SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

Ronald M. Sullivan
Jesse T. Mountjoy
Frank Stainback
James M. Miller

November 9, 2010

PECENED

Michael A. Fiorella
Allen W. Holbrook
R. Michael Sullivan
Bryan R. Reynolds
Tyson A. Kamuf
Mark W. Starnes
C. Ellsworth Mountjoy
Susan Montalvo-Gesser

Jeff DeRouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

NOV 10 2010
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Re:

An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Six-Month Billing Period Ending July 31,2010 and the Pass-Through Mechanism for its Three Member Distribution Cooperatives, PSC Case No. 2010-00368

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced matter are an original and ten copies of Big Rivers Electric Corporation's response to the Commission Staff's first data requests and an original and ten copies of the direct testimony of Mark A. Davis in support of the reasonableness of the environmental surcharge mechanisms of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Kenergy Corp. and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation. A copy of this letter, the testimony, and the data request responses have been served on all parties of record.

Sincerely,

Tyson Kamuf

75/19

TAK/ej Enclosures

cc:

Albert Yockey Sanford Novick Kelly Nuckols

Burns Mercer

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

100 St. Ann Building
PO Box 727
Owensboro, Kentucky
42302-0727

VERIFICATION

I, Mark A. Davis, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared, or supervised the preparation of, the data request responses for which I am a witness and the testimony filed with this verification, and that those responses and that testimony are true and accurate to the best of my
knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.
Mark A. Davis

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark A. Davis on this the $\frac{Q_1^{+}}{d}$ day of November, 2010.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large
My Commission Expires 7-9-2014

VERIFICATION

with this verification for which I am listed as a	•
knowledge, information, and belief formed after a	reasonable inquiry.
	<u>Adurence V: Barenary of</u> Lawrence V. Baronowsky
	\mathcal{O}
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before day of November, 2010.	e me by Lawrence V. Baronowsky on this the
	Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires 8-9-2014

1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTU	JCKY	
2	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE CO	MMISSI	ON
3			
4	In the Matter of:		
5			
6	AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)	
7	COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)	
8	SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS)	
9	ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE)	CASE NO.
10	SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING)	2010-00368
11	JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-THROUGH)	
12	MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER)	
13	DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES)	
14		•	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29	DIRECT TESTIMONY		
30	OF		
31	MARK A. DAVIS		
32	ON BEHALF OF		
33	BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPOR	RATION	
34	AND		
35	ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION C	OOPER.	ATIVES

DIRECT	TESTI	MONV	OF MAI	QK A	DAVIS
III KUAL	1,1,1	VI	. / 1 / V I / L	\ I\ \ \	

3 Q. Please state your name, business address and occupation.

My name is Mark A. Davis and my business address is Big Rivers Electric Corporation, 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky, 42420. I am a Senior Accountant focused on regulatory and debt management accounting. I report to Big Rivers' Director – Finance.

Q. Please summarize your education and professional experience.

A.

I received a B.S. in Accounting in December 2004 from Murray State University ("Murray State") in Murray, Kentucky. I became a Certified Public Accountant in April 2007. In January 2005, after graduating from Murray State, I was employed as an Accountant with Alford, Nance and Jones LLP ("Alford Nance") in Madisonville, Kentucky. My duties at Alford Nance included preparing individual and corporate tax returns, conducting government audits and reviews, and preparing and analyzing clients' financial statements. In July 2007, I was employed by Phoenix Coal Corp of Madisonville as a Senior Accountant focused on financial analysis and reporting. My duties there included preparing and filing severance, excise, and sales/use taxes; tracking coal tonnage and analyzing coal quality; preparing quarterly management and government reports; and creating

	and establishing accounting processes and procedures. I started at Big Rivers in
	November 2009 in my current position.
Q.	Please summarize your duties at Big Rivers.
A.	As a Senior Accountant with responsibilities for regulatory reporting and debt
	management, my duties include monthly preparation of the Fuel Adjustment
	Clause Form A, the Environmental Surcharge, the Unwind Surcredit the Member
	Rate Stability Mechanism. These responsibilities also include filing them with
	the Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("the Commission"). I also prepare
	the Non-Fuel Adjustment Clause Purchased Power Adjustment. As part of my
	debt management duties, I analyze and prepare payments, accruals, and entries for
	debt obligations including Rural Utilities Service obligations, Pollution Control
	Bonds, lines of credit, and letters of credit. I also monitor the debt covenants and
	budget debt expenses.
Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
	A.

19 A. Yes. I testified at the October 12, 2010 hearing in Big Rivers' FAC Review
20 Proceeding for the six-month period ending April 30, 2010 (Case No. 201021 00269). I also prepared and filed data responses in Big Rivers' Environmental
22 Surcharge Proceeding for the six-month billing period ending January 31, 2010
23 (Case No. 2010-00269).

