STITES & HARBISON PLLC

ATTORNEYS

250 West Main Street 2300 Lexington Financial Center Lexington, KY 40507-1758 [859] 226-2300 [859] 253-9144 Fax www.stites.com

February 14, 2011

Mark R. Overstreet (502) 209-1219 (502) 223-4387 FAX moverstreet@stites.com

HAND DELIVERED

Jeff R. Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615 PECEIVED
FEB 1 4 2011
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: P.S.C. Case No. 2010-00490

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed please find and accept for filing the original and ten copies of Kentucky Power Company's responses to the data requests propounded with the Commission's January 26, 2011 Order in this matter. Also filed with this letter are the original and ten copies of the testimony of Lila P. Munsey and Kimberly K. Chilcote on behalf of Kentucky Power Company.

Copies of the data request responses and testimony are being served with this letter on counsel for the Attorney General and Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours

Mark R. Overstreet

MRO

cc:

Michael L. Kurtz

Dennis G. Howard, II

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RECEIVED

EED 1 / 2011

	LED T & COLL
In the Matter of:	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2008 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2010))) CASE NO. 2010-00490))

PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

Kentucky Power Company ("Kentucky Power") moves the Commission pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, for an Order granting confidential treatment to Kentucky Power's Response to Staff Data Request No. 24(b).

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 an original of the responses for which confidential treatment is sought is filed as part of Kentucky Power's original filing in response to the Information requests. In addition, ten redacted copies of the subject Response are filed with the remaining Responses to the Data Requests.

The Request And The Statutory Standard. Α.

Staff Data Request 24(b) requires Kentucky Power to file and disclose:

For each solicitation [for coal purchases issued during the period May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010], state the number of vendors to whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

Kentucky Power does not object to providing to the Commission the data sought in Staff Data Request 24(b). However, the data should be afforded confidential treatment.

KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) excludes from the Open Records Act:

Upon and after July 15, 1992, records confidentially disclosed to an agency or required to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the entity that disclosed the records.

This exception applies to Kentucky Power's response to Staff Data Request 24(b).

B. <u>Kentucky Power's Fuel Procurement Practices And The Competitive Fuel and Energy Markets.</u>

Kentucky Power's bid solicitation is handled by the fuel procurement personnel in the Fuel, Emissions and Logistics group of American Electric Power Service

Corporation ("AEPSC"). In negotiating fuel supply and transportation agreements,

AEPSC seeks to obtain the lowest reasonable delivered cost of fuel for the Company's customers. Fuel and transportation suppliers, on the other hand, are interested in obtaining the highest price possible for their commodity or service. Making future pricing, or company strategy with regards to fuel acquisition or other competitively sensitive information available to the suppliers with which the Company is negotiating compromises AEPSC's ability to obtain the lowest reasonable cost of fuel for customers.

C. The Information Is Generally Recognized As Confidential and Proprietary.

First, the records to be filed with the Commission are "generally recognized as confidential or proprietary." The request calls not only for proposals made by the various coal bidders, but also for the internal evaluation of each bid. This bid information and evaluation is highly confidential, and confidentiality is critical to the bid process. Dissemination of the information for which confidential treatment is being requested is restricted by Kentucky Power and AEPSC, and the Company and AEPSC

take all reasonable measures to prevent its disclosure to the public as well as persons within the Company who do not have a need for the information.

In further support of this Request for Confidential Treatment for the data sought by Data Request 24(b), Kentucky Power incorporates by reference the Company's similar Motion and Pleadings in prior Fuel Adjustment Clause proceedings, such as Case Nos. 2010-00264; 2008-00518; 2007-00522; 2007-00276; 2006-00507; 2004-00463; 2004-00211, 2003-00453, 2000-495-B, 98-562-A and 98-562-B. Kentucky Power further states that the Commission has not denied confidential treatment to similar information in prior fuel clause proceedings.

D. <u>Disclosure Of The Information Will Result In An Unfair Commercial Advantage</u>.

In general, the disclosure of the confidential information also will result in an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of Kentucky Power and its coal suppliers. For example, if a prospective coal supplier learns through review of AEPSC's analysis of responses to Kentucky Power's coal solicitation the Company was willing to pay \$25 per ton for a certain quality and quantity of coal, that supplier could resist lowering its price below \$25 per ton. Similarly, and by example only, knowledge that Kentucky Power currently is paying \$22 to \$27 per ton under contracts executed as a result off the solicitations issued between May 1, 2010 and October 31, 2010 may yield a similar result. In either case, a supplier will gain an unfair bargaining advantage – an advantage that would work to the detriment of Kentucky Power and its ratepayers. Additionally, in either case prospective suppliers considering a bid of less than \$25 per ton would be inclined to increase their bid closer to \$25 per ton. Such a result is antithetical to the purpose of the bidding process and contrary to the goal of providing

the lowest reasonable retail electric rates. Moreover, to the extent disclosure would result in higher coal prices, Kentucky Power's energy rates would increase.

Accordingly, Kentucky Power would be placed at a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis other energy suppliers, especially in the off-system sales market.

Equally important is the fact Kentucky Power is a regulated electric utility, with the Commission serving to protect the public interest in the absence of competition. If Kentucky Power's coal prices go up because of public disclosure of the commercially sensitive information, Kentucky Power, its customers and the regulatory process will suffer.

E. The Information Is Required To Be Disclosed To An Agency.

Finally, the records requested in Staff Data Request 24(b) are by the terms of the Data Request required to be disclosed to the Commission, a "public agency" as that term is defined at KRS 61.870(1). Kentucky Power acknowledges its coal purchase procedures are subject to Commission review, and that parties to this fuel clause proceeding should have access to the information sought through Staff Data Request 24(b). Any filing, however, should be subject to a confidentiality order and any party requesting such information should enter into a confidentiality agreement. If such an agreement cannot be reached, the information should be subject to a protective order issued pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7(5)(b).

Wherefore, Kentucky Power Company respectfully requests the Commission to enter an Order:

According confidential status to and withholding from pubic inspection
 Kentucky Power's responses to Staff Data Request 24(b); and

2. Granting Kentucky Power all further relief to which it may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark R. Overstreet

R. Benjamin Crittenden

STITES & HARBISON PLLC

421 West Main Street

P. O. Box 634

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0634

Telephone: (502) 223-3477

COUNSEL FOR KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing (along with redacted copies of the information for which confidential treatment is sought) was served by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following parties of record, this 14th day of February, 2011.

Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 2110 CBLD Center 36 East Seventh Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Dennis Howard II Assistant Attorney General Office for Rate Intervention P. O. Box 2000 Frankfort, KY 40602-2000

Mark R. Overstreet

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

RECEIVED

FEB 1 4 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION)
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY) CASE NO. 2010-00490
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2008 THRU OCTOBER 31,)
2010)

KENTUCKÝ POWER COMPANY RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

AFFIDAVIT

Aaron M. Sink, upon being first duly sworn, hereby makes oath that if the foregoing questions were propounded to him at a hearing before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky, he would give the answers recorded following each of said questions and that said answers are true.

Aaron M. Sink

Commonwealth of Kentucky

) Case No. 2010-00490

County of Boyd

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence by Aaron Sink, this the 11th day of February, 2011.

My Commission Expires: 01-05-2015

Wotay IOH 432510

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

IN THE MATTER OF

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION)
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY) CASE NO. 2010-00490
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2008 THRU OCTOBER 31,)
2010)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

KIMBERLY K. CHILCOTE

ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

I. INTRODUCTION

rican Electric
Electric Power
as Manager,
t Nationwide
KGROUND.
r of Chemical
D.
t and
uality checks
in 2007 to the
d shipment of
ransferred to
acilities and
Procurement.
IBILITY AS
P?

1	A.	I am responsible for the procurement of coal for three of AEP's Operating
2		Companies including: Ohio Power Company ("OPCo"), Columbus Southern
3		Power Company ("CSP") and Kentucky Power ("KPCo"). I am also an agent for
4		the Cardinal Operating Company.
5	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY REGULATORY AGENCIES?
6	A.	Yes. I have testified before the Kentucky Public Service Commission on behalf
7		of Kentucky Power Company during the Company's last six-month fuel review in
8		Case No. 2010-00264.
9		III. PURPOSE
10	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
11		PROCEEDING?
12	A.	As directed by the Commission, the purpose of my testimony in this proceeding is
13		to address the following issues for the review period from November 2008
14		through October 2010:
15		a) Coal suppliers' adherence to contract delivery schedules during the review
16		period.
17		b) KPCo's efforts to ensure coal suppliers' adherence to contract delivery
18		schedules during the review period.
19		c) KPCo's efforts to maintain the adequacy of its coal supplies in light of any
20		coal suppliers' inability or unwillingness to make contract coal deliveries.
21		d) Any changes in coal market conditions that occurred during the review
22		period or that KPCo expects to occur within the next two years that have

1		significantly affected or will significantly affect KPCo's coal procurement
2		practices.
3		e) The reasonableness of KPCo's fuel procurement practices during the
4		review period.
5		IV. CONTRACT DELIVERIES
6	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE KENTUCKY POWER'S COAL
7		SUPPLIERS' ADHERENCE TO LONG-TERM CONTRACT DELIVERY
8		SCHEDULES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?
9	A.	During the two year review period, the Company had twelve long-term
10		agreements with nine contract suppliers. These suppliers were: Appalachian
11		Fuels, LLC ("Appalachian"), Argus Energy, LLC ("Argus"), Beech Fork
12		Processing, Inc. ("Beech Fork"), ICG, LLC ("ICG"), INR - WV Operating, LLC
13		("INR"), Kentucky Fuel Corporation, MC Mining, LLC ("MC Mining"), Rhino
14		Energy LLC ("Rhino"), and Trinity Coal Marketing, LLC ("Trinity").
15		The following table provides pertinent data regarding Kentucky Power's coal
16		suppliers' adherence to contract delivery schedules during the review period from
17		November 2008 through October 2010. For 2008 and 2010 contract deliveries,
18		the amounts for each contract are prorated to reflect only the appropriate portion
19		of the year within the two year review period.

Vendor	Tons Delivered	Percent of Commitment
Appalachian Fuels	29,165	73%
Argus Energy, LLC	396,448	91%
Beech Fork Processing, Inc (2 Agreements)	1,381,744	104%
ICG, LLC	496,030	103%
INR, WV	821,967	100%
Kentucky Fuel Corporation	19,389	97%
MC Mining, LLC	251,880	97%
Rhino Energy (2 Agreements)	166,016	111%
Trinity Coal Marketing (2 Agreements)	909,774	100%

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S EFFORTS TO ENSURE COAL SUPPLIERS' ADHERENCE TO CONTRACT

DELIVERY SCHEDULES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?

A.

Supplier obligations are handled in a firm, practical, and businesslike manner to achieve substantial compliance by the supplier consistent with the Company's overall coal procurement policy and the overriding objective of procuring and maintaining adequate coal supplies to meet current and anticipated requirements. When a supplier's performance does not meet the conditions or terms of the applicable agreement, the Company informs the supplier, takes whatever corrective action is appropriate under the circumstances, and directs that subsequent performance be in compliance. There are times when disputes regarding a supplier's non-performance cannot be satisfactorily resolved through such means. Those matters are evaluated for further action such as additional negotiation, arbitration if provided by the contract, or litigation, all with due

1	consideration of the desirability to maintain a continuing supply of coal. One of
2	the most significant limitations in KPCo's ability to hold coal suppliers to the
3	terms of their contracts is the protection afforded debtors under the bankruptcy

4 laws.

5 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE APPALACHIAN FUELS

6 AGREEMENT?

7 A. The Appalachian Fuels contract began on January 25, 2007. Appalachian Fuels 8 delivered 73% of the contractual obligation during the review period (the last two months of 2008). Appalachian was invoiced for shortfall tonnages in 2008, and 10 KPCo did not receive any deliveries under this contract in 2009. The contract 11 with Appalachian Fuels was terminated early on April 30, 2009 due to an Event 12 of Default on behalf of Appalachian Fuels. Appalachian Fuels filed for bankruptcy in August of 2009, and its mining assets were acquired by another 13 company in October of that same year. 14

15 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE ARGUS AGREEMENT?

16 A. The Argus contract began on January 1, 2007. During the review period, this contract delivered at 94% of its obligation.

18 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE TWO BEECH FORK AGREEMENTS?

A. Both Beech Fork contracts were signed on June 13, 2008. One contract provided for the acceptance of responsibility for delivering tonnage amounts back to January 1, 2008. This contract delivered 98% of the base contractual obligation over the review period. The second contract provided for deliveries starting on October 1, 2008, but deliveries did not start until 2009. This contract delivered

- 1 107% of its contracted volume during the review period. Both contracts together
- delivered 104% of the obligated tons over the two year review period.

3 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE ICG AGREEMENT?

- 4 A. The ICG contract was signed on January 2, 2007. ICG delivered 103% of the
- 5 contractual obligation during the review period. While this contract was behind
- on deliveries in calendar year 2008, those tons were made up with deliveries in
- 7 2009.

8 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE INR AGREEMENT?

- 9 A. The INR contract began on February 27, 2008. INR provided 100% of the
- 10 contractual obligation during the review period.

11 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE KENTUCKY FUELS AGREEMENT?

- 12 A. Coal deliveries under the Kentucky Fuels contract began in October 2010.
- During this one month within the two year review period 97% of contractually
- obligated tons were delivered.

15 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE MC MINING AGREEMENT?

- 16 A. The MC Mining contract began on December 28, 2007, and was in place through
- the end of 2009. MC Mining delivered 97% of the contractual obligation during
- the review period. The agreement was completed in December of 2009 with a
- final delivery percentage of 98%; the amount remaining on the agreement was
- less than one train.

21 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE TWO RHINO AGREEMENTS?

- 22 A. The Rhino first contract was signed on January 7, 2008. Rhino delivered 111% of
- 23 the contractual obligation during the review period. The additional tons

represented shortfall tons from the prior period. The agreement was completed in
December of 2009 with a delivery percentage of 99%; the amount remaining on
the agreement was less than one train. The second Rhino contract was signed on
August 18, 2010. During the one month within the review period 111% of the
contractual obligation was delivered.

6 O. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE TWO TRINITY AGREEMENTS?

7 A. The first Trinity contract was signed on February 27, 2007. During the two year review period, the vendor delivered 102% of its contractual obligations. The second Trinity contract was signed on November 6, 2007, with initial deliveries scheduled for January 1, 2008. This contract delivered at 100% of its obligation during the review period. For the combined agreements, Trinity delivered 101% of its commitments during the two-year review period.

13 Q. DID KPCO RECEIVE ANY TONS CONSIGNED TO IT DURING THE

14 TWO YEAR REVIEW PERIOD?

- 15 A. Yes. On a limited basis, between June and October 2010 of the review period,
 16 KPCO had consigned 197,238 tons to it.
- 17 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR THE CONSIGNMENTS.
- In June, 2010, Kentucky Power Company determined its inventory levels for the remainder of 2010 would be below the targets levels. Conversely, Appalachian Power's low-sulfur coal inventory levels for the same period were expected to exceed its 2010 target levels. In filling Kentucky Power's "open" position for the remainder of 2010, the Company considered two options: purchasing the coal from the CSX rail market, or taking delivery of a blend of orders then scheduled

for delivery at other AEP facilities under existing contracts. In evaluating the alternatives, the Kentucky Power Company compared market data for the CSX Rail market from Argus Coal Daily, ICAP United and TFS Energy with Appalachian Power's obligations under its low-sulfur coal supply agreements. The June, 2010, comparison revealed that for June and the third quarter of 2010 Kentucky Power would receive the lowest cost of coal available to fill its open position by taking delivery of low-sulfur coal consigned by Appalachian Power. In fact, the blended price for the consigned coal was less than the market price at the time the comparison was made. A similar comparison was performed in September, 2010, with similar results for the fourth quarter of 2010.

Q.

V. COAL PURCHASING STRATEGY

HOW DOES KPCO MAINTAIN ADEQUATE DELIVERIES OF COAL

TO THE BIG SANDY GENERATING STATION, AND WHAT PLANS

DOES IT HAVE FOR ADEQUATE DELIVERIES IN THE FUTURE?

A. Each year, at an appropriate time, KPCo solicits sales offers for spot and longer term purchases, with each successive long-term arrangement layered onto the base of existing long-term contracts, while the spot offers address KPCo's current needs. As a part of the overall effort to ensure adequate supply, the company issued solicitations in March, July and October 2010. KPCo has been able to maintain adequate deliveries of coal to the Big Sandy generating station during the review period.

1	Q.	WERE THERE ANY CHANGES IN COAL MARKET CONDITIONS
2		THAT OCCURRED DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD OR THAT KPCO
3		EXPECTS TO OCCUR WITHIN THE NEXT TWO YEARS THAT HAVE
4		SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTED OR WILL SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT
5	•	KPCO'S COAL PROCUREMENT PRACTICES?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Following a term of unprecedented fuel price volatility in 2007 and early 2008, prices peaked in the summer of 2008 and then declined for the remainder of 2008, due to the decline in the world-wide financial markets. Prices through much of 2009 remained low as compared to 2008, but fairly stable as many utilities were at high inventory levels as a result of purchases made during 2008 and lower generation in 2009 because of the economic decline in the United States. Since that time coal prices have continued to fluctuate, although trending upward as utilities reenter the coal market to begin to replace stock piles and fill open positions. Generation requirements still remain lower than historical levels with increases seen during times of weather extremes in the summer and winter. Natural gas prices remain low with respect to historical levels and electric generation from natural gas has displaced high cost, less efficient coal generation. Coal market prices will continue to exhibit some measure of volatility due to unpredictable conditions, such as recent flooding in Australia affecting that country's coal production and continuing strong demand in emerging economy countries such as China and India. KPCo anticipates that coal market prices will continue to trend upward over the next two years with occasional fluctuations both upward and downward.

1	Q.	IS RISK ASSESSMENT AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN KPCO'S COAL
2		PURCHASING DECISIONS?
3	A.	Yes. KPCo considers a vendor's financial status, ability to adhere to the delivery
4		obligation based on ratable deliveries and past performance when evaluating its
5		decision to do business with that supplier. Purchases from reliable vendors serve
6		to enhance KPCo's security of supply.
7		VI. CONCLUSION
8	Q.	WERE KPCO'S FUEL PROCUREMENT PRACTICES REASONABLE
9		DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?
10	Α.	Yes. KPCo's coal purchases were fair and reasonable during the review period
11		recognizing its goal of obtaining the lowest reasonable delivered cost over a
12		period of years consistent with the obligations of the Kentucky Power to provide
13		adequate and reliable service to its customers and meet environmental standards.
14	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
	Ų.	DOES HIS CONCEODE TOOK DIRECT LESIENTOIN.

15

A.

Yes.

VERIFICATION

Kimberly K. Chilcote, upon being first duly sworn, hereby makes oath that if the foregoing questions were propounded to her at a hearing before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky, she would give the answers recorded following each of said questions and that said answers are true.

Kimberly K. Chilcote

State of Ohio

) Case No. 2010-00490

County of Franklin

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence by Gina L. Beyer, this the

eleventh day of February 2011.

GINA L. BEYER Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 07-01-2011

•

My Commission Expires: July 1 2011

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

IN THE MATTER OF

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION)
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY) CASE NO. 2010-00490
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2008 THRU OCTOBER 31,)
2010)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

LILA P. MUNSEY

ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LILA P. MUNSEY, ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY CASE NO. 2010-00490

I. INTRODUCTION

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND TITLE.

1

	_	
2	A.	My name is Lila P. Munsey. I am Manager of Regulatory Services for Kentucky Power
3		Company ("Kentucky Power, KPCo or Company") and my business address is 101 A
4		Enterprise Drive, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.
5	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR PRINCIPAL AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY WITH
6		KPCO?
7	A.	I supervise and direct Regulatory Services, which has the responsibility for rate and
8		regulatory matters affecting Kentucky Power. This includes the preparation of and
9		coordination of the Company's exhibits and testimony in rate cases and any other
10		formal filings before state and federal regulatory bodies. Another responsibility is
11		assuring the proper application of the Company's rates to all classifications of business.
		II. BACKGROUND
12	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
13		BACKGROUND.
14	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering degree from Purdue University,
15		West Lafayette, Indiana in May 1978 and began my career with Appalachian Power
16		Company ("APCo") as a Civil Engineer in the Hydroelectric Department. In August

1983, I was promoted to the position of Cost Allocation Analyst for APCo where I conducted numerous studies to support retail rate filings and regulatory interactions with the West Virginia and Virginia regulatory commissions. In November 1985, I was transferred to the rate Department of American Electric Power Company, Inc. ("AEP"), in Columbus, Ohio, as an Associate Rate Analyst where I developed and supported operating company retail rate filings within AEP's seven eastern states. I was promoted to Rate Analyst in November 1989 where I developed, supported, and testified in retail filings concerning cost-of-service issues.

