

RECENED

FEB 0 3 2010

COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE

Louisville Gas and **Electric Company**

State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.eon-us.com

Robert M. Conroy Director - Rates T 502-627-3324 F 502-627-3213 robert.conroy@eon-us.com

February 3, 2010

Mr. Jeff DeRouen

Executive Director

211 Sower Boulevard

Frankfort, KY 40602

Kentucky Public Service Commission

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2009 CASE NO. 2009-00502

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and ten (10) copies of the Direct Testimony of Robert M. Conroy and the Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company to the Information Requested in Appendix B of the Commission's Order dated January 8, 2010, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS) CASE NO.
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH) 2009-00502
BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2009)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

ROBERT M. CONROY DIRECTOR - RATES E.ON U.S. SERVICES INC.

Filed: February 03, 2010

Q. Please state your name, title, and business address.

1

A. My name is Robert M. Conroy. I am the Director – Rates for E.ON U.S. Services
Inc., which provides services to Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and
Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") (collectively "the Companies"). My business
address is 220 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky, 40202. A complete statement
of my education and work experience is attached to this testimony as Appendix A.

7 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

A. Yes. I have previously testified before this Commission in proceedings concerning the Companies' most recent rate case, fuel adjustment clauses, and environmental surcharge mechanisms.

11 Q. What is the purpose of this proceeding?

12 A. The purpose of this proceeding is to review the past operation of LG&E's
13 environmental surcharge during the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2009
14 and determine whether the surcharge amounts collected during the period are just and
15 reasonable.

16 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

17 A. The purpose of my testimony is to review the operation of LG&E's environmental surcharge during the billing period under review, demonstrate the amounts collected during the period were just and reasonable, present and discuss LG&E's proposed adjustment to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement based on the operation of the surcharge during the period and explain how the environmental surcharge factors were calculated during the period under review.

Q. Please review the operation of the environmental surcharge for the billing period included in this review.

LG&E billed an environmental surcharge to its customers from May 1, 2009 through October 31, 2009. For purposes of the Commission's examination in this case, the monthly LG&E environmental surcharges are considered as the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2009. In each month of the period, LG&E calculated the environmental surcharge factors by using the costs incurred as recorded on its books and records for the expense months of March 2009 through August 2009 and in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's previous orders concerning LG&E's environmental surcharge.

A.

Α.

9 Q. What costs were included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge 10 factors for the billing period under review?

The capital and operating costs included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge factors for the billing period were the costs incurred each month by LG&E from March 2009 through August 2009, as detailed in the attachment in response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff Request for Information, incorporating all required revisions.

The monthly environmental surcharge factors applied during the billing period under review were calculated consistent with the Commission's orders in LG&E's previous applications to assess or amend its environmental surcharge mechanism and plan, as well as orders issued in previous review cases. The monthly environmental surcharge reports filed with the Commission during this time reflect the various changes to the reporting forms ordered by the Commission from time to time.

Q. Has the Commission recently approved changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism and the monthly ES Forms?

A. Yes. In Case No. 2009-00311, LG&E's most recent ECR two-year review, the Commission approved changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism that include the calculation of the monthly billing factor using a revenue requirement method instead of a percentage method (eliminating the use of BESF), the elimination of the monthly true-up adjustment, and revisions to the monthly reporting forms to reflect the approved changes. However, these changes occurred after the period under review. Pursuant to the Commission's December 2, 2009 Order, the changes were implemented with the December 2009 expense month that is billed in February 2010.

10 Q. Has the Commission recently approved changes to LG&E's ECR Compliance 11 Plan?

A. Yes. In Case No. 2009-00198, the Commission approved LG&E's 2009 ECR Compliance Plan that included four new projects and associated operation and maintenance costs and amended the 2006 Plan to include operation and maintenance costs associated with the Air Quality Control System equipment for Trimble County Unit 2 (Project 18). Pursuant to the Commission's December 23, 2009 Order, LG&E included the approved projects in the monthly filing for the December 2009 expense month that is billed in February 2010.

Q. Are there any changes or adjustments in Rate Base from the originally filed expense months?

During the period under review, there were no changes to Rate Base from the originally filed billing period as summarized in LG&E's response to the Commission

Staff Request for Information, Question No. 1. In addition, there were no changes

- identified as a result of preparing responses to the requests for information in this review.
- Q. Are there any changes necessary to the jurisdictional revenue requirement (E(m))?
- Yes. Adjustments to E(m) are necessary for compliance with the Commission's
 Order in Case No. 2000-00386, to reflect the actual changes in the overall rate of
 return on capitalization that is used in the determination of the return on
 environmental rate base. The changes in the actual cost of long term debt and capital
 structure result in an increase to cumulative E(m) of \$213,597. The details of and
 support for this calculation are shown in LG&E's response to Question No. 1 of the
 Commission Staff Request for Information.
- 12 Q. As a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing
 13 period under review, is an adjustment to the revenue requirement necessary?
- 14 A. Yes. LG&E experienced a cumulative under-recovery of \$390,130 for the billing
 15 period ending October 31, 2009. LG&E's response to Question No. 2 of the
 16 Commission Staff Request for Information shows the calculation of the \$390,130
 17 cumulative under-recovery. Therefore, an adjustment to the revenue requirement is
 18 necessary to reconcile the collection of past surcharge revenues with actual costs for
 19 the billing period under review.
- Q. Has LG&E identified the causes of the net under-recovery during the billing period under review?
- 22 A. Yes. Consistent with the issues discussed in the past several review proceedings,
 23 LG&E has identified four components that make up the net under-recovery during the
 24 billing period under review. The components are (1) changes in overall rate of return,

(2) the difference between the calculation of BESF in the review case and application of BESF in the monthly filings beginning with the March 2008 expense month, (3) the use of the BESF percentage in determining the amount collected in base rates, and (4) the use of 12 month average revenues to determine the billing factor. The details and support of the components that make up the net under-recovery during the billing period under review are shown in LG&E's response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff Request for Information. The table below summarizes the components of the under-recovery position.

