Mary K. Keyer General Attorney Kentucky Legal Department AT&T Kentucky 601 W. Chestnut Street Room 407 Louisville, KY 40203 T 502-582-8219 F 502-582-1573 mary_keyer@att_com

December 1, 2010

DEC 02 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Nexus Communications, Inc., Complainant v. BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Kentucky, Defendant

PSC 2010-00444

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are the original and ten (10) copies of Answer of AT&T Kentucky.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mary K. Kever

Enclosures

cc: Party of Record

875413

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of:)	DEC 02 2010
NEXUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.)	
COMPLAINANT) CASE NO.) 2010-00444	COMMISSION
V.)	
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A AT&T KENTUCKY))	
DEFENDANT)	

ANSWER OF AT&T KENTUCKY

Pursuant to the Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("Commission") issued on November 22, 2010, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Kentucky ("AT&T Kentucky"), for its Answer to the Original Complaint of Nexus Communications, Inc. ("Nexus"), states as follows:

- 1. AT&T Kentucky admits the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. AT&T Kentucky admits the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. AT&T Kentucky admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, except as to the address for its principal place of business which AT&T Kentucky states is 675 West Peachtree Street NW, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
- 4. AT&T Kentucky admits that Nexus's Complaint arises under its interconnection agreement(s) ("ICA(s)") with AT&T Kentucky and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

- 5. AT&T Kentucky admits that the provisions of federal law cited in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and its sub-parts say what they say, and denies any allegations to the contrary contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. AT&T Kentucky admits that it has made available certain promotional offerings to its retail customers that have lasted for more than 90 days and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. AT&T Kentucky admits that it has made available certain cashback promotional offerings to its retail customers and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. With respect to Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, AT&T Kentucky admits that to the extent a reseller like Nexus is entitled to the benefit of the cashback component of a promotional offering, the maximum amount to which it is entitled is the face value of the retail cashback component reduced by the Commission-approved resale discount rate set forth in the Parties' ICA(s). AT&T Kentucky denies any allegations to the contrary in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
 - 9. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
 - 10. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
 - 11. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
 - 12. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
 - 13. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
 - 14. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
 - 15. AT&T Kentucky denies the allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

- 16. AT&T Kentucky denies that Nexus is entitled to any of the relief requested in the Wherefore clause of the Complaint.
- 17. AT&T Kentucky denies any and all allegations in the Complaint that are not expressly admitted herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 18. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 19. The Complaint should be dismissed because Nexus is not represented by an attorney admitted to practice law in Kentucky or by an attorney who has met the requirements of SCR 3.030.
- 20. Nexus did not notify AT&T Kentucky in writing upon the discovery of a billing dispute as required by the Parties' ICA(s).
- 21. Nexus's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel, and waiver.
 - 22. Nexus's claims are barred by the statute of limitations.

WHEREFORE, having responded to the Complaint, AT&T Kentucky respectfully requests that the Commission issue an Order dismissing the Complaint and granting such further relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of December, 2010.

Mary K. K*ę*yer

601 W. Chestnut Street, Room 407

Louisville, KY 40203

Telephone: (502) 582-8219

Fax: (502) 582-1573 mary.keyer@att.com

COUNSEL FOR BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A AT&T KENTUCKY

874514

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - PSC 2010-00444

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the following individual by mailing a copy thereof via U.S. Mail, this 1st day of December 2010.

Christopher Malish Malish & Cowan, PLLC 1403 W. 6th Street Austin, TX 78703

Vary K. Keyer

875415