In January 1998, I moved to the newly formed transmission pricing group as a Transmission Contracts & Regulatory Specialist for AEP. In this capacity, I prepared AEP's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") transmission rate filings, including transmission cost-of-service studies, rate design, and tariff development in support of the Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) developmental filings and negotiations for the Alliance TransCo and ultimately AEP's entrance into PJM's RTO on October 1, 2004. I also prepared long-term reservation contracts with other utilities, developed a contract management tracking system, provided expertise on AEP's Open Access Transmission Tariff and tariff revisions as necessary, and developed the merger-related FERC filings required for AEP's merger of the operating companies in the seven eastern states with those in the four western states previously known as Central & Southwest (CSW). In June of 2000, I was promoted to Senior Regulatory Consultant in the Transmission and Interconnections Department, which became part of the Regulated Tariffs Department in 2005. In September 2010, I transferred within AEP

1 from the Service Corporation to Kentucky Power where I assumed my current 2 responsibilities and position. 3 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY REGULATORY 4 **COMMISSIONS?** 5 Yes. I testified before this Commission in Case No. 91-066, a regulatory proceeding A. 6 involving the adjustment in electric base rates for KPCo and more recently I provided 7 written testimony in KPCo's six-month environmental surcharge review Case No. 8 2010-00318. I have also presented testimony for Wheeling Power Company before the 9 West Virginia Public Service Commission and for Appalachian Power Company before 10 the Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission. 11 ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS? 0. No. 12 A. III. PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY? 13 Q. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Company's decision to request no 14 A. change to the fuel amount that is built into base rates. I will also address the 15 16 Commission's questions regarding the wholesale electric power market. WHAT BASE FUEL AMOUNT IS BEING PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY? 17 Q.

As demonstrated in the Company's February 14, 2011, response to the Commission's

data request Item Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4, the Company is proposing no change to the fuel

amount built into base rates of 2.840 cents per kWh.

18

19

20

Α.

1 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE IT WOULD NOT BE 2 APPROPRIATE TO CHANGE THE FUEL AMOUNT THAT IS BUILT INTO 3 BASE RATES?

A.

First, the Company looked at the historical cost of fuel actually incurred during the two years under review. During that two year period, the fuel costs ranged from a low of 2.378 cents per kWh in the month of November 2009 to a high of 3.327 cents per kWh in the month of November 2008. The simple average of the low and high rates is 2.853 cents per kWh. Although the average of the high and low rates over the past two years was slightly above the current base fuel rate of 2.840, the difference between the current base fuel rate and the average of the high and low rates during the review period is less than 0.5% of the current base rate -- an insignificant difference.

Second, the Company reviewed actual monthly fuel costs during the last six months of the review period (May 2010 – October 2010). As shown in the table below, these ranged from a low of 2.507 cents per kWh in May 2010 to a high of 2.877 cents per kWh in September 2010. The high during the last six months of the review period was only 1.3% higher than the current base rate of 2.840 cents per kWh.

MONTH YEAR	CENTS PER KWH
May 2010	2.507
June 2010	2.605
July 2010	2.591
August 2010	2.531
September 2010	2.877

October 2010	2.698
Six Month Median	2.598
Six Month Average	2.635

Third, the Company looked at its forecasted cost of fuel for the calendar years 2011 and 2012. The Company's best estimate as to what the fuel costs will be for the two calendar years are 2.586 cents per kWh (\$189,238,060 / 7,316,847,252) for calendar year 2011 and 2.814 cents per kWh (\$205,963,110 / 7,320,237,223) for calendar year 2012. Again, the high forecast is less than 1% below the current base rate.

Finally, the Company examined trends, both in the past and in the future. The average of the four six month period averages of the current review period was 2.659 cents per kWh or almost 94% of the current base. More recently, the average for the last six-months during the review period was 2.635 cents per kWh and the median for the same period was 2.598 cents per kWh, or approximately 92.78% and 91.48% of the current fuel base respectively. As each of these measures indicates, even though monthly fuel costs have oscillated over the past two-year period, the central trend line has remained relatively stable. Moreover, Kentucky Power projects that the average fuel price during 2011-2012 will average 2.700 cents per kWh, or 95.07% of the current base, and are projected to be within 99% of the current base rate during 2012. The Company believes the base cost of fuel currently used in the Company's fuel adjustment clause is reasonable and does not require a change for the upcoming two-year period. Accordingly, the Company proposes the present base fuel of 2.840 cents

1		per kWh remain in effect because it represents the cost per kWh the Company can
2		reasonably expect to incur during the next two-year period.
3		WHOLESALE ELECTRIC POWER MARKET
4	Q.	HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THE WHOLESALE ELECTRIC
5		POWER MARKET THAT OCCURRED DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD
6		THAT SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTED KENTUCKY POWER'S COAL
7		PROCUREMENT PRACTICES?
8	A.	No. Kentucky Power has not experienced or observed a significant change in the
9		wholesale electric market that affected Kentucky Power's electric power procurement
10		practices during the review period.
11	Q.	DOES KENTUCKY POWER FORESEE CHANGES IN THE WHOLESALE
12		ELECTRIC MARKET IN THE NEXT TWO YEARS THAT WILL AFFECT
13	•	KENTUCKY POWER'S ELECTRIC POWER PROCUREMENT PRACTICES?
14	A.	No. Kentucky Power does not foresee major changes to the wholesale power market
15		during this period that would affect its electric power procurement practices during this
16		period.
17	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW ELECTRIC POWER PROCURED FROM THIRD
18		PARTIES IS UTILIZED ON THE AEP SYSTEM.
19	A.	Pursuant to the FERC-approved AEP East System Interconnection Agreement, the
20		member companies, including Kentucky Power Company, benefit through the
21		economic utilization of resources, which includes AEP generation and third party
22		power purchases. In brief, the lowest energy cost resources are assigned to internal

- load. Purchases are made when it is economic to do so and are used to meet internal
- 2 load requirements when less expensive than AEP generation.
- 3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes.

AFFIDAVIT

Lila P. Munsey, upon being first duly sworn, hereby makes oath that if the foregoing questions were propounded to her at a hearing before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky, she would give the answers recorded following each of said questions and that said answers are true.

	Lila P. Munsey
Commonwealth of Kentucky)
) Case No. 2010-00490
County of Franklin)
Sworn to before me and subsci	ribed in my presence by Lila P. Munsey, this the

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: January 23, 2013

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 1 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

State the month to be used as the base period (b). Include a comprehensive, detailed explanation of the factors considered in the selection of this month as being representative of the net generating cost per kWh that Kentucky will incur between November 1, 2010 and October 31, 2012 ("the next 2-year period"). If no change is proposed, include a narrative explanation of the reason(s) Kentucky Power believes the current base period fuel cost should remain unchanged.

RESPONSE

Based on the analysis outlined below, the current base cost of fuel is reasonable and does not require a change for the upcoming two-year period. The base fuel cost of 2.840 cents per kWh represents the cost per kilowatt hour that the Company can reasonably expect to incur during the next two-year period. Kentucky Power, therefore, proposes that the current base fuel cost of 2.840 cents per kWh remain in effect.

The fuel cost resulting from the most recent projections is \$189,238,060 for 2011 and \$205,963,110 for 2012. Kilowatt hour sales projected for those same periods are 7,316,847,252 and 7,320,237,223 respectively, providing a projected fuel cost of 2.586 cents per kWh for year 2011 and 2.814 cents per kWh for year 2012.

Although representing the highest monthly fuel cost during the most recent six-month period, September 2010 was selected to be the base period because: (a) its proximity to the next two-year period; (b) the availability of the actual fuel costs; and (c) Big Sandy and Rockport Generating Plant availabilities were consistent with long-term expectations. Most importantly, the September 2010 costs deviate less than 2% from the central tendencies of costs over the past two-year period, as well as the projected costs during the next two-year period. Company witness Munsey provides more detail on the fuel cost central tendencies in her testimony filed herewith.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 1 Page 2 of 2

Calculation of September 2010 costs:

 Dollars/kWh= Fuel (b)
 September 2010
 \$15,257,308
 =
 \$0.02877

 Sales (b)
 September 2010
 530,234,000 kWh

 Calculation of the current base fuel amount:

 Dollars/kWh= Fuel (b)
 June 2008
 \$16,138,627
 =
 \$0.02840

 Sales (b)
 June 2008
 568,162,000 kWh
 \$0.02840

The Company requests that the current base fuel cost not change for the next two-year period because the difference between the September 2010 base fuel costs and current base fuel costs (.37 mils per kWh) is not material.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 2 Page 1 of 3

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a calculation of the fossil fuel costs F(b) that Kentucky Power proposes to use to calculate the base period fuel cost. This calculation shall show each component of F(b) as defined by Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:056. Explain why the fuel cost in the selected base period is representative of the level of fuel cost that Kentucky Power will incur during the next two-year period.

RESPONSE

As stated in the response to Item No. 1, the Company proposes no change in the present base fuel cost F(b) \$16,138,627. In response to this data request, the base fuel costs F(b) are shown for the months of September 2010 and June 2008, the month used to establish the present base fuel cost.

Please see pages 2 and 3 of this response.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

FINAL FUEL COST SCHEDULE

Month Ended:

(1) Includes total Energy Costs of non-economy purchase per KPSC Order dated October 3, 2002 in Case No. 2000-495-B.

September 2010

A.	Company Generation Coal Burned Oil Burned Gas Burned Fuel (jointly owned plant) Fuel (assigned cost during F. O.) (0 KWH X \$0.000000 Fuel (substitute for F. O.)	(+) (+) (+) (+) (+)	\$20,049,232 169,646 0 0	
	Sub-total		20,218,878	
В.	Purchases Net Energy Cost - Economy Purchases Identifiable Fuel Cost - Other Purchases Identifiable Fuel Cost (substitute for F. O.) (0 KWH X \$0.000000 Sub-total	(+) (+)) (-)	0 2,133,991 0 2,133,991	(1)
C.	Inter-System Sales Fuel Costs		7,669,909	(1)
D.	SUB-TOTAL FUEL COST (A + B - C)		\$14,682,959	
E.	Net Transmission Marginal Line Loss for month	September 2010	574,349	
F.	GRAND TOTAL FUEL COSTS (D + E)		\$15,257,308	

Page 5 of 5

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

FINAL FUEL COST SCHEDULE

Month Ended:

June 2008

A.	Oil Burned (- Gas Burned (- Fuel (jointly owned plant) (- Fuel (assigned cost during F. O.) (116,081,000 KWH X \$0.021142) (-	+) \$13,540,878 +) 2,036,748 +) 0 +) 0 +) 2,454,185 -) 0	
	Sub Total	18,031,811	
В.	Identifiable Fuel Cost - Other Purchases Identifiable Fuel Cost (substitute for F. O.)	+) 0 +) 6,582,163 -) 2,454,185	(1)
	Sub Total	4,127,978	
C.	Inter-System Sales Fuel Costs	7,766,240	(1)
D.	Sub Total Fuel Cost (A+B-C)	\$14,393,549	
E.	Net Transmission Marginal Line Loss June 2008	1,745,078	
F.	GRAND TOTAL FUEL COSTS (D + E)	\$16,138,627	

⁽¹⁾ Includes total Energy Costs of non-economy purchase per KPSC Order dated October 3, 2002 in Case No. 2000-495-B.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 3 Page 1 of 3

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a schedule showing each component of sales as defined by Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:056 in the selected base period (b). Explain why Kentucky Power believes that the sales in the selected base period (b) are representative of the level of kWh sales that Kentucky Power will derive from the level of fuel costs incurred during the selected base period (b).

RESPONSE

As stated in the response to Item No. 1, the Company proposes no change in the present base sales period S(b) of 568,162,000 kWh. In response to this data request, the base sales periods S(b) are shown for the months of September 2010 and June 2008, the month used to establish the present base fuel cost.

Please see pages 2 and 3 of this response.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

Page 3 of 5

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

SALES SCHEDULE

Month Ended:

September 2010

			Kilowatt-Hours
A.	Generation (Net)	(+)	524,702,000
	Purchases Including Interchange In	(+)_	353,361,000
	Sub Total		878,063,000
в.	Pumped Storage Energy	(+)	0
	Inter-System Sales Including Interchange Out	(+)	334,652,000
	System Losses	(+) _	13,177,000 *
	Sub Total		347,829,000
	Total Sales (A - B)	-	530,234,000
	* Does not include 316,000	KWH (of company usage.

Page 3 of 5

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

SALES SCHEDULE

Month Ended:

June 2008

]	Kilowatt-Hours
A.	Generation (Net)	•	(+)	411,785,000
	Purchases Including Interchange In		(+)	570,183,000
	Sub Total			981,968,000
В.	Pumped Storage Energy		(+)	0
	Inter-System Sales Including Interchan	ge Out	(+)	391,730,000
	System Losses		(+)	22,076,000 *
-	Sub Total		***************************************	413,806,000
	Total Sales (A - B)		-	568,162,000
				·
	* Does not include	352,551	KWH of c	ompany usage.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 4 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a schedule showing the calculation of Kentucky Power's proposed increase or decrease in its base fuel cost per kWh to be incorporated into its base rate.

RESPONSE

As stated in the response to Item No. 1, the Company proposes no change in the present base fuel cost per kWh.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 5 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide Kentucky Power's most recent projected fuel requirements for the years 2011 and 2012 in tons and dollars.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power Company's Big Sandy Plant projected fuel requirements for the years 2011 and 2012 in tons and dollars are shown below:

	<u>Year 2011</u>	<u>Year 2012</u>
Projected Tons to be Consumed (000)	2,002.9	2,395.5
Projected Consumed Cost (\$000) (151 Fuel Basis)	\$145,819	\$185,141

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 6 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide Kentucky Power's most recent sales projections for the years 2011 and 2012 in kWh and dollars.

RESPONSE

The projected sales in kWh and dollars for Kentucky Power Company for the years 2011 and 2012 are shown below:

	<u>Year 2011</u>	<u>Year 2012</u>
Projected kWh Internal Sales	7,316,847,252	7,320,237,223
Projected Revenues from kWh Sales	\$564,790,105	\$610,441,208

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 7 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide separately the amounts for power purchases used in the calculation of sales provided in response to Item 3.

RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to Item No. 3.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 8 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide separately the amounts of intersystem power sales used in the calculation of sales provided in response to Item 3.

RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to Item No. 3.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 9 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide the planned maintenance schedule for each of Kentucky Power's generating units for the years 2011 and 2012.

RESPONSE

Planned Maintenance Outage Schedules

2011

Big Sandy Unit 1, Less than 4 weeks Big Sandy Unit 2, More than 4 weeks

2012

Big Sandy Unit 1, Less than 4 weeks Big Sandy Unit 2, Less than 4 weeks

WITNESS: Aaron M Sink

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 10 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

For the years ending October 31, 2009 and October 31, 2010, provide:

- a. maximum annual system demand; and
- b. average annual demand.

RESPONSE

		Year Ended October 31, 2009	Year Ended October 31, 2010
a.	Kentucky Power Maximum Annual Internal Demand (MW)	1,674	1,543
b.	Kentucky Power Internal Average Annual Demand (MW)	1,281	1,247

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staffs First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 11 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

List all firm power commitments for Kentucky Power for the years 2011 and 2012 for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list shall identify the other party (buyer or seller), the amount of commitment in MW, and the purpose of the commitment (e.g., peaking, emergency).

RESPONSE

(a) Purchases:

Kentucky Power has a unit power agreement with AEP Generating Company for a 393 MW share of the Rockport station (baseload generation). This agreement will be in effect through 2022.

(b) Sales:

Firm power commitments for Kentucky Power Company for the period listed above, other than retail jurisdictional customers, are the cities of Olive Hill and Vanceburg, Kentucky. The forecasted peak loads (MW) for the cities for 2011 and 2012 are shown below. The cities use the power as load following service to their citizens.

	<u>Year 2011</u>	<u>Year 2012</u>
Olive Hill	6.6 MW	6.8 MW
Vanceburg	14.4 MW	14.8 MW

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 12 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a monthly billing summary for all sales to all electric utilities for the period November 1, 2008 through October 31, 2010.

RESPONSE

Please see attached pages. Due to the voluminous nature of this response, the Company is providing the Commission with one original hard copy and four copies with the information attached on a CD.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 13 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. Provide a schedule of the calculation of the 12-month average line loss by month for November 2008 through October 2010.
- b. Describe the actions that Kentucky Power has taken to reduce line loss during this period.

RESPONSE

- a. Please see Page 2 of this response.
- b. The Company is continually working to reduce line loss by increasing conductor size, installing more efficient transformers, employing a reactive connective program and increasing the operating voltage levels of transmission and distribution lines. This action reduces losses at constant load and maintains minimal increases in losses for additional load.

An example of improvement is the Eastern Kentucky Area Improvement Project, which focuses on the area of Paintsville, Kentucky. As part of this project, a new 69 kV line between Paintsville Station and West Paintsville Station has been constructed. Adding a new, high-capacity line to the transmission network reduces losses and improves efficiency.

Additionally, a 69/12 kV power transformer at West Paintsville Station has been replaced with a new transformer that will be more efficient. Additional upgrades are planned for this area as part of the Eastern Kentucky Area Improvement Project.

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY Line Loss Caculation

BILLED & ACCRUED

MWH			TOTAL ENERGY DISPOSED	ASSOC. COMPANY	SYSTEM SALES FOR RESALE	INTERNAL ENERGY DISPOSED	ENERGY LOST & UNACCOU NTED	% LINE LOSS INTERNAL
11017			1	2	3	(1-2-3-4)	6 47 450	(6/5)
NOV	08	current month	822,832	52,698	110,741	659,393	17,450	2.646%
		12 mos. ending	12,616,994	2,536,645	2,517,648	7,562,701	256,073	3.386%
DEC	08	current month	926,870	89,593	106,193	731,084	26,781	3.663%
		12 mos. ending	12,404,277	2,394,133	2,407,483	7,602,661	255,503	3.361%
JAN	09	current month	1,030,791	136,529	99,316	794,946	27,263	3.430%
		12 mos. ending	12,118,987	2,277,562	2,252,416	7,589,009	252,265	3.324%
FEB	09	current month	905,011	149,622	100,521	654,868	16,515	2.522%
		12 mos. ending	11,914,373	2,210,909	2,149,783	7,553,681	243,594	3.225%
MAR	09	current month	1,024,522	279,062	114,111	631,349	(14,503)	-2.297%
	0.0	12 mos. ending	11,816,132	2,214,020	2,074,651	7,527,461	203,717	2.706%
APR	09	current month	998,573	342,354	115,873	540,346	12,892	2.386%
		12 mos. ending	11,752,695	2,215,771	2,018,065	7,518,859	194,880	2.592%
MAY	09	current month	878,745	254,971	87,992	535,782	1,356	0.253%
		12 mos. ending	11,769,802	2,376,203	1,884,071	7,509,528	175,185	2.333%
JUN	09	current month	904,793	203,677	140,481	560,635	(13,883)	-2.476%
	00	12 mos. ending	11,692,627	2,415,209	1,796,795	7,480,623	141,542	1.892%
JUL	09	current month	789,654	96,930	125,337	567,387	23,327	4.111%
	00	12 mos. ending	11,300,374	2,225,482	1,640,652	7,434,240	146,047	1.965%
AUG	09	current month	971,630	229,115	150,068	592,447	(2,789)	-0.471%
055	00	12 mos. ending	11,205,753	2,248,916	1,550,977	7,405,860	112,619	1.521%
SEP	09	current month	798,017	155,736	114,798	527,483	21,635	4.102%
0.07	00	12 mos. ending	10,959,995	2,152,253	1,434,836	7,372,906	124,163	1.684%
OCT	09	current month	837,897	194,727	102,736	540,434	(1,408)	
NOV	00	12 mos. ending	10,889,335	2,185,014	1,368,167	7,336,154	114,636	1.563%
NOV	09	current month	876,409	175,976	108,717	591,716	(6,412)	
DEO	00	12 mos. ending	10,942,912	2,308,292	1,366,143	7,268,477	90,774	1.249%
DEC	09	current month	1,102,913	221,330	161,169	720,414	26,746	3.713%
IANI	10	12 mos. ending	11,118,955	2,440,029	1,421,119	7,257,807	90,739 32,442	1.250% 4.004%
JAN	10	current month	1,192,466	208,736	173,493	810,237	95,918	1.319%
FFD	40	12 mos. ending	11,280,630	2,512,236	1,495,296	7,273,098 727,264	33,237	4.570%
FEB	10	current month	1,041,050	173,516	140,270	7,345,494	112,640	1.533%
84AF3	10	12 mos. ending current month	11,416,669	2,536,130 71,462	1,535,045 115,274	625,434	23,439	3.748%
MAR	10		812,170 11,204,317		1,536,208	7,339,579	150,582	2.052%
APR	40	12 mos. ending current month	742,724	2,328,530 127,400	101,436	513,888	18,461	3.592%
AFK	10	12 mos. ending	10,948,468	2,113,576	1,521,771	7,313,121	156,151	2.135%
MAY	10	current month	691,821	43,184	89,415	559,222	(16,441)	
IVI/~\ I	10	12 mos. ending	10,761,544	1,901,789	1,523,194	7,336,561	138,354	1.886%
JUN	10	current month	957,393	179,118	169,909	608,366	24,042	3.952%
3014	10	12 mos. ending	10,814,144	1,877,230	1,552,622	7,384,292	176,279	2.387%
JUL	10	current month	1,223,327	322,376	260,917	640,034	7,428	1.161%
JOL	10	12 mos. ending	11,247,817	2,102,676	1,688,202	7,456,939	160,380	2.151%
AUG	10	current month	1,165,628	307,875	201,133	656,620	20,145	3.068%
AUU	10	12 mos. ending	11,441,815	2,181,436	1,739,267	7,521,112	183,314	2.437%
SEP	10	current month	878,063	226,664	104,456	546,943	25,520	4.666%
ULI	10	12 mos. ending	11,521,861	2,252,364	1,728,925	7,540,572	187,199	2.483%
OCT	10	current month	874,016	252,273	81,624	540,119	(1,137)	
001	10	12 mos. ending	11,557,980	2,309,910	1,707,813	7,540,257	187,470	2.486%
NOV	10	current month	855,419	166,718	78,588	610,113	18,691	3.064%
	,0	12 mos. ending	11,536,990	2,300,652	1,677,684	7,558,654	212,573	2.812%
DEC	10	current month	1,017,587	91,341	96,614			3.272%
		12 mos. ending	11,451,664	2,170,663	1,613,129			2.778%
		oo. onanig	, , ,	_,	., - , - ,	., ,		,

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 14 Page 1 of 3

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

List Kentucky Power's scheduled, actual, and forced outages between May 1, 2010 and October 31, 2010.

