these costs on the same basis. (See Seelye Exhibit 23, pages 7 through 9 for the functional assignment of cash working capital on the basis of OMLPP shown on pages 43 through 45.) The functional vector used to allocate a specific cost is identified by the column in the model labeled "Vector" and refers to a vector identified elsewhere in the analysis by the column labeled "Name".

2

Once costs for all of the major accounts are functionally assigned and classified, the resultant cost matrix for the major cost groupings (e.g., Plant in Service, Rate Base, Operation and Maintenance Expenses) is then transposed and allocated to the customer classes using "allocation vectors" or "allocation factors". This process is illustrated in Figure 2 below.



22

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 1 The results of the class allocation step of the cost of service study are included 2 in Seelye Exhibit 24. The costs shown in the column labeled "Total System" in 3 Seelye Exhibit 24 were carried forward *from* the functionally assigned and classified 4 costs shown in Seelye Exhibit 23. The column labeled "Ref" in Seelye Exhibit 24 5 provides a reference to the results included in Seelye Exhibit 23.

6

Q. What methodologies are commonly used to classify distribution plant?

Two commonly used methodologies for determining demand/customer splits of 7 A. distribution plant are the "minimum system" methodology and the "zero-intercept" 8 In the minimum system approach, "minimum" standard poles, methodology. 9 10 conductor, and line transformers are selected and the minimum system is obtained by pricing all of the applicable distribution facilities at the unit cost of the minimum size 11 plant. The minimum system determined in this manner is then classified as customer-12 related and allocated on the basis of the number of customers in each rate class. All 13 costs in excess of the minimum system are classified as demand-related. The theory 14 supporting this approach maintains that in order for a utility to serve even the smallest 15 customer, it would have to install a minimum size system. Therefore, the costs 16 17 associated with the minimum system are related to the number of customers that are served, instead of the demand imposed by the customers on the system. 18

In preparing this study, the "zero-intercept" methodology was used to determine the customer components of overhead conductor, underground conductor, and line transformers. Because the zero-intercept methodology is less subjective than the minimum system approach, the zero-intercept methodology is strongly preferred over the minimum system methodology when the necessary data is available. With 1 the zero-intercept methodology, we are not forced to choose a minimum size 2 conductor or line transformer to determine the customer component. In the zero-3 intercept methodology, a zero-size conductor or line transformer is the absolute 4 minimum system.

5 Q. What is the theory behind the zero-intercept methodology?

A. The theory behind the zero-intercept methodology is that there is a linear relationship
between the unit cost (\$/ft or \$/transformer) of conductor or line transformers and the
load flow capability of the plant, which is proportionate to the cross-sectional area of
the conductor or the kVA rating of the transformer. After establishing a linear
relation, which is given by the equation:

$$y = a + bx$$

11

12	where:
13	\mathbf{y} is the unit cost of the conductor or transformer,
14	\mathbf{x} is the size of the conductor (MCM) or transformer (kVA), and
15	a, b are the coefficients representing the intercept and slope,
16	respectively
17	
18	it can be determined that, theoretically, the unit cost of a foot of conductor or
19	transformer with zero size (or conductor or transformer with zero load carrying
20	capability) is a , the zero-intercept. The zero-intercept is essentially the cost

component of conductor or transformers that is invariant to the size (and load
 carrying capability) of the plant.

Like most electric utilities, the feet of conductor and number of 3 4 transformers on LG&E's system is not uniformly distributed over all sizes of For this reason, it was necessary to use a weighted 5 wire and transformer. regression analysis, instead of a standard least-squares analysis, in the 6 determination of the zero intercept. Without performing a weighted 7 regression analysis all types of conductor and transformers would have the 8 same impact on the analyses, even though the quantity of conductor and 9 10 transformers are not the same for each size and type.

Using a weighted regression analysis, the cost and size of each type of conductor or transformer is, in effect, weighted by the number of feet of installed conductor or the number of transformers. In a weighted regression analysis, the following weighted sum of squared differences

$$\sum_{i} w_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

15

16 is minimized, where **w** is the weighting factor for each size of conductor or 17 transformer, and **y** is the observed value and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is the predicted value of the 18 dependent variable.

19

Q. Has the Commission accepted the use of the zero-intercept methodology?

A. Yes. The Commission found LG&E's cost of service studies (both electric and gas)
submitted in Case No. 2000-080 and Case No. 90-158 to be reasonable, thus
providing a means of measuring class rates of return and suitable for use as a guide in
developing appropriate revenue allocations and rate design. The Commission also
found the embedded cost of service study submitted by The Union Light Heat and
Power in Case No. 2001-00092, which utilized a zero-intercept methodology, to be
reasonable.

8 Q. Have you prepared exhibits showing the results of the zero-intercept analysis?

9 A. Yes. The zero-intercept analysis for overhead conductor, underground conductor,
10 and line transformers are included in Seelye Exhibits 25, 26, and 27.

11 Q. Please summarize the results of the electric cost of service study.

A. The following table (Table 1) summarizes the rates of return for each customer class before and after reflecting the rate adjustments proposed by LG&E. The Actual Adjusted Rate of Return was calculated by dividing the adjusted net operating income by the adjusted net cost rate base for each customer class. The adjusted net operating income and rate base reflect the pro-forma adjustments discussed in Mr. Rives' testimony. The Proposed Rate of Return was calculated by dividing the net operating income adjusted for the proposed rate increase by the adjusted net cost rate base.

19

TABI Electric Class R	LE 1 ates of Return	
Customer Class	Actual Adjusted Rate of Return	Proposed Rate of Return
Residential Rate - RS	3.19%	5.86%
General Service - GS	9.12%	12.62%
Power Service - PS		
- Primary	4.86%	8.47%
- Secondary	6.62%	10.13%
Commercial Time of Day		
-Commercial TOD Secondary - CTODS	4.42%	8.00%
-Commercial TOD Primary - CTODP	4.47%	8.72%
Industrial Time of Day		
- Industrial TOD Secondary - ITODS	5.27%	9.28%
- Industrial TOD Primary - ITODP	3.31%	6.97%
Retail Transmission Service - RTS	2.91%	6.53%
Lighting	8.80%	11.17%
Special Contracts	-0.19%	2.51%
Total System	4.77%	7.89%

2

3

Determination of the actual adjusted and proposed rates of return are detailed in Seelye Exhibit 24, pages 49-51 and pages 55-57, respectively.

5

8

4

6 VIII. NATURAL GAS COST OF SERVICE STUDY

7 Q. Did you prepare a cost of service study for LG&E's gas operations based on

financial and operating results for the 12 months ended October 31, 2009?

9 A. Yes. I supervised and participated in the preparation of a fully allocated, time10 differentiated, embedded cost of service study for gas operations for the 12 months
11 ended October 31, 2009, based on LG&E's accounting costs per books, adjusted for
12 known and measurable changes to test year operating results. The cost of service
13 study corresponds to the pro-forma financial exhibits included in the testimony of Mr.

Rives. As with the electric cost of service study, the objective in performing the gas 1 cost of service study is to determine the rate of return on rate base that LG&E is 2 earning from each customer class, which provides an indication as to whether 3 LG&E's gas service rates reflect the cost of providing service to each customer class. 4 Generally, were the procedures used in performing the gas cost of service study 5 **Q**. the same as those that you described above for the electric cost of service study? 6 7 Yes, with the exception that the study was not time differentiated. The cost of service A. study was prepared using the following procedure: (1) costs were functionally 8 9 assigned (functionalized) to the major functional groups, (2) costs were then classified 10 as commodity-related, demand-related, or customer-related; and then (3) costs were 11 allocated to LG&E's rate classes. These steps are depicted in the following diagram (Figure 3). This is a standard approach utilized in the preparation of embedded cost 12 13 of service studies for gas utilities.







1		of service study, it was not necessary to classify gas supply costs. Costs classified as
2		demand related are costs related to facilities installed to meet design-day usage
3		requirements. Costs classified as customer related include costs incurred to serve
4		customers regardless of the quantity of gas purchased or the peak requirements of the
5		customers. All transmission plant costs were classified as demand related and are
6		allocated on the same basis as storage. Unlike other local gas distribution companies
7		("LDCs"), LG&E's transmission system is used primarily to get gas in and out of its
8		gas storage fields. Distribution Structures and Equipment costs were classified as
9		demand-related. As will be discussed later in my testimony, costs related to
10		Distribution Mains were functionally assigned as either low and medium pressure
11		mains or high-pressure mains and then classified as demand-related and customer-
12		related using the zero-intercept methodology. Services, Meters, Customer Accounts,
13		and Customer Service Expenses were classified as customer-related.
14	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit showing the results of the functional assignment
15		and classification steps of the cost of service study?
16	А.	Yes. Seelye Exhibit 28 shows the results of the first two steps of the natural gas cost
17		of service study, functional assignment and classification.
18	Q.	Please describe the allocation factors used in the gas cost of service study.
19	А.	The following allocation factors were used in the gas cost of service study:
20		
21		• DEM01 is used to allocate procurement demand-related
22		costs; these costs are the procurement-related expenses
23		that are not recovered through LG&E's Gas Supply
		- 96 -

Clause.

3 DEM02 is used to allocate Storage demand-related costs and represents a composite allocation based on 4 extreme winter season requirements and design day 5 demands. The class allocation factor is the sum of (a) 6 the volumes (commodity) withdrawn from storage 7 during the design winter season, and (b) the volumes 8 needed in storage to meet the design-day demands. The 9 calculation of this allocation factor is shown on Seelye 10 Exhibit 30. 11 12 DEM03 is used to allocate Transmission demand-13 related costs and is allocated on the same basis as 14

- 14related costs and is allocated on the same basis as15storage demand. Because LG&E's transmission lines16are used primarily to either fill the storage fields or17remove gas from storage, transmission demand-related18costs are allocated on the same basis as storage19demand-related costs.
- 20

1

2

• **DEM04** is used to allocate Distribution Structures and 22 Equipment demand-related costs and represents 1maximum class demands determined at LG&E's -12° F2design day mean temperature. These demands, which3are shown in Seelye Exhibit 30, were calculated using4base loads and temperature sensitive loads developed5for the temperature normalization adjustment. The6temperature normalization adjustment is discussed7earlier in my testimony.

DEM05 is used to allocate the demand-related portion 9 . 10 of the cost of high-pressure distribution mains and represents maximum class demands determined at the 11 12 design day mean temperature of customers served at 13 high-pressure or below. The high-pressure system consists of pipe pressured above 50 psi. All of the gas 14 15 delivered into the low- and medium-pressure system 16 must first pass through the high- pressure system. 17 Consequently, all customers utilize the high-pressure 18 system.

19

8

DEM05a is used to allocate the demand-related portion
 of the cost of low and medium-pressure distribution
 mains and represents maximum class demands

- 98 -

determined at the design day mean temperature of 1 customers served at medium pressure or low-pressure. 2 The low- and medium- pressure system consists of pipe 3 pressured at 50 psi and below. The demands of 4 customers served at high pressure are not included in 5 the determination of this allocation factor. The low-6 and medium-pressure system is not used to provide 7 distribution delivery service to customers served at high 8 9 pressure.

10

11 COM01 is used to allocate commodity-related procurement expenses and represents annual throughput 12 volumes (including both sales and transportation). 13 14 Procurement expenses correspond to expenses incurred by LG&E's gas supply department (including labor), 15 which are not recovered through the Gas Supply 16 Clause. This department not only purchases gas for 17 sales customers but also administers LG&E's 18 transportation service schedules. 19

20

COM02 is used to allocate Storage commodity-related
 costs and represents actual customer class deliveries

during the winter withdrawal season (defined as the
months of November through March.)
COM03 is used to allocate Transmission commodity-
related costs and represents actual customer class
deliveries during the winter withdrawal season (defined
as the months of November through March).
COM04 is used to allocate Distribution commodity-
related costs and represents annual throughput volumes
(including both sales and transportation).
CUST01 is used to allocate the customer-related
portion of LG&E's high-pressure distribution mains
and represents the year-end number of customers
served at high pressure and below.
CUST01a is used to allocate the customer-related
portion of LG&E's low and medium pressure
distribution mains and represents the year-end number
of customers at low and medium pressure. The

- 100 -

1	the determination of this allocation factor. The low-
2	and medium-pressure system is not used to provide
3	distribution delivery service to customers served at high
4	pressure.
5	
6 •	CUST02 is used to allocate Services and is based on
7	the total estimated cost of installing a service line per
8	customer in each customer class weighted by the year-
9	end number of customers in each class.
10	
•	CUST03 is used to allocate Meters and is based on the
12	total cost of meters and meter installation costs per
13	customer in each customer class weighted by the year-
14	end number of customers in each class.
15	
16 •	CUST04 is used to allocate customer accounts
17	expenses (Accounts 901 through 905) and represents a

1		composite allocation factor ⁴
1		composite anotation factor.
2		• CUST05 is used to allocate customer service expenses using the same
3		customer-weighting factor used to allocate Accounts 901, 902, 903,
4		and 905 as in the calculation of CUST04.
5		
6	Q.	Did you classify the costs of mains between demand and customer costs?
7	A.	Yes. Mains were classified using the zero-intercept methodology, which was
8		described above in connection with the electric cost of service study. The zero-
9		intercept analysis is included in Seelye Exhibit 31.
10	Q.	How were distribution mains functionally separated between high pressure and
11		low and medium pressure categories?
12	А.	The feet of high-pressure mains by size of pipe were identified from LG&E's maps
13		and records. The feet of low- and medium-pressure pipe were determined residually
14		by subtracting the specifically identified high-pressure mains from the total feet for
15		each pipe size. The zero-intercept unit cost of \$4.37 was then applied to the high-
16		pressure mains and to the low and medium pressure mains to determine the customer-
17		related portion of the mains. ⁵ By identifying high-pressure mains from LG&E's

⁴ This allocation factor is determined as follows: First, customer accounts supervision (Account 901), meter reading (Account 902), customer records and collections (Account 903), and miscellaneous customer account expenses (Account 905) were allocated to each customer class using a customer weighting factor based on discussions with LG&E's meter reading, billing and customer service departments. A cost weighting factor of 1.0 was utilized for Residential Gas Service, a cost weighting factor of 1.1 was utilized for Commercial Gas Service, a cost weighting factor of 10 was utilized for Industrial Gas Service, Rate AAGS, and a customer weighting factor of 20 was utilized for Firm Transportation Service Rate FT and special contracts. Using a cost weighting factor of 20 for Rate FT and special contracts, for example, means that the cost of performing the meter reading, billing and customer service functions for customers served under Rate FT is 20 times more than the cost of performing these same services for customers served under Rate RGS.

⁵ The cost of service study used the zero intercept results from the detailed analysis that was performed based on plant records as of April 30, 2008.

1 maps and records, it was determined that LG&E's high-pressure distribution mains 2 represent 12.52% of the total installed cost, with 0.87% corresponding to customer 3 related costs and 11.65% corresponding to demand related costs. The low- and 4 medium-pressure pipe comprises the remaining 87.48% of installed cost, with 5 12.96% classified as customer related and 74.52% classified as demand related. The 6 breakdown is shown on page 3 of Seelye Exhibit 31.

7 Q. Was a similar separation made in the electric cost of service study?

8 A. Yes. The electric cost of service study separates distribution conductor between 9 primary voltage conductor and secondary voltage conductor. The functional 10 separation in the gas cost of service study between high-pressure and low- and 11 medium-pressure pipe is analogous to the primary and secondary splits determined in 12 the electric cost of service study. Differences in the pressure in a pipe are often used 13 as an analogy to differences in voltages.

14 Q. Please summarize the results of the gas cost of service study.

The following table (Table 2) summarizes the rates of return on net cost rate base for 15 A. natural gas service for each customer class before and after reflecting the rate 16 adjustments proposed by LG&E. The rates of return shown in Table 2 can be found 17 18 on pages 12-13 of Seelye Exhibit 29. The Actual Adjusted Rate of Return was calculated by dividing the adjusted net operating income by the adjusted net cost rate 19 20 base for each customer class. The adjusted net operating income and rate base reflect the pro-forma adjustments discussed in Mr. Rives' testimony. The Proposed Rate of 21 Return was calculated by dividing the net operating income adjusted for the proposed 22 rate increase by the adjusted net cost rate base. 23

- 103 -

.