1		
2	Q.	On whose behalf are you filing this testimony?
3		
4	A.	I am filing this testimony on behalf of Big Rivers and its three member
5		distribution cooperatives, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation ("JPEC"),
6		Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy"), and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative
7		Corporation ("Meade County") (JPEC, Kenergy, and Meade County are
8		collectively referred to herein as the "Members").
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
11		
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the application of Big Rivers'
13		environmental surcharge mechanism as billed from February 1, 2010 through July
14		31, 2010 (which corresponds to the expense months December 2009 through May
15		2010 and service months January 2010 through June 2010). Additionally, I have
16		coordinated with Big Rivers' Members in the preparation of this testimony and
17		prepared the responses to the Commission's first data requests ("Commission's
18		Initial Requests") which accompany this testimony.
19		
20		My testimony also includes information the Members' have provided me in

support of their pass-through mechanisms that are also under review in this

proceeding and that the Members use to pass through to their retail members the

costs Big Rivers charges to them under Big Rivers' environmental surcharge

21

22

1		mechanism. As can be seen in my response to Item 2 of the Commission's Initial
2		Requests, the review period for the Members' pass-through mechanisms that
3		corresponds to the February 1, 2010 through July 31, 2010 billing period for Big
4		Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism are the billing months of March
5		2010 through August 2010 for non-dedicated delivery point customers, and
6		February 1, 2010 through July 31, 2010 for dedicated delivery point customers
7		(i.e., there is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers).
8		
9	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge
10		mechanism.
11		
12	A.	Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism was approved by the
13		Commission by Order dated June 25, 2008, in Case No. 2007-00460. Big Rivers'
14		environmental surcharge went into effect immediately following the July 16,
15		2009, closing of the Unwind Transaction for service commencing July 17, 2009.
16		The Commission approved the Unwind Transaction by its Order dated March 6,
17		2009, in Case No. 2007-00455.
18		
19		Big Rivers' environmental compliance plan approved by the Commission in Case
20		No. 2007-00460 consists of programs and the associated costs for controlling each
21		of sulfur dioxide ("SO ₂ "), nitrogen oxide ("NOx"), and sulfur trioxide ("SO ₃ ").
22		The environmental surcharge costs Big Rivers may recover under KRS 278.183,

and its environmental compliance plan, include reagent costs, sludge and ash disposal costs, and allowance costs.

For the SO₂ program, Big Rivers recovers through its environmental surcharge mechanism the costs of reagents, the costs for the disposal of coal combustion byproducts (fly ash, bottom ash, and scrubber sludge), and the costs of purchasing SO₂ emission allowances. For the NOx program, Big Rivers recovers the costs of reagents and the costs of purchasing additional NOx emission allowances as needed. For the SO₃ program, Big Rivers recovers the costs of a reagent. Due to generating unit design and Big Rivers' compliance plan, no Big Rivers generating unit utilizes all the same reagents. Depending on the unit facilities, various reagents are used to treat the flue gas, thereby removing the three targeted emissions. The SO₂ reagents are comprised of emulsified sulfur, lime, fixation lime, limestone, dibasic acid, and sodium bisulfite. The NOx reagents are comprised of anhydrous ammonia and emulsified sulfur. The SO₃ reagent is comprised of lime hydrate.

Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism is comprised of the specific environmental compliance operating expenses described above, less proceeds from by-product and emission allowance sales, plus or minus an ongoing cumulative over- or under-recovery adjustment.

Q. Please provide a brief overview of the Members' pass-through mechanisms.

2	A.	The Members' pass-through mechanisms allow each Member to bill its retail
3		customers for the portion of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge that Big Rivers
1		bills each Member. JPEC's pass-through mechanism was approved by the
5		Commission in Case No. 2008-00010; Kenergy's mechanism was approved by
5		the Commission in Case No. 2008-00009; and Meade County's was approved by
7		the Commission in Case No. 2007- 00470.

Q. Big Rivers stated at the informal conference in the first six-month review (Case No. 2010-00194) that it was going to make changes to its environmental surcharge tariff. When does Big Rivers intend on filing its revised tariff?

A.

Big Rivers has drafted a revised tariff, which is currently under review by interested stakeholders. The revised tariff will be filed when that review is complete. So as to not unduly complicate or delay this proceeding, Big Rivers intends on filing the revised tariff as a separate proceeding. The changes Big Rivers anticipates making to the tariff are for clarification and will not change how the tariff operates. These changes will conform the tariff language with the Commission-approved form for calculating the environmental surcharge.

