SUIT, McCARTNEY, PRICE, PRICE & RUARK

Attorneys at Law

207 Court Square

Marvin W. Suit

Frank H. McCartney

Patrick E. Price

John C. Price

Darrell K. Ruark

Flemingsburg, KY 41041

Phone (606) 849-2338 Fax (606) 845-8701

January 12, 2009

Mr. Jeff Derouen **Executive Director Public Service Commission** 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PSC Case No. 2008-00415 Re:

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission in the above-referenced case an original and five copies of the responses of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc., to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request, dated December 19, 2008.

Very truly yours,

Marvin W. Suit

Attorney for Fleming-Mason Energy

Marie In Swit

MWS:jtr

Enclosures

<JTRd_etters\MWS\Derouen. Jeff re fivd Responses of Flem-Mason Energy to Data Request (01-05-09)>

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	IN	TH	$\mathbf{E}.\mathbf{N}$	ĨΑ	TTE	$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{O}$	F:
--	----	----	-------------------------	----	-----	-------------------------	----

IN THE MATTER OF.		
APPLICATION OF FLEMING-MASON ENERGY)	
COOPERATIVE TO PASS-THROUGH AN)	CASE NO.
INCREASE OF ITS WHOLESALE POWER)	2008-00415
SUPPLIER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.455(2))	
CERTIFICATE		
STATE OF KENTUCKY)		
)		
COUNTY OF CLARK)		

James C. Lamb, Jr., being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the responses of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative to the Public Service Commission Staff Initial Data Request in the above-referenced case dated December 19, 2008, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this | at day of January, 2009.

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

December 8, 2009

fan Chl.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF FLEMING-MASON ENERGY)	
COOPERATIVE TO PASS-THROUGH AN)	CASE NO.
INCREASE OF ITS WHOLESALE POWER)	2008-00415
SUPPLIER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.455(2))	
CERTIFICATE		
STATE OF KENTUCKY)		
)		
COUNTY OF CLAPK		

Ann F. Wood, being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of the responses of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative to the Public Service Commission Staff Initial Data Request in the above-referenced case dated December 19, 2008, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 9th day of January, 2009.

My Commission expires:

Reson S. Ireffin Notary Ribio December 8, 2009

ann J. Word

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF FLEMING-MASON ENERGY)	
COOPERATIVE TO PASS-THROUGH AN)	CASE NO.
INCREASE OF ITS WHOLESALE POWER)	2008-00415
SUPPLIER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.455(2))	

RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST TO FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE DATED DECEMBER 19, 2008

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2008-00415 INITIAL DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Ann F. Wood

COMPANY:

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Request 1. Provide the workpapers, spreadsheets, etc. which show the calculation of the increase, by individual wholesale rate schedule, in Fleming-Mason's cost of power from East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. that Fleming-Mason is proposing to flow through to its customers. Include a brief narrative description of the data being provided.

Response 1. Please pages 2 through 6 of this response. EKPC multiplied the projected wholesale billing units for all members for the test year by the EKPC existing rates to determine revenue before the rate increase. The revenue increase was then proportioned to EKPC rate classes in order to develop proposed rates. After the proposed wholesale rates were developed, the new rates were applied to the same projected billing units proportionally among all rate classes. These rates were then applied to the projected wholesale billing units of each member system, in order to determine their proportionate share of EKPC's revenue requirements.

Please note that the wholesale increase on pages 2 through 6 totals \$5,360,381 and is higher than the retail increase of \$5,358,485 shown in the Attachment to Response 3, Page 1 of 5 (Revised Exhibit 3 from the original filing.) This slight under recovery is a result of rounding.

