



JAN 17 2008 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

115 Jackson Energy Lane McKee, Kentucky 40447 Telephone (606) 364-1000 • Fax (606) 364-1007

January 17, 2008

Elizabeth O'Donnell Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff to Jackson Energy Cooperative

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Please find enclosed the original and ten (10) copies of the responses to the Commission's Order "Second Data Request of Commission Staff to Jackson Energy Cooperative" posted on January 4, 2008.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

mald & Scharfe

Donald Schaefer, P.E. President & CEO

Enclosure

c: Attorney General Utility & Rate Intervention Division 1024 Capital Center Drive Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

A Touchstone Energy Cooperative

ſ

Item 1 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

1. Jackson Energy submitted its application for an increase in rates on rates on November 13, 2007 wherein it proposed a test year ending February 28, 2007. Explain in detail why Jackson Energy did not propose a test year that was more current than the proposed test year, which was 8.5 months old at the time the application was received.

Response

Jackson Energy was waiting on the results of its depreciation study for December 31, 2005, which was dated August 10, 2007. After the depreciation study was reviewed and discussed, it was incorporated into the application. Jackson Energy took some time to review the results before determining the rates to apply to the application.

The test year Jackson Energy selected corresponds to its audit date. There are numerous schedules that can be used from the audit and incorporated into the rate application.

Item 2 page 1 of 2 Witness: Don Schaefer

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

2. Paragraph 12(c) of Jackson Energy's application refers to its Times Interest Earned Ratios ("TIER") of 0.90 for its proposed test year and 0.74 for calendar year 2006. Provide Jackson Energy's income statements and TIERs for the 12-month periods ending June 30 and September 30, 2007 and, as soon as they are available, provide Jackson Energy's income statement and TIER for calendar year 2007.

Response

Attached.

1 2 3	Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Statement of Operations		Item 2 Page 2 of 2
4 5		12 months	12 months
6		June 2007	Sept 2007
7			
8	Operating revenue	\$83,848,521	\$86,102,153
9			
10	Operating expenses:		
11	Cost of power	56,640,522	58,423,127
12	Distribution-operations	3,527,510	3,549,515
13	Distribution-maintenance	4,229,312	4,083,385
14	Consumer accounts	3,190,633	3,130,474
15	Consumer service	452,871	455,164
16	Sales	0	0
17	Administrative and general	3,226,667	3,344,536
18		71,267,515	72,986,201
19 20	Depreciation and amortization	7,080,675	7,249,787
20	Taxes-other	90,146	90,146
22	Interest on long term debt	5,810,353	5,923,251
23	Other interest expense	381,136	361,912
23 24	Other deductions	103,611	66,808
24 25	Carler deddclions	84,733,436	86,678,105
26			
27	Utility operating margins	(884,915)	(575,952)
28	Nononerating marging interact	100 450	100 040
29	Nonoperating margins, interest	126,452	128,343
30	Equity investments	30,438	30,438
31	Nonoperating margins, other	(151,287)	(110,968)
32	Betronogo oppital:	5,603	47,813
33	Patronage capital:		
34	G & T Othere	-	254.000
35	Others	339,573	351,900
36		339,573	351,900
37	Not morging	(\$520 720)	(\$176 020)
38	Net margins	(\$539,739)	(\$176,239)
39 40	TIER	0.91	0.97

•

Item 3 page 1 of 2 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

CONSOLIDATION OF TARIFFS

- Q. Jackson Energy proposes to consolidate several tariffs, resulting in the elimination of several rate schedules. On page 4 of his testimony, James R. Adkins states that the reduction in rate schedules should make the billing process and record keeping easier and more manageable.
 - a. Explain why Jackson Energy specifically chose Rate Schedules 1, 30, 33, 43 and 60 for elimination.
 - b. If it were not proposing to eliminate them, explain whether Jackson Energy would propose to adhere to its cost-of-service study to set rates for these particular schedules.
- R. a. In Case No. 2000-373, the Commission, in its final order, stated that Jackson Energy should investigate eliminating or combining some of its tariffs. In compliance, Schedules 1, 30, 33, 43 and 60 were selected for elimination of combining for the following reasons.

Schedule 1 has been eliminated because this schedule has no members as of the end of the test year.

Schedules 30 and 33 were combined with Schedule 20 since these rate schedules contain customers of a similar size and load characteristics as Schedule 20. Also, Schedule 33 contains a small number of customers.

Schedule 43 has been combined with Schedule 40. Schedule 43 contained customers with demands in excess of 275kW while Schedule 40 contained customers with demands from 50 to 275kW. A decision was made that these two rate schedules would be good candidates for combining with the rate schedule and cover loads with demands greater than 50kW.

Schedule 60 has been combined because it covered churches, while Schedule 50 covered schools (not all electric), community halls and community parks. The customers for these two rate schedules have load characteristics very similar and the rate levels were almost identical.

Item 3 page 2 of 2 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

CONSOLIDATION OF TARIFFS

b. Jackson Energy would have adhered to the results of its cost-of-service study to set rates if these rate schedules had not been eliminated.

Item 4 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

4. Refer to Exhibit J, pages 1-15, of the application. Provide electronic versions of the spreadsheets included in Exhibit J on CD-ROM or diskette.

Response

Attached is one (1) copy to Commission and one (1) copy to the Attorney General Office.

Item 5 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

5. Refer to Exhibit K, page 6 of 7, of the application. Explain whether Jackson Energy is currently in default of its RUS Mortgage requirements.

Response

Jackson Energy is currently not in default of its RUS Mortgage requirements. The status of the mortgage requirement will be determined when the books are closed for 2007.

Item 6 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

6. Refer to Exhibit N of the application. Do the 12 months ending in February represent Jackson Energy's fiscal year? If no, what 12-month period constitutes Jackson Energy's fiscal year.

Response

Jackson Energy closes its books and reports to RUS on a calendar year basis. The audit date is a fiscal year of February 28.

.

.

Item 7 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

COST OF SERVICE STUDY IN ELECTRONIC FORM

- Q. Refer to Exhibit R, Schedules 1-10 of the application. Provide electronic versions of the spreadsheets included in Exhibit R on CD-ROM or diskette.
- R. Attached is Exhibit R with all attached schedules in an electronic format.

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

COST OF SERVICE STUDY METHODOLOGY

- Q. Refer to Exhibit R of the application and the testimony of James R. Adkins. Explain whether there have been any changes in the methodology used in preparing the cost-of-service study from that used by Mr. Adkins in other recent cooperative rate cases in which he has been a witness sponsoring the utility's cost-of-service study. If there are any changes, identify and describe them in detail.
- R. There has been no change in the methodology used in preparing the cost-of-service study for this application with those prepared in other, recent cooperative cases.

Item 9 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

9. Explain whether Jackson Energy considered proposing adjustments to its non-recurring charges or its cable television pole attachment charges in this rate case.

Response

Jackson Energy reviewed both the non-recurring charges and cable television pole attachments and determined that they neither needed to be updated. These charges were updated in Jackson Energy's last rate case No. 2000.00373.

Item 10 page 1 of 3 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

10. Refer to page 2 of 4 of Exhibit S of the application. There appear to be some errors in the addition of expense amounts in the column headed "Normalization Adjustments" starting with line 29 where no adjustment for "Amortization" is shown, while the remaining columns indicate that an adjustment of (\$470,772) was intended.

a. Provide a revised Exhibit S, page 2 of 4, with the necessary

corrections.

Response

Revised Exhibit S, page 2 of 4 is attached. A copy is also included with the amortization that was incorrectly removed and the addition corrected.

b. Explain why the amortization for the extraordinary property adjustment of \$470,772 was reduced to zero for the test year.

Response

This was done in error. The amortization should not have been removed. That is part of the reason for the error in addition.

c. Is Jackson Energy proposing to incorporate this amortization into its depreciation rates? If yes, explain why such an approach is consistent with the Commission's Order in Jackson Energy's previous rate case, Case No. 2000-00373.

Response

No. This was not intended to be part of the depreciation rates.

1		Jackson Ener	gy Cooperativ	Da	em 10 ge 2 of 3	Exhibit S
2				page 2 of 4		
3	S	Statement of Op	perations, Adjust	ed	Witnes	s: Jim Adkins
4		Actual	Normalized	Monuslized	Ducusard	Durana
5 6		<u>Test Year</u>	Adjustments	Normalized <u>Test Year</u>	Proposed <u>Increase</u>	Proposed <u>Test Year</u>
7		<u>rest_reur</u>	<u>rajustitients</u>	<u>rest rear</u>	mercase	<u>rest rear</u>
8	Operating Revenues:					
9	Base rates	\$68,238,835	\$442,685	\$68,681,520	\$6,201,363	\$74,882,883
10	Fuel and surcharge	11,419,081	(11,419,081)	0		0
11	Other electric revenue	1,762,102	84,406	1,846,508		1,846,508
12						
13		81,420,018	(10,891,990)	70,528,028	6,201,363	76,729,391
14						
15	Operating Expenses:					
16	Cost of power: Base rates	42 227 652	22 750	42 250 402		42 250 402
17	Fuel and surcharge	43,227,653 11,046,893	22,750 (11,046,893)	43,250,403 0		43,250,403
18 19	Distribution - operations	3,710,004	217,326	3,927,330		0 3,927,330
20	Distribution - maintenance	4,551,005	121,548	4,672,553		3,927,550 4,672,553
20	Consumer accounts	3,236,171	108,588	3,344,759		4,072,555 3,344,759
21	Customer service	420,483	24,032	444,515		444,515
23	Sales	0	0	0		0
23	Administrative and general	3,070,337	50,758	3,121,095		3,121,095
25						
26	Total operating expenses	69,262,546	(10,501,891)	58,760,655	0	58,760,655
27	1 1 1 1					
28	Depreciation	6,389,695	338,644	6,728,339		6,728,339
29	Amortization	470,772	(470,772)	0		0
30	Taxes - other	89,597	0	89,597		89,597
31	Interest on long-term debt	5,697,178	47,074	5,744,252		5,744,252
32	Interest expense - other	354,734	(130,503)	224,231		224,231
33	Other deductions	64,588	(64,588)	0		0
34						
35	Total cost of electric service	82,329,110	(10,782,036)	71,547,074	0	71,547,074
36	····		(100.074)			
37	Utility operating margins	(909,092)	(109,954)	(1,019,046)	6,201,363	5,182,317
38	New operations are a single interest	104 504	0	104 504		104 504
39	Nonoperating margins, interest		0	124,504		124,504
40	Nonoperating margins, other	(146,827)	203,605	56,778 280,652		56,778
41 42	Patronage capital redits	380,653	0	380,653		380,653
42 43	Net Margins	(\$550,762)	\$93,651	(\$457,111)	\$6,201,363	\$5,744,252
43 44	THOU ITHUE BILLO	(#330,702)	ψνο,υσι	(ψ 757,111)	φ0,201,303	<u></u> ,/,/ <i>J2</i>
45	TIER	0.90		0.92		2.00

1		Jackson Ener	gy Cooperativ	D	tem 10 Ige 3 of 3	Exhibit S
2				page 2 of 4		
3	S	Witnes	s: Jim Adkins			
4						
5		Actual	Normalized	Normalized	Proposed	Proposed
6		<u>Test Year</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Test Year</u>	Increase	<u>Test Year</u>
7						
8	Operating Revenues:	¢<0.000.005	\$440 COS	<u> </u>	Ф <i>С (</i> 70 10 с	
9	Base rates	\$68,238,835	\$442,685	\$68,681,520	\$6,672,135	\$75,353,655
10	Fuel and surcharge	11,419,081	(11,419,081)	0		0
11	Other electric revenue	1,762,102	84,406	1,846,508		1,846,508
12 13		81,420,018	(10,891,990)	70,528,028	6,672,135	77,200,163
14		01,120,010			0,072,155	
15	Operating Expenses:					
16	Cost of power:					
17	Base rates	43,227,653	22,750	43,250,403		43,250,403
18	Fuel and surcharge	11,046,893	(11,046,893)	0		0
19	Distribution - operations	3,710,004	217,326	3,927,330		3,927,330
20	Distribution - maintenance	4,551,005	121,548	4,672,553		4,672,553
21	Consumer accounts	3,236,171	108,588	3,344,759		3,344,759
22	Customer service	420,483	24,032	444,515		444,515
23	Sales	0	0	0		0
24	Administrative and general	3,070,337	50,758	3,121,095		3,121,095
25 26	Total operating expenses	69,262,546	(10,501,891)	58,760,655	0	_58,760,655
27				< 70 0 000		
28	Depreciation	6,389,695	338,644	6,728,339		6,728,339
29	Amortization	470,772	0	470,772		470,772
30	Taxes - other	89,597 5 607 178	0	89,597 5 744 252		89,597 5 744 252
31	Interest on long-term debt Interest expense - other	5,697,178	47,074	5,744,252 224,231		5,744,252
32	Other deductions	354,734 64,588	(130,503) (64,588)	224,231		224,231
33	Other deductions	04,588	(04,388)	<u> </u>		0
34 35	Total cost of electric service	82 329 110	(10,311,264)	72,017,846	0	72,017,846
36			(10,511,204)	72,017,040	<u> </u>	12,017,040
37	Utility operating margins	(909,092)	(580,726)	(1,489,818)	6,672,135	5,182,317
38	Sump operating margane	(303,032)	(2003,20)	(1,105,010)		
39	Nonoperating margins, interest	124,504	0	124,504		124,504
40	Nonoperating margins, other	(146,827)	203,605	56,778		56,778
41	Patronage capital redits	380,653	0	380,653		380,653
42						
43	Net Margins	(\$550,762)	(\$377,121)	(\$927,883)	\$6,672,135	\$5,744,252
44						
45	TIER	0.90		0.84		2.00