Q. Has Big Rivers sold any SO₂ or NOx allowances during the expense months of December 2009 through May 2010?

1	A.	No. Big Rivers has not sold any SO ₂ or NOx allowances during the expense
2		months of December 2009 through May 2010.
3		
4	Q.	Please summarize how the Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor
5		("MESF") is calculated for Big Rivers' environmental surcharge
6		mechanism?
7		
8	A.	The jurisdictional portion of Big Rivers' actual (booked) eligible environmental
9		surcharge cost of the second preceding billing month (e.g., May 2010 expense
10		month; June 2010 service; July 2010 billing), as adjusted for any over- or under-
11		recovery carry-forward, is divided by the jurisdictional kWh sales of the second
12		preceding billing month to determine the MESF. The MESF is then applied to the
13		actual kWh service of the month following the expense month.
14		
15	Q.	Has Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism been accurately
16		compiled, and is it operating as intended?
17		
18	A.	Yes.
19		
20	Q.	Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and
21		are they operating as intended?
22		

1	A.	The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately
2		compiled and are operating as intended.
3		
4	Q.	Are the amounts charged under Big Rivers' environmental surcharge
5		mechanism during the review period just and reasonable?
6		
7	A.	Yes.
8		
9	Q.	Are the amounts charged under the Members' pass-through mechanisms
10		during the review period just and reasonable?
11		
12	A.	The Members believe the amounts charged under their pass-through mechanisms
13		are just and reasonable.
14		
15	Q.	Do Big Rivers and its Members have additional over- or under-recovery
16		amounts they believe need to be recognized?
17		
18	A.	No. Big Rivers and its Members are not requesting any additional over- or under-
19		recovery amount. The normal over/under recovery carry-forward element of Big
20		Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism is operating as intended.
21		
22	Q.	Does Big Rivers recover any capital costs through its environmental
23		surcharge mechanism?

6		
5	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
4		
3		disposal, and allowance costs).
2	A.	No. Big Rivers only recovers those variable costs mentioned above (reagent
1		

Yes.

A.

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

November 10, 2010

Item 1)	Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of E(m) and the
surcharge	factor for the expense months covered by the billing periods under review.
Form 1.1 c	can be used as a model for this summary. Include the expense months for the
two expen	se months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and
under-reco	overy adjustments for the months included for the billing period. Include a
calculation	n of any additional over- or under-recovery amount Big Rivers believes needs
to be reco	ognized for the six-month review. Include all supporting calculations and
documenta	ntion for the additional over- or under-recovery.

1
 2
 3

Response) Please see the attached four page schedule, in the format of Form 1.10, covering each of the expense months of December 2009 through July 2010 (billed in February 2010 through September 2010), the six months under review plus the immediately following two months. Big Rivers is not seeking any additional over- or under-recovery.

Witness) Mark A. Davis

 Item 1 Page 1 of 1

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2009 - July 2010

Calculation of Total E(m)

OE BAS	 Pollution Control Operating Expenses Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowan 	ce Sales	De	ecember 2009		J	anuary 2010
				nvironmental mpliance Plans			nvironmental npliance Plans
OE		=	\$	1,883,244		\$	2,163,463
BAS		==	-	-		-	
E(m)		=	\$	1,883,244		\$	2,163,463
Calculation of J	urisdictional Environmental Surcharge Rilling Fac	rtor					
Calculation of J	urisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Fac	etor					
				02.0025540/			00.14467304
Jurisdictional A	llocation Ratio for Expense Month	_	ď	93.293554%	=		89.144672%
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	1,756,945	=	\$	1,928,612
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery	=	\$		=	\$	
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional Ed Adjustment for d Prior Period Ad	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery justment (if necessary)	= = =	\$	1,756,945	=	\$	1,928,612
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery justment (if necessary) al E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Ov	= = = = er/(Under)	•	1,756,945 (42,995)	=		1,928,612 221,087 -
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery justment (if necessary)	= = =	\$	1,756,945	==	\$	1,928,612
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad Net Jurisdiction	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery justment (if necessary) al E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Ov	= = = = er/(Under) =	•	1,756,945 (42,995)	==		1,928,612 221,087 -
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad Net Jurisdiction	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery ljustment (if necessary) al E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Ov plus Prior Period Adjustment	= = = = er/(Under) =	•	1,756,945 (42,995) - 1,799,940	=======================================		1,928,612 221,087 - 1,707,525
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad Net Jurisdiction	llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery ljustment (if necessary) al E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Ov plus Prior Period Adjustment	= = = = er/(Under) =	•	1,756,945 (42,995) - 1,799,940	=======================================		1,928,612 221,087 - 1,707,525
Jurisdictional A Jurisdictional E Adjustment for Prior Period Ad Net Jurisdiction Jurisdictional S(llocation Ratio for Expense Month (m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Over/(Under) Recovery ljustment (if necessary) al E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Ov plus Prior Period Adjustment	= = = = er/(Under) =	•	1,756,945 (42,995) - 1,799,940	=======================================		1,928,612 221,087 - 1,707,525

