

STOLL·KEENON·OGDEN

PLLC

300 WEST VINE STREET SUITE 2100 LEXINGTON, KY 40507-1801 MAIN: (859) 231-3000 FAX: (859) 253-1093 www.skofirm.com

ROBERT M. WATT, III DIRECT DIAL: (859) 231-3043 DIRECT FAX: (859) 246-3643 robert.watt@skofirm.com

March 24, 2008

RECEIVED

MAR 2 4 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

HAND DELIVERED

Hon. Stephanie L. Stumbo Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: Case No. 2007-00449

Louisville Gas and Electric Company

Kentucky Utilities Company

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

We enclose for filing an original and ten (10) copies of the Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company to Questions Posed During Telephone Conference which was held last Thursday in the above-captioned case. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Watt, III

Robert White

rmw:

Enclosures

cc: Allyson K. Sturgeon, Esq. (w/encl.)

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR

MAR 2 4 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

JOINT FILING OF)
LOUISVILLE GAS AND)
ELECTRIC COMPANY AND) CASE NO. 2007-00449
KENTUCKY UTILITIES)
COMPANY OF A SPECIAL)
CONTRACT FOR FIRM GAS)
SALES AND FIRM)
TRANSPORTATION)
SERVICE)

RESPONSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AND KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY TO QUESTIONS POSED DURING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") (together the "Companies") respectfully submit the following responses to questions posed during a telephone conference on March 20, 2008, in this proceeding.

1. Do the rates in the Special Contract at issue in this proceeding comply with KRS 278.2207?

RESPONSE: Yes. Please refer to the study entitled "Derivation of Demand-Commodity Pricing for Natural Gas Services" prepared in June 2005 by The Prime Group for LG&E, which is attached hereto. Please note that on page 4 of the study, The Prime Group analyzed certain demand-related underground storage costs, but that the specific underground storage services associated with those rates were not ultimately incorporated in the Special Contract at issue here.

2. How do the Companies propose that they remain in compliance with the affiliate transaction rules in light of the automatic renewal provision in Section 3 of the Special Contract?

RESPONSE: The Companies intend to analyze the Special Contract to ensure that it complies with the prevailing affiliate transaction rules prior to the beginning of each Contract Year. The Companies also intend to comply with such rules during each Contract Year of the Special Contract. The pricing under the Special Contract will be reviewed and reset according to the affiliate transaction rules as a part of each gas rate case filing before the Commission.

Dated: March 24, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Wine

Robert M. Watt, III

Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 300 West Sine Street, Suite 2100

Lexington, Kentucky 40507

859-231-3000

robert.watt@skofirm.com

And

Allyson K. Sturgeon Senior Corporate Counsel E.ON U.S. LLC 220 West main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 502-627-2088 allyson.sturgeon@eon-us.com

Counsel for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company

Derivation of Demand-Commodity Pricing for Natural Gas Services

■ The Prime Group LLC **■**

Derivation of Demand-Commodity Pricing for Natural Gas Services

This report details the methodology used to derive the unit costs for (i) firm transportation services, (ii) firm bundled sales services, and (iii) firm unbundled storage services. These unit costs were derived (as described in further detail below) in order to ensure that they can be used as rates of general applicability, that is, they can be used for the purpose of providing service using cost-based rates to either potential special contract customers or to an affiliate at an internal transfer price in accordance with KRS 278.2207.

Unbundled Unit Cost Components

These unbundled unit costs were designed to reflect the cost elements associated with providing natural gas service in LG&E's cost-of-service study used in LG&E's most recent gas and electric rate application in Case No. 2003-00433. The cost elements are (1) customer-related costs, (2) demand-related distribution costs, (3) demand-related underground storage costs, and (4) variable commodity costs.

Customer-related costs are fixed costs that tend to vary according to the number of natural gas customers on the system. Conversely, customer-related costs are costs that do *not* vary with the demand placed on the system or the amount of natural gas throughput. Customer-related costs are costs such as operating and maintenance ("O&M"), depreciation, taxes, and return associated with investment in meters, company service lines, a portion of distribution mains¹, and pressure regulators. These costs also include meter reading and billing, and customer service expenses. Because customer-related costs are fixed, they should be recovered through a fixed monthly charge.

