

RECEIVED

FEB 27 2009

Ms. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Kentucky Utilities Company State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.eon-us.com

Robert M. Conroy
Director - Rates
T 502-627-3324
F 502-627-3213
robert.conroy@eon-us.com

February 27, 2009

RE: AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2008

CASE NO. 2008-00550

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and ten (10) copies of the Direct Testimony of Robert M. Conroy and the Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to the Information Requested in Appendix B of the Commission's Order dated January 28, 2009, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)	
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF KENTUCKY)	CASE NO.
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH)	2008-00550
BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2008)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

ROBERT M. CONROY DIRECTOR - RATES E.ON U.S. SERVICES INC.

Filed: February 27, 2009

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	66.
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	SS:

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Director – Rates for E.ON U.S. Services Inc., and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

ROBERT M. CONROY

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 26% day of February 2009.

Victoria B. Hayper (SEAL)

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

ept 20,2010

- 1 Q. Please state your name, title, and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Robert M. Conroy. I am the Director Rates for E.ON U.S. Services
- Inc., which provides services to Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and
- 4 Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") (collectively "the Companies"). My business
- address is 220 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky, 40202. A complete statement
- of my education and work experience is attached to this testimony as Appendix A.
- 7 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 8 A. Yes. I have previously testified before this Commission in proceedings concerning
- 9 the Companies' most recent rate case, fuel adjustment clauses, and environmental
- surcharge mechanisms.
- 11 Q. What is the purpose of this proceeding?
- 12 A. The purpose of this proceeding is to review the past operation of KU's environmental
- surcharge during the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2008 and determine
- whether the surcharge amounts collected during the period are just and reasonable.
- 15 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 16 A. The purpose of my testimony is to review the operation of KU's environmental
- surcharge during the billing period under review, demonstrate the amounts collected
- during the period were just and reasonable, present and discuss KU's proposed
- adjustment to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement based on the
- operation of the surcharge during the period and explain how the environmental
- surcharge factors were calculated during the period under review.
- Q. Please review the operation of the environmental surcharge for the billing period
- 23 included in this review.

KU billed an environmental surcharge to its customers from May 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. For purposes of the Commission's examination in this case, the monthly KU environmental surcharges are considered as the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2008. In each month of the period, KU calculated the environmental surcharge factors by using the costs incurred as recorded on its books and records for the expense months of March 2008 through August 2008, and in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's previous orders concerning KU's environmental surcharge.

A.

A.

Q. What costs were included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge factors for the billing period under review?

The capital and operating costs included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge factors for the billing period were the costs incurred each month by KU from March 2008 through August 2008, as detailed in the attachment in response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff Request for Information, incorporating all required revisions.

The monthly environmental surcharge factors applied during the billing period under review was calculated consistent with the Commission's orders in KU's previous applications to assess or amend its environmental surcharge mechanism and plan, as well as orders issued in previous review cases, most recently Case No. 2008-00216. The monthly environmental surcharge reports filed with the Commission during this time reflect the various changes to the reporting forms ordered by the Commission from time to time.

- Q. Are there any changes or adjustments in Rate Base from the originally filed expense months?
- During the period under review, there were no changes to Rate Base from the originally filed billing period as summarized in KU's response to the Commission Staff Request for Information, Question No. 1. In addition, there were no changes identified as a result of preparing responses to the requests for information in this review.
- 8 Q. Are there any changes necessary to the jurisdictional revenue requirement 9 (E(m))?
- 10 A. Yes. Adjustments to E(m) are necessary for compliance with the Commission's
 11 Order in Case No. 2000-00439 to reflect the actual changes in the overall rate of
 12 return on capitalization that is used in the determination of the return on
 13 environmental rate base associated with the Post 1994 Plans. The changes in the
 14 actual cost of long term debt and capital structure resulted in a decrease to cumulative
 15 E(m) of \$715,967. The details of and support for this calculation are shown in KU's
 16 response to Question No. 1 of the Commission Staff Request for Information.
- Q. As a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period under review, is an adjustment to the revenue requirement necessary?
- 19 A. Yes. KU experienced a cumulative under-recovery of \$3,949,299 for the billing 20 period ending October 31, 2008. KU's response to Question No. 2 of the 21 Commission Staff Request for Information shows the calculation of the \$3,949,299 22 cumulative under-recovery. Therefore, an adjustment to the revenue requirement is

necessary to reconcile the collection of past surcharge revenues with the actual cost 2 for the billing period under review.

Has KU identified the causes of the net under-recovery during the billing period Q. 3 under review? 4

Yes. KU has identified four components that make up the net under-recovery during the billing period under review. The components are (1) changes in overall rate of return, (2) inconsistency in the calculation of BESF in the review case and application of BESF in the monthly filings beginning with the March 2008 expense month, (3) the use of the BESF percentage in determining the amount collected in base rates, and (4) the use of 12 month average revenues to determine the billing factor. The details and support of the components that make up the net under-recovery during the billing period under review are shown in KU's response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff Request for Information. The table below summarizes the components of the under-recovery position.

OVER/UNDER RECONCILIAT Combined Over/Under Recovery	FION	(3,949,299)
Due to BESF Inconsistency	(1,565,892)	
Due to use of BESF %	(2,883,254)	
Due to Change in ROR	715,967	
Use of 12 Month Average Revenues	(216,120)	
Subtotal		(3,949,299)
Unreconciled Difference		-

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

A.