Case No. 2010-00368 Witness: Mark A. Davis Item 1 - Attachment Page 1 of 4

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2009 - July 2010

Calculation of Total E(m)

	Control Operating Expenses eeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales	*********	Fe	bruary 2010			March 2010
BAS = Total Proc	eeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales		Fe	bruary 2010		N	March 2010
							I
			Ε.	nvironmental		С.	nvironmental
				npliance Plans			npliance Plans
			001	irpitance i tans		00.	p.itai.o
OE		==	\$	2,202,404	-	\$	2,212,826
BAS		===		-			-
E(m)		=	\$	2,202,404	=	\$	2,212,826
Calculation of Jurisdictional En	ironmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
Calculation of Jurisdictional Env	nonmental Surcharge Dining Factor						
		··					
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for	Expense Month	=		84.509490%	==		84.776470%
Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Juris$	dictional Allocation Ratio		\$	1,861,241	=	\$	1,875,956
Adjustment for Over/(Under) Rec	overy	=		69,592	=		(158,248)
		=		-	==		•
)					
plus Prior P	eriod Adjustment	=	\$	1,791,649	=	\$	2,034,204
				060 031 564			072 070 040
Jurisdictional $S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional S(m)$	isdictional Kwh Sales for the Month			860,231,564	=		872,078,048
Jurisdictional Environmental Sure	harge Billing Factor						
		=		\$0.002083	=		\$0.002333
	BAS E(m) Calculation of Jurisdictional Env Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Juris Adjustment for Over/(Under) Rece Prior Period Adjustment (if necess Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdic plus Prior Period Prior Period Period Period Period Purisdictional E(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Environmental Surce	E(m) Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	E(m) = Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month = Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio = Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery = Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment = Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month = Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:	BAS = \$ E(m) = \$ Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month = Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio = \$ Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery = Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment = \$ Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month = \$ Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:	E(m) = \$ 2,202,404 Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month = 84.509490% Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio = \$ 1,861,241 Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery = 69,592 Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) = - Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment = \$ 1,791,649 Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month = 860,231,564 Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:	E(m) = \$ 2,202,404 = Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month = 84.509490% = Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio = \$ 1,861,241 = Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery = 69,592 = Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) = - = - = - = - = - = - = - = - = - =	OE BAS = \$ 2,202,404 = \$ E(m) = \$ 2,202,404 = \$ E(m) = \$ 2,202,404 = \$ Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio = \$ 1,861,241 = \$ Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery = 69,592 = Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) = - = Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Under) plus Prior Period Adjustment = \$ 1,791,649 = \$ Jurisdictional S(m) = Monthly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month = 860,231,564 = Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2009 - July 2010

Calculation of Total E(m)

May 2010 Invironmental impliance Plans 1,973,563 - 1,973,563
nvironmental mpliance Plans 1,973,563
1,973,563 -
1,973,563 -
1,973,563 -
· · ·
· · ·
· · ·
1,973,563
1,973,563
1,973,563
00 2545000/
88.254598% 1,741,760
(160,135)
(100,133)
-
1,901,895
1,901,093
850,030,579
0.20.0.30.379
0,000,019
0,000 0,0 . 7
0,000 0,0 . 9
\$0.002237

Case No. 2010-00368 Witness: Mark A. Davis Item 1 - Attachment Page 3 of 4

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2009 - July 2010

Calculation of Total E(m)

	lution Control Operating Expenses al Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sale	es		June 2010			July 2010
5 6				nvironmental mpliance Plans		-	nvironmental mpliance Plans
7 8							
OE			\$	2,522,594	_	\$	2,507,666
0 BAS		=		-	=		-
1 2 E(m) 3			\$	2,522,594	=	\$	2,507,666
4 Calculation of Jurisdiction	al Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor						
7	i' Co Foundation			89.983856%	-		89.913254%
Jurisdictional Allocation Ra Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m)	ию for Expense Month x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio		\$	2,269,927		\$	2,254,724
Adjustment for Over/(Unde		=	Ψ	104,207	=	Ψ	101,193
Prior Period Adjustment (if		=		-	=		-
	urisdictional E(m) plus Adjustment for Over/(Un	der)					
plus F	Prior Period Adjustment	****	\$	2,165,720		\$	2,153,531
1	hly Jurisdictional Kwh Sales for the Month			895,434,778	=		936,132,880
5 Jurisdictional S(m) = Montl							
5							
, ,	al Surcharge Billing Factor:						

Case No. 2010-00368 Witness: Mark A. Davis Item 1 - Attachment Page 4 of 4

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

November 10, 2010

Item 2)	For each of the three Member Cooperatives, prepare a summary schedule
showing th	he Member Cooperative's pass-through revenue requirement for the months
correspond	ding with the six-month review. Include the two months subsequent to the
billing per	riod included in the review periods. Include a calculation of any additional
over- or ur	nder-recovery amount the Member Cooperative believes needs to be recognized
for the six	-month review. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for the
additional	over- or under-recovery.