Item 11 page 1 of 5 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

11. Refer to Exhibit V of the application which indicates that, for the 12 months immediately preceding the proposed test year, Jackson Energy had a TIER of 1.97 with net margins of \$4,455,832. Exhibit K indicates that, for calendar years 2004 and 2005, Jackson Energy had TIERs of 1.66 and 2.06, respectively. One reason for the differences in margins and TIERs between the proposed test year and the 12 months immediately prior to the test year is the level of interest on long-term debt. However, the \$1.1 million increase in interest expense accounts for only a fraction of the decrease in net margins and TIER in the proposed test year compared to the prior periods identified herein. The following requests attempt to identify other factors that might have contributed to the decline in Jackson Energy's margins and TIER levels.

a. Jackson Energy's revenues for the proposed test year declined by \$647,000 compared to the 12 months immediately preceding the test year while its purchased power cost for the proposed test year was \$1.7 million greater than in the prior period, according to Exhibit X of the application. Provide a side-by-side comparison of Jackson Energy's retail billing units, by rate schedule, for the two periods along with any relevant narrative explanation for why revenues declined by this amount.

Response

Attached.

b. Provide a side-by-side comparison of Jackson Energy's wholesale billing units, by rate schedule, as billed by its wholesale supplier, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC"), for the test period and the 12 months immediately preceding the test period along with any relevant narrative explanation for why purchased power expense increased as it did from the prior period to the proposed test period.

Response

Attached.

Item 11. a. page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins Page

Item 11 Page 2 of 5 Item 11.b. page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Second Data Request of Commission Staff Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333

Test year

l est year		< Dilling Damond	>	Tots	Total kwh hilling		^ -				
			Schedule B/C	< Schedule E>	lle E>	Green	Total	Metering <	Aetering < Substation Charge>	ation Ch	arge>
	Sch B/C	Schedule E2	<u>all Kwh</u>	On-Peak	<u>Off-Peak</u>	Power	<u>kwh</u>	Point	2.373	2.855	4.605
March 2000			5,463,097	35,360,864	44,303,996	18,400	85,146,357	27	÷	21	£
Anril			5,326,943	26,086,370	31,162,111	16,700	62,592,124	27	÷	21	ŝ
Mav			5,655,876	33,400,251	25,992,376	16,700	65,065,203	27	÷	21	ŝ
June			5,920,810	39,136,511	24,782,024	15,100	69,854,445	27	£	21	ŝ
Julv			5,931,886	48,433,890	29,719,301	21,700	84,106,777	27	£	21	ŝ
August			6,417,568	48,497,146	30,827,372	15,300	85,757,386	27	ŝ	21	ŝ
Sentember			5,907,698	31,650,702	23,636,543	19,000	61,213,943	27	ŝ	20	4
October			5,491,659	30,040,708	36,277,203	17,800	71,827,370	27	ŝ	19	5
November			5,563,104	34,633,304	41,422,148	17,800	81,636,356	27	ŝ	19	5
December			5,593,895	41,631,691	50,449,450	17,800	97,692,836	27	ŝ	19	5
January, 20			5,908,445	44,754,387	55,106,895	18,100	105,787,827	27	ŝ	19	Ś
February	11.671	243,075	5.403,625	46.383.010	59,176,038	17,400	110,980,073	27	ωI	<u>19</u>	ار <i>ہ</i>
Total	1	2,176,999	68,584,606	460,008,834	452,855,457	211,800	981,660,697	324	36	241	47
1 1	10 martin and from the state	and the second									

