COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF NORTHERN KENTUCKY WATER DISTRICT FOR APPROVAL OF THE MEMORIAL PARKWAY TREATMENT PLANT ADVANCED TREATMENT FACILITY PHASE II AND ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY CASE NO. 2010-00093

ORDER

Northern Kentucky Water District ("NKWD") has applied for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to make improvements to its Fort Thomas Treatment Plant ("FTTP").\(^1\) Having reviewed the application and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds that:

1. NKWD, a water district organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 74, owns and operates facilities used to distribute water to approximately 80,383 customers in Boone, Campbell, and Kenton counties, Kentucky.\(^2\)

2. NKWD proposes to construct additional facilities at its FTTP. These facilities include a new concrete and masonry brick building to house eight granular activated carbon ("GAC") contactors with 12 feet of carbon media; a low lift pumping station with six

---

\(^1\) Although NKWD styled its application as one for approval of Phase II of the Memorial Parkway Treatment Plant ("MPTP") and makes a similar reference in the first paragraph of its application, the supporting documentation and information provided to the Commission clearly reflect that the proposed construction relates to advanced treatment upgrades at the FTTP. The Commission has addressed proposed improvements to the Memorial Parkway Treatment Plant in Case No. 2010-00038.

\(^2\) Annual Report of Northern Kentucky Water District to the Public Service Commission of Kentucky for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2009 at 5, 27.
vertical turbine pumps and variable speed drives plus two smaller vertical turbine pumps to provide slurry water for carbon loading and unloading; and three medium-pressure ultraviolet disinfection reactors. They further include the installation of a diesel-driven, standby power generator and ancillary facilities.

3. On January 6, 2006, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") promulgated the Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts "(D/DBP)" Rule, which establishes monitoring, reporting, and public notification requirements for public water systems related to total trihalomethanes ("TTHM") and haloacetic acids ("HAA5").

4. EPA published its Stage 2 D/DBP Rule "to reduce the potential risks of cancer and reproductive and developmental health effects from DBPs [disinfectant byproducts]." EPA concluded that "[n]ew epidemiology and toxicology studies evaluating bladder, colon, and rectal cancers have increased the weight of evidence linking these health effects to DBP exposure." It further concluded that "recent studies on both human epidemiology and animal toxicology have shown possible associations between chlorinated drinking water and reproductive and developmental endpoints such as spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, neural tube and other birth defects, intrauterine growth retardation, and low birth weight."

4 Id. at 394.
5 Id. at 391.
6 Id.
5. The Stage 2 D/DBP Rule establishes maximum contaminant level ("MCL") goals at 0.08 mg/L for TTHM and 0.06 mg/L for HAA5.

6. NKWD is a community water system serving more than 100,000 individuals.

7. The Stage 2 D/DBP Rule requires community water systems serving more than 100,000 individuals to begin compliance monitoring no later than April 1, 2012.7

8. In 2006, testing samples from each of NKWD's sampling points resulted in a range from 0.056 to 0.178 mg/L for TTHM and 0.032 to 0.058 mg/L for HAA5. Of those samples, 14 of 16 points had locational running annual averages ("LRAA") in excess of the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule's standard for TTHM.8

9. In 2009, the LRAAs for testing samples from each of NKWD's seven sampling points exceeded the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule's standard for TTHM. Sample results ranged from 0.0838 mg/L to 0.14131 mg/L.9

10. TTHM formation potential of 0.08 mg/L would occur at a 1.4 mg/L total organic carbon ("TOC") target for the FTTP.10 Less than 15 percent of the filtered water TOC samples for FTTP met the 1.4 mg/L target for TOCs.11

11. NKWD's water treatment facilities are currently unable to meet the MCL goals in the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule.

---

7 Id. at 415, Table IV. E.1.


9 Memorandum from Gerald Wuetcher, Public Service Commission Executive Advisor, to Case File, Attachment 5 (Apr. 21, 2010).

10 PD Report at Table 5-2.

11 CH2M HILL and HDR/Quest, Basis of Design Report at Exhibit 2-12.
12. To address the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule, NKWD examined the following technologies: riverbank infiltration, powdered carbon, ACTIFLO, enhanced coagulation, membranes, GAC, and MIEX.

13. Of the options that NKWD examined, only GAC technology and membranes permit NKWD to meet or exceed the MCLs set in the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule.

14. Membranes are significantly more costly than installing GAC technology.

---

12 Riverbank filtration is a purification process that uses the natural filtering processes of the riverbank to remove many of the particles and contaminants from raw river water. For a discussion of this process, see Wolfgang Kuehn and Uwe Mueller, Riverbank Filtration: An Overview, Journal AWWA, Dec. 2000 at 60.