- 1 Q. Please explain the change in rate of return.
- A. As previous stated, the cumulative impact of the revised rate of return resulted in a decrease to the jurisdictional revenue requirement and an over-recovery of \$715,967.
- 4 Q. Please explain the inconsistency that occurred in determining BESF.
- In the course of preparing the responses in this proceeding, KU discovered an 5 A. inconsistency between the calculation of the BESF in the previous 2-year review case 6 and the application of the BESF in the monthly filings beginning with the March 7 8 2008 expense month. Specifically, in Case No. 2007-00379, KU calculated the BESF factor using base rate revenues excluding the customer charge revenues, while the 9 monthly filings use BESF times total base revenues to estimate the ECR revenues 10 collected through base rates. BESF was calculated using a lower revenue total than is 11 used in its application in the monthly filings thereby overstating the BESF 12 percentage. Because the monthly estimate of ECR revenues collected through base 13 14 rates is made by multiplying BESF times total base revenues, overstating BESF 15 overstates the ECR revenues collected through base rates. When ECR revenues collected through base rates are overstated, the monthly E(m) is understated which 16 17 contributes to KU's net under-recovery position. If the BESF had been calculated using total revenues, the BESF would be 5.20% instead of 5.51% as filed. Applying 18 the recalculated BESF to the base rate revenues results in an under-recovery of 19 \$1,565,892. 20
- Q. For the other two components, please explain how the function of the ECR mechanism contributes to the net under-recovery in the billing period under review?

The first component is the use of the BESF percentage to estimate the amount collected through base rates. In the monthly filings, the BESF percentage is used to determine the amount of ECR revenue collected through base rates by applying the percentage to total base rate revenues. In the review proceedings, the billing determinants are used to determine the actual ECR revenues collected through base rates. This methodology results in a perpetual mismatch between actual revenues collected and estimated revenues as reported in the monthly filings. In the billing period under review, the mismatch resulted in an under-recovery of \$2,883,254.

A.

A.

The second component is the use of 12-month average revenues to calculate the MESF and then applying that same MESF to the actual monthly revenues. The result is an over-collection during the summer months when actual revenues will generally be greater than the 12-month average and an under-collection during the shoulder months when actual revenues will generally be less than the 12-month average. In the billing period under review, the use of 12-month average revenues resulted in an under-recovery of \$216,120.

Q. What kind of adjustment is KU proposing in this case as a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period?

KU is proposing that the cumulative under-recovery of \$3,949,299 be recovered over the nine months following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. Specifically, KU recommends that the Commission approve an increase to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$658,217 per month for the first three months and \$658,216 per month for the following three months, beginning in the first full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. This method is

- 1 consistent with the method of implementing previous over- or under- recovery
 2 positions in prior ECR review cases.
- Q. What rate of return is KU proposing to use for all ECR Plans upon the Commission's Order in this proceeding?
- 5 A. KU is recommending an overall rate of return on capital of 11.12%, including the
 6 currently approved 10.63% return on equity and adjusted capitalization, to be used to
 7 calculate the environmental surcharge. This is based on capitalization as of August
 8 31, 2008 and the Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in its February
 9 5, 2009 Order in Case No. 2008-00251.

10 Q. What is your recommendation to the Commission in this case?

- 11 A. KU makes the following recommendations to the Commission in this case:
 - a) The Commission should approve the proposed increase to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$658,217 per month for the first three months and \$658,216 per month for the following three months, beginning in the first full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding;
 - b) The Commission should determine environmental surcharge amount for the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2008 to be just and reasonable;
- The Commission should approve the use of an overall rate of return on capital of 11.12% using a return on equity of 10.63% beginning in the first billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding.

22 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

23 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

APPENDIX A

Robert M. Conroy

Director – Rates E.ON U.S. Services Inc. 220 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 627-3324

Education

Masters of Business Administration

Indiana University (Southeast campus), December 1998. GPA: 3.9.

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering;

Rose Hulman Institute of Technology, May 1987. GPA: 3.3

Essentials of Leadership, London Business School, 2004.

Center for Creative Leadership, Foundations in Leadership program, 1998.

Registered Professional Engineer in Kentucky, 1995.

Previous Positions

Manager, Rates	April 2004 – Feb. 2008
Manager, Generation Systems Planning	Feb. 2001 – April 2004
Group Leader, Generation Systems Planning	Feb. 2000 – Feb. 2001
Lead Planning Engineer	Oct. 1999 – Feb. 2000
Consulting System Planning Analyst	April 1996 – Oct. 1999
System Planning Analyst III & IV	Oct. 1992 - April 1996
System Planning Analyst II	Jan. 1991 - Oct. 1992
Electrical Engineer II	Jun. 1990 - Jan. 1991
Electrical Engineer I	Jun. 1987 - Jun. 1990

Professional/Trade Memberships

Registered Professional Engineer in Kentucky, 1995.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE)	
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF KENTUCKY)	CASE NO.
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH)	2008-00550
BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2008)	

RESPONSE OF
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
TO
INFORMATION REQUESTED IN
APPENDIX B OF COMMISSION'S ORDER
DATED JANUARY 28, 2009

FILED: February 27, 2009

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KENTUCKY) SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Director, Rates for E.ON U.S. Services Inc., that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

ROBERT M. CONROY

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 26th day of February 2009.

Veclore B. Harper (SEAL)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20, 2010

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KENTUCKY)
) SS
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Shannon L. Charnas**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is the Director, Utility Accounting and Reporting for E.ON U.S. Services Inc., that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Shannon L. CHARNAS

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 26th day of February 2009.

Victoria B. Hayser (SEAL)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

Sept 20, 2010

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 1

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas / Robert M. Conroy

Q-1. Concerning the rate of return on the four amendments to the environmental compliance plan, for each of the periods under review, calculate any true-up adjustment needed to recognize changes in KU's cost of debt, preferred stock, accounts receivable financing (if applicable), or changes in KU's jurisdictional capital structure. Include all assumptions and other supporting documentation used to make this calculation. Any true-up adjustment is to be included in the determination of the over- or under-recovery of the surcharge for the billing period under review.

A-1. Please see the attachment.

KU calculated the true-up adjustment to recognize changes in the cost of debt and capital structure in two steps, shown on Pages 1 and 2 of the attachment to this response. Page 1 reflects the true-up required due to the changes between the Rate Base as filed and the Rate Base as Revised through the Monthly Filings. However, during the period under review there were no revisions to reflect. Page 2 represents the true-up in the Rate of Return as filed compared to the actual Rate of Return calculations. No further revisions to Rate Base were identified during this review period.