RESPONSE

Attached is a listing of all scheduled, actual, and forced outages for Big Sandy Plant for the period May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.

WITNESS: Aaron M Sink

Company Name: Kentucky Power Company
Station Name - Unit Number: Big Sandy Unit No. 1
For the Months of: May 1 to Oct 31 2010

•	HEDI II ED	RCED		•												
	PEASON EOB DEVIATIONS EDOM SCHEDIII ED	REASON FOR DEVIA HONS FROM SOLLEDS MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE, AS APPROPRIATE	1014.07 Planned Outage	1220 85 Planned Outlade												
25		Actual	1014.07	1220 RE	1220.00											
HOURS OF DURATION		Forced														
E POE		Scheduled	1014.07	7000	CØ.UZZI											
	ıal ^{1/}															
NANCE	Actual	From														
MAINTENANCE	Juled		12-Jun		31-Oct											
	Scheduled	From	1-May		11-Sep											
		HLNON	Mav		September											

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 14 Page 2 of 3

Company Name: Kentucky Power
Station Name - Unit Number: Big Sandy Unit No. 2
For the Months of May 1 to October 31 2010

	Τ.		Т	Т	Т	T	T	T	T	1	1	П	 _		T	T	Т	T	Т	T	Т	T	T	T	T	Т	7
	OT HIGH SO MOUNTED	REASON FOR DEVIA HONS FROM SCHEDULED MAINTANANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE, AS APPROPRIATE		353.58 Repair Deaerator Storage Tank Leak	13.12 Repack Boller Division Valve BMO-4	2.77 Reserve Shutdown	1 1 11	36.18 Repair Deaerator Storage I ank Leak	2.23 Reserve Shutdown																		
NO		Actual		353.58		2.77			2.23	-																	
HOURS OF DURATION		Forced			13.12			36.18																			
HOOH		Scheduled	Π	353.58		2.77			2.23																		
	lal1/				16-May			28-May																			
NANCE	Actu	<u>.</u>			15-May			27-May													,						
MAINTENANCE	fuled			15-May		16-May			28-May																		
	Scheduled	2 2 1		1-Mav		16-May			28-May																		
			LINOIN	May	May	Mav		Mav	Mav																		

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 14 Page 3 of 3

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 15 Page 1 of 6

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

For each existing fuel contract categorized as long-term (i.e., one year or more in length), provide:

- a. Supplier's name and address;
- b. Name and location of production facility;
- c. Date when contract executed;
- d. Duration of contract;
- e. Date(s) of each contract revision, modification or amendment;
- f. Annual tonnage requirements;
- g. Actual annual tonnage received since the contract's inception;
- h. Percent of annual requirements received during the contract's term;
- i. Base price;
- j. Total amount of price escalations to date; and,
- k. Current price paid for coal under the contract (i. + j).

RESPONSE

Please see Attachment 15-1 for the requested information.

This response is provided for the time period of May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010, and lists all pertinent fuel contract information requested.

Please note that all contracts are fixed price and do not escalate based on price indices. The response to 'i' reflects the orginal price of the contract when first executed. The response to 'k' is the price of the contract at the end of the review period (October 31, 2010).

ARGUS ENERGY, LLC (Contract No. 07-903)

- Argus Energy LLC, P.O. Box 416, Kenova, WV 25530 a.
- Bear Branch Mine in Lawrence County, KY, Kiah Creek Mine in Wayne County, WV, b. and the Spurlock Loadout/Mine in Floyd County, KY
- January 1, 2007 c.
- January 1, 2007 December 31, 2011 d.
- February 5, 2009, April 29, 2009, April 27, 2010, and September 10, 2010. e.
- 240,000 tons in 2007 through 2008; 203,200 tons in 2009; 212,583 tons in 2010, 120,000 f. tons in 2011 plus any 2010 shortfall tonnage

g&h.	Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
	2007	237,748*	99%
	2008	179,240	75%
	2009	210,426	104%
	2010	165,925	94%**
i.	\$51.75 F	OB Plant.	

- None j.
- \$56.00 FOB Plant in 2010.

BEECH FORK PROCESSING (Contract No. 07-904)

- Beech Fork Processing, Inc., P.O. Box 190, Lovely, KY 41231
- Bear Branch Mine in Lawrence County, KY, Kiah Creek Mine in Wayne County, WV, b. and the Spurlock Loadout/Mine in Floyd County, KY
- June 13, 2008 c.
- January 1, 2008 December 31, 2010 d.
- February 5, 2009 e.
- f. 120,000 tons in 2008; 240,000 tons in 2009 and 2010

g&h.	Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
_	2008	56,488	47%
	2009	306,533	128%
	2010	137,086	69%**

- \$49.00 FOB Plant; \$51.00 FOB Barge i.
- None į.
- \$52.50 FOB Plant; \$54.50 FOB Barge
- **Based on requirements through October 2010

^{*} Includes deliveries to synfuel processing.

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

BEECH FORK PROCESSING (Contract No. 08-901)

- a. Beech Fork Processing, Inc., P.O. Box 190, Lovely, KY 41231
- b. Bear Branch Mine in Lawrence County, KY, and the Spurlock Loadout/Mine in Floyd County, KY
- c. June 13, 2008
- d. October 1, 2008 December 31, 2013
- e. February 5, 2009 and August 30, 2010.
- f. 180,000 tons in 2008; 450,000 tons in 2009; 360,000 tons in 2010 and 2011; 210,000 tons in 2012; 120,000 in 2013.

g&h.	Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
	2008	0	0%
	2009	630,502	140%
	2010	300,524	100%**
i.	\$82.00	FOB Plant.	

- j. None
- k. \$74.00 FOB Plant.

ICG, LLC (Contract No. 07-901)

- a. ICG LLC, 300 Corporate Centre Drive Scott Depot, WV 25560
- b. Supreme Energy, Raven Mine, and Hazard Mine in Knott County, KY
- c. January 2, 2007
- d. January 2, 2007 December 31, 2012
- e. September 29, 2008. July 31, 2009, July 15, 2010, September 10, 2010 and December 13, 2010.
- f. 120,000 tons in 2007; 240,000 per year in 2008 through 2010; 360,000 tons in 2011. 240,000 tons in 2012.

g&h. Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
2007	117,544	98%
2008	196,336	82%
2009	278,479	116%
2010	186,515	93%**
	•	

- i. \$48.00 FOB Railcar.
- j. None
- k. \$52.75 FOB Railcar.

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

CLIFFS LOGAN COUNTY COAL, LLC (Formerly INR-WV, Contract No. 08-900)

- a. Cliffs Logan County Coal, LLC 1100 Superior Avenue East, 15th Floor, Cleveland, OH 44114
- b. Toney's Fork Mine in Logan county, WV and other mines operated by the seller or its affiliates in Logan, Boone, or Wyoming County, WV
- c. February 27, 2008
- d. May 1, 2008 December 31, 2012
- e. December 15, 2008, December 19, 2008, January 26, 2009, and November 30, 2010.
- f. 240,000 tons from May 1, 2008 through December 31,2008; 465,000 tons in 2009 and 360,000 tons per year in 2010 through 2012.

g&h.	Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
	2008	135,564	56%
	2009	426,069	92%
	2010	345,273	115%**
:	ቀ70 00	EOD Dellace	

- i. \$70.00 FOB Railcar
- j. None
- k. \$72.00 FOB Railcar

KENTUCKY FUELS (Contract No. 10-902)

- a. Kentucky Fuels Corporation, 189 Four Mile Branch, PO Box 130, Mousie, KY, 41839
- b. Bent Mountain and Bevins Branch Mines, Pike County, KY
- c. November 15, 2010
- d. October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2011
- e. None.
- f. 60,000 tons from October through December of 2010; 420,000 tons per year for 2011
- g&h. Year Tons Received Percent of Annual Requirements 2010 19,389 97%**
- i. \$75.00 FOB Plant
- j. None
- k. \$75.00 FOB Plant

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

RHINO ENERGY, LLC (Contract No. 10-900)

- a. Rhino Energy LLC, 424 Lewis Hargett Circle Suite 250, Lexington, KY 40503
- b. Bevins Branch Mine in Floyd County, KY
- c. August 18, 2010
- d. October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2013
- e. August 25, 2010
- f. 30,000 tons from October through December of 2010; 480,000 tons per year for 2011 through 2013.

g&h. Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
2010	10,882	109%**

- i. \$73.00 FOB Plant
- i. None
- k. \$73.00 FOB Plant

TRINITY COAL MARKETING, LLC (Contract No. 07-900)

- a. Trinity Coal Marketing LLC, 4978 Teays Valley Road, Scott Depot, WV 25560
- b. Levisa Fork Mine in Floyd County, KY; Bear Fork Mine in Pike County, KY; Little Elk Mine in Breathitt, Knott, and Perry Counties, KY; Falcon Resources Mine in Boone County, WV
- c. February 27, 2007
- d. January 1, 2007 December 31, 2010
- e. March 20, 2009, April 29, 2009, June 26, 2009, and April 27, 2010.
- f. 120,000 tons in 2007; 240,000 per year in 2008; 200,000 per year in 2009; 290,000 per year in 2010

g&h. Year	Tons Received	Percent of Annual Requirements
2007	119,819	100%
2008	184,793	77%
2009	193,924	97%
2010	257,003	103%**
	· .	

- i. \$45.95 FOB Railcar; \$52.00 FOB Truck; \$55.50 Barge
- j. None
- k. \$47.95 per ton FOB Railcar; \$54.00 per ton FOB Truck; \$57.50 per ton FOB Barge

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

TRINITY COAL MARKETING, LLC (Contract No. 07-905)

- a. Trinity Coal Marketing LLC, 4978 Teays Valley Road, Scott Depot, WV 25560
- b. Prater Branch Mine in Floyd County, KY and Boone County WV; Falcon Resources Mine in Boone County, WV
- c. November 6, 2007
- d. January 1, 2008 December 31, 2012
- e. March 20, 2009 (2 amendments), June 26, 2009, April 27, 2010, July 29, 2010.
- f. 71,252 (Actual) tons in 2008; 193,264 (Actual) tons in 2009; 144,045 (Actual) tons January through May 2010; 0 tons for June and July 2010; 20,000 tons per month for August and September 2010; 30,000 tons in October 2010; 20,000 tons per month for November and December 2010; 30,000 tons per month for January through August 2011; 20,000 tons per month from September through December 2012. 1,080,000 total tons under agreement.

g&h.	Year 7	<u> Fons Received</u>	Percent of Annual Requirements
	2008	71,253	59%
	2009	191,900	99%
	2010	214,469	101%**
_			

- i. \$47.00 FOB Railcar; \$53.00 FOB Truck; \$56.00 FOB Barge
- i. None
- k. \$50.50 FOB Railcar; \$56.50 FOB Plant (Truck); \$60.00 FOB Barge

^{**}Based on requirements through October 2010

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 16 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a schedule of the present and proposed rates that Kentucky Power seeks to change pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056, shown in comparative form.

RESPONSE

The Company is not proposing a change to the current base fuel rate.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 17 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Provide a statement showing by cross-outs and italicized inserts all proposed changes in rates. A copy of the current tariff may be used.

RESPONSE

The Company is not proposing a change to the current base fuel rate.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 18 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State whether Kentucky Power regularly compares the price of its coal purchases with those paid by other electric utilities.
- b. If yes, state:
 - (1) The utilities that are included in this comparison and their location; and
 - (2) How Kentucky Powers prices compare with those of the other utilities for the review period. Include all prices used in the comparison.

RESPONSE

- a. Yes. Quarterly a review meeting is held with the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) fuel procurement team and Kentucky Power in which the delivered cost of coal is compared with several utilities using two methods. The first method compares the data over a twelve-month rolling period and the second method compares the data over annual periods back to 2000. The data is from a variety of outside sources and therefore could contain errors, as well as inconsistencies between the data provided. This comparison is done strictly to provide a point of reference and no purchasing decisions are made based on the comparison.
- b. (1) and (2) The following table includes the utilities against which Kentucky Power compares its fuel prices in the quarterly meetings described above, as well as the location of those companies. The fuel cost data here was obtained from Velocity Suites which is a search engine that, in this case, used FERC Form 423 fuel cost information for the period of May 2010 through October 2010. It should be noted that the data for Kentucky Power for July 2010 was not included due to an error, which reported the SO2 content of the fuel for that month as 11.57 lb SO2/MMBTU, which is not accurate. Therefore the Kentucky Power fuel cost is a 5-month weighted average, while all other data is included as calculated weighted average costs for the utilities listed for the sixmonth review period.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 18 Page 2 of 2

This table shows that, for the companies included in the comparison, Kentucky Power has the second highest fuel costs for the review period. However, it should be noted that the fuel being delivered to these facilities may not be of the same quality or mixture as that being delivered to Kentucky Power. A review of the sulfur data shows that Kentucky Power purchased coal with the lowest sulfur content of all of the companies included in the comparison.

Company	Company Location	Cents Per MMBTU
Duke Energy Carolinas	NC	368
Kentucky Power Co	KY	269
Tennessee Valley Authority	TN	260
Kentucky Utilities Co	KY	251
Monongahela Power Co	WV	243
Duke Energy Kentucky	OH	216

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 19 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

List the percentage of Kentucky Power's coal, as of the date of this order, that is delivered by:

- a. Rail;
- b. Truck; or
- c. Barge.

RESPONSE

For the period from May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010, and including deliveries receipted through the date of the order in this case on January 26, 2011, the following percentages detail the delivery methods for coal to Kentucky Power's Big Sandy generation plant.

a. Rail: 59%b. Truck: 41%c. Barge: 0%

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 20 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State Kentucky Power's coal inventory level in tons and in number of days' supply as of October 31, 2010. Provide this information by plant and in the aggregate.
- b. Describe the criteria used to determine number of days' supply.
- c. Compare Kentucky Power's coal inventory as of October 31, 2010 to its inventory target for that date for each plant and for total inventory.
- d. If actual coal inventory exceeds inventory target by 10 days' supply, state the reasons for the additional inventory.
- e. (1) State whether Kentucky Power expect any significant changes in its current coal inventory target within the next 12 months.
 - (2) If yes, state the expected change and the reasons for this change.

RESPONSE

- a. As of October 31, 2010, Kentucky Power's actual coal inventory level was 245,752 tons, or 24 days of supply.
- b. Days' supply is determined by dividing the tons of coal in storage by the full load burn rate (tons per day).

 $\frac{245,752 \text{ tons in storage as of } 10/31/2010}{10,300 \text{ (full load burn rate} - \text{tons/day)}} = 24 \text{ days}$

- c. As of October 31, 2010, Kentucky Power Company's coal inventory was 6 days below its target.
- d. N/A
- e. No.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 21 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State whether Kentucky Power audited any of its coal contracts during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. If yes, for each audited contract:
 - (1) Identify the contract;
 - (2) Identify the auditor;
 - (3) State the results of the audit; and
 - (4) Describe the actions that Kentucky Power took as a result of the audit.

RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power did not audit any of its coal contracts during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. N/A

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 22 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State whether Kentucky Power has received any customer complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. If yes, for each complaint state:
 - (1) The nature of the complaint; and
 - (2) Kentucky Power's response.

RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power did not receive any customer complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. N/A

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 23 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State whether Kentucky Power is currently involved in any litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
- b. If yes, for each litigation:
 - (1) Identify the coal supplier;
 - (2) Identify the coal contract involved;
 - (3) State the potential liability or recovery to Kentucky Power;
 - (4) List the issues presented; and
 - (5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal pleading that initiated the litigation and any answers or counterclaims. If a copy has previously been filed with he Commission, provide the date on which it was filed and the case in which it was filed.
- c. State the current status of all litigation with coal suppliers.

RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power is not currently involved in any litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
- b. N/A
- c. N/A

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 24 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the period May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.

- a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating units(s) for which the coal was intended.
- b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

RESPONSE

During the period from May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 there were two system coal supply solicitations issued during the review period by American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) that included some coal specifications suitable for use by Kentucky Power. These were issued on July 19, 2010 (the July solicitation) and October 27, 2010 (the October solicitation). The details regarding each of these solicitations can be found in the following table:

Solicitation Date	·	July 19, 2	010		Octobei	27, 2010	
Quantities Solicited			ements each Tons per		_	ements ea ons per Mo	
Delivery method	CSX Rail	NS Rail	CSX Rail or Barge	CSX Rail	Barge	Big Sandy Plant Via Truck	Rail, Barge or Truck
Coal Heat Content	12,500	12,500	13,000	12,500	12,000		
Moisture (%)	10%	7%	7%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Ash (%)	12%	12%	7%	12%	13%	12%	16%
lb. SO2/MMBTU	1.60	1.05	2.6	1.60	<1.6	1.60	1.6
Time Period Over	Deliveri	ies Comn	nencing as	Deliverie	s Comm	nencing as	Early as
Which Deliveries	Ear	ly as Jun	e 2011			ry 2011	
Applicable Generating Units	power p	lants with	lies to many nin the AEP ch is KPCo's	plants wi	thin the	olies to mai AEP syster Big Sand	n, one of
Number of Vendors Solicitation was Sent To		40			1	62	
Number of Vendors that Responded to Solicitation*		36				26	
Selected Vendor			C; S. M. & J., Coal Sales,		ICG	, LLC	

^{*} The solicitations sent by the AEPSC were on behalf of multiple companies with differing fuel quality requirements. The number of vendors that responded to the solicitation does not necessarily mean that the fuel was of the correct quality or delivery method for KPCo ** The S. M. & J. agreements consisted of one spot purchase and one long-term coal sale agreement.

The tabulated responses for the July solicitation are included in Attachment 24-1 (Confidential and Redacted Versions), and the justifications for the contracts resulting from that solicitation are included as Attachments 24-2, 24-3, 24-4, and 24-5.