Response) The attached two schedules, one for non-dedicated delivery points and one for dedicated delivery points, reflect Big Rivers' distribution cooperative members' environmental surcharge pass-through for the months corresponding to Big Rivers' six expense months of December 2009 through May 2010, service months of January 2010 through June 2010, which Big Rivers billed to its members February 2010 through July 2010. There is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers. As requested by the Commission, the attached schedules include the members' two billing months immediately following the six-month Big Rivers' billing period under review. The information on the attached schedules was provided by Big Rivers' Members. Other than the on-going cumulative over/under recovery mechanism, no additional over/under recovery amount is requested.

Witness) Mark A. Davis

Item 2 Page 1 of 1

Response to Commision Staff's Data Request dated October 14, 2010

KENERGY CORP.	
ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW	
NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS	

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Big F	Rivers Electric				Remaining
		Invoice			Month	Over/Under Recovery
	l	Amount	Over/Under	Total	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding
Service	f	or Service	Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column
Mo/Yr		Month	from column (f)	column (b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)
Jan-10	\$	262,063	\$ (45,704)	\$ 216,359	\$ 225,843	\$ (45,704)
Feb-10		205,976	(10,821)	195,155	252,320	(10,821)
Mar-10		191,619	19,648	211,267	196,711	19,648
Apr-10		170,087	26,898	196,985	168,257	26,898
May-10		196,406	20,428	216,834	190,839	20,428
June-10	1	267,131	(26,494)	240,637	223,479	(26,494)
July-10		311,711	(52,576)	259,135	269,410	(52,576)
Aug-10		297,403	(10,516)	286,887	251,153	(10,516)
Sept-10	ĺ	·	, ,		268,080	(8,945)
Oct-10					247,963	38,924

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

	(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
l			livers Electric			Month	Remaining (Over)/Under Recovery
		ı	Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding
	Service	1	or Service	Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column
ı	Mo/Yr	1	Month	from column (f)	column (b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)
	Jan-10	\$	140,679	\$ (83,022)	\$ 57,657	\$ 199,452	\$ (83,022)
	Feb-10		107,542	(8,886)	98,656	155,670	(8,886)
	Mar-10		102,962	(31,730)	71,232	89,387	(31,730)
	Apr-10		94,101	72,198	166,299	26,458	72,198
	May-10		109,912	(23,768)	86,144	95,000	(23,768)
	Jun-10		151,977	(5,690)	146,287	171,989	(5,690)
	Jul-10		179,949	(80,338)	99,611	166,482	(80,338)
	Aug-10		171,248	(46,715)	124,533	193,002	(46,715)
	Sep-10				,	110,624	(11,013)
	Oct-10					76,717	47,816

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Big Rivers Electric			h d a máta	Remaining
	Invoice	(Over)/Harden	Tatal	Month	(Over)/Under Recovery
	Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	Billing Occurs	(Column (d) 2nd preceding
Service	for Service	Recovery	Recoverable	to Retail	month less column
Mo/Yr	Month	from column (f)	column (b) + (c)	Customer	(e) current month)
Jan-10	116,417	(36,057)	80,360	89,434	(36,057)
Feb-10	92,559	28,110	120,669	92,099	28,110
Mar-10	79,213	(20,620)	58,593	100,980	(20,620)
Apr-10	65,510	47,490	113,000	73,180	47,490
May-10	72,893	(21,565)	51,328	80,158	(21,565)
Jun-10	98,353	11,257	109,610	101,743	11,257
Jul-10	116,038	(34,184)	81,855	85,511	(34,184)
Aug-10	109,272	30,511	139,783	79,100	30,511
Sep-10				113,723	(31,868)
Oct-10				84,034	55,749

Response to Commission Staff's Data Request dated October 14, 2010

KENERGY CORP.

KENERGY CORP-ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Service Mo/Yr	Big Rivers Electric Invoice Amount for Service Month	Service Month to Retail Consumer	Monthly Over/Under (Column (b) less column (c)
Jan-10	\$1,347,962	\$1,347,962	\$0
Feb-10	1,140,552	1,140,552	0
Mar-10	1,440,039	1,440,039	0
Apr-10	1,541,331	1,541,331	0
May-10	1,506,722	1,506,722	0
June-10	1,482,852	1,482,852	0
July-10	1,654,242	1,654,242	0
Aug-10	1,596,061	1,596,061	0
JACKSON PURC	HASE ENERGY CORP	ORATION	

JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE: SIX MONTH REVIEW DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS

(a) ·	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Big Rivers Electric		
	Invoice	Service Month	Monthly
	Amount	То	(Over)/Under Recovery
Service	for Service	Retail	(Column (b)
Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	Less column (c)
Jan-10	\$ 2,411	\$ 2,411	\$0
Feb-10	2,647	2,647	\$0
Mar-10	2,706	2,706	\$0
Apr-10	3,039	3,039	ol
May-10	2,835	2,835	l ol
Jun-10	2,774	2,774	o
Jul-10	2,565	2,565	0
Aug-10	3,536	3,536	0

Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation has no dedicated delivery point customers.