Page 3 provides the adjusted weighted average cost of capital for the period under review.

KU did not engage in accounts receivable financing or have any preferred stock during the period under review.

Kentucky Utilities Overall Rate of Return True-up Adjustment - Revised Rate Base Impact on Calculated E(m) - Post 1994 Plan

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Billing Month	Expense Month	Rate of Return as Filed	Rate Base as Filed	Rate Base As Revised	Change in Rate Base	True-up Adjustment	Jurisdictional Allocation, ES Form 1.00	Jursidictional True up Adjustment
					(5) - (4)	(3) * (6) / 12		(7) * (8)
May-08	Mar-08	11.42%	\$ 893,514,146	\$ 893,514,146	\$ -	\$ -	81.31%	\$ -
Jun-08	Apr-08	11.42%	928,185,321	928,185,321	-	•	84 71%	-
Jul-08	May-08	11 42%	962,572,421	962,572,421	-	•	81.63%	•
Aug-08	Jun-08	11.42%	1,000,575,344	1,000,575,344	-	-	83 46%	-
Sep-08	Jul-08	11.42%	1,032,461,736	1,032,461,736	-	-	81.02%	-
Oct-08	Aug-08	11.13%	1,056,151,360	1,056,151,360	-	-	85.16%	-
	Ü					\$ -	_	\$
<u> </u>	***************************************							
				Cumulative Impac	t of Changes in Rate Base	e_\$	=	\$ -
i								

Attachment to Response to Question No. 1 Page 2 of 3 Conroy

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
Billing Month	Expense Month	Rate of Return as Filed	Rate of Return as Revised	Change in Rate of Return	Rate Base as Revised	True-up Adjustment	Jurisdictional Allocation, ES Form 1.00	Jursidictional True up Adjustment		
				(4) - (3)		(5) * (6) / 12		(7) * (8)		
May-08	Mar-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0.23%	\$ 893,514,146	(171,257)	81.31%	(139,249)		
Jun-08	Apr-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0.23%	928,185,321	(177,902)	84.71%	(150,701)		
Jul-08	May-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0.23%	962,572,421	(184,493)	81.63%	(150,602)		
Aug-08	Jun-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0.23%	1,000,575,344	(191,777)	83.46%	(160,057)		
Sep-08	Jul-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0.23%	1,032,461,736	(197,888)	81.02%	(160,329)		
Oct-08	Aug-08	11.13%	11.19%	0.06%	1,056,151,360	52,808	85.16%	44,971		
						(870,510)		(715,967)		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980	WHEN THE STATE OF	***************************************			
	Cumulative Impact of Changes in Rate of Return \$\(\(\)(870,510\)\$ \$\(\)(715,967)\$									

Kentucky Utilities Company Adjusted Electric Rate of Return on Common Equity 10/31/2008

	(1)	(2) Total Company Capitalization	(3) Adjustments to Total Co Capitalization (20)	(4) Adjusted Total Company Capitalization (2) - (3)	Capital Structure (4) / (4), row 4	(6) Jurisdictional Capitalization Allocation	(7) Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (4) * (6)
1	Long-Term Debt	1,393,879,405	12,017,467	1,381,861,938	43.88%	87.94%	1,215,209,388
2	Short-Term Debt	121,961,454	1,051,503	120,909,951	3 84%	87.94%	106,328,211
3	Common Equity	1,686,860,000	40,198,888	1,646,661,112	52.28%	87.94%	1,448,073,782
4		3,202,700,859	53,267,858	3,149,433,001	100.00%		2,769,611,381
	(1)	(8) Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (7)	(9) Adjustments to Ky Juris Capitalization (24)	(10) Adjusted Ky Jurisdictional Capitalization (8) - (9)	(11) Capital Structure (10) / (10), row 4	(12) Annual Cost Rate	(13) Weighted Cost of Capital (11) * (12)
1	Long-Term Debt	1,215,209,388	422,378,232	792,831,156	43.88%	5.30%	2 33%
2	Short-Term Debt	106,328,211	36,957,188	69,371,023	3.84%	4.95%	0.19%
	Chort Form Dobt						
3	Common Equity	1,448,073,782	503,316,423	944,757,359	52.28%	10.50%	5.49%

5 Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect {ROR + (ROR - DR) x [TR / (1 - TR)]}

11.19%

Adjustments to Total Company Capitalization

	(1)	(14) Total Company Capitalization (2)	(15) Capital Structure (14) / (14), row 4	(16) Undistributed Subsidiary Earnings	(17) Investments in EEI (17) row 4 x (15)	Other Investments (18) row 4 x (15)	(19) Minimum Pension Liability	(20) Total Adjustments to Total Company Capitalization (16) + (17) + (18) - (19)
1	Long-Term Debt	1,393,879,405	43.52%	-	11,729,726	287,741	*	12,017,467
2	Short-Term Debt	121,961,454	3.81%	-	1,026,326	25,177	-	1,051,503
3	Common Equity	1,686,860,000	52 67%	25,655,459	14,195,207	348,222	-	40,198,888
4		3,202,700,859	100.00%	25,655,459	26,951,259	661,140	-	53,267,858

Adjustments to Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization

	(1)	(21) Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (8)	Capital Structure (21) / (21), row 4	(23) Environmental Surcharge Post-1994 Plan (23) row 4 x (22)	(24) Adjustments to Ky Juris Capitalization (23)
1	Long-Term Debt	1,215,209,388	43.88%	422,378,232	422,378,232
2	Short-Term Debt	106,328,211	3 84%	36,957,188	36,957,188
3	Common Equity	1,448,073,782	52 28%	503,316,423	503,316,423
4		2,769,611,381	100.00%	962,651,843	962,651,843

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 2

Witness: Robert M. Conroy

- Q-2. For each of the periods under review, prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of Total E(m), Net Retail E(m), and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the billing period under review. Include the expense months for the two expense months subsequent to the billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments for the months included for the billing period under review. The summary schedule is to incorporate all corrections and revisions to the monthly surcharge filings KU has submitted during the billing periods under review. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount KU believes needs to be recognized for the 6-month reviews. Include all supporting calculations and documentation for any such additional over- or under-recovery.
- A-2. Please see the attachment to this response for the summary schedule and cumulative components which make up the net under-recovery.

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2
Page 1 of 3

Conroy

Kentucky Utilities Calculation of E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2008 through August 2008

	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	9	(<u>8</u>)	
		Monthly Rate	Rate of Return as	Operating Expenses (net of allowance		Retail		
Expense Month	Rate Base as Revised Base as Revised	Base as Revised	Revised	proceeds)	Total E(m)	Allocation Ratio	Retail E(m)	Comments: As Revised in This Review
	ES Form 2.00	(2) / 12		ES Form 2.00 (3) * $(4) + (5)$	(3) * (4) + (5)	ES Form 1.10	(2) * (9)	Andrewson Comment of the Comment of
Mar-08	893,514,146	74,459,512	11.19%	1,178,399		81.31%	7,732,921	
80-J	928,185,321		11.19%	1,580,406	10,235,734	84.71%	8,670,690	
1y-08	962,572,421		11.19%	1,974,752	_	81.63%	8,939,089	
n-08	1,000,575,344		11.19%	2,477,145	11,807,510	83.46%	9,854,548	
11-08	1,032,461,736	86,038,478	11.19%	3,085,086	-	81.02%	10,299,904	
Aug-08	1,056,151,360	88,012,613	11.19%	3,742,987	13,591,598	85.16%	11,574,605	

Attachment to Response to Question No. 2 Page 2 of 3

Conroy

(12)

Combined Total Over/(Under) Recovery

Kentucky Utilities Calculation of E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2008 through August 2008

3,406,885 3,767,850 4,216,621 4,215,753 4,177,504 3,598,715 23,383,327 ECR Revenue Recovered Through Base Rates Ξ 2,816,309 4,470,912 6,243,205 7,422,675 5,832,354 5,175,991 31,961,447 Monthly Environmental ECR Billing Surcharge Factor (MESF) Factor Revenues ECR Billing (10) Grand Total 3.69% 4.66% 4.96% 6.03% 7.30% 6) Surcharge Factor (BESF) Base Environmental 5.51% 5.51% 5.51% 5.51% 5.51% 5.51% (8) Current Environmental E Surcharge Factor (CESF) 9.20% 10.17% 10.47% 11.54% 11.54% 12.81% (2) ES Form 1.00 89,584,234 90,279,515 90,203,338 89,828,391 89,962,316 91,159,943 Average Monthly Retail Revenues (9) 8,241,193 9,178,962 9,447,361 10,362,819 10,383,237 11,680,501 59,294,073 Retail E(m) Including all Adjustments (3) and 2008-00216 424,939 424,939 424,939 (4)
Adjustment For OMU
Environmental Adjustment to Retail
Expenses per Case No. E(m) for Monthly True2003-00434 up 22,563 up Case No. 2007-00379 83,333 83,333 83,333 83,333 83,333 83,333 7,732,921 8,670,690 8,939,089 9,854,548 10,299,904 11,574,605 57,071,757 Retail E(m) (2) Mar-08
Apr-08
May-08
Jun-08
Jul-08
Aug-08
Sep-08 Expense Month (1)

(2,017,999) (940,200) 1,012,465 1,275,610 (373,379) (2,905,795)

(3,949,299)

Kentucky Utilities Company Reconciliation of Combined Over/(Under) Recovery Summary Schedule for Expense Months March 2008 through August 2008

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8) Jurisdictional	(9)	
Billing Month	Expense Month	Rate of Return as Filed	Rate of Return as Revised	Change in Rate of Return (4) - (3)	Rate Base as Revised	True-up Adjustment (5) * (6) / 12	Allocation, ES Form I 00	Jursidictional True up Adjustment (7) * (8)	
May-08	Mar-08	11 42%	11 19%	-0 23%	893,514,146	(171,257)	81 31%	(139,249)	
Jun-08	Apr-08	11 42%	11 19%	-0 23%	928,185,321	(177,902)	84 71%	(150,701)	
Jul-08	May-08	11 42%	11 19%	-0 23%	962,572,421	(184,493)	81 63%	(150,602)	
Aug-08	Jun-08	11 42%	11 19%	-0 23%	1,000,575,344	(191,777)	83 46%	(160,057)	
Sep-08	Jul-08	11.42%	11.19%	-0 23%	1,032,461,736	(197,888)	81 02%	(160,329)	
Oct-08	Aug-08	11 13%	11 19%	0 06%	1,056,151,360	52,808	85 16%	44,971	
					inges in Rate of Return			\$ (715,967)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Base Rate Revenues (from ES Form 3 00)	As filed BESF * Base Rates (from ES Form 2 00)	Actual ECR Base Rates (Q2, pg 2, Col 11)	As Filed BESF (from ES Form 1 00)	Recalculated BESF	Recalc BESF * Base Rates (3) * (7)	Recalculation Difference (8) - (4)	BESF % Difference (5) - (8)
14 00	14 00	72 722 000	1000 100	2 406 885	C C10/	5 700/	2 022 504	(000 541)	(40 (50)
May-08	Mar-08	73,722,800	4,062,126	3,406,885	5.51%	5 20%	3,833,586	(228,541)	(426,701)
Jun-08	Apr-08	81,577,064	4,494,896	3,767,850	5 51%	5 20%	4,242,007	(252,889)	(474,157)
Jul-08	May-08	91,497,390	5,041,506	4,216,621	5 51%	5 20%	4,757,864	(283,642)	(541,244)
Aug-08	Jun-08	90,868,140	5,006,835	4,215,753	5 51%	5 20%	4,725,143	(281,691)	(509,390)
Sep-08	Jul-08	90,521,028	4,987,709	4,177,504	5 51%	5 20%	4,707,093	(280,615)	(529,590)
Oct-08	Aug-08	76,940,137 505,126,559	4,239,402 27,832,473	3,598,715 23,383,327	5 51%	5 20%	4,000,887 26,266,581	(238,514)	(2.883,254)
	Acto	al Base Rate Collections	23,383,327	23,363,321	Actual Dana	Rate Collections	23,383,327	(1,303,692)	(2.863,234)
	Actu	ai Dase Rate Conections	(4,449,146)		Actual Base	: Kale Collections	(2,883,254)	<u>-</u>	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
		-		Under - Recovery Pos	ition Explanation				
		Combined Total				Use of 12 Month			
Billing	Expense	Over/(Under)				Average			
Month	Month	Recovery	ROR Trueup	BESF Inconsistency	Use of BESF %	Revenues			
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(Q2, pg 2, Col 12)	Note Tradap	DEG! Incomments	030 0. 2201 75	November			
May-08	Mar-08	(2,017,999)	(139,249)	(228,541)	(426,701)	(1,502,007)			
Jun-08	Apr-08	(940,200)	(150,701)	(252,889)	(474,157)	(363,855)			
Jul-08	May-08	1,012.465	(150,602)	(283,642)	(541,244)	1,686,748			
Aug-08	Jun-08	1,275,610	(160,057)	(281,691)		1,906,634			
Sep-08	Jul-08	(373,379)	(160,329)	(280,615)		276,497			
Oct-08	Aug-08	(2,905,795)	44,971	(238,514)	(402,172)	(2,220,137)			
		(3,949,299)	(715,967)	(1,565,892)	(2,883,254)	(216,120)			