7.91%

Percent Change

Fleming-Mason									
Nate E. Option 2							ć	Ē	
			Curre	Current Rate	······]		7	Pro Kata	Calculated
Description	Billing Units		Rate	Calculated Billings	d s Description	Billing Units	Rate		Billings
Metering Point Charge All Customers	175	w	125.00	\$ 21,875.00	Metering Point Charge All Customers	175	\$ 138.00	G	24,150.00
Substation charges Substation 1,000 - 2,999 kVa Substation 3,000 - 7,499 kVa Substation 7,500 - 14,999 kVa Substation 7,500 - 14,999 kVa		மைமை	944.00 2,373.00 2,855.00 4,605.00	\$ \$ \$ 274,080.00 \$ 308,535.00	Substation charges Substation 1,000 - 2,999 I Substation 3,000 - 7,499 I Substation 7,500 - 14,999 Substation > 15,000 kVa	96	\$ 1,041.00 \$ 2,617.00 \$ 3,149.00 \$ 5,079.00	<i></i>	302,304.00 340,293.00
	163					163		w	642,597.00
Demand Charge All Kw	1,096,794	υ ρ	5.22	\$ 5,725,264.68	Dem				
Energy Charge On-Peak (April - July)	kWh 80,417,455	G (0.042470			1,096,794	\$ 5.76	e)	6,317,533.44
Off-Peak (April - July) On-Peak (Aug - March) Off-Peak (Aug - March)	61,776,398 169,630,685 177,104,869 488,929,407	တ တ တ	0.034904 0.042470 0.034904	\$ 2,156,243.40 \$ 7,204,215.19 \$ 6,181,668.35 \$ 18,957,456.25	Energy Charge On-Peak Off-Peak	250,048,140 238,881,267 488,929,407	\$0.046844 \$0.038499	\$ 11	\$ 11,713,255.07 \$ 9,196,689.90 \$ 20,909,944.97
FAC ES Power Factor	488,929,407	69	0.007604	\$ 3,717,819.21 \$ 3,950,485.11	FAC ES Power Factor			6) 6) 69 69 69	3,717,819.21 3,950,485.11
Total Billings				\$ 32,955,515.25	Total Billings Increase/(Decrease)			8 83	\$ 35,562,529.72

Rate C		L								
			Cui	Current Rate	ate			Ą	Pro Rata	
Description	Billing Units		Rate	ΰ	Calculated Billings	Description	Billing Units	Rate		Calculated
Demand Charge Billing kW	295,313	w	6.22	w	1,836,846.86	Demand Charge #REF!	295,313	\$ 6.86	رب ده	\$ 2,025,847.18
Energy Charge All KWh	kWh 173,761,788	w	\$ 0.033455	S	5,813,200.62	Energy Charge All kWh	kWh 173,761,788	\$ 0.036901	rð.	\$ 6,411,983.74
and the state of t	173,761,788			S	7,650,047.48	AAAAAA AAAAAAAAAA ATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT		L-L-L-Webberbhammunnin -	8	\$ 8,437,830.92
FAC ES Power Factor		w	0.007604	w w w	1,321,284,64	FAC ES Power Factor			w w w	\$ 1,321,284.64 \$ 1,221,895.43 \$
Total Billings				ςş	10,193,227,55	Total Billings			\$ 10	\$ 10,981,010.99
						increase/(Decrease)			w	787,783.44
						Percent Change				7.73%

EKPC Fleming-Mason Pumping Station									į			A material constitution of
				ja C	Current Rate	ıte				Pr	Pro Rata	
Description	Billing Units			Rate	Ü	Calculated Billings	Description	Billing Units		Rate		Calculated
Demand Charge Billing kW	305,258	mmbtu	w	1.75	v	534,201.50	Demand Charge Billing kW	305,258	və	1.75	t/s	534,201.50
Energy Charge	kWħ	mmbtu					Energy Charge	kWh				
Off-Peak kWh	57,231,461 57,231,461		S	0.040354	တ	2,309,546.38	Off-Peak kWh	57,231,461	\$ 0.040354	354	S S 2	\$ 2,309,546.38 \$ 2,843,747.88
FAC ES Purch Power Recovery			w	0.007604	vs	387,318.46	FAC ES Purch Power Recovery	~			ശശശ	387,318.46
Total Billings					S	3,231,066.34	Total Billings				S	3,231,066.34
							Increase/(Decrease)				u»	•
	KW Pumping Stations	467000					Percent Change					0.00%
	BGT On Pk Tot BGT off Pk Total BGT kWh Total	81664850 92190150 173755000										

