DORSEY, KING, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

318 SECOND STREET

HENDERSON, KENTUCKY 42420

TELEPHONE (270) 826-3965 TELEFAX (270) 826-6672 www.dkgnlaw.com

JOHN DORSEY (1920-1986) FRANK N. KING, JR STEPHEN D. GRAY WILLIAM B. NORMENT, JR. J. CHRISTOPHER HOPGOOD S. MADISON GRAY

January 25, 2010

JAN 26 2010 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FEDEX

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40601

> Re: Application of Kenergy Corp. for Approval Of Sample Meter Testing Plan

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

2010-0

Enclosed for filing please find the original and 10 copies of the above application. Please file stamp the enclosed separate cover page of the Application and return to the undersigned as proof of filing.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

DORSEY, KING, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD Frank N. King, Jr. By Attorney for Kenergy Corp.

FNKJr/cds Encls. COPY/w/Encls.: Kenergy Corp.

FECENT JAN 26 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE

## **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

In the Matter of: ) The Application of Kenergy Corp. For Approval of Sample Meter ) Testing Plan ) CASE NO. 2010-\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **APPLICATION**

The application of KENERGY CORP. ("Kenergy") respectfully shows:

(a) Kenergy is a nonprofit electric cooperative organized under KRS Chapter 279 and is engaged in the business of distributing retail electric power to member customers in the Kentucky counties of Daviess, Hancock, Henderson, Hopkins, McLean, Muhlenberg, Ohio, Webster, Breckinridge, Union, Crittenden, Caldwell, Lyon, and Livingston.

(b) The post office address of Kenergy is Post Office Box 18, Henderson, Kentucky 42419-018. The street address of Kenergy is 6402 Old Corydon Road, Henderson, Kentucky 42420.

(c) Kenergy is the consolidation successor of Green River Electric Corporation and Henderson Union Electric Cooperative Corp. A copy of the articles of consolidation is filed in Case No. 99-136. (d) Kenergy desires to adopt a scientific sample meter testing plan for its single phase meters pursuant to 807 KAR 5:041, Section 16. Kenergy's proposed plan is attached as "Exhibit 1."

(e) The proposed plan provides for a more efficient way for Kenergy to test its meters and is cost effective. Kenergy estimates that the cost savings in following this plan in lieu of current periodic testing will amount to approximately \$138,600.00 annually. See page 7 of 7 of attached "Exhibit 1."

WHEREFORE, Kenergy requests that the Commission approve its sample meter testing plan and that Kenergy be authorized to adopt said plan, and Kenergy further requests that it be afforded all proper relief.

> DORSEY, KING, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD 318 Second Street Henderson, Kentucky 42420 (270) 826-3965 Telephone (270) 826-6672 Telefax Attorneys for Kenergy Corp.

## PROPOSED SAMPLE METER TESTING PLAN FOR KENERGY CORP.'S SINGLE-PHASE CLASS 200 & 320 METERS

# Kenergy Corp.

Henderson, Kentucky

Prepared by Robert Hayden Kenergy Corp.

January 22, 2010

	EXHIBIT	
abbles'	1	5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
- 4		

## PROPOSAL FOR SINGLE-PHASE SAMPLE METER TESTING

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Kenergy Corp. is an electric distribution cooperative located in western Kentucky. Kenergy is presently on schedule with its eight-year meter testing program. By adopting a sample meter testing program, Kenergy will take a significant step towards maximizing efficiency in the single-phase meter testing area of its operation. It is the purpose of this proposal to demonstrate the methods to be used and the cost savings to be achieved in sample testing.

#### **RULES AND REGULATIONS**

Kentucky Public Service Commission (PSC) rules and regulations outline the required method and techniques of sample meter testing. Kenergy will comply with **807 KAR 5:041, Section 16** when implementing its sample meter testing program.

