

Jeff DeRouen
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

JAN 26 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE
CONIMISSION

E.ON U.S. LLC

State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.eon-us.com

Robert M. Conroy Director - Rates T 502-627-3324 F 502-627-3213 robert.conroy@eon-us.com

January 26, 2010

RE: THE JOINT APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY AND LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF DEPRECIATION RATES FOR TRIMBLE COUNTY UNIT 2 – Case No. 2009-00329

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed please find an original and seven (7) copies of the Response of Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company to the Commission Staff's Data Request on Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony dated January 12, 2010, in the above-referenced proceeding.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosure

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)) SS:
COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND)

The undersigned, John J. Spanos, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Vice President, Valuation and Rate Division for Gannett Fleming, Inc., that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

John J. Spanos

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and

State, this 1941 day of January , 2010.

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNBYLVANIA

Tebruary 20, 2011

Notariai Seal Cheryl Ann Rutter, Notary Public East Pennsboro Twp., Cumberland County My Commission Expires Feb. 20, 2011

Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Ma	tter	of.
	11110	1712	HLCI	w.

THE JOINT APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY)
UTILITIES COMPANY AND LOUISVILLE GAS)
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL) CASE NO. 2009-00329
OF DEPRECIATION RATES FOR TRIMBLE)
COUNTY UNIT 2)

RESPONSE OF
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
TO
COMMISSION STAFF'S DATA REQUEST ON
JOINT APPLICANTS' REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
DATED JANUARY 12, 2010

FILED: January 26, 2010

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Data Request on Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony Dated January 12, 2010

Case No. 2009-00329

Question No. 1

Witness: John J. Spanos

- Q-1. Refer to page 2 of the Rebuttal Testimony of John J. Spanos ("Spanos Testimony") and Appendix JJS-R3. On page 2 of his testimony, Mr. Spanos references the most recent four years' experience of LG&E and KU regarding plant retirements and the cost of removal for Account 312, Boiler Plant Equipment. Mr. Spanos states that "This historical data is a much better indication of net salvage percents for Trimble County 2 than focusing on a smaller and older data sample, such as the AUS results through 2002."
 - a. Given his preference for using more current data, explain why the four most recent years referred to by Mr. Spanos are 2003-2006, when the appendix includes data for 2007 and when data should be available for 2008.
 - b. In his testimony, Mr. Spanos emphasizes the averages for the most recent four years. The appendix includes a 16-year combined average for LG&E and KU, a 34-year average for LG&E, a 19-year average for KU, individual and combined three-year moving averages and five-year averages for 2002-2006. Beyond reflecting his experience and judgment, describe how Mr. Spanos determines how to rank, or weigh, the various average results to determine which, if any, are the more relevant.
 - c. Other than for Account 316, Miscellaneous Plant Equipment, Mr. Kollen, the witness for Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. ("KIUC"), derived substantially different net salvage percents for Trimble County 2 ("TC 2") than the percents recommended by Mr. Spanos. Explain why the Spanos Testimony does not address any TC 2 plant accounts except for Account 312, Boiler Plant Equipment, and provide the data for Accounts 311, 314 and 315 in the same format as was provided for Account 312 in Appendix JJS-R3.
- A-1. a. Mr. Spanos' preference is to utilize all available data when determining net salvage percentages. In many cases, the most recent data more closely correlates to future expectations and in this particular case, the most recent

five years represents about two-thirds of the historical experience, which is why it was discussed in his rebuttal. The reason Mr. Spanos refers to the 2003-2006 time period is because at the time he determined the net salvage percent for Trimble County Unit 2, 2007 and 2008 data was not available to him. However, at the time rebuttal testimony was written, 2007 data was available, so it was considered in the evaluation and added to Appendix JJS-R3. The 2008 net salvage data has since been reviewed and supports the estimates recommended by Mr. Spanos.

b. There is no standard method utilized in each and every account to determine the most appropriate net salvage percent. Mr. Spanos, like all depreciation professionals, collects all the available information and data which includes industry information, to arrive at the most reasonable estimate. Thus, the best estimate is not just a statistical result.

In the case of Account 312, Boiler Plant Equipment, Mr. Spanos reviewed the historical 34-year data for Louisville Gas and Electric (LG&E) and the 19-year data for Kentucky Utilities (KU). The overall net salvage for LG&E is negative 33 percent and the overall net salvage for KU is negative 22 percent. However, in focusing on the individual year data and the three-year moving averages, the last 20 years for LG&E and last 15 years for KU were the most significant data to establish the most appropriate estimate. For consistency purposes between the two Companies, Mr. Spanos combined the analyses and developed results for the common years available and added 2007, to arrive at the 1992-2007 period shown in Appendix JJS-R3. This analysis sets forth an overall average of negative 24 percent, a most recent five-year average of negative 30 percent, and the most recent three-year rolling average around negative 35 percent. These areas became the most reliant in my final determination of negative 30 percent.

c. Account 312, Boiler Plant Equipment, is the largest of the plant accounts related to Trimble County Unit 2. The process of determining net salvage percents by Mr. Spanos is consistent for all accounts, and utilizing one account as an example to emphasize his point has been his practice in writing testimony. The salvage analyses for Accounts 311, 314, 315 and 316 have been conducted and set forth in the same fashion as Account 312 was set forth in Appendix JJS-R3. See attached. As the data shows, the combined analyses for Accounts 311, 314, 315 and 316 support the estimates recommended by Mr. Spanos.