12 months preceding test year

	rge ->	4,605	ŝ	£	£	ŝ	ŝ	£	£	ŝ	£	ŝ	÷	ε	36	11
	Aetering < Substation Charge>	<u>2.855</u>	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	252	(11)
	< Subs	2.373	ŝ	£	ŝ	ŝ	3	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	æ	ŝ	ŝ	6 0)	36	0
	Metering-	Point	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	324	0
Î	Total	<u>kwh</u>	91,338,294	65,532,737	64,630,021	74,329,846	84,249,072	86,411,920	70,776,060	68,703,871	80,632,596	115,287,126	94,608,939	<u>95.310.056</u>	991,810,538	(10,149,841)
	Green	Power	6,400	6,200	6,200	10,100	11,400	11,400	10,700	12,900	12,900	17,100	15,000	18,400	138,700	73,100
Total kwh billing	ule E>	<u>Off-Peak</u>	47,735,409	32,808,983	26,076,467	26,095,822	29,799,199	30,135,309	24,375,952	33,732,512	40,391,955	60,379,373	48,852,902	50.086.167	450,470,050	2,385,407
Tota	< Schedule E>	On-Peak	37,754,720	26,959,010	32,471,047	41,952,263	47,266,835	48,768,515	39,380,673	28,236,383	33,726,020	48,605,461	39,918,413	40,029,284	465,068,624	(5,059,790)
~~~~>	Schedule B/C	<u>all Kwh</u>	5,841,765	5,758,544	6,076,307	6,271,661	7,171,638	7,496,696	7,008,735	6,722,076	6,501,721	6,285,192	5,822,624	5.176.205	76,133,164	(7,548,558)
< Rilling Demand>		Schedule E2	198,832	145,190	117,870	153,467	173,044	166,567	137,079	148,800	194,286	234,478	199,361	223,513	2,092,487	84,512
< Billing	D	Sch B/C	11.541	11,159	11.341	11,667	13,438	13,535	13,141	12,878	13,036	13,503	11.644	11.362	148,245	(12,977)
v			March. 2005	April	Mav	June	Julv	August	September	October	November	December	January, 200	February	Total	Change

Item 11 Page 3 of 5

c. Refer to Exhibit X of the application, which provides a comparison of income statement account levels for the test period and the 12 months immediately preceding the test period.

(1) Page 3 of 16 shows that Account 588.06, Mapping, increased by \$96,041, from \$184,300 to \$281,341, from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the proposed test period. Provide a detailed explanation for why this expense increased by this magnitude.

#### Response

The increase is the result of implementing a Global Positioning System ("GPS") and mapping project of the entire system starting February 2006. This was contracted and is expected to take approximately 4 years to complete. Expenditures for the test year will continue for this period.

(2) Page 3 of 16 also shows that Account 593.0, Maintenance of Overhead Lines, increased by \$183,037, from \$420,538 to \$603,575, from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the proposed test period. Provide a detailed explanation for why this expense increased by this magnitude.

## Response

Expense increase due to changing coordination scheme which requires regulators and OCR's to be changed in the field. This will continue into the future.

(3) Page 3 of 16 also shows that Account 593.01, Maintenance of Lines - emergency, increased by \$153,855, from \$1,017,253 to \$1,171,108, from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the proposed test period. Provide a detailed explanation for why this expense increased by this magnitude.

## Response

More outages during the test year.

(4) Page 4 of 16 shows that Account 593.18, right of way- Machine Trim, increased by \$372,998, from \$1,303,419 to \$1,676,417, from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the proposed test period. Provide a detailed explanation for why this expense increased by this magnitude.

## Response

Increase right-of-way budget to clear more and get on a more current rotation for clearing the system. Added additional contractor crews to perform this work.

(5) page 5 of 16 shows that Account 903.00, Consumer Records and Collection, increased by \$82,253, from \$58,912 to \$141,165, from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the proposed test period. Provide a detailed explanation for why this expense increased by this magnitude.

#### Response

Increase expense to use lockbox service and increases from bank/billing charges for credit card payers.

(6) Page 13 of 16 shows that Account 427.32, Treasury Interest, increased from \$0.00 to \$349,557 from the 12 months ending February 2006 to the test period. Describe what is meant by "Treasury Interest" and provide a detailed explanation for why there was in expense of this magnitude during the proposed test period while there was no such expense in the prior period.

#### Response

The last RUS loan interest rate is based on the US Treasury rate. This is just a way to keep that interest separate from non-Treasury rate loans with RUS.

Item 12 page 1 of **2.** Witness: Don Schaefer

# Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

#### 12. Refer to Exhibit 1 of the application.

a. The narrative states that wage increases since 2006 have been granted on November 1. The wage increase for 2007, as shown on page 1 of 10, is 3.5 percent. Based on the narrative on page 1 of 10, this would imply the 2007 increase would be effective November 1, 2007. However, the wage normalization schedule indicates that the 3.5 percent wage increase was in effect as of March 1, 2007. Reconcile the apparent contradiction and indicate when the 3.5 percent increase became effective.

#### Response

Normally wage increases are granted on November 1. However, in an effort to reduce expenses, the wage increase that would have gone into effect on November 1, 2006 was delayed until March 1, 2007. Therefore, there were no increases in wage rates granted during 2006. The last increase before March 1, 2007 was on November 1, 2005.

b. Page 3 of 10 shows 4 salaried employees working less than 2,080 hours in the test year. For each employee, explain why it is reasonable to normalize the employee's salary using 2,080 hours.

#### Response

Employee #13 was on Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") and has since returned to work full time. Employee #214 on FMLA and has since returned to work full time. Employee #254 on FMLA and has since returned to work full time. Employee #312 hired during the year as Right of Way Supervisor.

c. The 3-year schedule of executive officer compensation on page 7 of 10 indicates that several increases greater than 3.5 percent have been granted to executive officers. For each instance where the salary increase was greater that 3.5 percent, explain the basis for the level of increase granted.

#### Response

The Board of Directors evaluates the CEO and sets that compensation. The CEO evaluates each of the executive officers and determines their compensation based on their evaluation. The executive officers salary is based more on merit than on the wage and salary plan that all other employees are granted. Additional increases have all been based on added responsibilities and merit.

1				Exhibit 12 page 2 of 2
2 3				Witness: Don Schaefer
3 4				
5			Jackson Energy Coopera	tive
			Case No. 2007-00333	
6			Employee Information	
7			February 28, 2007	
8 9			1 cordary 28, 2007	
, 10				
11	The following	is a list of e	employees added during the test y	ear, and the employees that were
12			ing the employees.	, and the second s
13				
14				
15	Employee			
16	Hired		Reason	
17				
18	312		New position	
19	299		Student worker to full-time	
20	313		Replaced number	34
21	314		New position	
22				
23				
24	TTI C- 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25	The following	is a list of e	employees terminated, and the dat	e.
26		Employee	Month	
27		Number	Terminated	
28 29		INUITOCI	<u>reminated</u>	
29 30		12	06/06	
31		20	07/06	
32		34	08/06	
33		196	08/06	
34		, i		
35				
36				

Item 13 page 1 of 3 Witness: Don Schaefer Witness: Jim Adkins

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

13. Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application, Item 38 of the response to the Commission Staff's ("Staff") initial data request, and pages 30-34 of the Commission's May 21, 2001 Order in Case No. 2000-00373.

a. Were the Gannett Fleming Companies ("Gannett") made aware of the conclusions stated in the Commission's final Order in Jackson Energy's last rate case? Describe how those conclusions affected the current depreciation study.

#### Response

Yes. Gannett performed the depreciation study in Jackson Energy's Case No. 2000-00373. Jackson Energy does not disagree with the methodology, assumptions, or results of the study performed by Gannett. In an effort to keep the amount of increase requested at a reasonable level, it was decided by Jackson Energy's management to use the average service life of assets and the "average net salvage allowance" to arrive at depreciation rates to use in this application.

b. Provide a schedule comparing the proposed depreciation rates with Jackson Energy's current depreciation rates established by the Rural Utilities Service ("RUS").

#### Response

		Proposed	R	<u>US</u>
		Rates	Low	<u>High</u>
Distri	bution plant			
362	Station equipment	6.67%	3.00%	4.00%
364	Poles, towers & fixtures	3.45%	3.00%	4.00%
365	Overhead conductors & devices	3.23%	2.30%	2.80%
366	Underground conduit	2.00%	2.40%	2.90%
367	Underground conductor & devices	3.33%	2.40%	2.90%
368	Line transformers	2.56%	· 2.60%	3.10%
369	Services	3.57%	3.10%	3.60%
370	Meters	4.17%	2.90%	3.40%
370.1	Meters, AMR	6.67%	2.90%	3.40%
372	Security lights	4.76%	3.90%	4.40%
373	Street lights	4.76%	3.90%	4.40%
Gene	ral plant			
------	-----------------------------	--------	-----	
390	Structures and improvements	1.98%	n/a	
391	Office furn and eqt	6.82%		
391	Office computer equip	6.82%		
391	Office furn and eqt	6.82%		
391	Office furn and eqt	6.82%		
392	Transportation	8.20%		
392	Transportation, other	11.72%		
393	Stores	5.00%		
394	Tools, shop and garage	5.00%		
395	Laboratory	6.67%		
396	Power operated	9.53%		
397	Communications	10.00%		
398	Miscellaneous	8.67%		

RUS does not require depreciation studies to address general plant, therefore, no rates are proposed for general plant.

c. Will it be necessary for Jackson Energy to seek RUS authorization for the proposed depreciation rates? If yes, has authorization already been requested? Include any correspondence with the RUS concerning the proposed depreciation rates.

## Response

RUS approval of depreciation rates will be requested. However, at this time, we are waiting for Commission approval of the methodology for service life and average net salvage so that the rates requested for approval from RUS will agree to the rates authorized by the Commission.

d. Explain whether Jackson Energy performed an analysis of whether to include net salvage as a component of its depreciation rates or to continue to use the "average net salvage allowance" approach proposed by the Office of Attorney General and adopted by the Commission in Case No. 2000-00373.

## Response

Jackson Energy performed an analysis of net salvage and included the "average net salvage allowance" as proposed by the Attorney General and adopted by the Commission in Case No. 2000-00373 in its depreciation rates.

This study is shown at the bottom of Commission Staff's First Request, Item 38, page 9 of 9. This schedule shows the five year average of (\$762,697) calculated as a percentage for each plant account, then on page 8 of 9, this was added to the rate without the net salvage allowance. As a result, the rates proposed by Jackson Energy include the net salvage study and the "average net salvage allowance" as proposed by the Attorney General and adopted by the Commission.

e. Prepare and provide a schedule which shows the resulting annual depreciation expense using the "average net salvage approach" based on Jackson Energy's February 28, 2007 plant account balances.

## Response

This is reflected in Commission Staff's First Request, Item 38 pages 8 and 9 of 9.

Item 14 page 1 of 2 Witness: Jim Adkins

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

14. Refer to Exhibit 4, page 6 of 6, of the application, the Analysis of Other Operating Taxes. The item shown on lines 27-31 is identified as the Public Service Commission (:PSC") assessment, but the PSC assessment is based on revenues, not property values, which is the basis for the assessment shown on lines 27-31.

a. Provide a revised page 6 of 6 which correctly identifies the item shown on lines 27-31.

#### Response

The title was incorrectly stated as "Public Service Commission Assessment" and should have been "Public Service Company Assessment", as this is paid to the Kentucky State Treasurer. A revised Item 4, page 6 of 6 is attached.

b. Explain why Jackson Energy has not proposed an adjustment for the PSC assessment based on its proposed rate increase of \$6.2 million and the current PSC assessment rate.

## Response

This was an oversight only, Jackson Energy should have included the adjustment. The rate for the test year was as follows:

Assessable revenues December 31, 2006	52,840,673
Amount paid, 2007	90,416.19
Rate	0.1711%
Proposed revenue increase	6,201,363
Proposed increase in assessment	10,611

2 " ?e.

,		
5	4	
Ś٤	ibit	د
5	xhil	-
LN.		

4	. ,
H.	¢,
	ų
ij	
-9	1
ш	2

Exhibit 4 page <i>b</i> of <i>L</i>	Decrease	in Taxes	22 0		28 28 28	(3.81			9 (4,741) 4 16,428 5 (57)	3 7,733.05	5 97,394.16	102 201
E	Taxes	Due	1.22	9.2	1.36 0.46 0.28	0.00 3,989.69	750.73 493.50	100.93	12,347.29 432,549.24 27,126.15	477,370.13	1,229,600.25	
A seesment for JUNE T	Tax	Rate	0.0530	0.4030	0.0200 0.0200 0.0120	0.1600 0.1490	0.1000 0.1076	0.1740	0.2100 0.4500 0.1500	I		
Access	Assessed	Value	2,303	2,303	2,303 2,303 2,303	2,677,645	750,730 458,646	58,006	5,879,662 96,122,054 18,084,099			
Taxes	Tax	Due	1.27	11.12	0.48	0.00 178.57	721.49 437.32	98.64	7,606.23 448,977.02 27,069.03	485,103.18	1,326,994.41	
Assessment for 2006 Taxes	Tax	Kate	0.0530	0.4640	0.0200 0.0120	0.1600 0.1700	0.0960 0.0960	0.1670	0.1280 0.4500 0.1500	1	11	
	Assessed	value	2,397	2,397	2,397 2,397	105,039	751,554 455,537	59,068 Assessment:	5,942,370 99,772,670 18,046,023			
February 28, 2007	Taxing District		Pulaski County Tangible - County Tangible - School	Tangible - Library	Tangible - Health Tangible - Extension	City of McKee Real estate - City Tangible - City	City of London Real estate - City Tangible - City	City of Mt Vernon Tangible - City 59,068 Public Service <del>Countrission</del> Assessment:	Real Estate Tangible Property Manufacturing Machine	this page	Total	Amount of Adjustment

Item 14 Page 2 of 2

Item 15 page 1 of 2 Witness: Jim Adkins

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

#### 15. Refer to Exhibit 5 of the application.

a. Describe the purpose of the short-term debt issue at the end of the proposed test year and explain how it was determined that the proposed revenue increase would be sufficient to allow Jackson Energy to repay approximately one-half of the short-term note payable.