Section 16. Sample Testing of Single Phase Meters. A utility desiring to adopt a scientific sample meter testing plan for single phase meters shall submit its application to the commission for approval. Upon approval the sample testing plan may be followed in lieu of the periodic test prescribed in Section 15(3) of this administrative regulation. The plan shall include the following:

- (1) Meters shall be divided into separate groups to recognize differences in operating characteristics due to changes in design, taking into consideration date of manufacture and serial number.
- (2) The sampling procedure shall be based upon accepted statistical principles.
- (3) The same sampling procedure shall be applied to each group.
- (4) Each utility authorized to test meters by sample meter testing plan shall comply with the following conditions:

(a) The number of meters in addition to the sample shall be taken from those meters in each group longest in service since last test unless a particular meter type is known to be increasing the percentage of meters requiring test for the sample group. In such a case where a particular meter type is increasing the percentage of meters requiring test in any group, these meters may be selected first regardless of test date with any additional tests as required for that group coming from those in that group longest in service since last test. Each year the utility shall use the following table to determine the percentage of the total meters in each group to be tested.

Percentage of	of Meters	Percentage of
	-	Meters
Within Limit	s of 2%	
		to be Tested the
Fast or Slow	,	
		Next Year
(Indicated by	v Sample)	
99.0	100.0	2
98.0	98.9	4
97.0	97.9	6
96.0	96.9	8
95.0	95.9	10
93.0	94.9	12
91.0	92.9	14
Less than	91.0	16

- (a) Provided, however, that no meter shall remain in service without periodic test for a period longer than twenty-five (25) years.
- (5) Whenever a meter is found to be more than two (2) percent fast or slow, refunds or back billing shall be made for the period during which the meter error is known to have existed or if not known for one-half (1/2) the elapsed time since the last test but in no case to exceed three (3) years. This provision shall apply only when sample testing of single phase meters has been approved by the commission and utilized by the utility.

#### PROCEDURE

As shown in the table below, meters will be divided into various **test groups** based upon manufacturer and type. Similar meters may be further divided by serial number break points.

## **Kenergy Meter Groups**

Group	Manufacturer	Туре	Population	Sample
1	A.B.B./Eister	AB1	2,800	56
2	A.B.B./Elster	AB1	2,800	56
3	A.B.B./Elster	AB1	2,800	56
4	A.B.B./Elster	AB1	959	19
5	A.B.B.	AB1R	761	15
6	Sangamo/Siemens	ALALT	93	2
7	Landis & Gyr	ALF	241	5
8	Sangamo/Itron	C1S	1,345	27
9	A.B.B./Westinghouse	D4S	2,800	56
10	A.B.B./Westinghouse	D4S	2,076	42
11	A.B.B./Westinghouse	D5S	2,800	56
12	A.B.B./Westinghouse	D5S	660	13
13	Sangamo/Sensus	ISA1	98	2
14	Sangamo	1608	422	8
15	G.E.	1705	2,800	56
16	G.E.	1705	2,800	56
17	G.E.	1705	2,800	56
18	G.E.	1705	422	8
19	Sangamo	J4ES	65	1
20	Sangamo	J4S	2,800	56
21	Sangamo	J4S	951	19
22	Sangamo/Schlum	J5S	2,800	56
23	Sangamo/Schlum	J5S	2,800	56
24	Sangamo/Schlum	J5S	2,800	56
25	Sangamo/Schlum	J5S	510	10
26	Landis&Gyr/Duncan	MQS	1,309	26
27	Landis&Gyr/Duncan	MS	2,800	56
28	Landis&Gyr/Duncan	MS	1,365	27
29	Landis&Gyr	MSE2	121	2
30	Landis&Gyr/Duncan	MSK	49	1
31	Landis & Gyr	MS2	2,800	56
32	Landis & Gyr	MS2	2,800	56
33	Landis & Gyr	MS2	564	11
34	Landis & Gyr	MX	2,800	56
35	Landis & Gyr	MX	1,950	39

The statistical meter sample testing will follow *American National Standard Institute ANSI/ASZC Z1.9-2003(Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection)*. Each test group will be randomly sampled by a computerized process. The Kenergy billing computer system will be used for this process.

Part A7. Sample Selection, from the above standard, states that **Inspection Level II** shall be used for the discrimination level. Unless otherwise required by the PSC, this level will be in effect for the Kenergy program.

The Acceptance Quality Level (AQL) is defined as the quality level that is the worst tolerable product average when a continuing series of lots is submitted for acceptance sampling.

Due to the  $\pm 2\%$  limits, the sample groups shall be tested using an AQL of 2.5. This value can be found in Table A-1.