TAI Gas Class R	BLE 2 ates of Return	
Customer Class	Actual Adjusted Rate of Return	Proposed Rate of Return
Residential - RGS	3.90%	6.82%
Commercial - CGS	7.01%	10.01%
Industrial - IGS	4.36%	7.12%
As-Available Service - AAGS	16.85%	17.01%
Firm Transportation Service - FT	25.71%	25.90%
Special Contracts	25.05%	25.25%
Total System	5.06%	7.95%

2

3 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

4 A. Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)) SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **William Steven Seelye**, being duly sworn, deposes and states that he is a Principal and Senior Analyst with The Prime Group, LLC, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and exhibits, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

William Steven Seely

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 26^{-1} day of $______ 2010$.

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

November 9, 2010

Seelye Exhibit 1

Qualifications

QUALIFICATIONS OF WILLIAM STEVEN SEELYE

Summary of Qualifications

Provides consulting services to numerous investor-owned utilities, rural electric cooperatives, and municipal utilities regarding utility rate and regulatory filings, cost of service and wholesale and retail rate designs; and develops revenue requirements for utilities in general rate cases, including the preparation of analyses supporting pro-forma adjustments and the development of rate base.

Employment

Senior Consultant and Principal The Prime Group, LLC (July 1996 to Present) Provides consulting services in the areas of tariff development, regulatory analysis revenue requirements, cost of service, rate design, fuel and power procurement, depreciation studies, lead-lag studies, and mathematical modeling.

Assists utilities with developing strategic marketing plans and implementation of those plans. Provides utility clients assistance regarding regulatory policy and strategy; project management support for utilities involved in complex regulatory proceedings; process audits; state and federal regulatory filing development; cost of service development and support; the development of innovative rates to achieve strategic objectives; unbundling of rates and the development of menus of rate alternatives for use with customers; performance-based rate development.

Prepared retail and wholesale rate schedules and filings submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and state regulatory commissions for numerous of electric and gas utilities. Performed cost of service or rate studies for over 130 utilities throughout North America. Prepared market power analyses in support of market-based rate filings submitted to the FERC for utilities and their marketing affiliates. Performed business practice audits for electric utilities, gas utilities, and independent transmission organizations (ISOs), including audits of production cost modeling, retail utility tariffs, retail utility

	billing practices, and ISO billing processes and procedures.
Manager of Rates and Other Positions Louisville Gas & Electric Co. (May 1979 to July 1996)	Held various positions in the Rate Department of LG&E. In December 1990, promoted to Manager of Rates and Regulatory Analysis. In May 1994, given additional responsibilities in the marketing area and promoted to Manager of Market Management and Rates.

Education

Bachelor of Science Degree in Mathematics, University of Louisville, 1979 54 Hours of Graduate Level Course Work in Industrial Engineering and Physics.

Associations

Member of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

Expert Witness Testimony

Alabama:	Testified in Docket 28101 on behalf of Mobile Gas Service Corporation concerning rate design and pro-forma revenue adjustments.
Colorado:	Testified in Consolidated Docket Nos. 01F-530E and 01A-531E on behalf of Intermountain Rural Electric Association in a territory dispute case.
FERC:	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Docket No. EL02-25-000 et al. concerning Public Service of Colorado's fuel cost adjustment.
	Submitted direct and responsive testimony in Docket No. ER05-522-001 concerning a rate filing by Bluegrass Generation Company, LLC to charge reactive power service to LG&E Energy, LLC.
	Submitted testimony in Docket Nos. ER07-1383-000 and ER08-05-000 concerning Duke Energy Shared Services, Inc.'s charges for reactive power service.
	Submitted testimony in Docket No. ER08-1468-000 concerning changes to Vectren Energy's transmission formula rate.
	Submitted testimony in Docket No. ER08-1588-000 concerning a generation formula rate for Kentucky Utilities Company.

	Submitted testimony in Docket No. ER09-180-000 concerning changes to Vectren Energy's transmission formula rate.
Florida:	Testified in Docket No. 981827 on behalf of Lee County Electric Cooperative, Inc. concerning Seminole Electric Cooperative Inc.'s wholesale rates and cost of service.
Illinois:	Submitted direct, rebuttal, and surrebuttal testimony in Docket No. 01-0637 on behalf of Central Illinois Light Company ("CILCO") concerning the modification of interim supply service and the implementation of black start service in connection with providing unbundled electric service.
Indiana:	Submitted direct testimony and testimony in support of a settlement agreement in Cause No. 42713 on behalf of Richmond Power & Light regarding revenue requirements, class cost of service studies, fuel adjustment clause and rate design.
	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Cause No. 43111 on behalf of Vectren Energy in support of a transmission cost recovery adjustment.
	Submitted direct testimony in Cause No. 43773 on behalf of Crawfordsville Electric Light & Power regarding revenue requirements, class cost of service studies, fuel adjustment clause and rate design.
Kansas:	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Docket No. 05-WSEE-981-RTS on behalf of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company regarding transmission delivery revenue requirements, energy cost adjustment clauses, fuel normalization, and class cost of service studies.
Kentucky:	Testified in Administrative Case No. 244 regarding rates for cogenerators and small power producers, Case No. 8924 regarding marginal cost of service, and in numerous 6-month and 2-year fuel adjustment clause proceedings.
	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 96-161 and Case No. 96-362 regarding Prestonsburg Utilities' rates.
	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 99-046 on behalf of Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. concerning its rate stabilization plan.
	Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 99-176 on behalf of Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. concerning cost of service, rate design and expense adjustments in connection with Delta's rate case.

Seelye Exhibit 1 Page 3 of 6 Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 2000-080, testified on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company concerning cost of service, rate design, and pro-forma adjustments to revenues and expenses.

Submitted rebuttal testimony in Case No. 2000-548 on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company regarding the company's prepaid metering program.

Testified on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company in Case No. 2002-00430 and on behalf of Kentucky Utilities Company in Case No. 2002-00429 regarding the calculation of merger savings.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 2003-00433 on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company and in Case No. 2003-00434 on behalf of Kentucky Utilities Company regarding pro-forma revenue, expense and plant adjustments, class cost of service studies, and rate design.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 2004-00067 on behalf of Delta Natural Gas Company regarding pro-forma adjustments, depreciation rates, class cost of service studies, and rate design.

Testified on behalf of Kentucky Utilities Company in Case No. 2006-00129 and on behalf of Louisville Gas and electric Company in Case No. 2006-00130 concerning methodologies for recovering environmental costs through base electric rates.

Testified on behalf of Delta Natural Gas Company in Case No. 2007-00089 concerning cost of service, temperature normalization, year-end normalization, depreciation expenses, allocation of the rate increase, and rate design.

Submitted testimony on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation and E.ON U.S. LLC in Case No 2007-00455 and Case No. 2007-00460 regarding the design and implementation of a Fuel Adjustment Clause, Environmental Surcharge, Unwind Surcredit, Rebate Adjustment, and Member Rate Stability Mechanism for Big Rivers Electric Corporation in connection with the unwind of a lease and purchase power transaction with E.ON U.S. LLC.

Submitted testimony in Case No. 2008-00251 on behalf of Kentucky Utilities Company and in Case No. 2008-00252 on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Company regarding pro-forma revenue and expense adjustments, electric temperature normalization, jurisdictional separation, class cost of service studies, and rate design.

Submitted testimony in Case No. 2008-00409 on behalf of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., concerning revenue requirements, pro-forma adjustments, cost of service, and rate design.

Submitted testimony in Case No. 2009-00040 on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation regarding revenue requirements and rate design.

Submitted testimony on behalf of Columbia Gas Company of Kentucky in Case No. 2009-00141 regarding the demand side management program costs and cost recovery mechanism.

Nevada: Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 03-10001 on behalf of Nevada Power Company regarding cash working capital and rate base adjustments.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 03-12002 on behalf of Sierra Pacific Power Company regarding cash working capital.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 05-10003 on behalf of Nevada Power Company regarding cash working capital for an electric general rate case.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 05-10005 on behalf of Sierra Pacific Power Company regarding cash working capital for a gas general rate case.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case Nos. 06-11022 and 06-11023 on behalf of Nevada Power Company regarding cash working capital for a gas general rate case.

Submitted direct and rebuttal testimony in Case No. 07-12001 on behalf of Sierra Pacific Power Company regarding cash working capital for an electric general rate case.

Submitted direct testimony in Case No. Docket No. 08-12002 on behalf of Nevada Power Company regarding cash working capital for an electric general rate case.

Nova Scotia: Testified on behalf of Nova Scotia Power Company in NSUARB – NSPI – P-887 regarding the development and implementation of a fuel adjustment mechanism.

Submitted testimony in NSUARB – NSPI – P-884 regarding Nova Scotia Power Company's application to approve a demand-side management plan and cost recovery mechanism.

Submitted testimony in NSUARB – NSPI – P-888 regarding a general rate application filed by Nova Scotia Power Company.

Submitted testimony on behalf of Nova Scotia Power Company in the matter of the approval of backup, top-up and spill service for use in the Wholesale Open Access Market in Nova Scotia.

Submitted testimony in NSUARB – NSPI – P-884 (2) on behalf of Nova Scotia Power Company's regarding a demand-side management cost recovery mechanism.

Virginia: Submitted testimony in Case No. PUE-2008-00076 on behalf of Northern Neck Electric Cooperative regarding revenue requirements, class cost of service, jurisdictional separation and an excess facilities charge rider.

> Submitted testimony in Case No. PUE-2009-00029 on behalf of Old Dominion Power Company regarding class cost of service, jurisdictional separation, allocation of the revenue increase, general rate design, time of use rates, and excess facilities charge rider.

Submitted testimony in Case No. PUE-2009-00065 on behalf of Craig-Botetourt Electric Cooperative regarding revenue requirements, class cost of service, jurisdictional separation and an excess facilities charge rider.

Seelye Exhibit 2

Residential Electric Unit Cost

Louisville Gas and Electric Company

Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended October 31. 2009

Rate RS

				Production		Transmission	Di	itribution		Customer Service Expenses	
	Description		Amount	Demand-Related	Energy-Related	Demand-Related	Demand-Related	L Cus	tomer-Related	Customer-Related	Total
Э. В	Rate Base	s	870,969,477	\$ 507,857,920	\$ 18,062,787	\$ 58,122,846	S 120,557,61	4	163,763,127	S 2,605,183	\$ 870,969,47
[2]	Rate Base Adjustments		144,530	84,275	2,997	9,645	20,01	35	27,175	432	S 144,53
E)	Rate Base as Adjusted	\$	871,114,007	\$ 507,942,194	\$ 18,065,785	\$ 58,132,491	\$ 120,577,6	19 S	163,790,302	\$ 2,605,615	S 871,114,00
(4) F	Rate of Return		5.86%	5.86%	5.86%	5.86%	5.8(%	5.86%	5.86%	
(2) F	Return	63	51,032,393	\$ 29,756,731	\$ 1,058,346	\$ 3,405,570	\$ 7,063,71	38 S	9,595,312	S 152,645	\$ \$1,032,39
(6)	Interest Expenses	••	22,249,565	\$ 12,973,609	\$ 461,427	S 1,484,791	\$ 3,079,7.	34 S	4,183,451	S 66,551	\$ 22,249,56
Ē	Net Income	\$	28,782,828	\$ 16,783,122	\$ \$96,919	\$ 1,920,779	\$ 3,984,0:	54 S	5,411,861	\$ 86,093	S 28,782,82
(8)	Income Taxes	43	15,474,088	\$ 9,022,863	S 320,913	\$ 1,032,640	5 2,141,81	38 \$	2,909,499	\$ 46,285	\$ 15,474,08
(6)	Operation and Maintenance Expenses	\$	254,634,222	\$ 45,815,866	\$ 168,820,783	S 6,748,185	5, 3, 393, 3	13 S	9,743,783	S 20,112,274	\$ 254,634,22
(10) L	Depreciation Expenses		49,539,430	32,180,564		S 2,303,323	\$ 6,398,51	36 S	8,656,957	· · 5	\$ 49,539,43
(E)	Other Taxes		9,250,895	\$ 5,510,798	S	\$ 642,777	S 1,316,3	57 \$	1,780,963		\$ 9,250,89
(12)	Other Depreciation Expenses		2,654,297	\$ 1,547,707	S 55,047	\$ 177,131	S 367,41	72 S	499,071	s 7,939	S 2,654,29
(13)	Curtailable Service Credit		1,148,660	s i,148,660	، ۲		s	\$	•		S I,148,66
(14) E	Expense Adjustments - Prod. Demand		(5,819,952)	\$ (5,819,952)		S	•	\$	•	s .	S (5,819,95
(15) E	Expense Adjustments - Energy		(8,082,786)		\$ (8,082,786)		• •	ŝ	•	, ,	s (8,082,78
(16) E	Expense Adjustments - Trans. Demand		(238,477)			\$ (238,477)		s			s (238,47
(17) E	Expense Adjustments - Distribution		24,965,730	• •	•	•	S 10,585,91	53 53	14,379,767		S 24,965,73
(18) I	Expense Adjustments - Other		(2,647,822)	\$ (1,543,931)	\$ (54,912)	\$ (176,698)	1 \$ (366,51	05) \$	(497,854)	S (7,920)	s (2,647,82
(19) E	Expense Adjustments - Total	~	8,176,694	\$ (7,363,883)	\$ (8,137,698)	\$ (415,175)	\$ 10,219,4	58 S	13,881,913	\$ (7,920)	\$ 8,176,65
(20) 1	Total Cost of Service	\$	391,910,678	117,619,305	5 162,117,390	\$ 13,894,450	\$ 30,900,8	11 S	47,067,499	\$ 20,311,222	\$ 391,910,67
(24) 1	Less: Mise Revenue - Energy	69	(3.667.120)		\$ (3.667.120)	، د	, s	\$	•		S (3,667,12
(22) [23]	Less: Misc Revenue - Other		(70,426,642)	S (67,174,408)	s (161,781)	\$ (520,581)	(1.079.7) S	33) S	(1,466,756)	\$ (23,334)	S (70,426,64
(23) 1	Less: Misc Revenue - Total		(74,093,762)	\$ (67,174,408)	\$ (3,828,901)	\$ (520,581)	7,079,1) S (83) \$	(1,466,756)	\$ (23,334)	\$ (74,093,76
(24)	Net Cost of Service	s	317,816,916	\$ 50,444,897	\$ 158,288,490	S 13,373,869	\$ 29,821,0.	28 \$	45,600,743	\$ 20,287,889	\$ 317,816,91
(25) E	Billing Units			4,099,843,486	4,099,843,486	4,099,843,486	4,099,843,4	86	4,170,876	4,170,876	
(26) [Unit Costs		•1	0.01230	\$ 0.03861	\$ 0.00326	\$ 0.007;	27 S	10.93	S 4.86	<u>s 15.8</u>

Seelye Exhibit 2 Page 1 of 1

Seelye Exhibit 3

Time of Day Loads

.



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 1 of 15



elye Exhibit 3 Page 2 of 15



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 3 of 15

.