13 ACTIFLO is a proprietary treatment process that “includes rapid mix, flocculation, and sedimentation processes. It is a high rate process that uses polymer to attach floc particles to fine sand to accelerate settling.” PD Report, App. F at 4.

14 MIEX “is a proprietary advanced treatment process that removes dissolved organic matter from raw water prior to treatment by conventional treatment processes. A slurry of small ion exchange resin particles is mixed with rate water, allowed to react for a period of time, separated from the raw water, and then regenerated for reuse. The pretreated water is then treated using conventional treatment processes.” PD Report, App. F at 2-3.

15 Id. at Table 1-4.

16 On August 17, 2006, NKWD estimated the costs for compliance with the 2012 standards to be $45 million for membranes and $21 million for GAC. See Presentation to the NKWD Board of Directors (Aug. 17, 2006). During the conference call of April 19, 2010, NKWD informed Commission Staff that it estimated membrane technology to be three to four times greater than GAC technology for their facilities. See also Alan J. Roy, Treatment Alternatives for Compliance with the Stage 2 D/DBPR: An Economic Update, 102 Journal AWWA 44, 51 (Mar. 2010).
15. GAC is one of the best available technologies for the TTHM and HAA5 LRAA MCLs. \(^\text{17}\) EPA estimates that at least 70 percent of surface water suppliers using GAC technology could meet Stage 2 MCLs with a 20-percent safety factor. \(^\text{18}\)

16. While nanofiltration membranes permit all water providers to achieve Stage 2 compliance with a 20-percent safety factor, cost benefit analysis weighs in favor of nanofiltration only for areas with high TOC ground water, such as Florida and the southwest United States. \(^\text{19}\)

17. A recent review of the available technologies concluded that GAC “continues to be the most cost-effective method available” for addressing compliance with the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule. \(^\text{20}\)

18. Metropolitan areas currently using GAC technology include Cincinnati, Ohio; San Diego, California; Centreville, Virginia; Scottsdale, Arizona; and Glendale, Arizona.

19. GAC technology offers additional advantages over other technologies. It requires no additional chemicals, addresses taste and odor issues, and is relatively simple to use. Its spent media can be reactivated and reused.

\(^{17}\) Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule, 71 Fed. Reg. at 412-14.

\(^{18}\) Id. at 413.

\(^{19}\) Id.

\(^{20}\) Roy, supra note 16, at 51.
20. After narrowing its decision to GAC adsorption, NKWD determined GAC post-filter contactors\(^\text{21}\) were a better option than GAC filter adsorbers primarily because the filter adsorbers\(^\text{22}\) have an increased likelihood to promote bacterial growth.\(^\text{23}\)

21. In considering GAC post-filter contactor options, NKWD considered three strategic approaches. A minimum approach was developed that would merely satisfy the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule goals. A moderate approach set goals 20 percent lower than the Stage 2 D/DBP goals. An aggressive approach sought to maintain a TOC target to ensure that the LRAA is 60 percent of the MCL.\(^\text{24}\)

22. In March 2008, NKWD estimated the capital costs associated with each approach using GAC technology as follows.\(^\text{25}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimal Approach</th>
<th>Moderate Approach</th>
<th>Aggressive Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Cost</td>
<td>$23,110,000</td>
<td>$28,810,000</td>
<td>$35,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Year Present Worth</td>
<td>$58,100,000</td>
<td>$71,300,000</td>
<td>$83,460,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{21}\) Post-filter contactors are steel vessels or concrete basins that are filled with GAC and are located downstream of existing filtration. After having been treated through the existing treatment system, the treated water sits in these basins for a period of time. The GAC captures any organic contaminants during this contact period. Post-filter contactors require the construction of new contact basins to supplement existing filters.

\(^{22}\) Filter adsorbers use existing structures and do not require the construction of additional structures. Some or all of the granular media in a treatment plant’s existing conventional filters are replaced with GAC. The GAC serves both as a filter and as an adsorbent. Filter adsorbers generally have a limited bed depth since they are replacing existing filter media. (For example, in the case of FTTP, filter adsorbers would have a bed depth of approximately three feet.) In contrast, the post-filter contactors have a greater depth which allows for a longer contact period and greater adsorption.


\(^{24}\) PD Report at 1-4.

\(^{25}\) PD Report at Figures 4-4 and 4-5. These summations reflect the total estimated cost for GAC upgrades to FTTP and MPTP.
23. NKWD adopted the moderate approach in addressing regulatory compliance.

24. NKWD’s use of the moderate approach to assess and determine its compliance strategy was reasonable. That approach allowed for added protection against unusually high TOC samples and allows the water district to comply with stricter regulatory compliance standards without additional upgrades to its treatment facilities or significant changes to its operations.

25. NKWD proposes to install three medium-pressure ultraviolet ("UV") disinfection reactors at FTTP that are designed to provide 2.5 log inactivation of Cryptosporidium and at least 2.5 log inactivation of Giardia.

26. NKWD considered two types of UV disinfection technology: low pressure/high output and medium pressure/high output.

27. NKWD estimates that a medium-pressure system has a lower net present value cost to acquire and operate than a low-pressure system.26

28. Installation of UV disinfection system is not necessary for NKWD to comply with the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule.

29. The UV disinfection system provides “an additional disinfection barrier; increase[s] the degree of inactivation of Cryptosporidium, Giardia, and viruses; minimize[s] the formation of potential disinfection byproducts; and achieve[s] enhanced treatment goals in the future.”27


30. UV disinfection is commonly used in the water treatment industry.\textsuperscript{28}

31. HDR/Quest Engineers prepared the drawings and specifications for the proposed pretreatment building improvements.

32. The Division of Water of the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet has approved the plans and specifications for the proposed GAC contactor facility and UV treatment facility.

33. Total construction cost of the proposed improvements is $23,823,000.

34. Total cost of the proposed improvements, including design and construction engineering, miscellaneous expenses and contingencies, is $30,000,000.\textsuperscript{29}

35. NKWD had originally estimated total project cost to be $50,063,613.

36. NKWD attributes the disparity between original estimates of total project cost and actual total cost to recent decline in economic conditions and its procurement practices.\textsuperscript{30}

37. The proposed improvements will allow NKWD to bring its FTTP into compliance with the requirements of the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule and to obtain overall compliance with the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule.

38. The proposed improvements will not compete with the facilities of other public utilities or conflict with the Certificate of other public utilities operating in the same area.

39. NKWD proposes to finance the proposed improvements with $821,966 from the proceeds of the issuance of its 2007 Bond Anticipation Notes ("BAN"), $1,945,034 from

\textsuperscript{28} See Roy, \textit{supra} note 16, at 45.

\textsuperscript{29} Application, App. D at 1.

\textsuperscript{30} Memorandum, \textit{supra} note 9, at 2, 5-6.
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the proceeds of the issuance of its 2009 BANs, and $27,233,000 from the proceeds of the issuance of BANs in 2010.

Based upon these findings, the Commission makes the following conclusions of law:

1. NKWD is a utility subject to Commission jurisdiction.  
2. No utility may construct any facility to be used in providing utility service to the public without first obtaining a Certificate from the Commission.  
3. To obtain a Certificate, the utility must demonstrate a need for such facilities and an absence of wasteful duplication.  
4. To demonstrate a need for such facilities, "the inadequacy must be due either to a substantial deficiency of service facilities, beyond what could be supplied by normal improvements in the ordinary course of business; or to indifference, poor management or disregard of the rights of consumers, persisting over such a period of time as to establish an inability or unwillingness to render adequate service."  
5. "Wasteful duplication" is "an excess of capacity over need" and "an excessive investment in relation to productivity or efficiency, and an unnecessary multiplicity of physical properties."

31 KRS 278.010(3)(d); KRS 278.015.  
32 KRS 278.020(1).  
34 Id. at 890.  
35 Id.
6. To demonstrate that a proposed facility does not result in wasteful duplication, an applicant for a Certificate must demonstrate that a thorough review of all alternatives has been performed.36

7. NKWD has demonstrated a need for the proposed facilities.

8. NKWD has demonstrated that the proposed facilities will not result in wasteful duplication.

9. The construction is in the public interest and is necessary to enable NKWD to continue to provide adequate service to its customers.

10. The public convenience and necessity require the construction of the proposed improvements to the FTTP.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. NKWD is granted a Certificate to proceed with the proposed construction as set forth in its application.

2. NKWD shall notify the Commission prior to performing any additional construction not expressly authorized by this Order.

3. Any deviation from the construction approved shall be undertaken only with the prior approval of the Commission.

4. NKWD shall file a copy of the “as-built” drawings and a certified statement by a professional engineer that the construction has been satisfactorily completed in
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accordance with the contract plans and specifications within 60 days of the substantial completion of the construction certificated herein.

5. NKWD shall require construction to be inspected under the general supervision of a licensed professional engineer with a Kentucky registration in civil, or mechanical engineering, to ensure that the construction work is done in accordance with the contract drawings and specifications and in conformance with the best practices of the construction trades involved in the project.

6. Any documents filed in the future pursuant to Ordering Paragraphs 4 and 5 shall reference this case number and shall be retained in the utility's general correspondence file.

By the Commission

ENTERED
APR 21 2010
KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:
Executive Director

Case No. 2010-00093
Jack Bragg, Jr, CPA  
Vice President of Finance  
Northern Kentucky Water District  
2835 Crescent Springs Road  
P. O. Box 18640  
Erlanger, KY  41018-0640

Honorable John N Hughes  
Attorney at Law  
124 West Todd Street  
Frankfort, KY  40601