#### Response

Short term debt is issued for payments related to expenses in the ordinary course of business, the purchase power bill, material and supplies, insurance's as they come due, and construction projects, when there is insufficient funds available. The short term debt is repaid from cash generated from operations and from advances of long term debt. Since the amount of short term debt is substantially the same as the revenue requested in this application (\$7.5 million short term debt and \$6.2 million rate request) it is estimated that the additional revenues will come in 1/12 each month and the short term debt is the full amount at the end of the test period. As such, it is estimated that it will take a full year to generate the funds to repay the short term debt. That is the reason the adjustment for short term interest was estimated at one-half.

Jackson Energy advanced \$8.2 million in May, 2007, and at December 31, 2007 there was \$6.9 million of short term borrowing. As can be seen, there continues to be short term borrowings even with the long term advances.

b. Provide an update of the schedule on page 2 of 4 that reflects the current interest rates for long-term debt applied to the long-term debt balances as of the end of the proposed test year.

#### Response

Attached.

1 2	Jac	kson Energ Case No. 20	Exhibit 5 page 2 of 4				
- 3 4	Schedul	le of Outstand February	ing Long-Terr	n Debt	Format 8a Schedule 2		
ر	<b>—</b>	-				_	
6	Туре	Date	Date	o / / ."	Test Year	Interest	Annualized
7	of Daht Jaguard	of	of Maturity	Outstanding	Interest	Rate	Cost
8 9	Debt Issued	<u>Issue</u>	Maturity	Amount (d)	<u>Cost</u>	$\frac{12/31/07}{(2)}$	$\frac{\text{Col}(d)x(g)}{(i)}$
9 10	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(g)	(j)
11	<b>RUS loans</b>						
12	B260	Jun-72	Jun-2007	7,538	468	2.000%	151
13	B580	Jan-94	Jan-2029	658,844	33,352	5.000%	32,942
14	B390	May-97	Apr-2032	2,494,071	91,561	4.375%	109,116
15	B391	May-97	Apr-2032	4,159,592	110,598	4.120%	171,375
16	B396	May-97	Apr-2032	1,098,989	40,330	4.500%	49,455
17	B397	May-97	Apr-2032	2,737,458	100,474	4.375%	119,764
18	A400 A401	Oct-2005	Sep-2040	5,000,000	207,486	4.740%	237,000
19	Economic Dev	Oct-2005	Sep-2040	5,000,000 44,443	138,375	4.880%	244,000
20		Advance paym	ent	(149,171)	0	0.000%	0
21	F	suvance paym	ent				
22 23				21,051,764	722,644		963,802
24	FFB loans						
25	H0010	Feb-99	Jan-2034	2,756,857	154,913	5.353%	147,575
26	H0015	Feb-99	Jan-2034	1,849,110	111,802	5.763%	106,564
27	H0020	Feb-99	Jan-2034	1,830,684	97,775	5.086%	93,109
28	H0025	Feb-99	Jan-2034	2,280,937	116,923	4.880%	111,310
29	H0030	Feb-99	Jan-2034	1,830,684	97,775	5.086%	93,109
30	H0035	Feb-99	Jan-2034	4,562,894	234,565	4.894%	223,308
31	H0040	Feb-99	Jan-2034	1,649,716	82,863	4.781%	78,873
32	H0070	Jun-2001	May-2036	23,626,628	1,118,019	4.510%	1,065,561
33	H0100	Feb-2004	Jan-2039	1 <u>8,401,326</u>	873,394	4.505%	828,980
34				58,788,836	2,888,029		2,748,387
35 36	CFC loans						
30	9001	Jun-74	Jun-2009	75,967	6,635	7.00%	5,318
38	9008	Mar-76	Mar-2011	207,471	14,746	6.35%	13,174
39	9010	Mar-77	Mar-2012	229,787	14,568	5.85%	13,443
40	9012	Mar-78	Mar-2013	269,766	16,991	5.90%	15,916
41	9015	Jun-79	Jun-2014	408,457	25,607	5.95%	24,303
42	9018	Mar-81	Mar-2016	900,240	56,216	6.00%	54,014
43	9021	Jun-83	Jun-2018	685,706	43,087	6.10%	41,828
44	9022	Mar-88	Mar-2023	1,545,980	97,557	6.20%	95,851
45	9023	Mar-92	Mar-2027	1,991,293	134,961	6.70%	133,417
46	90241	Dec-93	Dec-2028	3,347,211	226,486	6.70%	224,263
47	90243	Dec-93	Dec-2028	797,107	50,743	6.30%	50,218
48	9025	Mar-97	Feb-2032	4,742,920	301,204	6.30%	298,804
49	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2007	912,833	6,231	3.80%	34,688
50	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2008	1,808,570	77,769	4.30%	77,769
51	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2009	1,808,570	86,811	4.80%	86,811
52	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2010	1,808,570	91,333	5.05%	91,333
53	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2011	1,808,570	95,854	5.30%	95,854
54	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2012	1,808,570	98,567	5.45%	98,567
55	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2013	1,808,570	103,088	5.70%	103,088
56	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2014	1,808,570	105,801	5.85%	105,801
57	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2015	1,808,570	106,706	5.90%	106,706
58	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2016	1,808,570	107,610	5.95%	107,610
59	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2017	1,808,570	108,514	6.00%	108,514
60	9030	Aug-2003	Aug-2018	1,808,570	109,418	6.05%	109,418
61 62			-	36,009,008	2,086,505	-	2,096,708
63	Total long tern	n debt and ann	ualized cost	115,849,608	5,697,178		5,808,898
64	. com rong tom	. acceund unit	:			=	2,000,070
65	Annualized co	st rate [Total (	Col. (j) / Total	Col. (d)]			5.01%
66	Actual test yea				4.92%		
		Ľ	· · ·	*			

Item 16 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

16. Refer to Exhibit 8 of the application, page 2 of 2, and pages 51-52 of the May 22, 2002 Management Audit Report on Jackson Energy performed for the PSC by Management Consulting Services, Inc. and Hedberg & Associates ("MCS Report") concerning the issue of "work orders abandoned."

a. Describe, generally, the nature of, and circumstances leading to, Jackson Energy's work orders abandoned and explain whether the amount in the proposed test year is typical for a 12-month period.

#### Response

Generally, abandoned work orders result from customers initially requesting a service be connected, then decide not to for some reason. The costs include the engineering/staking labor and costs to meet with the customer and prepare a staking sheet of the work to be performed. Jackson Energy reviews these open work orders on a periodic basis, and when it is determined that work will not be performed, the work order is abandoned. The number of abandoned work orders for the test year is typical.

b. The discussion in the audit report references two approaches for dealing with work orders abandoned. The first is to charge the accrued costs to Account 426.5, Other Deductions, which appears to be what is shown on page 2 of 2. The second is to charge the costs to the appropriate operating expense account. Explain whether Jackson Energy uses the first approach exclusively.

#### Response

Jackson Energy reviews the abandoned work orders to determine the appropriate approach. To date, all abandoned work orders have been recorded in Account 426.5 since none have been appropriate to include as an operating expense. The nature of the abandoned work orders for Jackson Energy dictates that the costs be included in Account 426.5.

c. Based on its response to part (a) of this request, explain how Jackson Energy determined that the cost of work orders abandoned should be removed for rate-making purposes.

#### Response

Jackson Energy removed all amounts in Account 426.5, and after further review, the amounts excluded for abandoned work orders should not have been removed. The amounts included in abandoned work orders are ordinary and necessary costs that must be incurred by an electric utility. In addition, these are of an ongoing and recurring basis.

Item 17 page 1 of 4 Witness: Don Schaefer

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

## 17. Refer to Exhibit 9 of the application.

a. Is the \$19,096 cost incurred during the proposed test year the full cost of the depreciation study performed by Gannett? If no, what was the full cost and when were the additional costs incurred?

## Response

Jackson Energy incurred an additional \$6,198.15 to Gannett for the depreciation study. This resulted in a total cost of \$25,294.25.

b. In Case No. 2000-00373, the cost of Jackson Energy's 2000 depreciation study was amortized over 5 years for rate-making purposes. Explain whether Jackson Energy believes that the cost of the current depreciation study should be similarly amortized for rate-making purposes.

## Response

This was an oversight only. Jackson Energy agrees that the cost of the depreciatic study should be amortized over a five year period.

c. Was Jackson Energy aware that in previous electric cooperative rate cases the Commission has not included as an expense for rate-making purposes the cost for legal seminars and legal subscriptions for the cooperative attorney? Explain in detail why the Commission should allow these expenses in this case.

## Response

The legal seminar and legal subscription provide cooperative attorneys with the opportunity to become better educated on legal matters pertaining to electric cooperatives. Such opportunities only exist through the cooperative program. Therefore, it is our opinion that Jackson Energy, and its members, benefit more from paying for the seminars and legal subscriptions than by not paying.

Question 17 Page **2** of **4** Witness: Don Schaefer

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

17. d. Provide individual detailed descriptions of the items identified as "various legal issues" that totaled over \$48,000 in costs during the proposed test year.

Answer:

Date 10/31/2006 Check: 65170 Various Legal Issues

Preparing for condemnation of land for right of way, schedule coordination with our attorneys, pending litigation, legal opinion on membership record access, discuss board matters with President and CEO, review and respond to e-mails, code clearance violation due to consumer grade change, capital credit issues, discuss cooperative matters with other cooperative attorneys, prepare motion for default judgment, work on a pending workers compensation case, copies, mileage and other miscellaneous charges such as postage and telephone expenses. Total \$ 3,956.55

Date 9/29/2006 Check: 64762 Various Legal Issues

Consult with district manager on consumer issue, review of board policy issues and PURPA requirements, bankruptcy of a consumer, pending workers compensation case, review large power contract, consultation on right of way easements, review and respond to e-mails, some pending issues with the sale of Jackson Service Plus, attend Cooperative Attorney Meeting in Louisville, code of conduct issues discussed with our statewide, copies, mileage, expenses for attending NRECA Legal Seminar and other miscellaneous charges such as postage and telephone expenses. Total \$ 3,938.26

Date 3/31/2006 Check: 61744 Various Legal Issues

Prepare for and attend Nominating Committee meeting, discuss Code of Conduct issues with the President and CEO, research employment issues related to background checks, research status of pending legislation, review and respond to e-mails, provide information regarding a previously owned cooperative truck involved in a fatality, review discussion board items regarding policies, prepare for and attend informal hearing with the PSC on AMI issues, attend the New Energy Policy Conference, phone calls from cooperative, court fees, telephone charges, mileage, and copies. Total \$\$5,440.77

Date 4/28/2006 Check: 62442 Various Legal Issues

Review motion to correct deed, review discussion board items, pending workers comp case, consultation on contract bid opening, damage to transformer mitigation, joint use contracts, insurance claims, pending legislation discussions, review and respond to emails, attend meeting on Code of Conduct, discussions and e-mails with the President and CEO, consultation on Board Director replacement, respond and court filings on consumer dispute, postage, mileage, copies and telephone charges. Total \$ 4,004.43

Date 5/31/2006 Check: 62829 Various Legal Issues

Review documents on pending case with consumer, review discussion board items, pending workers comp case, file motions on pending court cases, claims, review audit letters, review and respond to e-mails, prepare summons, write audit opinion letter, consumer tree clearing complaint, postage, service fees, and mileage, telephone charges, and copies. Total \$ 4243.29

Date 8/31/2006 Check: 64158 Various Legal Issues

Meet and handle condemnation case and prepare motions, theft of property incident and returned checks, work on pending work comp case, review discussion board items, damage claims, review and respond to e-mails, pole attachment issues and joint pole contracts, consultation with NRECA legal staff, postage, copies, telephone charges, filing fees, and mileage. Total \$ 5,958.20

Date 8/31/2006 Check: 64263 Various Legal Issues

Review and respond to e-mails, review PURPA regulations, work on on-going condemnation case, draft motions for three cases, work on pending court case, attend KAEC conference on House Bill 568, consultation on employee termination notice, consultation with President and CEO on Perpetual Line of Credit, assist in preparation of large power contracts, mileage, telephone charges and copies. Total \$6,324.66

Date 8/22/2006 Check: 63995 Various Legal Issues

Telephone conference with East Kentucky Power, discussion with attorneys, all pertaining to the conclusion of the Lewis vs. Jackson Energy case. Total \$385.54

Date 11/30/2006 Check: 65752 Various Legal Issues

Close file on closed court case, review and respond to e-mails, consultation with President and CEO, work on joint use agreements, review consumer bankruptcy filing, review Strategic Planning document, consultation on issues related to Jackson Propane Plus, LLC as it pertains to Jackson Energy, collection of damages, recording fees, postage, copies, mileage, and telephone expenses. Total \$ 2,944.71 Date 1/29/2007 Check: 66321 Various Legal Issues

Review and respond to e-mails, conference with KAEC attorneys, consultation on FMLA issues, pending workers comp issue, conference with EKPC attorney, conference with Cumberland Valley Co-op attorney and multiple phone calls, consultation with President and CEO on Board matters, postage, copies, mileage, and phone charges. Total \$3,443.48

Date 2/01/2007 Check: 66683 Various Legal Issues

Consultation on bad debt write-offs, phone call from Cumberland Valley attorney, pending workers comp issue, consultation on preparation of PSC responses, review and respond to e-mails, consultation on the East Kentucky Power rate increase case, consultation on a proposed Bylaw change, postage, copies and telephone charges.

Total \$ 2320.95

Date 2/28/2007 Check: 66983 Various Legal Issues

Work on pending workers comp case, consumer complaint, consultation on safety meetings, consultation and letter to PSC on entry of appearance, review and respond to emails, consultation and correspondence on a right of way complaint, preparation on an addendum to the RUS contract, postage, mileage, telephone charges, computer research, and copies. Total \$ 5,231.45