OVER/UNDER RECONCILIATION	
Combined Over/Under Recovery	(390,130)
Due to BESF Calculation Differences (58	9,557)
Due to use of BESF % (98	4,566)
Due to Change in ROR (21	3,597)
Use of 12 Month Average Revenues1,39	97,589_
Subtotal	(390,130)
Unreconciled Difference	-

Q. Please explain the change in rate of return.

A. As previously stated, the cumulative impact of the revised rate of return resulted in an increase to the jurisdictional revenue requirement and an under-recovery of \$213,597.

Q. Please explain the inconsistency that occurred in determining BESF.

14 A. In the course of preparing the responses in Case No. 2008-00551, a previous LG&E six-month review proceeding, LG&E discovered that a difference existed between the

calculation of the BESF in the previous 2-year review case and the application of the BESF in the monthly filings beginning with the March 2008 expense month. Specifically, in Case No. 2007-00380, LG&E calculated the BESF factor using base rate revenues excluding the customer charge revenues, while the monthly filings use BESF times total base revenues to estimate the ECR revenues collected through base rates. BESF was calculated using a lower revenue total than is used in its application in the monthly filings thereby overstating the BESF percentage. Because the monthly estimate of ECR revenues collected through base rates is made by multiplying BESF times total base revenues, overstating BESF overstates the ECR revenues collected through base rates. When ECR revenues collected through base rates are overstated, the monthly E(m) is understated which contributes to LG&E's net under-recovery position. If the BESF had been calculated using total revenues, the BESF would be 3.47% instead of 3.62% as filed. Applying the recalculated BESF to the base rate revenues results in an under-recovery of \$589,557. As previously stated, the Commission has approved the use of a revenue requirement method that will eliminate the impacts of the BESF percentage as discussed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

17 Q. For the other two components, please explain how the function of the ECR 18 mechanism contributes to the net under-recovery in the billing period under 19 review?

The first component is the use of the BESF percentage to estimate the amount collected through base rates. In the monthly filings, the BESF percentage is used to determine the amount of ECR revenue collected through base rates by applying the percentage to total base rate revenues. In the review proceedings, the billing determinants are used to determine the actual ECR revenues collected through base

rates. This methodology results in a perpetual mismatch between actual revenues collected and estimated revenues as reported in the monthly filings. In the billing period under review, the mismatch resulted in an under-recovery of \$984,566. As previously stated, the Commission has approved the use of a revenue requirement method that will eliminate the impacts of the BESF percentage as discussed.

A.

The second component is the use of 12-month average revenues to calculate the MESF and then applying that same MESF to the actual monthly revenues. The result is an over-collection during the summer months when actual revenues will generally be greater than the 12-month average and an under-collection during the shoulder months when actual revenues will generally be less than the 12-month average. In the billing period under review, the use of 12-month average revenues resulted in an over-recovery of \$1,397,589.

Q. What kind of adjustment is LG&E proposing in this case as a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period?

LG&E is proposing that the cumulative under-recovery of \$390,130 be recovered in one month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. Specifically, LG&E recommends that the Commission approve an increase to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement by \$390,130 for one month, beginning in the second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. This method is consistent with the method of implementing previous over- or under-recovery positions in prior ECR review cases.

Q. What is the bill impact on a residential customer for the proposed collection of the under-recovery?

- LG&E is proposing to collect the under-recovery of \$390,130 in a one month period.

 The inclusion of \$390,130 per month in the determination of the ECR billing factor will increase the billing factor by approximately 0.62%. For a residential customer using 1,000 kWh the ECR billing factor will increase by approximately \$0.46 per month for one month (using rates and adjustment clause factors in effect for the January 2010 billing month).
- Q. What rate of return is LG&E proposing to use for all ECR Plans upon the Commission's Order in this proceeding?
- A. LG&E is recommending an overall rate of return on capital of 10.97%, including the currently approved 10.63% return on equity and adjusted capitalization, to be used to calculate the environmental surcharge. This is based on capitalization as of August 31, 2009 and the Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in its February 5, 2009 Order in Case No. 2008-00252.
- 14 Q. What is your recommendation to the Commission in this case?

20

21

- 15 A. LG&E makes the following recommendations to the Commission in this case:
- The Commission should approve the proposed increase to the Environmental

 Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$390,130 per month for one month

 beginning in the second full billing month following the Commission's

 decision in this proceeding;
 - b) The Commission should determine environmental surcharge amount for the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2009 to be just and reasonable;
- 22 c) The Commission should approve the use of an overall rate of return on capital
 23 of 10.97% using a return on equity of 10.63% beginning in the second full
 24 billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes.

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	SS
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Rates for E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conroy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this And day of Lourney 2010.

Victoria B. Haiper (SEAL) Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20, 2010

APPENDIX A

Robert M. Conroy

Director - Rates E.ON U.S. Services Inc. 220 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 627-3324

Education

Masters of Business Administration

Indiana University (Southeast campus), December 1998. GPA: 3.9.

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering;

Rose Hulman Institute of Technology, May 1987. GPA: 3.3

Essentials of Leadership, London Business School, 2004.

Center for Creative Leadership, Foundations in Leadership program, 1998.

Registered Professional Engineer in Kentucky, 1995.

Previous Positions

Manager, Rates	April 2004 – Feb. 2008
Manager, Generation Systems Planning	Feb. 2001 – April 2004
Group Leader, Generation Systems Planning	Feb. 2000 – Feb. 2001
Lead Planning Engineer	Oct. 1999 – Feb. 2000
Consulting System Planning Analyst	April 1996 – Oct. 1999
System Planning Analyst III & IV	Oct. 1992 - April 1996
System Planning Analyst II	Jan. 1991 - Oct. 1992
Electrical Engineer II	Jun. 1990 - Jan. 1991
Electrical Engineer I	Jun. 1987 - Jun. 1990

Professional/Trade Memberships

Registered Professional Engineer in Kentucky, 1995.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)	
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS)	CASE NO.
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH)	2009-00502
BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2009)	

RESPONSE OF
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
TO
INFORMATION REQUESTED IN
APPENDIX B OF COMMISSION'S ORDER
DATED JANUARY 8, 2010

FILED: February 3, 2010

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	SS
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Rates for E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conroy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 2010 day of 100 day of 2010.

