

421 West Main Street
Post Office Box 634
Frankfort, KY 40602-0634
(502) 223-3477
(502) 223-4124 Fax
www.stites.com

RECEIVED

June 7, 2007

JUN 07 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

HAND DELIVERED

Mark R Overstreet
(502) 209-1219
(502) 223-4387 FAX
moverstreet@stites.com

Ms. Beth O'Donnell
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
211 Sower Boulevard
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

RE: P.S.C. Case No. 2007-00124 (Lawhorn Hollow)

Dear Ms. O'Donnell

On May 22, 2007 West Virginia PSC Alliance LC d/b/a NTELOS sent the Commission by Express Mail copies of its Responses to the concerns raised by Ms. Ferguson and Mr. Hessami. As evidenced by the delivery confirmation attached hereto, the records of the United States Postal Service indicate the package was delivered to the Commission at 8:55 a.m. on May 24, 2007 and was received by J. Froehlich.

In reviewing the Commission's records online it appears NTELOS's responses inadvertently may have been omitted from the record. To ensure the record in this proceeding is complete I am enclosing with this letter copies of the Responses.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,



Mark R. Overstreet

cc: Ms. Ferguson

WE186:00WE1:15680:1:FRANKFORT



[Track & Confirm](#)

[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **E093 4375 537U S**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 8:55 AM on May 24, 2007 in FRANKFORT, KY 40602 to POB615 PUB SERV COMM. The item was signed for by J FROEHLICH.

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)

[Additional Details >](#)

[Return to USPS.com Home >](#)

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. [Go >](#)

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. [Go >](#)



POSTAL INSPECTORS
Preserving the Trust

[site map](#)

[contact us](#)

[government services](#)

[jobs](#)

[National & Premier Accounts](#)

Copyright © 1999-2004 USPS. All Rights Reserved. [Terms of Use](#) [Privacy Policy](#)





TERRADON CORPORATION
P.O. Box 519
Nitro, WV 25143
Tel: (304) 755-8291
Fax: (304) 755-2636
www.terraddon.com

May 18, 2007

Mr. Chris Harris
Ntelos
500 Summers Street
Charleston, WV 25301

**Re: Lawhorn Tower, PSC Case #2007-00124
Drainage Review**

Dear Mr. Harris:

As requested, Terradon has looked into the storm drainage situation relative to the construction of a tower at the subject site. This review was precipitated by a certified intervention letter from a Judith A. Ferguson of Russell, Kentucky. Among other things, she opines the tower site and access road may further erode the hillside above her house and push the creek adjacent to her further toward her property.

We have evaluated the pre and post runoff from the site using the SedCad runoff program. The peak post runoff should be less than the pre runoff due to the fact that much of the site will be flattened, and the water drainage will be further lengthened by the road. The water must follow the road for a distance around a 20% grade rather than flow directly over the hillside at the existing approximate 40% grade.

Relative to erosion, while we are slightly concentrating a portion of the flow in our cross culverts, these are only spaced at about 200 feet intervals, and have rock splash dissipaters at the downstream end. Such a configuration has been shown to not cause erosion gullies in forested areas in the past.

Based on our review and analysis, it is our opinion that Ms. Ferguson's flooding and erosion concerns should not be an actual problem. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

TERRADON Corporation

Timothy T. White, P.E.
Principal Engineer

cc: file





21 May 2007

Judith A. Ferguson
1045 Regis Street
Russell KY 41169

Dear Ms. Ferguson:

RE: PSC Case 2007-00124

We appreciate this opportunity to address the concerns you outlined in your letter dated 13 April 2007 with regard to the above-referenced project.

NTELOS contracted with an engineering firm to study the effect of the access road and tower site near your property. The engineering firm has concluded the water run-off from proposed tower will not cause any problems. A letter outlining their findings is attached.

To the extent that it is possible, residential property value assessments are based upon the market value of the land being assessed. This ensures the most consistency between appraisals and that properties are not assessed at values beyond their worth.

The vast majority of the written submissions filed on behalf of members of the radio industry hold the view that there is no credible evidence that the establishment of an antenna installation negatively impacts local property values. Numerous wireless carriers and private landowners have created studies that the presence of a cell tower on a property shows no change in value and significant decreases in value, respectively.

Consumers actually want coverage in their homes. Wireless providers are considered a general utility just like power companies, water companies, and cable companies. Wireless services are considered a benefit in most communities.

NTELOS operates at a different frequency than your radio and television and should not cause interference.

I hope we have answered your questions. If you have additional concerns, please feel free to contact me at (304) 353-8917.

Best regards,

Chris Harris
WV Site Development Manger



21 May 2007

Joseph H. Hessami, CEO
Cornerstone Cabinets & Custom Homes, Inc.
4820 Friel Drive
Ashland KY 41102-9408

Dear Mr. Hessami:

Re: PSC Case 2007-00124

NTELOS appreciates the opportunity to address the concerns you outlined in your letter dated 24 April 2007 with regard to the above-referenced project.

To the extent that it is possible, residential property value assessments are based upon the market value of the land being assessed. This ensures the most consistency between appraisals and that properties are not assessed at values beyond their worth.

The vast majority of the written submissions filed on behalf of members of the radio industry hold the view that there is no credible evidence that the establishment of an antenna installation negatively impacts local property values. Numerous wireless carriers and private landowners have created studies that the presence of a cell tower on a property shows no change in value and significant decreases in value, respectively.

Wireless providers are considered a general utility just like power companies, water companies, and cable companies. Consumers want wireless coverage in their homes; currently 10% of all households no longer have a land line phone. I think you will find that improvement of in-house cell phone coverage will be a benefit in the sale of homes in your new development.

I hope we have answered your questions. If you have additional concerns, please feel free to contact me at (304) 353-8917.

Best regards,

Chris Harris
WV Site Development Manager