The tabulated responses for the October solicitation are included in Attachment 24-6 (Confidential and Redacted Versions). The October solicitation resulted in an amendment extending the existing agreement with ICG, LLC. The justification for this amendment that resulted from this solicitation is included as Attachment 24-7.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-1 (Redacted) Page 1 of 8

								-	2	3	4	2	i.	1010	
						Term	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 Tone	Z015	Offered	Term	Applicable Dates
Q	Proposal	Basin	Spec	Company	TPM	Years	Tons	lons	suoi	lons	10113	10113	na n		
2Alliance Coal	2	ILB	ш	Alliance Coal											
Alliance Coal	-	NAPP	O	Alliance Coal											
3Aliance Coal	8	CAPP	A	Alliance Coal											
Allied Resources, Inc.	1	ILB	ш	Allied Resources, Inc.											
24lied Resources, Inc.	2	ILB	ш	Allied Resources, Inc.											
OAloha Coal Salas	2	NAPP	O	Alpha Coal Sales											
Alaka Coal Calca	,	NAPP	O	Alpha Coal Sales ·											
Chilia Coal Cares	-	CAPP	4	Arch											
1Aicil 2 Atlantia Development & Conf	- 67	CAPP	m	Atlantic Development & Coal											
SAlianne Development & Coal	2	CAPP	m	Atlantic Development & Coal	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
14tantic Development & Coal	1	CAPP	A	Atlantic Development & Coal											
Special & Company	2	ILB	ш	Berry & Company								,			
Sperr & Company	3	NAPP	O	Berry & Company											
Opening Company	7	11.8	Ш	Berry & Company											
Dom's Company	-	ILB	ш	Berry & Company											
Confin Cosi	,	ILB	Ŀ	Central Coal											
Certifical Coal	2	ILB	u.	Central Coal											
2Contrai Coal	62	CAPP	٨	Central Coal											
2Costesion	2	ILB	ш.	Coalsales											
Coolealas	3	ILB	ш.	Coalsales											
Coalcales	,	ILB	ш	Coalsales						ב נ	E I				
SCOAL TRADE LLC	3	CAPP	æ	COALTRADE, LLC						KEDACIED	בוב				
SCOAL TRADE 1 1.C.	ď	САРР	αb	COALTRADE, LLC											
1COALTRADE LLC	-	CAPP	В	COALTRADE, LLC											
SCONSOI Energy	2	NAPP	O	CONSOL Energy											
CONSOL French	-	NAPP	O	CONSOL Energy	·										
10ella Coals II C	1	ILB	Ŀ	Delta Coals, LLC										,	
21CG. LLC	2	CAPP	A	ICG, LLC										,	
ICG. LLC	-	CAPP	A	ICG, LLC											
IN		CAPP	A	INR											
SINE	2	CAPP	A	INR											
110N Carbon & Minerals	-	ILB	ււ	ION Carbon & Minerals											
1Kevstone Industries	-	CAPP	В	Keystone Industries											
3Koch Carbon, 11.C	3	NAPP	υ	Koch Carbon, LLC											
2Koch Carbon 11.C	2	CAPP	m	Koch Carbon, LLC	 -										
1Koch Carbon 11 C	1	CAPP	¥	Koch Carbon, LLC											
Il onan & Kanawha Coal Co.	-	CAPP	A	Logan & Kanawha Coal Co.											
11 ouis Drevfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	-	ILB	ш	Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC											
2Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	2	CAPP	В	Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC											
2Massev Coal Sales	2	CAPP	В	Massey Coal Sales			•								
IMassey Coal Sales	1	CAPP	A	Massey Coal Sales											
1Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	-	CAPP	В	Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.											
2Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	2	CAPP	80	Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.											

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490
Date of Order January 26, 2011
Attachment 24-1 (Redacted)
Page 2 of 8

											Transpo	Transportation Costs		
						1	+	1						
					1	1,700	\dagger	Talked Aven		FOB		Rail	Barge	ag.
		2010	2011	2012	2013	Drice	Brice	Price	Mine	Shipping Point	Mode	OPSL Kate	$\left\{ \right.$	
QI	Proposal	Price	Price	Price	Price	2011			Riversiew Mine, Waverly, KY	Riverview Dock	Barge			
2Aliance Coal	2							1	Tunnel Ridge	Tunnel Ridge	Barge			-
1Alliance Coal	-							1	MC Mining / Scotts Branch	Scotts Branch	Rail			
3Alliance Coal	3							上	Onton No. 9 Mine	Steamport Dock	Barge			
1Allied Resources, Inc.	-							1_	Onton No. 9 Mine	Steamport Dock	Barge			
2Allied Resources, Inc.	2								Cumberland Resources	Alicia Dock	Barge			
2Alpha Coal Sales	2							1_	Emerald	Emerald Loadout	Rail			
1Alpha Coal Sales	-							_	Mountain Laurel. Sharples, WV	Mountain Laurel	Rail			
1Arch	-							_	Sycamore South & North Surface Mines	Shrewsbury	Barge			
3Atlantic Development & Coal	3							1_	. Rich 2A / South Muddletv	Shrewsbury	Barge			
2Atlantic Development & Coal	2							1	Birch 2A / South Muddletv	Cowen, WV	Rail			
14 flantic Development & Coal	1							1	The Target I	Cave In Rock, IL	Barge			
Shorry & Company	2								Control 108	Marion Dock	Barge			
o constant	3								Oct Amino	Il along of aven	Baroe			
3Berry & Company									Illinois #1 - Herod, IL	Cave III Noon, 12	9000			
4Berry & Company	4								Illinois #1 - Herod, IL	Cave in Rock, IL	paige			
1Вету & Сотрапу	-							_	Knight Hawk / Prairie Eagle	Lone Eagle Dock, Chester, IL	Barge			
1Central Coal	-								Knjoht Hawk / Prairie Eagle	Lone Eagle Dock, Chester, IL	Barge			
2Central Coal	2					,			Fork Creek	Fork Creek	Rail			
3Confrai Con	က							1	Carlotte Miles	Cora Terminal	Barge			
	6								Calchay Willio	Mariny Otte Torminal	Barne			
zcoalsales									Wild Boar/Somerville Complex	Walley City Collins	Barge			
3Coalsales		_							Arclar Complex	Powel Dock	2600	ä	REDACTED	
1Coalsales	-			α	REDACTED	٥			TBD	Ohio Rvr 305.0-317.0	paide	•		
3COALTRADE, LLC	2			•		1			189	Ohio Rvr 306.0 317.0	Sarge			
2COALTRADE, LLG	ch								Tyler Morgan / Four Mile	Marmet Pool	Barge			
1COALTRADE, LLC	-	- Control						i	Robinson Run	Rob Run	Rail			
2CONSOL Energy	2								Rlacksville / Loveridge	Blacksville	Rail			
1CONSOL Energy	-								Hast Fork Surface Mine	Armstrong Dock	Barge			
4Dalta Coale 11 C.	-								Cast of the Cast o	Raven	Rail			
0 000	2								Cincin Min	Bates Branch	Rail			
1100110	1								Hard Apolt Land	Pardee	Rail			
IND CALL	-	_							Town Morth Cork	Pardee	Rail			
QNIC	2	_							Mischiel Mischiel	Rockport River Term.	Barge			
AION Carbon & Minerals	1	_							Challes in	Riverpoint	Barge			
1Kovetone Industries	-								Western Karpen VV Southern WW	Arch/Lockwood Dock	Barge			
Company of the Compan	3	_							Various Mines - Easier N. Southern MA	Arch/l ockwood Dock	Barge			
Syden Carbon, LLC	6	Т-							Various Mines - Eastern KT, Southern WV	Oev die Cande / Kanawha	Rail			
ZKoch Carbon, LLC	1	т-							Various Mines - Eastern KY, Southern WV	Cox pilg sailuy / Nailawila	Rail			
1Koch Carbon, LLC		т-							Laurel Mountain Resources	Sunny Knou	II DA			
1Logan & Kanawha Coal Co.		-							Various - LDHE Blend	Cyrus River Terminal	parge			
1Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	-	-							Various - LDHE Blend	Cyrus River Terminal	Barge			
2Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	2							•	Mammoth Mine	Mammoth	Barge			
2Massey Goal Sales	2							_	Twilight Surface	Progress	Rail			
1Massey Coal Sales	-								Various Mines - Eastern KY, Southern WV	Redbud Dock / Marmet Pool	Barge			
1Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	-								Various Mines - Eastern KY, Southern WV	Redbud Dock / Marmet Pool	Barge			
2Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	2													

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-1 (Redacted) Page 3 of 8

						Ouslity				Quality Adjustments	nents	
		Total Cst							(H=1/2 W)	Ash	802	Quality Adjusted
Ql	Proposal	\$/ton	Btu	Ash %	SO2#	Sulfur %	Moist %	% IS	Fusion	Disposal Cost	Cost	Del Total Cost
ZAlliance Coal	2											
1Alliance Coal	-											
3Alliance Coal	3											
1Allied Resources, Inc.	-											
2Allied Resources, Inc.	2											
2Alpha Coal Sales	2											
1Alpha Coal Sales	+	_										
1Arch	1	·										
3Atlantic Development & Coal	3	,										
2Atlantic Development & Coal	2	, const										
1Atlantic Development & Coal	1											
ZBerry & Company	2											
ЗВепту & Сотрапу	3											
4Berry & Company	4											
1Berry & Company	+											
1Central Coal	~											
2Central Coal	2											
3Central Coal	3											
2Coalsales	2											
3Coalsales	3											
1Coalsales	1						1					
3COALTRADE, LLC	3						REDACTED	a				
2COALTRADE, LLG	t											
1COALTRADE, LLC	1											
2CONSOL Energy	2											
1CONSOL Energy	-											
1Delta Coals, LLC	-											
2ICG, LLC	2											
11CG, LLC	-	,										
IINR	-											
ZINR	2											
11ON Carbon & Minerals	-	1										
1Keystone Industries	+											
3Koch Carbon, LLC	3											
2Koch Carbon, LLC	2											
1Koch Carbon, LLC	1	 										
1Logan & Kanawha Coal Co.	Į											
1Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC												
2Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	2									••		
2Massey Coal Sales	2											
1Massey Coal Sales	+-											
1Mercurla Energy Trading, Inc.	-											
2Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	2											

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-1 (Redacted) Page 4 of 8

			2010	2011	2012	2013	100	Total	
			Price	Price	Price	Price	Price	S/Wbtu	
C)	Pronocal	S/MRTII						1	Notes
١									
ZAIIIance Coai	,								
1Alliance Coal									
3Alliance Coal	3								
1Allied Resources, Inc.	-								
2Allied Resources, Inc.	2								
2Alpha Coal Sales	2								
1Alpha Coal Sales	1								
1Arch	-								
3Atlantic Development & Coal	3								
2Atlantic Development & Coal	. 2								
1Atlantic Development & Coal	-								
2Berry & Company	2								
3Berry & Company	9								•
4Berry & Company	4								
1Berry & Company	-								
1Central Coal									
2Central Coal	2								
1000	2								
SCentral Coal	, (
ZCoalsales	7								
3Coalsales	3								
1 Coalsales	1							1	
3COALTRADE, LLC	3						KEDACIED TEDACIED	3	
2COALTRADE, LLC	4								
1COALTRADE, LLC	1								
2CONSOL Energy	2								
1CONSOL Energy	,-								
1Delta Coals, LLC	1								
SICG. LLC	2								
1ICG. LLC	1								
JINE	1								
ZINR	2	-							
11ON Carbon & Minerals	1								
1Keystone Industries	-								
3Koch Carbon, LLC	3								
2Kach Carban, LLC	2								
1Koch Carbon, LLC	1								
1Logan & Kanawha Coal Co.	1								
1Louls Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	1								
2Louis Dreyfus Highbridge Energy, LLC	2								
2Massey Coal Sales	2								
1Massey Coal Sales	1								
1 Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	-								
2Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	2								The state of the s

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490
Date of Order January 26, 2011
Attachment 24-1 (Redacted)
Page 5 of 8

							-	2	3	4	5			
					H	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total Tons	Spot	
QI OI	Proposal	Basin	Spec	Company	TPM Years	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Offered	Тегт	Applicable Dates
3Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	3	CAPP	Ą	Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.		-								
10xford Mining Co.	1	ILB	ш	Oxford Mining Co.										
2Oxford Mining Co.	2	11.8	Ш	Oxford Mining Co.										
3Patriot Coal	8	87I	ш	Patriot Coal										
4Patriot Coal	4	ILB	ட	Patriot Coal										
1Patriot Coal	-	CAPP	¥	Patriot Coal										
2Patriot Coal	2	NAPP	၁	Patriot Coal										
1Producers Dock, Inc.	-	CAPP	В	Producers Dock, Inc.										
2Producers Dock, Inc.	2	CAPP .	8	Producers Dock, Inc.										
3Producers Dock, Inc.	3	CAPP	Q	Producers Dock, Inc.										
3Rhino Energy, LLC	3	ILB	Ш	Rhino Energy, LLC										
5Rhino Energy, LLC	S	NAPP	ပ	Rhino Energy, LLC										
4Rhino Energy, LLC	4	ILB.	ш	Rhino Energy, LLC										
6Rhino Energy, LLC	9	NAPP	O	Rhino Energy, LLC										
2Rhino Energy, LLC	2	CAPP	a	Rhino Energy, LLC										
1Rhino Energy, LLC	-	CAPP	a	Rhino Energy, LLC					RFDA	REDACTED				
1River Trading	1	CAPP	В	River Trading										
SSM&J	2	CAPP	В	SM&J										
4SM&J	4	CAPP	Q	SM&J										
1SM&J	-	CAPP	В	SM&J										
3SM&J	3	CAPP	۵	SM&J										
1Smoky Mountain Coal Corp.	1	ILB	ш	Smoky Mountain Coal Corp.										
2T.D. Trading, LLC	2	CAPP	8	T.D. Trading, LLC										
1T.D. Trading, LLC		CAPP	۵	T.D. Trading, LLC										
2TECO	2	CAPP	۵	TECO										
1TECO	1	CAPP	Y	TECO										
4TRAXYS North America, LLC	4	CAPP	В	TRAXYS North America, LLC										
5TRAXYS North America, LLC	5	CAPP	В	TRAXYS North America, LLC										
1TRAXYS North America, LLC	+	CAPP	A	TRAXYS North America, LLC										
2TRAXYS North America, LLC	2	CAPP	٧	TRAXYS North America, LLC										
3TRAXYS North America, LLC	3	CAPP	4	TRAXYS North America, LLC										
1Xcell Energy	1	NAPP	O	Xcell Energy										
SO2 adjustment utilizes FGD costs IF the content is +1.6#/Mbtu	content is +1.6#/M	lbtu												

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490
Date of Order January 26, 2011
Attachment 24-1 (Redacted)
Page 6 of 8

											ranspo	ransportation costs	_		I
		0000	2044	2042	2013	2014	+	Wtd Ava		FOB		Rail		Barge	
9	Proposal	Price	Price	Price	Price	Price	Price	Price	Mine	Shipping Point	Mode	OPSL	Rate	River	MP
3Mercinia Energy Trading, Inc.	3						l		Various Mines - Eastern KY, Southern WV	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Rail				
10xford Mining Co.	-								Briar Hill / KO / Covol No. 2 / Rose France	Island Dock	Barge				
20xford Mining Co.	2								Briar Hill / KO / Covol No. 2 / Rose France / Star	Island Dock	Barge				
Apatriot Coal	3								Highland Mine	Camp/Breckenridge	Barge				
4Detriot Coal	4							L	Highland Mine/NYMEX Blend	Quincy Dock	Barge				
4 Datiot Con	-							L	Hobet Mining	Beth Station	Rail				
Soprejet Coal									Federal #2	Federal #2	Rail				
Andread Dock Inc	-								Mine #1 - Left Fork Charlie Creek	Redbud Dock	Barge				
openings Dook inc.									Mine #1 - Left Fork Charlie Creek	Redbud Dock	Barge				
20 Induces Dock inc.	-							L	Mine #1 - Left Fork Charlie Creek	BS Plant	Truck				
מון יייים דו יייים מון	, ,							!	Sands Hill Mining, LLC	Kanauga Dock	Barge				
Spring Energy, LLC	o u							!	Hopedale Mining, LLC	Nelms #2	Rail				
Aprile Energy, LLC	,							J	Sands Hill Mining, LLC	Kanauga Dock	Barge				
4Killio Enelgy, LLC								I.,.	Hopedale Mining, LLC	Warrenton Rvr Terminal	Barge				
arimo chelgy, LLC	0								CAM Mining, LLC (Bevins Branch)	BS Plant	Truck				
Aprile Feed 10	4			í	1	1			CAM Mining, LLC (Bevins Branch)	BS Plant	Truck		CHLOACTED	TED	
Thing cileigy, LLO				Y	KEDACIED	, _		1	Patton #1, Mingo Cty, WV	Docks Creek	Barge			!	
Dens Laurig	,		,					I	Diablo #4, Right Oakley	KCT	Barge				
4SM8	7							L	Diablo #4, Right Oakley	BS Plant	Truck				
4 DINGS								I	Diablo #4, Right Oakley	KCT	Barge				
13Mgc	- 8								Diablo #4, Right Oakley	BS Plant	Truck				
1Smoky Mountain Coal Corn	-								Carbonado Mine	Sebree Dock	Barge				
OTO Trading 110	2								Various - Magoffin & Morgan Counties KY	KCT	Barge				
17.D. Trading, L.C.	-								Various - Magoffin & Morgan Counties KY	BS Plant	Truck				
STECO	2								Hazard KY / Perry Cty Coal	BS Plant	Truck				
71600	-							(agence)	Hazard KY / Perry Cty Coal	Bluegrass #4	Rail				
ATRAXYS North America 11 C	4							laşanı	TBD	TBD	Barge				
STDAXYS North America 11 C	r.								TBD	TBD	Barge				
4TDAXVS North America 11 C	-								TBD	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Rail				
27DAVVS North America 110	,								TBD	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Rail				
STOAKE North America, LLO	, ,							•	TBD	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Rail				
1Xcell Framv	,-							•	Grape Creek No.1, Magoffin Cty	Grape Creek Dock	Barge				
The state of the s	the car cannot														
SOZ adjustment utilizes FGD costs ir the content is +1.5#/ivid	Content is +1.b#/ivit	•													

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-1 (Redacted) Page 7 of 8

						Quality				Quality Adjustments	ments	
		Total Cst							(H=1/2 W)	Ash	802	Quality Adjusted
O	Proposal	\$/ton	Btu	Ash %	SO2#	Sulfur %	Moist %	% IS	Fusion	Disposal Cost	Cost	Del Total Cost
3Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	3											
1Oxford Mining Co.	1											
2Oxford Mining Co.	2											
3Patriot Coal	3											
4Patriot Coal	4											
1Patriot Coal	1	,										
2Patriot Coal	2											
1Producers Dock, Inc.	1											
2Producers Dock, Inc.	2											
3Producers Dock, Inc.	3											
3Rhino Energy, LLC	3											
5Rhino Energy, LLC	5											
4Rhino Energy, LLC	4											
6Rhino Energy, LLC	9											
2Rhino Energy, LLC	2											
1Rhino Energy, LLC	-						REDACTED	Ш				
1River Trading												
2SM&J	2											
4SM&J	4											
1SM&J	1											
3SM&J	3											
1Smoky Mountain Coal Corp.	+											
2T.D. Trading, LLC	2											
1T.D. Trading, LLC	-											
ZTECO	2											
1TECO	1											
4TRAXYS North America, LLC	4											
5TRAXYS North America, LLC	c)											
1TRAXYS North America, LLC	-											
2TRAXYS North America, LLC	2											
3TRAXYS North America, LLC	3	ione										
4×2011	-											

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-1 (Redacted) Page 8 of 8

		Notes														-																			
Total	S/Wbtu	П																囧							-										
Malabitad Ava	Price																	REDACTED																	
2013	Price																																		
2012	Price																																		
2011	Price	2017																																	
2010	Drice	Pall																																	L
		S/MBTU																																	
		Proposal	3	-	2	3	4	1	2	-	2	3	3	5	4	9	2	-	-	2	4	1	ဗ	-	2	_	2	-	4	5	-	2	3	-	THE PARTY OF THE P
			3Mercuria Energy Trading, Inc.	1Oxford Mining Co.	20xford Mining Co.	3Patriot Coal	4Patriot Coal	1Patriot Coal	2Patriot Coal	1 Producers Dock, Inc.	2Producers Dock, Inc.	3Producers Dock, Inc.	3Rhino Energy, LLC	5Rhino Energy, LLC	4Rhino Energy, LLC	6Rhino Energy, LLC	2Rhino Energy, LLC	1Rhino Energy, LLC	1River Trading	2SM&J	4SM&J	1SM&J	3SM&J	1Smoky Mountain Coal Corp.	2T.D. Trading, LLC	1T.D. Trading, LLC	2TECO	1TECO	4TRAXYS North America, LLC	5TRAXYS North America, LLC	1TRAXYS North America, LLC	2TRAXYS North America, LLC	3TRAXYS North America, LLC	1Xcell Energy	The state of the s

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Order Dated January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-2 (Rhino) Page 1 of 4



C.,

Date:

August 30, 2010

Subject:

Coal Supply Agreement No. (CSA) 03-30-10-900, Amendment 2010-1

Kentucky Power Company (Buyer) with Rhino Energy, LLC (Seller)

From:

K. K. Chilcote

To:

1) J.T. Rusk TR

2) J. H. Sorrels

3) J. C. Bilardello

4) J. E. Jadwin

+) 3. E. Jackin (

5) S. M. DeBord Smi

6) T.K. Light AW

4) E. A. Vannata

Contained within this justification package is an amendment to the above referenced CSA addressing the delivery of fuel into Kentucky Power Company's Big Sandy Plant (the Plant). The proposed amendment with Seller is the result of the responses to the request for purchase solicitation dated July 19, 2010 which requested offers by July 29, 2010.