Table A-1								
AQL Conversion Table								
For specified AQL								
valu	es fa	lling	Use this					
witl	hin tl	nese	AQL Value					
r	ange	S						
-	to	0.109	.10					
1.110	to	0.164	.15					
0.165	to	0.279	.25					
0.280	to	0.439	.40					
0.440	to	0.669	.65					
0.700	to	1.09	1.0					
1.10	to	1.64	1.5					
1.65	to	2.79	2.5					
2.80	to	4.39	4.0					
4.40	to	6.99	6.5					
7.00	to	10.9	10.0					

 2.80
 to
 4.39
 4.0

 4.40
 to
 6.99
 6.5

 7.00
 to
 10.9
 10.0

meters from a different manufacturer or with different characteristics/features will require the formation of a new group. Newly installed meters will be added to the proper group and will be eligible for sample testing the following year. As new meters are purchased each new meter will be tested. An AQL of 1.0 will apply to the new meter testing.

Randomly selected meters (lot) from each group will be sent to Kenergy's meter shop. More specifically, the random selection is processed from within Kenergy's billing system using group number, type, and sample data based on a "timestamped" program. Program continues process for each meter group until all selections are complete.

All non-registering meters will be replaced by another random selection. The meters will be tested under full load, light load and 50% power factor.

For each lot, calculations will be based on the Double Specification Limit Variability Unknown-Standard Deviation Method. The average of the full-load test results and light-load test results will be evaluated pursuant to **Table B-3**. 12

Table B-3	Standard Deviation Method
Master Table for Normal and Tightened Inspection for F	Plans Based on Variability Unknown
(Double Specification Limit and Form 2-Si	ngle Specification Limit)

Senaple		Acceptizee Quality Limits (normal inspection)											
Size Code	Sample Size	T	10	.15	.25	41)		(100	1.50	2.50	4 (18)	650	10.03
lener		<u></u> M	M	м	M	저	М	М	М	M	м	M	М
в	3							ţ	ł	7 59	18.86	26 94	33.69
C	1.					V	v	1-19	5.46	10.88	16.41	22.84	1943
Ð	Ś		V	¥	Ŷ	1444	1.14	3.33	5 82	9 80	14 57	20.19	26.55
E	7	Ý	0.065	0.067	0.421	1.05	2.13	3.54	5.14	8.40	12.19	17.34	23.30
F F	10	0.077	0.179	0.349	0.714	127	2.14	3.27	4 72	7.26	10 53	15.17	10.73
G	15	41.186	am	0491	0.834	133	246	i u6	432	635	9,48	11.74	18.97
- 11	20	0.228	0.156	0 531	USGL	1.33	203	2.93	430	6.15	8,95	13.01	18.67
1	25	U 750	0.358	0351	0 X74	132	260	2 65	3 93	5.98	8.6 <b>5</b>	12.60	17.55
J	35	0.753	0.373	0.5,4	683)	1.24	1,87	2.66	3.70	3.5x	8.11	11.89	16.67
X	30	0 243	0.355	0 543	0 726	1 15	1.73	2.47	3 4 4	521	761	11.23	15.87
1.	-75	0.225	0.326	0,461	0.711	106	159	2.27	3.17	4.85	7.10	10.58	15.07
М	100	0.218	0315	0 441	0.684	1 02	1.52	2.18	3.86	4.57	6,88	10,29	14.71
N	159	0.202	U 292	0.412	] ពេធ្យត	11-746	142	2.05	2.68	4.42	6 56	986	14 18
ħ	200	0 204	0.294	0414	0.637	0945	1.42	2.04	2.86	439	6 52	930	14 [1
		.10	.15	23	-41	65	160	130	150	4.48	6 50	16.65	
		Acceptance Quality Limits (tightered impection)											

All AQL values are in percent nonconforming. I denotes plan used exclusively on tightened inspection and provides symbol for identification of appropriate OC curve

Use first sampling plan below arrawy that is, both sample size as well as k value. When sample size equals of exceeds lat size, every item in the lot must be inspected.

Lot performance for new and existing meters shall be deemed acceptable if the full-load and light-load performance of the meters within the lot meets the acceptability criteria of the *ANSI/ASZC Z1.9-2003*.

## COST SAVINGS/CONCLUSION

A substantial reduction in cost will be achieved by implementing the sample meter test method. Once the program is established, only a small percentage of the present labor and testing efforts will be required. This reduction results in estimated annual cost savings of approximately \$138,600 without compromising single-phase revenue metering accuracy.

