COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2008

)) CASE NO. 2008-00550)

)

ORDER

On January 28, 2009, the Commission initiated a six-month review of Kentucky Utilities Company's ("KU") environmental surcharge as billed to customers for the sixmonth period May 1, 2008 to October 31, 2008.¹ Pursuant to KRS 278.183(3), the Commission must review, at six-month intervals, the past operations of a utility's environmental surcharge. After hearing, the Commission may, by temporary adjustment of the surcharge, disallow any surcharge amounts that are not just and reasonable and reconcile past surcharge collections with actual costs recoverable pursuant to KRS 278.183(1). There are no intervenors in this case.

The Commission issued a procedural schedule that provided for discovery, the filing of prepared testimony, an informal conference, and a public hearing. KU filed prepared direct testimony and responded to requests for information. On March 6, 2009, KU and Commission Staff ("Staff") participated in an informal conference to discuss the issues in the case. During the conference, Staff requested further

¹ KU's surcharge is billed on a two-month lag. Thus, surcharge billings for May 2008 through October 2008 are based on costs incurred from March 2008 through August 2008.

information, which KU submitted on March 10, 2009. In its response to the Commission's May 14, 2009 Order, KU requested that this case be submitted for a decision based on the existing record without a public hearing. Based on the absence of intervenors and finding good cause, the Commission will grant KU's request and decide this case based on the evidence of record without a hearing.

SURCHARGE ADJUSTMENT

The January 28, 2009 Order initiating this case indicated that the Commission would entertain proposals to adopt one adjustment factor to net all over- or underrecoveries that may have occurred during the period under review in this proceeding. KU determined that it had a net under-recovery of environmental costs for the billing period ending October 31, 2008 of \$3,949,299.² It proposed that the net under-recovery be collected from customers in the six months following the Commission's Order in this proceeding.³

The Commission has reviewed and finds reasonable KU's calculation of a net under-recovery of \$3,949,299 for the billing period covered in this proceeding. The Commission also finds reasonable KU's proposal to increase the total jurisdictional environmental surcharge revenue requirement by \$658,217 in the first three months following the date of this Order and by \$658,216 in the next three months. The Commission estimates that a customer with a monthly electric bill of \$100 will see an

-2-

² Conroy Direct Testimony at 3.

³ <u>Id.</u> at 6.

increase of approximately \$0.75 per month due to the recovery of the net underrecovery over the six-month period.

RATE OF RETURN

KU provided the outstanding balances for its long-term debt, short-term debt, and common equity as of August 31, 2008, the last expense month of the review period. It also provided the blended interest rates for its long-term and short-term debt as of August 31, 2008.⁴ Using this information, along with the currently approved 10.63 percent return on equity,⁵ KU calculated an overall rate of return on capital, before income tax gross-up, of 7.94 percent.⁶ KU also provided the overall rate of return on capital reflecting the tax gross-up approach approved in Case No. 2004-00426.⁷

The Commission has reviewed KU's determination of the overall rate of return on capital and finds 7.94 percent to be reasonable. The Commission has also reviewed the determination of the tax gross-up factor and finds that it is consistent with the approach approved in Case No. 2004-00426. Therefore, the Commission finds that the

⁶ Response to Commission Staff's Data Request, Item 6.

⁴ Response to Commission Staff's Data Request, Item 6.

⁵ Case No. 2008-00251, Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of Electric Base Rates (Ky. PSC Feb. 5, 2009).

⁷ Case No. 2004-00426, The Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct Flue Gas Desulfurization Systems and Approval of Its 2004 Compliance Plan and Recovery by Environmental Surcharge (Ky. PSC June 20, 2005) and Response to the Commission Staff's Data Request in this proceeding dated January 28, 2009, Item 6. In the response, KU determined that the income tax gross-up factor was 0.580, which would produce a tax grossed-up weighted average cost of capital of 11.12 percent.

weighted average cost of capital of 7.94 percent and the income tax gross-up factor of 0.580 should be used in all KU monthly environmental surcharge filings subsequent to the date of this Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. KU's request to submit this case for a decision on the existing evidence of record without a hearing is granted.

2. KU shall add \$658,217 to its jurisdictional environmental revenue requirement determined in each of the first three billing months following the date of this Order and \$658,216 in the next three months following the date of this Order, as discussed herein.

3. KU shall use an overall rate of return on capital of 7.94 percent and a tax gross-up factor of 0.580 in all monthly environmental surcharge filings subsequent to the date of this Order.

By the Commission



ATTEST: Execui

Lonnie E Bellar Vice President - State Regulation Kentucky Utilities Company 220 West Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40202