The procurement strategy for KPCo annually layers supply agreements into the existing supply portfolio to meet a pre-established committed target level. The committed target level is balanced with the need to maintain a specific inventory level at the Plant. Prior to the solicitation the Plant was below both the committed and inventory target levels for the years 2010 through 2013 and purchases were required to meet both target levels. The proposed amendment provides for 30,000 tons to be delivered in year 2010 and 120,000 tons to be delivered in years 2011 through 2013 as can be seen on the inventory projections (Tab A) as "In Progress." It should be noted the original CSA purchase of 360,000 tons per year also appears as "In Progress." The table below shows the Plant's total annual tonnage commitments for all existing agreements along with the proposed agreement compared to the projected consumption. Also, included is the remaining open position reflected both in total tons and as a percentage of the total position versus the pre-established committed target percentages for the referenced year.

	·			
(Tonnages reflected in thousands)	2011	2012	2013
£	Anticipated consumption	1,940.7	2,617.9	2,061.9
I	Previously committed purchasses	<u>1,720.0</u>	<u>1,170.0</u>	520.0
I	Remaining open position before agreement	220.7	1,447.9	1,541.9
I	Proposed Rhino Energy, LLC Agreement	120.0	120.0	120.0
I	Remaining open position after agreement	<u> 100.7</u>	<u> 1,327.9</u>	<u>1.421.9</u>
(Committed positon reflected as % of needs	104.1%	56.2%	39.8%
(Committed Target %	90.0%	75.0%	60.0%

Kentucky Power Company
Fuel Purchase Order 03-30-10-002, Amendment 2010-1

A review of the July 19, 2010 solicitation showed Seller to be the next to lowest cost supplier on a present value basis for the fourth quarter of 2010 and also for years 2011 through 2013. The additional tons will deliver to the Plant over the 2010 term for a weighted average cost of 306.48 ¢/mmBtu and for the term of 2011 through 2013 for a weighted average cost of 301.43 ¢/mmBtu, both on a quality adjusted, present value basis. The table below shows the delivered cost for the other suppliers who participated in the RFP for both the spot and term deliveries.

20	10	Offer	Summar	y	

	TIOI Dullillia	
	Quality	
	Adj,	
	Delivered	
Supplier	\$/Ton	\$/mmbtu
S. M. & J.	72.53	3.02
Rhino	73.55	3.06
INR	76.07	3.17
Traxys	80.05	3.34

2011-2013 Offer Summary

2011-2015 0110	1 Dunninary	
	Quality	
	Adj,	
	Delivered	
Supplier	\$/Ton	\$/mmbtu
S. M. & J.	81.48	2.92
Rhino	81.07	3.02
INR .	83.79	3.03
Teco	90.69	3.12

The additional coal will be added into the current agreement volume making the monthly obligation 40,000 tons. Also the two prices will be combined on a weighted average basis to determine the new pricing for the contracted tons.

In conclusion, KPCo will continue to adhere to its procurement strategy with the execution of the proposed amendment. For the reasons stated above the proposed amendment is recommended for addition into the current portfolio for the Plant. Attached in Tab B are two copies of the amendment.

Please approve by initialing this cover memo and forwarding the package to the next addressee.

Kentucky Power Company Fuel Purchase Order 03-30-10-002, Amendment 2010-1

ij	ition for Big S	andy Low Sulfur													
···	Parkers for 1814 Eastly L stron By Month														
Pi	need Tons O'Cuelon	Tonk TV Use Unloaded Dala TV Use	Unosded To									結構器			
Ţ	oris	o solitile et de suberal e pape, que e	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010 .	Apr.2010 .	May 2010	Jun 2010	3d 2010;	Aug 2010	5ep 2010.	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010 ·	2019 Totel
Be	leginsing Inventory		528,426	438,479	336,140	384,237	409,975	474,948	384,140	242,404	199,372	232,518	294,600	345,484	
B	pru manumumumum	4' 111111111111111111111111111111111111	289,001	262,457	202,730	179,564	88,044	243,499	274,432	273,033	196,854	181,918	169,116	192,432	2,553,0
ō	Committed	11114114 - 11144 - 1144 - 1	5 199,054	160,119	250,827	205,303	153,015	95,507	. 132,697	230,000	220,000	214,000	190,000	169,344	2,219,8
N	lot Committed	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	0	. 0) · · · · o	0	0	0		0	10,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	100,0
Ī	Not Compitted	PA # / Product Code / Description	Jan 2010:	Feb 2010	ijar 2010	Apr 2010.	May 2010	km 2010	3.12010 ·	Aug 2010	Sep 2010.	Óct 2010,	Nov 2010	Dac 2010	201 8 Total
-	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SMJ Truck Coal	0	1, 1, 1, 0	0		0	<u> </u> 0	<u> 0</u>	, o				0	
	InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	0	0		0	0	1,,,,,,0	,	Light o		1 10,000	10,000	10,000	30,0
-	InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Coal	0	ومديني ايد.	و نیمی و	ومنفتين		į., ₍₁ , ₁ , 0	(i.,0			10,000	10,000	10,000	30,0
	InProgress	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	o	37.537.0	1.4.44.0	Johnson O	٥	(· 0		强制制度			0	1
	InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	D. B	9	0	1.000	1/24/2014	Overes.		and the same	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	40,0
į	Unknown	CSX Rad Coal - 1,000 k per year	0 :	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. C	U	المرواية المراج	Įo	j., ; c	4. ()				0	0	
-	Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open) - D		0	\$4000 c	44, 45, 45	ومعديدة					anda P	i si p	
I	Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	0		14.444.0	1	1.40.00	Anders S	1						ł
;T	rond(\$1\filtimizer)e.ic.		Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010	May 2010	Jun 2010). 2010	Aug 2010	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010	2010 Total
-	Projected Ending Invent		438,479	. 336,140	384,237	409,976	474,948	3 . 326,956	242,404	199,372	232,51	B 294,600	345,484	352,396	5
Ť	Target Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	1] : 313,940	1. 313,940	313,940	313,94	313,94	313,940	313,940	
H	Over/(Under) Target		TT: 0	1) 0	1	1	1	13-12-1-1	(114.568)	(81,422) (19,340	31,544	38,456	1

2.30	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	andy Low Sulfur		Sirwin (alichi	215-76 - Vic		onescent so	angoenge.	(SIMOROGO)	ations soons	and a second	wear.w		outestines (100/2/100
	renteries (les Esta)). Sion De Monin							STATE OF							
ĵe,	nced Torra O'Ductor	Tone: 🗸 Use Unbaded Dale . 🗗 Us		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE											
,T	ions in thickly	<u>. 1,485.486666</u>				Apr 2011:								Dec 2011	
حددا "	leginning Inventory		352,396	313,823	296,323	282,684	274,222	245,630 187,961	232,649 195,499	212,150	184,298 121,014	228,284 51,887	341,398 48,101	458,297 151,586	<u> </u>
<u>' </u>	urn		213,574 120,000	192,500 120,000	188,638	183,462	203,592 120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	
٠ <u></u>	Committed		55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55.000	55,000	55,000	
1	Not Committed,	PA # / Product Code / Description			<i>ـ</i> ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Apr 2011					Sep 2011	Oct 2011	Nov 2011.	Dec 2011	2011 Tol
-	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SM3 Truck Coal	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	<u> </u>
	InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	40,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000				40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	480,0
ļ	_ InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Coal			9	eccus.	10	0	i je je		0			0	<u> </u>
-	InPrograss	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	0	Ö	9	Fig. 0	0	9	9	0		0	Chillian Library	10	<u></u>
-	InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	0		则情感	10.0	9	Villaria o	0			0 88	all and contract	and promising	
	- Unknown	. CSX Rail Coal - 1,000 k per year	9.0	, b	211122 11417	1 D		1	9	9	1	a r temanitari di	The state of the state of	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	0	D	建物能能	7	الماديات كالسا		0	6 0	0	The Control	here to		<u> </u>
	Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	P	11111		P P		9			世		193120		<u>j</u>
T	Tons	the control of the second seco	Jan 2011	Feb 2011	Mar 2011.	Apr.2011;	May 2011						Nov 2011		
P	Projected Ending Inven	огу	313,623	296,323	282,684	274,222	245,630		212,150		228,284	341,398	Lannin	471,711	. Laurenne
[7	Terget Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940		313,940	les minner		313,940	÷	313,940) demonstration
16	Over/(Under) Target		(117)	(17,617)	(31,256)	(39,718)	(68,310)	(81,291)	(101,79	(129,642	(05,656)	27,458	144,357	157,771	1

Kentucky Power Company Fuel Purchase Order 03-30-10-002, Amendment 2010-1

	Paris Auto Said (extreme Ballonth													Geneva Acada	
4		eringias da la	Geleggenere Geleggenere	655 KKE KKE	digaliste				isansar Caribah		334.1	TANKS S		7.97	
131	Tons	Toro 😿 Use Unicaded Delie 😾 Us	Jan 2012		Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Am 2012	Jul 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	2012 Tota
÷	Beginning Inventory		171,711	435,043	411,869				341,917	314,339	276,147	262,803	339,015	332,877	edre edució
į	Burn		246,668	233,174	233,806	227,412	202,267	226,465	225,912	236,525	221,676	132,122	209,470	222,439	2,617,93
بآد	Committed		80,000	B0,000	80,000	.50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	690,60
4	Not Committed		130,000	130,000	140,000	143,333	148,333	158,333	148,333	148,333	158,333	158,333	153,333	153,336	. 1,770,00
	Not Complited.	PA # / Product Code / Description:	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	An 2012	34 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Déc 2012	2012 Tota
	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SMJ Truck Coal	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	180,00
	_ InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	49,000	40,000	40,000	40,000		40,000	40,000	40,000	480,00
	InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Cod	0	P.D. XATT.		G(X+27,274)	0	igiji P	0		0	Larte Francis	the children	0	
	InProgress	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	1 O September 1				13.333	A LEGISTA		· 0	Libitation				
	InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	District Land	0.0	L-pullipulpus	In the Application			6 to 0		Company of	LAU all man	president and the second	re-thought on	
	Unknown	CSX Rail Coal - 1,000 kper year	30,000	angen ander	(Tritting Kills	and the state of t	20,000		30,000	7.5	10,000	4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		10,000	420,00
	_ Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	45,000	45,000	45,000	Michael	50,000		50,000	AND COMPANY	1764	The state of the s	45,000	45,000	570,01
	- Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	160 V.O.	0			N P	144.0			150		PERES.		L
١,	Tons	200 million 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012:	May 2012:	Jun 2012	24,2012	Aug 2012	500 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	2012 Tota
H	Projected Ending Inven	lory	435,043	411,869	398,063	<u>.L</u>	360,049		314,339	<u>'</u>	262,803			313,774	
-ľ	Target Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940		1				
U	Over/(Under) Terpot	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	121,103	97,929	84,123	50,044	45,109	27,977	399	(37,793)	(51,137)	25,075	18,937	(166)	

1821							ioraskalitä			014688			Name of the	694666	
98.0X	STATE OF THE STATE	Sandy Low Sulfur	enterioreses	924/04/3	arian design						100000000000000000000000000000000000000		listatuani'na	e de la como	
	MAN BALL			595,54646		ililatin Soria		HEDNIEDSE	amp endige	A STREET	<u> Parishinanan</u> Terresia	MANAGES	REPRESE	errorran	10.971120199959
Pon	tion By Month	German State of the Control of the C		Charle	iairi dir		allylogal,			Plantating of					
ΘP	iced Tons O Day	om Tons, 😿 Use Unkaded Dale . 📝 Us	e Unoeded	Tom								PARTE			
T	ONS	· Milyodistics swinkelikov	an 2013.	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Juni 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 201.	Nov 2013:		2013 Total
) B	eginning Inventory		313,774	.333,288	361,815	382,397	414,339	446,401	453,801	389,650	317,558		436,226	393,947	
j. B	LITTI		205,486	206,473	104,418	183,057	172,938	187,601	209,150	217,093	91,667	39,665	167,279	197,118	2,051,946
J. c	omitted		20,000	20,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	. , 0	0.	<u> p</u>	0	. 0		0	0	
L N	ot Committed	has 4 has 4 has 5	205,000	215,000	205,000	215,000	205,000	195,000	145,000	145,000	125,000	125,00	125,000	117,112	2,022,112
	Not Committed	PA# / Product Code / Description	ari 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013.	Am 2013 :	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 201	Nov 2013	Dec 2013	2013 Total
	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SMJ Truck Coal	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	180,000
	InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	30,000	140,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	480,000
	InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Coal	0	jali ja jo	i i o	100	arai eu a	學學等0	. 0	i i i i	0	7110) j . O	e: 75.t 0	1:01/0 p C
İİ	_ InProgress	03-90-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	0.10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	120,000
	_ InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	Ming o		0.0	0	同题题。 9	li li i	A CORPORATION	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0	. 0	SHAPP TO SERVE	
	Linknown	CSX Rail Coal - 1,000 k per year	1 60,000	90,000	80,000	90,000	60,D00	International a	80,000	80,000	11.211	£0,000	Contract Contract	50,000	<u> </u>
	Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	40,000	/ 0)	14.000.0036	推进现代 6	March Comment	340,000
	Open	System generated on 1/16/2007 3:	0	0	0	0	, o	0	0		0 دنونستانیت		0	2,112	2,117
1,1	fons		lan 2013.	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013	Aug 2013	Sep 2013.	Oct 201	Nov 2013	Dec 2013	2013 Total
. P	rojected Ending Im	ventory	333,288	361,815	382,397	414,339	446,401	453,801	389,650	317,558	350,891	435,22	393,947	313,940	I.:
- 7	arget Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	. 313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,94	313,940	313,940	:::
٦	Over/(Under) Targe	ŧ	19,348	47,875	68,457	100,399	132,461	139,861	75,710	3,618	36,951	122,28	80,007	. 0	

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Order Dated January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-3 (S.M..& J.) Page 1 of 6



Date:

September 29, 2010

Subject:

Coal Supply Agreement (CSA) 03-30-10-901

Kentucky Power Company (Buyer) with S. M. & J., Inc. (Seller)

From:

K. K. Chileote We

To:

1) J. T. Rusk of CR

2) J. H. Sorrels

3) J. C. Bilardello

4) P.M. Castro Trac

5) -S. M. DeBord Smb

6) T. K. Light

7) P. K. Jordan

Contained within this justification package is a proposed CSA addressing the delivery of fuel into Buyer's Big Sandy Plant (the Plant). The proposed CSA with Seller is the result of the responses to the request for purchase solicitation dated July 19, 2010 which requested offers by July 29, 2010.

The procurement strategy for Buyer annually layers supply agreements into the existing supply portfolio to meet a pre-established committed target percentage. The committed target percentage is balanced with the desire to maintain a specific inventory level at the Plant. Prior to the solicitation the Plant was below both the desired committed percentage and inventory target level for the years 2011 through 2013. Consequently, purchases were pursued to meet both target levels. The proposed CSA provides for 240,000 tons to be delivered annually in years 2011 through 2013. The tons would fill a portion of the "Open" position as can be seen on the inventory projections in the "Not Committed" section (Tab A). The addition of the proposed purchase will cause Buyer to be over the 2011 target for both the committed percentage and the end of the year inventory target. Coal is required in the beginning of 2011 to meet the Plant's consumption and target levels, however at the end of 2011 an extended outage causes consumption to be reduced and inventory to rise causing the inventory level to be over the targeted level. The table on the next page shows the Plant's total existing annual tonnage commitments along with the proposed agreement compared to the projected consumption. Also, included is the remaining open position reflected both in total tons and as a percentage of the total position versus the pre-established committed target percentages to be achieved for the referenced year.

(Tonnages reflected in thousands)	2011	2012	2013
Anticipated consumption	1,940.7	2,617.9	2,061.9
Previously committed purchases	1,720.0	1,170.0	<u> 520.0</u>
Remaining open position before agreement	220.7	1,447.9	1,541.9
Proposed S. M. & J. Agreement	240.0	240.0	240.0
Remaining open position after agreement	(19.3)	<u>_1,207.9</u>	<u>1,301.9</u>
Committed positon reflected as % of needs	107.2%	58.4%	42.7%
Committed Target %	90.0%	75.0%	60.0%

A review of the July 19, 2010 solicitation showed Seller to be the lowest cost supplier on a present value basis for years 2011 through 2013 (Tab B). The CSA will provide for coal deliveries to the Plant over the term of the agreement for a weighted average cost of 292.00 ¢/mmBtu on a quality adjusted present value basis.

The proposed CSA has a term through 2013, with fixed pricing through the term. The specifics of the agreement are noted below:

S. M. & J., Inc – 03-30-10-901

Term: January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013

Quantity: 20,000 tons per month January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013

Contract Quality: 12,000 Btu/lb; 1.60 # SO₂/mmBtu; 12.00% ash

Delivery Mode: Truck

Pricing (per ton): \$78.15 per ton January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013

Seller is not currently supplying coal to the Plant so the addition of this agreement increases the diversity of suppliers and will strengthen the supplier base for Buyer.

In conclusion, Buyer will continue to adhere to its procurement strategy with the execution of the proposed CSA. For the reasons stated above the CSA is recommended for execution and inclusion into the current portfolio for the Plant. Attached in Tab C is the copy of the CSA for signature.

Please approve by initialing this cover memo and forwarding the package to the next addressee and finally to Mr. Light for his review and approval of the proposed agreement.

