

Dinsmore & Shohlup

John E. Selent 502-540-2315 john selent@dinslaw.com

REGENCED

May 5, 2008

MAY 0 5 2008 PUELIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Stephanie Stumbo Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Re: In the Matter of Thomas Dean Stauffer v Brandenburg Telephone Company

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled case the original and eleven (11) copies of Brandenburg Telephone Company's Motion to Dismiss. Please file stamp and return one copy to the individual delivering these documents.

Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call me.

Very truly yours,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

John E. Selent

Enclosures

1400 PNC Plaza, 500 West Jelferson Street Louisville. KY 40202 502 540 2300 502.585 2207 fax www.dinslaw.com

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THOMAS DEAN STAUFFER)
COMPLAINANT)
V.) CASE NO. 2007-00399
BRANDENBURG TELEPHONE COMPANY	
DEFENDANT) MAY 0.5 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BRANDENBURG TELEPHONE COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Brandenburg Telephone Company ("Brandenburg Telephone"), by counsel, hereby moves the Public Service Commission of Kentucky (the "Commission") to dismiss the complaint of Complainant, Thomas Dean Stauffer, in the above-captioned matter. In support of its motion, Brandenburg Telephone states as follows.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

This case revolves around two accounts for which Ilissa Stauffer is responsible. She is a signatory on an account for telephone number 270-496-4992 (the "4992 account") with her son, David Stauffer, and another individual, Stacy Kelley She is a signatory on an account for telephone number 270-496-4836 (the "4836 account") with her husband, Complainant. Complainant is not a signatory on the 4992 account and, therefore, bears no liability for the 4992 account.

On May 30, 2007, David Stauffer requested that Brandenburg Telephone disconnect service to the 4992 account. Brandenburg Telephone disconnected service to

that account. However, David Stauffer and Ilissa Stauffer continue to have an outstanding balance on the 4992 account. Because Ilissa Stauffer is responsible for the outstanding amount on the 4992 account, Brandenburg Telephone shows her indebtedness for the 4992 account on her bills for the 4836 account. This serves to protect Brandenburg Telephone's paying customers by reminding Ilissa Stauffer of her outstanding obligation and identifying her as a credit risk.

On or about September 11, 2007, Complainant filed a complaint against Brandenburg Telephone (the "Complaint"). In the Complaint, Complainant alleged that Brandenburg Telephone was wrongfully holding him liable for the past-due 4992 account and also alleged that Brandenburg Telephone was incorrectly applying payments from the 4836 account to the 4992 account.

Complainant asked the Commission "for relief from the matter of the [4992 account]" and further asked that his wife, Ilissa Stauffer, be relieved of liability for the 4992 account, despite the fact that she is signatory to that account. (Complaint, ¶7.) Additionally, he asked that "any and all promissory notes signed by [his wife] be made null and void" by reason of her alleged mental disability. (Id.) Complainant also asked the Commission to award him \$3,000 in punitive damages. (Id.)

In a November 21, 2007 order in this matter, the Commission informed Complainant that it was without jurisdiction to grant punitive damages and dismissed Complainant's claim for punitives. The Commission also noted in the order that it was "without authority to adjudicate Mr. Stauffer's claim for damages resulting from Brandenburg [Telephone]'s alleged wrongful actions."

2

Because, pursuant to KRS 278.260, the Commission only has original jurisdiction over "complaints as to [the] rates or service of any utility" and lacks the authority to adjudicate claims for damages, Brandenburg Telephone filed a claim against Ilissa Stauffer and David Stauffer in the Meade Small Claims Court on February 13, 2008, pursuant to KRS 24A.230. Brandenburg Telephone sought judgment against Ilissa and David Stauffer for the amount of the outstanding balance on the 4992 account.

On March 25, 2008, the Meade Small Claims Court held a hearing and, on April 3, 2008, granted judgment in favor of Brandenburg Telephone, ordering Ilissa Stauffer and David Stauffer to pay the entire balance due on the 4992 account, as well as court costs.¹ The Meade Small Claims Court held that Ilissa Stauffer and David Stauffer, jointly and severally, were liable for the entire amount due on the 4992 account. Furthermore, the Meade Small Claims Court held that Ilissa Stauffer's alleged mental disability does not interfere with her intellectual functioning and does not relieve her from liability for the past-due 4992 account.

ARGUMENT & ANALYSIS

The mootness doctrine is "the principle that, when the matter in dispute has already been resolved, there is no actual controversy that would be affected by a judicial decision." *Black's Law Dictionary* 697 (6th abr. ed. 1991). The Commission recognizes the application of the mootness doctrine to matters before it. *See Order*, In the Matter of: Looney v. Harrison County Water Association, Inc., Commission Case No. 99-284, March 29, 2000.

¹ On April 23, 2008, Ilissa Stauffer and David Stauffer appealed the small claims judgment (through Complainant) to the Meade District Court. However, pursuant to KRS 24A 340, Ilissa and David Stauffer only had until April 14, 2008 (10 days from the date of the small claims judgment) to appeal the judgment and the statute requires that they appeal to the Meade Circuit Court, not the Meade District Court. Accordingly, the small claims judgment is final and nonappealable.

A determination regarding the central issue of the Complaint – whether Complainant is liable for the 4992 account – has been made. Complainant is not responsible for the 4992 account. Ilissa Stauffer and David Stauffer are liable and have been ordered, by judgment of the Meade Small Claims Court, to pay the outstanding balance on the 4992 account.

As a result, there no longer remains a justiciable controversy before the Commission. The matter in dispute has been resolved and there remains no actual controversy that would be affected by any Commission decision regarding the Complaint. The Complaint is moot. Accordingly, it should be dismissed.

On or about April 29, 2008, Complainant, along with Ilissa and David Stauffer, two parties that have not properly intervened in this matter, filed a request for a formal hearing with the Commission.² Complainant's request for a formal hearing does not change the fact that the Complaint in this matter is moot.

Complainant, and presumably Ilissa and David Stauffer, is dissatisfied with the judgment granted by the Small Claims Court. The Commission, however, lacks the jurisdiction to overturn that judgment, or even entertain an appeal of that judgment. Ilissa and David Stauffer had the opportunity to appeal to the Meade Circuit Court, but failed to appeal to that court in a timely manner. As correctly noted by the Commission in its April 25, 2008, order, the judgment of the Meade Small Claims Court has rendered the Complaint moot.

 $^{^{2}}$ In attempting to join his wife and son as complainants in this matter, Complainant is ignoring the Commission's March 28, 2008 order denying his motion to add them as co-complainants.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Brandenburg Telephone respectfully requests that the

Commission dismiss Thomas Dean Stauffer's complaint in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Selent Holly C. Wallace Matthew J. Hallingstad DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202 (502) 540-2300 (502) 585-2207 (fax) Counsel to Brandenburg Telephone Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been filed and served via first class United States mail, sufficient postage paid on this f day of May upon the following:

Thomas Dean Stauffer 420 Blevins Road Payneville, KY 40157

Halenburg Telephone Counsel B B ACompany

132547_1 30256-100