Fleming-Mason Rate G										
			Ü	Current Rate	<u> </u>			ā	Pro Rata	
Description	Billing Units	_	Rate	S	Calculated Billings	Description	Billing Units	Rate		Calculated Billings
Substation Charge Meter Pt Chg Substation > 15,000 kVa	12 12	<i>တ</i> မာ	125.00 4,605.00	u ₂	1,500.00 55,260.00	Substation Charge Meter Pt Chg Substation > 15,000 K	12	\$ 138.00 \$ 5,079.00	v)	1,656.00
Demand Charge Buling kW	348,000	v	6.06	w	2,108,880.00	Demand Charge #REFI	348,000	\$ 6.68	65	2,324,640.00
Energy Charge All kWh	kWh 223,221,000	ம	0.031690	w	7,073,873.49	Energy Charge All kWh	223,221,000	\$ 0.034954	8	\$ 7,802,466.83
THE STREET, ST	223,221,000		- LWINGTON MARKET	S	9,239,513.49	Attaches and the second	AAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		\$ 10	\$ 10,189,710.83
FAC ES Power Factor		w	0.007604	<i>ភ</i> ស ស	1,697,372.48 1,489,603.87	FAC ES Power Factor			ω ω ω 	\$ 1,697,372.48 \$ 1,489,603.87 \$
Total Billings				જ	12,426,489.84	Total Billings			\$ 13	\$ 13,376,687.19
						Increase/(Decrease)			w	950,197.34
						Percent Change				7.65%

7.54%

		·	
·			

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2008-00415 INITIAL DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb, Jr./Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application. The billing analysis shows various rate schedules with escalation percentages applied to the billing determinants. Explain the need for the escalation percentages and provide workpapers, spreadsheets, etc. for the calculation of the percentages, as well as a narrative explanation of how the percentages were determined.

Response 2. Since EKPC is using a forecasted test period, the increase is calculated based on projected billing determinants. In order to appropriately match retail rates to the forecasted test year used for wholesale rates, an escalation factor was used. The escalated data was applied to Residential and Small Commercial classes only due to the wide variance and unpredictable nature of the Large Commercial/Industrial class.

The Attachment shows the escalation percentages calculated by the Resource Planning Department of EKPC and the supporting calculations.

EKPC prepares a load forecast by working jointly with its member systems in preparing their individual load forecasts. Factors considered in preparing the forecasts include national, regional, and local economic performance, appliance saturations and

efficiencies, population and housing trends, service area industrial development, electric price, household income, and weather. Each member system reviews the preliminary forecast for reasonability.

The general steps followed by EKPC in developing its load forecast are summarized as follows:

EKPC subscribes to Global Insight, Inc., in order to analyze regional economic performance. Global Insight provides EKPC projections for population, employment, and income as well as other variables.

EKPC prepares a preliminary forecast for each of its member systems for each classification using monthly data as reported on the Rural Utilities Services (RUS) Form 7, which contains publicly available retail sales data for member systems. These include: residential, seasonal, small commercial, public buildings, large commercial, and other. EKPC's sales to member systems are then determined by adding distribution losses to total retail sales. Seasonal peak demands are determined by applying peak factors for heating, cooling, and water heating to energy.

The supplementary spreadsheets in the Attachment contain the data resulting from the above-described process. The growth rates for energy sales, winter peak demand, and customers are based upon the monthly forecasts for the test period.

Escalation for Fleming-Mason

Escalation - MWI-	I - Energy
Time Period	MWH Sales
May 2008 to April 2009	974,792
May 2009 to April 2010	1,022,438
Percent change	4.9%
Percent change	4.9%

Escalation - MW - Demand	
Time Period	
Winter 2009-2010	207.5
Winter 2010-2011	210.6
Percent change	1.5%

Escalation - Custo	omers - Residential
1	Average Annual
Time Period	Customers
2009	18,023
2010	18,228
Percent change	1.1%

Escalation - Customer	rs - Small Commercial
	Average Annual
Time Period	Customers
2009	1,658
2010	1,696
Percent change	2,3%

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2008-00415 INITIAL DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Ann F. Wood

COMPANY:

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application. The proposed rates shown in the billing analysis do not reconcile with the rates listed in the proposed tariff provided in Exhibit 1 and the public notice provided in Exhibit 5. Explain the discrepancy and provide copies of all schedules that require correction.