Demand-related distribution costs are costs associated with having adequate distribution main capacity available to meet maximum system demands. These costs include O&M, depreciation, taxes, and return associated primarily with the non-customer-related portion of distribution mains. In this cost-of-service study, distribution mains were broken down into two subcategories: high-pressure mains and low-pressure mains. This breakdown allows the rate design to more accurately reflect the facilities used by a given customer or customer group. Because this cost is a capacity-related cost, it should be recovered through a demand charge.

¹ The customer- and demand-related components of mains were determined based on the application of the zero-intercept methodology in LG&E's cost of service study, which has been found to be reasonable by the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

Demand-related underground storage costs are costs related to peak day deliveries required from storage to meet winter season customer demands. Because this cost component is a capacity-related cost, the appropriate means for recovering this cost is through a demand charge.

Variable commodity-related costs are costs that vary with amount of natural gas that flows through the system. This cost element is best recovered through a commodity charge.

Firm Transportation Service Unbundled Cost Components.

The unbundled cost components for firm transportation service consist of the following unit costs:

Monthly Customer Charge \$686.00 Demand Charge Per Mcf \$ 2.43 Commodity Charge Per Mcf \$0.0487

The above unit costs were developed based on the Standard Rate Schedule FT costs contained in LG&E's gas cost-of-service study developed in connection with LG&E's rate application in Case No. 2003-00433. The return component included in these unit costs corresponds to the rate of return for Rate FT determined by updating test-year revenues in LG&E's cost of service study to reflect the increase authorized by the Commission for Rate FT in Case No. 2003-00433.

Rate Schedule FT costs were used for two main reasons. First, the character of service provided under this rate is consistent with the service provided under LG&E's Standard Rate Schedule FT. LG&E transports gas which the customer (whether or not an affiliate) has purchased from a third-party supplier through LG&E's system to the point of consumption. Second, customers served under the Standard Rate Schedule FT are LG&E's largest natural gas customers. LG&E would only provide service under these unbundled rates to large customers.

The costs associated with, and derivation of, each cost component are shown in Attachment A with the appropriate references to the cost of service Exhibits filed by LG&E in Case No. 2003-00433.² These customer-, demand-, and commodity-related unit costs were developed using only the costs associated with high-pressure mains consistent with the cost-of-service study performed for customers served under Standard Rate Schedule FT. Each cost component reflects the costs associated with that component. Therefore, the unbundled rate components (demand, commodity, and

² The references identified in the three attachments refer to the Exhibits to the Direct Testimony of William Steven Seelye submitted in Case No. 2003-00433. However, the rates of return in the cost of service study were modified to reflect the service rates authorized by the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

customer) charged to the customer (whether or not an affiliate) are the costs that are imposed on the system due to the transportation of gas through LG&E's system. The underlying assumption in developing the demand charge is that the customer would take service at a specified uniform contract demand for 12 months.

Firm Sales Service Unbundled Cost Components.

The unbundled cost components for firm sales service consist of the following unit costs:

Monthly Customer Charge \$ 68.00 Demand Charge Per Mcf \$ 8.30 Commodity Charge Per Mcf \$0.2253

The above unit costs were developed based on the Standard Rate Schedule IGS costs contained in LG&E's gas cost-of-service study developed in connection with LG&E's rate application in Case No. 2003-00433. The return component included in these unit costs corresponds to the rate of return for Rate IGS determined by updating test-year revenues in LG&E's cost of service study to reflect the increase authorized by the Commission for Rate IGS in Case No. 2003-00433.

Standard Rate Schedule IGS costs were used for two main reasons. First, the type of service provided under this rate is consistent with the service provided under LG&E's standard Standard Rate Schedule IGS. The customer (whether or not an affiliate) purchases gas from LG&E, not a third party, and LG&E uses all the same facilities to provide sales service (including storage) to serve the gas load. Second, the customers served under Standard Rate Schedule IGS are also LG&E's largest gas sales customers. LG&E would only provide service under these unbundled rates to large customers.

The costs associated with, and derivation of, each cost component are shown in Attachment B with appropriate references to the cost of service Exhibits filed by LG&E in Case No. 2003-00433. Each cost component reflects the costs associated with that component. Therefore, the unbundled rate components (demand, commodity, and customer) charged to the customer (whether or not an affiliate) are the costs that are imposed on the system due to the delivery of sales gas through LG&E's system. The underlying assumption in developing the demand charge is that the customer would take service at a specified uniform contract demand for 12 months.

Storage Service Unbundled Cost Components.

The unbundled cost components for storage service consist of the following unit costs:

Storage Charge Per Maximum Mcf/Day Withdrawal

\$ 9.64

Because there is no equivalent tariffed storage service from which to extract the unbundled cost components, the unit costs were developed by identifying total system storage costs from the gas cost-of-service study developed in connection with LG&E's rate application in Case No. 2003-00433. The return component included in these unit costs corresponds to the overall rate of return determined by updating test-year revenues in LG&E's cost of service study to reflect the overall increase authorized by the Commission in Case No. 2003-00433.

The costs associated with, and derivation of, the storage cost component are shown in Attachment C with appropriate references to the cost of service Exhibits filed by LG&E in Case No. 2003-00433. The storage service, for which the unbundled rate components compensate LG&E, allows a customer to purchase gas from a third-party supplier and inject it into LG&E's underground storage and withdraw that gas from storage for later use. The unit cost for storage service was derived by dividing test-year storage revenue requirement by LG&E's peak day withdrawals from storage.³ The rate does not include a customer component because it assumes that the customer will take some type of sales or transportation service and that, consequently, there would not be any additional customer-related costs identified from the cost of service study.⁴

The charge will be billed based on the customer's maximum day withdrawal volume, which will be established by the contract. The storage charge will therefore apply to the maximum daily withdrawal capability for each month in which such service is applicable.

This same methodology was used to derive the monthly balancing charge included in Reserved Balancing Service -- Rate RBS approved by the Commission in its Order in Case No. 95-037dated June 27, 1995.

⁴ Although customer-related costs were not identified from the cost of service study, this service may require additional administrative costs, which could be reflected in the rate as an administrative charge.

Attachment A

Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended September 30, 2003

		Customer-Related	Custome Customer-Related		Total Customer-Related	Storage Demand-Related	Storage Commodity-Relat	ed Co	Other mmodity-Related Costs	Demand Related Low Pressure Mains Costs	High Pressure Mains Costs	Total Costs
		Low Pressure Mains Costs	High Pressure Main Costs	Customer-Related Direct Costs	Costs Costs	Costs	Costs		43.774	s 1,901,949	s 1,965,597	\$ 4,781,8 32.9
Description	Reference	Mans Costs		200.040	s 864,337	s -		5,204 \$	32.92%		32.92%	32.9
Rate Base	Exhibit 2 Pages 3 & 4	s 1,168 32,92%	\$ 321 32.92%	\$ 862,849 32.92%	32.92%	32.92%		2.92% 2,042 \$	14,408		\$ 646,984	\$ 1,573,9
Rate of Return	Proposed Overall ROR	s 384	s 106	\$ 284,010	\$ 284,500	s -	•		_	\$ 32,382	\$ 32,329	\$ 75,8
Return	(1) x (2) Exhibit 2 Pages 13 & 14	\$ 20	s 5	s 11,114	\$ 11,139	- ·	\$	2,042 \$	14,408	\$ 593,652	\$ 614,655	s 1,498,
Interest Expenses	(3) - (4)	\$ 365	s 100	\$ 272,896	\$ 273,36	\$ -	•	1,196 \$	8,442	s 347,840	\$ 360,147	\$ 877.
Net Income	(3) - (4)	5 214	\$ 59	s 159,899	s 160,11	2 \$		51,260 \$	361,704	s 164,239		
) Income Taxes	Exhibit 2 Pages	s 101	s 28	\$ 120,498 44,392			\$	729	8,593	95,023 24,093		69, 18,
Operation and Maintenance Expenses Depreciation Expenses	Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Pages	58 15	16 4	9,263 2,281	9,28 2,28			970	6,847	1		
Other Taxes D) Expense Adjustments	Exhibit 2 Page 16	2	1				\$	56,198 \$	399,994	1		
Total Cost of Service	(3)+(6)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)	\$ 774	\$ 213			1		255	1,818			
(2) Less: Misc Revenue	Exhibit 2 Page 15	4	1	2,820			\$	55,943 S	398,176	\$ 1,254,609	1	1
3) Net Cost of Service	(11) - (12)	\$ 770	\$ 212		· •	75	8,1	84,029	8,184,02	9,366		1
13) Het Cost of Co. 1.00	Exhibit 2 Page 35	20	73	75	•	"		068/Mcf	\$0.0487/Md	f \$133.9535/Mc	\$29.1735/Mc	<u> </u>

Generation Special Contract Rate	s	686
Customer Charge	ξ.	2.43
Demand Charge	č	0.0487
Commodity Charge		

Attachment B

Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended September 30, 2003

IGS

							er Costs			J										
Description	n	Reference	L	stomer-Related -ow Pressure Mains Costs	Hig	omer-Related h Pressure ain Costs	Customer Direct (Total Customer-Related Costs	\mathbb{I}	Storage Demand-Related Costs	Co	Storage mmodity-Related Costs	Comn	Other nodity-Related Costs	Demand Re Low Pres Mains Co	sure	Demand Related High Pressure Mains Costs	Tot	al Costs
(1) Rate Base (2) Rate of Rel		Exhibit 2 Pages 3 & 4 Approved IGS ROR	\$	13,199 9.14%	\$	1,011 9.14%	\$	323,889 9.14%	\$ 338,099 9.149		5 2,124,327 9.14%		28,328 9.14%		7,392 9.14%		91,230 9.14%	S 641,155 9.14%		6,130,531 9.14%
(3) Return		(1) x (2)	\$	1,206	\$	92	\$	29,591	\$ 30,889	9 9	\$ 194,083	\$	2,588	\$	675	\$ 2	73,286	\$ 58,577	\$	560,099
(4) Interest Exp	penses	Exhibit 2 Pages 13 & 14	\$	225	\$	17	s	4,185	\$ 4,427	7 5	\$ 24,159	\$	-	\$	-	s	50,927	\$ 10,545	\$	90,059
(5) Net Income	3	(3) - (4)	\$	981	\$	75	\$	25,406	\$ 26,462	2 \$	169,925	\$	2,588	\$	675	\$ 2	22,358	\$ 48,032	\$	470,041
(6) Income Tax	xes		\$	596	\$	46	\$	15,432	\$ 16,028	3 \$	103,216	\$	1,572	\$	410	\$ 1	35,066	\$ 29,176	\$	285,513
		Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Page 16	\$	1,140 659 <i>167</i> 69	\$	87 51 13 5	\$	117,027 17,255 4,423 7,100	\$ 118,254 17,965 4,603 7,175	5	125,841 70,600 18,175 7,635	\$	234,073 - 3,328 14,201	s	61,082 - 1,451 3,706	1	58,301 49,444 37,892 15,671	\$ 97,149 32,862 8,692 5,894	S	894,701 270,871 74,141 54,282
(11) Total Cost of	of Service	(3)+(6)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)	\$	3,837	\$	294	\$	190,829	\$ 194,914	s s	519,551	\$	255,763	s	67,325	\$ 8	59,660	\$ 232,350	\$	2,139,607
(12) Less: Misc	Revenue	Exhibit 2 Page 15		66		5		3,305	3,377	7	8,999		4,430		1,166		15,063	4,024	s	37,060
(13) Net Cost of	Service	(11) - (12)	\$	3,771	\$	289	\$	187,523	\$ 191,537	7 \$	510,551	\$	251,333	\$	66,158	\$ 8	54,596	\$ 228,325	s	2,102,548
(14) Billing Units	5	Exhibit 2 Page 35		226		230		228	228	3	402,689		1,409,035		1,409,035		14,730	16,005		- 1
(15) Unit Costs		(13) / (14)		\$1.39/Cust/Mo	\$	0.10/Cust/Mo	\$68.54	/Cust/Mo	\$70.01/Cust/Mo	1	1.2679		\$0.1784/Mcf		\$0.0470/Mcf	\$58.01	71/Mcf	\$14.2658/Mcf		