Victoria B. Houper (SEA)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20,2010

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Shannon L. Charnas**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Director – Utility Accounting and Reporting for E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., and that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Shannon L. Charnas

Motern Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20,2010



LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 1

Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Shannon L. Charnas

Q-1. Concerning the rate of return on the four amendments to the environmental compliance plan, for the period under review, calculate any true-up adjustment needed to recognize changes in LG&E's cost of debt, preferred stock, accounts receivable financing (if applicable), or changes in LG&E's jurisdictional capital structure. Include all assumptions and other supporting documentation used to make this calculation. Any true-up adjustment is to be included in the determination of the over- or under-recovery of the surcharge for the corresponding billing period under review.

A-1. Please see the attachment.

LG&E calculated the true-up adjustment to recognize changes in the cost of debt and capital structure in two steps, shown on Pages 1 and 2 of the attachment to this response. Page 1 reflects the true-up required due to the changes between the Rate Base as filed and the Rate Base as Revised through the Monthly Filings. However, during the period under review there were no revisions to reflect. Page 2 represents the true-up in the Rate of Return as filed compared to the actual Rate of Return calculations. No further revisions to Rate Base were identified during this review period.

Page 3 provides the adjusted weighted average cost of capital for the period under review.

LG&E did not engage in accounts receivable financing or have any preferred stock during the period under review.

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1

Page 1 of 3

Conroy

Louisville Gas & Electric Company Overall Rate of Return True-up Adjustment - Revised Rate Base Impact on Calculated E(m)

	dn ən			,	1		,	ı	,		1
(6)	Jursidictional True up Adjustment	(4) * (8)								The state of the s	
	es ES		8						€9	6	9
(8)	Jurisdictional Allocatíon, ES Form 1.00		79.22%	82.25%	79.33%	%80.06	91.14%	91.80%			
(7)	True-up Adjustment	(3) * (6) / 12	1	1	1	ı	1	•	1		
	True-up	(3) *	89						ક્ક	ų.	9
(9)	Change in Rate Base	(5) - (4)	1	i	1	•	i	1		ngo in Dote Bose	iges iii Naw Dase
(5)	Rate Base As Revised		\$ 242,056,589 \$	241,887,567	241,120,333	240,615,206	240,824,315	240,580,133		Umulative Impact of Chances in Date Dase	Cumulative impact of Cum
(4)	Rate Base as Filed		\$ 242,056,589	241,887,567	241,120,333	240,615,206	240,824,315	240,580,133			
(3)	Rate of Return as Filed		10.98%	10.98%	10.98%	10.98%	10.82%	10.82%			
(2)	Expense Month		Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09			
(1)	Billing Month		May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep-09	Oct-09			

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 Page 2 of 3

Conroy

Louisville Gas & Electric Company Overall Rate of Return True-up Adjustment - Revised Rate of Return Impact on Calculated E(m)

				Т		_						_		 	7	
6)	S	Jursidictional True	up Adjustment	(7) * (8)	23,970	24,869	23,910	27.093	56 701	10,00	57,054	213,597			\$ 213,597	
(8)	(o) Jurisdictional	Allocation, ES	Form 1.00		79.22%	82.25%	79.33%	%80 06	140/	91.14%	91.80%					
(£)	()		True-up Adjustment	(5)*(6)/12	30,257	30,236	30,140	20 02	2000	62,213	62,150	245 073	0.000		\$ 245,073	
(V)	(9)		Rate Base as Revised True-up Adjustment		\$ 242.056.589	241,887,567	241 120.333	200512000	240,013,200	240,824,315	240.580.133				Cumulative Impact of Changes in Rate of Return \$	•
	(5)	Change in Rate of	Return	(4) - (3)	0.15%	0.15%	0.13%	0.110	0.15%	0.31%	0.31%	0.10.0			nulative Impact of Cha	•
	(4)	Rate of Return as	Revised		11 13%	11 13%	11.1370	11.1370	11.13%	11.13%	11 120/	11.1370			Cun	
	(3)	Date of Return			10.080/	10.9870	10.98%	10.98%	10.98%	10.82%	/00001	10.82%				
	(2)	Lynongo	Month		00 - 91	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	111-09	, .	Ang-09				
	(1)	D:11:22	Month		00	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sen-09	Och-O	Oct-09				

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity at October 31, 2009

	ı				1
Cost of Capital (Colf x Col 7)		%10:0	2.02%	5.76%	7.79%
Annual Cost Rate (8)		0.22%	5.16%	10.63%	
Adjusted Capital Structure (7)		%65'9	39.22%	54.19%	100.000%
Adjusted Electric Capitalization (Col 1 - Col 3) (6)		\$ 121,577,972	723,098,738	590,068,866	\$ 1,843,566,774
Adjustments to Capitalization (Cel 17) (5)		1,541,254	9,172,681	12,673,829	23,387,763
uo c		718 \$,057	,236	\$ 110
Electric Capitalization (Coll x Col 3) (4)		120,036,718	713,926,057	986,216,236	1,820,179,01
Electric Rate Base Percentage (3)	1	79.67%	79.67%	79.67%	[**]
Capital Structure (2)		%65'9	39.22%	54.19%	100.000%
Per Books 10-31-09 (1)		\$ 150,667,400	896,104,000	1,237,876,536	\$ 2,284,647,936
	ELECTRIC	1. Short Term Debt	2. Long Term Debt	3. Common Equity	4. Total Capitalization

5. Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect {ROR + (ROR - DR) x [TR / (1 - TR)]}