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 4 of 15





Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 6 of 15



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 7 of 15

I



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 8 of 15


Page 9 of 15





Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 11 of 15



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 12 of 15



Page 13 of 15 Seelye Exhibit 3



Seelye Exhibit 3 Page 14 of 15



Seelye Exhibit 4

Cost Support for New Lighting Rates

Louisville Gas and Electric Com Cost Support for HPS Contempora	ı pany ary Fixture Only Char	ges		HPS CO	NTEMPORARY F	(loo)
				170 Watt 16,000 Lumen Directional HPS fixture only	287 Watt 28,500 Lumen Directional HPS fixture only	463 Watt 50,000 Lumen Directional HPS fixture only
Estimated Investment per Unit				\$785.01	\$785.60	\$787.43
Fixed Charges @ *	17.52%			\$137.53	\$137.63	\$137.95
Energy per kwh ** P(OL = \$	0.04882	SYSTEM	\$33.20	\$56.05	\$90.41
Operation and Maintenance				\$12.35	\$14.10	\$14.10
Monthly Rate:				\$15.26	\$17.31	\$20.21

Seelye Exhibit 4 Page 1 of 1

Seelye Exhibit 5

Reconstruction of Electric Billing Determinants

	Revenue As Billed	FAC Billings	DSM Billings	STOD Billings	ECR Billings	Merger Surcredit Billings	CSR Billings	IB Billings I	VDT Billings	Actual Net Revenue @ Base Rates	Calculated Net Revenue @ Base Rates	Calculated divided by Actual
Residental Rate Residental Service 5 Residental Water Heating Residential Responsive Pricing Total Residential Service	308,219,040 858,863 102,814 309,180,717	\$ 11,446,903 39,316 4,179 11,490,398	; 9,135,486 \$ 27,954 3,253 9,166,692	μ 	3,332,445 \$ 9,091 1,106 3,342,642	(1,009,595) (3,058) (3,058) (1,012,997)		<u>م</u> ۱۱،	ς 	285,313,802 5 785,560 94,619 286,193,981	284,842,125 785,331 94,434 285,721,889	0.998347 0.999708 0.998040 0.998350
General Service General Service Single Phase General Service Space Heating General Service Water Heating General Service The Phase General Service Three Phase Primary (moved to rate IPP with P.S.C. 7 Total General Service	49,367,022 2,220,473 17,635 17,635 10,561 61,561,265 101,166 101,166	1,377,825 89,891 730 2,678,914 4,093 4,151,478	405,094 27,920 186 679,489 2,245 1,114,940		572,587 22,063 194 12 643,665 730 1,239,251	(105,780) (9,971) (47) (47) 0 (1,484) (1,484) (327,279)			· · · · 6 · 6	47,117,296 2,090,570 16,572 1,017 57,769,196 95,582 107,090,231	47,076,529 2,090,921 16,583 16,583 16,583 57,727,893 91,605 107,004,550	0.999135 1.000168 1.000713 1.000718 0.999285 0.993395
Large Commercial Raie Secondary Primary	127,925,261 9,731,497	5,812,474 509,549	1,286,021 110,998	65,261 5,687	1,412,014 108,592	(374,684) (27,600)		÷ 1		119,724,175 9,024,272	119,729,089 9,023,424	1.000041 0.999906
Large Commercial Time of Day Rate Secondary Primary	22,095,455 18,367,218	1,113,522 1,050,944	262,652 228,895	3,305 2,498	243,405 202,859	(65,543) (43,500)	• •		• •	20,538,114 16,925,523	20,523,742 16,989,532	0.999300 1.003782
Industrial Power Rate Secondary Primary	31,677,176 6,231,516	1,484,952 333,787	- 772		347,861 67,224	(90,022) (19,135)				29,934,385 5,849,363	29,899,861 5,878,328	0.998847 1.004952
Industrial Power Time of Day Rate Secondary Primary Noninterruptible Transmission Noninterruptible (moved to rate RTS with P.S.C. 7) Transmission Interruptible (moved to rate RTS with P.S.C. 7)	2,514,177 66,666,081 9,673,393 3,574,628 1,885,552	119,198 3,883,304 729,310 171,265 107,202			27,981 740,980 112,240 23,761 13,537	(6,586) (173,502) (28,796) (50,620) (28,453)	- - (1,765,763) (184,958)	- - - - - - - -		2,373,584 62,215,299 10,515,553 3,430,222 1,978,225	2,375,054 62,346,269 10,515,553 3,424,806 1,978,225	1.000619 1.002105 1.000000 0.998421 1.000000
Retail Transmission Service Transmission Noninterruptible (moved to rate RTS with P.S.C. 7) Transmission Interruptible (moved to rate RTS with P.S.C. 7)	9,476,139 4,904,561	672,487 307,883	•••		125,408 67,090	9,497 9,076	- (716,732)	4,788		8,668,748 5,232,456	8,669,671 5,232,456	1.00000
Special Contracts Fort Knox Louusville Water Company DuPont (moved to rate ITOD-P)	10,478,887 2,603,901 1,263,109	657,479 180,407 78,703			116,364 27,425 8,860	(27.098) (9,175) (14,059)				9,732,141 2,405,243 1,189,605	9,729,138 2,402,969 1,189,849	0.999691 0.999055 1.000204
Street Lighung Energy Rate Traffic Lighung Rate Restincted Lighung Service Lighung Service	178,739 244,878 13,303,082 1,421,007	10,450 10,540 305,984 21,973			1,850 2,611 142,217 15,454	(954) (1,122) (38,261) (3,592)				167,393 232,849 12,893,142 1,387,173	166,626 230,451 12,897,874 1,387,921	0.995418 0.989702 1.000367 1.000540
Total	766.665.592	\$ 33.203.288	5 12 170 476 5	76.751 5	8.389.626 \$	(2.324,406)	\$ (2.667,453)	S 115,637 S	(3) \$	717,701,676	717,317,276	0.999464

Seelye Exhibit 5 Page 1 of 1

fotal

Seelye Exhibit 6

Summary of Electric Revenue Increase

DMPANY	. 2009
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC C	Summary of Proposed Increase Based on Sales for the 12 months ended October 31

Summary of Proposed Increase Based on Sales for the 12 months ended October 31, 7	2009							Adjustment	Adjustment		Adjustment		:				
	Revenue Adjusted to as Billed Basts	To Remove Buy-Through Power Charged	Adjustment to Remove ECR F Billings	Adjustment to Renove STOD Program Cost Recovery	Adjustment to Remove DSM Billings	Adjustment to Remove Merger Surcredit Billings	Adjustment to Remove Value Deliven Surcredit	to Reflect a Full Year of Base Rate Changes for P.S.C. 7	to Reflect a Full Year of Base Rate Changes for FAC Rollin	Adjustment to Reflect FAC Billings for Full Year of the Rollin	to Reflect Full Year of Base Rate Changes for ECR Rollin	Adjustment to Reflect ECR Billings for Full Year of the Rollin	Adjustment Reflecting Year-End Number of Customers	Adjustment Reflecting Temperature Normalization	Adjusted Billings at Current Rates	Increase	² errentage Increase
Residential Rate	\$ 309,180,717	s	(3,342,642)		; (9,166,692) \$	1,012,997		(1,172,720)	\$ 9,001,764	\$ (086,810,6)	106'167'2	1,013,224	(1,624,995)	5 4,284,606	\$ 302,462,182	\$ 36,859,770	12.19%
General Service	113,167,453		(1,238,521)		(1,112,695)	325,795	m	801,474	3,216,968	(3,230,702)	2,469,204	444,067	(1,317,520)	475,872	114,001,397	13,879,697	12.18%
Power Service	175,666,617		(1,936,420)	(76,751)	(1,399,542)	512,926	•	(834,920)	6,262,654	(6,334,524)	1,216,642	266'104	2,003,635	283,244	176,065,555	21,442,743	12.18%
Commercial Time of Day Service Commercial Time-of-Day Service Secondary CTODS Commercial Time-of-Day Service Primary CTODS Total Commercial TOD Service	22,095,455 18,367,218 \$ 40,462,674	ű	(243,405) (202,859) (446,264)		(262,652) (228,895) \$ (491,547)	65,543 43,500 109,043	· · · · ·	(132,729) (103,302) \$ (236,030)	859,598 761,804 \$ 1,621,403	(872,160) (775,638) \$ (1,647,798)	125,043 108,667 5 233,711	85,685 76,528 162,213	3,109,296 2,848,181 5,957,477	40,404 27,262 S 67,666	24,870,078 20,922,468 \$ 45,792,547	\$ 5,576,623	12.18%
Industrial Power Time of Day Service Industrial Time-of-Day Service Secondary ITODS Industrial Time-of-Day Service Secondary ITODP Total Industrial TOD Service	2,514,177 79,368,346 \$ 81,882,523	(110,849) \$ (110,849)	(27,981) (862,079) (890,060)	· · ~	· · ·	6,586 216,357 \$ 222,943	· · · • · ·	(10,706) (722,527) S (643,233)	90,116 3,480,284 \$ 3,570,400	(94,729) (3,680,287) \$ (3,775,015)	12,393 384,132 5 396,526	11.275 290,751 5 302,025	736,101 5,305,802 5 6,041,903	· · ·	3,237,232 83,759,929 \$ 86,997,161	\$ 10,596,615	12.18%
Retail Transmission Service	20,742,571		(797)			60,501	•	(411,843)	961,929	(1,104,581)	128,736	69,923	•	ı	20,212,652	2,464,135	12.19%
Special Contracts	13,082,788		(143,789)		,	36,272		(46,458)	648,844	(698,026)	85,622	41,419		39,835	13,046,506	1,590,095	12.19%
Cutaliable Servce Rider - Pri Cutaliable Servce Rider - Tran Total Cutaliable Service	(1,765,763) (901,690) \$ (2,667,453)														(1,765,763) (901,690) \$ (2,667,453)		
Street Lighting Energy Rate Traffic Lighting Rate Restricted Lighting Service Lighting Service	178,739 244,878 13,303,082 1,421,007 \$ 15,147,704		(1,850) (1,850) (1,2,11) (1,2,454) (1,5,454) (1,5,454)		· · · · ·	954 1,122 38,261 3,592 3 ,592 5 ,5130	····	(1.288) (1.061) (15,020) - - 5 (17,368)	9,626 8,986 5 18,612	(8,500) (7,678) (17,523) \$ (137,701)	(436) (436) 5 (886)	675 976 5.878 5.878 5.878	(4,519) 3,455 441,193 (284,131) 5 155,999	۳	173,386 247,632 13,613,655 11,125,014 5 15,159,687	S 1,847,743	12.19%
Total (w/o CSR Credits)	\$ 766,665,592	S (110,849)	5 (8,389,626)	\$ (76,751)	\$ (12,170,476)	\$ 2.324,406	5 3	S (2,561,098)	\$ 25,302,574	\$ (25,843,327)	5 6,824,458	\$ 2,742,394	\$ 11,216,500	\$ 5,151,223	\$ 771,070,235	\$ 94,257,422	12.22%
Total Forfeited Discounts Electure Service Revenues Rent from Electric Property Oth Miss Elect Rev	5,040,755 963,922 2,613,870 1,537,870														5,040,755 963,922 2,613,870 1,537,870	313,898 882	
Total	\$ 776,822.010	S (110,849)	S (8,389,626)	S (76,751)	\$ (12,170,476)	s 2.324.406	\$ 3	S (2,561,098)	\$ 25,302,574	\$ (25,843,327)	\$ 6.824.458	s 2,742.394	\$ 11,216,500	\$ 5,151,223	5 781,226.653	\$ 94,572,202	12.11%

Seelye Exhibit 7

Electric Revenue Increase by Rate Schedule

(1)	(2)	(5)		(4)	5)	_		(6)		E
1	Bills	Total KWH	و بر	esent tates	Calcul Reveni Present	lated ue at Rates	Propo Rates	sed	0 2 0	alculated svenue at oosed Rates
RESIDENTIAL RATE RS Customer Charges	4,131,523		S	5,00	\$ 20,6	57,615	Ś	15.00	•7	61,972,845
All Energy Minimum Energy		4,096,604,929	\$	0.067140	275,0 295,7	46,055 27,453 31,123	*7	0.06610		270,785,586 30,893 332,789,324
RATE RRP - RESIDENTIAL RESPONSIVE PRICING Customer Charges	1,150		s	10.00	s	11,500	\$	20.00	ŝ	23,000
All Energy		820,070 433,022		0.046280 0.058590		37,953 25,371	~ ~	0.04556 0.05768		37,365 24,978
		177,903 6,151	6 7 69	0.112780 0.307430		20,064 1,891	~ ~	0.11103 0.30267		19,753 1,862
Minimum Energy		1,437,146				1,236 98,014				1,366 108,323
Total After	Total Calculated C Application of Co	l at Base Rates orrection Factor rrection Factor			\$ 295,8 0.998 \$ 296,3	29,137 3350450 117,929			s s	332,897,647 0.998350450 333,447,686
Fuel Clause Billings - proforma for rollin ECR Billings - proforma for rollin Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cus Adjustment to Reflect Temperature	ollin stomers Normalization				\$ 2,4 1,0 4,2 4,2	171,419 113,224 224,995) 84,606				2,471,419 1,013,224 (1,828,613) 4,218,237
Totał					\$ 302,4	62,183			s	339,321,953
Proposed Increase	Percentage Incres	350								36,859,770 12.19%

(E)	(2)	(c)	(4)		(2)		(6)		E
		Total	Present	ů ů ů	iculated venue at	Prop	sed	Rev	culated enue at
	RIIIS	HWA	Hales	LIGS	ent rates	Lale			and rates
GENERAL SERVICE RATE GS Single Phase						•	:		
Customer Charges	353,877	••	10.0	%	3,538,770	Ø	20.00	\$	7,077,540
Ali Energy Minimum Energy		631,688,944 \$	0.07579	90	17,875,705 186,138	s	0.08117	5	1,274,192 211,253
Three Phase			i	u) 9	61,600,613 0 000 000	•	1	ະກ •	8,562,985
Customer Charges	139,825	^	101	n 2	065'160'Z	9	00.65	9	01 6'060'4
All Energy		787,385,925 \$	0.0757	8	59,675,979	Ś	0.08117	φ	3,912,116 00,100
Minimum Energy				ľ	18,132 51,791,501		•	e	20,190 8,826,221
RATE GRP - GENERAL SERVICE RESPONSIVE PRICIN Customer Charges	1G 22		20,	\$	440	ŝ	30.00	••	660
All Energy		3,588 \$	0.0531	g	191	ŝ	0.05696		204
		3,307 \$	0.0680	8	225	s	0.07291		241
		1,484 \$	0.1424	20	211	s	0.15258		226
		86	0.3086	0	30	ŝ	0.33052		32
		8,477							ļ
Minimum Energy					(54)		•		(67)
					1,043				1,297
	Total Calculate	d at Base Rates		\$	13,393,157			\$ 12	7,390,503
	U	Correction Factor		Ö	999199909			0	999199909
Total After A	Application of Co	orrection Factor		s t	13,483,955			\$ 12	7,492,508
Fuei Ciause Billings - proforma for ro	tin			ŝ	915,024				915,024
ECR Billings - proforma for rollin					444,067				444,067
Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cust Adjustment to Reflect Temperature N	tomers Vormalization				(1,317,520) 475,872				(1,480,156) 509,652
Total				\$ 1	14,001,397			s 12	7,881,095
Proposed Increase	Percentage incre	ase						·	3,879,697 12.18%

•

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
94.33,772 9.433,772 91.0 5 90.00 5 47.340 5 90.00 91.1 91.4 5 90.00 5 47.340 5 90.00 91.1 91.4 5 11.4 5 11.4 5 90.00 91.3 91.3 91.4 5 90.00 5 47.340 5 90.00 91.3 91.3 5 10.455.845 5 00.002 5 90.00 91.3 110.455.845 5 00.003 5 2.075.956 5 00.003 91.3 10.455.845 5 0.02566 5 2.075.956 5 0.033230 91.3 1.962.425.059 5 0.1456.022 5 0.033230 5 1.1.48 1.738.193 3.2234 1.962.425.059 5 0.033230 5 1.1.48 1.738.193 3.147.04 5 3.0332.02 5 0.033230 5 1.1.48
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
pes 32.244 5 65.00 5 2.095.860 5 90.00 5 2 3 1.738, 13 2 2.45,059 5 0.023560 5 80.09.285 5 0.033230 6 5 1.738, 13 3 2.06,833 5 1.4.99 5 5.6,055,13 5 1.3.32 3 1 3.3.2 2 3 2.45,068 3 5 1.1.93 5 38,258,233 5 1 3.3.2 3 1 3.3 2 2.45,069 2 2.45,059 5 1.1.93 5 38,258,233 5 1 3.3.2 3 1 3.3 2 2.200,018 1,962,425,059 1 1 3.009,216 5 0.033230 16 47,704 3 5 12.51 5 11,042,690 5 13.118,97 13 1 3.2 13.2 13 1 1 1 1 3.0 2 13 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 3 1
dary 105,544 dary 124,524,435 ges 3,902 3,902 5 90,00 5 498,246,495 5 90,00 5 498,246,495 5 90,00 5 91,10 13,009,216 91,10 5 91,251 5 91,26,495 5 91,10 5 91,14 5 11,251 11,042,690 91,146 5 711,257 12,51 11,1597 31,118,907 711,257 31,118,907 711,257 131,118,907 70tal Calculated at Base Rates 5 10tal Calculated at Base Rates 5 70tal Calculated at Base Rates 7 70tal Calculated at
498,246,495 5 0026110 13,009,216 5 0.033230 447,704 5 15,10 5 6,760,330 5 - 882,709 5 15,11 5 6,760,330 5 - 882,709 5 11,042,690 5 15,57 555,133 5 - 559,146 5 771,261 5 11,042,690 5 1,332 498,246,495 498,246,495 71,1690 5 13,32 493,346,495 5 13,32 498,246,495 498,246,495 71,1690 5 11,18,907 5 13,32 Adrit Calculated at Base Rates 5 171,264,691 5 5 5 5 After Application of Correction Factor 5 171,264,691 5
Total Calculated at Base Rates 5 171,263,136 5 Correction Factor 0.999990200 5 7 5 After Application of Correction Factor 5 171,264,691 5 5 After Application of Correction Factor 5 1,811,990 5 1,811,990 5 In 701,995 701,995 203,635 5 5 1,811,990 5 1,01,995 5 1,01,995 5 5 1,76,055,535 5
r for rollin 5 1,811,990 In 701,995 A Customers 2,003,635 ature Normalization 5 176,065,555 5 1