OVER/UNDER RECONCILIATION	١	
Combined Over/Under Recovery		(3,949,299)
Due to BESF Inconsistency	(1,565,892)	
Due to use of BESF %	(2,883,254)	
Due to Change in ROR	715,967	
Use of 12 Month Average Revenues	(216,120)	
Subtotal		(3,949,299)
Unreconciled Difference		-

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 3

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-3. Provide the calculations, assumptions, workpapers, and other supporting documents used to determine the amounts KU has reported during the billing period under review for Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes.
- A-3. KU calculates Deferred Income Taxes as the taxable portion of the difference between book depreciation, using straight line depreciation, and tax depreciation, generally using 20 year MACRS accelerated depreciation or 5 or 7 year rapid amortization. Accelerated depreciation results in a temporary tax savings to the Company and the Accumulated Deferred Tax balance reflects the value of those temporary savings as a reduction to environmental rate base.

See the attachment for the calculation of Deferred Income Taxes and the balance of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes reported each month of the review period.

2001 - Plan Project 16 -- Emission Monitoring

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,014,881	18,994
Apr-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,023,528	18,994
May-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,032,175	18,994
Jun-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,040,822	18,994
Jul-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,049,469	18,994
Aug-08	9,775,541	16,203	38,431	22,228	38.9000%	8,647	1,058,114	18,994

Deferred

Kentucky Utilities Company Deferred Tax Calculations Post-1994 Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2001 - Plan Project 17 -- NOx

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Taxes on Retirements
								
Mar-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38 9000%	124,817	29,679,839	205,174
Apr-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38.9000%	124,817	29,804,656	205,174
May-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38.9000%	124,817	29,929,473	205,174
Jun-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38.9000%	124,817	30,054,290	205,174
Jul-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38 9000%	124,817	30,179,107	205,174
Aug-08	216,964,277	456,301	1,799,052	1,342,751	38.9000%	124,817	30,303,925	205,174
Note:	computed sepa	arately for Federa ation, which redu	ax purposes, taken of al and State purposes ces the Federal tax b	s. Specifically, f	or Federal taxes	s, certain assets	placed in service in	

 Federal Basis 151,874,994
 Book Depr. 456,301
 Federal Tax Depr 746,478
 Fed. Difference 290,177
 Fed Tax Rate 35.0000%
 Fed Def Tax 101,562

 State Basis 216,964,277
 Book Depr. 456,301
 State Tax Depr 1,052,574
 St. Difference 596,273
 State Tax Rate 60.0000%
 St. Def Tax 35.776

St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed (12,522)

Total Deferred Tax 124,817

2003 - Plan Project 18 -- New Ash Storage

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,284,951	-
Apr-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,295,478	-
May-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,306,005	-
Jun-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,316,532	
Jul-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,327,059	
Aug-08	16,148,295	29,067	130,695	101,628	38.9000%	10,527	2,337,584	-

Note:

Due to Bonus Depreciation for tax purposes taken on Project 18, the deferred tax calculation for this project is computed separately for Federal and State purposes. Specifically, for Federal taxes, certain assets placed in service in 2005 received 30% bonus depreciation, which reduces the Federal tax basis to 70% of the plant balance. A sample calculation of deferred taxes for March 2008 is shown below:

Federal Basis	Book Depr.	Federal Tax Dep	Fed Differenc	Fed Tax Rate	Fed Def Tax
11,303,807	29,067	53,816	24,749	35 0000%	8,662
State Basis	Book Depr.	State Tax Depr	St. Difference	State Tax Rate	St Def Tax
16,148,295	29,067	76,879	47,812	6.0000%	2,869

St. Offset for Fed Taxes not Owed (1,004)

Total Deferred Tax 10,527

2005 - Plan Project 19 -- Ash Handling at Ghent 1 and Ghent Station

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Маг-08	398,915	718	3,737	3,019	38.9000%	1,174	28,049	28,433
Apr-08	398,915	718	3,737	3,019	38.9000%	1,174	29,223	28,433
May-08	398,915	718	3,737	3,019	38.9000%	1,174	30,398	28,433
Jun-08	398,915	718	3,737	3,019	38.9000%	1,174	31,572	28,433
Jul-08	398,915	718	3,737	3,019	38.9000%	1,174	32,747	28,433
Aug-08	398.915	718	3.737	3.019	38.9000%	1,174	33,921	28,433

2005 - Plan Project 20 -- Ash Treatment Basin at E.W. Brown

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	_			_	38.9000%	_	_	_
Apr-08	-	-	_		38 9000%	-	-	
May-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%		-	-
Jun-08	-	-		-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Jul-08	19,697,162	32,090	246,216	214,126	38.9000%	83,295	83,295	-
Aug-08	19.697.162	64.180	246,216	182,036	38.9000%	70,812	154,106	

2005 - Plan Project 21 -- FGD's

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	143,837,362	668,875	1,347,619	678,744	38.9000%	264,031	2,715,100	761,567
Apr-08	143,837,362	668,875	1,347,619	678,744	38.9000%	264,031	2,979,131	761,567
May-08	143,837,362	668,875	1,347,619	678,744	38.9000%	264,031	3,243,163	761,567
Jun-08	302,195,610	1,042,996	2,818,091	1,775,095	38.9000%	690,512	3,933,675	761,567
Jul-08	425,024,402	1,698,128	4,002,513	2,304,385	38.9000%	896,406	4,830,081	761,567
Aug-08	425,024,402	1,979,138	4,002,513	2,023,375	38.9000%	787,093	5,617,175	761,567

2006 - Plan Project 23 - TC2 AQCS Equipment

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
					00.00000/			
Mar-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	*	•
Apr-08		-	-	-	38.9000%	-		-
May-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Jun-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Jul-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Aug-08	-	-	-	-	38 9000%	-	-	-

2006 - Plan Project 24 - Sorbent Injection

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Apr-08	-	-	-	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
May-08	-			-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Jun-08	-	•	-	-	38 9000%	-		-
Jul-08	-	**	•	-	38.9000%	-	-	-
Aug-08	3,498,412	3,149	35,483	32,334	38.9000%	12,578	12,578	-

2006 - Plan Project 25 - Mercury Monitors

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	265.290	2.308	3.627	1.319	38.9000%	513	9.549	
Apr-08	265,290	2,308	3,627	1,319	38.9000%	513	10,062	_
May-08	265,290	2,308	3,627	1,319	38.9000%	513	10,575	-
Jun-08	265,290	2,308	3,627	1,319	38.9000%	513	11,088	
Jul-08	265,290	2,308	3,627	1,319	38.9000%	513	11,601	-
Aug-08	265.290	2.308	3.627	1.319	38.9000%	513	12,114	-

Kentucky Utilities Company Deferred Tax Calculations Post-1994 Environmental Compliance Plans, by Approved Project

2006 - Plan Project 27 - E.W. Brown Electrostatic Precipitators

Month	Plant Balance	Book Depreciation	Tax Depreciation	Temporary Difference	Income Tax Rate	Deferred Tax	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	Deferred Taxes on Retirements
Mar-08	46,715	152	571	419	38.9000%	163	3.663	2,274
Apr-08	46.715	152	571	419	38.9000%		3,826	2,274
May-08	46,715	152	571	419	38.9000%	163	3,989	2,274
Jun-08	46,715	152	571	419	38.9000%	163	4,152	2,274
Jul-08	46,715	152	571	419	38.9000%	163	4,315	2,274
Aug-08	46,715	152	571	419	38.9000%	163	4,478	2,274

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 4

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-4. Provide the percentage of KU's long-term debt that has a variable interest rate as of the last expense month in the billing period under review.
- A-4. For the last expense month of the billing period of May 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008, the percentage of KU's long-term debt with a variable rate was 19%.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 5

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-5. Refer to ES Form 2.50, Pollution Control Operations & Maintenance Expenses, for the March 2008 through August 2008 expense months. For each expense account number listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent.
- A-5. Attached please find a schedule showing the changes in operations and maintenance expense accounts for March through August 2008 expense months. The changes in the expense levels are reasonable and occurred as a part of routine plant operations and maintenance. Monthly variances in the NOx operation account, 506104 and 506105, and the sorbent injection operation account, 506109, result from the timing of ammonia purchases and operation of the NOx and SO₃ removal system during the ozone season (May through September). Fluctuations in NOx maintenance expenses, account 512101, are the result of routine monthly maintenance of the SCRs. April and May NOx maintenance expenses in account 512101 were higher than the other months due to larger purchases of parts and the labor to install them. Scrubber operation account 502006 expenses are the result of regular operation of the Ghent FGDs. increases in sorbent injection maintenance costs, account 512102, are the result of normal system maintenance during the ozone season. Account 512102 for June 2008 was lower than the other months due to no material purchases being required. Monthly variances in account 512005, scrubber maintenance, are the result of regular maintenance of the FGD at Ghent.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Pollution Control - Operations & Maintenance Expenses

			% Change	Ghent	% Change		% Change		% Change		% Change
O&M Expense Account	Mar-08	Apr-08	from Prior Period	May-08	trom Prior Period	Jun-08	тот Рпог Репоd	Jul-08	нот Рпог Репод	Aug-08	пот Рпог Репод
2001 Plan											
506104 NOx Operation Consumables	-	112,726	100%	228,603	103%	177,442	-22%	151,209	-15%	461,037	205%
506105 NOx Operation Labor and Other	,	,	%0	,	%0		%0	•	%0	-	%0
512101 NOx Maintenance	22,036	51,395	133%	49,841	-3%	14,906	%0/-	19,189	730%	20,394	%9
Total 2001 Plan O&M Expenses	22,036	164,121		278,444		192,348		170,398		481,431	
2005 Plan											
502006 Scrubber Operations	144,582	898.89	-52%	111,051	%19	134,901	21%	194,097	44%	183,975	-5%
512005 Scrubber Maintenance	6,200	4,545	-27%	6,723	48%	25,853	782%	22,576	-13%	10,480	-54%
Total 2005 Plan O&M Expenses	150,782	73,413		117,774		160,754		216,673		194,455	
2006 Plan											
506109 Sorbent Injection Operation	,	34,800	100%	266,582	%999	449,286	%69	329,495	-27%	386,910	17%
512102 Sorbent Injection Maintenance	,	13,935	100%	19,945	43%	2,687	-87%	11,337	322%	7,442	-34%
506110 Mercury Monitors Operation	,	,	%0	-	%0	-	%0	•	%0		%0
512103 Mercury Monitors Maintenance	-	-	%0	,	%0	,	%0	1	%0	-	%0
Total 2006 Plan O&M Expenses	,	48,735		286,527		451,973		340,832		394,352	

1 Off by \$1 from filing due to rounding.

·		

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Response to Information Requested in Appendix B of Commission's Order Dated January 28, 2009

Case No. 2008-00550

Question No. 6

Witness: Shannon L. Charnas

- Q-6. The Commission previously ordered that KU's cost of debt and preferred stock would be reviewed and re-established during the 6-month review case. Provide the following information as of August 31, 2008:
 - a. The outstanding balances for long-term debt, short-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Provide this information on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
 - b. The blended interest rates for long-term debt, short-term debt, and preferred stock. Include all supporting calculations showing how these blended interest rates were determined. If applicable, provide the blended interest rates on total company and Kentucky jurisdictional bases.
 - c. KU's calculation of its weighted average cost of capital for environmental surcharge purposes.
- A-6. a. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock as of August 31, 2008, therefore it is not listed in the attached schedule.
 - b. Please see the attachment. There was no preferred stock as of August 31, 2008, therefore it is not listed in the attached schedule.
 - c. Please see the attachment. KU is utilizing a return on equity of 10.63% as agreed to and approved by the Commission in its February 5, 2009 Order in Case No. 2008-00251.

Kentucky Utilities Company Outstanding Balances - Capitalization As of August 31, 2008

	1	2	3
		Outstanding Balance Total Company	Outstanding Balance KY Jurisdicational 87.94%
1	Long-Term Debt	\$1,359,159,520	\$1,195,244,882
2	Short-Term Debt	\$129,285,454	\$113,693,628
3	Common Equity	\$1,611,419,322	\$1,417,082,152

Kentucky Utilities Company Blended Interest Rates As of August 31, 2008

		1 Blended Interest Rate Total Company
1	Long-Term Debt	5.32%
2	Short-Term Debt	2.44%

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ANALYSIS OF THE EMBEDDED COST OF CAPITAL AT August 31, 2008

			LC	ONG-TERM D	<u>EBT</u>				
						nualized Co	st		
					Amortized Debt		Amortized Loss-		Embedded
	Due	Rate	Principal	Interest(income)	Issuance Expense	Premium	Reaquired Debt	Total	Cost
Pollution Control Bonds -									
Series 11 - Series A	05/01/23	1.90000% *	12,900,000 2		-	-	34,599	279,699	2 17
Series 11 - Series A	05/01/23	1.90000% *	(12,900,000) 2			~		(245,100)	1.90
Series 12	02/01/32	1.75000% *	20,930,000	366,275	4,104		36,300	406,679	1 94
Series 13	02/01/32	1 75000% *	2,400,000	42,000	2,856	-	4,164	49,020	2 04
Series 14	02/01/32	1.75000% *	7,400,000	129,500	3,180	-	15,660	148,340	2 00
Series 15	02/01/32	1 75000% *	2,400,000	42,000	1,140	-	12,744	55,884	2 33
Series 16	10/01/32	3 62300% *	96,000,000	3,478,080	72,837	-	186,036	3,736,953	3 89
Series 17	10/01/34	4.00000% *	50,000,000 2		-	-	94,212	2,094,212	4 19
Series 17	10/01/34	4.00000%	(50,000,000) 2		-		-	(2,000,000)	4 00
Series 18	06/01/35	3.55000% *	13,266,950	470,977	17,813	-	~	488,790	3 68
Series 19	06/01/35	3 55000% *	13,266,950	470,977	18,102	-	*	489,079	3 69
Series 20	06/01/36	6 15800% *	16,693,620	1,027,993	20,806	-	-	1,048,799	6 28
Series 21	06/01/36	1.66000% *	16,693,620 2	277,114	· -	-	20,839	297,953	1 78
Series 21	06/01/36	1.66000% *	(16,693,620) 2	(277,114)	-	-	-	(277, 114)	1 66
Series 22	10/01/34	6 21000% *	54,000,000	3,353,400	37,464	~	-	3,390,864	6.28
C 2007A \$17 8M	02/01/26	5 75000% *	17,875,000	1,027,813	31,205	-	-	1,059,018	5 92
C 2007A \$8 9M	03/01/37	6 00000% *	8,927,000	535,620	14,287	_	-	549,907	6 16
Called Bonds			-	-	-	-	110,904 1	110,904	-
otal External Debt			253,159,520	10,944,635	223,794		515,458	11,683,887	0.86%
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	04/30/13	4 550%	100,000,000	4,550,000	*	_	_	4,550,000	4 55
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	08/15/13	5 310%	75,000,000	3,982,500			_	3,982,500	5 31
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	11/24/10	4 240%	33,000,000	1,399,200	_	_	_	1,399,200	4 24
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	01/16/12	4 390%	50,000,000	2,195,000				2,195,000	4 39
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	07/08/15	4 735%	50,000,000	2,367,500	-	-	-	2,367,500	4 74
		5 360%			-	-	•		5 36
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	12/21/15		75,000,000	4,020,000	•	-	-	4,020,000	
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	06/23/36	6 330%	50,000,000	3,165,000	-	•	•	3,165,000	6 33
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	10/25/16	5.675%	50,000,000	2,837,500	•	-	-	2,837,500	5.68
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	02/07/22	5 690%	53,000,000	3,015,700	-	-	*	3,015,700	5 69
otes Payable to Fidelia Corp	03/30/37	5 860%	75,000,000	4,395,000	-	-	-	4,395,000	5.86
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	06/20/17	5 980%	50,000,000	2,990,000	•	-	-	2,990,000	5.98
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	09/14/28	5.960%	100,000,000	5,960,000	-	-	-	5,960,000	5 96
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	10/25/19	5.710%	70,000,000	3,997,000	-	-	-	3,997,000	5.71
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	12/19/14	5.450%	100,000,000	5,450,000	*	-	*	5,450,000	5.45
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp.	05/22/23	5 850%	75,000,000	4,387,500	-	-	-	4,387,500	5 85
lotes Payable to Fidelia Corp	07/25/18	6.160%	50,000,000	3,080,000	_	_	-	3,080,000	6.16
Notes Payable to Fidelia Corp	08/27/18	5 645%	50,000,000	2,822,500	-	_	-	2,822,500	5.65
Total Internal Debt	00.20	70	1,106,000,000	60,614,400			-	60,614,400	4.46%
otal intellial Debt			1,100,000,000	00,014,400				30,014,400	4.40 /6
		Total	1,359,159,520	71,559,035	223,794	0	515,458	72,298,287	5.32%
		iolai	1,008,108,020	11,009,000	223,134	<u>U</u>	010,400	12,230,201	0.32/6

		SI	HORT TERM D	EBT				
			***************************************		nnualized Cos	st		en la caración de
	Rate	Principal	Interest	Expense	Premium	Loss	Total	Embedded <u>Cost</u>
Notes Payable to Associated Company	2 440% *	129,285,454	3,154,565	-	-	-	3,154,565	2 44
	Total	129,285,454	3,154,565	-	-		3,154,565	2.44%

Embedded Cost of Total Debt 75,452,852 <u>5.07%</u>

^{*} Composite rate at end of current month

¹ Series P and R bonds were redeemed in 2003, and 2005, respectively They were not replaced with other bond series. The remaining unamortized expense is being amortized over the remainder of the original lives (due 5/15/07 and 6/1/25 respectively) of the bonds as loss on reaquired debt

² Reacquired bonds, which net to zero as they are also included in Short Term Debt Notes Payable to Associated Company

Kentucky Utilities Company Outstanding Balances - Adjusted Jurisdictional Capitalization August 31, 2008

1 Long-Term Debt 781,961,523 44.20% 5.32% 2.35% 2.35% 2.35% 2 Short-Term Debt 74,381,446 4.20% 2.44% 0.10% 0.10% 0.10% 3 Common Equity 912,829,361 51.60% 10.63% 5.49% 0.58 8.67% 4 Total 1,769,172,330 7.94% 7.94% 11.12%	F	2 Electric Only	3 Capital Structure	4 Cost Rate	5 Weighted Average Cost of Capital	6 Tax Gross-up Factor	7 Weighted Average Cost of Capital with Equity Gross-up
74,381,446 4.20% 2.44% 0.10% 912,829,361 51.60% 10.63% 5.49% 0.58 7.94% 1,769,172,330 7.94% 11.12%	Long-Term Debt	781,961,523	44.20%	5.32%	2.35%		2.35%
912,829,361 51.60% 10.63% 5.49% 0.58 1,769,172,330 7.94% 11.12%	Short-Term Debt	74,381,446	4.20%	2.44%	0.10%		0.10%
7.94% Rate of Return (ROR) Grossed Up:	Common Equity	912,829,361	51.60%	10.63%	5.49%	0.58	8.67%
	Total	1,769,172,330			7.94%		11.12%
		Ľ	kate of Return (ROR) Gross	ed Up:	11.12%		

Weighted Cost of Capital Grossed up for Income Tax Effect {ROR + (ROR - Debt rate) x [TR/(1-TR)]}

See tax rate (TR) calculation on 6(c) page (2)

ECR - Gross-up Revenue Factor & Composite Income Tax Calculation 2008

			2008	
		Fed	eral & State	
		Prod	uction Credit	
		W/ 6	% 2008 State	
			Rate Included	
(1)	1. Assume pre-tax income of	\$	100.0000	
(2)				
(3)	2. State income tax (see below)	<u> </u>	5.6604	(37)
(4)				
(5)	3. Taxable income for Federal income tax			
(6)	before production credit		94.3396	(1)-(3)
(7)			6%	
(8)	4. Less: Production tax credit (6% of Line 3)	***************************************	5.6604	(6)*(7)
(9)				
(10)	5. Taxable income for Federal income tax		88.6792	(6)-(8)
(11)				
(12)	6. Federal income tax (35% of Line 5)		31.0377	(10)*35%
(13)				
(14)	7. Total State and Federal income taxes			
(15)	(Line 2 + Line 6)	\$	36.6981	(3)+(12)
(16)				
(17)	8. Gross-up Revenue Factor		63.3019	100-(15)
(18)		***************************************		
(19)	9. Therefore, the composite rate is:			
(20)	10. Federal		31.0377%	(12)/100
(21)	11. State		5.6604%	(3)/100
(22)	12. Total		36.6981%	(20)+(21)
(23)				
(24)				
(25)				
(26)				
(27) (28)	State Income Tax Calculation			
(29)	1. Assume pre-tax income of	\$	100.0000	
	1. Assume pre-tax medine of	Ψ	100.0000	
(30) (31)	2. Less: Production tax credit		5.6604	(8)
(32)	2. Loss, Hoddelfoll tax ofedit		5.000-1	(0)
(32)	3. Taxable income for State income tax		94.3396	(29) - (31)
	5. Taxable medite for state medite tax) T.JJ)U	(2) / (31)
(34)	4. State Tax Rate		6.0000%	
(35)	T. State Las Rate		0.000070	
(36)	5. State Income Tax		5.6604	(33)*(35)
(37)	J. Diano income 1 ax		J.000T	(33) " (33)