17. e. Explain what was involved in the "labor matters" for which Jackson Energy paid over \$3,800 to Frost, Brown & Todd, LLC, and why Jackson Energy's local attorneys could not have handled these matters.

#### Answer:

This item is identified incorrectly. It would more appropriately have been called "Mitigation following the Supreme Court ruling on cooperatives providing other services". Frost, Brown & Todd, LLC, was engaged to assist Jackson Energy following the unfavorable ruling by the Kentucky Supreme Court. This included drafting a proposed bill for the legislature to consider. This also included a request for reconsideration by the Supreme Court. This should have been removed for rate-making purposes.

Outside legal consultation and assistance was deemed advisable for this work.

Item 18 page 1 of 3 Witness: Don Schaefer

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

18. Refer to Exhibit 10 of the application which details the adjustment proposed for director expenses.

a. Refer to page 2 of the exhibit. Explain whether anyone is, or was during the test year, designated as an alternate to represent Jackson Energy with either the Kentucky Association of Electric Cooperatives ("KAEC") or the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association ("NRECA").

#### Response

KAEC alternate: Don Schaefer NRECA alternate: Fred Callahan

b. Explain whether Jackson Energy was aware that it has been Commission policy to allow expenses for attendance at KAEC or NRECA meetings for rate-making purposes only for attendance by a cooperative's designated representative or its designated alternate representative. Explain in detail why the Commission should allow such expenses for other directors in this case.

## Response

Expenses for directors that attended the KAEC annual meeting that were not the designated representative or alternate, have been removed from this application. These include directors Binder, Brown, Madden, McWhorter, and Patton. Expenses for director Cundiff in the amount of \$336.17 for attending the KAEC annual meeting should have been removed and was not.

The NRECA annual meeting is a combination of training and education seminars for directors during the day and more organizational activities in the evenings. These programs are similar to the education seminars that NRECA sponsors at the Director Conferences and Regional Meetings. As such, these costs and expenses should be included for rate making purposes for all directors that attend the NRECA annual meeting. c. Some directors attended "other board meetings" that are identified as being for a "director search." Provide a detailed description of what Jackson Energy's director search entailed.

## Response

The board of directors formed a Search Committee to review resumes that were received due to vacancies in two districts. These are not recurring and should have been removed for rate-making purposes.

d. Jackson Energy's policy for directors states that the chairman and secretary/treasurer will receive an additional \$300 for "time involved in carrying out official duties." Explain whether this is the reason that directors Binder, Callahan, and Stamper are shown as receiving \$600 for regular board meetings. Explain whether Jackson Energy was aware that it has been Commission policy not to allow this type of additional compensation to be recovered through rates by electric cooperatives. Explain in detail why the Commission should allow these expenses in this case.

## Response

Yes, this is the reason. The additional compensation should have been removed, this was an oversight on our part.

e. Jackson Energy's policy for directors states that newer board members (those joining the board on or after may 11, 2006) will receive \$1,000 monthly, as compared to the \$300 monthly amount paid to individuals that were directors prior to May 11, 2006. Provide a detailed explanation for why Jackson Energy has chosen to pay a monthly fee of \$1,000 and why it is reasonable for an amount of this magnitude to be allowed for rate-making purposes.

## Response

Directors appointed or elected after may 11, 2006, were no longer offered health insurance coverage under the Cooperative's group plan for neither themselves nor eligible dependents, as previously offered prior to May 11, 2006. f. Exhibit 10 reflects that some directors received per diem amounts for attendance at KAEC or NRECA meetings or training sessions in addition to being reimbursed for their out of pocket expenses. Explain whether Jackson Energy was aware that is has been Commission policy not to allow per diem amounts to be recovered through rates when the director was also reimbursed for actual expenses. Explain in detail why the Commission should allow these expenses in this case.

#### Response

Jackson Energy is aware that per diems are not allowed when actual expenses are also reimbursed.

Exhibit 10, page 1 of 19 removes all per diems that are included for each director.

Item 19 page 1 of 1 Witness: Don Schaefer

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

19. Refer to page 6 of 19 in Exhibit 10. Describe the type of training provided to directors who attended KAEC coordinated training, such as Mr. Callahan.

## Response

Jackson Energy misstated the descriptions on lines 33 and 34, on page 6 of 19. The description for line 33 should be "KAEC annual meeting" and line 34 should have stated "NRECA regional meeting". . .

Item 20 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

# Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

20. Refer to pages 7 of 19 and 15 of 19 in Exhibit 10. Describe the type of training provided to directors who attend NRECA pre-annual meeting training, such as Mr. Cundiff and Phillip Thompson.

## Response

This was a Strategic Planning course designed to instruct directors on how to participate effectively in the strategic planning process.

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

## **RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## PARTNER'S PLUS & EMPLOYEE PURCHASES

- Q. Refer to Exhibit 11 of the application.
  - a. Refer to page 1 of 10. Provide a more detailed description of EKPC's Partner Plus Program including an explanation of why EKPC has opted to eliminate the program.
- R a. EKPC's Partner Plus Program was an equal expense sharing between EKPC and its member systems. A Cooperative such as Jackson Energy could incur an expense for promotional purposes (whether for JECC or for Touchstone Energy) and be reimbursed for 50 percent of this expenditure by EKPC.

Additionally, this program provided for the equal sharing of rebates between EKPC and the member systems for programs that included energy efficient homes, and energy efficient programs.

Partners Plus has been discontinued due to cost cutting at EKPC.

- Q b On pages 3 through 5 there are several references to "Employee purchases." Explain the nature of these purchases and why the transaction is recorded in Account No. 913.00.
- R b Employees may purchase clothing with the Jackson Energy logo. Many employee wear this clothing on the job. It helps identify Jackson Energy employees to the public and its consumers. The Cooperative encourages these purchases by offering them at a discounted rate. The discounts are reflected as "Employee purchases' in Account No. 913.00.
- Q. c On page 5 of 10 there are several entries identified as "Apparel" and "Monogram apparel." Explain the nature of these entries and why the transaction is recorded in Account No. 913.00.
- R c As explained in part b above, employees may purchase clothing with the Jackson Energy logo. The entries identified as "Apparel" and "Monogram apparel" represent the payments to the clothing vendors.

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

## **RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## PARTNER'S PLUS & EMPLOYEE PURCHASES

- Q d On page 7 of 10 there is a transaction identified as "Special early retirement". Provide a detailed explanation of this transaction. Provide a detailed explanation of this transaction.
- R d During the year, JECC offered an early retirement to a group of employees. JECC paid NRECA, the retirement plan sponsor for the amount needed to fund the early retirement.

Item 22 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

22. Refer to Exhibit 16 of the application. Provide the source document and/ or derivation of the amount of \$342,752 identified as "fuel adjustment lag."

#### Response

Jackson Energy receives a power bill from East Kentucky Power Cooperative ("EKPC") each month. Included in the power bill is a fuel amount. Jackson Energy recovers the fuel amount in the billings to its consumers but on a two (2) month delayed basis. This results in two months of unbilled fuel not showing in revenue but reflecting in the purchase power expense.

To more appropriately match the fuel revenue and expenses, we started in 2005 accounting for the unbilled fuel on the books. The entries are to set up unbilled revenue in the month of the power bill fuel cost and then reverse the amount out two months later when the billing to the consumers took place.

The \$342,752 fuel adjustment lag is calculated as follows:

January 2006 fuel amount reversed in March 2006	1,237,344
February 2006 fuel amount reversed in April 2006	720,087
January 2007 fuel amount reversed in March 2007	(728,117)
February 2007 fuel amount reversed in April 2007	(886,562)
	342,752

•

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

Witness: Don Schaefer

## RESPONSE TO COMMISSIONS STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

## **BOARD OF DIRECTOR INFORMATION**

- Q. Refer to Item 16 of Jackson Energy's response to the Staff's initial data request.
  - a. Provide copies of and explanation for the revisions to Board Policy B200 occurring since 2001.
  - b. Provide copies of the annual long range financial study and the annual review of the equity and equity performance submitted to the Board of Directors in April 2006 and April 2007. If these reviews were not performed, explain in detail the reason(s) for not performing the policy-required reviews.
- R. a. Attached on pages 2 through 16 of this response is the revised Board Policy B2000. Most changes to this policy since 2001 has been word of phrase changes to better clarify the intent of this policy. The revision dated August 13, 2004 is the revision where major changes were made. Major revisions were made to the Capital Credit Retirement Section which now provides for a less restrictive numeric guidelines for determining capital credits and specific definition for equity level.
  - b. Attached as pages 17 through 22 is a copy of the annual review of the equity and equity performance submitted to the Board of Directors in April 2007 and April 2006,

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## SUBJECT: CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

#### I. OBJECTIVES:

- A. To assure the financial strength of the Cooperative, in order to provide high quality electric services to members, and at the same time provide power at cost consistent with Cooperative philosophy.
- B. To develop an approach to long range financial planning which will assure that the Cooperative meets its financial responsibilities to both lending entities and member-owners through equity and margin planning.
- C. To comply with the various regulations and operational practices prescribed by RUS, Kentucky Public Service Commission and other agencies as required.

## **II. POLICY:**

#### A. Equity and TIER Levels

It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a target Equity Capitalization Ratio of 30-40% excluding Generation and Transmission Capital Credits (GTCC's) and a TIER Ratio of 1.50 to 2.00 excluding GTCC's.

- B. A long range financial plan will be developed and updated periodically that will guide and insure the Cooperative meeting all of its financial obligations which include the following:
  - 1. Maintaining a minimum current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.0 as target measure for cash.
  - 2. It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a standard DSC (Debt Service Coverage) minimum level of 1.25 or an operating DSC minimum of 1.10 to meet loan eligibility requirements as a borrower of RUS and CFC. Maintaining these minimum levels will assure that funds are available for debt retirement.

# Effective Date: February 14, 2003

!

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## BOARD POLICY NO. B200 PAGE 2

- 3. Maintaining access to timely loan fund balances and sources to meet needed plant additions.
- 4. Insuring that funds are available for an established capital credit retirement program.
- 5. Maintaining revenue requirements necessary to meet margins, equity, TIER and capital credit rotation requirements.

## C. <u>Capital Credit Retirements</u>

- 1. A capital credit rotation program will be developed on a minimum year cycle using an appropriate method determined at the time of retirement and the retirement of estates of deceased members.
- 2. A capital credit rotation plan will be developed on a minimum cycle using a percentage of the previous years margins. This percentage is initially set at 25%. GTCC's are excluded from this method. Capital credits will only be paid when the distribution target equity ratio is greater than 35% and the TIER ratio is 1.75 or greater. Capital credit payouts cannot reduce the equity below 33% or the TIER ratio to less than 1.50 after payout. The amount of capital credits to be paid to any member will be based on an equal percentage payment to all members. The percentage amount will be equal to the amount of capital credits to be paid divided by the amount of distribution equity on the books at the end of the previous calendar year. GTCC's are excluded from this equity amount.
- 3. Capital credits will be allocated to members on a gross billing basis.
- 4. A written report will be submitted the Public Service Commission on the status of the patronage capital credit rotation for the prior calendar year no later than March 31 of each year.

ľ

## **JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION**

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## PAGE 3

#### Policy Performance Review D.

A long range financial study and a review of the equity and equity management performance as well as the cash working capital level shall be conducted at least annually and reported to the Board of Directors in April of each year.

#### Ш. **RESPONSIBILITY:**

,