Response 3. The wrong version of Exhibit 3 was filed with the application. Exhibits 1 and 5 were correct in the original application. A revised Exhibit 3 is provided as an attachment to this response.

Request 3 Attachment Page 1 of 5

Fleming Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended June 30. 2008

	Existing	% of	Proposed	% of		
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	\$ Increase	% Increase
Residential and Small Power	27,040,580	40.98%	29,000,656	40 65%	1,960,076	7 25%
Residential and Small Power (ETS)	106,151	0.16%	113,849	0.16%	7,697	7.25%
Small General Service	1,519,069	2.30%	1,628,882	2.28%	109.813	7.23%
Large General Service	6,760.440	10.24%	7,249,855	10.16%	489.415	7.24%
All Electric Schools	192.608	0.29%	206,579	0.29%	13.972	7.25%
Guardian and Dravo	8,911,120	13 50%	9,555,360	13.39%	644,240	7.23%
Inland Electric	10,401,424	15.76%	11,420,845	16.01%	1.019,421	9.80%
Inland Steam	10,265,853	15.56%	11.322,238	15 87%	1,056,384	10.29%
Street/Security Lighting Outdoor Lighting	792,960	1.20%	850,426	1.19%	57,466	7.25%
	65,990,204	100.00%	71,348,689	100.00%	5,358,485	8.12%
Tennessee Gas	9,208,320		9,208,320			
FAC and ES	11,265,463		11,265,463			
=	86,463,986		91,822,471		5,358,485	6.20%

PSC Request 3 Attachment Page 2 of 5

Request 3 Attachment Page 2 of 5

\$ 50.44

6.41%

\$ 837.20

Fleming Mason Energy Cooperative Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended June 30, 2008

Rate 1 Residential and Small Power

1			Existing				Prop	osed		S Incrense 9	6 Increase	
ļ	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	280.142	1 13%	283,308	\$ 9 75	\$2.762.249	10.22%	283.308 S	10.46	\$2.963.398	10.22%	\$201 148	7.28%
Energy charge per kWh Energy charge	305,979.138	4 90%	320.972.116	\$0 07584	24.278.331	89.78%	320.972.116 \$	0.08112	\$26,037.258	89 78%	\$1.758,027	7.24%
Total from base rates Green Power Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					27.040.580 173.25 1.583.300.65 1,838,779.23	100.00%			29.000.656 173.25 1.583.301 1,838,779	100.00%	\$1.960,078	7.25%
Total revenues				,	\$30,462,833 \$ 107.53		1.133		\$32,422,909 \$ 114 44		\$1.960,078 \$ 8.92	6.43% 6.43%
Average Bill					\$ 107.55				५ । १४ यम		3 0.02	0,4378
				F	Residential and Sma	all Power (ET	S)					
		[Existing					Prop		\$ increase % increase		
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	959	1 13%	970	9 75	\$9.456	B.91%	970 S	10.46	\$10.144	8 91%	\$699	7.28%
Energy charge per kWh-non ETS Energy charge per kWh-ETS Total from base rates	796,623 703,442	4.90% 4.90%	835,658 737.911	\$0 07564 \$0 04538	83,209 33,486 106,151	59 55% 31 55%	835,658 \$ 737.911 \$		\$67.789 \$35,916 113,849	59.54% 31.55%	\$4,579 \$2,429 \$7,697	7 24% 7 25% 7 25%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					7.743 7,588				7.743 7,588			
Total revenues					5121,482				\$129,179		\$7,697	6.34%
Average Bill					\$125.26				\$133.20		\$7 94	8,34%
					Small Genera Rate :							
				Ex	istin g			Prog	osed		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	2.129	2.25%	2 177	\$44 34	\$ 98.533	6.35%	2.177 Ş		\$103,522	6 36%	\$6,669	7 24%
Demand Charge	67.541	1 50%	68,554	\$6 68	457.938	30.15%	68.554 \$		\$490.844	30.13%	\$32.008	7 19%
Energy charge per kWh	16.789.114	4 90%	17,611.781	\$0.05477	964.597	63.50%	17.611.781 \$	0.05874	\$1.034,516	63 51%	\$69.910	7 25%
Total from base rates					1.519.069	100.00%			1,628,882	100.00%	\$109,813	7 23%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					91.759 102,030				91.759 102,030		\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Total revenues					1,712,858				1,822,671		\$109.813	0.41%