## Cost Savings to Kenergy Corp. due to a change to Sample Metering

				Table #	1					
	<u> </u>	Intractor	Annual Cos	sts Associa	ted per F	Periodic N	leter Change and Tes	t		
t Field Expanse				Hours	Hourly Effective Rate	Total Costs				
I. Field Expense	Expense Estimated time for travel and meter changeout function'									
	Estimated sine for day	(direct and overheads)				0.49	\$36,57	\$17.92		
	Total Paid Expense					\$17.	92			
I Otal Palo Expense						nnually	\$ per 1,000 rate	\$ Per Form		
Votor Test/Change Forms				Humber	6647		\$270.00	\$0.27		
	R 1:	bor (time a	ind wade)		Hours		Hourly rate	Total Costs \$5.99 \$0.88		
	2010 Contractor Bate	ner Sinnle P	hase Meter Tr	est			\$5,99			
	2010 Consumer Mater	Change (dir	ect and overh-	eards)	0.025		\$35,35			
	Reter Tech Hours per	Meter Char	peldirect and	overneads)	0.03		\$36.57	\$1.10		
	Total Clerical and Of	fice and Te	sting Expense	e			\$8,24			
3 Miscellanous F	Ynense	I	A Transi	nortation		Miles	Rate	Total Costs		
	Estimated length of av	erade mete	r chanseout tri	io		0.95	\$0.50	\$0.48		
	Other (itemize)	e ege mere				0	\$8.00	\$0.00		
	Total Miscellaneous	Expenses					\$0.48			
Total Cost	ner Periodic Meter Cha	nge and Te	st using cont	ractor			\$26.64			
				<b>建设的目录和正式</b> 经						
				Table #	2					
<ul> <li>Protocol de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la constru de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de</li></ul>	In I	Louise La	hor Annual	Costs Ass	ociated n	er Sampl	e Meter Change and T	est		
	111 1	Touse La	Jahas Itima	costs Ass	ociated p	Hours	Hourty Effective Rate	Total Costs		
1. Field Expense		1	Labor (une	and wages)		0.40 \$36.57		\$17.02		
	Estimated time for trai	/el and met/	er changeout fi	unction"		0.49	\$50.07	317.62		
		(direct a	no overneads/							
	Total Eixed Ex	00000	T			\$17	92			
2. Charles I and Of		A Cuppliz	<u> </u>	Number	r of Forms Annually \$ per 1,000 rate \$ Per Fo					
2. Clencal and Ol	Note Expense	A. Supplies Rullier			1470		\$270.00	\$0.27		
	R 1	abor (time )	(and ware)	]	Hours	l	Houriv rate	Total Costs		
	CSP Wours par Matar	Change (di	sind magey	aadsl	0.025	\$35.35 \$36.57		\$0.88 \$1.10		
	Mater Teab Hours net	Matar Cha	nee(direct and	overheads)	0.03					
	Herer Tech Hours to Ch	an Tarl Comm	la Melers/direct arto	ndoverhandsl	0.18		536 57	\$5.85		
	Total Clorical and O	fice and Te	cting Exnens		0.10	ļ	\$8.10			
1 Miscellanous F	Tranca Ciencal and Ol		A Trans	portation	L	Miles	Rate	Total Costs		
o. miscenanous c	Estimated is oath of a	larana mete	r changeout tr	in		0.21	\$0,50	\$0.11		
	Other (itemize)	e aye nete	a shangeoora	<u></u>		0	\$0.00	\$0.00		
	Total Missallansous	Fynances			r	<u> </u>	\$0.11			
Tai	Fotar miscenarieous	Expenses	ect in House		\$26.13					
	tar cost per sample ch	ange and r						医小药间的过去时间的		
				Table #	3					
		. <b>I .</b>	n Deule II	- T		nd Com	pla Tast Program			
	Fotal Savings	s Detwee	en Periodi	CIESTPE	ogram a	na sam	pie rest riogiali	Total Cost		
		I otal Nun	nber of Meters	Annuai Nemo	CC47	5 10 lest	508.84	S177 043 94		
Existing Periodic	Meter Test Schedule	5	8,761	l	664/		520.04	\$38 405 73		
Proposed Sampl	e Meter Test Plan	5	8,761	I	1470		C170 61	Q 11		
1	Annual Cost Savings						\$130,030,11			