

4 For Expense Months May 2010 - November 2010

9 ~ 00

9 10 111

Expense Month	MAY-10	JUN-10	% Change	JUL-10	% Change	AUG-10	% Change	SEP-10	% Change	OCT-10	% Change	NOV-10	% Change
•			trom		trom		from		from		from		from
Rilling Month	0171111	A11C-10	prior	SEP-10	prior	OCT-10	prior	NOV-10	prior	DEC-10	prior	14 N-11	prior
		21.001	period		period	01-130	period	07-1011	period	-	period	•	period
NOx Plan													
Anhydrous Ammonia	109,684	197,406	%08	172,19	-54%	145,959	%09	109,387	-25%	107,489	-2%	68,041	-37%
Emulsified Sulphur for NOx	6,198	5,550	-10%	5,508	-1%	5,499		5,536	%	6,040	%6	56,583	837%
Individual Expense Account Items	,			,				•		1		•	
Individual Expense Account Items	-	_		•		,		•		•		,	
Total NOx Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 115,882 \$ 20	\$ 202,956	75%	8 96,779	-52%	\$ 151,458	26%	\$ 114,923	-24%	\$ 113,529	%1-	\$ 124,624	10%

Anhydrous Ammonia:

Decrease is due to 7% less generation at HMP&L and invoice payment timing at HMP&L and Wilson. Increase is due to 14% increase in generation and invoice payment timing at HMP&L. July - August May - June fune - July

Increase is due to 12% increase in generation at HMP&L, invoice payment timing at HMP&L and one additional delivery at Wilson.

Decrease is due to 11% less generation at HMP&L and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to invoice payment timing at HMP&L.

Emulsified Sulfur for NOx:

May - June

October - November

October - November

August - September

Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Decrease is due to less chemical required at HMP&L in June.

Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.

Increase is due to more chemical required and invoice payment timing at $ext{HMP\&L}$ and Wilson in November

Case No. 2011-00085

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes)

Item 3 - Attachment

Page 1 of 5

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES Case No. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

4 For Expense Months May 2010 - November 2010

				% Change		% Change		% Change		% Change		% Change		% Change
9	Expense Month	MAY-10	01-NOS	from	JUL-10	from	AUG-10	from	SEP-10	from	OCT-10	from	NOV-10	from
7	Billing Month	JUL-10	AUG-10	prior períod	SEP-10	prior period	OCT-10	prior period	NOV-10	prior period	DEC-10	prior	JAN-11	prior period
∞	S02 Plan													
(Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge													
کر	(See note 2)	\$ 296,829	\$ 447,767	51%	\$ 487,159	%	\$ 410,326	-16%	\$ 478,557	17%	\$ 461,356	-4%	\$ 381,091	-17%
01	Emulsified Sulphur for SO2	•	9,736	See Note 1	9,379	4%	•	-100%	•	See Note 1	•	See Note i	6,187	See Note 1
11	Fixation Lime	169,654	295,046	74%	200,453	-32%	209,950	%5	173,949	-17%	154,396	-11%	197,416	78%
12	Off Spec Gypsum	,	,		•		•					******		
	Reagent-Calcium Oxide													
2	(Ianum Stavinzation)	•	'		•		•							
4	Reagent-DiBasic Acid	83,430	125,403	20%	133,992	1%	116,231	-13%	147,201	27%	110,045	-25%	36,060	-67%
15	Reagent-Lime	818,239	958,982	17%	1,035,982	%8	1,035,527	%0	871,041	-16%	1,022,647	17%	1,041,666	2%
16	Reagent-Limestone	324,581	1335,991	4%	324,331	-3%	382,358	18%	286,658	-25%	210,260	-27%	264,333	792
17	Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO2	74,787	3,193	%96-	62,217	1849%	45,638	-27%	65,318	43%	34,699	47%	4,837	%98-
18	Total S02 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 1,767,520 \$ 2,1	\$ 2,176,118	23%	\$ 2,253,513	4%	\$ 2,200,030	-5%	\$ 2,022,724	%8-	\$ 1,993,403	%1-	\$ 1,934,590	-3%
19	Note 1: Percentage change compared to prior month cannot be calculated because the cost in the prior month is S0.	cannot be calc	nated because	the cost in the	príor month is	.80								
20	20 Note 2 The monthly totals for Disposal Bottom Ash, Disposal Flyash and Disposal Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge have been consolidated due to similarity to better facilitate consistency.	Disposal Flyash	and Disposal I	lyash/Botton	Ash/Sludge ha	ive been cons	olidated due to	similarity to	better facilita	e consistency	.•			
21														
22	Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge:													
23	May - June	Increase is	due to incre	ased gener	Increase is due to increased generation at Reid, Green and HMP&L	d, Green a	nd HIMP&L							
24	July - August	Decrease is due t	due to redu	ction in to	ns of botton	ı ash haule	ed at Reid an	nd Colema	n and fewer	tons of fly	to reduction in tons of bottom ash hauled at Reid and Coleman and fewer tons of fly ash hauled at Coleman	at Colema	s	
25														
26	August - September	Increase is	due to incre	ase in tons	Increase is due to increase in tons of bottom ash hauled at Green, Coleman and HMP&L	sh hauled	at Green, C	oleman an	d HIMP&L					
27	October - November	Decrease is due	due to redu	ction in to	to reduction in tons of bottom ash hauled at Coleman, Wilson, and Green	ı ash haule	ed at Colema	an, Wilson	. and Green					
28														

Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge:

Case No. 2011-00085

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes) Item 3 - Attachment

Page 2 of 5

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES Case No. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011

	5
	i
ζ	
	į
č	0
••	-

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

For Expense Months May 2010 - November 2010

Emulsified Sulphur for SO2: 9

July - August

00 6

Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency Increase is due to no chemical added during May and one load was used at Wilson in June. May - June

Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency

Decrease is due to no chemical added at Wilson in August. October - November

Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency

Increase is due to no chemical was-added during October and one load was used at Wilson in November.

Fixation Lime:

May - June

June - July

increase is due to 14% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to 7% less generation at HMP&L and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to 11% less generation at HMP&L and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to invoice payment timing at Wilson. September - October

Increase is due to invoice payment timing at HMP&L

Reagent-DiBasic Acid:

October - November

August - September

Increase is due to scheduled delivery and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to scheduled delivery and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to scheduled delivery and invoice payment timing at Wilson. Increase is due to scheduled delivery and invoice payment timing at Wilson.

Decrease is due to data entry error at Wilson. November payment not posted to correct account.

Reagent-Lime:

May - June

September - October

September - October October - November

August - September

July - August

May - June

Increase is due to 14% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L August - September

Decrease is due to 11% less generation at Green and HMP&L

Increase is due to 10% increase in generation at Green and invoice payment timing at Green and HMP&L.

Case No. 2011-00085

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes)

Item 3 - Attachment

Page 3 of 5

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES

Case No. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011

ation
orpor
ric C
Elect
Rivers
Big
-

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

For Expense Months May 2010 - November 2010

Reagent-Limestone:

Decrease is due to 28 day outage on Coleman Unit 2 and 14 day scrubber outage at Coleman during October. Increase is due to 28 day outage on Coleman Unit 2 and 14 day scrubber outage at Coleman during October. Decrease is due to reduced generation at Coleman month and invoice payment timing at Wilson. Increase is due to increased generation at Coleman and invoice payment timing at Wilson. September - October October - November August - September July - August

Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO2:

Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Decrease is due to reduced deliveries at Green and data entry error at Wilson. June payment not posted to correct account. increase is due to correcting the data error at Wilson in July. July - August May - June June - July

Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. increase is due to delivery and payment timing at Wilson in September Decrease is due to delivery and payment timing at Wilson in August. September - October August - September

Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Decrease is due to delivery and payment timing at Wilson in October.

Decrease is due to delivery and payment timing and data entry error at Wilson in November. Payment did not post to correct

October - November

Case No. 2011-00085

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes)

ftem 3 - Attachment

Page 4 of 5

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JANUARY 31, 2011 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES Case No. 2011-00085

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated March 22, 2011

1 Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

For Expense Months May 2010 - November 2010

9

Expense Month	MAY-10		JUN-10	% Change	•	IUL-10 %	6 Change	AUG-10	% Chang		SEP-10	% Change	OCT-10	% Change	NOV-10	% Chang
•				from			from		from			from)	from	•	from
Billing Month	JUL-10		AUG-10	prior	•••	SEP-10	prior	OCT-10	prior	-	VOV-10	prior	DEC-10	prior	JAN-11	prior
S03 Plan		<u> </u>								_						
Reagent - Hydrated Lime - SO3	\$ 58,	58,542 \$	796,567	65%	S	95,205	-1%	\$ 92,329	-3%	S	61,483	-33%	\$ 46,453	-24%	\$ 36,362	52 -22%
Individual Expense Account Items		1	,			•							,			•
Individual Expense Account Items			ı			,		·	,		•		•			
Total S03 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 58,542 \$	542 \$	96,567	%59	5	95,205	%1-	\$ 92,329	-3%		\$ 61,483	-33%	\$ 46,453	-24%	\$ 36,362	-22%

Reagent for SO3:

May - June

August - September

October - November September - October

Decrease is due to less chemical is required during cooler weather to control SO3 at Wilson in September. Increase is due to warmer weather requiring more chemical for SO3 control at Wilson.

Decrease is due to less chemical is required during cooler weather to control SO3 at Wilson in October.

Decrease is due to less chemical is-required during cooler weather to control SO3 and 7 day outage at Wilson in November.