Seelye Exhibit 7 Page 3 of 15

(1)	(2)	(2)	(4)		(2)			(9)		6
	Bills / kW	Totai KWH	Present Rates		Calculate Revenue Present R	ad al ales	Propo Rates	sed	0 8 9	calculated evenue at posed Rates
COMMERCIAL TIME OF DAY PRIMARY RATE CTOD Customer Charges	218		о	8.0	10	,620	Ś	200.00	**	43,600
All Energy Demand Base Demand Summer Demand Winter	685,951 240,141 432,250	340,177,714 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.025	600 2.64 0.50	10,069 1,810 2,521 3,328	.260 .910 .325	s	0.033440		11,375,543
Demand Base Demand Intermediate Demand Peak	692,810 672,391 664,483	340,177,714					~ ~ ~ ~	2.99 4.20 5.70		2,071,502 2,824,042 3,787,553
MINIMUM ENGRY COMMERCIAL TIME OF DAY SECOMDARY RATE CTO	9			I	17.756	702				5,091 20,107,331
Customer Charges	868	S TCO PCF 870	6 50 0	0.00	78	,120 751	6 7 6	200.00	•7	173,600
An united Base Demand Base Demand Summer Demand Winter	785,990 283,242 493,809		20.0	3.65	2,868 3,197 5 3,197	,862 ,862 ,049	0	0+++000		664'400'71
Demand Base Demand Intermediate Demand Peak	793,850 777,051 767,912	378,424,027					•••	4.14 4.28 5.81		3,286,537 3,328,887 4,464,641
Minmum Energy				I	21,383	611				(29,675) 23,878,490
Total Atter	Total Calculated C Application of Co	l at Base Rates orrection Factor rrection Factor			39,140 1.00132 39,088	,313 4937 ,523			v) v)	43,985,821 1.001324937 43,927,620
Fuel Clause Billings - proforma for ro ECR Billings - proforma for rollin Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cus Adjustment to Reflect Temperature J	ollin itomers Normalization			•,	516 162 5,957 67	,668 ,213 ,477 ,666				516,668 162,213 6,695,004 67,666
Total Proposed Increase	Percentage Increa	se		{•••	45,792	546			s	51,369,170 5,576,623 12.18%

(1)	Calculated	Revenue at	Proposed Rates
(9)		Proposed	Rales
(5)	Calculated	Revenue at	Present Rates
(4)		Present	Rates
(2)		Total	кwн
(2)			Bills / kW
(1)			

INDUSTRIAL TIME OF DAY PRIMARY RATE ITODP

150,900	46,102,995				14,353,972	11,683,204	16,609,743		(360,627)	(1,714,212)	86,825,976		\$ 48,300	1,238,741				584,763	403,612	548,439		•	(25,134)	100 001 0
300.00	0.029360				4.12	3.42	4.92						300.00	0.029360				5.48	4.00	5.50				ł
ŝ	Ś				ŝ	ŝ	ŝ						ŝ	ŝ				\$	s	•>				
60,360	41,078,145	12,782,874	11,585,147	13,631,741					(321,025)	(1,525,968)	77,291,276		19,320	1,103,728	518,751	366,594	480,618						(22,154)	030 037 0
ŝ		\$	47)	\$									\$		\$	**	ŝ							1
120.00	0.026160	3.85	9.35	6.76									120.00	0.026160	4.91	10.05	7.46							
÷	ŝ	••	ŝ	\$									ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	••	ŝ							
	1,570,265,493							1,570,265,493						42,191,442							42,191,442			
503		3,320,227	1,239,053	2,016,530	3,483,974	3,416,142	3,375,964		terruptible	-			161		105,652	36,477	64,426	106,709	100,903	99,716		terruptible		
Customer Charges	All Energy	Demand Base	Demand Summer	Demand Winter	Demand Base (kVA)	Demand Intermediate (kVa)	Demand Peak (kVa)		Power Factor Correction Revenue-In	Minimum Energy	5	INDUSTRIAL TIME OF DAY SECOMDARY RATE ITODS	Customer Charges	All Energy	Demand Base	Demand Summer	Demand Winter	Demand Base	Demand Intermediate	Demand Peak		Power Factor Correction Revenue-in	Minimum Energy	

.

\$ 89,624,697
 1.001763418
 \$ 89,466,929

\$ 79,758,133
1.001763418
\$ 79,617,734

Total Calculated at Base Rates Correction Factor Total After Application of Correction Factor

1,035,499 302,025 6,789,323

\$ 1,035,499 302,025 6,041,903

Fuel Clause Billings - proforma for rollin ECR Billings - proforma for rollin Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Customers Adjustment to Reflect Temperature Normalization

10,596,615 12.18%

\$ 97,593,776

\$ 86,997,161

Percentage increase

Proposed increase

Total

(1)	Calculated Revenue at Proposed Rates
(9)	Proposed Rates
(2)	Calculated Revenue at Present Rates
(4)	Present Rates
(2)	Total KWH
(2)	Bills / KW
(1)	

RETAIL TRANSMISSION SERVICE Rate RTS

5,720 \$ 500.00 \$ 28,000	1,100 \$ 0.029360 13,166,097 5,438 0,771 9,370 \$ 2,61 2,433,297	s 3.05 2.793.867 s 4.55 4.118,881 <u>6.599)</u> <u>5.269)</u> 3.05 (86.042) - - 2.2,454,100	9,801 \$ 22,454,100 66440 1,000066440 \$ 22,452,608 8,473 \$ 22,452,608	4,256 154,256 69,223 69,23 154,256	12,652 \$ 22,676,787
9	11,731 2,178 2,700 3,445	(76 19,980	19,98	0 12	\$ 20,21
120.00 \$	0.026160 2.36 \$ 8.15 \$ 5.90 \$	I			1
*>		0			
	448,436,56(448,436,56	ted at Base Rate Correction Facto Correction Facto		
56	923,067 331,383 584,639	95,249 916,022 905,249 nterruptible	Total Calculat Application of (rollin istomers • Normalization	
Customer Charges	Al Energy Demand Base Demand Summer Demand Vinter	Dermand Base Dermand Intermediate Dermand Peak Power Factor Correction Revenue-I Minimum Energy	Total After	Fuel Clause Billings - proforma for i ECR Billings - proforma for rollin Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cu Adjustment to Reflect Temperature	Total

(2)	Calculated Revenue at Proposed Rates
(9)	Proposed Rates
(5)	Calculated Revenue at Present Rates
(4)	Present Rates
(2)	Total KWH
(2)	Bills / kW
(1)	

Bills / kW

SPECIAL CONTRACT

, ,	6,523,757 2,753,530 2,709,585	(364,647) (33,601) (11,703,007)	<pre>\$ 11,538,625 0.9999691406 \$ 11,542,187</pre>	115,664 33,944 - -	\$ 11,736,574 \$ 11,736,574 1,275,127 12,19%
	0.029440 14.04 11.85				
*	୩୩ ୩				
	5,803,573 2,477,001 2,387,179	(324,519) (74,401)	10,268,833 <u>0.999691406</u> 10,272,003	115,664 33,944 - 39,835	10,461,446
\$	69 69	·	w w	~	5
•	0.026190 12.63 10.44				
v	~ ~~~				
	221,595,000	221,595,000	d at Base Rates Correction Factor Intrection Factor		ç
12	196,120 228,657		Total Calculated C	llin tomers vormalization	Percentane Incre:
Customer Charges	ergy nd Summer nd Winter	Factor Correction um Energy	Total After A	llause Billings - proforma for ro Billings - proforma for rollin Iment to Reflect Year-End Cust ment to Reflect Temperature N	ised increase
	A Ena	owe		uel 0 dius djus	otal ropo

ß	Caiculated	Revenue at	Proposed Rates
(9)		Proposed	Rates
(2)	Calculated	Revenue at	Present Rates
(4)		Present	Rates
(2)		Total	KWH
(2)			Bills / kW
(1)			

SPECIAL CONTRACT

		رسارد	ی ہوا ہ		m *
	1,710,462 1,155,166	2,865,645	2,865,645 0.99905463 2,868,357	24,197	2,900,028 314,968 12.18°
\$			v v		~
ł	0.029410 10.02				
•7	ss su				
	1,522,608 1,028,351	- 16 2,550,975	2,550,975 <u>3.999054636</u> 2,553,389	24,197 7,475 -	2,585,060
\$	**		ທີ່ ຫ	**	S
٠	0.026180 8.92				
ŝ	" "				
	58,159,200 58,159,200		ad at Base Rates Correction Factor correction Factor		a S G
24	115,286	interruptible	Total Calculate	rollin Istomers • Normalization	Percentage Incn
Customer Charges	l Energy emand	ower Factor Corraction Revenue-I inimum Energy	Total Affer	uei Clause Billings - proforma for i CR Billings - proforma for rollin djustment to Reflect Year-End Cu Jjustment to Reflect Temperature	olai roposed increase
	δĪ	άž		щщққ	μμ

٠

(1)	(2)	(2)		(4)		(2)		(9)		E
	Bills / kW	Total KWH		Present Rates	0 œ Ĕ	alculated evenue at ssent Rates	Pro Rat	posed es	0 8 6	alculated svenue at oosed Rates
LIGHTING ENERGY SERVICE RATE LE										
Customer Charges	1,329		ŝ		ŝ		ŝ	•	\$	•
All Energy		4,090,864 4,090,864	Ś	0.048710		199,266	~	0.054650		223,566
Power Factor Correction Revenue-In Minimum Energy	ıterruptible					- (24,752)				- (27,771)
3						174,514				195,795
	Total Calculated	at Base Rates			\$	174,514			ŝ	195,795
Total After /	Cor Application of Corr	rrection Factor rection Factor			5	0.995418047 175,317			5	0.995418047 196,696
TRAFFIC ENERGY SERVICE RATE TE										
Customer Charges	10,476		ŝ	2.80	ŝ	29,333	\$	3,14	ŝ	32,895
Ail Energy		3,960,610 3,960,610	**	0.059030		233,795	Ś	0.066230		262,311
Power Factor Correction Revenue-In Minmum Energy	iterruptible					- (25,187) 237,941				- (28,257) 266,948
Total After .	Total Calculated J Col Application of Corr	at Base Rates rrection Factor rection Factor			ง่ง	237,941 <u>3.989702358</u> 240,416			v v	266,948 0.989702358 269,726

(L)	Calculated	Revenue at	Proposed Rates
(9)		Proposed	Rates
(2)	Calculated	Revenue at	Present Rates
(4)		Present	Rates
(£)			
(2)			Units
(1)			

RESTRICTED LIGHTING SERVICE RATE RLS

OVERHEAD SERVICE:									
Mercury Vapor			1		900 0		7 + 7		3 886
100W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	542	s	71.17	2	3,880	0		, ,	
	35.180	s	8.25	s	290,235	••	8.25	2	C62,082
	57 703	\$	9.57	s	552,218	~	9.57	s	552,218
	221,12 77F AA	. •1	11.64	ŝ	982,148	s	11.64	s	982,148
400W MERCURY OULDOOK LIGHT	575		16.15	\$7	9,238	*>	16.15	•7	9,238
400W MERCURY OU LOOK LIGHT Metal Pole	315	• •	22 12		. •	ŝ	22.12	s	
1000W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT		•					22 12		1 991
1000W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	06	s	22.12	0	166'E	•	71.77	•	
High Pressure Sodium		,			OCT 1		0 87		5003
100W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	206	\$	8.44	0	1,133	•		, ,	200,000
4 FOLVING SODIFIEM OF ITDOOR LIGHT	24,727	\$	10.05	5	248,506	\$	0/.11	•	202,502
	140	ŝ	12.10	s	1.694	\$	14.08	\$	1,971
	29 048	\$	12.02	\$	349,157	\$	13.99	\$	406,382
	A6 377		12.92	\$	599,191	s	15.04	\$	697,510
400M HP SODIUM OULDOOK LIGHT			12 02		80.595	•7	15.04	s	93,820
400W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	6,238	•	76.71	,		•			
UNDERGROUND SERVICE:									
Mercury Vapor				,		•	:	•	
TOP MOUNT	1.164	ŝ	11.17	5	13,002	0	11.11	•	700'01
	12,443	\$	12.15	•7	151,182	ŝ	12.15	\$	151,182
			16.18	5	20.371	s	16.18	\$	20,371
175W UG MERCURY LIGHT METAL PULE		••	17 54		217 935	•1	17.54	\$	217,935
250W UG MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	C74'71		5	, ,		•	20.85		170 331
400W UG MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	8,601	\$	20.85	~	100'6/1		20.02	• •	200,011
400W UG MERCURY LIGHT METAL POLE	4,576	s	20.95	ŝ	95,867	0	20.95	0	100'06
High Pressure Sodium						•			376 430
100W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	22,886	\$	12.22		100'617	•		• •	2000
150W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	2,376	\$	20.61	ŝ	48,969	ø	FF.07	•	
	6.589	s	22.01	ŝ	145,024	\$	25.62	\$	168,810
	2.412	\$	22.01	ŝ	53,088	ŝ	25.62	s	61,795
	7.536	\$	23.95	••	180,487	s	27.88	ŝ	210,104
	2.219	\$	23.95	\$	53,145	s	27.88	\$	61,866
rior to Jan. 1, 1991	369,686			S 4,5	58,665.52			\$ 4.8	93,428.55

(1)	(2)	(2)	(4)			(5)	-	(9)		6
			ć	1	Calc	ulated	ć	1	Ü	culated
	Units		Rate	ant Sc	Prese	nue at nt Rates	Rates	sea	Propo	enue at sed Rates
OVERHEAD SERVICE:										
Mercury Vapor						ŝ			,	2
1/5W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT			<i>n</i> •	10.04	~ .	0. 10	<i>"</i> "	40.0L	n .	3
	141		• •	11.40	~ •	764.0	"	04-11 20 5 1	n v	0,494
	20			13.05	, v	400 600	• •	13.05	, v	5, 12U
	6 5		, .	75.83		2 351	• •	25,83	, v	2351
High Pressure Sodium			,	20.04	,	10017	,	20.04	, vi	· · · ·
100W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4 198		~	8.44	5	35 431	e i	9.82		41 224
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	6.571			10.05		66,039	• •7	11.70		76,881
	114			10.05	, vi	1 146	•	11 70	• •/i	1 334
250W HP SODILIM OLITIOOR LIGHT	873		• •1	12 02) vi	10 493	, •1	13 99	• •	12 213
400W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	5.778		, vi	12.92	• ••	74,652	• •	15.04	• ••	86.901
400W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	15.881			12.92		205,183	~	15.04		238,850
1000W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	21		5	29.05	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	610	~ ~	33,81	~	710
UNDERGROUND SERVICE:										
Mercury Vapor										
100W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT			ŝ	13.86	s		ŝ	13.86	•1	•
175W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	429		\$	14.68	*7	6,298	ŝ	14.68	\$	6,298
175W UG MERCURY LIGHT METAL POLE	•		•7	23.12	\$	•	ŝ	23.12	••	•
250W UG MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	436		s	24.05	\$	10,486	ŝ	24.05	ŝ	10,486
400W UG MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	•		\$	27.09	•>	•	ŝ	27.09	\$	•
400W UG MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	•		•••	27.09	•>		ŝ	27.09	ŝ	,
High Pressure Sodium										
70W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	2,274		ŝ	11.72	ŝ	26,651	Ś	13.64	ŝ	31,017
100W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	59,437		ŝ	12.22	*7	726,320	ŝ	14.22	••	845,194
150W UG HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	3,925		\$	17.75	ŝ	69,669	ŝ	20.66	•7	81,091
150W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	866		\$	20.61	ŝ	20,569	ŝ	23.99	\$	23,942
250W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	733		••	22.01	v >	16,133	ŝ	25.62	ŝ	18,779
250W HP SODIUM LIGHTMETAL POLE	•		ŝ	22.01	ŝ	•	w	25.62	\$	
400W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	3,049		\$	23,95	ŝ	73,024	s	27.88	ŝ	85,006
400W HP SODIUM LIGHTMETAL POLE	б		s	23.95	\$	216	ŝ	27.88	ŝ	251
1000W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	19		•7	55.30	ŝ	1,051	•7	64.37	\$	1,223
DECORATIVE LIGHTING FIXTURES:										
Acorn w/ Decorative Baskets										
70W HP SODIUM ACORN/DECO BASKET	123		ŝ	15.79	•••	1,942	•)	18.38	ŝ	2,261
100W HP SODIUM ACORN/DECO BASKET	1,421		ş	16.56	\$	23,532	ŝ	19.28	ŝ	27,397
5-Sided Coach										
70W HP SODIUM 8-SIDED COACH	415		v 7	15.98	0	6,632	"	18.60	~	7,719
100W HP SODIUM 8-SIDED COACH	88		N	17.09	19	1,504	0	19.89	\$	1,750
Other Restricted Lighting										
400 W MERCURY VAPOR UP	73		ŝ	16.11	ŝ	1,176	\$	16.11	\$7	1,176
250 W US HP SODIUM STATE OF KY POLE	562		\$	22.05	ŝ	12,390	ŝ	22.05	ŝ	12,390
400 W UG MV STATE OF KY POLE	22		\$	20.95	s	461	ŝ	20.95	ŝ	461
300 W 6000 LUMEN INCANDESCENT	154		ŝ	11.89	ŝ	1,831	ŝ	11.89	\$	1,831
100 W 1500 LUMEN INCANDESCENT	203		\$	8.35	ŝ	1,696	••	8.35	•>	1,696
Total Installed After Dec. 31, 1990	108 842				-	108.784			-	631.734
									Total Contractor	
Total Public Street Linghting Restricted	478,528				\$ 5,96	37,449.97			\$ 6,	525,162.20

(1)	(2)	(6)	(4)			(2)	Ū	(9		E
	- Linite L		Prese		ပ်နိုင်	iculated renue at	Propo	pag	- u.	Calculated tevenue at
	0000		Tale		192	eni kales	Hales		Ĭ	posed Rates
OVERHEAD SERVICE:										
Mercury Vapor										
100W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	546		s	7.89	\$	4,308	ŝ	7,89	ŝ	4.308
175W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	33,873		Ś	8.82	ŝ	298,760	ŝ	8.82	S	298.760
250W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	16,080		s	10.18	ŝ	163,694	*7	10.18	ŝ	163,694
400W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	10,481		\$	12.54	\$	131,432	•9	12.54	ŝ	131,432
400W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	6,545		v	12.54	ŝ	82,074	\$	12.54	ŝ	82.074
1000W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	669		s	23.44	ŝ	15,681	s	23.44	\$	15,681
1000W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	2,941		s	23.44	ŝ	68,937	\$	23.44	*>	68,937
High Pressure Sodium					ŝ					
100W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	2,412		s	8.71	•7	21,009	5	10.14	43	24,458
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	6,147		\$	11.02	\$	67,740	•>	12.83	69	78,866
150W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	1,016		s	11.02	63	11,196	•0	12.83	ŝ	13,035
250W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4,611		s	13.00	\$	59,943	s	15.13	÷	69,764
400W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	9,732		s	14.13	\$	137,513	ŝ	16.45	*7	160,091
400W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	36,118		Ś	14.13	••	510,347	\$	16.45	••	594.141
UNDERGROUND SERVICE:					\$	•				
Mercury Vapor					ŝ					
100W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	323		ŝ	13.13	\$	4,241	*3	13,13	ŝ	4.241
175W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	5,601		s	13.91	\$	77,910	5	13.91	ŝ	77.910
High Pressure Sodium										
70W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	•		s	11.65	\$	•	\$	13.56	~	•
100W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	14,459		\$	15.31	\$	221.367	*1	17.82	-	257 659
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	•		\$	20.63	\$. •	~	24.01		
250W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	276		s	23.72	*7	6,547	ŝ	27.61	ŝ	7.620
400W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	506		5	26.44	~	13 379	v	30.78	¥	15 575
			,		,		,		•	2000
fotal installed Prior to Jan. 1, 1991	152,336			, .	\$	1,896,078			5	2,068,248

ε

(9

(2)

€

6

(2)

ε

				S	culated			ü	liculated
		u	resent	Re	renue at	Propo	sed	Re	venue at
	Units		Rates	Pres	ent Rates	Rates		Prop	osed Rates
RESTRICTED LIGHTING SERVICE RATE RLS									
OVERHEAD SERVICE:									
Mercury Vapor									
175W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	1,138	s	10.22	ş	11,630	Ś	10.22	ŝ	11,630
250W MERCURY	671	s	11.65	ŝ	7,817	ŝ	11.65	ŝ	7,817
400W MERCURY	508	s	14.15	ŝ	7,188	\$	14.15	•>	7,188
400W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	2,055	s	14.15	s	29,078	\$	14.15	ŝ	29,078
1000W MERCURY OUTDOOR LIGHT	196	s	26.08	s	5,112	••	26.08	ŝ	5,112
1000W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	3,934	s	26.21	ŝ	103,110	ŝ	26.21	ŝ	103,110
High Pressure Sodium									
100W HP SODIUM	21,576	s	8.71	s	187,927	\$	10.14	*7	218,781
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	15,387	63	11.02	\$	169,565	ŝ	12.83	ŝ	197,415
150W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	2,675	\$	11.02	67	29,479	*>	12.83	ŝ	34,320
250W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4,556	\$	13.00	s	59,228	\$	15.13	s	68,932
400W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	19,433	Ś	14.13	\$	274,588	ŝ	16.45	ŝ	319,673
400W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	86,568	v	14.13	ŝ	1,223,206	•>	16.45	s	1,424,044
1000W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	151	s	32.96	\$	4,977	Ś	38.37	ŝ	5,794
UNDERGROUND SERVICE:									
Mercury Vapor									
100W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	•	s	13.12	ŝ	۱	S	13.12	ŝ	
175W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	2,534	ŝ	14.88	ŝ	37,706	ŝ	14.88	ŝ	37,706
High Pressure Sodium	t	s	•						
70W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	14,301	s	11.65	\$	166,607	Ś	13.56	\$	193,922
100W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	110,948	w	15.47	ŝ	1,716,366	ŝ	18.01	\$	1,998,173
150W UG HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	10,830	S	18.48	s	200,138	\$	21.51	67	232,953
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4,830	s	20.63	ŝ	99,643	ŝ	24.01	ŝ	115,968
250W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	5,958	s	23.72	\$	141,324	ŝ	27.61	\$	164,500
400W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	17,811	v	26.44	ŝ	470,923	63	30.78	•7	548,223
1000W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	280	S	59.20	\$	16,576	•>	68.91	•7	19,295

1000W MERCURY FLOOD LIGHT	3,934	s	26.21	ŝ	103,110	\$	26.21	0	103,110
High Pressure Sodium									
100W HP SODIUM	21,576	s	8.71	\$	187,927	0	10.14	•	19/ 917
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	15,387	ŝ	11.02	\$	169,565	\$	12.83	S	197,415
150W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	2,675	69	11.02	6 73	29,479	•>	12.83	ŝ	34,320
250W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4,556	~	13.00	s	59,228	\$	15.13	s	68,932
400W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	19,433	s	14.13	\$	274,588	ŝ	16.45	\$7	319,673
400W HP SODIUM FLOOD LIGHT	86,568	v	14.13	ŝ	1,223.206	•7	16.45	s	1,424,044
1000W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	151	v	32.96	ŝ	4,977	ŝ	38.37	\$	5,794
UNDERGROUND SERVICE:									
Mercury Vapor									
100W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT		\$	13.12	ŝ	۱	S	13.12	ŝ	
175W MERCURY LIGHT TOP MOUNT	2,534	s	14.88	ŝ	37,706	Ś	14.88	S	37,706
High Pressure Sodium		s	•						
70W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	14,301	s	11.65	ŝ	166,607	ŝ	13.56	n	193,922
100W HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	110,948	\$	15.47	ŝ	1.716,366	ŝ	18.01	ŝ	1,998,173
150W UG HP SODIUM LIGHT TOP MOUNT	10,830	5	18.48	s	200,138	\$	21.51	67)	232,953
150W HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	4,830	s	20.63	ŝ	99,643	ŝ	24.01	•>	115,968
250W LIG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	5,958	s	23.72	\$	141,324	ŝ	27.61	\$	164,500
400W UG HP SODIUM OUTDOOR LIGHT	17.811	**	26.44	ş	470,923	63	30.78	47	548,223
TROOM LIG HP SODILIM OLTDOOR LIGHT	280	~	59.20	\$	16,576	\$	68.91	*7	19,295
DECORATIVE LIGHTING FIXTURES:	1								
Acorn w/ Decorative Baskets									
70W HP SODIUM ACORN/DECO BASKET	420	ŝ	16.19	\$	6,800	ŝ	18.85	ŝ	719.7
100W HP SODIUM ACORN/DECO BASKET	1,583	\$	17.06	\$	27,006	ŝ	19.86	ŝ	31,438
5-Sided Coach									
70W HP SODIUM 8-SIDED COACH	852	~	16.35	s	13,930	ŝ	19.03	ŝ	16,214
100W HP SODIUM B-SIDED COACH	688	s	17.24	\$	15,326	\$	20.07	\$	17,842
		•			100 000	•		•	000 884
Additional Poles	90.047	0	C/-1	•	750'001	9	5		076'401
Poles							1	0	
10' Smooth	2,464	••	9.20	\$	22,669	0	10.71	~	26,389
10' Fluted	2,915	S	10.98	*7	32,007	6	12.78	n	37,254
Bases									
Old Town/Manchester	1,120	ŝ	2.95	••	3,304	s	3.43	S	3,842
Chesapeake/Franklin	1,651	ŝ	3.17	\$	5,234	s	3.69	ŝ	6,092
Jefferson/Westchester	2,118	ŝ	3.19	ŝ	6,756	S	3.71	S	7,858
Norfolk/Essex	1,256	ŝ	3.36	\$	4,220	S	3.91	ŝ	4,911
Total installed After Dec. 31, 1990				3	258,071				6,088,312
Total Outdoor Lighintig Mate MLS				4	154,150				8,156,559
Billings for partial month installations					61,115				61,115
Total Restricted Lighting Service				4	312 001				14 743 837
	Total Calculated at Ba	se Rates		2	CI / 791				100,741,41
	Correctio	on Factor		-	000367016			1	1.00036/016
Total A	After Application of Correctio	on Factor		v)	13,177,878			5	14,737,426

ε

(9)

(2)

(4)

(c)

5

€

.

				Calc	culated			ö	liculated
	Units	a	resent Rates	Prese	enue at int Rates	Propo	sed	Pron	venue at nsed Rates
								-	
LIGHTING SERVICE RATE LS									
Served Underground									
High Pressure Sodium									
4 SIDED COLONIAL 6300L	1,199	د .	16.38	\$	19,640	ŝ	19.07	ŝ	22,865
	13,276	S I	16.88	<i>.</i> ,	224,099	ŝ	19.65	••	260,873
	1,659	v s -	17.84	<i>n</i> .	29,597	~	20.77	•7	34,457
ACORN 5300L	395 11 her	v) (16.71	•	6,600	<i>.</i> ,	19.45	6	7,683
	14,839	<i>.</i>	CO.81		241,585	w (21.71	1 2	281,340
	55°	~ •	19.60		1,820	Ø 1	22.81	<i>.</i> , .	9,101
ACORN 160001 BPONZE POI E	1,190	<i>.</i>	19.52		23,229	1 3 1	22.72	~ ~	27,037
	600	~ .	20.41		13,054	•	23.75	0.	15,895
CONTEMPORARY 285001	539 1 661	n u	24.00 27.66	••	176'6	n •	06.67	n .	CCC.11
CONTEMPORARY 500001.	3 197		21.40	, <i>v</i>	100 515	• •	39.20	,	100,404
CONTEMPORARY 160001. Fixture Only		,	21-12	,		• •	15.26	,	100'001
CONTEMPORARY 28500L Fixture Only						• •	17 24		
CONTEMPORARY 50000L Fixture Only						•	10.00		
COBRA HEAD 16000L UGHPS	125	vi	21.86	47	2.733	• •1	25.45	•	3 181
COBRA HEAD 28500L UGHPS	t t	, w	23.91	,	263		27.83	•	306
COBRA HEAD 50000L UGHPS	178	, vi	27.78		4 945	•	32.34	, .	5 757
LONDON (10' SMOOTH POLE) 6300L	232		27. B1		6.452	• •	75 25	••	7 540
LONDON (10' FLUTED POLE) 6300L	152	, v	DP 02	, e	4487	, .	10.20	. .	01C')
LONDON (10' SMOOTH POLE) 9500L	691		28.46		19.666	• •	33 13	, v	27 803
LONDON (10' FLUTED POLE) 9500L	1.647	, w	30.15		49.657	• •/3	35.09	•	57 793
VICTORIAN (10' SMOOTH POLE) 6300L	28		26.99	. 47	756		31.42	• • •	880
VICTORIAN (10' FLUTED POLE) 6300L	163	s	27.56	- 47	4,492	5	32.08	\$	5.229
VICTORIAN (10' SMOOTH POLE) 9500L	82	ŝ	28.67	\$	2,351	s	33.37	*>	2.736
VICTORIAN (10' FLUTED POLE) 9500L	1,038	S	29.23	47	30,341	ŝ	34.02	\$	35,313
Mercury Vapor				••	,				
4 SIDED COLONIAL 4000L UGMV	11	••	16.35	\$	180	s	16.35	ŝ	180
4 SIDED COLONIAL 8000L UGMV	397	ŝ	17.92	*7	7,114	ŝ	17.92	\$	7,114
COBRA HEAD 8000L UGMV		s	21.89	47		•9	21.89	\$7	
COBRA HEAD 13000L UGMV	11	s	23.31	*>	256	63	23.31	\$	256
CUBKA HEAD 25000L UGMV	83	s	26.69	5	2,215	ŝ	26.69	Ś	2,215
				1 7 1	,			•>	•
	• • •	0	2.49		•	in i	2.90	v 3 ·	•
Cricsapeake/Franklin	435	v. v	2,49		1.083	~ ~	2.90	v	1,262
Northele Feer	n	~ u	247 7 C	· ·	0 1	n .	06.7		915
Served Overhead	24	•	5	, <i>u</i>	Ξ.	•	10.0	•	671
High Pressure Sodium					,				
COBRA HEAD 16000L OHHP	4,459	s	10.13	. 13	45,170	\$	11.79	\$	52,572
COBRA HEAD 28500L OHHP	3,602	v	12.19	•2	43,908	ŝ	14.19	ŝ	51,112
COBRA HEAD 50000L OHHP	3,152	••	16.06	\$	50,621	ŝ	18.69	ŝ	58,911
DIRECTIONAL FLOOD 16000L OHHP	305	•9	11.55	\$	10,453	\$	13.44	ŝ	12,163
DIRECTIONAL FLOOD 50000L OHHP	15,521	\$	16.91	\$	262,460	ŝ	19,68	s	305,453
OPEN BOTTOM 9500L OHHP	5,254	••	8.99	•>	47,233	ŝ	10.46	ŝ	54,957
Mercury Vapor				\$				ŝ	•
COBRA HEAD 8000L MV	58	•0	10.16	s	589	s	10.16	ŝ	589
COBRA HEAD 13000L MV	170	\$	11.59	*>	1,970	••	11.59	•7	1,970
COBKA HEAD 2500UL MV	508	0	14.96	5	7,600	\$	14.96	\$	7,600
DIRECTIONAL FLOOD 25000L MV	2,029	v 3 (16.31		33,093	6 0	16.31	vo i	33,093
UPEN BUTTOM SUUL MY	204	V3	9.90	\$	2,020	n	9.90	\$	2,020