11.13%

LECTRIC	Capitalization (Cod 1) (10)	Capital Structure (11)	Trimble County Inventories (a) (Cal 11 x Col 12 Line 4) (12)	investments in OVEC & Other (call x call Line 4) (13)	JDIC (Cel 11 x Cel 14 Line 4)	Environmental Surcharge (Net of ECR Roll-in) (Col 11 x Col 12 Line 4) (15)	Advanced Coal Investment Tax Credit (b) (cel 11 x Co 16 Lize 4) (16)	Total Adjustments To Capital (17)
Short Term Debt	\$ 120,036,718	6.59%	\$ (327,828) \$) \$ (39,946)	\$ 1,768,715	\$ (1,378,456)	\$ 1,518,769	\$ 1,541,254
2. Long Term Debt	713,926,057	39.22%	(1,951,046)	(237,738)	10,526,401	(8,203,798)	9,038,862	9,172,681
Common Equity	986,216,236	54.19%	(2,695,747)) (328,481)	14,544,255	(11,335,131)	12,488,932	12,673,829
Total Capitalization	\$ 1,820,179,011	100.000%	\$ (4,974,621)) \$ (606,165)	\$ 26,839,371	\$ (20,917,385)	\$ 23,046,563	\$ 23,387,763

(a)	Trimble County Inventories @ October 31, 2009	
	Stores	\$ 4,478,528
	Stores Expense	641,802
	Coal	14,237,794
	Limestone	213,655
	Fuel Oil	325,169
	Emission Allowances	1,536
	Total Trimble County Inventories	\$ 19,898,484
	Multiplied by Disallowed Portion	25.00%
	Trimble County Inv. Disallowed	\$ 4,974,621

⁽b) Reflects Investment Tax Credit treatment per Case No. 2007-00179.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 2

Witness: Robert M. Conroy

- Q-2. Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of Total E(m), Net Retail E(m), and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the applicable billing period. Include the expense months for the two expense months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments for the months included for the billing period under review. The summary schedule is to incorporate all corrections and revisions to the monthly surcharge filings LG&E has submitted during the billing period under review. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount LG&E believes needs to be recognized for the six-month review. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for any such additional over- or under-recovery.
- A-2. Please see the attachment to this response for the summary schedule and cumulative components which make up the net under-recovery.

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2
Page 1 of 3
Conroy

Louisville Gas & Electric Company Calculation of E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2009 through August 2009

	Retail E(m) Comments: As Revised in This Review								
(8)	Retail E(m)	(2) * (2)	2,772,670	3,004,943	2,775,073	3,266,144	3,169,354	3,266,691	
(7)	Retail Allocation Ratio	ES Form 1.10	79.22%	82.25%	79.33%	%80.06	91.14%	91.80%	
(9)	Total E(m)	(3)*(4)+(5)	3,499,962	3,653,426	3,498,138	3,625,826	3,477,457	3,558,487	
(5)	Operating Expenses (net of allowance	ES Form 2.00 (3) * $(4) + (5)$	\$ 1,254,887	1,409,919	1,261,747	1,394,120	1,243,811	1,327,106	
(4)	Rate of Return as Revised		11.13%	11.13%	11.13%	11.13%	11.13%	11.13%	
(3)	Monthly Rate Base as Revised	(2) / 12	20,171,382	20,157,297	20,093,361	20,051,267	20,068,693	20,048,344	
(2)	Rate Base as Revised	ES Form 2.00	242,056,589		241,120,333			240,580,133	
(1)	Expense Month R		Mar-09 \$	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2

Page 2 of 3

Conroy

Louisville Gas & Electric Company Calculation of E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2009 through August 2009

Louisville Gas & Electric Company Reconciliation of Combined Over/(Under) Recovery Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2009 through August 2009

(390,130)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8) Jurisdictional	(9)	
Billing Month	Expense Month	Rate of Return as Filed	Rate of Return as Revised	Change in Rate of Return (4) - (3)	Rate Base as Revised	Impact of change in Rate of Return (5) * (6) / 12		Jursidictional Impact (7) * (8)	
May-09	Mar-09	10 98%	11 13%	0 15%	\$ 242,056,589	(30,257)	79 22%	(23,970)	
Jun-09	Apr-09	10 98%	11 13%	0 15%	241,887,567	(30,236)	82 25%	(24,869)	
Jul-09	May-09	10 98%	11 13%	0 15%	241,120,333	(30,140)	79 33%	(23,910)	
Aug-09	Jun-09	10 98%	11 13%	0 15%	240,615,206	(30,077)	90 08%	(27,093)	
Sep-09	Jul-09	10 82%	11 13%	0 31%	240,824,315	(62,213)	91 14%	(56,701)	
Oct-09	Aug-09	10 82%	11 13%	031%	240,580,133	(62,150)	91 80%	(57,054)	
061-09	Aug-09	10 0270	11 1370	03176	240,360,133	(02,130)	21 0070	(37,034)	
			Cun	nulative Impact of Ch	anges in Rate of Return	\$ (245,073)		\$ (213,597)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(1)	(6)	(2)	(10)
			As filed BESF •	Actual ECR	As Filed	Recalculated	Recalc BESF *	Recalculated	BESF %
		Base Rate Revenues	Base Rates	Base Rates	BESF	BESF	Base Rates	Difference	Difference
		(from ES Form 3 00)	(from ES Form 2 00)	(Q2, pg 2, Col 10)	(from ES Form 1 00)		(3) * (7)	(8) - (4)	(5) - (8)
							1 750 050	/35 / · · ·	
May-09	Mar-09	50,442,615	1.826,023	1.760,798	3.62%		1,750,359	(75,664)	10,440
Jun-09	Apr-09	66.018,892	2,389,884	2,152.606	3 62%	3 47%	2,290,856	(99,028)	(138,249)
Jul-09	May-09	75,660,981	2,738,928	2,366,888	3 62%		2,625,436	(113,491)	(258,548)
Aug-09	Jun-09	72,375,034	2,619,976	2,243,789	3 62%	3 47%	2,511,414	(108,563)	(267,625)
Sep-09	Jul-09	68,118,532	2,465,891	2,151,236	3 62%		2,363,713	(102,178)	(212,477)
Oct-09	Aug-09	60,422,151	2,187,282	1,978,542	3 62%	3 47%		(90,633)	(118,107)
		393,038,205	14,227,983	12,653,860			13,638,426	(589,557)	(984,566)
	Actu	al Base Rate Collections	12,653,860		Actual Bas	e Rate Collections	12,653,860	•	
			(1,574,123)				(984,566)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
		-	Reco	overy Position Explan	ation - Over/(Under)				
		Combined Total				Use of 12 Month			
Billing	Expense	Over/(Under)		BESF Calculation		Average			
Month	Month	Recovery	ROR Trueup	Differences	Use of BESF %	Revenues			
Monns	WOM	(Q2, pg 2, Col 11)	KOK Hueup	Differences	OSC OF BEST 70	revenues			
May-09	Mar-09	(80,187)	(23,970)	(75,664)	10,440	9,007			
Jun-09	Apr-09	682,952	(24,869)	(99,028)	(138,249)	945,099			
Jul-09	May-09	949,027	(23,910)	(113,491)	(258,548)	1,344,976			
Aug-09	Jun-09	(186,863)	(27,093)	(108,563)	(267,625)	216,418			
Sep-09	Jul-09	(884,653)	(56,701)	(102,178)		(513,298)			
Oct-09	Aug-09	(870,407)	(57,054)	(90,633)		(604,613)			

OVER/UNDER RECONCILIATION		
Combined Over/Under Recovery		(390,130)
Due to BESF Calculation Differences	(589,557)	
Due to use of BESF %	(984,566)	
Due to Change in ROR	(213,597)	
Use of 12 Month Average Revenues	1,397,589	
Subtotal	•	(390,130)
Unreconciled Difference		•

(589,557)

(984,566)

1,397,589

(213,597)

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 3

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-3. Provide the calculations, assumptions, workpapers, and other supporting documents used to determine the amounts LG&E has reported during each billing period under review for Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes.
- A-3. LG&E calculates Deferred Income Taxes as the taxable portion of the difference between book depreciation, using straight line depreciation, and tax depreciation, generally using 20 year MACRS accelerated depreciation or 5 or 7 year rapid amortization. Accelerated depreciation results in a temporary tax savings to the Company and the Accumulated Deferred Tax balance reflects the value of those temporary savings as a reduction to environmental rate base.

See the attachment for the calculation of Deferred Income Taxes and the balance of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes reported each month of the review period.

2001 Plan Project 6 -- NOx

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	192.860.844	617.234	843.207	225.973	38.9000%	87.903	12.863.749	1,053,265
40-ra	192.860.844	617,234	843,207	225,973	38.9000%	87,903	12,951,652	1,053,265
May-09	192,860,844	617,234	843,207	225,973	38.9000%	87,903	13,039,555	1,053,265
Jun-09	192,860,844	617,234	843,207	225,973	38.9000%	87,903	13,127,458	1,053,265
Jul-09	192,860,844	617,234	843,207	225,973	38.9000%	87,903	13,215,361	1,053,265
Aug-09	192,860,844	617,234	843,207	225,973	38.9000%	87,903	13,303,264	1,053,265

2003 - Plan
Project 7 -- Mill Creek FGD Scrubber Conversion

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	935,265	516,073
Apr-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	946,332	516,073
May-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	957,399	516,073
Jun-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	968,466	516,073
Jul-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	979,533	516,073
Aug-09	30,861,686	103,474	131,924	28,450	38.9000%	11,067	990,600	516,073

2003 - Plan Project 8 -- Precipitators

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38.9000%	363	938,369	275,252
Apr-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38.9000%	363	938,732	275,252
May-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38.9000%	363	939,095	275,252
Jun-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38.9000%	363	939,458	275,252
Jul-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38 9000%	363	939,821	275,252
Aug-09	11,929,133	47,792	48,724	932	38.9000%	363	940,181	275,252

<u>2003 - Plan</u> Project 9 -- Clearwell Water System

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	1,197,310	3,702	4,877	1,175	38.9000%	457	24,679	4,716
P0-raA	1,197,310	3,702	4,877	1,175	38.9000%	457	25,136	4,716
May-09	1,197,310	3,702	4,877	1,175	38.9000%	457	25,593	4,716
Jun-09	1,197,310	3.702	4.877	1,175	38.9000%	457	26,050	4,716
Jul-09	1.197,310	3.702	4.877	1,175	38.9000%	457	26,507	4,716
Aug-09	1,197,310	3,702	4,877	1,175	38.9000%	457	26,964	4,716

2003 - Plan Project 10 -- Absorber Trays

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	2,734,620	8.614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	71,505	-
Apr-09	2,734,620	8.614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	72,110	-
May-09	2,734,620	8,614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	72,715	-
Jun-09	2.734,620	8,614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	73,320	-
Jul-09	2,734,620	8,614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	73,925	-
Aug-09	2,734,620	8,614	10,168	1,554	38.9000%	605	74,528	-

2005 - Plan Project 11 -- Special Waste Landfill Expansion - MC

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	2.282.981	6.158	10.868	4.710	38.9000%	1.832	131,125	22.369
Apr-09	2,282,981	6.158	10,868	4.710	38.9000%		132,957	22,369
May-09	2,282,981	6,158	10,868	4,710	38.9000%	1,832	134,789	22,369
Jun-09	2,282,981	6,158	10,868	4,710	38.9000%	1,832	136,621	22,369
Jul-09	2,282,981	6,158	10,868	4,710	38.9000%	1,832	138,453	22,369
Aug-09	2,282,981	6,158	10,868	4,710	38.9000%	1,832	140,289	22,369

2005 - Plan Project 12 -- Special Waste Landfill Expansion - CR

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	2,988,137	5,304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	140,189	-
Apr-09	2,988,137	5,304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	144,217	-
May-09	2.988.137	5.304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	148,245	**
Jun-09	2,988,137	5,304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	152,273	-
Jul-09	2.988,137	5,304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	156,301	-
Aug-09	2.988.137	5,304	15,658	10,354	38.9000%	4,028	160,329	-

2005 - Plan Project 13 -- Scrubber Refurbishment - TC1

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	855,968	2,582	8,020	5,438	38.9000%	2,115	39,880	-
Apr-09	855,968	2,582	8,020	5,438	38.9000%	2,115	41,995	-
May-09	850,100	2,272	7,735	5,463	38.9000%	2,125	44,120	73,550
Jun-09	850,100	2,564	8,029	5,465	38.9000%	2,126	46,246	73,550
Jul-09	850,100	2,564	8,029	5,465	38.9000%	2,126	48,372	73,550
Aug-09	850,100	2,564	8,029	5,465	38.9000%	2,126	50,498	73,550

2005 - Plan Project 14 -- Scrubber Refurbishment - CR6

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	308.507	1.147	1.700	553	38.9000%	215	14.729	9.075
Apr-09	308,507	1 147	1,700	553	38.9000%	215	14,944	9,075
May-09	308,507	1,147	1,700	553	38.9000%	215	15,159	9,075
Jun-09	308,507	1,147	1,700	553	38.9000%	215	15,374	9,075
Jul-09	308,507	1,147	1,700	553	38.9000%	215	15,589	9,075
Aug-09	308,507	1,147	1,700	553	38.9000%	215	15,804	9,075

2005 - Plan Project 15 -- Scrubber Refurbishment - CR5

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	-	-	~	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Apr-09	-	-	-	•	38.9000%	=	-	
May-09	-	-	~	-	38.9000%	~	-	-
Jun-09	-	-	**	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Jul-09	•7	_	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Aua-09	_		-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-

2005 - Plan Project 16 -- Scrubber Improvements - TC1

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	722,562	26,166
Apr-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	740,015	26,166
May-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	757,468	26,166
Jun-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	774,921	26,166
Jul-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	792,374	26,166
Aug-09	7,361,078	22,206	67,072	44,866	38.9000%	17,453	809,830	26,166

2006 - Plan Project 18 -- TC2 AQCS Equipment

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	-	-	**	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Apr-09	•	-	_	-	38.9000%	~	-	-
May-09	-		-	-	38.9000%	-		-
Jun-09	-			_	38.9000%	~	-	-
Jul-09	-	-	-	_	38.9000%	~	_	-
Aug-09	-	•		-	38.9000%		-	-

2006 - Plan Project 19 - Sorbent Injection, Mill Creek & Trimble 1

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	66,319	-
Apr-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	74,648	-
May-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	82,977	-
Jun-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	91,306	-
Jul-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	99,635	-
Aug-09	3,277,721	9,888	31,300	21,412	38.9000%	8,329	107,964	-

2006 - Plan Project 20 - Mercury Monitors, all plants

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,072	-
Apr-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,244	-
May-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,416	-
Jun-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,588	
Jul-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,760	-
Aua-09	163,842	1,724	2,166	442	38.9000%	172	8,932	-

2006 - Plan Project 21 -- Particulate Monitors, Mill Creek

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-09	397,151	1,361	4,088	2,727	38.9000%	1,061	51,435	-
Apr-09	397,151	1,361	4,088	2,727	38.9000%	1,061	52,496	-
Mav-09	397,151	1,361	4,088	2,727	38.9000%	1,061	53,557	-
Jun-09	397,151	1,361	4.088	2,727	38.9000%	1,061	54,618	-
Jul-09	397,151	1.361	4.088	2.727	38.9000%	1,061	55,679	-
Aug-09	397,151	1,361	4,088	2,727	38.9000%	1,061	56,738	-

i		

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 4

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-4. Provide the percentage of LG&E's long-term debt that has a variable interest rate as of the last expense month in the applicable billing period under review.
- A-4. For the last expense month of the billing period May 1, 2009 through October 31, 2009, the percentage of LG&E's long-term debt with a variable rate was 19%.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 5

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-5. Refer to ES Form 2.50, Pollution Control Operations & Maintenance Expenses, for the March 2009 through August 2009 expense months. For each expense account number listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent.
- A-5. Attached please find a schedule showing the changes in the operations and maintenance expense accounts for March 2009 through August 2009 expense months. The changes in the expense levels are reasonable and occurred as a part of routine plant operations and maintenance.

Monthly variances in the NOx operation expenses, accounts 506104 and 506105, reflect normal SCR operations that will fluctuate with generation and coal quality. The variances for account 506104 are driven strictly by the purchase and delivery timing of the raw consumable material.

Fluctuations in the NOx maintenance expenses, account 512101, are the result of routine monthly maintenance on the SCRs. March included additional testing and maintenance expenses during the Mill Creek Unit 4 outage.

Fluctuations in the scrubber operation expenses, account 502006, are the result of regular operation of the Trimble County Unit 1 FGD. These are variable production expenses and will fluctuate with generation, coal quality and the SO₂ removal rate. March was lower than normal due to lower generation and limestone purchases related to the outage.

Fluctuations in sorbent injection operation expenses, account 506109, are the result of on-going system operation; beginning in June increases related to additional contract labor. Fluctuations in sorbent injection maintenance expenses, account 512102, are the result of normal system maintenance.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Pollution Control - Operations & Maintenance Expenses

			% Change from Prior	6 J	% Change from Prior						
O&M Expense Account	Mar-09	Apr-09	Репод	May-09	Репод	Jun-09	Репод	Jul-09	Репод	Aug-09	Period
2001 Plan											
506104 NOx Operation Consumables	########	153,558.49	27%	163,368.20	%9	250,958.59	54%	119,528.80	-52%	160,564.31	34%
506105 NOx Operation - Labor and Other	8,709.40	8,920.79	2%	9,194.18	3%	8,746.06	%5-	8,735.42	1 %0	12,544.51	44%
512101 NOx Maintenance	80,507.92	26,065.22	%89-	25,348.76	-3%	21,168.38	-16%	18,983.33	-10%	22,855.70	20%
Total 2001 Plan O&M Expenses	########	188,544.50	-10%	197,911.14	2%	280,873.03	45%	147,247.55	-48%	195,964.52	33%
2005 Plan											
502006 Scrubber Operations	20,090.13	45,404.73	126%	46,328.46	2%	39,212,98	-15%	38,948.30	-1%	38,200.33	-2%
512005 Scrubber Maintenance	•		%0	,	%0	,	%0	,	%0	,	%0
501201 Ashpond Dredging Expense	#######	171,471.16	1 %0	171,471.17	1 %0	171,471.16	1 %0	171,471.17	0% 1	171,471.16	0%
Total 2005 Plan O&M Expenses	########	216,875.89	13%	217,799.63	1 %0	210,684.14	-3%	210,419.47	0%	209,671.49	1 %0
2006 Plan											
506109 Sorbent Injection Operation	31,010.92	22,400.93	-28%	26,011.25	16%	82,720.96	218%	66,531.40	-20%	102,590.61	54%
512102 Sorbent Injection Maintenance	1,696.33	4,136.16	144%	1,600.28	-61%	1,124.58	-30%	895.79	-20%	162.28	-82%
506110 Mercury Monitors Operation	-	,	%0	-	%0	-	%0	-	%0	-	%0
512103 Mercury Monitors Maintenance	-	-	%0	_	%0	,	%0		%0	-	%0
Total 2006 Plan O&M Expenses	32,707.25	26,537.09	-19%	27,611.53	4%	83,845.54	204%	67,427.19	-20%	102,752.89	52%

¹ Amount rounds to less than 1%

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 8, 2010

Case No. 2009-00502

Question No. 6

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-6. In Case No. 2000-00439, the Commission ordered that LG&E's cost of debt and preferred stock be reviewed and re-established during the six-month review case. Provide the following information as of August 31, 2009:
 - a. The outstanding balances for long-term debt, short-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Provide this information on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
 - b. The blended interest rates for long-term debt, short-term debt, and preferred stock. Include all supporting calculations showing how these blended interest rates were determined. If applicable, provide the blended interest rates on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
 - c. LG&E's calculation of its weighted average cost of capital for environmental surcharge purposes.
- A-6. a. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock as of August 31, 2009, therefore it is not listed in the attached schedule.
 - b. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock as of August 31, 2009, therefore it is not listed in the attached schedule.
 - c. Please see the attachment. LG&E is utilizing a return on equity of 10.63% as agreed to and approved by the Commission in its February 5, 2009 Order in Case No. 2008-00252.

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Outstanding Balances - Capitalization As of August 31, 2009

	1	2	3 Outstanding Balance
		Outstanding Balance Total Company	Electric Only 79.67%
1	Long-Term Debt	896,104,000	713,926,057
2	Short-Term Debt	163,033,400	129,888,710
3	Common Equity	1,203,042,332	958,463,826

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Blended Interest Rates As of August 31, 2009

		1 Blended Interest Rate Total Company
1	Long-Term Debt	5.10%
2	Short-Term Debt	0.30%

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ANALYSIS OF THE EMBEDDED COST OF CAPITAL AT August 31, 2009

			LC	ONG-TERM DEB	L				
					Annualized Cost				
	Due	Rate	Principal	Interest/(Income)	Amortized Debt Issuance Expense	Amortized Loss- Reacquired Debt	Letter of Credit and other fees	Total	Embedded Cost
Pollution Control Bonds -		_							
Jefferson Co. 2000 Series A	05/01/27	5 37500% *	25,000.000		*	117,881		1,461,631	5 8
Trimble Co 2000 Series A	08/01/30	0.36000% *	83,335,000	300,006	38,701	143,700	208,338 c	690,745	0.8
Jefferson Co. 2001 Series A	09/01/27	0 32000% *	10,104,000	32,333	20,391	•	35,516 d	88,240	0.8
Jefferson Co 2001 Series A	09/01/26	0 95000% *	22,500,000	213,750	9.924	77,424	22,500 b	323,598	1.4
Trimble Co 2001 Series A	09/01/26	0.90000% *	27,500.000	247,500	10,790	65,400	27,500 ь	351,190	1 2
Jefferson Co 2001 Series B	11/01/27	1 20000% *	35,000,000	420.000	10,995	49,056	35,000 ⊾	515,051	1 4
Trimble Co 2001 Series B	11/01/27	1.20000% *	35,000,000	420,000	10.997	48,864	35,000 ь	514,861	1.4
Trimble Co 2002 Series A	10/01/32	0 33200% *	41,665,000	138,328	37.221	55,812	104,163 c	335,524	0.8
Louisville Metro 2003 Series A	10/01/33	0 51000% *	128,000,000	3 652,800		311,491	127,649 h	1,091.940	0.8
Louisville Metro 2003 Series A	10/01/33	0.51000% *	(128.000.000)	3 (652.800)	_	÷	•	(652.800)	(0.5
Louisville Metro 2005 Series A	02/01/35	5 75000% *	40.000.000	4 2,300,000	-	96,444	*	2,396.444	5 9
Trimble Co 2007 Series A	06/01/33	4 60000%	60,000,000	2,760,000	47,192	6,567	18,270 a	2.832.029	4.7
Louisville Metro 2007 Series A	06/01/33	5 62500% *	31,000,000	4 1.743.750		41.417		1,785,167	5 7
Louisville Metro 2007 Series B	06/01/33	2 75000% *	35,200,000	3 968,000	-	27,327	10,718 a	1.006,045	2.8
Louisville Metro 2007 Series B	06/01/33	2 75000% *	(35,200.000)			•	-	(968,000)	(2.7
Called Bonds	55.51.55			. (,		263,196	2	263,196	
Total External Debt			411,104,000	9,919,417	186,211	1,304,579	624,654	12,034,861	1.34
Interest Rate Swaps:									
JP Morgan Chase Bank	11/01/20	1		3.956.708	-			3.956,708	
Morgan Stanley Capital Services	10/01/33	1		1,033,195			•	1.033,195	
Morgan Stanley Capital Services	10/01/33	1		1.029.611	-	-		1.029.611	
Bank of America	10/01/33	1		1,044,544	-	-		1,044,544	
Interest Rate Swaps External Debt				7,064,058	-	-	~	7,064,058	0.79
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	01/16/12	4 33%	25.000,000	1,082,500	-	-	-	1.082,500	4.3
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	04/30/13	4 55%	100,000,000	4,550,000		-	-	4,550,000	4.5
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	08/15/13	5.31%	100,000,000	5,310,000			-	5,310,000	5 3
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	11/23/15	6.48%	50,000,000	3.240,000	•	-	•	3.240,000	6 4
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	07/25/18	6 21%	25,000,000	1,552,500			-	1,552,500	6 2
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	11/26/22	5 72%	47,000,000	2.688.400		-	•	2,688,400	5 7:
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	04/13/31	5 93%	68,000,000	4,032,400			•	4,032,400	5 9
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	04/13/37	5 98%	70,000,000	4,186,000	-	-		4,186,000	5.9
Total Internal Debt			485,000,000	26,641,800			*	26,641,800	2.97
		Total	896,104,000	43,625,275	186,211	1,304,579	624,654	45,740,719	5.10

			SH	ORT TERM DEBT				······································	
					Ar	nnualized Cost			
	Maturity	Rate	Principal	Interest	Expense	Loss	Loss	Total	Embedded Cost
Notes Payable to Associated Company	NA	0.300% *	163.033.400	489.100	~	-	-	489.100	0 30
		Total	163,033,400	489,100	-	# ##		489,100	0.30%
Embedded Cost of Total Debt			1,059,137,400	44,114,375	186,211	1,304,579	624,654	46,229,819	4.36%
* Composite rate at end of current month									

•	Composite	rate	at	end	οſ	current	month	

1 Additional interest due to Swap Agreem	nents:		Expiration of Swap	Fixed LG&E Swap	Fixed LG&E Swap	Variable Counterparty
	Underlying Debt Being Hedged	Notional Amount	Agreement	Position	Position	Swap Position
	Series Z - PCB	83.335,000	11/01/20	5 495%	5.495%	BMA Index
	Series GG - PCB	32,000,000	10/01/33	3 657%	3 657%	68% of 1 mo LIBOR
	Series GG - PCB	32.000,000	10/01/33	3 645%	3 645%	68% of 1 mo LIBOR
	Series GG - PCB	32,000,000	10/01/33	3 695%	3 695%	68% of 1 mo LIBOR
		179.335,000				

- 2 Call premium and debt expense is being amortized over the remaining life of bonds due 10/1/09, 6/1/15, 7/1/13 and 8/1/17
- 3 Reacquired bonds, which net to zero as they are also included in Short Term Debt Notes Payable to Associated Company
- 4 Remarketed bonds. Issued at long term fixed rate
- a Insurance premiums annualized based on actual involces b Remarketing fee = 10 basis points c Remarketing fee = 25 basis points d Combination of a and c

	2 Electric Only	3 Capital Structure	4 Cost Rate	5 Weighted Average Cost of Capital	6 Tax Gross-up Factor	7 Weighted Average Cost of Capital with Equity Gross-up
1 Long-Term Debt	713,926,057	39.61%	5.10%	2.02%		2.02%
2 Short-Term Debt	129,888,710	7.21%	0.30%	0.02%		0.02%
3 Common Equity	958,463,826	53.18%	10.63%	5.65%	0.58	8.93%
4 Total	1,802,278,593			7.69%		10.97%
	Ľ	Rate of Return (ROR) Grossed Up:	ed Up:	10.97%		

Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect {ROR + (ROR - Debt rate) x [TR/(1-TR)]}

See tax rate (TR) calculation on 6(c) page (2)

ECR - Gross-up Revenue Factor & Composite Income Tax Calculation 2009

(1)	Assume pre-tax income of	Prod W/ 6	2009 eral & State uction Credit % 2009 State Rate Included 100.0000	
(2)				
(3)	State income tax (see below)		5.6604	(37)
(4)	Taxable income for Federal income tax			
(5) (6)	before production credit		94.3396	(1) - (3)
(7)	before production erealt		6%	(1) (3)
(8)	Less: Production tax credit		5.6604	(6)*(7)
(9)	Dess. Froduction tall create	***************************************		(3) (1)
(10) (11)	Taxable income for Federal income tax		88.6792	(6) - (8)
(12)	Federal income tax		31.0377	(10)*35%
(13)				. ,
(14)	Total State and Federal income taxes			
(15)		\$	36.6981	(3)+(12)
(16)				
(17)	Gross-up Revenue Factor		63.3019	100-(15)
(18)		***************************************		
(19)	Therefore, the composite rate is:			
(20)	Federal		31.0377%	(12)/100
(21)	State		5.6604%	(3)/100
(22)	Total		36.6981%	(20)+(21)
(23) (24) (25) (26) (27)				
(28)	State Income Tax Calculation			
(29)	Assume pre-tax income of	\$	100.0000	
(30)				
(31)	Less: Production tax credit		5.6604	(8)
(32)			0.4.220.6	
(33)	Taxable income for State income tax		94.3396	(29) - (31)
(34)	C4-4- T D-4-		<i>C</i> 00000/	
(35)	State Tax Rate		6.0000%	
(36) (37)	State Income Tax		5.6604	(33)*(35)
(3/)	State mounte ran		J,000 ⁻⁷	(33) (33)