Generation Special Contract Rate	
Monthly Customer Charge	\$ 68.64
Monthly Demand Charge	\$ 8.30
Commodity Charge	\$ 0.2253

Attachment C

Unit Cost of Service Based on the Cost of Service Study For the 12 Months Ended September 30, 2003

			Costs	Total	Storage	Storage Commodity-Related	Other Commodity-Related	Demand Related Low Pressure Mains Costs	Demand Related High Pressure Mains Costs	Total Costs	
		Low Pressure	Customer-Related High Pressure Main Costs	Customer-Related Direct Costs	Customer-Related Costs	Demand-Related Costs	Costs	Costs		\$ 25,235,329	\$ 316,046,3
Description	Reference	Mains Costs		20.450.474	s 115,735,414	\$ 66,997,006			2 2701	6.17%	6.1
Rate Base	Exhibit 2 Pages 3 & 4	\$ 18,205,545 6,17%	\$ 1,370,398 6.17%	\$ 96,159,471 6.17%	6.179	6.17%		40.005	s 6.601,825	s 1,558,264	\$ 19,515,0
Rate of Return	Proposed Overall ROR	s 1,124,180	s 84,621	\$ 5,937,782	\$ 7,146,583	s 4,137,020	\$ 55,522	1	s 1,820,256	\$ 415,051	\$ 4,794,
Return	(1) x (2)		22.222	\$ 1,463,961	\$ 1,797,252	\$ 761,922		16,635		\$ 1,143,213	\$ 14,721.
Interest Expenses	Exhibit 2 Pages 13 & 14	1	01.000		\$ 5,349,33	s 3,375,097	\$ 55,32	213		s 710,036	\$ 9,143
Net Income	(3) - (4)	s 814,221				s 2,096,231		0.005.041		s 3,823,694	
Income Taxes		\$ 505,703	440.000	s 18,264,732			, .	50.000	5,341,461	1,293,418 342,104	3,888
Operation and Maintenance Expenses	Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Pages	\$ 1,572,101 909,561	68,466 17,360	6,830,097	1,460,27	573,19	7 105,25	40.756		1	
Depreciation Expenses Other Taxes	Exhibit 2 Pages Exhibit 2 Page 16	230,622 35,140	2,645	408,260		10.000 53		30 \$ 2,355,54	2 \$ 25,706,04	1	
0) Expense Adjustments		4,377,307	\$ 329,496	\$ 35,431,802	\$ 40,100,54	***		46.20	9 506,34	153,897	1
Total Cost of Service	(3)+(6)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)	86,223	6,490	697,923	790,63	ł.		0.200.14	3 S 25,199,69	3 \$ 7,659,08	\$ 94,95
2) Less: Misc Revenue	Exhibit 2 Page 15	\$ 4,291,085	s 323,006	\$ 34,733,879	9,908,98	34 \$ 12,832,67		50 462 07	40	629,94	7
3) Net Cost of Service	(11) - (12)			211 25	2 311,3	12,700,00		"		cf \$12.1583/M	of
4) Billing Units	Exhibit 2 Page 35	311,736	\$0.09/Cust/Mc		\$10.52/Cust/f	Ao \$1.0104/M	tcf \$0.1508/N	Act \$0.0458/M	or		

Total Storage Revenue Requirement	Ş	20,442,98
		442,03
Peak Day Requriements		265,26
Pipeline Demand Peak Day Requnements From Storage		176,76
Storage Charge per max MCFd withdraw	finiectio \$	9.6

■ The Prime Group ■