Kentucky Power Company CSA 03-3-10-901

Tab A

		negarnsoso:	alamanya. Germanya	Carones Caroni	And the second		1000 NA 12 TA	和的的場所	Market Co.	位出で現1年4 ゼンシャ・・	1000年1月1日 17日第2日	didiam 349	27 in 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 1	57/21/21/23 2010/03/16
atition By Month				Santaskasi viit Priisioon		VALUE OF THE					tanan sirin	The state of the s	dia valudod k	
Priced Fors O'Distor	n Tone, 🗸 Use Unloaded Dale, 🛱 Us	e Unloaded	Tone				為好為關		公服服					
Tons : : Se	indigit in the second	Jan 2011	Fob 2011	Mar 2011.	Apr 2011.	May 2011	Jun 2011:	1100 li	Aug 2011	Sep 2011:	Oct 2011	Nov.2011;	Dec 2011	2011.Tot
Beginning Inventory		352,396	313,623	296,323	282,684	274,222	245,630	232,649	212,150	184,298	228,284	341,398	458,297	*********
Burn		213,574	192,500	188,638	183,462	203,592	187,981	195,499	202,852	121,014	51,887	48,101	151,586	1,940,5
Committed		120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000	1,400,1
Not Committed	M121 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	55,000	55,000	55,600	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	660,0
Not Committed	PA # / Product Code / Description	Jan 2011	Feb 2011	Mar 2011	Apr 2011	May 2011	Jun 2011	Jul 2011	Aug 2011	Sep 2011	Oct 2011	Nov 2011	Det 2011	2011 Tol
_ InProgress	D3-30-10-901 / A / SM) Truck Coal	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	. 15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	180,0
InProgress	D3-30-10-900 / A /	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	480,0
_ InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Coal	11111111	0.00	14,15,240	Ò	0	1	0	0 111	0	0	O	100 3	
InProgress	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	I SHEET	0 X X	0	0.00	0		0	1110	0		Q		
- InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4		0	THE P	i de la compa	n e	0	0 11	7 P D				0	
Unknown	CSX Reil Coal - 1,000 k per year	i a	0	0	0	a	0	0	V 0	10		0 112	0	
Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	. 0	0	1100	0 (2.1)	9	i a	6	20 E D	515.770	11 1 1 0	9	15 W = 0	
Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	0	icigis o	0	0	1 70 0	in this o	il Lo		0 11.5	() Q	0 12	o o	
Toris (S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.S.	Secretary of the second	Jan 2011	Feb 2011	Mar, 2011;	Apr 2011	May 2011.	Jun 2011.	Jul 2011	Aug 2011:	Sep 2011.	Oct 2011:	Nov 2011	Dec 2011	2011 10
Projected Ending Inve	ntory	313,823	296,323	282,684	274,222	245,630	232,649	212,150	184,298	228,284	341,390	450,297	471,711	
Target Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	
Over/(Under) Target		· pomono - musico	(17,617)	(31,256)	(39,718)	(68,310)	1 724 224	1404 70	(129,642	(85,656)	27,458	144,357	157,771	

osi	ion By Month					inia asidi					haba da S		Athlese	دود اروایت	越越級
The Property of	ed Tone O'Durlom	oma : Fr. Use Ursceded Date : Fr. Use	Dispoded T	DNS			77.0°E			41444					
	ns:			CLA LIME AND	Mer.2012;	Apr 2012	May 2012	-	The Control of the Co	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012 :	Nav 2012 ·	Dec 2012	2012 To
Ве	ginning Inventory		471,711	435,043	411,869	398,063	363,984	360,019	341,917	314,339	276,147	262,803	339,015	332,677	
Đu	rn		246,668	233,174	233,806	227,412	202,267	226,465	225,912	236,525	221,676	132,122	209,470	222,439	2,517
Č	mmitted	italialatini minima min	80,000	80,000	80,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	690
No	t Connitted		130,000	130,000	140,000	143,333	148,333	158,333	148,333	148,333	158,333	150,333	153,333	153,336	1,771
	Not Committed :::	PA # / Product Code / Description .	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	XI 2012	Aug 2012	Sep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	2012 T
j.	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SMJ Truck Coal	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	184
-	InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	481
ŀ	InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Cosl	10 m	A (1)	0 110	0	0	10	0	10	0.0	3	0	0	
ŀ	InProgress	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	0	6	1	19,333	15,333	15,333	19,333	19,533	19,333	19,333		13,336	120
1	InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	.0	2 0	0		0	9	o	9 14 16	0	. 0		9	<u>L</u>
-	Unknown	C5X Reil Coal - 1,000 k per year	90,000	30,000	40,000	30,000	30,000	40,000	30,000	30,000	10,000	40,000	40,000	1.40,000	421
-	Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	45,000	45,000	571
L	Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	VENERAL O	100	N C	B 35 11 0		0	σ		9	•	9	0	
· Ti	onschieb	Tanggan palabagan para karang di Langgan di	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012	Jun 2012	3.82012.	Aug 2012	5ep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012	Dec 2012	2012 T
Pr	ojected Ending Invent	ху	135,013	411,859	398,063	363,964	360,049	341,917	314,339	276,147	262,803	339,015	332,877	313,774	
Te	erget Inventory	a istratura trurcs uper per personanum	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	
ľö	ver/(Under) Target	mananan manan m	121,103	97,929	64,123	50,044	46,109	27,977	399	(37,793)	(51,137)	25,075	18,937	(166)	1

Kentucky Power Company CSA 03-3-10-901

crition By Month		ing of the second secon		<u>Caroninarra</u>	######################################		<u> </u>	<u>erenana ara</u> Cabalas	<u>Usasicetere</u> voldikas		inganzya Mina : 4.2	uppmanasass	enterneseess Ve	at the state of
ANGENIE DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE L L'ANGENIE DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE LES DE		ulia. Venikani	2_3m4;;. 7401#265m	ANALYSIS.		eninga. Mungana	Palatan II.	Callenge Callenge	· ichecher Birtheria	eren dirid Distrib	inging: Propieces	and the second	OLEGISARI	ini <i>dele</i> Succession
	on Tons (F. Use Unloaded Date): [F. Un	e Uncoaded lan 2013		Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013	3010	122012	61:- 2012	G 2010		(Alexandra)		
Beginning Inventory		313,774	333,288	361,815		. 414,339		Jul 2013 453,601	389,650	317,558	-	Nov. 2013. 436,226	393,947	2013 Tota
Burn		205,486	206,473			172,936	l'in.	4		91,667		167,279		2,061,9
Committed		20,000	20,000	inimienien in	hand dilling it	0		formatic in	Kikimui		<u> Libertina</u>	D	0	40,0
Not Committed		205,000	215,000	74-171	7			145,000	145,000			125,000	Laborate Control of the Control	2,022,1
Not Committed	PA # / Product Code / Description	an 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013	May 2013)	Jun 2013	jui 2013	Aug 2013 :	Sep 2013	Oct 201	Nov 2013:-	Dec 2013.	2013,Tota
InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SM3 Truck Coal	15,000		15,000			15,000		15,000		15,000	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		
InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	*40,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000	40,000	10,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	480,0
_ InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Cool	13.0	0	0	part of	0	D	0		0 12 16	0 11	0	o	
- InProgress	03-30-08-901 / E / Extension of 03	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	120,0
- InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4	12000	109110			5 0	1000	N D P	100	1490110	ju j	ALC: NO. O	0 55	3
Unknown	CSX Rail Coal - 1,000 k per year	60,000	90,000	60,000	90,000	1 - 80,000	90,000	80,000	80,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	50,000	900,01
Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	40,000	0	1144110	1 P. 1	. 0	artin o	Ů III Ó	340,01
Open	System generated on 1/19/2007 3:	irer . O	0	0	1.47 0		lassis a	,,,,,, o	0	in in the control	J.,	88.000	2,112	2,1
Tons . System		lani 2013	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	Apr 2013.	May 2013	Jun 2013	Jul 2013 .	Aug 2013	Sep 2013	Oct 201	Nov 2013	Dec 2013 :	2013 Tota
Projected Ending Inve		333,288	361,815	382,397	414,339	116,401	453,001	389,650	317,558	350,891	436,22	393,917	313,940	(: ·
Terget Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,94	313,940	313,940	
Over/(Under) Target		19,348	47,875	68,457	100,399	132,461	139,861	75,710	3,618	36,951	122,28	80,007	0	

Total \$/Tor 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 Jul-11 Aug-11 Sep-11 Oct-11 Nov-11 Dec-11 Apr-11 May-11 Jun-11 Total \$/Tor 78.30 \$/Ton 75.00 Nov-11 Dec-11 Jan-12 Apr=12 Apr-13 May-11 Jun-11 Jul-11 Aug-11 Sep-11 Oct-11 Feb-12 Mar-12 Apr-17

Tab B 2013 Term Offer Evaluation

	~											100	150)	Sens	更影	nien Kan		rea Teach		(54.7	9350	#E#	erer Erer	-বান	in de	Wife.	12100	Tener:		AGG	naen	S Page	arena.	
	\$/mmbtu 3.13	3.11	3.10	3.08	3.06	3.04	3.02	3.00	2.98	2.96	2.95	2.93	3.(2	3.10	30S	300	C) 6	2.0	- 00 c	707	2.95	294	292	3.15	0 T	3.09	3.08	3.06	9.6 8.6	70°	208	2.96		3.0339
		76.61	76.14	75.67	75.20	74.74	74.28	73.82	73.36	72.91	72.46	72.01	76.85	76.38	15.0	1:0	70.00	10.4	73.50	73.72	72.69	72.24	71.80	77.55	76.67	76.12	75.65	75.18	74.72	054	73.35 3.55	72,89	72.44	2012-2013
	Total \$/Tor 77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	77.57	83.29	83.29	83.29	87.58 87.58	62.53 00.50	8 20 68	84.20	83.29	83.29	83.29	83.29	30.52	90.52	90.52	90.52	90.52	20.52	20.02	90.00	90.52	90.52	N
	Transp 7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.51	7.73	7.73	6//9	2 (100	7.10	27.6	2 12	7.73	7.73	773	262	787	767	7.97	797	7.97	in the r	107	187	7.97	
	Quality Adj 0.56	0.56	0:26	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	.0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.50	0.00	000	0.30	0.56	0.56	0.56	- 0.56	0.56	95.0	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.30	0.58	0.56	0.56	
	\$/Ton 69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	69.50	75.00	75.00	75.00	00.0	20.0	500	75.05	36	20092	75.00	75.00	82.00	82.00	82.00	82.00	82.00	82.00	00 CA	00.68	82.00	82.00	69.67
INR	olume 42:000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	2000 SA	20000	42,000	42 000	42,000	42,000	42 000	42,000	42,000	42,000	42.000	44,000	00000	42,000	42,000	
=	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11	Jun-11	Jul-11	Aug-11	Sep-11	Oct-11	Nov-11	Dec-11	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	April	Mayerz	¥ .	C) Ping	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	001.12	Noverto	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb 13	Anc-13	May-13	Jan-18	Jul 13	e contract	2 7 7 6	No.	Dec-13	Average
	\$/mmbtu 0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	3.31	3.29	327	3.25	3	7.0	n v	y C) e , e		3.09	*	3.12	3 GB	307	3.05	803	5 5	2 i	2.35	11/201	3.1188
	PV \$/ton 3.17	3.15	3.13	3.11	3.09	3.08	3.06	3.04	3.02	3.00	2.98	2.96	82.76	82.25	81.74	81.24	80.73	90.24	70.74	78.75	7.0 R7	77.79	77.31	78.55	78.06	17.10	76.63	76.15	75,68	77.0	(4/0	73.83	73.38	2012-2013
	Total \$/Tor	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	3,19	3.19	3.19	89.68	89.68	89.69	69'68	89.69	69.89	69.68 69.00	50.50 60.00	80 90 80 90	20 58 50 58	69.68	91.69	91.69	4 c	91.69	91,69	91.69	91.69	20.12 CO.12 CO.12	69 J.6	91.69	(4
	_	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.75	Q	5/2	0,70	2, C	275	2.75	2.75	2.75	2//2 2//c	275	2.75	2.75	2.72	27.6	2.75 2.75	2.75	
	Quality Adj	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	044	100	0.44	D 0	Ŧ.	0.44	4.00	1 3	130	TF 0	77.0	0.44	0.44	7 T	0.44	0.44	0.44	4.0	4.	1 4	0.44	
	\$/Ton	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	86.50	86.50	86.50	86.50	86.50	86.50	86.50	30.3U	00,00	88.50 50.50	86.50	88.50	88.50	88.50 60.50	88.50	88.50	88.50	88.50	88.50	88.50	88.50	69.67
TECO	Volume	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	000 00	30,000				00008	41,567	41,867	41.667	41.667	41,667	41,667	41,667	41,667	41,007	41 667	
٠.	<u> </u>	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	Mav-11	Jun-11	.hil-11	Aug-11	Sep-11	Oct-11	Nov-11	Dec-11	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mars12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun 12	2 E	Aug-12	7-00	100 P	Depty	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13		Jun-13	. JUE-13	Aug-13	Sep-(3	Nov.13	Dec 13	Average

Kentucky Power Company CSA 03-3-10-901



Date: September 24, 2010

Subject: Fuel Purchase Order (FPO) 03-30-10-001

Kentucky Power Company (Buyer) with S. M. & J., Inc. (Seller)

From: K. K. Chilcote

To: 1) J. T. Rusk 7712

2) J. H. Sorrels y

3) J. C. Bilardello 23

4) J.E. Jadwin /

5) S. M. DeBord Smis

6) E. A. Vannata

Contained within this justification package is a proposed FPO addressing the delivery of fuel into Buyer's Big Sandy Plant (the Plant). The proposed FPO with Seller is the result of the responses to the request for purchase solicitation dated July 19, 2010 which requested offers by July 29, 2010.

A review of the position for the Plant showed that coal would need to be purchased in calendar year 2010 to meet the Plant consumption and maintain the inventory target level. The Plant position with the proposed FPO is illustrated in the table below.

sition for Big Sa	ndy Low Sulfur													
Freite for Dig Sandy Liv osition By Month	apakan)													
Priced Tons - O Duston I	one (구 Una Unkalded Data (구 Un	Contract of the last	Maria de la Companya						at all					
Tons	<u> </u>	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010	*****		Jul 2010	Aug 2010 .	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010;	2010 To
Committed	***************************************	199,054	160,119	250,827	205,303	153,015	95,507	132,474	193,749	258,094	246,427	226,427	196,428	2,317,
PA # / Product Code .	Vendor - 17 The property of	Jan 2010.	Feb 2010	Mar. 2010.	Apr 2010	May 2010	3ún 2010.	Jul 2010	Aug 2018,	Sep.2010	Oct 2010	Nov 2010	Dec 2010	2010 To
03-30-10-002 / A	Alpha Coal Sales Co., LLC	0		, , , , p	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		in 0	0	0	10,000	20,000	10,000	ď	40
02-40-05-901 / A	Arch Coal Sales Company, Inc.	0	, 0	0		Į o	0	20,031	31,862	20,000	6	\$ 11 0	E = == 10	71
03-30-07-904 / A	Beech Fork Processing, Inc.	28,464	15,179	22,091	20,587	15,121	7,657	7,010	ain 4,111	29,944	29,944	29,944	29,944	240
02-40-08-901 / A	Central Coal Company	0	0	0	المناسلة المناسلة	lanceis 9	10,400	0	20,341	40,000	10,000	10,000		90
02-40-08-900 / A	Magnum Coal Sales, LLC	0	200/m P	0.00	inicial de la constant de la constan	licettia 9	0 زن ندود:	0	10,338	10,000	20,000	10,000	1.0	50
\$ 03-30-10-001 / A	S.M.& J., Inc.	Sanator O	,,,, p		0	J.,,,,,, D	0	. 0	0	10,000	15,333	10,333	18,334	65
# 03-30-07-900 / A	Trinity Coal Marketing, LLC	31,843	20,484	40,413	. 40,566	. 20,735	20,759	20,091	20,974	50,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	295
03-30-07-903 / A	Argus Energy, LLC	23,201	22,268	26,747	. 20,214	17,083	5,506	9,860	13,622	10,52	10,525	10,528	10,525	212
03-30-07-901 / A	; ICG, LLC	21,185	2,777	30,161	20,751	20,677	10,140	21,809	20,573	20,000	10,000	30,000	30,000	245
03-30-08-901 / A	Beach Fork Processing, Inc.	- 30,855	Participation of the second	المتعلقة فسندوث	minder had	10000000	United the sales			Limitanial 2	29,625	i ininaskiti:	29,625	360
03-30-08-900 / A	INR - WV Operating, LLC	42,176	30,604	62,474	41,057	31,011	10,332	24,234	20,067	30,000	10,000	30,000	30,000	392
03-30-07-905 / A	Trinity Coal Marketing, LLC	21,031	30,517	39,477	31,213	20,117	0	9	20,866	20,000	30,000	20,000	20,000	253
.Tons	-8-4-9999	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010	May 2010	Jun 2010	¥1,50€0	Aug 2010.	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	Nov.2010	Dec 2010	2010 To
Not Committed	Tomas man, my 1 galages to progress to the said block and my man and it will be	, 0		0	. 0	0	O Suramania	0	0	Ö	(20,000)	(10,000)	0	(30,
Projected Ending Invento	ry	438,479	335,140	i. 384,237	409,976	174,948	. 326,956	242,182	160,079	207,862	219,376	236,905	212,161	[<u>.</u>
Target Inventory		- 313,940	313,940		4 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	313,940	313,910	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	[
Over/(Under) Target		0	0		1:2/1:0	0	0	Ü	0	(106,078)	(94,564)	(77,035)	(101,779	Ī

Kentucky Power Company Fuel Purchase Order 03-30-10-001

A review of the July 19, 2010 solicitations showed there were four spot offers available for purchase. The proposed offer from Seller was the lowest cost offer for the September to December 2010 period. The coal from Seller will deliver to the Plant over the 2010 term for a cost of 302.20 ¢/mmBtu a quality adjusted basis. The table below shows the offer from Seller in comparison to the others from the solicitation.

2010 Spot Offer Summary

2010 Spot O110	n bummary_	
	Quality	
	Adj,	
	Delivered	
Supplier	\$/Ton	¢/mmbtu
S. M. & J.	72.53	302.20
2	73.55	306.48
3	76.07	316.95
4	80.05	333.53

The specifics of the FPO are noted below.

S. M. & J., Inc. – 03-30-10-001

Term:

September 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010

Quantity:

65,000 tons over the Term

FPO Quality:

12,000 Btu/lb; 1.60 # SO₂/mmBtu; 12.00% ash

Delivery Mode:

Truck

Pricing (per ton):

\$71.95.00 per ton for the Term

Seller is not currently supplying coal to the Plant so the addition of this agreement increases the diversity of suppliers and will strengthen the supplier base for KPCo.

In conclusion, the addition of the proposed FPO will allow Buyer to fill a portion of the 2010 open position. For the reasons stated above the proposed amendment is recommended for addition into the current portfolio for the Plant. Attached in Tab B is the proposed FPO.

Please approve by initialing this cover memo and forwarding the package to the next addressee.



Date:

August 16, 2010

Subject:

Fuel Purchase Order (FPO) 03-30-10-002

Kentucky Power Company (Buyer) with Alpha Coal Sales Co., LLC (Seller)

From:

K. K. Chilcote LLC

To:

1) J.T. Rusk all

2) J. H. Sorrels >

3) J. C. Bilardello

A) D.M. Cocted

3) S.M. DeBord

4) E. A. Vannata

Contained within this justification package is a FPO addressing the delivery of fuel into Kentucky Power Company's Big Sandy Plant (the Plant). The proposed agreement with the Seller is the result of the responses to the request for purchase solicitation dated July 19, 2010 which requested offers by July 29, 2010.

There were originally four 2010 spot offers for review from the solicitation. Further discussions with the four suppliers revealed two of the offers were no longer available. The volume of coal available from the remaining two suppliers would not fill the Plant's current open position of 124,500 tons in 2010. Additional coal would be required to meet the Plant's consumption and inventory target level for the year. The inventory level and purchase from Seller are illustrated within the table below.

sition for B	g Sandy Low Sulfur													
FS (I in 1997) astion By Month	Position for Cardinal 3 Low 5	Haftur William	enenia Verenia			ىلىنىنىن. ئاندىن				1817: .5194 1401/44		asperiori Alberiori	einiku. Liniku:	
Pased Tone (CI	son toe Pilestrischebes Pile	the state of the s						Baya.		脂製劑				
April (1994)	Other to the second by	LL-		Contra contraction of		7,7,7		M 2010	*******	5ер 2010;.·	17.	Nov 2010	Dec 2010 € 10-	2010 Tetal
Beginning Invento	τγ	528,426	list are necessaries.		A real of the second second second		fullent traville	,394,140	COMMENT PERFE			dana rewaniani		
ðum .		289,001	ininamaintin	202,730		28,014			266,005	196,879	danaszmana	hazza ezizee		2,546,032
Committed		199,054	160,119	250,827	205,303	153,018	95,507	132,697	210,000	220,000	184,000	Language de la constitución de l		2,149,864
Not Committed		0	0	0	0	, ; 0	في التالية التالية التالية	0	0	10,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	100,000
Not Committee	PA # / Product Code / Description	Jan 2010,	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr. 2010	May 2010	Jun 2010	2010	Aug 2010 ''	Sep 2010; .	Oct 2010	Nov 2010 : -		2010 Total
. InProgress	03-30-10-002 / A / Truck Q4		(0	· 0			0	0		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	48,000
InProgress	03-30-10-001 / A / Truck Coal	J 0		Jan		letere	0	ri riski n			10,000	10,000	10,400	30,000
_ InProgress	03-30-10-900 / A /	A. 1 3754510	-1.0	distribution 9	or to the D	d] 0		非常思想	de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la	10,000	10,000	10,009	30,00
_ InProgress	03-30-06-901 / E / Extension of 03	0,			looks jog ster 0	(B)	0 زر ز		PU (5-1-1-10		HUH HE		6 THE STREET	0
Linknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	0	S 15 / 15 / 10		Japan u 🗅		1120000		提供更生0			1100		
Linknown	CSX Rail Coal - 1,000 k per year	Antifolio State	54.725.0	S. (12.1.)	1 0		1. L	0			10.14.19	(19)		0
Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	0	j.,, 0	14.6.25.75.76	1.22.	No see of the	00/17/15 O	0	2 270		學的學家	公约为研究		
Wingston.	san and a contract of the same	Jan 2010 · ;	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010 / 1/2/			34,2010: ·	Aug 2010	Sep 2010		Nov 2010	Dec 2010 1. c.	
Projected Ending	Inventory .	430,479	335,144	354,23	109,974	474,940			106,320	219,440	251,544	282,462		1
Terget Inventory	**************************************	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,540	313,940	313,940	313,940	319,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	
												(31,478)		

Kentucky Power Company Fuel Purchase Order 03-30-10-002

Because the volume of coal available for purchase was less than needed by Buyer, the two suppliers that had agreed to supply coal were approached about providing additional volumes of coal. Neither supplier had additional tons available for the fourth quarter of 2010. Simultaneously Seller contacted Buyer inquiring about the possibility of submitting a bid late. Buyer weighed the current situation and the need for additional coal to meet the inventory target and permitted Seller to submit a bid for coal to be delivered in the fourth quarter of 2010. Of the original offers submitted on July 29, two of the offers would deliver to the plant for less than the Seller's offer and two of the offers would deliver to the plant for more than Seller's offer, as illustrated within the table below. It should be noted that the offers from Suppliers 3 and 4 were the offers no longer available.