Metal Halide		••					
Directional Fixture Only, 12,000 Lumen	ŝ	10.39		ŝ	12.09		
Directional Fixture with Wood Pole, 12,000 Lumen	ŝ	12.33		ŝ	14.35		
Directional Fixture with Direct Burnal Metal Pole, 12,000 Lumen	\$	18.68		Ś	21.74		
Directional Fixture Only, 32,000 Lumen	v	14.93 \$	15	ŝ	17.38	\$	17
Directional Fixture with Wood Pole, 32,000 Lumen	ŝ	16.88		\$	19.65		
Directional Fixture with Direct Burial Metal Pole, 32,000 Lumen	67	23.23		s	27.04		
Directional Fixture Only, 107,800 Lumen	s	30.90		ŝ	35.97		
Directional Fixture with Wood Pole, 107,800 Lumen	ŝ	33.61		ŝ	39.12		
Directional Fixture with Direct Burnal Metal Pole, 107,800 Lumen	÷	39.19		\$	45.62		
Contemporary Fixture Only, 12,000 Lunten	ŝ	11.47		ŝ	13.35		
Contemporary Fixture with Direct Burnal Metal Pole, 12,000 Lumen	s	19.78		S	23.02		
Contemprorary Fixture Only, 32,000 Lumen	ŝ	16.45		¥7	19.15		
Contemporary with Metal Pole, 32,000 Lumen	s	24.75		ŝ	28.81		
Contemprorary Fixture Only, 107,800 Lumen	ŝ	33.42		\$	38.90		
Contemporary with Metal Pole, 107,800 Lumen	s	41.72		\$	48.56		
Poles 2,367	•7	9.62	22,771	w)	11.20	\$ 26,5	1
Total Rate LS Total Calculated at Base Rates		v)	1,388,156			\$ 1,606,7	37
Correction Factor Total After Application of Correction Factor			1.000539543 1,387,408		•	1.000539 \$ 1,605,8	50
TOTAL LIGHTING AFTER APPLICATION OF CORRECTION FACTOR			14,981,019			16,809,7	0
Fuel Clause Billings - proforma for rollin ECR Billings - proforma for rollin Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Customers Adjustment to Reflect Temperature Normalization			9,262 13,407 155,999			9,2 13,4 175,0	4 7 4
Total Lighting			15,159,687			\$ 17,007,4	R
Proposed increase Percentage Increase						1,847,7 12.1	54 9%

e

Seelye Exhibit 8

Reconstruction of Gas Billing Determinants

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Calculation to Reconstruct Test Period Billings Determinants Based on Sales for the 12 months ended October 31, 2009

1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(9)	(1)
	Booked Revenue	Less: Gas Supply	Net Revenue excluding	Less: Demand-Side	Less:	Net
	Adjusted to	Cost (GSC)	GSC	Mgmt. (DSM)	WNA	Revenue
ŀ	as Billed Basis	Billings	Billings	Billings	Billings	@ Base Rates
GAS SALES AND TRANSPORTATION						
Residential Gas Service Rate RGS	\$ 274,923,042 \$	204,062,442 \$	70,860,599	\$ 2,242,152		
Total Residential Gas Service Rate RGS	274,923,042	204,062,442	70,860,599	2,242,152	52,633	68,565,814
				105 755		
Firm Commercial Gas Service Rate CGS	260,147,121	102,013,002	24,433,7 10 76 354	166		
Total Firm Commercial Gas Service Rate C	127,289,717	102,829,655	24,460,062	105,921	(20,525)	24,374,666
	10 396 949	8 836 681	1.560.267			
Gas Transportation Service/Standby Rider to Rai	135,497	58,390	77,107			
Total Firm Industrial Gas Service Rate IGS	10,532,446	8,895,071	1,637,375			1,637,375
As Available Gas Service	2,876,103	2,681,995	194,108	913		
Total Rate AAGS	2,876,103	2,681,995	194,108	913		193,195
FT - Cashouts	249,109	249,109	,			•
Firm Transportation Service Rate FT	3,772,566	191,250	3,581,316	7,142		3,574,174
Total Rate FT	4,021,674	440,358	3,581,316	7,142		3,574,174
Pooling Service Rate PS-FT	60,000		60,000			60,000
Intra-Company Special Contract - Sales Custome	6.513.290	3,466,383	3,046,907			3,046,907
Intra-Company Special Contract - FT Customer	1,282,267	19,895	1,262,372			1,262,372
Total Intra-Company	7,795,557	3,486,278	4,309,279			4,309,279
Fort Knox Special Contract	294.437	34.668	259.769			259,769
duiDont Special Contract	210 171	37 474	177 746			177.746
Ford LAP Special Contracts	883,477		883,477			883,477
Special Contracts	1,388,084	67,093	1,320,992			1,320,992
	100 805 602	277 167 807	106 403 731	7 356 178	32 10B	104 035 496
	420,000,024	760'704'770	101 024 001	21,000,120	251 132	
Off-System Sales		Ē	-			
Grand Total	428,886,623	322,462,892	106,423,731	2,356,128	32,108	104,035,496

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Calculation to Reconstruct Test Period Billings Determinants Based on Sales for the 12 months ended October 31, 2009

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
	Net Revenue Page 1, Col. 7	Calculated Net Revenue Pages 3 thru 9	Column 2 divided by Column 1	Mcf Billed	Less: Mcf Cashouts and Off-system sales	Mcf Billed at Base Rates
GAS SALES ANU TRANSPORTATION Residential Gas Service Rate RGS						P
Total Residential Gas Service Rate RGS	68,565,814	68,556,527	0.999865	20,292,001.6		20,292,001.6
Firm Commercial Gas Service Rate CGS Gas Transportation Service/Standby Rider to Rat				10,412,756.2 15,691.0		10,412,756.2 15,691.0
Total Firm Commercial Gas Service Rate C	24,374,666	24,156,543	0.991051	10,428,447.2		10,428,447.2
Firm Industrial Gas Service Rate IGS Gas Transportation Service/Standby Rider to Rat				937,873.5 57,640.3		937,873.5 57,640.3
Total Firm Industrial Gas Service Rate IGS	1,637,375	1,639,314	1.001184	995,513.8		995,513.8
As Available Gas Service				291,982.5		291,982.5
Total Rate AAGS	193,195	196,091	1.014987	291,982.5		291,982.5
FT - Cashouts	, ,			28,822.4	28,822.4	-
Firm Transportation Service Rate FI	3,574,174	3,570,488	0.998969	7,618,824.6	28,822.4	7,590,002.2
Pooling Service Rate PS-FT	60,000	60,000	1.000000			
Intra-Company Special Contract - Sales Custome	3,046,907	3,053,936	1.002307	437,214.3		437,214.3
Intra-Company Special Contract - FT Customer	1,262,372	1,271,459	1.007198	13,677.0		13,677.0
Total Intra-Company	4,309,279	4,325,395	1.003/40	450,891.3	-	C.1 60,064
Fort Knox Special Contract	259,769	259,794	1.000096	273,216.7		273,216.7
duPont Special Contract	177,746	177,771	1.000140	194,151.4		194,151.4
Ford LAP Special Contracts	883,477	883,527	1.000057	883,476.7		883,4/6./
Special Contracts	1,320,992	1,321,092	1.000076	1,350,845		1,300,044.9
Total Ultimate Consumers	104,035,496	103,825,449	0.997981	41,428,506	28,822	41,399,683.5
Off-Svstem Sales	,	•	ł	1		E
Grand Total	104,035,496	103,825,449		41,428,505.9	28,822.4	41,399,683.5

Iss Customers Peak Off-Peak Unit Calculated RGS: 12mos Oct 2009 MCF MCF Charges Revenue RGS: Intial Gas Service Rate RGS MCF Charges Revenue Intial Gas Service Rate RGS Intial Gas Service Rate Rate RGS Intial Gas Service Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate RGS Intial Gas Service Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rat						"As Bille During 12 N	ed Rate Aonth F	s" Period
RGS: mital Gas Service Rate RGS mital Gas Service Rate RGS 8.850 \$ 8.826.069 tommers for the 12-Month Period 1,038,361 5 8.850 \$ 23,228.069 Dustomers Nov08-Jan09: 2,445,080 1,1597,570.0 5 17,941,441 Dustomers Feb09-Oct09: 2,445,080 11,597,570.0 5 17,941,441 Mich Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 11,597,570.0 5 17,941,441 Mich Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 11,597,570.0 5 17,941,441 Mich Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 2,445,080 5 17,941,441 Mich Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 2,445,080 5 18,693,970.4 5 68,556,577	ass	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	o	Unit harges	űĸ	liculated evenue
ntial Gas Service Rate RGS tomers for the 12-Month Period 1,038,361 \$ 8.50 \$ 8.50 \$ 8.826,069 Justomers Nov08-Jan09: 2,445,080 \$ 1,038,361 \$ 3.528,260 \$ 3.328,260 Justomers Feb09-Oct09: 2,445,080 \$ 1,038,361 \$ 3.50 \$ 17,941,441 Inbution Cost Component 11,597,570.0 \$ 11,597,570.0 \$ 17,941,441 MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 8,693,970.4 \$ 2.13490 \$ 18,560,757 Octal Rate RGS 20,231,540.4 \$ 20,231,540.4 \$ 68,556,527	RGS:							
Duction Cost Component 2,445,080 5 9.50 5 23,228,260 Dibution Cost Component 11,597,570.0 5 15,4700 5 17,941,441 MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: 8,693,970.4 5 2.13490 5 18,560,757 MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates: 20,291,540.4 5 2.13490 5 68,556,527	Initial Gas Service Rate RGS tomers for the 12-Month Period	4 D38 361			¢4	8,50	69	8.826.069
Inbution Cost Component 11,597,570.0 \$ 1.54700 \$ 17,941,441 ACF Nv08-Jan09 Rates: 8,693,970.4 \$ 2.13490 \$ 18,560,757 ACF Feb09-Oct09 Rates: 20,291,540.4 \$ 2.13490 \$ 86,555,527	Customers Feb09-Oct09:	2,445,080			69	9.50	6 9	23,228,260
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates: 8,693,970.4 \$ 2.13490 \$ 18,560.757 otal Rate RGS 20,291,540.4 \$ 68,555,527	ribution Cost Component ACF Nov08-Jan09 Rates:		11,597,570.0		ŝ	1.54700	÷	17,941,441
otal Rate RGS 20,291,540.4 \$ 68,556,527	ACF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		8,693,970.4		₩	2.13490	Ф	18,560,757
	otal Rate RGS		20,291,540.4			"	~	68,556,527

					During 12 N	Aonth F	beriod
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	0	Unit harges	۳ü	alculated evenue
RATE CGS:							
Firm Commercial Gas Service Rate Customers for the 12-Month Perio Meters < 5000 cfh Customers NO08-Jan09:	d d 103,433.00			6 9 6	16.50 23.00	6 3 6	1,706,645 4 380 274
Customers repus-Octus: Meters 5000 cfh or >	190,836.00			 γ 6		.	4/3/60C,F
Customers Novus-Janus: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	4, 133.00 8,886.00			9 (9	160.00	э 6 9	1,421,760
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		5,214,546.2 4,112,092.1			1.49680 1.70520	የ የ	7,805,133 7,011,939
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			- 1,086,117.9	የ የ	0.99680 1.20520	ფფ	- 1,308,989
Gas Transportation Service/Standt Administrative Charge-No. Custon MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	by Rider to Rate CGS ners 7 14			69 69	90.00 153.00	ю ю	630 2,142
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		8,153.0 4,483.7		ሌ ሌ	1.49868 1.70520	ww	12,219 7,646
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			- 3,054.3	юw	0.99680 1.20520	ფფ	3,681
Total Rate CGS		9,339,275.0	1,089,172.2		н	\$	24,156,543

					During 12 M	onth Period	
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF		Unit Charges	Calculate Revenue	p a
<u>RATE IGS:</u>							
Firm Industrial Gas Service Rate IG Customers for the 12-Month Perio Meters < 5000 cfh	۵ T						
Customers Nov08-Jan09:	444			(/)	16.50 \$		7,326
Customers Feb09-Oct09:	926			ю	23.00 \$	(1	1,298
Meters 5000 cfh or >							
Customers Nov08-Jan09:	412			θ	117.00 \$	4	18,204
Customers Feb09-Oct09:	832			\$	160.00	() ()	13,120
Distribution Cost Component		3 ET 104 E		ų	1 49680	у. Ч	14 649
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		295,215.8			1.65240		37,815
			00	ť	0 00580		
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			285,463.2		1.15240	3	28,968
dhandoloo Condot	Dido- to Boto ICC		0.0	ю	1,561,379		
Gas I ransportation Service/Standu Administrative Charges for the 12-	y rider to rate too Month Period						
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates:	8			Ø	90.00	"	720
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	24			в	153.00	6	3,672
Distribution Cost Component							
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates:		9,543.9		ю	1.49868		14,303
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		7,626.2		ю	1.65240		12,602
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates:			0.0	69	0.99680	19	,
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			40,470.2	ю	1.15240	, Д	1 6,638
					·		
Total Rate IGS		669,580.4	325,933.4			5 1,6:	39,314

					During 12	Month P	eriod
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF		Unit Charges	S &	lculated svenue
RATE AAGS:							
As Available Gas Service Rate AAGS							
Customers for the 12-Month Period							
Customers Nov08-Jan09:	50			69	150.00	ф	7,450
Customers Feb09-Oct09:	128			ы	275.00	ф	35,291
Distribution Cost Component		291,982.5		ŝ	0.52520	₩	153,349
Total Rate AAGS		291,982.5			u	s	196,091

•
					During 12 M	onth Period	1
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	0	Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue	
<u>RATE FT:</u>							
Firm Transportation Service (Non	I-Standby) Rate FT						
Administrative Charges for the 1 MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	2-Month Period 220 621			აა	90.00 230.00	19,	800 830
Distribution Cost Component		7,590,002.2		\$	0.43000	3,263,	701
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalan Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	ces:	375,391.3 540,697.4		69 69	0.1200	6 6 6 6 7 8	047
Total Rate FT						3,570,	488
RATE PS-FT:	• •						
Pooling Service Rate PS - FT Administrative Charges	800			\$	75.00	60	000
Total Rate PS-FT		7,590,002.2			- 1	99	000

-

Seelye Exhibit 8 Page 7 of 9

					During 12	Month	Period
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	Ŭ	Unit Charges	Ŭ	Calculated Revenue
INTRA-COMPANY SPECIAL CONTR	RACTS						
Intra-Company Special Contract - S	Sales Customers						
Customers for the 12-Month Perio Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	оd 6 18			<i>6</i> 9 <i>6</i> 9	68.00 160.00	и и	408 2,880
Distribution Cost Component		437,214.3		\$	0.2253	\$	98,504
Demand Charge		3,556,800		ŝ	0.83	ŝ	2,952,144
						w	3,053,936
Intra-Company Special Contract - F	Rate FT Customer						
Customers for the 12-Month Perio Customers Nov08-Jan09:	оd 3			69	686.00	69	2,058
Customers Feb09-Oct09:	5			63	781.00	ŝ	7,029
Distribution Cost Component		13,677.0		φ	0.04870	ы	666
Demand Charge		518,400.0		ŝ	2.43	ŝ	1,259,712
Sales Gas		1,195.6		69	1	ŝ	•
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance Daily Storage Charge	es:						
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates:		326.5		\$	0.1200	ŝ	39
MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		10,662.6		θ	0.1833	69	1,954
						Ø	1,271,459

\$ 4,325,395

Total Intra-Company Special Contracts

					During 12 Mo	onth Period
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	ō	Unit harges	Calculated Revenue
SPECIAL CONTRACTS						
Special Contract						
Transportation Service Admin Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admin Charge Feb09-Oct09:	ოთ			የ የ	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	270 2,070
Distribution Cost Component Demand Charge Sales Gas		591,360.0 90,000.0 2,469.0			0.0487 \$ 2.43 \$ - \$	28,799 218,700 -
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance: Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	й	38,077.8 29,379.1		የ የ	0.1200 \$ 0.1833 \$	4,569 5,385 259,794
Special Contract						
Transportation Service Admín Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admín Charge Feb09-Oct09:	м Ф			የት የት	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	270 2,070
Distribution Cost Component Demand Charge Sales Gas		512,570.3 39,201.6 3,343.5		ю 	0.1049 \$ \$2.75 \$ - \$	53,769 107,804 -
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance: Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	й	12,852.9 67,189.9		የ የ	0.1200 \$ 0.1833 <u>\$</u>	1,542 12,316 177,771
Special Contracts						
Transportation Service Admin Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admin Charge Feb09-Oct09:	6 13				90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	540 4,140
Distribution Cost Component		1,710,388.1		ы	0.3200 \$	547,324
Annual Minimum Revenue Require	sment				ၛႜႜႜႜႜႜ	331,523 883,527
Total Special Contracts					•	1,321,092