786.76

Average Bill

PSC Request 3 Attachment Page 3 of 5

Request 3 Attachment Page 3 of 5

Fleming Mason Energy Cooperative Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended June 30, 2008

Large General Service Rate 3 & 7

					Hate 3 8	\$ /						
			Existing				Prop		\$ increase %	Increase		
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	1.556	0.00%	1.556	\$59.00	\$ 91.804	1 36%	1.558	\$ 63.28	\$98,464	1 36%	\$8,660	7 25%
Demand Charge	301,364	1 50%	305.884	\$6.24	1.908.719	28.23%	305.884	\$ 6.69	\$2.046.367	28.23%	\$137.648	7.21%
Energy charge per kWh All KWh	101.853,645	4 90%	106,844.369	\$0 04455	4.759,917	70.41%	106.844.369	\$ 0.04778	\$5.105.024	70.42%	\$345,107	7.25%
Total from base rates					6.760,440	100.00%			7.249.855	100 00%	\$489,416	7 24%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					563,578 488,316				563.578 488,316			
Total revenues					7,812,333				8,301,748		\$480,415	6.26%
Average Bill					\$ 5,020.78				\$ 5.335 31		\$ 314 53	6.26%
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation	Billing Determinants	Ex Current Rate	Ali Electric S Rate - Isting Annualized Revenues		Billing Determinants	Prop Proposed Rate	osed Annualized Revenues	% of Total	\$ Increase %	Increase
Customer Charge	24	0.00%	24	\$58.44	\$1.403		24	\$ 62.68	\$1.504		\$102	7.26%
Energy charge per kWh	2.577.400	4.90%	2.703,693	\$0.07072	191.205	99.27%	2.703,693	\$ 0.07585	\$205.075	99.27%	\$13,870	7.25%
Total from base rates					192,608				206,579		\$13,972	7.25%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					13,646 13,128				13,646 13,128			
Total revenues					\$219,381				\$233,353		\$13,972	6.37%
Average Bill					\$9.140,88				\$9,723.04		\$582 15	6.37%
					Guardian Industri Rales LIS6		•					
				Ex	isting			Prop	osed		\$ Increase %	Increase
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rale	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge—Guardian Customer Charge—Dravo Demand charge	12 12		12 12	\$1.100.44 \$0.00	\$13,205	0 15%	12 12	\$ 1.180 19	\$14.162	0 15%	\$957	7.25%
Guardian Dravo	105,417 183,008		106,998 185,753	\$5.55 \$5.55	\$593,840 \$1,030.930	6.66% 11.57%	106,998 185.753		\$636,640 \$1.105.231	6.66% 11 57%	\$42 799 \$74,301	7.21% 7.21%
Energy Charge-Both	181.740.888	4.90%	190,645.982	\$0 03815	7.273,144	81 62%	190.645.982	\$ 0.04091	\$7.799,327	81.62%	\$526,183	7.23%
Total Baseload Charges					\$8,911,120	100.00%			\$9,555,360	100.00%	\$644.240	7 23%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge					997.365 654,098				997,365 654,098			
Total Revenues					\$10,562,582				\$11,206,823		\$644,240	0.10%
Average Bill					\$ 880.215.18				\$ 933,901.88		63,686 70	6 10%

PSC Request 3 Attachment Page 4 of 5

Request 3 Attachment Page 4 of 5

Fleming Mason Energy Cooperative Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended June 30, 2008