2010 Offer Summary

	Quality Adj,	
Supplier	Delivered \$/Ton	\$/mmbtu
11	72.53	3.02
2 .	73.55	3.06
Alpha	74.58	3.11
3	76.07	3.17
4	80.05	3.34

Because the Plant requires additional coal to meet the inventory target for 2010 and the tonnage offer provided by Seller is one of the lowest cost options available, Buyer proposes to enter into a FPO with Seller for the remainder of 2010.

The proposed FPO has a term of four months, with fixed pricing through the term. The specifics of the agreement are noted below:

Alpha Coal Sales Co, LLC - 03-30-10-002

Term: September 1 to December 31, 2010

Contract Quantity: 40,000 tons in 2010

Contract Quality: 12,000 Btu/lb; 1.60 # SO₂/mmBtu; 12.00% ash

Delivery Mode: Truck
Pricing (per ton): \$74.00 – 2010

Seller is not currently supplying coal to the Plant so the addition of this agreement increases the diversity of suppliers and will strengthen the supplier base for KPCo.

For the reasons stated above the proposed FPO is recommended for addition into the current portfolio for the Plant. Attached in Tab A are two copies of the FPO.

Please approve by initialing this cover memo and forwarding the package to the next addressee.

						Term	2010	1 2011	2 2012	3 2013	2014	2015	t0	
Ω	Proposal	Basin	Spec	Company	TPM	Years	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Tons	Offered Term	m Applicable Dates
2Alliance - MC Mining	2	CAPP	A	Alliance - MC Mining										
1Alliance - MC Mining	1	CAPP	A	Alliance - MC Mining										
1Alpha	1	CAPP	O	Alpha										
1Arch	-	CAPP	4	Arch										
3Arch	6	CAPP	۷	Arch										
2Arch	2	CAPP	∢	Arch	_									
April	4	CAPP	4	Arch										
1 Amis Davier	-	CAPP	o	Argus - Pevier										
Amis - Davlor	-	CAPP	O	Argus - Pevler										
Corpil	15	CAPP	В	Cargill										
Cardil	2	CAPP	٨	Cargill										
AC aroill	4	CAPP	8	Cargill										
3Caroill	3	CAPP	8	Cargill										
Caroill	,-	CAPP	A	Cargill										
Central Coal	-	CAPP	Ą	Central Coal										
4Coaltrade	4	CAPP	в	Coaltrade										
5Coaltrade	5	CAPP	В	Coaltrade										
3Coaltrade	3	CAPP	A	Coaltrade										
1Coaltrade	-	CAPP	4	Coaltrade										
2Coaltrade	2	CAPP	4	Coaltrade										
Consol	-	CAPP	A	Consol										
Crown Coal and Coke	-	CAPP	В	Crown Coal and Coke						CITTO	ל ה			
2Crown Coal and Coke	2	CAPP	В	Crown Coal and Coke						KEDA	3			
1EDF Trading	-	CAPP	۷	EDF Trading	_									
1ICG	1	CAPP	٧	901	_,			,						
lice	-	CAPP	٧	901										
aice	3	CAPP	A	901										
aice	8	CAPP	٧	SOI										
SICG	2	CAPP	A	50										
1Kentucky Energy Sales	-	CAPP	O	Kentucky Energy Sales										
3Kentucky Fuels	6	CAPP	O	Kentucky Fuels										
4Kentucky Fuels	4	CAPP	В	Kentucky Fuels										
2Kentucky Fuels	2	CAPP	A	Kentucky Fuels	_,									
1Kevstone	-	CAPP	В	Keystone										
2Kevstone	2	CAPP	В	Keystone										
3Koch Carbon	8	CAPP	В	Koch Carbon										
1Koch Carbon	-	CAPP	В	Koch Carbon										
2Koch Carbon	2	CAPP	٧	Koch Carbon										
1LDHE	1	CAPP	В	프	_									
3LDHE	3	CAPP	4	뿐	_									
2Massey	2	CAPP	В	Massey	_									
1Massey	-	CAPP	٨	Massey	_									
1Mercuria	1	CAPP	В	Mercuria										
4Mercuria	4	CAPP	O	Mercuria	-									
3Mercuria	3	CAPP	A	Mercuria										

		0,000	7700	2,700	****	7700	3400	And And And		a C		Rail Barge Transport
₽	Proposal	Zu10 Price	ZUT1 Price	2012 Price	Price	Price	Price	vita Avg Price	Mine	Shipping Point	Mode	OPSL Rate River MP
2Alliance - MC Mining	2							ľ	Scotts Branch	Mine	Rail	
Alliance - MC Mining	-								Scotts Branch	Mine	Rail	
	-	_							Rocksprings	Plant	Truck	
	-								Mountain Laurel	Mine	Rail	
	3	····							Mountain Laurel	Mine	Rail	
	2	,							Mountain Laurel	Mine	Rail	
	4	·							Mountain Laurel	Mine	Rail	, maren
Amus - Pevier	-							I	Argus Energy	Plant	Truck	
1Argus - Pevier	_							اا	Beechfork Processing	Plant	Truck	
	2								BS MP 6.4	Barge	Barge	
	2							!	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
	4	,						ا	BS MP 6.4	Barge	Barge	
	3								BS MP 6.4	Barge	Barge	•
	-								CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
1Central Coal									Fork Creek	Mine	Rail	
	4							L	OR 307 to 317	Barge	Barge	
	ľ	-							OR 307 to 317	Barne	Barge	
	,							J	Dranter	Mine	Rail	•
	, 							-l	CCY Bin Sandy / Kanasaha	Affine	Pail	
								L	COX DIS Carloy / Managerina	Mino	0	
	2								CSX Big Sandy / Kanawna	Mine	2 2	,
	-								Fola	Mine	Kaii	
1Crown Coal and Coke	1							_	Meadow Creek	Barge	Barge	
2Crown Coal and Coke	2			2	REDACTED	H			Tyler Morgan	Barge	Barge	REDACTED
1EDF Trading	-								CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
	-								Raven	Mine	Rail	
	-	T							Bates Branch	Mine	Rail	·····
	3							F	Raven	Mine	Rail	
	6	,						E	Bates Branch	Mine	Rail	
	,								Birch River	Mine	Rail	·
1Kentucky Energy Sales	-							-	Indeva	Plant	Truck	
3Kentiicky Filels	3							•	Bent Mtn	Plant	Truck	
4Kentucky Fuels	4								Bent Mtn	Barge	Barge	
2Kentucky Fuels	2							A	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
								•	Riverpoint	Barge	Barge	·
	2								Riverpoint	Barge	Barge	
3Koch Carbon	6	-							Lockwood Dock	Barge	Barge	<u> </u>
1Koch Carbon	-	-							Lockwood Dock	Barge	Barge	
2Koch Carbon	,								CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	·
	-							•	Cyrus River Terminal	Barge	Barge	
		_						4	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
	2	_						4	Mammoth	Barge	Barge	[*** <u>*****</u>
	-								Progress	Mine	Rail	, 1
	-								Redbud Dock	Barge	Barge	
	4								Various	Plant	Truck	
	3	_							CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-6 (Redacted) Page 3 of 8

Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost Proposal Dispusal Cost		•				Cuality			The family	
Coal and Coke 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	≘	Proposal	Btr	Ash %	SO2#	Sulfur %	Moist % CI %			SO2 Cost
Columning 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Allance - MC Mining	2								
Peviler 1	Alliance - MC Mining	-								
Peviler 1	Alpha]								
Peviler 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Arch	-[-								
Peviler	- Arch	,								
Pevler	Arch	1								
Peviler 1 Peviler 1 Peviler 1 Peviler 1 Peviler 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	toth	T								
Pewler 1	Argus - Pevier	-								
Coal 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Argus - Pevier									
Coal 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Cargill	5								
A A A	Cardill	2								
Second 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Caroill	4								
Coal 1 Coal 1 Coal 1 Coal 1 Coal 1 Coal 1 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 1 Coal and Coke 1 Coal and Coke 1 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 3 Coal and Coke 2 Coal and Coke 3 Coal and Coal and Coke 3 Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal and Coal an	Carrell	6								
Coal	Catgail	,								
Coal	Cargill									
E	Central Coal	-								
E	Coaltrade	4								
Per 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Coaltrade	5								
E	Coaltrade	3								
Column C	Occupanion of	-								
Coal and Coke	Coantrade	,								
Coal and Coke 1 Soal and Coke 2 India and Coke 1 India and Coke 1 India and Coke 1 India and Coke 1 India and Coke 2 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 4 India and Coke 2 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 4 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 4 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 4 India and Coke 3 India and Coke 4	Coaltrade	7								
REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED	Consol	-								
KEDACIED (KEDACIED (KEDACI	Crown Coal and Coke	-				•				
	Crown Coal and Coke	2					REDACTE	e	,	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EDE Tradina	-								
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Summer Co.									
ucky Energy Sales Licky Fuels Licky Fuels Licky Fuels Licky Fuels Licky Fuels Lichy Enels	CG									
ucky Energy Sales ucky Fuels	lce	-								
ucky Energy Sales ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels idone idone Carbon E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	921	ო								
ucky Energy Sales ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels tione tione to Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Carbon t Sesy sesy	901	3								
ucky Energy Sales ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels ucky Fuels lone lone lone lone lone lone lone lone	200									
	Kentucky Energy Sales	-								
	Kentucky Fuels	3								
	Kentucky Fuels	4								
	Kentucky Fuels	2								
thon thon thon	The state of the s	,								
thon thon	Keystone	- -								
thon	Keystone	2								
ribon thou	3Koch Carbon	3								
thon	Koch Carbon	1	_							
	Moch Carbon	,								
	Carpon Carpon	ļ. +								
	LUME	-	_							
	SLDHE	6								
	Massey	2								
	Massev	٠								
	Mercuria	-								
	Morning	4	_							
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000									

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-6 (Redacted) Page 4 of 8

			Quali	ty Adjusted De	Quality Adjusted Delivered Cost 2010 \$	\$ 0103		
Q	Proposal	*2010 Price	*2011 Price	*2012 Price	*2013 Price	WA Price	WA \$/Mbtu	Notes
ZAlliance - MC Mining	2							
1Alliance - MC Mining	+							
1Alpha	-							
1Arch	-							
3Arch	3							
2Arch	2							
4Arch	4							
1Argus - Pevler	-							
1Argus - Pevier	-							
5Cargill	5							
2Cargill	2							
4Cargill	4							
3Cargill	3							
1Cargill	1							
1Central Coal	1							
4Coaltrade	4							
5Coaltrade	5							
3Coaltrade	3							
1Coaltrade	-							
2Coaltrade	2							
1Consol	-							
1Crown Coal and Coke	-							
2Crown Coal and Coke	2				2	REDACTED	_	
1EDF Trading	,							
1106	+							
1109	-	-						
aice	3							
310G	3							
zice	2							
1Kentucky Energy Sales	-							
3Kentucky Fuels	9							
4Kentucky Fuels	4							
2Kentucky Fuels	2							
1Keystone	-							
2Keystone	2							
3Koch Carbon	3							
1Koch Carbon	-							
2Koch Carbon	2							
1LDHE	1							
3LDHE	3							
2Massey .	2							
1Massey								
1Mercuria	+							
4Mercuria	4							
3Mercuria	3							

								,	·				
۵	Proposal	Basin	Spec	Company	Term TPM Years	າ 2010 s Tons	2011 Tons	2012 Tons	2013 Tons	2014 Tons	2015 Tons	Total Tons Spot Offered Term	ot m Applicable Dates
	-	CAPP	ď	Patriot									
Producer's Dock	+	CAPP	В	Producer's Dock									
2Producer's Dock	2	CAPP	ပ	Producer's Dock									
	1	CAPP	A	Rhino									
1River Trading	1	CAPP	8	River Trading									
Smoky Mountain	,	CAPP	၁	Smoky Mountain									
Smoky Mountain	Ţ.	CAPP	A	Smoky Mountain									
Smoky Mountain	-	CAPP	В	Smoky Mountain									
1TD Trading	-	CAPP	В	TD Trading									
	12	CAPP	۵	Traxys									
	13	CAPP	٥	Traxys									
	6	CAPP	8	Traxys									
	6	CAPP	В	Traxys									
	14	CAPP	D	Traxys									
	10	CAPP	8	Traxys									
	10	CAPP	В	Traxys									
	5	CAPP	٥	Traxys						į			
	1	CAPP	A	Traxys					REDACTED				
	1	CAPP	٧	Traxys									
	9	CAPP	D	Traxys									
	2	CAPP	A	Traxys									
	2	CAPP	٧	Traxys									
	7	CAPP	۵	Traxys									
	3	CAPP	¥	Traxys									
	3	CAPP	A	Traxys									
	8	CAPP	۵	Traxys									
	4	CAPP	A	Traxys									
	4	CAPP	٧	Traxys									
	4	CAPP	٥	US Coal									
	3	CAPP	В	US Coal									
	3	CAPP	8	US Coal									
	2	CAPP	a	US Coal									
	-	CAPP	æ	US Coal									
	-	CAPP	8	US Coal									
*SO2 adjustment utilizes EGD costs IF the	Costs IF the	content is +1.6#/Mbtu	6#/Mbtu										

								E08	=	Transportation Costs Rail Barge Transport
2010 2 Price P	2011 Price	2012 Price	2013 Price	2014 Price	2015 V Price	Wtd Avg Price	Mine	Shipping Point	Mode	Rate River MP
ı							Beth Station	Mine	Rail	
						Ш	Redbud Dock	Barge	Barge	-
							Redbud Dock	Plant	Truck	
						_	Damron Fork	Mine	Rail	
						L	Docks Creek	Barge	Barge	
						<u></u>	Lockwood Dock	Plant	Truck	
						1_	lvel	Mine	Rail	
•							Lockwood Dock	Barge	Barge	
						<u></u>	Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	t
							Various	Barge	Barge	
						I	Various	Barge	Barge	
						1_	Various	Barge	Barge	
						1	Various	Barge	Barge	
						J	Various	Barge	Barge	
						J	Various	Barge	Barge	
							Varions	Barge	Barge	
						1	CSX Bio Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
		Ä	REDACTED	Ë		1	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	REDACIED
		2		1		1	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
						L	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
						l	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	•
						1	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
						_	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rail	
						L!	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha		Rail	
							CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha		Kail	
						1	CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha		Rail	
							CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha		Rati	
							CSX Big Sandy / Kanawha	Mine	Rai	
						L	Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	al
							Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	-al
							Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	al
							Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	al
							Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	
							Kentucky Coal Terminal	Barge	Barge	ml.
									_	

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-6 (Redacted) Page 7 of 8

					Quality			Quality Adjustments	tments
							(H=1/2 W)	Ash	202
Q	Proposal	Btu	Ash %	SO2#	Sulfur %	Sulfur % Moist % CI %		Disposal Cost	Cost
1Patriot	Ţ.								
1Producer's Dock	-								
2Producer's Dock	2								
1Rhino	-								
1River Trading	1								
1Smoky Mountain	1								
1Smoky Mountain	-								
1Smoky Mountain	1								
1TD Trading	٦								
12Traxys	12								
13Traxys	13								
9Traxys	6								
9Traxys	6								
14Traxys	14								
10Traxys	10								
10Traxys	19								
5Traxys	ß				1	1			
1Traxys	-				œ	REDACTED	_		
1Traxys	-								
6Traxys	9								
2Traxys	2								
2Traxys	2								
7Traxys	7								
3Traxys	3								
3Traxys	6	_							
ВТгахуѕ	80								
4Traxys	4								
4Traxys	4								
4US Coal	4								
3US Coal	3	,							
3US Coal	3								
2US Coal	2								
1US Coal	-								
1US Coal	7								
*SO2 adjustment utilizes FGD costs IF the	costs IF th								

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Date of Order January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-6 (Redacted) Page 8 of 8

			Quality	y Adjusted De	Quality Adjusted Delivered Cost 2010 \$.010 \$		
į	-	*2010	*2011	*2012 Price	*2013 Price	WA	WA \$/Mbtu	Notes
0	Lichosa	2011						
Pamor								
1Producer's Dock								
2Producer's Dock	2							
1Rhino	-							
River Trading	1							
Smoky Mountain	-							
Smoky Mountain	+							
Smoky Mountain	1							
TD Trading	-							
2Traxys	12							
13Traxys	13							
9Traxys	6							
9Traxys	9							
14Traxys	14							
10Traxys	10							
10Traxys	10							
5Traxys	5				Ĺ	1010	,	
Traxys	-				A L	REDACIED		
1Traxys	-							
6Traxys	9							
2Traxys	2							
2Traxys	2							
Traxys	7							
3Traxys	3							
3Traxys	6							
BTraxys	8							
4Traxys	4							
4Traxys	4							
4US Coal	4							
3US Coal	3							
3US Coal	6							
2US Coal	2							
1US Coal	-							
1US Coal	-							



Date:

December 1, 2010

Subject:

Coal Supply Agreement (CSA) 03-30-07-901, Amendment 2010-3

Kentucky Power Company (Buyer) with ICG, LLC (Seller)

From:

K. K. Chilcote

To:

1) J. T. Rusk

2) J. H. Serrels 7

3) I-C. Bilardelle

4) J.E. Jadwin -

5) -5: M. DeBord SYND

6) IK Light Lan

7) P.K. Jordan

Contained within this justification package is a proposed amendment to the CSA addressing the delivery of fuel into Buyer's Big Sandy Plant (the Plant). The proposed amendment to the CSA with Seller is the result of the responses to the request for purchase solicitation dated October 27, 2010 which requested offers by November 4, 2010.

The procurement strategy for Buyer annually layers supply agreements into the existing supply portfolio to meet a pre-established committed target percentage. The committed target percentage is balanced with the desire to maintain a specific inventory level at the Plant. Prior to the solicitation the Plant was below both the desired committed percentage and inventory target level for both years 2011 and 2012. Consequently, purchases were pursued to meet both target levels.

The proposed amended CSA provides for an additional 120,000 tons to be delivered from January to June of 2011 (the final year of the original CSA) and 240,000 tons to be delivered in year 2012, adding one additional year to the CSA. The tons would fill a portion of the "Open" position as can be seen on the inventory projections in the "Not Committed" section (Tab A). The addition of the proposed purchase will cause Buyer to be over the 2011 target for both the committed percentage and the end of the year inventory target. Additional coal is required in the beginning of 2011 to meet the Plant's consumption and target levels, however at the end of 2011 an extended outage causes consumption to be reduced and inventory to rise causing the inventory level to be over the targeted level.

The table on the next page shows the Plant's total existing annual tonnage commitments along with the proposed agreement compared to the projected consumption. Also, included is the remaining open position reflected both in total tons and as a percentage of the total position versus the preestablished committed target percentages to be achieved for the referenced year.

