COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Brandenburg Telephone Company, et al	
Complainants)	
v.)	Case No. 2007-00004 RECEIVED
Windstream Kentucky East, LLC.	DEC 12 2008
Defendant)	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JOINT STATUS REPORT

The parties to the above-styled proceedings, Brandenburg Telephone Company; Duo County Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Highland Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; North Central Telephone Cooperative Corporation; South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; and West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. (the "Complainants") and Windstream Kentucky East, LLC (the Defendant), by counsel, hereby submit their joint status report as required by the Public Service Commission's (the "Commission") order of November 12, 2008.

STATUS REPORT

In its November 12, 2008 order, the Commission requested regular statements regarding: (1) the parties' progress toward resolving the issues in dispute; (2) the issues still unresolved; and (3) an estimated date by which the parties expect the matter to be resolved.

On December 8, 2008, Defendant Windstream Kentucky East, LLC ("Defendant") served Complainants with a motion to dismiss. Complainants are working closely with counsel to

determine the proper response in light of their hopes to continue negotiations that have thus far been productive.

Defendant's motion to dismiss notwithstanding, Complainants believe significant progress has been made toward the goal of resolving the issues in this dispute. Perhaps most notably, Complainant Highland Telephone Cooperative has continued to negotiate a new transit traffic agreement with Defendant, as Defendant acknowledged in its recent motion.

Despite these efforts, however, one central issue remains unresolved. Defendant's tariff remains in place. This issue remains troublesome for the Complainants, but the parties continue to communicate in the hopes of reaching a timely and fair resolution.

Because Complainants have not yet had time to respond to Defendant's motion to dismiss, they do not feel they are in the position to estimate a date by which the matter will be resolved. However, Complainants anticipate responding to Defendant's motion to dismiss no later than Monday, December 22, 2008, and believe that the positions of all parties will both be more clear at that time.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Selent

Edward T. Depp

Holly C. Wallace

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

1400 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 540-2300 (telephone)

(502) 585-2207 (facsimile)

COUNSEL TO COMPLAINANTS

Mark R. Overstreet

STITES & HARBISON PLLC

421 West Main Street

P.O. Box 634

Frankfort, KY 40602-0634

COUNSEL TO THE DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served by first-class United States mail on this 12th day of December, 2008, to the following individual(s):

Dennis G. Howard, II, Esq. Kentucky Attorney General's Office Suite 200 1024 Capital Center Drive Frankfort, KY 40601 John N. Hughes 124 W Todd Street Frankfort, KY 40601

Kendrick R. Riggs C. Kent Hatfield Douglas F. Brent Stoll, Keenon & Ogden PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

COUNSEL TO COMPLAINANTS