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010 November 10, 2010

		November 10, 2010
1	Item 3)	Refer to Form 2.5, Operating and Maintenance Expenses, for each of
2	the expense	months covered by each billing period under review line items listed on
3	this schedu	le, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to
4	month if th	at change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent.
5		
6	Response)	Please see the attached schedules, including the requested variance
7	explanations	s, for the expense months November 2009 through May 2010. Please note
8	November 2	2009 is only being shown for purposes of calculating the variances in the firs
9	month of the	e review period.
10		
11		
12	Witness)	Mark A. Davis (Schedules of Operating and Maintenance Expenses)
13		Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes in Expense Levels)
14		
15		
16		
17		

Item 3 Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC COPRORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-TRHOUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses

For Expense Months November 2009 - May 2010 4

,																
9	Expense Month	NOV-09	DEC-09	% Change from	JAN-10		% Change from	FEB-10	% Change from	MAR-10	% Change from		APR-10	% Change from	MAY-10	% Change from
7	Billing Month	JAN-10	FEB-10	prior period	MAR-10		prior period	APR-10	prior period	MAY-10	prior period	۳ -	JUN-10	prior period	JUL-10	prior period
∞											· Antana					
6	Expense Line															
10	NOx Plan										••••					
11	Anhydrous Ammonia	\$ 51,982	\$ 89,817	73%	\$ 109	109,231	22%	\$ 112,045	3%	\$ 123,432	2 10%	69	92,476	-25%	\$ 109,684	19%
12	Emulsified Sulphur for NOx	4,734	4,774	%1		1	-100%	4,744	See Note 1	19,525	5 312%		820	%96-	6,198	%959
13	Individual Expense Account Items	•						•			1		1		1	
14	Individual Expense Account Items	-	•			5		1			·ī		•	•	*	
15	Total NOx Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 56,716	\$ 94,591	%19	s 109	109,231	15%	\$ 116,789	1%	\$ 142,957	7 22%	∞	93,296	-35%	\$ 115,882	24%
16	Note 1: Percentage change compared to prior month cannot be calculated because the cost in the prior month is \$0.	unnot be calculate	ed because the c	ost in the prior	month is	\$0.										A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
17																
18	Anhydrous Ammonia:															
19	November - December	Increase is	Increase is due to a sch	heduled m	aintenaı	nce outs	ıge at Wi	eduled maintenance outage at Wilson in November.	vember.							
20	December - January	Increase is	Increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson completed in December and generation increase over prior month	heduled ma	aintenaı	nce outs	ige at Wi	ilson comp	leted in Do	ecember a	nd generai	tion in	crease ov	er prior	nonth	
21	March - April	Decrease i	Decrease is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at HMP&L Unit 2 in April.	sheduled m	naintens	ance out	tage at H	MP&L Un	it 2 in Apr	il.						
22	April - May	Increase is	Increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage completed at HMP&L in April and generation increased over prior month	heduled ma	aintenaı	nce out	age comp	eleted at HI	MP&L in /	April and	generation	incre.	ased ove	r prior mo	onth	
23																
24	Emulsified Sulfur for NOx:															
25	December – January	Emulsifiec	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.	ense varies	month	to moni	th becaus	se the chen	nical is add	led in bat	ch as need	ed to 6	suhance s	scrubber (efficiency	
26		Decrease i	Decrease is due to no chemical added in January.	chemical a	dded in	וanuar ב	ķ.									
27 28	January – February	Emulsified Increase is	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Increase is due to more chemical required in February than in January.	ense varies e chemical	month	to mon ed in Fe	th becaus bruary th	se the chen	nical is addary.	led in bat	ch as need	ed to (enhance s	scrubber (efficiency	
					•											

Emulsified Sulfur for NOx: December – January

Case No. 2010-00368

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes) Item 3 - Attachment

Page 1 of 6

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC COPRORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-TRHOUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

ion
orat
orp
ric (
lect
ers E
Rive
Big
_

2 Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

3 Operating and Maintenance Expenses

4 For Expense Months November 2009 - May 2010

)		
9	Emulsified Sulfur for NOx (continued):	:(1
7	February – March	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.
∞		Increase is due to more chemical required in March than in February.
6	March - April	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.
10		Decrease is due to less chemical required in April than in March.
11	April - May	Emulsified sulfur expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.
12		Increase is due to more chemical required in May than in April.

