

AT&T Kentucky 601 W Chestnut Street Room 407 Louisville, KY 40203 T: 502 582.8219 F: 502.582 1573 mary keyer@att.com

RECEIVED

August 19, 2008

AUG 20 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Stephanie Stumbo Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re:

Cricket Communications, Inc.'s Intent to Adopt the Interconnection Agreement between Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS and Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc.

d/b/a AT&T Southeast PSC 2008-00331

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are eleven (11) copies of a letter sent to Cricket Communications, Inc. by AT&T Southeast regarding Cricket's request to adopt the Sprint combination interconnection agreement in the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

General Counsel/Kentucky

Enclosures

CC:

Party of Record

718746

AT&T Inc 311 S Akard, Room 940.01 Dallas, TX 75202 Fax 214 464-2006



August 18, 2008

Jonathan Sox Cricket Communications, Inc 10307 Pacific Center Court San Diego, CA 92121

Re: Cricket Communications, Inc.'s Section 252(i) adoption request

Dear Mr. Sox:

This is in response to the letter dated July 2, 2008, from Suzanne Toller of Davis Wright Tremaine LLP, concerning Cricket's request to adopt the Sprint combination interconnection agreement in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. As I am sure you are aware, in those same states AT&T is engaged in regulatory proceedings with two other CMRS carriers that have also requested adoption of the Sprint combination interconnection agreement by a wireless only entity. As I detailed to you in my letter of May 9, 2008, AT&T's position has been, and continues to be, that the Sprint interconnection agreement is not adoptable by a wireless only entity since that agreement is a combination agreement encompassing both the CLEC and CMRS entities of Sprint and was negotiated as such.

However, as I am also sure you are aware, in three of the states that the Sprint interconnection agreement encompasses (Kentucky, Georgia and Tennessee), the regulatory bodies have ruled that the Sprint agreement could be adopted by the entity that petitioned the commission.\(^1\) AT&T reserves all of its rights to challenge the rulings in these three states, and as such, does not concede that such rulings are final.

In those three states where such rulings have allowed other wireless only entities to adopt the Sprint agreement, subject to any further proceedings challenging those rulings, AT&T will permit Cricket to adopt the Sprint interconnection agreement. In the remaining 6 states AT&T again denies Cricket's request to adopt the Sprint combination interconnection agreement.

Kay Lyon will be the AT&T Lead Negotiator assigned to Cricket. She may be contacted at (214) 858-0728. Please direct any questions or concerns you may have to Kay.

1-1-1

ddie A. Reed. J

In Mississippi, the PSC dismissed the request for adoption, and proceedings are still underway in the other 5 states, with the outcome to be determined

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE KPSC 2008-00331

It is hereby certified that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following individuals via U.S. Mail this 19th day of August 2008.

Douglas F. Brent Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202 Douglas.brent@skofirm.com

Mary K. Keyer