T 502-582-8219 F 502-582-1573 mary.keyer@att.com



November 10, 2010

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mr. Jeff Derouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602



Re:

Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Kentucky for

Arbitration of Interconnection Agreement With Sprint Spectrum L.P.,

Nextel West Corp., and NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners

KPSC 2010-00061

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are the original and (10) ten copies of Joint Motion for Amended Procedural Schedule.

Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Mary K. Keyer

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

868473

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



IN THE MATTER OF:

| PETITION FOR ARBITRATION OF THE |) " ⁵⁵ / ₆ |
|---|----------------------------------|
| INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN |) |
| BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. |) CASE NO. 2010-00061 |
| D/B/A AT&T KENTUCKY AND SPRINT SPECTRUM |) |
| L.P., NEXTEL WEST CORP., AND NPCR, INC |) |
| D/B/A NEXTEL PARTNERS |) |

JOINT MOTION FOR AMENDED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Kentucky ("AT&T Kentucky"), Sprint Spectrum, L.P., Nextel West Corp., and NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners (collectively, "Sprint CMRS"), and Sprint Communications Company L.P. ("Sprint CLEC"), submit this Joint Motion for Amended Procedural Schedule. Sprint CMRS and Sprint CLEC are collectively referred to herein as "Sprint."

On November 3, 2010, the Parties filed a Joint Motion for Amended Procedural Schedule requesting that the Procedural Schedule in this case be modified to provide for a hearing date of June 28-30, 2011. The Parties also proposed that an updated Decision Point List be filed by February 25, 2011, and any Supplemental Testimony (if deemed necessary) be filed by June 1, 2011. The Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("Commission") Staff notified the Parties on November 8, 2010, that the Commission will not be available on June 28-30, 2011, for a hearing, but is available June 21-23, 2011.

Based on the above, the Parties file this Joint Motion and hereby request the current procedural schedule in this case be modified to provide for a hearing date of

June 21-23, 2011. Additionally, the Parties propose that a revised Joint Decision Point List be filed by February 25, 2011, Data Requests be filed by May 12, 2011, and any Supplemental Testimony (if it is deemed necessary) be filed by May 25, 2011. An Amended Joint Procedural Schedule reflecting these proposed changes is attached.

Based on the foregoing, AT&T Kentucky and Sprint request that the Commission issue an order adopting the Parties' Amended Joint Procedural Schedule.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November, 2010.

601 W. Chestnut Street, Room 407

Louisville, KY 40203 (502) 582-8219

mary.keyer@att.com

Dennis G. Friedman Mayer Brown LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 701-7319 dfriedman@mayerbrown.com

COUNSEL FOR BELLSOUTH
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., D/B/A AT&T
KENTUCKY

¹ In mid-January, 2011, the Parties will attempt to agree on appropriate parameters for supplemental testimony, subject to the Commission's approval. Thus, the Commission's approval of the proposed schedule will leave open for later determination the scope of supplemental testimony, if any.

DOUGLAS F. BRENT ()
Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 W Jefferson Street
Louisville, KY 40202-2828
douglas.brent@skofirm.com

William R. Atkinson Sprint Nextel 3065 Akers Mill Rd., NE Atlanta, GA 30339 bill.atkinson@sprint.com

Joseph M. Chiarelli 6450 Sprint Parkway Mailstop: KSOPHN0214-2A671 Overland Park, KS 66251

COUNSEL FOR SPRINT

AMENDED JOINT PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

The following schedule for the conduct of this arbitration shall apply:

| February 25, 2011 | Revised Joint Decision Point List filed |
|-------------------|--|
| May 12, 2011 | No new data requests propounded after this date |
| May 25, 2011 | Supplemental Testimony (if deemed necessary) filed |
| June 21-23, 2011 | Hearing. Attorneys for the Parties shall gather early on the first date of the hearing to discuss any outstanding procedural issues. |

Simultaneous Post-Hearing Briefs: 45 days after Receipt of Hearing Transcript.

Simultaneous Post-Hearing Reply Briefs: 30 days after Post-Hearing Briefs.

The discovery window is open and is being conducted under the following parameters:

- 1. Written discovery shall be limited to a total of 100 distinct discovery requests of any type from each Party for all arbitrations pending or to be filed in the Arbitration States. Parts and subparts of a request shall be counted as separate requests. A given request shall be counted as a single request even though it may seek information regarding more than one Arbitration State or (insofar as the Parties may be required to propound or file discovery in more than one state covered by the stipulation) has been propounded in more than one state.
- 2. The Parties believe written discovery, pre-filed testimony and the record through and including the arbitration hearings will create a sufficient record for the respective Commissions to render arbitration decisions and, therefore, agree that neither Party will initiate deposition discovery. If a Commission Staff or statutory advocate seeks deposition discovery despite the Parties' agreement not to initiate deposition discovery, the Parties will jointly request that such deposition discovery be coordinated with deposition discovery sought in any other state so that such discovery is:
 - a. Limited to witnesses who have submitted testimony in the arbitration;
 - b. Limited to one deposition per witness for all proceedings; and,
 - c. Conducted at mutually acceptable locations, times and dates for witness depositions.

- 3. Responses to discovery propounded in one state (including discovery propounded by Commission Staff or statutory advocates) shall be treated as if produced in all states covered by this stipulation. Any time before the close of the arbitration hearing record in a given state, either Party may file and move for admission of discovery responses propounded in another state, and the non-filing Party shall not object to the admission of such discovery on the basis that the discovery was propounded and answered in another state. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties reserve their right to object to admissibility based on any other grounds.
- 4. Objections to discovery shall be served within 10 calendar days of service of the request.
- 5. Responses to discovery shall be served within 21 calendar days of service of the request.
- 6. Requests and responses shall be served electronically, with hard copies to follow.
- 7. The Parties agree to use a mutually acceptable regional protective agreement for use in the proceedings.

868256