Kentucky Power Company CSA 03-30-07-901

(Tonnages reflected in thousands)	2011	2012
Anticipated consumption	2653.1	2677.5
Previously committed purchases	<u>2540.0</u>	<u>1530.0</u>
Remaining open position before agreement	113.1	1147.5
Proposed ICG Amendment	120.0	240.0
Remaining open position after agreement	<u>(6.9)</u>	<u>907.5</u>
Committed positon reflected as % of needs	100.3%	66.1%
Committed Target %	100.0%	90.0%

A review of the October 27, 2010 solicitation showed Seller to be one of the lowest cost suppliers on a present value basis for years 2011 and the lowest cost supplier for 2012 (Tab B). Buyer required tons to meet its obligation in only the first six months of 2011 and Seller offered that exact term in their proposal, thus the offer from Seller was chosen over the other low cost offer that delivered tons throughout the entire year of 2011. Also, by taking additional rail deliveries under the proposed amended CSA the rail agreement volume commitment for 2011 is met, eliminating the liquidated damage charges under the rail agreement. The amended CSA will provide for the additional coal deliveries to the Plant in 2011 at a weighted average cost of 294.00 ¢/mmBtu and for the 2012 deliveries at a weighted average cost of 307.24 ¢/mmBtu, both on a quality adjusted, present value basis.

For the reasons stated above the amendment is recommended for execution and inclusion into the current portfolio for the Plant. Attached in Tab C is the copy of the amendment for signature

Please approve by initialing this cover memo and forwarding the package to the next addressee.

Kentucky Power Company CSA 03-30-07-901

Tab A

Pos	sition for Big Sai	ndy Low Sulfur								Berow, As					
	Pestion for Big Sandy Low realism By Month	Suitor -			nasen Lakin				Andrews Line policy					7.77 7.77 7.77	4
Ô	Priced Torn: O Custom To	na : [V Use Uploaded Date 1 [V : Use					May 2011	1un 2011	11 2011	Aug 2011	Sep 2011	Oct 2011			d Obligations 2011 Total
:	Tons	<u></u>	Jan 2011. 268,058	Feb 2011 276,871	Mar 2011 310,348		327,764		328,776	269,764	205,813	200,002	320,983		L
Ϋ́	Burn		261,109	236,523	248,189	254,455 210,000	256,601 210,000	242,327 210,000	259,012 180,000	263,951 180,000	195,811	49,019 150,000	60,944 150,000	222,509 150,000	1
TL	Connitted Not Connitted	a aassan,, maassanaammee	230,000 40,000	230,000	230,000 40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	360,000
Ť		PA # / Product Code / Description	Jan 2011	Feb 2011	Mar 2011	Apr 2011	May 2011	ງເສ 2011		Aug 2011.	Dop Cots			Dec 2011	2011 Total
	InProgress	03-30-10-901 / A / SHJ Truck Cost	20,000	20,000	20,000 0	20,000	20,000	20,000 0	20,000	20,000	20,000 0	28,000	20,000	100	4
	Unknown Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open CSX Red Coal - 1,000 k per year	0	0	7 () 0	0	0 444	Straint Cont.	L ₅₁₇ = 0	(#1#4. 0	12-12-1-1-1	0 0		1901.9	120,000
	Open	System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	II de la company	20,000		20,000			le teore		 s=p 2011	· Contraction		Dec 2011	2011 Total
١١,	Tons		Jan 2011. 276,871	Feb 2011	Mar 2011, . 332,159		May 2011 321,103		1	Aug 2011 205,813		<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>
141	Projected Ending Inventory Terget Inventory		313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940	313,940			313,940				<u> </u>	
Щ	Over/(Linder) Target		(37,069)	(3,592)	19,219	13,764	7,163	14,836	(44,176)	(108,127)	(113,938)	7,043]		7

Position for Big S	andy Low Sulfur											7.55 (4.50 to 10.50 t		Handa Hillion
Position for Big Sand) Lo Position By Month				1860) 1833)								(30,83		4
	Torn 🖟 Dee Urjonded Dajer , 🕏 Un		mi si si			1.466	Jun 2012.	3d 2012	Aug 2012.	5ep 2012	Oct 2012	Nov 2012		Obligations F 2012 Total
Tons (1.1.2) Beginning Inventory	460 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jan 2012 : 377,429		318,119			289,425	285,900	269,016	283,809	276,60\$	357,211	355,040	
Burn		254,692 107,500	239,619 107,500	246,336 107,500	230,669			<u> </u>	232,705 107,500	224,504 107,500	137,095 107,500	219,671 107,500	232,410 107,500	
Committed Not Committed		110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000		120,000		120,000					
Not Committed	PA # / Product Code / Description	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Apr 2012	May 2012 20,000	Jun 2012 .	20,000	Aug 2012 20,000	Sep 2012:	version and miles per m	Nov 2012 20,000		2012 Total 240,000
InProgress Unknown	Nymex Curve, Truck 50% of Open	5-50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	60,000	60,000 20,000	(80,000	description of the latest of the	17,450,000 20,000	117.5	50,000 122,000	
Unknown	CSX Ref Coal - 1,000 k per year System generated on 1/18/2007 3:	20,000 20,000		20,000 20,000		20,000 20,000	1.546	20,000		100	The state of the s	Jointh Committee	12	
<u> </u>	t pys mydding tarak ka 1514 t	Jon 2012.	Feb 2012			May 2012.						Nov 2012 355,040		2012 Total
Projected Ending Invent	ory	340,237 313,940	318,119		1	<u> </u>								<u></u>
Target Inventory Over/(Under) Terget		26,297			(37,827)		1	(24,925)	(30,131)	(37,135)	43,271	41,100	26,190	

Jan-11 Feb-11 Mar-11 Apr-11 Jun-11 Jul-11 Sep-11 Oct-11 Nov-11 Dec-11 Average	Jan-11 Feb-11 Mar-11 Apr-11 May-11 Jun-11 Jun-11 Jun-11 Sep-11 Sep-11 Nov-11 Nov-11 Average
Kentucky Fuels Volume \$/7 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70 30,000 70	ICG Volume 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 0 0 0
\$/Ton \$/Ton 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70 70:70	\$/Ton 66:75 66:75 66:75 66:75 66:75 0:00 0:00
Quality Adj 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55	Quality Adj 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
Transp 2:75 2:75 2:75 2:75 2:75 2:75 2:75 2:75	Transp 6.65 6.65 6.65 6.65 6.65 0.00 0.00 0.00
Total \$/Ton 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40 73.40	Total \$/Ton 773.95 773.95 773.95 773.95 773.95 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
PV \$/fon 72:95 72:50 72:05 71:61 71:46 70:72 69:85 69:42 68:57 68:57 68:57	PV \$/ton 73.49 73.04 72.59 72.14 71.70 71.25 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0
\$/mmbtu 3.04 3.02 3.00 2.98 2.97 2.93 2.89 2.89 2.86 2.84	\$/mmbtu 2.95 2.95 2.95 2.91 2.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

		KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Order Dated January 26, 2011 Attachment 24-7 (ICG)
Jan-12 Feb-12 May-12 Apr-12 May-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Aug-12 Aug-12 Aug-12 Nov-12 Nov-12 Dec-12 Average	Jan.42 Feb.42 Mar.42 Apr.42 May.42 Jun.42 Jul.42 Aug.42 Sep.42 Sep.42 Oct.42 Nov.42 Average	Jan 12 Feb 12 Mar-12 May-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jul-12 Sep-12 Oct-12 Dec-12 Average
Argus Pevler Volume 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000	Alliance - MC Mining Volume \$/Ton 40,000 80,00 80,00 40,000 80,00 40,000 80,00 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 40,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000	ICG Volume Volume 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000
er \$1700 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00 81.00	IC Mining \$/Ton 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00	\$/Ton 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50 77.50
Quality Adi 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56	Quality Adi 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43	Quality Adj 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58
Transp 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75	Transp 6.60 6.60 6.60 6.60 6.60	Transp 6.65 6.65 6.65 6.65 6.65
Total \$/Ton 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31 84.31	Total \$/Ton (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03) (87,03)	Total \$/Ton 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773 84,773
PV \$/fon 77.79 77.31 76.84 76.36 75.89 75.42 74.96 74.93 73.58 73.58 73.58 73.58 73.58	PV \$/fon 180:300 76;81 779:32 778:34 777:86 777:38 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42 76:42	PV \$/ton 76:18 77:70 77:72 76:74 76:74 76:33 74:87 74:40 73:94 73:49 73:03 2012
\$/mmbtu 3:24 3:22 3:20 3:18 3:14 3:14 3:12 3:06 3:06 3:06 3:06	\$/mmbti 3.21 3.19 3.17 3.17 3.13 3.11 3.10 3.06 3.06 3.02 3.02 3.02	\$/mmbu 3.16 3.16 3.16 3.12 3.12 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.0

Ç

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 25 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

List each oral coal supply solicitation issued during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.

- a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.
- b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and the vendor selected. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or other document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power did not issue any oral solicitations for coal during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 26 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

For the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010, list each vendor from whom coal was purchased and the quantities and nature of each purchase (e,g., spot or contract). Provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract.

RESPONSE

The table below lists each vendor from whom coal was purchased under contract by Kentucky Power Company and the quantities and nature of each purchase from May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.

	Tons	
Vendor	Purchased	Nature
Commence of the commence of th		
Alpha Coal Sales	31,147	Spot
Arch Coal	72,802	Consigned
Argus	73,495	Contract
Beech Fork (2 Agreements)	228,874	Contract
Central Coal	72,877	Consigned
Cliffs-Logan	61,909	Contract
ICG	104,643	Contract
INR	106,743	Contract
Kentucky Fuels (2 Agreements)	19,389	Contract
Magnum	51,560	Consigned
Rhino	10,882	Contract
S. M. & J.	27,173	Spot
Trinity (2 Agreements)	215,830	Contract
Total	1,077,322	
Percent Consigned (%)	18.3%	
Percent Contract (%)	76.3%	
Percent Spot (%)	5.4%	

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 27 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

For the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 list each vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantity and nature of each purchase (e.g., spot or contact). Provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power did not purchase natural gas for generation during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 28 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

For each generating station or unit for which a separate coal pile is maintained, state for the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 the actual amount of coal burned in tons, actual amount of coal deliveries in tons, total kWh generated, and actual capacity factor at which the plant operated.

RESPONSE

Big Sandy Statistics for the Period May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010

Coal Burned:

1,298,731 tons

Coal Delivered:

1,077,322 tons

Total Generated:

3,224,860,000 kWh

Capacity Factor:

67.85%

WITNESS: Aaron M Sink

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 29 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. During the period from May 1,2010 to October 31, 2010, have there been any changes to Kentucky Power's written policies and procedures regarding its fuel procurement?
- b. If yes,
- (1) Describe the changes;
- (2) State the date(s) the changes were made;
- (3) Explain why the changes were made; and
- (4) Provide the written policies and procedures as changed.
- c. If no, provide the date when Kentucky Power's current fuel procurement policies and procedure were last changed, when they were last provided to the Commission, and identify the proceeding in which they were provided.

RESPONSE

- a. No. There were no changes to Kentucky Power's written policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. Not applicable.
- c. The last update to the procurement policy for American Electric Power (the procurement agent for Kentucky Power) was performed in September of 2004. This Coal Procurement Policy was last provided to the Commission on February 25, 2005 in KPSC Case No. 2004-00463 as Supplemental Attachment Item No. 3.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 30 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. State whether Kentucky Power is aware of any violations of its policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred prior to or during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.
- b. If yes, for each violation:
 - (1) Describe the violation;
 - (2) Describe the action(s) that Kentucky Power took upon discovering the violation; and
 - (3) Identify the person(s) who committed the violation.

RESPONSE

- a. No. Kentucky Power is not aware of any violations of its policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement prior to or during the period from May 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010.
- b. N/A

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 31 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Identify and explain the reasons for all changes in the organizational structure and personnel of the departments or divisions that are responsible for Kentucky Power's fuel procurement activities that occurred during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010.

RESPONSE

The following text was taken from a news story on AEP's internal web site. This article identifies and explains organizational changes made to the Fuel Emissions & Logistics organization which were announced via this article on July 19, 2010.

Light announces Fuel, Emissions and Logistics organization restructuring

<u>Tim Light</u>, senior vice president - Fuel, Emissions and Logistics (FEL), has announced organization changes as a result of recent work force reductions, and realignment of functions and reporting changes in the Generation organization announced by <u>Nick Akins</u>, executive vice president - Generation.

"The focus on efficiency and streamlining our processes provided a great opportunity to realign certain functions under the FEL Senior Management Team to absorb the reductions in work force," Light said. "We are moving toward more of a commodity-based grouping of procurement functions to gain efficiencies and combining some of the operational groups to complement ongoing safety initiatives.

"Additionally, our objective is to continue to provide excellent customer service to the utilities we serve and ensure we are providing reliable supplies of the fuel and consumables needed to meet operational and environmental requirements at competitive prices. We strongly believe this new organization will achieve these objectives."

The changes entail moving the West Utilities natural gas and fuel oil procurement function from Dallas to Columbus and combining the East and West procurement teams, combining the East and West Utilities coal procurement functions and combining the East and West Utilities land and mineral development functions.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 31 Page 2 of 2

Marguerite Mills, vice president - Fuel Procurement, will be responsible for all natural gas and fuel oil procurement, alternative/renewable fuel procurement, reagents and consumables, and ash marketing. Reporting to Mills will be Ken Howsen, director - Gas & Oil Procurement; Ashley Weaver, manager - Alternative Fuels; and Greg Keenan, manager - Reagents & Coal Combustion Products. Mills was previously vice president - Fuel Procurement for the West Utilities.

<u>Mike De Bord</u>, vice president - Fuel Procurement, will be responsible for all coal procurement and rail transportation activities including field representation and quality assurance. Reporting to De Bord will be Jason Rusk, director - Coal Procurement; Charles West, manager - Fuel Emissions and Logistics; and Jeff Dial, manager - Fuel Emissions and Logistics. De Bord was previously vice president - Transportation and Combustion Services.

On the operations side, the Dolet Hills, Oxbow and Pirkey mining-related activities will be combined with the Cook Coal Terminal, Metropolis Rail Car Facility, Alliance Rail Car Facility, Conesville Coal Preparation Plant and the Central Coal Lab. <u>Jim Henry</u>, vice president - FEL Operations and Mining, will be responsible for these activities, as well as the combined land and mineral development team. Reporting to Henry will be Dennis Meyer, general manager - Dolet Hills; Jim Garrett, managing director - FEL Operations; and the director of Land and Mineral Development. Henry was previously vice president - Fuel Procurement for the East Utilities.

Mark Knoy, vice president - Boat Operations and president of AEP River Operations, will maintain his current responsibilities for both the barge delivery of coal and reagents to AEP's power plants and for all of the commercial barge operations. Reporting to Knoy will be Keith Darling, managing director - Boat Operations; Robert Blocker, director - Marketing Services and Business Development; Mark Stoppel, director - Sales & Logistics; Paul´Tobin, director - Administration; Thomas Palumbo, director - Accounting & Finance; and Darlene Norris, manager - River Operations Planning & Budgeting.

<u>Eric James</u>, managing director - Fuel Analysis & Emissions, will also maintain his current responsibilities and pick up forecasting, structuring and, effective August 1, contract administration. Reporting to James are Holly Turner, manager - FEL Reporting & Analysis; Karen Anderson, manager - Forecasting & Emissions; Amy Jeffries, manager - Structuring; and Joe Billardello, manager - Fuel Contract Administration.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission's Staff First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 32 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. Identify all changes that Kentucky Power made during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 to its maintenance and operation practices that affect fuel usage at Kentucky Power's generation facilities.
- b. Describe the impact of these changes on Kentucky Power's fuel usage.

RESPONSE

- a. During this review period, Big Sandy made no changes to operation or maintenance practices that affected fuel usage.
- b. N/A

WITNESS: Aaron M Sink

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 33 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

- a. List all intersystem sales during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 in which Kentucky Power used a third party's transmission system.
- b. For each sale listed above:
 - (1) Describe how Kentucky Power addressed, for FAC reporting purposes, the cost of fuel expended to cover any line losses incurred to transmit its power across the third party's transmission system; and
 - (2) State the line loss factor used for each transaction and describe how such line loss factor was determined.

RESPONSE

a) & (b) For the period May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010:

Beginning on June 1, 2007, based on FERC Order EL06-055, PJM modified the Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP) pricing approach to calculate transmission line loss costs on a marginal basis. The new LMP calculation will now reflect the full marginal cost of serving an increment of load at each bus from each resource associated with an eligible energy offer. The LMP price will be the sum of three separate components: System Energy Price, Congestion Price and Loss Price. Therefore, each spot market energy customer will now pay an energy price that includes the full marginal cost of energy for delivering an increment of energy to the purchaser's location. Market buyers are assessed for their incremental impact on transmission line losses resulting from total load scheduled to be served from the PJM Spot Energy Market in the day-ahead energy market at the same day-ahead loss price applicable at the relevant load bus.

Market sellers are assessed for their incremental impact on transmission line losses resulting from energy scheduled for delivery in the day-ahead market at the day-ahead loss prices applicable to the relevant resource bus.

Transactions are balanced in the real-time market using the same calculation, but are based on deviation at each bus from the day-ahead using the real time loss price.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 34 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

Describe each change that Kentucky Power made to its methodology for calculating intersystem sales line losses during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31. 2010.

RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to Item No. 33.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 35 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

State whether Kentucky Power has solicited bids for coal with the restriction that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal. If yes, explain the reasons for restriction on the solicitation, the quantity in tons and price per ton of the coal purchased as a result of this solicitation, and the difference between the price of this coal and the price it could have obtained for the coal if the solicitations had not been restricted.

RESPONSE

No. Kentucky Power has not solicited bids for coal with the restriction that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 36 Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

State whether any PJM costs were included in Kentucky Power's monthly FAC filings during the period from May 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010. If yes, state the type and amount of the costs.

RESPONSE

Yes. The total PJM net energy cost included in KPCo's monthly FAC filings for the six-month period ended October 31, 2010, was \$1,329,190. These PJM net energy costs are exclusive of any capacity or demand charges (or other PJM charges or costs). The energy associated with the PJM net energy costs included in the monthly FAC is purchased on an economic dispatch basis and recorded in FERC Account 151.

Kentucky Power's full requirement customers include Olive Hill, Vanceburg, and the Kentucky retail customers. As demonstrated in the table on page 2 of this response, the total full requirement customers' actual fuel cost for the six-month review period ended October 31, 2010 was \$85,971,361 (Total Column 2). KPCo's PJM net energy costs for the six month period ended October 31, 2010 totaled \$7,990,209 (Total Column 3). KPCo full requirement customers' share of PJM net energy costs for the six-month period ended October 31, 2010, and included in the monthly filings under review were \$1,329,190 (Total Column 4).

The source document for columns 3 and 4 below is the ECR report, which is filed monthly with the Company's back-up filings. More specifically, the amounts come from Column CP, Item 44 from within the previously filed ECR reports.

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 36 Page 2 of 2

Month/Year	Total Full Requirement Customers' Actual Fuel Cost		KPCo Full Requirement Customers' PJM Energy Costs
May 2010	\$13,301,162	\$1,392,003	\$375,988
June 2010	\$14,484,426	\$1,066,989	\$38,210
July 2010	\$14,550,621	\$1,031,548	\$4,006
August 2010	\$15,111,901	\$1,099,278	\$27,490
September 2010	\$14,682,959	\$1,440,045	\$248,840
October 2010	\$13,840,292	\$1,960,346	\$634,657
Total	\$85,971,361	\$7,990,209	\$1,329,190

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2010-00490 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Request Order Dated January 26, 2011 Item No. 37 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power Company

REQUEST

In its most recent two-year case, Case No. 2008-00518, the roll-in of fuel costs into Kentucky Power's base rates was approved using a "flash cut" approach, which resulted in an immediate change from its then-existing base fuel costs to its new base fuel cost, rather than a "transitional approach" in which the first month's fuel costs is an average of the old and new base fuel cost. Kentucky Power also indicated its preference that any change in base rates be approved on a "bills rendered" basis rather than a "service rendered" basis. If the current FAC review results in changes to its base rates, does Kentucky Power continue to prefer the same "flash cut" approach on a "bills rendered" basis as authorized in the previous 2-year case? Explain.

RESPONSE

The Company proposes no change in the present base cost of fuel for the upcoming two-year period. However if a base rate change is required, KPCo does not believe it is appropriate to use the "flash cut" approach as explained at the April 4, 2008 informal conference in Case Nos. 2007-00276 and 2007-00522. The Company still believes that the "bills rendered" approach is appropriate.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey