

JOHN N. HUGHES  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION  
124 WEST TODD STREET  
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601

TELEPHONE: (502) 227-7270

INHUGHES@fewpb.net

TELEFAX (502) 875-7059

January 26, 2008

RECEIVED

JAN 26 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Mr. Jeff R. Derouen  
Executive Director  
Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Boulevard  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Re: Case No. 2008-00135 – Sprint’s AMENDED Response to Brandenburg Data Request No. 3


Dear Mr. Derouen:

Sprint Communications Company L.P. (“Sprint”) submits for filing its amended response to Brandenburg Telephone Company’s (“Brandenburg”) Data Request No. 3. This response is not confidential. In doing so, Sprint re-states and fully preserves its objections with respect to Data Request No. 3.

The purpose of this amended response is to provide clarification, because Sprint has become aware that its original response could be misinterpreted to state that the LATA field designation is relevant to determining whether a call is classified as intrastate or interstate. It is not. The fields indicating the originating and terminating state for the call are the sole determinant of whether the call is determined to be intrastate or interstate.

Please call me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,



John N. Hughes  
Attorney for Sprint

Attachment

cc: Parties of record  
Joseph Cowin, Esq.  
Douglas C. Nelson, Esq.  
Bill Atkinson, Esq.

**DATA REQUEST NO. 3:**

Please explain in detail how Sprint jurisdictionalizes calls to the switches identified in response to Data Request No. 2 when the switches serve as the initial entrance point into the Sprint network.

**SPRINT'S OBJECTION/RESPONSE:** Sprint objects to this Data Request on the grounds that it is not relevant to the subject matter of this action (General Objection 2). In addition, Sprint objects to this Data Request to the extent that it seeks or calls for information or documents that are not in existence as of the date of the data requests (General Objection 6). Subject to and without waiving Sprint's objections, Sprint's response is as follows: For landline originated calls, Sprint's Message Processing System (MPS) utilizes the originating NPA-NXX to populate the originating state and LATA codes. For wireless originated calls, Sprint's MPS utilizes the serving wire center NPA-NXX to populate the originating state and LATA codes. Sprint's Call Detail Synergies (CDS) system houses the jurisdictional reporting system logic. **In determining jurisdiction,** ~~the~~ the CDS system compares the MPS fields Orig. LATA and State to the Term. LATA and State fields. **If ~~those two are equal~~ the Orig. State field and Term. State field are the same,** the call is ~~marked~~ **classified** as intrastate **(either Intrastate/IntraLATA or Intrastate/InterLATA based on the LATA values)**. Otherwise, the call is classified as interstate. **Thus, the CDS system does not categorize Intrastate/InterLATA calls as Interstate.**

**RESPONDENTS:** Mary Sandoy  
Greg Costigan