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 1 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 311 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS

	REGULAR	COST REMOV		GROS SALVA		NET SALVAGE
YEAR	RETIREMENTS	TNUOMA	PCT	AMOUNT	PCT	AMOUNT PCT
1972	5,380	162	3		. 0	162- 3-
1973	9,301		0	775	8	775 8
1974	166,455	30,008	18	552	0	29,456- 18-
1975	4,816	2,201	46		0	2,201- 46-
1976	17,364	2,461	14	148	1	2,313- 13-
1977	9,993	3,390	34		0	3,390- 34-
1978	706	2 2 2 2	0		0	0
1979	35,088	9,102	26	1,550	4	7,552- 22-
1980	4,245	1 656	0		0	0
1981	336,223	1,656	0		0	1,656- 0
1982	3,566	335	9	11	0	335- 9-
1983	527,107	734	0 2	11	0 0	723- 0 139,134- 2-
1984	7,999,955 27,301	139,134 57,960	212		0	139,134- 2- 57,960-212-
1985 1986	83,061	29,750	36	10,787	13	18,963-23-
1987	125,887	20,183	16	69	10	20,114- 16-
1988	19,638	20,103	0	0,5	0	20,114 10
1989	4,499		0		0	0
1990	1,100		Ü		Ü	Ç
1991	67,462	17,694	26		0	17,694- 26-
1992	141,612	1,588	1		0	1,588- 1-
1993	279,758	44,837	16		0	44,837- 16-
1994	52,490	,	0		0	. 0
1995	258,855	21,365	8	1,279	0	20,086- 8-
1996	135,288	53,923	40	6,329	5	47,594- 35-
1997	70,532	8,504	12	8,625	12	121 0
1998	448,015	207,901	46		0	207,901- 46-
1999	110,093	36,068	33	697	1	35,371- 32-
2000	40,964		0		0	0
2001	171,276	990	1		0	990- 1-
2002	111,468		0		0	0
2003	865,133	100,649	12		0	100,649- 12-
2004	629,199	260,812	41		0	260,812- 41-
2005	921,450	114,744	12		0	114,744- 12-
2006	697,724	278,680	40		0	278,680- 40-
TOTAL	14,381,904	1,444,831	10	30,822	0	1,414,009- 10-

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 2 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 311 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	AL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	GE	NET SALVAC AMOUNT I	
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AV	ERAGES					
72-74 73-76 75-77 76-78 78-81 80-83 81-83 82-85 83-83 83-83 84-89 91-93 91-93 93-95	60,379 60,191 62,878 10,724 9,354 15,262 13,346 125,185 114,678 288,965 2,843,543 2,851,454 2,703,439 78,750 76,195 50,008 8,046 23,987 69,691 162,944 157,953 197,034 148,878 154,892 217,945 209,547 199,691 107,444 107,903 382,626 535,267 805,261 749,457	10,057 10,736 11,557 2,684 1,950 4,164 3,034 3,586 908 46,734 65,943 75,615 35,964 16,728 5,898 6,427 21,373 15,475 22,067 25,096 27,931 90,109 84,158 81,323 12,353 330 33,880 120,487 158,735 218,078	17 18 18 25 21 27 23 3 1 0 2 2 3 4 2 2 3 1 3 1 2 2 3 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	442 442 233 49 49 517 517 517 4 4 4 3,596 3,619 3,619 3,619 3,619 3,619 3,619 3,619 23	1100134000005500000002321000000	9,615- 10,294- 11,324- 2,635- 1,901- 3,647- 2,517- 3,069- 664- 904- 46,730- 65,939- 72,019- 32,345- 13,025- 6,705- 5,898- 6,427- 21,373- 15,475- 21,641- 22,560- 22,520- 85,124- 81,051- 12,121- 330- 33,880- 120,487- 158,735- 218,078-	17- 18- 25- 20- 24- 19- 0 2- 3- 41- 13- 25- 115- 15- 39- 41- 0 9- 23- 20-
FIVE-Y	ZEAR AVERAGE						
02-06	644,995	150,977	23		0	150,977-	23-

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 3 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 314 TURBOGENERATOR UNITS

		COST		GROS SALVA		NET	ימר
YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	REMOV AMOUNT		AMOUNT		SALVAC AMOUNT I	
1974	5,300	3,167	60		0	3,167-	60-
1975	5,583		0		0		0
1976							
1977							
1978	17,277	2,051	12	2,818	16	767	4
1979	1,527,611		0		0		0
1980	8,705		0		0		0
1981	3,710,700		0		0		0
1982	6,074	620	10		0	620-	10-
1983	2,465,234		0		0		0
1984	2,791,319		0		0		0
1985	7,690,532	899	0		0	899-	0
1986	18,073	813	4		0	813-	4 -
1987	43,600	2,606	6	17	0	2,589-	6 -
1988	122,693		0		0		0
1989	,						
1990	15,000		0		0		0
1991	1,406,443		0		0		0
1992	15,000		0		0		0
1993	22,000	524	2		0	524-	2 -
1994	110,318	22,262	20		0	22,262-	20-
1995	4,566,240	376,869	8	22,567	0	354,302-	8 -
1996	1,314,385	528,263	40	61,486	5	466,777-	36-
1997	612,710	73,876	12	74,929	12	1,053	0
1998	•	·		•			
1999	5,000	1,782	36	34	1	1,748-	35-
2000	,	•				·	
2001							
2002	94,480		0		0	***	0
2003	3,077,538	277,920	9		0	277,920-	9 -
2004	1,160,157	373,601	32		0	373,601-	32-
2005	464,123	60,425	13		0	60,425-	13-
2006	2,965,022	532,312	18		0	532,312-	18-
2000	2,200,020					/	
TOTAL	34,241,117	2,257,990	7	161,851	0	2,096,139-	6 -

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 4 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 314 TURBOGENERATOR UNITS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV. AMOUNT	AL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	GE.	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT
THREE-	YEAR MOVING A	VERAGES				
74-76 75-77 76-78 77-79 78-80 79-81 80-82 81-83 82-84-85 84-86 85-87 86-88 89-91-93 91-93-96 91-93-96 95-97 96-99 97-96	3,628 1,861 5,759 514,963 517,864 1,749,005 1,241,826 2,060,669 1,754,209 4,315,695 3,499,975 2,584,068 61,455 55,431 45,898 473,814 478,814 481,148 49,106 1,566,186 1,996,981 2,164,445 642,365 205,903 1,667 31,493 1,057,339 1,444,058 1,567,273 1,529,767	1,056 684 684 684 207 207 207 207 300 571 1,439 1,140 869 175 7,595 133,218 309,131 326,336 200,713 25,219 594 594 92,640 217,174 237,316 322,113	29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	939 939 939 939 939 66 66 67 7,522 28,018 52,994 45,472 24,988 11	0 0 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,056- 29- 0 255 4 255 0 255 0 207- 0 207- 0 207- 0 300- 0 571- 0 1,433- 0 1,134- 2- 863- 2- 0 0 7,595- 15- 125,696- 8- 281,113- 14- 273,342- 13- 155,241- 24- 231- 0 583- 35-
FIVE-	EAR AVERAGE					
02-06	1,552,264	248,852	16		0	248,852- 16-

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 5 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 315 ACCESSORY ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST (REMOVA AMOUNT)	AL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVAGI AMOUNT PO	
1972	33,729	502	1		0	502-	1-
1973	7,724		0	1,966	25	1,966	25
1974	10,311	417	4		0	417-	4
1975	11,172	521	5	2,381	21	1,860	17
1976	3,903	38,121	977	2,393	61	35,728-93	15-
1977	22,153	794	4		0	794 -	4 ~
1978	23,703	1,238	5	4,573	19	3,335	14
1979	140,861	388	0	123	0	265-	0
1980	127,304	1,849	1		0	1,849-	1 ~
1981	963,033		0	1,261	0	1,261	0
1982	8,574	993	12	999	12	6	0
1983	302,710	13-	0	688	0	701	0
1984	1,628,052	4,221	0		0	4,221-	0
1985	1,108,851	2,002	0		0	2,002-	0
1986	13,971		0		0		0
1987	807,408	95,681	12	926	0	94,755-	12-
1988	12,928	3,297	26	10-	- O	3,307- 3	26-
1989	97,796		0		0		0
1990	76,484	16,433-	21-	2,100	3	18,533	24
1991	313,936	1,028	0		0	1,028-	0
1992	61,486	10,547	17		0	10,547-	17-
1993	473,682	6,732-	1 -		0	6,732	1
1994	22,000		0		0		0
1995	822,779	67,907	8	4,066	0	63,841-	8 -
1996	348,770	140,174	40	16,315	5	123,859-	36-
1997	1,032,181	124,452	12	126,227	12	1,775	0
1998							
1999	2,918	1,040	36	21	1	1,019-	35-
2000	671,474	16,128	2		0	16,128-	2 -
2001	34,589		0		0		0
2002	102,272		0		0		0
2003	74,452		0		0		0
2004	829,101	26,830	3		0	26,830-	3 -
2005	·						
2006	1,043,304	59,113	6		0	59,113-	6 -
TOTAL	11,233,611	574,065	5	164,029	1	410,036-	4 -

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 6 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 315 ACCESSORY ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT

YEAR R	REGULAR ETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	/AL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	GE	NET SALVA AMOUNT	
THREE-YE	AR MOVING AVE	RAGES					
72-74 73-75 74-77 76-78 77-78 77-78 77-78 77-80 79-81 80-83 81-84 83-85 84-88 85-89 89-91 90-93-96 91-98	17,255 9,736 8,462 12,409 16,586 62,239 97,289 410,399 366,304 424,772 646,445 1,013,204 916,958 643,410 278,102 306,044 62,403 162,739 150,635 283,035 185,723 439,487 397,850 734,577 460,317 345,033 224,797 236,327 269,445 70,438 335,275 301,184 624,135	306 313 13,020 13,145 13,384 807 1,158 746 947 327 1,734 2,070 2,074 32,561 32,993 4,379 5,135 1,619 1,614 1,272 20,392 69,360 110,844 88,209 41,831 5,723 5,723 5,723 5,376 8,943 8,943 28,648	- 3 -	655 1,449 1,591 1,591 2,322 1,565 1,565 461 753 983 562 229 305 697 700 700 1,794 48,869 47,514 42,083 7	4 15 19 13 14 3 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	349 1,136 11,429- 11,554- 11,062- 758 407 285- 194- 656 1,172- 1,841- 2,074- 32,252- 32,688- 32,688- 5,076 5,835 2,319 1,614- 1,272- 19,037- 62,566- 61,975- 40,695- 252 5,716- 5,376- 5,376- 8,943- 8,943- 28,648-	2 12 135- 93- 67- 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 5- 11- 8 4 2 1- 4- 16- 8- 0 3- 5- 0 3- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15- 15
	R AVERAGE	17 100	4		0	17 100	4
02-06	409,826	17,189	4		0	17,189-	4 -

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 7 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 316 MISCELLANEOUS PLANT EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST C REMOVA AMOUNT F	L	GROS SALVI AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVAC AMOUNT I	
1972	985	62	6		0	62-	6 -
1973 1974 1975 1976	2,625 2,166 3,217 4,112		0 0 0	2,800	107	2,800 1	0 0 0 0
1977 1978 1979 1980	2,193 33,145 1,734	43	0 0 0	48	2 0 0	48 43-	2 0 0
1981 1982 1983 1984	15,052 350 309 344,269		0 0 0	7,500	50 0 0 0	7,500	50 0 0 0
1985 1986 1987 1988	68,016 7,808 5,311 1,311		0 0 0	53	0 0 0	53	0 0 0
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993	1,311 318 17,214 15,986 5,162 137,323	1,000-	0 6 - 0 0	175	55 0 0 0	175 1,000	55 6 0 0
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000	114,896 386,595 63,113	9,483 155,376 7,610	8 40 12	568 18,085 7,719	0 5 12	8,915- 137,291- 109	8 - 3 6 - 0
2001 2002 2003 2004	1,600 159,413	537 437 4,944	27 3		0	537- 437- 4,944-	27-
2005 2006	85,294	1,237	1		0	1,237-	1 -
TOTAL	1,479,517	178,729	12	36,948	2	141,781-	10-

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 8 of 16 Spanos

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC ELECTRIC PLANT

ACCOUNT 316 MISCELLANEOUS PLANT EQUIPMENT

	REGULAR	COST (REMOV		GROS SALVA		NET SALVA	
YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	AMOUNT		AMOUNT		AMOUNT	
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AV	ERAGES					
72-74	1,203	21	2	933	78	912	76
73-75	1,597		0	933	58	933	58
74-76	2,669		0	933	35	933	35
75-77	3,165		0	7	0	1.0	0
76-78	3,174		0	16	1	16	1
77-79	13,150	14	0	16	0	2	0
78-80	12,357	14	0	16	0	2	0
79-81	16,644	14	0	2,500	15	2,486	15
80-82	5,712		0	2,500	44	2,500	44
81-83	5,237		0	2,500	48	2,500	48
82-84	114,976		0	1.0	0	1.0	0
83-85	137,531		0	18	0	18 18	0
84-86	140,031		0 0	18 18	0 0	18	0
85-87	27,045		0	10	0	10	0
86-88	4,810		0	58	3	58	3
87-89	2,313	333-		58	1	391	3 6
88-90	6,281	333-		58	1	391	3
89-91	11,173 12,787	333-		50	0	333	3
90-92 91-93	52,824	233-	0		Ö	223	0
91-93	47,495		0		0		0
93-95	84,073	3,161	4	189	Ö	2,972-	
94-96	167,164	54,953	33	6,218	4	48,735-	
95-97	188,201	57,490	31	8,791	5	48,699-	
96-98	149,903	54,329	36	8,601	6	45,728-	
97-99	21,038	2,537	12	2,573	12	36	0
98-00	21,030	2,00,		-,			
99-01							
00-02		179				179-	
01-03	533	325	61		0	325-	61-
02-04	53,671	1,973	4		0	1,973-	4 -
03-05	53,671	1,794	3		0	1,794-	3 -
04-06	81,569	2,060	3		0	2,060-	3 -
	·						
FIVE-Y	YEAR AVERAGE						
02-06	49,261	1,431	3		0	1,431-	3 -

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 9 of 16 Spanos

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

ACCOUNT 311 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST OF REMOVAL AMOUNT PC	SALVA	AGE	NET SALVAC AMOUNT F	
1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993	6,045 2,547 54,378		0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	86,278 2,936 103,244 32,510 5,858- 11,626 144,193 2,737,193	609 2 8,046 16,167 5 1,967- 3	2 2,930 3,210 8 30 4 0 23 1 241,345	3 109 0 0 0 0 0	7,075- 2,601 8,046- 16,167- 1,967 33,335- 220,868	8- 89 8- 50- 34- 0 23- 8
2003 2004 2005	1,425,228	46,180	3	0	46,180-	3 -
2006 TOTAL	137,959	47,675 3 180,527	4 247,485	0 5	47,675- 66,958	35-
THREE - 88 - 90 89 - 91 90 - 92 91 - 93	YEAR MOVING AVE 20,990 18,975 18,126	ERAGES	O O O	0 0 0		0 0 0
91-93 92-94 93-95 94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06	28,759 29,738 64,153 46,230 43,299 12,759 49,987 964,337 960,462 1,387,474 475,076 521,062	3,538 1 6,220 1 8,274 1 7,415 1 4,733 3	977 2,047 10 2,047 1,070 17 37 21 2 80,448 2 80,448 3 6	3 7 3 2 0 0 0 8 8 6 0	2,358- 1,491- 4,173- 7,204- 7,415- 4,733- 10,456- 62,511 62,511 58,229 15,393- 31,285-	8- 5- 7- 16- 17- 37- 21- 6 7 4 3- 6-
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE					
02-06	860,076	22,866	3 48,269	6	25,403	3

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 10 of 16 Spanos

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

ACCOUNT 314 TURBOGENERATOR UNITS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	AL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006	1,285,265 1,942,977 1,313,231 3,603,445 210,345 152,655 32,604 100,327 2,170,155 3,275,422 4,387,542 771,200 3,934,128	314,381 374,438 452,454 466,687 173,846 85,180 27,123 42,556 878,306 449,310 302,941 1,012,073	24 19 34 13 83 56 0 27 2 27 10 39 26	110,477 2,403,674 314,790 61,337	0 6 183 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 2 0 0	314,381- 24- 263,961- 14- 1,951,220 149 466,687- 13- 173,846- 83- 85,180- 56- 0 27,123- 27- 272,234 13 816,969- 25- 449,310- 10- 302,941- 39- 1,012,073- 26-
TOTAL	23,179,296	4,579,295	20	2,890,278	12	1,689,017- 7-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING A	VERAGES				
94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06	1,513,824 2,286,551 1,709,007 1,322,148 131,868 95,195 767,695 1,848,635 3,277,706 2,811,388 3,030,957	380,424 431,193 364,329 241,904 86,342 37,434 23,226 315,995 456,724 543,519 588,108	25 19 21 18 65 39 3 17 14 19	838,051 838,051 801,225 104,930 125,376 125,376 20,446	55 37 47 0 0 0 14 7 4 1	457,627 30 406,858 18 436,896 26 241,904-18- 86,342-65- 37,434-39- 81,704 11 190,619-10- 331,348-10- 523,073-19- 588,108-19-
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE					
02-06	2,907,689	537,037	18	75,225	3	461,812- 16-

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 11 of 16 Spanos

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

ACCOUNT 315 ACCESSORY ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	JAL	GROS SALVA AMOUNT	GE	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT
1991	6,329		0		0	0
1992 1993	37,232	74,358	200	396,748-		471,106-
1994	9,852	977	10	320,740	0	977- 10-
1995	145,075	11,330	8	7,322	5	4,008- 3-
1996	76,925	10,741	14	124,975		114,234 149
1997	38,297	2,010	5	•	0	2,010- 5-
1998						
1999						
2000						
2001	16,118	6,569	41		0	6,569- 41-
2002	513,319		0	64,999	13	64,999 13
2003	836	n .co.	0		0	0
2004	620,681	7,603	1		0	7,603- 1-
2005 2006	108,356	11,238	10		0	11,238- 10-
TOTAL	1,573,020	124,826	8	199,452-	13-	324,278- 21-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AV	ERAGES				
91-93	14,520	24,786	171	132,249-	911-	157,035-
92-94	15,695	25,112	160	132,249-		157,361~
93-95	64,053	28,888	45	129,809-		158,697-248-
94-96	77,284	7,683	10	44,099	57	36,416 47
95-97	86,766	8,027	9	44,099	51	36,072 42
96-98	38,407	4,250	11	41,658	108	37,408 97
97-99	12,766	670	5		0	670- 5-
98-00	,	0 100	4.1		^	0 100 41
99-01	5,373	2,190	41	21 666	0	2,190- 41-
00-02	176,479	2,190	1	21,666	12	19,476 11
01-03	176,758	2,190	1 1	21,666	12 6	19,476 11 19,132 5
02-04 03-05	378,279 207,172	2,534 2,534	1	21,666	0	2,534- 1-
04-06	243,012	6,280	3		0	6,280- 3-
01 00		0,200	.		J	0,200
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE					
02-06	248,638	3,768	2	13,000	5	9,232 4

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 (c) Page 12 of 16 Spanos

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

ACCOUNT 316 MISCELLANEOUS POWER PLANT EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST O REMOVA AMOUNT P	L	GROS SALVI AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVA AMOUNT	
1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003	7,815 20,616 4,249,398 4,929 55,521 11,206 24,722 52,493 50,369 244,396 65,320 111,838 472 25,187 206,066	958 383 42 70 120 219 374 432	0 0 0 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	100 4,480 164,118 37,633 337 6,472 7,529 3,617 12,212- 5,234	1 22 4 0 0 336 1 12 15 1 - 19- 5 0 0	100 4,480 164,118 958- 37,250 295 6,402 7,409 3,398 12,586- 4,802	1 22 4 0 2- 332 1 12 15 1 19- 4 0 0
2003	292,039	10,310	4		0	10,310-	4 -
2006	122,613	3,804	3	567	0	3,237-	3 -
TOTAL	5,545,000	16,712	0	241,274	4	224,562	4
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AVE	RAGES					
88-90 89-91 90-92 91-93 92-94 93-95 94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06	1,425,943 1,424,981 1,436,616 23,885 30,483 29,474 42,528 115,753 120,028 140,518 59,210 45,832 77,242 77,084 166,035 97,346 138,217	319 447 461 165 77 137 238 342 269 144 3,437 4,705	0 0 0 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 4 3	56,233 56,199 54,706 12,544 12,657 14,814 4,779 5,872 356- 1,121- 2,326- 1,745 7,800 7,800 7,800	1 -	56,233 56,199 54,387 12,097 12,196 14,649 4,702 5,735 594- 1,463- 2,595- 1,601 7,800 7,800 4,363 3,437- 4,516-	4 4 4 51 40 50 11 5 0 1- 4- 3 10 10 3
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE						
02-06	124,144	2,823	2	4,793	4	1,970	2

ACCOUNT 311 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	/AL	GROS SALVI AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVA AMOUNT	
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	141,612 279,758 52,490 345,133 138,224 173,776 480,525 104,235 52,590 315,469 2,848,661 865,133 2,054,427 921,450 835,683 2,302,267	1,588 44,837 31,370 54,532 16,550 224,068 34,101 34,325 20,477 100,649 306,992 114,744 326,354 781,228	1 16 0 9 39 10 47 33 0 11 12 15 12 39 34	4,209 9,539 8,625 697 241,345	0 0 0 1 7 5 0 1 0 0 8 0 0	1,588- 44,837- 27,161- 44,993- 7,925- 224,068- 33,404- 34,325- 220,868 100,649- 306,992- 114,744- 326,354- 781,228-	32- 0 11- 8 12- 15- 12-
TOTAL	11,911,433	2,091,815	18	264,415	2	1,827,400-	15-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING A	VERAGES					
92-94 93-95 94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06 05-07	157,953 225,794 178,616 219,044 264,175 252,845 212,450 157,431 1,072,240 1,343,088 1,922,740 1,280,337 1,270,520 1,353,133	15,475 25,402 28,634 34,150 98,383 91,573 86,056 22,809 18,267 51,817 142,706 174,128 249,363 407,442	10 11 16 16 37 36 41 14 2 4 7 14 20 30	1,403 4,583 7,458 6,055 3,107 232 232 80,448 80,448	0 1 3 2 1 0 0 8 6 4 0 0	15,475- 23,999- 24,051- 26,692- 92,328- 88,466- 85,824- 22,577- 62,181 28,631 62,258- 174,128- 249,363- 407,442-	11- 13- 12- 35- 35- 40- 14- 6 2 3- 14- 20-
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE						
03-07	1,395,792	325,993	23		0	325,993-	23-

ACCOUNT 314 TURBOGENERATOR UNITS

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	/AL	GROS SALV <i>I</i> AMOUNT	AGE	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT	
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	15,000 22,000 1,395,583 6,509,217 2,627,616 4,216,155 210,345 157,655 32,604 100,327 2,264,635 6,352,960 5,547,699 1,235,323 6,899,150 2,478,866	524 336,643 751,307 980,717 540,563 173,846 86,962 27,123 42,556 1,156,226 822,911 363,366 1,544,384 142,027	0 2 24 12 37 13 83 55 0 27 2 18 15 29 22 6	133,044 2,465,160 74,929 34 314,790 61,337	0 0 0 2 94 2 0 0 0 0 14 1 0 0	0 524- 2- 336,643- 24- 618,263- 9- 1,484,443 56 465,634- 11- 173,846- 83- 86,928- 55- 0 27,123- 27- 272,234 12 1,094,889- 17- 822,911- 15- 363,366- 29- 1,544,384- 22- 142,027- 6-	
TOTAL	40,065,135	6,969,155	17	3,049,294	8	3,919,861- 10-	-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING A	VERAGES					
92-94 93-95 94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06 05-07	477,528 2,642,267 3,510,805 4,450,996 2,351,372 1,528,052 133,535 96,862 799,189 2,905,974 4,721,765 4,378,661 4,560,724 3,537,780	112,389 362,825 689,556 757,529 565,042 267,123 86,936 38,028 23,226 408,635 673,898 780,835 910,221 683,259	24 14 20 17 24 17 65 39 3 14 14 18 20 19	44,348 866,068 891,045 846,696 24,988 11 11 104,930 125,376 125,376 20,446	0 2 25 20 36 2 0 0 13 4 3 0 0	112,389- 24- 318,477- 12- 176,512 5 133,516 3 281,654 12 242,135- 16- 86,925- 65- 38,017- 39- 81,704 10 283,259- 10- 548,522- 12- 760,389- 17- 910,221- 20- 683,259- 19-	-
FIVE-Y	EAR AVERAGE	,					
03-07	4,502,800	805,783	18	12,267	0	793,516- 18-	

ACCOUNT 315 ACCESSORY ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST REMOV AMOUNT	/AL	GROSS SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	61,486 510,914 31,852 967,854 425,695 1,070,478	10,547 67,626 977 79,237 150,915 126,462	17 13 3 8 35 12	0 396,748- 78- 0 11,388 1 141,290 33 126,227 12	10,547- 17- 464,374- 91- 977- 3- 67,849- 7- 9,625- 2- 235- 0
1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	2,918 671,474 50,707 615,591 75,288 1,449,783	1,040 16,128 6,569	36 2 13 0 0 2	21 · 1 0 0 0 64,999 11 0	1,019- 35- 16,128- 2- 6,569- 13- 64,999 11 0 34,434- 2-
2005 2006 2007	1,151,660 1,373,741	70,351 94,368	6 7	0 0	70,351- 6- 94,368- 7-
TOTAL	8,459,441	658,654	8	52,823- 1-	711,477- 8-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AV	ERAGES			
92-94 93-95 94-96 95-97 96-98 97-99 98-00 99-01 00-02 01-03 02-04 03-05 04-06 05-07	201,417 503,540 475,134 821,342 498,724 357,799 224,797 241,700 445,924 247,195 713,554 508,357 867,147 841,800	26,383 49,280 77,043 118,871 92,459 42,501 5,723 7,912 7,566 2,190 11,478 11,478 34,928 54,906	13 10 16 14 19 12 3 3 2 1 2 2 4 7	132,249- 66- 128,453- 26- 50,893 11 92,968 11 89,172 18 42,083 12	158,632- 79- 177,733- 35- 26,150- 6- 25,903- 3- 3,287- 1- 418- 0 5,716- 3- 7,905- 3- 14,100 3 19,476 8 10,188 1 11,478- 2- 34,928- 4- 54,906- 7-
FIVE-Y	YEAR AVERAGE				
03-07	810,094	39,830	5	0	39,830- 5-

ACCOUNT 316 MISCELLANEOUS POWER PLANT EQUIPMENT

YEAR	REGULAR RETIREMENTS	COST OF REMOVAL AMOUNT PCT	GROSS SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT	NET SALVAGE AMOUNT PCT
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	60,683 148,529 24,722 167,389 436,964 307,509 65,320 111,838 472 25,187 206,066	958 2 383 0 42 0 9,553 6 155,496 36 7,829 3 374 1 432 0 0 0	0 37,633 25 337 1 7,040 4 25,614 6 11,336 4 12,212- 19- 5,234 5 0 0 23,399 11	958- 2- 37,250 25 295 1 2,513- 2- 129,882- 30- 3,507 1 12,586- 19- 4,802 4 0 0 22,862 11
2003	1,600 451,452	437 27 15,254 3	0	437- 27- 15,254- 3-
2005 2006 2007	207,907 282,015	5,041 2 737 0	567 0 · 0	4,474- 2- 737- 0
TOTAL	2,497,653	197,073 8	98,948 4	98,125- 4-
THREE-	YEAR MOVING AV	ERAGES		
92 - 94 93 - 95 94 - 96 95 - 97 96 - 98 97 - 99 98 - 00 99 - 01 00 - 02 01 - 03 02 - 04 03 - 05 04 - 06 05 - 07	77,978 113,547 209,692 303,954 269,931 161,556 59,210 45,832 77,242 77,618 219,706 151,017 219,786 163,307	461 1 3,326 3 55,030 26 57,626 19 54,567 20 2,879 2 269 0 144 0 179 0 325 0 5,409 2 5,230 3 6,765 3 1,926 1	12,657 16 15,004 13 10,997 5 14,663 5 8,246 3 1,452 1 2,326- 4- 1,745 4 7,800 10 7,800 10 7,800 4 0 189 0 189 0	12,196 16 11,678 10 44,033-21- 42,963-14- 46,321-17- 1,427-1- 2,595-4- 1,601 3 7,621 10 7,475 10 2,391 1 5,230-3- 6,576-3- 1,737-1-
FIVE-Y	YEAR AVERAGE			
03-07	188,595	4,294 2	113 0	4,181- 2-

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Data Request on Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony Dated January 12, 2010

Case No. 2009-00329

Question No. 2

Witness: John J. Spanos

- Q-2. Refer to pages 3-4 of the Spanos Testimony where the issue of interim net salvage versus terminal net salvage is discussed.
 - a. Provide a thorough explanation of why the fact that the TC 2 depreciation rates are for interim net salvage, not terminal net salvage, means that "[M]r. Kollen's Discussion in his testimony about factoring down or segregating the amounts for final net salvage is not applicable."
 - b. Identify and describe the parameters that typically govern whether to include a terminal net salvage component in a depreciation rate and identify which of those parameters are applicable to developing depreciation rates for TC 2.
- A-2. a. Mr. Spanos' testimony on pages 3 and 4 discusses the fact that his estimates only include an interim net salvage component, not a terminal net salvage component. He emphasizes on page 4 the entire life cycle of a new facility and the bases for his development of the interim net salvage percent, which does not include the added costs of terminal net salvage at the end of life.
 - If Mr. Kollen is going to factor down, or segregate, the amounts for final net salvage, then he must also include the overall recovery of the terminal net salvage component. Thus, he should include a component of terminal net salvage in his rates to insure his estimates establish full recovery of the service value of the assets.
 - b. Ideally each production unit will have a terminal net salvage component included to insure full recovery of the total service value of the asset. However, the absence of site specific data for dismantlement does not preclude a reasonable depreciation rate if interim net salvage is properly considered. Therefore, for the proper establishment of the terminal net

salvage or dismantling cost of a unit, a company should conduct a dismantling study or utilize the studies of comparable facilities. Additionally, the company needs to have a specific plan as to how the dismantlement will occur and when. If a company or depreciation professional cannot reasonably establish an understanding of each of these components, then a terminal net salvage percent is too speculative to include in the depreciation rate. Thus, only the interim net salvage component is applied to the recovery methodology.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Data Request on Joint Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony Dated January 12, 2010

Case No. 2009-00329

Question No. 3

Witness: John J. Spanos

- Q-3. Refer to pages 4-6 of the Spanos Testimony, specifically, page 4 at lines 14 through 21, and page 5, line 23 through page 6, line 2.
 - a. Confirm whether the following is an accurate characterization of the text on page 4:

"Because there is no terminal net salvage component in the TC 2 depreciation rates, the interim net salvage component should be established at a level great enough for the ultimate depreciation rates to cover the life of the plant and cover both interim and terminal net salvage accruals."

- b. If the characterization in part a. of this request item is accurate in whole or in part, explain how the statement on pages 5-6 concerning "[a] larger amount of depreciation expense being estimated for Florida Power and Light than is estimated in this scenario...." can also be accurate.
- A-3. a. No. Please see Mr. Spanos' testimony on pages 4 through 6.
 - b. Some of the issues that differ, which make the characterization of the statement in part (a) above inaccurate, is that terminal net salvage is projected to the retirement date. When you have a facility already in service, such as the case in Florida Power and Light, you can more specifically assign the plant amounts that will be part of the interim net salvage and those that are related to terminal net salvage. This distinction will raise the plant value of assets for terminal net salvage which are projected to a later date. This in turn will most likely produce a larger amount of depreciation expense.