RECEIVED

AUG 1 4 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION



Dinsmore Shohl

Holly C. Wallace 502-540-2309 holly wallace@dinslaw.com

-

August 14, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Stephanie Stumbo Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: In the Matter of: Complaint of Sprint Communications Company L.P. against Brandenburg Telephone Company and Request for Expedited Relief, Case No. 2008-00135

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

We are legal counsel to Brandenburg Telephone Company ("Brandenburg Telephone"). In that capacity, and pursuant to the Intra-Agency Memorandum dated July 30, 2008 filed in the above-referenced case, we are responding on behalf of Brandenburg Telephone to the traffic study provided by Sprint Communications Company, L.P. ("Sprint").

Brandenburg Telephone received the traffic study on or about July 31, 2008. Given the traffic study consists of more than 1,000 pages of call detail records by operating company number ("OCN"), Brandenburg Telephone has not yet completed its analysis of the data. Nonetheless, Brandenburg Telephone's initial analysis reveals that the traffic study casts doubt on the 93 percent interstate usage ("PIU") factor advanced by Sprint throughout the course of this matter.

Sprint's traffic study reflects an apparent discrepancy in the jurisdictionalization of wireline calls delivered by Sprint to Brandenburg Telephone end users. Sprint has jurisdictionalized some intrastate wireline to wireline calls as interstate. Given Brandenburg Telephone and Sprint utilize the same universally-accepted methodology to jurisdictionalize wireline to wireline calls, there should be no discrepancy in the jurisdictionalization of these calls. Therefore, it appears that either the traffic study is flawed, or Sprint is misjurisdictionalizing.calls.

ston Cincinnati Columbus Dayton Lexington Louisville Morgantown Pittsburgh V

Hon. Stephanie Stumbo August 14, 2008 Page 2

In addition, the traffic study reveals that Sprint has switches which serve NPAs in more than one state, and which serve as the entrance point onto Sprint's network for calls originated in those states. Thus, Brandenburg Telephone questions how Sprint is able to accurately jurisdictionalize calls that enter Sprint's network at those switches.

The aforementioned two observations are just two of the concerns that Brandenburg Telephone has with regard to Sprint's traffic study. Brandenburg Telephone has requested additional information from Sprint in its data requests which should assist Brandenburg Telephone with its continuing analysis of the traffic study. Once Brandenburg Telephone receives the additional information and has had sufficient time to thoroughly analyze the voluminous records provided by Sprint, Brandenburg Telephone will provide Sprint and the Commission with a more comprehensive response to Sprint's traffic study.

Thank you, and if you have any questions with regard to this matter, please call us.

Sincerely,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

allere

Holly C. Wallace

HCW/rk

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record John E. Selent, Esq. Edward T. Depp, Esq.

Dinsmore & Shohlup