

John E. Selent (502) 540-2315 (Direct Dial) john.selent@dinslaw.com

RECEIVED MAR 7 2008 PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION



March 4, 2008

Mr. and Mrs. Carl M. and Mareia Jo Wilson 76 Fred Murphy Road Liberty, KY 42539

Re: Application of Bluegrass Wireless LLC for Issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct a Cell Site (Pricetown) in Rural Service Area #6 (Casey) of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2007-00501

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Wilson:

We are legal counsel to Bluegrass Wireless LLC ("Bluegrass Wireless").

In that capacity, we are responding to your letter dated January 7, 2008, addressed to the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission") regarding your concerns with respect to the proposed construction of a cell tower facility to be located at 260 Walnut Hill Road, Liberty, Kentucky 42539.

Bluegrass Wireless proposes to construct this cell tower facility in Casey County in order to provide enhanced wireless communication services in the area. The proposed cell tower facility will accommodate the citizens of Casey County and individuals traveling along State Road 127. The proposed cell tower facility will also accommodate emergency medical services and other emergency response services, such as 911, that are vital to all communities. To date, there have been no objections to this proposed cell tower facility from any local or other governmental agencies and officials.

The proposed location of the cell tower facility is the most suitable location in this area of the county due to: (1) its elevation; (2) the nature of the terrain in the surrounding area; (3) its proximity to State Road 127; and (4) its proximity to the existing Bluegrass Wireless cellular tower located in Casey County that the proposed cellular tower will work in conjunction with for optimum coverage purposes. The proposed cell tower facility will accommodate other carriers in the future which will eliminate additional cell tower facilities being constructed near your property as well as others in the vicinity. The site for the proposed cell tower facility was selected by radio frequency engineers, on behalf of Bluegrass Wireless, who used their expertise and applicable propagation prediction tools and determined that this site is the optimum site in terms of location to provide the best quality service to customers in the service area.

Charleston Cincinnati Columbus Dayton Lexington Louisville Morgantown Pittsburgh

Wheeling

Mr. and Mrs. Carl M. and Mareia Jo Wilson March 4, 2008 Page 2

Bluegrass Wireless, as well as all other wireless carriers, is required to adhere to stringent Federal Communications Commission and Federal Aviation Administration rules governing cell tower construction, maintenance and safety. A typical cell tower emits 200 watts of power or less. Those located in urban areas may emit as little as 10 watts of power. In contrast, a television tower emits up to 5 million watts while a commercial radio station tower operates at up to 1 million watts. Local police and fire department towers produce up to 500 watts of power. In any event, the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 prohibits local governments from establishing local safety or environmental standards for human exposure to radio frequency emissions.

The proposed cell tower facility has been designed and will be built to current national standards, which are as reliable as surrounding structures. The design of the proposed cell tower facility has been prepared by a licensed engineer in the Commonwealth of Kentucky and has certified that its design is within appropriate guidelines and standards. In the very unlikely event of a failure of the tower mast, all debris would most likely fall within a circle whose center is the tower base and whose radius is no more than 50% of the tower height (or 120 feet from the center of the tower), well outside of the vicinity of your property. The tower will be fully grounded to guard against property damage or physical injury in the event of a lightening strike. It is safer to surrounding properties and buildings for lightening to strike the tower than it is to strike other structures in the vicinity.

Finally, in Kentucky P.S.C. Case No. 2005-445, we addressed the issue of decreased property value due to the construction of a cellular tower facility. We introduced expert testimony in that case which opined that the proposed cell tower facility would not negatively affect the value of the property.

I hope that this letter satisfactorily addresses your concerns.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP John El Selent

JES:sba

cc: Dale Wright, Staff Counsel, Kentucky Public Service Commission Eric Bowman, Engineering, Kentucky Public Service Commission

129855_1 33597-17

Dinsmore Shohlup