

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

RECEVED

SEP 0 1 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Kentucky Utilities Company State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.eon-us.com

Robert M. Conroy Director · Rates T 502-627-3324 F 502-627-3213 robert.conroy@eon-us.com

September 1, 2010

RE: AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING APRIL 30, 2010 CASE NO. 2010-00241

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

On August 31, 2010 Kentucky Utilities Company filed revised pages to the Direct Testimony and Data Responses in this proceeding. It has come to my attention that two numbers were transposed on page 7 of the testimony. Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and ten (10) copies of a revised page 7 with revisions shown in highlight.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Rates for E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conroy

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

November 9, 2010

already included as revenue in Column 12 of the October 2009 and November 2009 expense months.

- As a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period under review, is an adjustment to the revenue requirement necessary?
- Yes. KU experienced a cumulative under-recovery of \$4,490,751 for the billing 5 A. period ending April 30, 2010. KU's response to Question No. 2 of the Commission 6 Staff's Request for Information shows the calculation of the \$4,490,751 cumulative 7 However, KU is adjusting this under-recovery position for a 8 under-recovery. 9 correction made outside of the review period in this proceeding that affected the February 2010 expense month. A \$3,931,660 prior period adjustment was included 10 in the April 2010 expense month filing submitted to the Commission on May 17, 11 2010. The net under-recovery position which KU is requesting in this proceeding is 12 \$577,091. Therefore, an adjustment to the revenue requirement is necessary to 13 14 reconcile the collection of past surcharge revenues with the actual cost for the billing period under review. 15
- Q. Why is KU making the adjustment discussed above to the recovery position contained in this review period?
- A. In the April 2010 expense month filing submitted to the Commission on May 17, 2010, KU identified an error in the amount of ECR revenue collected through base rates for the February 2010 expense month filing that resulted in an under-collection for February 2010 expenses. The February 2010 expense month filing included \$16,950,373 as the amount collected through base rates; however, the correct amount is \$13,036,713 as shown in Column 10, page 2 of 3, of KU's response to Question