

FICEWED

AUG 0 4 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2000 PNC PLAZA 500 WEST JEFFERSON STREET LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-2828 (502) 333-6000 FAX: (502) 333-6099

DATE:	August 3, 2010		
	RECIPIENT	COMPANY NAME	FACSIMILE NUMBER
То:	Jeff DeRouen	KY Public Service Commission	(502) 564-3460
FROM:	W. Duncan Crosby III		(502) 627-8754 (Direct Fax) (502) 560-4263 (Direct Phone)
RE:	Application of the City of West Liberty, Kentucky for Approval to Acquire the Utility Assets of Elam Utility Company, Inc. and for Approval of a Management Agreement Case No. 2010-00302		
COMMENTS:	The City of West Liberty, Kentucky's responses to Attorney General's additional dat requests are attached. The original and ten copies will be tendered for filing tomorrow morning. Thank you.		
	morning. I nank you	l .	

If you encounter difficulty in receiving this transmission, please call Eloise Carlisle at (502) 568-5418.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION MAY BE LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS TRANSMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY COLLECT TELEPHONE CALL AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TRANSMISSION TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA U.S. MAIL.

USER ID: 3167 C/M: 113812.137923



STOLL·KEENON·OGDEN

PLLC

2000 PNC PLAZA 500 WEST JEPPERSON STREET LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-2828 MAIN: (502) 333-6000 FAX: (502) 333-6099 Www.skofirm.com

W. DUNCAN CROSBY III
DIRECT DIAL; (502) 560-4263
DIRECT PAX: (502) 627-8754
duncan.crosby@skofirm.com

August 3, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE AND HAND DELIVERY

Jeff DeRouen
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, KY 40601

RE: Application of the City of West Liberty, Kentucky for Approval to Acquire the Utility Assets of Elam Utility Company, Inc. and for Approval of a Management Agreement

Case No. 2010-00302

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

On August 3, 2010, the Attorney General presented to the City of West Liberty three additional questions concerning the City's proposed acquisition of the assets of Elam Utility Company, Inc. The Attorney General's questions and the City's responses are below.

- Q-1. Minutes of the emergency City Council meetings of July 13th and July 15th in which the issues regarding Elam were discussed indicate that Mayor Jim Rupe was not present for either meeting, but that he signed the minutes for both meetings. Why was the Mayor not present? Is he normally present for the meetings? Was any conflict of interest or potential conflict involved?
- A-1. The Mayor was out of town on July 13 and 15; there was and is no potential conflict of interest involved. Yes, the Mayor makes every effort to be present at City Council meetings.
- Q-2. The Asset Purchase Agreement the City entered with Elam requires the company to transfer all funds to the City upon the closing of the Agreement, which will occur after the PSC approves the transfer, assuming it is approved. What recourses are available to the City if Elam fails to turn over the funds or to otherwise provide access to them, including the disclosure of the identity of the financial

Jeff DeRouen August 3, 2010 Page 2

institution where the company maintained accounts and deposited customer receipts? Has Elam to date identified any such financial institution?

- A-2. The City did not enter into the Asset Purchase Agreement expecting that Elam would have meaningful amounts on deposit in any financial institution; however, as a result of its due diligence efforts and general knowledge about the personal relationships of Elam's principal, the City is aware of a two local banks where some of Elam's funds may be on deposit. In the event Elam does not cooperate by providing access to those funds (or proof that such funds or accounts do not exist), the City will take whatever legal action is prudent.
- Q-3. The Asset Purchase Agreement in essence results in the City's taxpayers becoming responsible for any potential debt the ratepayers would have owed had the City not moved to acquire Elam. Will the City attempt to collect from Elam's principles the sums the City is borrowing to accomplish the purchase under the Asset Purchase Agreement? Will the City forego any such collection efforts? Did the transactions between the City and Elam involve any discussions to the effect that the City will not pursue collection against Elam's principles? Has the City, or anyone else to the City's knowledge, made a referral to any law enforcement agencies with regard to the customer deposits which the City acknowledges are missing? If so, please identify that agency.
- A-3. The City disagrees with the assertion, "The Asset Purchase Agreement in essence results in the City's taxpayers becoming responsible for any potential debt the ratepayers would have owed had the City not moved to acquire Elam." A utility's customers are not liable for its debts.

The City is not in a dispute with Elam or its principal. All of the consideration for the transaction is clearly stated in the Asset Purchase Agreement; there are no side agreements.

The City has referred the matter to the Morgan County Attorney. Because of certain conflicts, it is anticipated that the County Attorney will contact the Attorney General to request the appointment of a special prosecutor.

Jeff DeRouen August 3, 2010 Page 3

Should you have any questions please contact me at your convenience

Sincerely,

W. Duncan Crosby III

WDC:ec Enclosures

Parties of Record