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC COPRORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-TRHOUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

1 Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expenses 3 4 For Expense Months November 2009 - May 2010

3														
9	Expense Month	60-AON	DEC-09	% Change from	JAN-10	% Change from	FEB-10	% Change from	MAR-10	% Change from	APR-10	% Change from	MAY-10	% Change from
7	Billing Month	JAN-10	FEB-10	prior period	MAR-10	prior period	APR-10	prior period	MAY-10	prior period	JUN-10	prior period	JUL-10	prior period
8 6	SO ₂ Plan Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge (See note 2)	\$ 337.331	\$ 408.871	21%	\$ 294.210	-28%	\$ 326,720	11%	\$ 398,077	22%	\$ 330,100	-17%	\$ 296,829	-10%
10	Emulsified Sulphur for SO ₂			See Note 1		3%		-100%						
11	Fixation Lime	164,773	143,882	-13%	207,034	44%	215,486	4%	100,384	-53%	183,525	83%	169,654	%8-
12	Off Spec Gypsum		1		•		1		ı		•		ı	
13	Reagent-Calcium Oxide (landfill stabilization)	- (c	1	and a second	•		•		,		•		,	
14	Reagent-DiBasic Acid	50,709	1	-100%	114,533	See Note 1	115,864	1%	84,981	-27%	161,155	%06	83,430	-48%
15	Reagent-Lime	1,172,944	884,140	-25%	1,033,929	17%	951,745	%8-	1,045,075	10%	867,146	-17%	818,239	%9-
16	Reagent-Limestone	113,151	282,313	150%	322,172	14%	375,467	17%	300,944	-20%	291,853	-3%	324,581	11%
17	Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO ₂	22,514	52,658	134%	78,814	20%	34,524	-56%	65,368	%68	56,026	-14%	74,787	33%
18	Total S0, Plan O&M Expenses	8 1,861,422 8 1,779,653	\$ 1,779,653	4%	\$ 2,058,732	16%	\$ 2,019,806	-5%	\$ 1,994,829	-1%	\$ 1,889,805	-5%	\$ 1,767,520	%9-
19	Note 1: Percentage change compared to prior month cannot be calculated because the cost	nnot be calculated	because the cos		in the prior month is \$0.									
20	Note 2 The monthly totals for Disposal Bottom Ash, Disposal Flyash and Disposal Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge have been consolidated due to similarity to better facilitate consistency	isposal Flyash and	Disposal Flyasl	n/Bottom Ash	/Sludge have be	en consolidate	d due to similar	ity to better fa	cilitate consist	ency.				
21														
22	Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge:													
23	November - December	Increase is	Increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson in November and increase in tons hauled at Coleman over prior month.	eduled ma	intenance o	utage at W	ilson in No	vember an	d increase	in tons hau	iled at Cole	man over p	prior month	
24	December - January	Decrease is	Decrease is due to reduction in tons of bottom ash hauled at Green and HMP&L and fewer tons of fly ash and bottom ash	ction in to	ns of bottor	n ash haule	ed at Green	and HMP	&L and few	ver tons of	fly ash and	bottom asl	T.	
25		hauled at C	hauled at Coleman over prior month.	prior mo	ıth.									
26	January - February	Increase is	Increase is due to increase in tons of bottom ash hauled at Green, Coleman and HMP&L over prior month.	ase in tons	of bottom	ash hauled	at Green, C	oleman ar	nd HMP&L	over prior	. month.			
27	February - March	Increase is	Increase is due to increase in tons of bottom ash hauled at Coleman and Wilson over prior month.	ase in tons	of bottom	ash hauled	at Coleman	and Wils	on over pric	or month.				
28	March - April	Decrease is	Decrease is due to reduction in tons of fly ash and bottom ash hauled at Coleman, Wilson, and Green over prior month and less fly ash	ction in to	ns of fly asl	n and botto	m ash haule	d at Cole	nan, Wilso	n, and Gre	en over pric	or month a	nd less fly a	ys,
29		was hauled	was hauled at HMP&L due to a scheduled maintenance outage	due to a s	cheduled m	aintenance	outage							

Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge:

November - December December - January	Increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson in November and increase in tons hauled at Coleman over prior month. Decrease is due to reduction in tons of hottom ash hauled at Green and HMP&L and fewer tons of fly ash and bottom ash
	hauled at Coleman over prior month.
January - February	Increase is due to increase in tons of bottom ash hauled at Green, Coleman and HMP&L over prior month.
February - March	Increase is due to increase in tons of bottom ash hauled at Coleman and Wilson over prior month.
March - April	Decrease is due to reduction in tons of fly ash and bottom ash hauled at Coleman, Wilson, and Green over prior month and less fly ash
	was hauled at HMP&L due to a scheduled maintenance outage

Case No. 2010-00368

Witnesses: Mark A. Davis (Schedules); Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes) Item 3 - Attachment

Page 3 of 6

Reagent-Lime:
 November - December Decrease is due to lime inventory adjustment at Green and Station Two in December. March - April Decrease is due to lime inventory adjustment at Green and Station Two in December. March - April Decrease is due to scheduled maintenance outage at HMP&L Unit 2 in April.