Inland Electric Contract Rate

			Existing					Pro	\$ Increase	% Increase		
	Actual Billing Data	Escalation %	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	12	0 00%	12	\$4.805.00	\$55,260	0 53%	12	\$ 5.079.00	\$60.948	0 53%	\$5,688	10.29%
Demand Charge	340.392	1 50%	345.498	\$6.06	\$2.093.717	20.13%	345,498	\$ 6.68	\$2,307.926	20.21%	\$214,209	10.23%
Energy Charge	233,510,399	4 90%	244.952,409	\$0.03369	8,252,447	79.34%	244.952,409	\$ 0.036954	\$9.051.971	79.26%	\$709,525	9,60%
Total Baseload Charges					510,401,424	100.00%			\$11,420,845	100.00%	\$1.019,421	9.80%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge				-	1.220.14B 1,541,747				1.220.148 1,541,747			
Total Revenues					\$13,163,318				\$14,182,739		\$1.019,421	7 74%
Average BIII					S 1.096,943				\$ 1.181,895		84,951 78	7 74%

Inland Steam Contract Rate

			Existing					Proj	\$ Increase	% Increase		
	Actual Billing Data	Steam Adj Factor	Billing Determinants	Current Rale	Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
Customer Charge	12		12	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%	12	-	\$0	0.00%		
Demand Charge MMBTU Equiv kW	4.089	0.975	3,987 492.000	\$500.49	\$1.995.341	19 44%	3.987	\$ 552.04	\$2.200.859	19.44%	\$205.518	10.30%
Energy Charge MMBTU Equiv kWh	2,371,422	0.975	2,312,136 260,384,199	\$3,57700	8.270.512	80 56%	2.312.136	\$ 3 94500	\$9.121.378	80 56%	\$850,868	10.29%
Total Baseload Charges			200.304.189		\$10,265,853	100.00%			\$11,322,238	100 00%	\$1.056,384	10.29%
Feel adjustment Environmental surcharge					1.375,720 764,114				1.375,720 764,114			
Total Revenues					\$12,405,687				\$13,462,072		\$1,056,384	8.52%
Average Bill					\$ 1.033,807				\$ 1.121,839		68,032.04	6.52%

Request 3 Attachment Page 5 of 5

Fleming Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended June 30, 2008

Street Lighting and Security Lights Outdoor Lighting

	Existing					Proposed			\$ Increase 9	6 Increase
	Billing Determinants		Annualized Revenues	% of Total	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues	% of Total		
7000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR	27.540	\$7 77 \$	213.986	26.99%	27.540	\$8.33	\$ 229.493	26.99%	15.508	7 25%
20000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR	84	\$14.94 \$	1.255	0.16%	84	\$16.02	\$ 1.346	0.16%	91	7 25%
9500 LUMEN STANDARD HPS	63.264	\$7.61 \$	481,439	60.71%	63.264	\$8.16	\$ 516,329	60.71%	34.890	7 25%
9500 LUMEN ORNAMENTAL HPS	156	\$16.24 \$	2,533	0.32%	156	\$17,42	\$ 2.717	0.32%	184	7 25%
9500 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS	2,508	\$7.70 \$	19,312	2.44%	2,508	\$8 26	\$ 20.711	2 44%	1.400	7.25%
22.000 LUMEN STANDARD HPS	516	\$10.78 \$	5,562	0.70%	516	\$11 56	\$ 5.966	0.70%	403	7 25%
22,000 LUMEN ORNAMENTAL HPS	168	\$19 43 \$	3.264	0.41%	168	\$20.84	\$ 3.501	0.41%	237	7.25%
22,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS	1.056	\$10.58 \$	11,172	1.41%	1.056	\$11.35	\$ 11.982	1.41%	810	7.25%
50.000 LUMEN STANDARD HPS	12	\$16 19 \$	194	\$0.00	12	\$17.36	\$ 208	0.02%	14	7.25%
50.000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS	3,420	\$15.86 \$	54,241	\$0.07	3,420	\$17.01	\$ 58,172	6.84%	3,931	7.25%
	98,724	<u>s</u>	792,960	100.00%	98,724		\$ 850,426	100.00%	57,466	7.25%
540										
FAC		\$	864				\$ 864			
ES		\$	1.540				\$ 1,540			
			795,364			-	\$ 852,830		\$ 57.466	7 23%
Average			\$8.06				\$8.64		\$0.58	