

Dear Ms. Pinkston :

Your comments have been received and will be placed into the case file for the commission's consideration as it deliberates in this matter. If it is your intention to monitor these proceedings and submit comments in response to testimony, it would be more efficient if you were to hold those comments until the close of the hearing and submit them in a single document at that time. Thank you for your interest.

*Andrew Melnykovich*  
**Director of Communications**  
**Kentucky Public Service Commission**  
**(502) 564-3940 x 208**

RECEIVED  
NOV 26 2007  
PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION

---

**From:** PSC - Public Information Officer  
**Sent:** Monday, November 26, 2007 2:54 PM  
**To:** Melnykovich, Andrew (PSC)  
**Subject:** FW: Case Number 2007-00134

---

**From:** Fran Pinkston[SMTP: E-MAIL ADDRESS REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 26, 2007 2:52:33 PM  
**To:** PSC - Public Information Officer  
**Subject:** RE: Case Number 2007-00134  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

I am currently listening to the hearing, and if you are still accepting input, I would like to say that Mr. Rowe is being much less than truthful in his testimony when representing how many property owners have been contacted and how many they have obtained either an easement from or an agreement to discuss an easement after the PSC decision. I am a property owner, and I have not been contacted, and be assured, I will under no circumstances willingly grant an easement to KAW, and will contest condemnation to the fullest extent possible. I do not think it coincidence that they are unprepared to discuss the opposition to this project by the landowners, and cannot provide details of how many parcels are in question and the number of easements they have obtained. Mr. Rowe is also woefully amiss in his recollection of the number of persons voicing opposition to the KAW proposal in comparison to those supportive. Thank you for this opportunity to speak.

Fran Pinkston  
3393 Jones Lane  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** E-MAIL ADDRESS REDACTED  
**To:** psc.info@ky.gov  
**Subject:** Case Number 2007-00134  
**Date:** Thu, 15 Nov 2007 12:44:50 -0500

The Kentucky American pipeline plan is more expensive to construct, more destructive to the environment and much, much more expensive to ratepayers of KAW and other BGWSC member suppliers. The Commission needs to consider necessity and convenience in their ruling. With the Louisville Water Company offering a cheaper to build pipeline from their existing plant on the

Ohio River through the existing rights of way in the I-64 corridor at lower cost to the consumers, it is painfully obvious to me that the KAW proposal is neither convenient or necessary. I respectfully request the PSC to carefully weigh the ultimate cost to central Kentucky communities, one factor of which is the ownership of the ever more valuable commodity of water, and deny the certificate to KAW.  
Thank you.

Fran Pinkston  
3393 Jones Lane  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

Boo! Scare away worms, viruses and so much more! Try Windows Live OneCare! [Try now!](#)

---

Get the power of Windows + Web with the new Windows Live. [Power up!](#)