Seelye Exhibit 9

Summary of Gas Revenue Increase

			Temperature		Rate	Base Rate	GSC	Total		
Rate Class		Base Rate Revenue	Normalization Adjustment	Year-End Adjustment	Switching Adjustment	Revenue As Adjusted	Revenue as Adjusted	Current Revenue	Increase	Percentage Change
Residential Gas Service - Rate RGS	Ŷ	76,423,451 \$	(137,576)	\$ 259,367	\$	76,545,242 \$	108,612,983 \$	185,158,225	\$ 16,197,217	8.75%
Commercial Gas Service - Rate CGS		26,332,128	(36,646)	1,404,610		27,700,091	58,811,636	86,511,727	5,362,492	6.20%
Industrial Gas Service - Rate IGS		1,715,435	(18,867)	96,963	(34,975)	1,758,556	5,185,788	6,944,344	363,149	5.23%
As-Available Gas Service - Rate AAGS		199,312	(1,740)			197,572	1,544,204	1,741,776		
Total Firm Transportation Service (Non-Standby) Rate FT		3,628,793	(13,063)	·	748,206	4,363,936	171.858	4,535,795		
Total Rate PS-FT		60,000				60,000		60,000		
Special Contract - intra-Company Sales Special Contract - Intra-Company Transportation Special Contract Special Contract		3,054,488 4,326,253 262,624 179,005				3,054,488 4,326,253 262,624 179,005	2,338,834	5,393,323 4,326,253 262,624 179,005	665,390	12.34%
Total Sales to Ultimate Consumers and Inter-Company	ŝ	116,181,488 \$	\$ (207,892)	\$ 1,760,940	\$ 713,231 \$	118,447,767 \$	176,665,303 \$	295,113,070	\$ 22,588,249	7.65%

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Summary of Proposed Rate Increase Based on Billing Determinants for the 12 Months Ended October 31, 2009 Seelye Exhibit 9 Page 1 of 1

Seelye Exhibit 10

Gas Revenue Increase by Rate Schedule

					"As Billed I During 12 Mor	Rates" nth Period		P.S.C. Gas No.	7 for Full Year			Propos	ed Rates	1
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	ō	Unit harges	Calculated Revenue	Ű	Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges		Calculated Revenue	- I
RATE RGS:														
Residential Gas Service Rate RGS Customers for the 12-Month Period Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	1,038,361 2,445,080			s sa	8.50 \$ 9.50 \$	8,826,069 23,228,260	60 GA	9.50 \$ 05.6	9,864 23,228	430	3 F	153 \$ 553 \$	27,547,717 64,867,972	
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		11,597,570.0 8,693,970.4		\$ \$	1.54700 \$ 2.13490 \$	17,941,441 18,560,757	s s	2.13490 \$ 2.13490 \$	24,759 18,560	652 757				
Subtotal		20,291,540.4			*	68,556,527		4	76,413	660'		•	92,415,690	~
Correction Factor					0.999865			0.999865			66.0	3865		
Subtotal Rate RGS after application of	of Correction Factor					68,565,814			76,423	,451			92,428,209	~
Temperature Normalization Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Custo	mers	(64,441.3) 76,670.0		s	2.13490 \$	(137,576) 259,367	ŝ	2.13490 \$	(137 259	,576) ,367	43	<i>د</i> ه ۱	- 314.250	~
GSC at Current (Feb 2010 to Apr 201	0) Charges GSC	20,303,769.1			5.3494 \$	108,612,983		5.3494 \$	108,612	,983	Ċ.	3494 \$	108,612,983	m
Total Residential Gas Service Rate	RGS	20,303,769.1			I	177,300,588	_	i	185,158	225		I	201,355,442	
Proposed Increase in Revenue													16,197,217 8.75	N 2°

201,355,442 16,197,217 8.75%

					During 12 A	Aonth Peri	po		P.S.C. Gas No. 7	for Full Year		Propo	sed Rates	
Data Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	-5	Jnit arges	Calcu Reve	ilated enue	U	Unit harges	Calculated Revenue	- ġ	Jnit larges	Calculat Reven	per
RATE CGS:														
Firm Commercial Cas Service Rate Customers for the 12-Month Perio Meters < 5000 cm Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Peb09-Ccr09;	t CGS d 103,433 190,838			w w	16.50 23.00	~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1,706,645 4,389,274	s so	23.00 \$ 23.00 \$	2,378,959 4,389,274	ა ა	30.00 \$ 30.00 \$		3,102,990 5,725,140
Meters 5000 cft or > Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	4,158 8,886			აა	117.00 160.00	\$	486,486 1,421,760	~ ~~	160.00 \$ 160.00 \$	665,280 1,421,760	აა	170.00 \$	-	706,860 1,510,620
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		5,214,546.2 4,112,092.1		აფ	1.49680 1.70520	\$ \$	7,805,133 7,011,939	s so	1.70520 \$ 1.70520 \$	8,891,844 7,011,939	ŝ	1.9795	÷	0,322,194 8,139,886
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			1,086,117.9	ŝ	0.99680	s s	- 1,308,989	S S S	1.20520 \$ 1.20520 \$	1,308,989	ŝ	1.4795		1,606,911
Gas Transportation Service/Standl Administrative Charge-No. Custor MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	by Rider to Rate CGS mers 14			\$	90.00 153.00	s s	630 2,142	\$	153.00 \$ 153.00 \$	1,071 2,142	\$\$ \$	153.00 153.00	15 M	1,071 2,142
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		8,153.0 4,483.7		~ ~~	1.49868 1.70520	\$	12,219 7,646	w w	1.70520 \$ 1.70520 \$	13,902 7,646	ŝ	1.9795 1.9795	IN VA	16,139 8,875
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Fab09-Oct09 Rates:			3,054.3	5 5	0.99680 1.20520	\$	3,681	ŝ	1.20520 \$ 1.20520 \$	- 3,681	69 69	1.4795 1.4795	5	4,519
Subtotal		9,339,276.0	1,089,172.2			5 7	24,156,543		•	26,096,488			3	1,147,348
Correction Factor					0.991051				0.991051			0.991051		
Subtotal Rate CGS after application	of Correction Factor					7	24,374,666			26,332,128			e	1,428,595
Temperature Normalization Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cusi	tomers	(21,490.9) 600,620.0		\$	1.70520	\$ \$	(36,646) 1,404,610	s	1.70520 \$ \$	(36,646) 1,404,610	\$	1.97950	S	(42,541) 1,676,530
GSC at Current (Feb 2010 to Apr 20 GSC at Current - Pipeline Suppliers	10) Charges GSC Demand	10,991,013.9 16,562.4			5.3494 0.9845	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	58,795,330 16,306		5.3494 \$ 0.9845 \$	58,795,330 16,306		5.3494 0.9845	0 0 0	16,330 16,306
Total Commercial Gas Service Rai	te CGS	11,007,576.3				Ű	34,554,265		1	86,511,727		•	5	11,874,219
Proposed Increase in Revenue														5,362,492 6.20%

Seelye Exhibit 10 Page 2 of 7

					Dunng 12 Mon	th Penod		P.S.C. Gas No. 7	for Full Year		Propos	ed Rates
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	ō	Unit narges	Calculated Revenue	Ŭ	Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue
RATE IGS:												
Firm industrial Gas Service Rate IC Customers for the 12-Month Penio Meters < 5000 cffh Customers Feb09-Oct09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	35 bd 444 926			w w	16.50 \$ 23.00 \$	7,326 21,298	\$	23.00 \$ 23.00 \$	10,212 21,298	64 FM	30.00 \$ 30.00 \$	13,320 27,780
Meters 5000 cfh or > Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	412 832			s s s	117.00 \$ 160.00 \$	48,204 133,120	~ ~~	160.00 \$ 160.00 \$	65,920 133,120	s s	170.00 \$ 170.00 \$	70,040 141,440
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		357,194.5 295,215.8		s s s	1.49680 \$ 1.65240 \$	534,649 487,815	6 69	1.65240 \$ 1.65240 \$	590,228 487,815	~ ~	1.97950 \$ 1.97950 \$	707,067 584,380
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			0.0 285,463.2	w w	0.99680 \$ 1.15240 \$	328,968	ŝ	1.15240 \$ 1.15240 \$	- 328,968	ŝ	1.47950 1.47950 \$	422,343
Gas Transportation Service/Stand Administrative Charges for the 12 MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	by Rider to Rate IGS ⊷Month Period 8 24			69 KN	90.00 \$ 153.00 \$	720 3,672	" "	153.00 \$ 153.00 \$	1,224 3,672	s s	153.00 \$ 153.00 \$	1,224 3,672
Distribution Cost Component MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:		9,543.9 7,626.2		w w	1.49868 \$ 1.65240 \$	14,303 12,602	აი	1.65240 \$ 1.65240 \$	15,770 12,602	s s	1.97950 \$	18,892 15,095
MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:			0.0 40,470.2	ы N	0.99680 \$ 1.15240 \$	- 46,638	ŝ	1.15240 \$ 1.15240 \$	-46,638	м м	1.47950 \$ 1.47950 \$	- 59,876
Subtotal		669,580.4	325,933.4		v	1,639,314		•	1,717,466		~	2,065,129
Correction Factor					1.001184			1.001184			1.001184	
Subtotal Rate IGS after application	of Correction Factor					1,637,376			1,715,435			2,062,686
Temperature Normalization Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cust	tomers	(11,417.8) 58,955.0		ŝ	1.65240 \$	(18,866.73) 96,963.00	\$	1.65240 \$	(18,866.73 96,963.00	s	1.97950 \$	(22,601.49) 116,596
Adjustment for Rate Switching Customer Chg 12-months On-Peak MCF 12-months Off-Peak MCF Apr09-Oct09	(12)	(12,950.7)	(11,407.3)		い いい	(1,767.97) (20,061.22) (13,145.77)		м и и	(1,767 <u>.</u> 97 (20,061.22 (13,145.77	~~~	<i></i>	(1,767.97) (20,061.22) (13,145.77)
GSC at Current (Feb 2010 to Apr 20 GSC at Current - Pipeline Suppliers	10) Charges GSC Demand	958,300.3 60,392.7			5,3494 \$ 0.9845 \$	5,126,332 59,457		5.3494 \$ 0.9845 \$	5,126,332 59,457		5.3494 \$ 0.9845 \$	5,126,332 59,457

Proposed Increase in Revenue

Total Industrial Gas Service Rate IGS

7,307,494 363,149 5.23%

I

6,944,344

6,866,285

1,018,693.0

					During 12 Mor	th Period		P.S.C. Gas No.	7 for Full Year			Proposed	Rates
Data Clace	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue		οų	nit arges	Calculated Revenue
RATE AAGS:													
As Available Gas Service Rate AA Customers for the 12-Month Peric	SS Jd			v	150 00 A	7 450	v.	275.00 \$		13.659	\$	275.00 \$	13,659
Customers NovU8-JanU9: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	128 128			, 0,	275.00 \$	35,291	, w	275.00 \$		35,291	\$	275.00 \$	35,291
Distribution Cost Component		291,982.5		69	0.52520 \$	153,349	ŝ	0.52520 \$		53,349	Ś	0.52520 \$	153,349
Subtotal		291,982.6			*	196,091		v	7	02,299		n	202,299
Correction Factor					1.014987			1.014987				1.014987	
Subtotal Rate AAGS after applicatic	on of Correction Factor					193,195			-	99,312			199,312
Temperature Normalization Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cusi	tomers	(3,313.8) ,		\$	0.52520 \$	(1,740)	\$	0.52520 \$		(1,740) -	\$	0.52520 \$	(1,740.43)
GSC at Current (Feb 2010 to Apr 20 GSC at Current - Pipeline Suppliers	10) Charges GSC Demand	288,668.7 -			5.3494 \$	1,544,204 -		5.3494 \$	<u>+</u> 5	44,204 -		5.3494 \$ \$	1,544,204 -
Total As Available Gas Service Ra	te AAGS	288,668.7			ł	1,735,659		1	1,7	41,776			1,741,776
Proposed Increase in Revenue													0 0.00%

.

					Dunng 12 Mor	th Period		P.S.C. Gas No. 7	for Full Year		Propose	I Rates
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	5	Jnit iarges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue	0	Unit harges	Calculated Revenue
RATE FT:												
Firm Transportation Service (Non-	Standby) Rate FT											
Administrative Charges for the 12. MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	-Month Penod 220 621			აა	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	19,800 142,830	ww	230.00 \$ 230.00 \$	50,600 142,830	ω ω	230.00 \$ 230.00 \$	50,600 142,830
Distribution Cost Component		7,590,002.2		ŝ	0.43000 \$	3,263,701	ŝ	0.43000 \$	3,263,701	ы	0.43000 \$	3,263,701
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	Se	375,391.3 540,697.4		~ ~~	0.1200 \$ 0.1833 \$	45.047 99,110	w w	0.1833 \$ 0.1833 \$	68,809 99,110	<i>ა</i> ა	0.1833 \$ 0.1833 \$	68,809 99,110
Subtotal					v	3,670,488		ŝ	3,625,050		v	3,625,050
Correction Factor					0.998969			0.998969			0.998969	
Subtotal Rate FT after application of	f Correction Factor					3,574,174.5			3,628,793.1			3,628,793.1
Temperature Normalization Adjustment to Reflect Year-End Cust	omers	(30,377.9) -		ŝ	0.4300	(13,062.5) -	ŝ	0.4300 \$ \$	(13,063)	Ś	0.4300 S S	(13,063) -
Adjustment for Rate Switching Admin Chg 12-months On-Peak MCF 12-months	æ	1,734,746.1			S	2,265 745,941		N N	2,265 745,941		0 0	2,265 745,941
UCDI Charge - Daily Demand (currei	(JL	916,088.6		s	0.1876	171,858.2	\$	0.1876	171,858.2	\$	0.1876	171,858.2
Total Firm Transportation (Non-St	andby) Rate FT	9,294,370.4				4,481,176			4,535,795		I	4,535,795
Proposed Increase in Revenue												- 0.00%
Pooling Service Rate PS - FT Administrative Charges	800			\$	75.00 \$	60,000	\$	75.00 \$	60,000	\$	75 \$	60,000
Total Rate PS-FT					1	60,000			60,000		1	60,000
Proposed Increase in Revenue												۔ 0.00%

Seelye Exhibit 10 Page 5 of 7

					Dunng 12 Mon	Ith Period		P.S.C. Gas No.	7 for Full Year	l	Propose	d Rates
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	5	Jnit arges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue
INTRA-COMPANY SPECIAL CONTI	RACTS											
Intra-Company Special Contract -	Sales Service											
Customers for the 12-Month Perit Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	а 18 18			s so	68.00 \$ 160.00 \$	408 2,880	ww	160.00 \$ 160.00 \$	960 2,880	w w	170.00 \$ 170.00 \$	1,020 3,060
Distribution Cost Component		437,214.3	Mcf	s	0.2253 \$	98,504	w	0.2253 \$	98,504	ŝ	0.2744 \$	119,982
Demand Charge		3,556,800	Cold	sa Sa	0.83 \$	2.952.144 3,053,936	ŝ	0.83 s S	2,952,144 3,054,488	ŝ	1.0110 \$	3,595,817 3,719,878
GSC at Current (Feb 2010 to Apr 20	10) Charges GSC	437,214.3		s	5.3494 \$	2,338,834	ŝ	5.3494 \$	2,338,834	\$	5.3494 \$	2,338,834
Total Intra-Company Special Contract	d - Sales Service				S	5,392,771		Υ	5,393,323		S	6,058,713
	Increase										ю	665,390 12.34%
Intra-Company Special Contract -	Rate FT Customer											
Customers for the 12-Month Peri Customers Nov08-Jan09: Customers Feb09-Oct09:	o n g			s s	686.00 \$ 781.00 \$	2,058 7,029	s so	781.00 \$ 781.00 \$	2,343 7,029	69 KA	781.00 \$ 781.00 \$	2,343 7,029
Distribution Cost Component Demand Charge Sales Gas		13,677.0 518,400.0 1,195.6		" "	0.04870 \$ 2.43 \$ - \$	666 1,259.712 -	~~	0.04870 \$ 2.43 \$ - \$	666 1,259,712	w w	9 9 9 0 0	666 1,259,712
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalanc Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	ю Э	326.5 10,662.6		N N	0.1200 \$ 0.1833 \$	39 1, <u>954</u> 1,271,459	6 6	0.1833 \$ 0.1833 \$	60 1, <u>954</u> 1,271,764	۵ ۵	0 0 0 0	60 1,954 1.271,764
Total Intra-Company Special Cont	tracts	452,086.9			~	4,325,395		•]	4,326,253			4,991,643