AN EVAMINATION RV THE PITR IC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC COPRORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-TRHOUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368	Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010	Big Rivers Electric Corporation Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis	Operating and Maintenance Expenses For Expense Months November 2009 - May 2010		<u>Jer</u>		<u>March</u> Lecrease is due to increased generation at Wilson over prior month. Increase is due to increased generation at Wilson over prior month.		Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO ₂	November - December Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency.	Increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson in November and increased deliveries at Green.	<u>er - January</u> Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Increase is due to the scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson completed in early December and additional deliveries were	required in January.	- February Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Decrease is due to reduced deliveries at Green and Wilson in February.		1	Sodium BiSulfite expense varies month to month because the chemical is added in batch as needed to enhance scrubber efficiency. Increase is due to increased deliveries at Green in May.
		Big Rivers Electric Corporation Environmental Surcharge Mont	 Operating and Maintenance Expenses For Expense Months November 2009 - 	6 Reagent-Limestone:		9 January - February	 10 <u>February - March</u> 11 <u>April - May</u> 	12	13 Reagent-Sodium	November -	15	16 <u>December - January</u>		18 <u>January - February</u>	20 <u>February - March</u> 21	22 <u>March - April</u> 23	24 <u>April - May</u> 25
						•		_		_			-			. (4 ()	(4 (7)

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC COPRORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2010 AND THE PASS-TRHOUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2010-00368

Response to Commission Staff's Data Requests dated October 14, 2010

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Environmental Surcharge Monthly Comparative Analysis

Operating and Maintenance Expense

For Expense Months November 2009 - May 2010

n														
9	6 Expense Month	60-AON	DEC-09	% Change from	JAN-10	% Change from	FEB-10	% Change from	MAR-10	% Change from	APR-10	% Change from	MAY-10	% Change from
7	Billing Month	JAN-10	FEB-10	prior period	MAR-10	príor period	APR-10	prior period	MAY-10	prior period	JUN-10	prior period	JUL-10	prior period
∞	S0 ₃ Plan													
6	Reagent for SO ₃	\$ 6,738 \$	000.6 \$	34%	\$ (4,50	(4,500) -150%	\$ 10,427	27 -332%	\$ 32,753	214%	\$ 42,968	8 31%	\$ 58,542	36%
10	Individual Expense Account Items	'	•					1	•			•	1	
Π	Individual Expense Account Items	•	-			-1		- 1	•			-	-	
12	Total S03 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 6,738	\$ 9,000	34%	\$ (4,50	(4,500) -150%	\$ 10,427	27 -332%	\$ 32,753	214%	\$ 42,968	8 31%	\$ 58,542	36%
13														
14	4 Reagent for SO ₃ :													
15	November - December	Increase is	increase is due to a scheduled maintenance outage at Wilson in November.	eduled ma	intenance	outage at V	Vilson in l	November.						
16	December - January	Decrease is	due to a cre	edit receiv	ed from or	ir reagent s	upplier pe	r contract f	Decrease is due to a credit received from our reagent supplier per contract for tank lease, and less chemical is required during	, and less	chemical i	s required o	luring	
17		cold weather to control SO3.	r to control	S03.										
18	January - February	Increase is	due to incre	ased gener	ration requ	iring more	chemical	for SO3 co	Increase is due to increased generation requiring more chemical for SO3 control than previous month at Wilson.	evious mo	nth at Wils	son.		
19	February - March	Increase is	due to warm	er weathe	r requiring	g more cher	nical for S	303 control	Increase is due to warmer weather requiring more chemical for SO3 control than previous month at Wilson.	is month a	t Wilson.			
20	March - April	Increase is	due to warm	er weathe	r requiring	g more cher	nical for S	303 control	Increase is due to warmer weather requiring more chemical for SO3 control than previous month at Wilson.	is month a	t Wilson.			
21	April - May	Increase is	due to warm	er weathe	r and incr	eased gener	ation requ	iring more	Increase is due to warmer weather and increased generation requiring more chemical for SO3 control than previous month at Wilson.	SO3 cont	rol than pı	evious mor	ıth at Wilso	n.

Reagent for SO3: