

RECEIVED

SEP 22 2009

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

PUBLIC SERVICE Kentucky Utilities Company
COMMISSION State Regulation and Rates

State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232

www.eon-us.com

Robert M. Conroy
Director - Rates
T 502-627-3324
F 502-627-3213
robert.conroy@eon-us.com

September 22, 2009

RE: THE APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND APPROVAL OF ITS 2009 COMPLIANCE PLAN FOR RECOVERY BY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE CASE NO. 2009-00197

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and eight (8) copies of the Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to the Second Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. dated September 11, 2009, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SEP 22 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| In | tha | Ma | itter | of |
|----|-----|------|-------|-----|
| | | IVEN | | 498 |

| APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES |) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| COMPANY FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC |) |
| CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND |) CASE NO. |
| APPROVAL OF ITS 2009 COMPLIANCE PLAN |) 2009-00197 |
| FOR RECOVERY BY ENVIRONMENTAL |) |
| SURCHARGE |) |

RESPONSE OF
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
TO
KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS
DATED SEPTEMBER 11, 2009

FILED: September 22, 2009

VERIFICATION

) SS:

STATE OF KENTUCKY

and belief.

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **John N. Voyles, Jr.**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Vice President, Transmission and Generation Services, for Kentucky Utilities Company and an employee of E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge

John N. Voyles, Jr.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $\frac{22 \, \text{nd}}{2000}$ day of September, 2009.

Victoria B. Harper (SEAL)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20, 2010

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KENTUCKY)) SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Director, Rates, for E.ON U.S. Services, Inc., that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conroy

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 22nd day of September, 2009.

Vietoria B. Hayper (SEAL) Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Supt 20,2010

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KENTUCKY

) SS:

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, **Shannon L. Charnas**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Director, Utility Accounting and Reporting for E.ON U.S. Services Inc., that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Shannon L. Charnas

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 22ml day of September, 2009.

Villea B House (SEAL)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to KIUC Second Set of Data Requests Dated September 11, 2009

Case No. 2009-00197

Question No. 2-1

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas / Robert M. Conroy

Q-2-1. Refer to the Company's response to KIUC 1-4(c).

- a. Please describe the basis for the Company's quantification of the actual O&M expense for the sluicing and impoundment at Ghent. If the Company tracks these costs in separate accounts/subaccounts, please provide a description of and the amounts included in each account/subaccount that sum to the Company's quantification.
- b. Please provide a quantification of the amount of O&M expense for the sluicing and impoundment included in KU's base rates, including all assumptions, data and computations and a copy of all source documents relied upon for this quantification. Please provide this information by account/subaccount if it is available at that level of detail.
- c. Please describe the Company's proposed methodology for tracking and quantifying the reduction to the incremental O&M for the amount already included in base rates to ensure that the Commission can verify the Company's quantifications.
- A-2-1. a. KU clarifies that the response provided to KIUC Question No. 1-4(c) inadvertently included expenses associated with gypsum handling. These expenses (recorded in general ledger account 512005) are *not* related to the operation and maintenance of the Ghent landfill and should *not* have been included in the response provided. The only account related to operation and maintenance of the ash impoundment is account 512017 and expenses for the twelve month period ending July 31, 2009 were \$1,966,393.

The Company quantified the actual O&M expense for the sluicing and impoundment at Ghent for the twelve month period ended July 31, 2009 using the project tracking function in the Oracle Financial Management System,

which allows the Company to track costs by accounts and by particular project.

- b. The annual O&M expense for sluicing and impoundment at Ghent for the 12 month period ending April 30, 2008 totaled \$1,647,418 charged to general ledger account 512017. See the Excel file on the compact disc included with this response for the details of the requested information.
- c. Consistent with past Commission orders, KU will establish a base line of ash disposal expense incurred at Ghent during the test year used in the most recent base rate case. Currently, the O&M expense for ash disposal at Ghent identified in part b above was incurred by KU during the period from May 1, 2007 through April 30, 2008. This amount will change if the Commission approves changes in base rates based on a different test period prior to the inservice date of the Ghent landfill included in Project 30. Once Project 30 is placed in-service, KU will net the total ash disposal expense incurred at Ghent with the monthly expense amount included in base rates. This net amount will be included on ES Form 2.50 and recovered/refunded through the ECR mechanism.

The Company has been adjusting various components of environmental costs to avoid possible double-recovery for several years, beginning in 1994 when O&M costs in base rates were excluded from total O&M costs incurred in association with KU's 1994 Compliance Plan. KU has consistently provided for the removal of costs included in base rates on the various ES forms filed on a monthly basis. The O&M baseline associated with the 1994 Plan was an adjustment presented on ES Form 2.40. Each month, adjustments for assets in base rates that were retired as a result of investment in new environmental facilities are adjusted on ES Form 2.10. ES Form 2.00 includes adjustments for limestone and emission allowance inventory balances in base rates as well as an adjustment for emission allowance expense included in base rates. Further, KU adjusts its proceeds from the annual allowance auction to properly account for proceeds in base rates, as appropriate.

KU has consistently adjusted its current environmental costs for amounts included in base rates, and will continue to do so, by netting the base rate amounts in the monthly ECR filings, on the appropriate ES Forms. See also the response to Question No. 2-2.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to KIUC Second Set of Data Requests Dated September 11, 2009

Case No. 2009-00197

Question No. 2-2

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas / Robert M. Conroy

- Q-2-2. Refer to the Company's response to Staff 1-14 wherein the Company states that it will make ongoing comparisons to "ensure that there is no double recovery of O&M costs through the ECR mechanism and base rates." Please explain with specificity how the Company plans to make these ongoing comparisons and how it plans to demonstrate to the Commission that there is no double recovery.
- A-2-2. The use of the phrase "ongoing comparisons" means that to the extent there are O&M costs currently included in base rates, KU will report those amounts in its monthly ECR filings to demonstrate to the Commission that the O&M costs recovered through the ECR are net O&M cost amounts.

Consistent with how KU provides the baseline amounts for limestone and emission allowance inventories and emission allowance expense on ES Form 2.00 in its monthly filings, KU will also provide the amounts currently in base rates for the O&M costs and provide those as baseline amounts in the monthly filings. Once base rates have been established, the amount of O&M costs included for the project will be known and fixed for purposes of making the monthly comparison for the ECR monthly filings.

KU proposes that the O&M baseline amount for each facility (for which O&M costs are proposed for ECR recovery) will be included as a line item on ES Form 2.50, and recoverable O&M included in the determination of the monthly jurisdictional E(m) will be net of the baseline amounts. Please see a proposed revised ES Form 2.50 attached to this response for the revision KU is proposing.

ES FORM 2.50

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Pollution Control - Operations & Maintenance Expenses For the Month Ended:

| | | E. W | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|----------------|---|
| | O&M Expense Account | Brown | Ghent | Green River | Tyrone | Trimble County | Total |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2001 Plan | 60(104, 210, 0) | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | 506104 - NOx Operation Consumables 506105 - NOx Operation Labor and Other | | | | | | |
| | 512101 - NOx Maintenance | | | - | | | |
| | Total 2001 Plan O&M Expenses | _ | | - | | | |
| | Total 2001 Flan O&M Expenses | | | | many pages were researched to the second and | | |
| 2005 Plan | | | | | | | |
| .005 1 1411 | 502006 - Scrubber Operations | | | | | | |
| | 512005 - Scrubber Maintenance | | | | | - | |
| | Total 2005 Plan O&M Expenses | | | - | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 2006 Plan | | | | | | | |
| | 506109 - Sorbent Injection Operation | | | | | | |
| | 512102 - Sorbent Injection Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | 506110 - Mercury Monitors Operation | | | | | | |
| *************************************** | 512103 - Mercury Monitors Maintenance | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 506104 - NOx Operation Consumables | * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | 506105 - NOx Operation Labor and Other | | | | | | |
| | 512101 - NOx Maintenance | | | | | | *************************************** |
| | 502006 - Scrubber Operations | | | | | | |
| | 512005 - Scrubber Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | 506001 - Precipitator Operation | | | | | | |
| | 512011 - Precipitator Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | Total 2006 Plan O&M Expenses | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 009 Plan | | | | | | | |
| | 506104 - NOx Operation Consumables | | | | | | |
| | 506105 - NOx Operation Labor and Other | | | | | | |
| | 512101 - NOx Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | 506109 - Sorbent Injection Operation | | | | | | |
| | 512102 - Sorbent Injection Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | 512017 - Ash Handling Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | 501251 - Ash Handling Operation | | | | | | |
| | 502001 - Other Waste Disposal | | | | | | |
| | 501201 - Bottom Ash Disposal | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| O&N | 1 included in current Base Rates for Ash Disposal | | *************************************** | | | | *************************************** |
| | Net 2009 Plan O&M Expenses | | | Section 1 and 1 and 1 | | | |
| | | | | and processed survival account of a common common description of the | | | |
| | onth O&M Expense for All Plans | | | | | | |

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52%

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to KIUC Second Set of Data Requests Dated September 11, 2009

Case No. 2009-00197

Question No. 2-3

Witness: John N. Voyles, Jr.

- Q-2-3. Does the Company generate any sulfur combustion byproducts that can be used for soil fertilization in farming operations? If so, please describe these byproducts, the Company's disposal requirements and the Company's beneficial reuse opportunities and efforts. Provide a history of the Company's efforts and a schedule showing by account/subaccount the revenues and expenses/costs incurred to treat and/or dispose of and/or market such byproducts.
- A-2-3. While coal combustion does generate by-products that can be used for soil fertilization in other regions, KU historically has not been actively engaged in such markets. However, KU is discussing the use of synthetic gypsum as a soil enhancer with a company that specializes in such marketing efforts.

KU generates gypsum as a by-product of coal combustion and historically has been successful in marketing under appropriate market conditions the synthetic gypsum as a raw material in the wallboard manufacturing industry.