- A. The President and CEO is responsible for the administration of this policy and for recommending needed revisions to the Board of Directors.
- The Board of Directors shall determine that the Cooperative's Capital Β. Management Policy meets the needs of the member-owners.

Fred Callahan HAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

DATE ADOPTED: March 8, 1996 DATE EFFECTIVE: March 8, 1996 **REVISED DATE: March 10, 2000 REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: February 14, 2003 SUPERCEDES POLICY NO. 4-19** 

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## SUBJECT: CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

## I. OBJECTIVES:

- A. To assure the financial strength of the Cooperative, in order to provide high quality electric services to members, and at the same time provide power at cost consistent with Cooperative philosophy.
- B. To develop an approach to long range financial planning which will assure that the Cooperative meets its financial responsibilities to both lending entities and member-owners through equity and margin planning.
- C. To comply with the various regulations and operational practices prescribed by RUS, Kentucky Public Service Commission and other agencies as required.

## **II. POLICY:**

A. Equity and TIER Levels

It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a target Equity Capitalization Ratio of 30-40% excluding Generation and Transmission Capital Credits (GTCC's) and a TIER Ratio of 1.50 to 2.00 excluding GTCC's.

- B. A long range financial plan will be developed and updated periodically that will guide and insure the Cooperative meeting all of its financial obligations which include the following:
  - 1. Maintaining a minimum current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.0 as target measure for cash.
  - 2. It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a standard DSC (Debt Service Coverage) minimum level of 1.25 or an operating DSC minimum of 1.10 to meet loan eligibility requirements as a borrower of RUS and CFC. Maintaining these minimum levels will assure that funds are available for debt retirement.

Effective Date: March 12, 2004

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## BOARD POLICY NO. B200 PAGE 2

- 3. Maintaining access to timely loan fund balances and sources to meet needed plant additions.
- 4. Insuring that funds are available for an established capital credit retirement program.
- 5. Maintaining revenue requirements necessary to meet margins, equity, TIER and capital credit rotation requirements.

## C. Capital Credit Retirements

- 1. A capital credit rotation program will be developed on a minimum year cycle using an appropriate method determined at the time of retirement and the retirement of estates of deceased members.
- 2. A capital credit rotation plan will be developed on a minimum cycle using a percentage of the previous years margins. This percentage is initially set at 25%. GTCC's are excluded from this method. Capital credits will only be paid when the distribution target equity ratio is greater than 35% and the TIER ratio is 1.75 or greater. Capital credit payouts cannot reduce the equity below 33% or the TIER ratio to less than 1.50 after payout. The amount of capital credits to be paid to any member will be based on an equal percentage payment to all members. The percentage amount will be equal to the amount of capital credits to be paid divided by the amount of distribution equity on the books at the end of the previous calendar year. GTCC's are excluded from this equity amount.
- 3. Capital credits will be allocated to members on a gross billing basis.
- 4. A written report will be submitted the Public Service Commission on the status of the patronage capital credit rotation for the prior calendar year no later than March 31 of each year.

1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## PAGE 3

D. <u>Policy Performance Review</u>

A long range financial study and a review of the equity and equity management performance as well as the cash working capital level shall be conducted at least annually and reported to the Board of Directors in April of each year.

#### **III. RESPONSIBILITY:**

- A. The President and CEO is responsible for the administration of this policy and for recommending needed revisions to the Board of Directors.
- B. The Board of Directors shall determine that the Cooperative's Capital Management Policy meets the needs of the member-owners.

Fred Callahau

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

DATE ADOPTED: <u>March 8, 1996</u> DATE EFFECTIVE: <u>March 8, 1996</u> REVISED DATE: <u>March 10, 2000</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>February 14, 2003</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>March 12, 2004</u>
1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

### SUBJECT: CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

#### I. **OBJECTIVES:**

- A. To assure the financial strength of the Cooperative, in order to provide high quality electric services to members, and at the same time provide power at cost consistent with Cooperative philosophy.
- B. To develop an approach to long range financial planning which will assure that the Cooperative meets its financial responsibilities to both lending entities and member-owners through equity and margin planning.
- C. To comply with the various regulations and operational practices prescribed by RUS, Kentucky Public Service Commission and other agencies as required.

#### **II. POLICY:**

#### A. Equity and TIER Levels

It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a target Equity Ratio of 30-40% excluding Generation and Transmission Capital Credits (GTCC's) and a TIER Ratio of 1.50 to 2.00 including GTCC's.

- B. A long range financial plan will be developed and updated periodically that will guide and insure the Cooperative meeting all of its financial obligations which include the following:
  - 1. Maintaining a minimum current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.0 as target measure for cash.
  - 2. It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a standard DSC (Debt Service Coverage) minimum level of 1.25 or an operating DSC minimum of 1.10 to meet loan eligibility requirements as a borrower of RUS and CFC. Maintaining these minimum levels will assure that funds are available for debt retirement.

Effective Date: Duguet 13, 2004

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## BOARD POLICY NO. B200 PAGE 2

- 3. Maintaining access to timely loan fund balances and sources to meet needed plant additions.
- 4. Insuring that funds are available for an established capital credit retirement program.
- 5. Maintaining revenue requirements necessary to meet margins, equity, TIER and capital credit rotation requirements.

## C. Capital Credit Retirements

- 1. In addition to estate retirements, the Cooperative will consider general retirement of capital credits each year.
- 2. The Board may elect to dispense with capital credit general retirements if they feel that the financial conditions are not advantageous to the Cooperative to do so. The Board may elect the appropriate method at the time of the retirement.
- 3. Capital credits may be retired on a general basis, provided that mortgage requirements would be met. These include a TIER minimum of 1.25 and an equity level of no less than 30% after the retirement. Equity level is defined as Total Margins and Equity divided by Total Assets from the RUS Form 7.
- 4. Capital credits will be allocated to members on the basis of margins contributed per rate.

## D. <u>Policy Performance Review</u>

A long range financial study and a review of the equity and equity management performance as well as the cash working capital level shall be conducted at least annually and reported to the Board of Directors in April of each year. 1

.

1

# JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

#### **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

#### PAGE 3

#### **III. RESPONSIBILITY:**

- A. The President and CEO is responsible for the administration of this policy and for recommending needed revisions to the Board of Directors.
- B. The Board of Directors shall determine that the Cooperative's Capital Management Policy meets the needs of the member-owners.

ahan

**CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD** 

DATE ADOPTED: <u>March 8, 1996</u> DATE EFFECTIVE: <u>March 8, 1996</u> REVISED DATE: <u>March 10, 2000</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>February 14, 2003</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>March 12, 2004</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>August 13, 2004</u>

# Ductest 2004, mg JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## SUBJECT: CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

#### I. **OBJECTIVES:**

- To assure the financial strength of the Cooperative, in order to provide high A. quality electric services to members, and at the same time provide power at cost consistent with Cooperative philosophy.
- B. To develop an approach to long range financial planning which will assure that the Cooperative meets its financial responsibilities to both lending entities and member-owners through equity and margin planning.
- C. To comply with the various regulations and operational practices prescribed by RUS, Kentucky Public Service Commission and other agencies as required.

#### П. **POLICY:**

A. **Equity and TIER Levels** 

> It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a target Equity **Capitalization** Ratio of 30-40% including Generation and Transmission Capital Credits (GTCC's) and a TIER Ratio of 1.50 to 2.00 including GTCC's.

- B. A long range financial plan will be developed and updated periodically that will guide and insure the Cooperative meeting all of its financial obligations which include the following:
  - 1. Maintaining a minimum current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.0 as target measure for cash.
  - It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a standard 2. DSC (Debt Service Coverage) minimum level of 1.25 or an operating DSC minimum of 1.10 to meet loan eligibility requirements as a borrower of RUS and CFC. Maintaining these minimum levels will assure that funds are available for debt retirement.



1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## BOARD POLICY NO. B200 PAGE 2

- 3. Maintaining access to timely loan fund balances and sources to meet needed plant additions.
- 4. Insuring that funds are available for an established capital credit retirement program.
- 5. Maintaining revenue requirements necessary to meet margins, equity, TIER and capital credit rotation requirements.
- C. <u>Capital Credit Retirements</u>
  - 1. A capital credit rotation program will be developed on a minimum year eycle using an appropriate method determined at the time of retirement and the retirement of estates of deceased members.
  - A capital credit rotation plan will be developed on a minimum cycle using a percentage of the previous years margins. This percentage is initially set at 25%. GTCC's are excluded from this method. Capital credits will only be paid when the distribution target equity ratio is greater than 35% and the TIER ratio is 1.75 or greater. Capital credit payouts cannot reduce the equity below 33% or the TIER ratio to less than 1.50 after payout. The amount of capital credits to be paid to any member will be based on an equal percentage payment to all members. The percentage amount will be equal to the amount of capital credits to be paid divided by the amount of distribution equity on the books at the end of the previous calendar year. GTCC's are excluded from this equity amount.
  - 1. In addition to estate retirements, the Cooperative will consider general retirement of capital credits each year.
  - 2. The Board may elect to dispense with capital credit general retirements if they feel that the financial conditions are not advantageous to the Cooperative to do so. The Board may elect the appropriate method at the time of the retirement.

1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

### **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

#### PAGE 3

- 3. Capital credits may be retired on a general basis, provided that mortgage requirements would be met. These include a TIER minimum of 1.25 and an equity level of no less than 30% after the retirement. Equity level is defined as Total Margins and Equity divided by Total Assets from the RUS Form 7.
- 4. Capital credits will be allocated to members on the basis of margins contributed per rate.
- 3. A written report will be submitted the Public Service Commission on the status of the patronage capital credit rotation for the prior calendar year no later than March 31 of each year.
- D. Policy Performance Review

A long range financial study and a review of the equity and equity management performance as well as the cash working capital level shall be conducted at least annually and reported to the Board of Directors in **May** of each year.

#### **III. RESPONSIBILITY:**

- A. The President and CEO is responsible for the administration of this policy and for recommending needed revisions to the Board of Directors.
- B. The Board of Directors shall determine that the Cooperative's Capital Management Policy meets the needs of the member-owners.

## CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

1

DATE ADOPTED: <u>March 8, 1996</u> DATE EFFECTIVE: <u>March 8, 1996</u> REVISED DATE: <u>March 10, 2000</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>February 14, 2003</u> REVISED EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>March 12, 2004</u>

1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## SUBJECT: CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

#### I. OBJECTIVES:

- A. To assure the financial strength of the Cooperative, in order to provide high quality electric services to members, and at the same time provide power at cost consistent with Cooperative philosophy.
- B. To develop an approach to long range financial planning which will assure that the Cooperative meets its financial responsibilities to both lending entities and member-owners through equity and margin planning.
- C. To comply with the various regulations and operational practices prescribed by RUS, Kentucky Public Service Commission and other agencies as required.

## **II. POLICY:**

## A. Equity and TIER Levels

It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a target Equity Ratio of 30-40% excluding Generation and Transmission Capital Credits (GTCC's) and a TIER Ratio of 1.50 to 2.00 including GTCC's.