					During 12 Mon	th Period		P.S.C. Gas No. 7	for Full Year	Propo	sed Rates
Rate Class	Customers 12mos Oct 2009	Peak MCF	Off-Peak MCF	Ъ С	lnit arges	Calculated Revenue		Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue	Unit Charges	Calculated Revenue
SPECIAL CONTRACTS											
Special Contract											
Transportation Servica Admin Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admin Charge Feb09-Oct09:	<i>к</i> Ф			6 9 69	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	270 2,070	ŝ	230.00 \$ 230.00 \$	690 2,070		
Distribution Cost Component Demand Charge Sales Gas		591,360.0 90,000.0 2,469.0		"" "	0.0487 \$ 2.43 \$ - \$	28,799 218,700 -	~~	0.0487 \$ 2.43 \$ - \$	28,799 218,700		
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov08-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	ij	38,077,8 29,379.1		s so	0.1200 \$ 0.1833 \$	4,569 5,385 259,794	w w	0.1833 \$ 0.1833 \$	6,980 5,385 262,624		
Special Contract											
Transportation Service Admin Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admin Charge Feb09-Oct09:	mo			s s	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	270 2,070	ŝ	230.00 \$ 230.00 \$	690 2,070		
Distribution Cost Component Demand Charge Sales Gas		512,570.3 39,201.6 3,343.5		м м	0.1049 \$ \$2.75 \$ - \$	53,769 107,804	~~	0.1049 \$ 2.75 \$ - \$	53,769 107,804 -		
Utilization Charge for Daily Imbalance Daily Storage Charge MCF Nov06-Jan09 Rates: MCF Feb09-Oct09 Rates:	ŭ	12,852.9 67,189.9		69 KA	0.1200 S 0.1833 <u>S</u>	1,542 12,316 177,771	\$ \$	0.1833 \$ 0.1833 \$	2,356 12,316 179,005		
Special Contracts											
Transportation Service Admin Charge Nov08-Jan09: Admin Charge Feb09-Oct09:	6 8			აა	90.00 \$ 230.00 \$	540 4,140	s s	230.00 \$ 230.00 \$	1,380 4,140		
Distribution Cost Component		1,710,388.1		Ś	0.3200 \$	547,324	\$	0.3200 \$	547,324		
Annuai Minimum Revenue Requin	ement				w w	331,523 883,527		s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	331,523 884,367		
Total Special Contracts					v	1,321,092		~	1,325,996		

Seelye Exhibit 11

Cable TV Attachment Charges

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Calculation Of Attachment Charges for CATV

	Pole Size	Quantity	In:	stalled Cost	/ Inst	Average talled Cost
Weighted /	Average Bare Po	le Cost as of 10/31/2009				
	35'	21,992	\$	9,895,841	\$	449.97
	40'	61,023		25,998,372		426.04
		83,015	\$	35,894,213	\$	432.38
Three-Use	r Poles					
	40'	61.023	\$	25,998,372	\$	426.04
	45'	22,136		23,008,391		1,039.41
		83,159	\$	49,006,763	\$	589.31

Two-User Pole Charge	Number of Attachments	، 	Weighted Cost
\$432.38 x .1224 Usage Space Factor = \$ 52.92 \$ 52.92 x .1843 Annual Carrying Charge = \$ 9.76	17,699	\$	172,659
Three-User Pole Charge			
\$589.31 x .0759 Usage Space Factor = \$44.73 \$ 44.73 x .1843 Annual Carrying Charge = \$8.24	68,646	\$	565,966
Weighted Total	86,345	\$	738,625
Weighted Average Monthly Cost		\$	8.55

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Calculation Of Annual Carrying Charge

Proposed Rate of Return	8.32%
Depreciation - Sinking Fund	0.54%
Income Tax (1)	3.63%
Property Tax and Insurance	0.22%
Operation and Maintenance (Page 3)	5.73%
Total	18.43%

(1) Derived from rates of equity capital

	Capitalization Ratio	Annual Rate	Composite Rate
Common	53.86%	11.50%	6.19%
Preferred	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total Equity	53.86%		6.19%
Debt	46.14%	4.61%	2.13%
Total Capitalization	100.00%		8.32%

Composite Federal and State Income Taxes rate = 36.93%

.

Income Tax = (0.3693/(1-0.3693) x 0.0619 = 3.63%

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Operation and Maintenance Expenses for the 12 Months Ended October 31, 2009

(1) Labor Charged to 592 - Poles, Towers and Fixtures Subaccount	\$ 289,969 225,900	
rice mining	 	\$ 515,870
Total Labor		\$ 56,166,593
Total Administrative and General Expenses		\$ 73,557,685
Assignment of a Portion of A & G Expenses to Poles		
(\$515,870/\$56,166,593) x \$73,557,685 = \$675,600		
Expenses Assigned to Poles		
Maintenance of Poles, Towers, and Fixtures Subaccount 593001 Tree Trimming of Electric Distribution		\$ 1,366,766
A & G Expenses Assigned to Poles		4,775,583 675,600
Total		\$ 6,817,950
Adder to Annual Carrying Charges for O & M Expenses		
\$ 6,817,950 Expenses Assigned to Poles = 119,084,747 Plant in Service - Account 364		5.73%

Seelye Exhibit 12

Excess Facilities Charge Cost Support

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Present Value of Replacement Plant as a Percentage of Original Cost Electric Service

Cumulative

Present	Value of	Annual	Replaced	Cost	121			0.3167	0.6573	1.0229	1.4141	1.8317	2.2765	2.7492	3.2504	3.7808	4.3409	4.9313	5.5524	6.2047	6.8886	7.6044	8.3523	9.1325	9.9448	10.7891	11.6649	12.5716	13.5079	14.4724	15.4632	16.4776	17.5124	18.5638	19.6271	20.6968	21.7668	21.7668
Present	Value of	Annual	Replacement	Cost	10/	(1) x (a)		0.3167	0.3406	0.3655	0.3912	0.4177	0.4448	0.4727	0.5012	0.5304	0.5601	0.5904	0.6212	0.6523	0.6839	0.7158	0.7479	0.7802	0.8123	0.8443	0.8758	0.9066	0.9363	0.9645	0.9908	1.0144	1.0349	1.0514	1.0633	1.0697	1.0700	L
	Present Value	Factor at a	7.00%	Discount Rate				0.9346	0.8734	0.8163	0.7629	0.7130	0.6663	0.6227	0.5820	0.5439	0.5083	0.4751	0.4440	0.4150	0.3878	0.3624	0.3387	0.3166	0.2959	0.2765	0.2584	0.2415	0.2257	0.2109	0.1971	0.1842	0.1722	0.1609	0.1504	0.1406	0.1314	nal Cost
		Nominal	Replacement	Cost (6)	(0) (2) ~ (E)	(c) x (c)		0.3389	0.3900	0.4478	0.5128	0.5858	0.6675	0.7591	0.8612	0.9751	1.1019	1.2426	1.3990	1.5719	1.7635	1.9749	2.2079	2.4644	2.7455	3.0536	3.3892	3.7539	4.1484	4.5724	5.0255	5.5056	6.0098	6.5330	7.0695	7.6101	8.1452	Percentage of Origi
	Cost Escalation	Factor at a	3.00%	Inflation Factor	121			1.0300	1.0609	1.0927	1.1255	1.1593	1.1941	1.2299	1.2668	1.3048	1.3439	1.3842	1.4258	1.4685	1.5126	1.5580	1.6047	1.6528	1.7024	1.7535	1.8061	1.8603	1.9161	1.9736	2.0328	2.0938	2.1566	2.2213	2.2879	2.3566	2.4273	olacement Plant as a
		Cumulative	Replacement	Percentage	725			0.3290	0.6966	1.1064	1.5620	2.0673	2.6263	3.2435	3.9233	4.6706	5.4905	6.3882	7.3694	8.4398	9.6057	10.8733	12.2492	13.7402	15.3529	17.0943	18.9708	20.9887	23.1537	25.4705	27.9427	30.5722	33.3589	36.3000	39.3899	42.6192	45.9749	^o resent Value of Rer
		Annual	Replacement	Percentage	121			0.3290	0.3676	0.4098	0.4556	0.5053	0.5590	0.6172	0.6798	0.7473	0.8199	0.8977	0.9812	1.0704	1.1659	1.2676	1.3759	1.4910	1.6127	1.7414	1.8765	2.0179	2.1650	2.3168	2.4722	2.6295	2.7867	2.9411	3.0899	3.2293	3.3557	
	30 Year R2	Iowa Curve	Percent	Survíving (2)	1-1		100.0000	99.6710	99.3034	98.8936	98.4380	97.9327	97.3737	96.7565	96.0767	95.3294	94.5095	93.6118	92.6306	91.5602	90.3943	89.1267	87.7508	86.2598	84.6471	82.9057	81.0292	79.0113	76.8463	74.5295	72.0573	69.4278	66.6411	63.7000	60.6101	57.3808	54.0251	
				Year (1)			0	-	6	e	4	5	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	

Present Value of Replacement Plant as a Percentage of Original Cost

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Excess Facilities Charges Electric Service

		Assuming Customer Does Not Make Up-Front Payment to Cover Original Cost	Assuming Customer Makes Up-Front Payment to Cover Original Cost
*	Present Value of Replacement Plant as a Percentage of Original Cost	21.77	21.77
7	Original Cost Value	100	
ო	Total Present Value of Original and Replacement Cost Value as a Percentage of Original Cost	121.77	21.77
4	Monthly Carrying Charge Percentage (Levelized Carrying Charge Rate / 12 months)	0.00860	0.00860
ŝ	Applicable Carrying Charge Charge Percentage (Lines 3 x 5)	1.05%	0.19%
9	O&M Percentage	0.68%	0.68%
7	Total Excess Facilities Charge	1.73%	0.87%

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Levelized Carrying Charge Analysis - Electric

Electric Service

Capital Structure:

Capital Structure):					
				Weighted		Adjusted
		Percent	Rate	COC	Tax Rate	Rate
Debt		46.14%	4.61%	2.13%	37.60%	1.33%
Preferred Equity		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
Common Equity		53.86%	11.50%	6.19%	_	6.19%
				8.32%		7.52%
		Tax De	preciation	Table (MAC	CRS)	
		5	10	15		
	1	20 000%		5 000%	3 750%	
	2	32 000%	18.000%	9 500%	7 210%	
	2	19 200%	14 400%	8 550%	6.677%	
	4	11 520%	11 520%	7 700%	6 177%	
	5	11.520%	9 220%	6 930%	5 713%	
	6	0.000%	7 370%	6 230%	5 285%	
	7	0.000%	6 550%	5 900%	1 888%	
	8	0.000%	6 550%	5 900%	4.500%	
	ğ	0.000%	6 560%	5 910%	4.02270	
	10	0.000%	6 550%	5 900%	4 461%	
	11	0.000%	0.000%	5 910%	4 462%	
	12	0.000%	0.000%	5 900%	4.461%	
	13	0.000%	0.000%	5 910%	4 462%	
	14	0.000%	0.000%	5 900%	4.40270	
	15	0.000%	0.000%	5 910%	4 462%	
	16	0.000%	0.000%	2 950%	4.402.70	
	17	0.000%	0.00070	0.000%	4 462%	
	18	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	4.402.70	
	19	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	4 462%	
	20	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	4 461%	
	21	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	2 231%	
	22	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	23	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	24	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	25	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	26	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	27	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	28	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	20	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	30	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	31	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
	31	0.000%	0.000 %	0.000%	0.000 %	
	51	0.00076	0.00070	0.000 /0	0.000%	

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Levelized Carrying Charge Analysis Electric Service

Assumptions: Investment	\$ 1.000	
Book Life	30	
Tax Life	20	
Composite Tax Rate	37.6028%	
Property Tax Rate	0.00%	
Levelized Revenue Requirement Years	35	
O&M as Percent of Investment	0.00%	
Results:		
Present Value Revenue Requirement	\$ 1,164	
Levelized Revenue Requirement	\$103	
Levelized Carrying Charge Rate	10.32%	
Level of Investment that can be Supported by	9.69	Times Net Revenue

Year	Investment	Book Depreciation	Residual Plant	Tax Depreciation	Residual Plant	Deferred Income Tax	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax
0	\$ 1,000						
1		33	967	38	963	2	2
2		33	933	72	890	15	16
3		33	900	67	824	13	29
4		33	867	62	762	11	39
5		33	833	57	705	9	48
6		33	800	53	652	7	56
7		33	767	49	603	6	62
8		33	733	45	558	4	66
9		33	700	45	513	4	70
10		33	667	45	468	4	75
11		33	633	45	424	4	79
12		33	600	45	379	4	83
13		33	567	45	335	4	87
14		33	533	45	290	4	92
15		33	500	45	245	4	96
16		33	467	45	201	4	100
17		33	433	45	156	4	104
18		33	400	45	112	4	108
19		33	367	45	67	4	113
20		33	333	45	22	4	117
21		33	300	22	(0)	(4)	113
22		33	267	-	(0)	(13)	100
23		33	233	-	(0)	(13)	88
24		33	200	-	(0)	(13)	75
25		33	167	-	(0)	(13)	63
26		33	133	-	(0)	(13)	50
27		33	100	•	(0)	(13)	38
28		33	67	-	(0)	(13)	25
29		33	33	-	(0)	(13)	13
30		33	(0)		(0)	(13)	-

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Levelized Carrying Charge Analysis Electric Service

Assumptions: Investment Book Life Tax Life Composite Tax Rate Property Tax Rate Levelized Revenue Requirement Years O&M as Percent of Investment	\$ 1,000 30 20 37.6028% 0.00% 35 0.00%	
Results: Present Value Revenue Requirement Levelized Revenue Requirement Levelized Carrying Charge Rate Level of Investment that can be Supported by Revenue	\$ 1,164 \$103 10.32% 9.69	Times Net Revenue

Year	Rate Base	Interest	Equity	Income Taxes	Annual Revenue Requirement	Present Value Interest Factor	Pr Rev Require	esent Value /enue ement
			•••			4 000000	¢.	
0\$, - 	- \$	-	-	ъ -	1.000000	\$	400
1	965	21	60	36	150	0.050000		130
2	917	20	57	34	144	0.852200		123
3	8/1	19	54	33	130	0.700/9/		109
4	827	18	51	31	133	0.720357		97
5	785	17	49	29	128	0.670560		86
6	/44	16	46	28	123	0.619049		76
1	705	15	44	26	118	0.571495		68
8	667	14	41	25	114	0.527594		60
9	630	13	39	24	109	0.487066		53
10	592	13	37	22	105	0.449651		4/
11	555	12	34	21	100	0.415110		42
12	517	11	32	19	96	0.383222		37
13	479	10	30	18	91	0.353784		32
14	442	9	27	16	87	0.326607		28
15	404	9	25	15	82	0.301518		25
16	367	8	23	14	78	0.278356		22
17	329	7	20	12	73	0.256973		19
18	292	6	18	11	68	0.237233		16
19	254	5	16	9	64	0.219009		14
20	216	5	13	8	59	0.202186		12
21	187	4	12	7	56	0.186654		10
22	166	4	10	6	53	0.172316		9
23	146	3	9	5	51	0.159079		8
24	125	3	8	5	48	0.146859		7
25	104	2	6	4	46	0.135578		6
26	83	2	5	3	43	0.125163		5
27	62	1	4	2	41	0.115548		5
28	42	1	3	2	38	0.106672		4
29	21	0	1	1	36	0.098478		4
30	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	33	0.090913		3
							\$	1,164