Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

Edward T. Depp 502-540-2347 tip.depp@dinslaw.com

March 18, 2009

RECEIVED

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jeff Derouen, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615 MAR 18 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: Elberta Jones v. Correctional Billing Services, and Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex, Case No. 2008-00565

Dear Mr. Derouen:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled case the original and eleven (11) copies of Evercom Systems, Inc.'s Petition for Confidential Treatment of Certain Information Contained in Response to Complaint of Ms. Elberta Jones. Please file-stamp one copy and return it to our delivery person.

Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call us.

Sincerely,

Edward T. Depp

ETD/lb

143743_1 990-1962

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

))
))
Case No. 2008-00565
))
))
)
)

PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF CERTAIN INFORMATION CONTAINED IN RESPONSE TO COMPLANT OF MS. ELBERTA JONES

Evercom Systems, Inc. ("Evercom"), by counsel and pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 §7 and KRS 61.878(1)(a) and 61.878(1)(k), moves the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission") to accord confidential treatment to the federally protected information (the "Information") contained in the letter response from Evercom, which included a 14-page attachment, filed on or around January 19, 2009 ("Letter Response") in the above-captioned case. In support of this Petition, Evercom states as follows.

[&]quot;Correctional Billing Services" (or "CBS") as named in Ms. Jones's Complaint is not an individual or corporate entity. CBS is a division of Evercom Systems, Inc. who has responded to the Complaint. As such, Evercom is the appropriate petitioner here.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 §7(2)(a)(2), a copy of the Information, highlighted in yellow transparent ink, is attached to the original (only) of this motion. Also filed herewith are copies of these documents that are redacted to conceal confidential information that may be put into the public file. Please note that the attachment to the Letter Response consists entirely of confidential material, described further herein, and thus is almost entirely redacted.

I. Applicable Law.

807 KAR 5:001 §7(2) sets forth a procedure by which certain information filed with the Commission may by treated as confidential. Specifically, the party seeking confidential treatment of certain information must "[set] forth specific grounds pursuant to KRS 61.870 et seq., the Kentucky Open Records Act, upon which the commission should classify that material as confidential." 807 KAR 5:001 §7(2)(a)(1).

The Kentucky Open Records Act, KRS 61.870 *et seq.*, exempts certain records from the requirement of public inspection. *See* KRS 61.878. In particular, KRS 61.878 provides as follows:

- (1) The following public records are excluded from the application of [the Open Records Act] and shall be subject to inspection only upon order of a court of competent jurisdiction:
 - (a) Public records containing information of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

. . .

(k) All public records or information the disclosure of which is prohibited by federal law or regulation[.]

Id.

Another statute that applies here is the federal statute protecting Customer Proprietary

Network Information, or "CPNI," from disclosure. Section 222 of the Communications Act of

1934, as amended, prohibits telecommunications carriers from disclosing information about their

customers that they obtain by virtue of providing them with telecommunications service. 47

U.S.C § 222(c). Congress has defined CPNI as "information that relates to the quantity,

technical configuration, type, destination, and amount of use of a telecommunications service

subscribed to by any customer of a telecommunications carrier," and "information contained in the bills pertaining to telephone exchange service or telephone toll service received by a customer of a carrier." *Id.* § 222(f)(1).

II. The Information Should Be Classified Confidential.

Read in conjunction, 807 KAR 5:001 §7(2)(a)(1) and KRS 61.878(1)(a) and (k) provide that the Commission may classify the Information as confidential if the open disclosure of the Information to the general public "would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" or would be "prohibited by federal law or regulation[.]" *See* KRS 61.878(1)(a) and (k). The Information sought to be classified in this case is CPNI which is federally protected by statute from disclosure, and as such it can also be that presumed disclosure of the Information to the general public would "constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" to release. KRS 61.878(1)(a). Further, release of this Information would improperly disclose Ms. Jones's CPNI which may violate 47 US.C. § 222 and thus warrants confidential treatment here. KRS 61.878(1)(k). Accordingly, the Information should be classified as confidential.