- B. A long range financial plan will be developed and updated periodically that will guide and insure the Cooperative meeting all of its financial obligations which include the following:
  - 1. Maintaining a minimum current ratio (current assets divided by current liabilities) of 1.0 as target measure for cash.
  - 2. It will be the policy of the Cooperative to achieve and maintain a standard DSC (Debt Service Coverage) minimum level of 1.25 or an operating DSC minimum of 1.10 to meet loan eligibility requirements as a borrower of RUS and CFC. Maintaining these minimum levels will assure that funds are available for debt retirement.

Effective Date: June 10, 2005 * * Last revision to date

1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## BOARD POLICY NO. B200 PAGE 2

- 3. Maintaining access to timely loan fund balances and sources to meet needed plant additions.
- 4. Insuring that funds are available for an established capital credit retirement program.
- 5. Maintaining revenue requirements necessary to meet margins, equity, TIER and capital credit rotation requirements.

## C. Capital Credit Retirements

- 1. In addition to estate retirements, the Cooperative will consider general retirement of capital credits each year.
- 2. The Board may elect to dispense with capital credit general retirements if they feel that the financial conditions are not advantageous to the Cooperative to do so. The Board may elect the appropriate method at the time of the retirement.
- 3. Capital credits may be retired on a general basis, provided that mortgage requirements would be met. These include a TIER minimum of 1.25 and an equity level of no less than 30% after the retirement. Equity level is defined as Total Margins and Equity divided by Total Assets from the RUS Form 7.
- 4. Capital credits will be allocated to members on the basis of margins contributed per rate.

## D. <u>Policy Performance Review</u>

A long range financial study and a review of the equity and equity management performance as well as the cash working capital level shall be conducted at least annually and reported to the Board of Directors in April of each year.

*

1

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

## **BOARD POLICY NO. B200**

## PAGE 3

#### **III. RESPONSIBILITY:**

- A. The President and CEO is responsible for the administration of this policy and for recommending needed revisions to the Board of Directors.
- B. The Board of Directors shall determine that the Cooperative's Capital Management Policy meets the needs of the member-owners.

Î

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

DATE ADOPTED: March 8, 1996 REVISED DATE: March 10, 2000 REVISED DATE: February 14, 2003 REVISED DATE: March 12, 2004 REVISED DATE: August 13, 2004 REVISED DATE: June 10, 2005 JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION KY 3 JACKSON

1.50 1.48 9.54 0.06 32.89 58 52 20.48 25.32 33.08 204.62 91.27 5,80 6.91 165,734,951 9.90 1.58 2016 238,123,445 78.762,915 159,3<del>0</del>0,530 60,266,599 2,157,864 2016 32,35 1.50 1.48 9.53 0.37 1.01 8 20.39 23.80 31.48 200.78 89.56 5.58 6.82 164,845,614 9.58 1.61 1.50 2015 231,256,129 158,505,398 72,750,731 55,048,190 2,157,864 2015 31.82 ŝ 1.50 1.48 9.50 6 0.38 20.16 22.14 29.83 197.04 87.90 5.57 6.72 163,982,181 9,15 1.63 1.50 2014 224,690,775 87,015,801 157,875,174 48,754,531 2,157,864 2014 31.31 89.1 50 8 1.48 8.48 0.31 19.84 20.25 28.18 183.39 88.27 5.67 6.61 163, 143, 896 8.72 1.65 1.50 2013 218,418,586 61,549,455 44,218,847 156,868,131 2,157,864 2013 30.84 1.67 8 1.50 1.48 9.43 0.31 19.79 16.09 26.52 189.84 84.68 5.57 6.47 8.36 162,330,027 1.67 2012 RUS FORM 3258 - PRO FORMA BALANCE SHEET 212,431,023 56,344,459 158,086,564 38,419,363 46.481,980 2,157,864 2012 1.72 1.55 1.53 9.46 0.15 30.41 17 19.80 15.87 24.86 186.37 83.13 5.53 8.54 181,539,863 8.42 1.72 1.55 2011 208,719,798 RUS FORM 325A- RATIOS 46,481,980 51,383,007 155,326,791 32,401,817 2, 157,864 FUTURE YEARS 2011 FUTURE YEARS 29.90 1.78 1.76 1.60 1.58 9.45 0.10 12.85 19.61 182.98 81.83 23.20 5.50 6.58 160,772,713 8.23 1.78 1.60 2010 48,687,714 201,276,661 154,589,147 25,866,309 2,157,884 46,481,980 2010 1.82 1.85 29.33 28.1 8 9,44 0.13 19.47 9.72 179.30 21,56 Mark Kaene April 4, 2007 79.88 5.47 6.58 159,785,577 8.09 1.84 1.65 2008 195,861,368 42,221,410 19,045,208 153,639,678 2,157,864 46,481,880 221,325,027 FINANCIAL FORECAST 2009 FINANCIAL FORECAST 28.82 1.89 1.70 1.88 1.68 9.43 6.76 19.25 175.70 6.33 20.05 78.37 5.43 8.57 7.83 1.70 157,544,863 2008 188,472,579 37,987,134 151,485,445 11,000,042 2,157,884 46,481,980 212,114,331 800 28.28 8 6 52 53 8.83 2.41 8.28 172.18 3.76 18.78 76.81 5.81 4.81 153,016,791 0.74 1.81 2007 181.109,658 33,978,128 147,131,530 6,801,800 2,157,864 46,481,980 202,573,174 -----2007 -----28.87 9 0.74 8.82 185.55 *87.55* 4 18.33 18.51 6.68 LAST YEAR 2006 32,187,831 175,571,870 43,384,039 2,157,884 2,468,377 16,481,980 94,490,260 LAST YEAR 2008 2b. OPERATING DSC (including op. margins + G&T & lender CCs paid) 3b. OPERATING TIER (including op, margins + G&T & lender CCs paid) 8. ACCUM. PROV. FOR DEP. & AMORT. TO T.U.P. (%) 9. OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE EXP. PER CONSUMER (\$) 14. INCREASE OVER PRESENT RETAIL RATES REQUIRED (%) 12. RATE OF RETURN ON RATE BASE (WITH ADD. REV.) (%) 5. INCREASE IN AVERAGE REVENUE PER KINH SOLD (%) 15. Modified DSC (FOR RUS USE) 18. Modified Tier (Net of G&T & Other CAP Credits) 34. TIMES INTEREST EARNED RATIO (WITH ADD. REV.) 7. NET GENERAL FUNDS TO TOTAL UTILITY PLANT (%) 28. DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE (WITH ADD. REV.) 6. TOTAL UTILITY PLANT PER KWH SOLD (CENTS) 4. AVERAGE REVENUE PER KWH SOLD (CENTS) 10. ADMIN. & GEN. EXPENSE PER CONSUMER (\$) b. ACCUM. PROVISION FOR DEPREC. & AMORT. 13. RATE BASE = 104% OF NET UTILITY PLANT 1. ЕQUITY RATIO (WITH ADD. REV.) (%) 8. GENERAL FUNDS EXCLUDABLE ITEMS B TOTAL ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS 2. LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS 1. ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS f. OTHER ASSETS AND DEBITS 11. PLANT REVENUE RATIO d. NET GENERAL FUNDS a. TOTAL UTILITY PLANT C. NET UTIUTY PLANT

## Item 23 Page 17 of 22

88,239,737

84,824,409

81,474,091

78,192,977

74,990,738

71,874,089

68,503,892

84,909,552

61,137,033

57,237,858

56,153,111 15,539 21,089,201 58,788,835 36,609,485 54.490,260

**

(2). LONG TERM DEBT - 6%, MUNI & TREASURY

(1). LONG TERM DEBT - 2% & 5%

8. TOTAL MARGINS AND EQUITIES

b LONG TERM DEBT - RUS

(3). LONG TERM DEBT - GUARANTEE

18,761,616 128,344,401 13,117,130

a 17,268,430 122,356,014 15,821,481 21,824,089 262, 194,433

17.754,645 116,437,799 18,578,924 21,824,089 256,069,548

0

18,221,571 110,329,191 21,161,094 21,824,089 249,728,921

18,870,449 104,039,285 23,621,210 21,824,089 243,145,771

21,824,089 236,368,452

21,824,089 221,325,027

0

0 18,518,726 90,943,748 28,304,845 21,824,089 229,095,288

19,928,477 84,142,882 30,520,027

c 20.327,317 78.174,894 32,650,997 21.824,089 212.114.331

c

68,102,990 20.713,531 34,684,706 21,824,088 202,573,174

21,824,089

1

e TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS

LONG TERM DEBT - OTHER
 OTHER LIABILITIES AND CREDITS

19,102,459 97,576,743 25,991,072 21,824,089 268,286,973

46,481,980

46,481,980

46,481,980

46,481,980

248,728,821

243, 145, 771

38,368,452

229,095,299

256,069,548

282, 194, 433

268,288,973

	66 <del>3</del> ,882,08	061,040,33	168,167,64	746,012,44	696,014,85	718,104,SE	52'898'303	902,840,91	240,989,11	008.108,8	а. Net general funds - End Of Year
7	2,552,193	2.615,354	e81,712,2	299,726,1	1.646,225	769,276.1	£74,04£,1	608,80£,1	126,772,5	887,742.1	4 TOTAL PROPOSED USES OF GENERAL FUNDS
ltem 23 Page 18 of 2	0 000,324 581,760,S 0 0	0 000,024 25,330,2 0 0	0 000,3 <del>44</del> 081,277,1 0 0	0 000,044 233,784,1 0 0	0 000,854 855,115,1 0 0	0 000,064 768,548 0 0	0 000,354 874,319 0 0	0 888,809 000 420,000	0 000,214 126,238,5 0 0	0 000,014 887,768 0 0	3 PROPOSED USE OF GENERAL FUNDS a. PURCHASE OF EXCLUDABLE ITEMS b. CAPITAL CREDIT RETIREMENTS c. GENERAL FUNDS IN PLANT c. GENERAL FUNDS d. OTHER USES OF GENERAL FUNDS 7 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS 7 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS 8 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS 7 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS 7 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS 7 ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PRINCI
	S97,858,S3	242,482,73	027,179,18	603,741,34	40,065,588	337,477,66	287,206,782	20,354,014	15,266,963	885,940,8	2. TOTAL GENERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE
	2016 25,049,190 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2015 2015 20,0074 20,0074 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2014 24,219,947 77,137,7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2013 26,48,363 26,18,363 24,827,7 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013	2r02 7r8,r0+,55 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2011 25,666,309 24,666,309 2446 2446 2446 2446 209,446	2010 2010 202,240,81 202,131,8 0 0 0 0 0 0	2009 272,428,042 0 0 0 0	2008 6,801,800 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2007 2.466,377 5.683,211 0 0 0 0 0	1 SOURCES OF GENERAL FUNDS a. NET GENERAL FUNDS BEGINNING OF YEAR b. CASH MARQINS AFTER DEBT SERVICE c. OTHER PROCEEDS d. SALE OF EXCLUDABLE ITEMS e. REIMBURSEMENT FROM PRIORITY LOANS (NON-PRIORITY) f. REIMBURSEMENT FROM SPECIAL LOANS (NON-PRIORITY)

FINANCIAL FORECAST RUS

YAAMMUR ROND JAYENED - GEVERAL FUNDS SUM

171,621,12 693,858,61 109,697,7	20,636,084 20,636,070 210,018,7	784,441,02 417,205,21 277,137,7	217,169,81 898,600,11 841,827,7	004,801,61 853,544,11 777,688,7	868,418,81 284,800,11 844,800,71	442,489,81 898,523,01 873,191,8	428,876,81 228,110,01 270,445,8	895,986,71 95,1,123,9 9,463,163	60,497,41 528,081,6 715,688,8	2 CASH BASIS a. CASH FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE DEBT SERVICE b. TOTAL DEBT SERVICE c. CASH MARGINS AFTER DEBT SERVICE c. CASH MARGINS AFTER DEBT SERVICE
448,800,900 58,368,83 58,36,683 57,36,654 57,106,526 57,106,528 57,106,528 57,106,528 57,106,529 57,006,329 58,630,500 00,329 58,670,329 9,007,329 59,070,329 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 50,070 5	200,523,862 40,582,512 201,512,512 201,525,62 201,525,6 201,525,6 201,525,6 201,525,6 201,525,6 201,001,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 201,01 2	40,000,024 62,664,280 62,664,280 62,616,04 62,135,135,64 62,135,65,4 62,135,65,4 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,311 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,511 628,5	55,572,303 55,757,303 59,750,125 59,750,125 4,750,125 4,760,125 7,760,495 7,760,495 7,760,495 7,760,52 7,24,25 2,292 2,202 0 0 3,642,239 2,642,239	816,344,58 883,961,63 893,961,63 895,621,85 887,118,4 887,178,48 887,601,7 561,634,6 891,636,6 0 0 000,341 948,783,5 0	881,501,16 241,886,19 378,867,76 378,867,76,76 378,867,100 378,867,100 362,505,8 342,600 522,305,8 342,600 522,305,8 342,000,61 791,008,6 0 0 000,041 781,008,6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28,620,253 29,620,000 21,62,000 21,62,000 21,62,000 21,25,000 20,110,347 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,340 20,564,564,564,564,564,564,564,564,564,564	126,629,75 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000 120,000	955,886,28 756,996,72 052,281,6 050,282,56 050,282,56 000,0251 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,021 000,020 000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000	e85.008,98 047,695,92 870,816,8 015,671,16 870,816,8 870,816,8 826,562,5 826,562,5 826,562,5 826,562,7 747,476,1 0 000,021 747,464,1 0	<ul> <li>(2), OPER, REV. &amp; PATRON. CAP PRESENT RATES</li> <li>(2), OPER, REV. &amp; PATRON. CAP PRESENT RATES</li> <li>c. COST OF POWER</li> <li>d. OPERRATIONS &amp; MAINTENANCE EXPENSE</li> <li>f. ADM. &amp; GEN. &amp; OTHER DEDUCTIONS EXPENSE</li> <li>f. ADM. &amp; GEN. &amp; OTHER DEDUCTIONS EXPENSE</li> <li>h. TAX EXPENSE</li> <li>h. TOTAL COST OF ELECTRIC SERVICE</li> <li>h. TAX EXPENSE</li> <li>h. TOTAL COST OF ELECTRIC SERVICE</li> </ul>
6,988,283	549,543	655,678,8	021'606'8	664,618,7	029,479,7	464,478,7	869,701,7	279,718,9	199,248	8 (1). ADOMIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS 8 (1). ADOMIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR THEREQUITY
5016	2015	2014	5013	2012	5011	5010	5009	5008	2002	

**TRADEROR FORECAST** 

RUS FORM 326C - STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

#### JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE

1

#### ANNUAL STATUS REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2006

C	4/4/2007							
A. Results of Operations for the most recent c	alendar year of	2006						
Key Ratios	Beginning of Year	End of Year						
Equity Capitalization Ratio (No GTCC's)	27.14%	25.62%						
Current Ratio	1.21	1.19						
	With Affiliate Income/(Loss) Included	Without Affiliate Income/(Loss) Included						
Time Interest Earned Ratio (TIER) (No GTCC's)	0.74	0.73_						
Debt Service Coverage (DSC)	1.16	1.15						
B. Capital Credit Retirements made during the	e year.							
Amount of Payments to Deceased	Amount of Payments to Deceased or Estates							
Amount of General Retirements		\$0_						
Total Amount of Capital Credits Re	etired	\$404,555						
Basis for the Amount of Capital Cro	edits Paid							
1. Previous years marg	gins	-\$1,447,653						
2. Less GTCC's		\$0						
3. Amount of equity fo	r capital credits	-\$1,447,653						
4. Capital Credits to be	e paid (.25 * Item 3)	-\$361,913						
C. No capital credits to be rotated this year.								
1. Equity ratio (No GT	CC's)	25.62%						
2. TIER ratio (No GTC	C's)	0.74						

## JACKSON ENERGY COOP ____ATIVE CORPORATION

0 KX 3 TACKSON

Mark Keene 8005 . A IngA

SOITAR -ABSE MROFI SUR

FINANCIAL FORECAST

09'F 08'F	63.1 03.1	13.1 03.1	69.1 08.1	88.1 88.1	67.1 09.1	67.1 79.1	02.1 98.1	92'l 06'l	08.1 48.1		16. MODIFIED DSC (FOR RUS USE) 16. MODIFIED TIER (NET OF 04.1 & OTHER CAP. CREDITS)
18.0	02.0	0.64	Z9'0	99.0	28.0	1.02	96.1	27.1	92.0		14. INCREASE OVER PRESENT RETAIL RATES REQUIRED (%)
870,227,781	706,445,881	297,018,491	565,814,531	978,880,581	551,467,081	989'LEZ'691	188,284,881	687,834,131	E19,ET0,TA1		10, RATE BASE = 300% OF NET UTILITY PLANT
69'9	19.9	09:9	85.3	97.9	09'9	09'9	67.9	09.9	98.8		(%) (.VER OR HTIM) ERAB ETAR NO NRUTER FOR TAR
			1-								
<b>29</b> '9	99'9	99.8	<del>9</del> 9.3	29'9	69.8	79' <del>9</del>	6.63	44·8	53.3	S8.8	11. PLANT REVENUE RATIO
81.88	81.38	71.48	33.25	82.56	68.18	23,18	05.18	68.08	68.08	<del>2</del> 9.99	10. ADMIN. & GEN. EXPENSE PER CONSUMER (\$)
115.65	99.071	168.64	08.991	04.231	95.481	65.591	69.281	20,281	74.181	E8.7E1	9. OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE EXP. PER CONSUMER (\$)
31.44	30.02	28.60	21.72	26.74	16.42	55.90	21.64	20.63	99.91	16.81	(%) .R.U.T OT .TROMA & .94D ROF. VOR9 .MUDDA .8
24.44	Z3'1Z	19.12	87.81	92.71	46.8t	15.64	27.8	<del>1/</del> 9'2	61.4	60'1	(%) TNALY YILLITU LATOT OT SOUND LARENDE TEN .7
89.71	09.71	<b>3</b> 3.71	19.71	99'21	<b>#9</b> '21	89.71	68.71	06'21	<b>30.8</b> 1	06'21	6. TOTAL UTILITY PLANT PER KWH SOLD (CENTS)
11.0	20.0	21.0	75.0-	81.0-	62.0-	16.0-	£9'0-	ZE.0	367		(%) INCREASE IN AVERAGE REVENUE PER KWH SOLD (%)
64.8	87.8	84.8	72.8	09.8	29'B	89.8	19.8	19.8	49.8	62.8	4. AVERAGE REVENUE PER KWH SOLD (CENTS)
84.1	87'i	84.1	84.1	69.1	89.r	£9°L	89.1	E7.1	29.1	000	3b. OPERATING THER (Including op. margins + Gal & Ionder CCs paid)
09.1	09.1	09.1	09.1	99.1	03.1	59'L	02.1	9 <u>7.1</u>	49.1 C3.1	90'Z	34. TIMES INTEREST EARNED RATIO (WITH ADD. REV.)
99'1	86.f	09.1	Z9'L	79.1 22.1	ZJ.1	87.1	48.F	68.f	62.1	30 0	Zb. OPERATING DSC (Including op. margins + G&T & Iender CCs paid)
73.1					57.1 CZ 1						
	691	19.1	£9°L	89.1		62.1	<b>38.1</b>	06.1	08.1	99.1	28. DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE (WITH ADD. REV.)
79.55	24.66	33'00	78.25	32.19	47.16	31'5¢	58.05	30.38	30.05	30.05	(%) (.VER. DOA HTIW) OITAR YTIUDA .1
2012	201¢	5043	5015	5011	5010	5003	2008	2002	900Z	5002	
					0167	rahutua					
***********	****************	*******************	**************	******************	* 2943/	7 20111112	*************	******************	******************	* RABY TRAJ	

0 of 22	0 8.67,573,8 221,237,151 520,053,615 221,5362,499 821,730,072	0 710,178,8 718,520,851 404,375,81 618,879,535 518,879,535	0 705,181,9 805,480,051 805,650,15 624,286,55 068,888,785	0 6,444,965 704,828,402 723,653,034 920,021,135 020,021,135	0 084,627,9 868,748,701 286,800,85 848,014,445 848,014,445	0 538,789,9 544,191,101 844,004,82 844,595,55 887,515,755	0 864,775,01 888,499,469 888,469,05 888,469,05 818,663,652	0 827,728,01 668,689,38 788,309,55 788,386,55 758,344,055 758,344,055	0 540,753,01 781,630,837 781,620,639 781,630,639 26,636,499 28,012 28,012 28,012 29,012 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,020 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,0000 20,0000 20,000 20,0000 20,00000 20,000 20,0000 20,00000000	871,52 784,651,11 886,545,69 481,580,75 694,585,55 525,511,005	614,63 791,144,11 70,047,93 255,091,95 252,591 994,595,52 250,549,981	5. LONG TERM DEBT - RUS (1). LONG TERM DEBT - 8%, MUNI & TREASURY (2). LONG TERM DEBT - 6%, MUNI & TREASURY (3). LONG TERM DEBT - OURRANTEE (3). LONG TERM DEBT - OTHER C. LONG TERM DEBT - OTHER CREDITS d. OTHER LIMBILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS 
Page 20	982,757,19	970,8 <del>1</del> 6,88	624,160,38	621,608,18	120,899,87	818,162,87	007,407,17	\$LZ'996'L9	242,760,48	850,561,09	799' <del>1</del> 81'29	2. LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS 8. TOTAL MARGINS AND EQUITIES 9. LOND TERN DERL BILL
9	488.212,365 869.149.57 852.172,191 840.084.78 888.505.2 888.505.2 888.505.2 888.505.2 888.505.2 821.780.072	228,429,004 68,678,132 68,678,132 7,503,686 68,678,132 7,959,693 888,67,976 888,678,132 7,959,604 888,429,004	271,944,676 83,747,836 63,471,686 74,081,70,64 76,151,64 79,121,959 767,686,630 757,686,630	216,761,167 86,818,081 767,135,070 42,671,099 868,695 868,695 868,696 869,121,959 869,121,959	209,639,963 64,006,717 717,65,635,246 77,265,635,744 7,765,744 7,765,744 869,721,959 869,121,959 264,10,646	204,202,888 49,631,600 24,574,528 24,574,582 24,316,660 24,213,695 263,513,753 24,512,755 2505,213,759 2505,213,759 2505,213,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,213 2505,2150,2150,2105,215,215,215,215,215,215,215,215,215,21	158,5581 768,584,54 768,584,54 765,001,55 865,001,5 865,002,5 869,151,64 818,659,652	192,027,128 41,562,19 150,464,309 150,464,309 150,464,309 150,464,309 151,969 151,969 151,969	183,486,643 37,828,787 630,667 145,630,667 145,630,667 145,630,959 145,630,959 145,630,959 145,750,959 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,750 145,7500 145,7500 145,7500 145,7500 145,7500 145,75000 145,75000 145,7500000000000000000000000000000000000	892,977,371 140,895,46 425,714,141 425,076,7 888,5022 888,5022 698,151,94 525,511,002	414,349,781 000,800,16 418,977,861 438,868,1 888,605,5 888,605,5 888,505,5 888,505,9 830,151,94	1. ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS 2. TOTAL UTILITY PLANT 3. ACCUM, PROVISION FOR DEPREC. & AMORT. 4. NET GENERAL FUNDS 4. NET GENERAL FUNDS 5. GENERAL FUNDS EXCLUDABLE ITEMS 6. GENERAL FUNDS EXCLUDABLE ITEMS 7. OTHER ASSETS AND DEBITS 9. TOTAL ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS 9. TOTAL ASSETS AND OTHER DEBITS
	5016	2014	5013	5015	1102	2010	5003	2008	2002	5006	5005	
FIAMANGIAL FOREXEAST RUS FORM 3268 - FRO FORM BALANCE BHEAVER BALANCE												

Item 23 Page 20 of 22

.

.

22	340,064,78	52,802,084	480,178,74	660'129'77	37,261,744	039,315,15	768,001,85	288,888,81	14'052'066	072,075,7	5. NET GENERAL FUNDS - END OF YEAR
23 of	638,878,2	926,476,2	2,078,524	881,107,1	970,213,1	196'227'1	£08,85Þ.f	383,004,5	772,385,1	138,085,1	4. TOTAL PROPOSED USES OF GENERAL FUNDS
ltem Page 21	0 355,000 2,253,859 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 000,005 0 0 0 0	0 9 939'23'69'1 000 9	0 831,114,1 0 0	0 000,375 070,761,1 0 0	0 298'E01'1 798'E01'1 0	0 000,235 008,150,1 0 0	0 360,000 3,040,585 0 0 0 0 0	0 000,385 772,010,1 0 0	0 000,005 080,009 0 0	3. PROPOSED USE OF GENERAL FUNDS 8. PURCHARSE OF EXCLUDABLE ITEMS 6. CAPITAL CREDIT RETIREMENTS 6. OTHER USES OF GENERAL FUNDS 9. ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PRYMENTS 9. ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL PRYMENTS
	406,831,03	614,871,88	49,949,608	782,284,44	028,697,86	709,067,55	004,768,85	742,880,55	<i>ere,08</i> 6,31	Se0,107,8	2. TOTAL GENERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE
	480,208,28 62,836,77 0 0 0 0	480,178,74 625,305,7 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	028,815,15 071,744,7 0 0 0 0	0 010,069,7 0 0 0 0 0	288,889,81 867,188,7 0 0 0	930,820,81 181,150,8 0 0 0	045,076,7 601,050,8 0 0 0 0	1,836,654 6,864,438 0 0 0 0 0	1. SOURCES OF GENERAL FUNDS 2. NET GENERAL FUNDS BEGINNING OF YEAR 3. CASH MARGING AFTER DEBT SERVICE 5. CITHER PROFEDS 6. REIMBURGEDREIE (TEMS 9. REIMBURGEMENT FROM FRIORITY LOANS (NON-PRIORITY) 1. REIMBURGEMENT FROM SFECIAL LOANS (NON-PRIORITY)
	2016	201¢	2013	2012	1102	2010	600Z	2008	2002	5006	

*

FINANCIAL FORECAST RUS FORM

RUS FORM 326D - GEVERAL FUNDS SUMMUS

861,522,02 816,838,21 828,838,7	406.017,01 770,514,51 026,306,7	883,481,81 870,818,11 808,812,7	989,939,81 441,844,11 543,012,7	928,744,81 928,000,11 071,744,7	422,707,81 242,703,01 010,003,7	096,788,71 258,886,9 867,188,7	869,034,71 484,914,9 181,160,8	070,850,81 870,810,8 801,020,8	700,974,81 028,118,8 824,488,8	8. CASH FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE DEBT SERVICE b. TOTAL DEBT SERVICE c. CASH MARGINS AFTER DEBT SERVICE
										5 CV2H BV2I2
112,887,6	E38,407,E	3(613,294	701,818,E	£09'192'£	818,838,5	924'411'4	250,812,4	902'692'7	174,886,5	RIGRAM LAUROCAL ATOT .n
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	m. G&T AND OTHER CAPITAL CREDITS (CFC CTC's)
130'000	129'000	120,000	112'000	110,000	105,000	000,001	<b>3</b> £ <b>'000</b>	000'06	095,48	I. NON-OPERATING MARGINS
3'626'511	E29'613'E	962'E64'E	201,004,6	3,641,603	318,138,5	4'014'458	4,123,032	902'691'7	3'304'111	K PRENDAR SUITARER & CPTITAL SUIDRAM SUIDRAM SUITAR
644,EFE,801	516,843,801	103'104'648	921,096,001	757,238,79	96,255,102	787,888,29	578,855,88	080,088,48	134,318,08	<ol> <li>TOTAL COST OF ELECTRIC SERVICE</li> </ol>
124,278,7	90£'60†'L	889,852,7	7,030,216	616,028,0	269'969'9	988,655,8	092'920'9	626'849'9	98 <b>1 '</b> 762'9	L INTEREST EXPENSE
110'602	<b>37</b> 8,701	669,401	464,101	869,88	899,36	288,58	<b>441'06</b>	099`28	000,88	A EXPENDED TAXE XAT
909'298'8	91-6,605,346	807,485,8	436,111,8	111,878,7	667,848,7	840,624,7	CH8,802,7	926'966'9	141,587,8	<b>BERERCIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPERIES</b>
585,144,3	6,282,801	6, 128, 833	743,979,470,4	4,834,612	107,683,4	166,833,1	¢'454'583	4'595'401	7,170,292	1. ADM. & GEN. & OTHER DEDUCTIONS EXPENSE
¥'838'634	192'962'7	620'999'7	4,620,465	108,886,4	4'560'81Z	4' 138'8EE	875,810,4	565,668,5	818,887,E	6. CONSUMER ACCOUNTS AND SALES EXPENSE
789,109,01	291,488,01	388,372,01	788,876,9	200'989'6	068,604,6	066'621'6	890,488,8	168,808,8	8,365,234	d. OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE EXPENSE
679'987'17	607,486,04	39,240,024	677,811,85	785,8 <b>h</b> 6,76	38,646,485	32'984'088	819'092'75	#16'667,66	180,887,15	C. OPER, REV. LESS COST OF POWER
110,484,17	298,537,93	816'/96'/9	66,240,454	64,248,853	62,660,435	60,717,123	785,117,77 <b>8</b>	176,860,88	62,332,490	b. COST OF POWER
112'061'364	108'360'282	566,718,801	103,823,121	631,056,001	916,862,86	747,524,86	914,712,19	485,455,78	119,764,58	(2). Oper. Rev. & Patron. Cap. – present rates
962'806	768,284	L#6'6L9	211,758	180,488	100,808	997'116	684,445,1	100,808,1	096'779	8 (1). ADDITIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS
										1. ACCRUAL BASIS
				and the second sec						
5049	2014	2013	2012	1102	2010	5003	800S	2002	2005	

FINANCIAL FOREC....

RUS FORM 326C - STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Item 23 Page 22 of 22

`

#### JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE

#### ANNUAL STATUS REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2005

	Date of	f Report:	4/10/2006
A. Results of Operations fo	2005		
Key Ratios		Beginning of Year	End of Year
Equity Capitaliza (No GTCC's)	ation Ratio	26.11%	27.14%
Current Ratio		1.01	1.21
		With Affiliate Income/(Loss) Included	Without Affiliate Income/(Loss) Included
Time Interest Ea (No GTCC's)	rned Ratio (TIER)	2.06	2.04
Debt Service Co	verage (DSC)	1.66	1.65
B. Capital Credit Retiremer	ts made during the year.		
Amount of Payn	\$339,867		
Amount of Gene	ral Retirements		\$0
Total Amount of	Capital Credits Retired		\$339,867
Basis for the Am	ount of Capital Credits P	aid	
1. P	revious years margins		\$4,624,206
2. Lo	ess GTCC's		\$0
3. A	mount of equity for capita	I credits	\$4,624,206
4. C	apital Credits to be paid (	.25 * Item 3)	\$1,156,052
C. No capital credits to be r	otated this year.		
1. E	quity ratio (No GTCC's)		27.14%_
2. T	ER ratio (No GTCC's)		2.06

D. Completion date of most recent Ten Year Financial Forecast 4/4/2006

ľ

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

## **RESPONSE TO COMMISSION'S STAFF SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## LOAN FUNDS

- Q. Refer to Item 37 of Jackson Energy's response to the Staff's initial data request.
  - a. Explain whether the \$4,140,000 in loan funds that Jackson Energy estimated would be advanced around December 31, 2007 has been advanced.
  - b Explain whether the last sentence in the response "These funds will be used to repay the short-term borrowings used to finance construction projects" refers only to the December 31, 2007 advance or to that advance and May 2. 2007 advance of \$8,200,000.
- R a. The \$4,140,000 in loan funds to be advanced on or about December 31, 2007 has not been advanced.
  - b The statement "These funds will be used to repay the short-term borrowings used to finance construction projects" refers to both advances.

Item 25 page 1 of 1 Witness: Don Schaefer

Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

25. Refer to Item 48, subsection (e), of Jackson Energy's response to the Staff's initial data request. Two columns show compensation received by Donald Schaefer, one for "Compensation charged to subsidiary" and one for "Compensation received from Jackson Energy." Clarify whether Mr. Schaefer's total compensation is the sum of the amounts in the two columns or the amount in column "Compensation received from Jackson Energy.

#### Response

Mr. Schaefer's total compensation is "Compensation received from Jackson Energy." The amount listed in "Compensation charged to subsidiary" is the amount of the compensation received from Jackson Energy that was charged to the subsidiary for the test year.

Item 26 page 1 of 1 Witness: Jim Adkins

## Jackson Energy Cooperative Case No. 2007-00333 Second Data Request of Commission Staff

26. refer to Item 49 of Jackson Energy's response to the Staff's initial data request.

a. Explain whether the lines "2005, 2004, 2003" reflect income from subsidiaries for the 12 months ending December 31 of those years or for 12 months ending at some other time during those years.

#### Response

The income reported is for the 12 months ending December 31 for each subsidiary.

b. Provide the income received from subsidiaries for the same 12 calendar months for 2006 as has been provided for 2005, 2004, and 2003.

## Response

The amount reported for the test year is the same as for the 12 months ending December 31, 2006.

· ·

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

## **RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## **APPLICATION OF COST ALLOCATION MANUAL**

- Q. Refer to pages 36-38 of Commission's May 21, 2001 Order in Case No. 2000-00373, and pages 33-40 of MCS Report. The report indicates that Jackson Energy had properly addressed the issue from the rate case concerning the allocation of costs to its subsidiaries by developing and operating within a formal cost allocation manual, ("CAM"). Explain whether Jackson Energy is continuing to operate under the CAM it had developed at the time of MCS Report, as amended in its September 1, 2006 filing with the PSC, and whether there have been any changes to the CAM since September 2006.
- R. Jackson Energy continues to operate under the CAM it had developed at the time of MCS Report and as amended in September 1, 2006. There have been no changes to the CAM since the September 1, 2006 filing.

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CASE NO. 2007-00333

## **RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## FOCUSED MANAGEMENT AUDIT

- Q Regard MCS's report on Jackson Energy's focused management audit,
  - a. The Commission's records indicate that the cost of the audit exceeded \$57,000. What was Jackson Energy's total cost for the management audit?
- R a. The cost of this management audit was \$57,443.86.
- Q b How did Jackson Energy record the cost of the audit on its books?
- R b This cost was recorded in Account NO. 923.0 as it was incurred.
- Q c Explain why Jackson Energy did not propose to begin recovery of this costs of its focused management audit in this rate case.
- R c Jackson Energy did not propose to begin recovery of this cost in this rate case because of oversight by JECC. JECC feels that revenue requirements would have been increased by \$19,148 assuming a three year amortization period.