In the Letter Response, and particularly the attachment thereto that identifies telephone calls that Ms. Jones received, Evercom includes many items of Information that constitute the protected CPNI of Ms. Jones.³ This Information consists of the amounts billed to Ms. Jones for service, the amounts that Evercom credited to Ms. Jones's account, and information regarding the dates and times of the phone calls that Ms. Jones received. In addition, the Letter Response includes the number of the maintenance ticket that was opened as a result of Ms. Jones's Complaint — this ticket number would enable any person to call Evercom and obtain, together with the data already in the public record in this case, CPNI data regarding Ms. Jones's account.

³ Please note that some information which otherwise may warrant protection, such as Ms. Jones's telephone number, was actually already disclosed by Ms. Jones in her public Complaint and thus she has voluntarily waived her rights under 47 U.S.C. § 222.

This Information therefore falls squarely within the category of protected CPNI or enables the public to obtain CPNI. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 222 and 807 KAR 5:001 §7(2)(a)(1), Evercom requests that the Information be treated as confidential.

For these reasons, the Commission should classify the Information as confidential pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 §7 and KRS 61.878(1)(a) and (k), and accordingly prevent the public disclosure of the Information.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Selent Edward T. Depp

Holly C. Wallace

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

1400 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 540-2300 (tel.)

(502) 585-2207 (fax)

Counsel to Evercom Systems, Inc.

- and -

Stephanie A. Joyce

WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

1401 Eye Street, N.W.

Seventh Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 857-4534 (tel.)

(202) 261-0044 (fax)

Of Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served on the following, via First Class Mail, on this 18th day of March, 2009.

Elberta Jones 3437 Newburg Rd., Apt. 3 Louisville, KY 40218 *Pro Se Complainant*

Kentucky Dept. of Corrections Office of the General Counsel 275 East Main Street P.O. Box 2400 Frankfort, KY 40602

Office of the Attorney General Utility and Rate Intervention Division 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601

Counsel to Evercom Systems, Inc.

CONFIDENTIAL - This document may contain Customer Proprietary Network Information (CPNI)

January 19, 2009

Kentucky Public Service Commission Attn: Tiffany J. Bowman 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Re: Complaint of Ms. Elberta Jones

VIA EMAIL: TiffanyJ.Bowman@ky.gov

Dear Ms. Bowman:

Evercom received the complaint filed by Ms. Jones from the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("KY PSC") on January 14, 2009 regarding the following alleged issues: over charging for inmate phone calls operated by prematurely disconnected calls, and credit request. In the complaint, Ms. Jones references on October 16, 2008 she contacted CBS via correspondence and telephone to request a copy of her billing statement reflecting payments remitted to CBS. At that time, she states CBS refused to provide her with the requested information. According to our records, Ms. Jones requested a copy of an invoice on October 17. 2008. In response to her request, a CBS representative generated ticket number to have call records forwarded to Ms. Jones within seven (7) to ten (10) business days from October 17, 2008. The call records would have been forwarded to the billing address shown on Ms. Jones' account. Further, she mentions in her correspondence according to her personal records and bank statements she has remitted payments totaling in the amount of to CBS since April 2008. Our customer records indicate no inmate calls were received in April 2008 at telephone number the account in question. Our records show that inmate calls were received starting May 4, 2008 at telephone number Collect call charges incurred between May 4, 2008 and December 26, 2008, associated with Ms. Jones' prepaid account totaled

Ms. Jones expressed concerns about being over charged for rates associated with the facilities and desires credit for all collect call charges. She makes reference to Order No. 378, stating "no set" use fees can be assessed against inmate calls. Ms. Jones has quoted an outdated rate requirement. As an Inmate Service Provider, Evercom is a "non-basic" provider under the rules of HB 337. The non-basis designation for Inmate Providers was confirmed by the KY PSC Staff in October 2006. Therefore, Evercom is applying rates as permitted by KY PSC Rules, HB 337, and as required by Evercom's contract with the

For Ms. Jones' records, the calls from the in to Ms. Jones' telephone number are classified as "IntraLATA" calls and the rates are as follows:

CONFIDENTIAL - This document may contain Customer Proprietary Network Information (CPNI)

KY DOC Little Sandy Correction	
Operator Service Charge	
Usage Charge	\$0.20 (initial minute)
For a 15 minute call the charge wo	ould be \$4.50 plus applicable taxes and fees.
	in to Ms. Jones' "IntraLATA" calls and the rates are as follows:
TOURGET AND A COL	
KY DOC Lee Adjustment Center Operator Service Charge	
Usage Charge	\$0.20 (initial minute)
Osago Chargo	wo.zo (minar minaco)
For a 15 minute call the charge wo	ould be \$4.50 plus applicable taxes and fees.
The calls from the	located in
	number are classified as "IntraLATA" calls and the rates are as
KY DOC Eastern Kentucky Cor	rectional Complex
Operator Service Charge	
Usage Charge	\$0.20 (initial minute)
2008- 2008	
For a 15 minute call the charge wo	ould be \$4.50 plus applicable taxes and fees.
	located in
	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows:
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Jefferson County Metro Correct Operator Service Charge	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows:
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Jefferson County Metro Correct Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period malysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Jefferson County Metro Correct Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An acorrectly according to the above-number of the facilities in question. Sexamples of premature disconnections.	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed oted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls he further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specifications:
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Jefferson County Metro Correct Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An acorrectly according to the above-number of the facilities in question. Sexamples of premature disconnect which she state	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) ould be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed otted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls the further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specific tions: es was approximately in duration;
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An a correctly according to the above-number of premature disconnect which she states	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period malysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed oted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls he further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specifications: se was approximately in duration; which she states was approximately
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Jefferson County Metro Correct Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An accorrectly according to the above-number of the facilities in question. Sexamples of premature disconnect which she states in duration;	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed oted rates. reienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls the further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specific tions: se was approximately which she states was approximately which she states
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An accorrectly according to the above-number of premature disconnection which she states in duration; was approximately	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed oted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls he further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specifications: ss was approximately which she states in duration; and,
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An acorrectly according to the above-number of premature disconnect which she states in duration; was approximately which she states	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) build be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed otted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls he further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specific tions: s was approximately which she states was approximately which she states in duration; and, was approximately in duration. A review
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wo Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An accorrectly according to the above-number of premature disconnection which she states in duration; was approximately	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: cions Center \$1.85 (per call) ould be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed otted rates. rienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls the further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specific tions: s was approximately which she states was approximately which she states in duration; and, s was approximately in duration. A review d) shows:
Ms. Jones' telephone number are of Operator Service Charge For a 15 minute call the charge wood Attached for the Commission's referenced in her complaint. An accorrectly according to the above-number of premature disconnect which she states in duration; was approximately which she states of Ms. Jones' call history (attached)	classified as "Local" calls and the rates are as follows: closs Center \$1.85 (per call) could be \$1.85 plus applicable taxes and fees. review is a copy of Ms. Jones' call history for the period analysis of Ms. Jones' call records indicates she has been billed oted rates. reienced premature call disconnections in relation to collect calls the further lists the following four (4) collect calls as specific tions: s was approximately which she states was approximately which she states in duration; and, s was approximately in duration. A review of the period of the

CONFIDENTIAL - This document may contain Customer Proprietary Network Information (CPNI)

To further assist its customers and to assure quality service, Evercom has implemented a new policy when customers' dispute short duration calls which entails a thorough investigation of disputed collect calls described as premature disconnections. If a customer is disputing calls that exceed one (1) minute in duration, we ask they submit a short call form referencing the call dates and times and other relevant information that will allow us to conduct a thorough and optimal investigation. Upon completion of the investigation, Evercom will be able to determine if appropriate credits should be issued. The short call dispute form is accessible via the CBS website at www.correctionalbillingservices.com and should be returned via facsimile at (972) 277-0714 or via First Class U.S. Mail attention to CBS, P.O. Box 1109, Addison, Texas 75001.

Ms. Jones also references charges totaling for calls she claims she did not receive; however, she does not provide call dates and times needed for an investigation. We request Ms. Jones contact us with this information so we may investigate these concerns.

If there are any further questions regarding this complaint, please contact me at (972) 277-0300.

Sincerely,

Cameshia Davis Regulatory Complaints Analyst

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES

BILLED CDR REPORT

Customer Information

Account ID:

XXXXXXX ELBERTA JONES Name:

Calls Totals

XX Total Minutes XXXXXX Total Cost

XXXXX XX.XX Total Amount Total Tax

(ऐस)|| किस्से||ईसे| (स्था Date