SUIT, McCARTNEY, PRICE, PRICE & RUARK

Attorneys at Law

Marvin W. Suit	Frank H. McCartney	Patrick E. Price	John C. Price	Darrell K. Ruark
207 Court Square Flemingsburg, KY 41041				Phone (606), 849-2338 Fax (606) 845-8301
				MAR 21 2007
				PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
March 21	, 2007		HAND DELIVER	ED
Executive Public Se 211 Sowe	beth O'Donnell e Director rvice Commission er Boulevard e, KY 40602			
Re: PSC	Case No. 2006-00479			

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Public Service Commission in the abovereferenced case an original and five (5) copies of the Responses of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc., to the Commission Staff's First Data Requests dated March 12, 2007.

Very truly yours,

Maria W. Suit hy

Marvin W. Suit Counsel for Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc.

Enclosures

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE TO PASS-THROUGH AN INCREASE OF ITS WHOLESALE POWER SUPPLIER PURSUANT TO KRS 278.455(2)

CASE NO. 2006-00479

)

)

)

)

RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST TO FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE DATED MARCH 12, 2007

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE

PSC CASE NO. 2006-00479

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST DATED 3/12/07

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative ("Fleming-Mason") hereby submits responses to the Commission Staff's First Data Request dated March 12, 2007. Each response with its associated supportive reference materials is individually tabbed.

(,

PSC Request 1 Page 1 of 2

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2006-00479 FIRST DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST DATED 3/12/07REQUEST 1RESPONSIBLE PERSON:William A. BostaCOMPANY:Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Request 1. Refer to the Application, Exhibits II and III.

Request 1a.Provide all workpapers, calculations, assumptions, and otherdocumentation used to determine the proposed rates and the billing analysis.

Response 1a. Attached is information from EKPC's Exhibit I, Pages 3-5, which shows the present and proposed rates and revenues by wholesale customer class for service to Fleming-Mason.

As indicated in Mr. Bosta's testimony, the demand charges for retail industrial rates mirror EKPC's proposed rates for Schedules B and C, as applicable.

The increase applicable to all other classes was based on taking the total increase to the member system, subtracting the retail industrial class increase and then dividing that amount by the kWh for all other classes. This resulted in a per unit (cents/kWh) energy cost increase that was applied to all other classes. The only exception is Electric Thermal Storage (ETS) Rate Schedule 1-A, Rate E18, which was designed based on a charge of 60 percent of the energy rate of the related rate class.

See the response to Request 1b for the calculations to determine the proposed rates.

Request 1b.Provide in electronic format the Excel spreadsheets used todetermine the proposed rates and billing analysis, with all formulas intact.

Response 1b. Based on discussion with the Commission Staff on March 19, 2007, attached are two (2) copies of the requested information on CD-ROM.

Request 1a Attachment Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT I Page 3 of 7

					•									
	Adjustment 3,443,237 3,443,237 1,527,532 1,527,532 1,578,945 1,577,500 3,645,534 1,578,534 1,578,534 1,577,116 1,577,117,116 1,577,116 1	34,663,347	8.693 3.201 31,344	13,237	·	Revenue Adjustment 141,759 104,426 104,796 104,796 500,175	395,265 0	155,420 0	171,170 0 0 0	0 681.198	1,273,652	131,186 171,509	302,695	
Present Vocal	882228882568252888	352,164,092	82.014 272.639 272.695	342,519		Total Revenue 1,224,413 1,137,868 1,198,205 6,129,124 6,129,124	4,415,198	1,808,139 0	1,900,905 0 0 0	0 8,307 ₁ 482	26,620,634	783,814,1 783,814,1	3,420,921	
pseudoj t	1		Tess Revenue 9,707 31,140 304,214	428,056		Total Reventue 1,756,172 1,756,172 1,756,172 1,767,267 1,181,002 6,629,299	4,810,452	1,961,558	2,072,016	8,988,679	28,894,285	Total Revenue 1,580,722 2,145,394	3,723,616	
	13.611.081 13.611.081 13.611.081 13.611.081 13.717.817.81 13.717.81 13.717.81 13.717.81 13.	138,659,607	Energy-OFF Revenue 36,572 14,577	194,125		Energy Revenue 1,217,867 1,55,912 821,075 780,030 4,853,038	3,256,633	1,365,236	1,352,658	6,341,923	19, 944 ,370	Energy Revenue 1,017,025	2,874,370	
l	www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww	18,574,684	Efrerty-ON Revenue 43,013 141,261	198,305		Eccess Dem Revenue 17,553 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223 8,223	149,046	Ö	251,340	132,435	905,068	inter Ercess Dem Recents - 319,835	319,991	
	Revenues 10,000	111,593,748	Demand Revence 11,152 2,221 20,213	33,626		Dennand Revenue 530,712 538,872 338,855 338,855 375,435 1,747,581	1,404,763	206,322	458,018	2,514,321	8,044,528	Firm Demand 503,010 26,244	\$2625	
-	ي ۲		2,407 5,407 1,052 9,405	15,942						. A.				
			8111-107 5,407 1,072 9,567	16,062		XS-KW 1,810 846 8,480 3,656 23,555	15,304		25,858	13,625	93,116	89688 57 <u>WY-SX</u>	86,713	
	8911-5001 910,5003,129 410,078,175 810,078,175 816,571,084 441,5770,085 574,275,072 774,075,072 774,075,075,075,075,075,075,075,075,075,075	9,170,197,674	<u>1,161,355</u> 1,161,355 482,465 4,518,465	6.282,776		000 1970 2010 1970 2010 2010 1970 2010 19700 2010 19700 2010 1970 2010 1970 2010 1970 2010 1970 2010 1970	119,181,435	48,962,903	49,502,574	232,052,348	729,894,618	18551145 18551746 FUNAFTTIB	105,191,965	
	1,888,689 1,888,689 980,788 980,788 980,788 1,0001,814 1,0000,814		1,116,256 1,116,256 3,641,263 3,647,475 3,647,475	5,155,598		BILL-KW 74,610 10,266 55,156 253,250 283,250	208,034	81,800	90,058	358,525	1,196,659	83045 83045 97289	159,313	
s	KWH-2 200,516,577 200,466 199,260,466 199,260,365 139,503,565 139,503,565 217,477,189 2278,073,594 2278,073,594 2219,464,946 521,118,713,594 2278,073,594 2277,073,594 2275,5977 2275,5977 2275,59777 2275,59777777777777777777777	4,487,656,391	CNTR-KW 0 120	120		CNTR-KW 72,800 9,420 6,500 51,500 239,723	192,700	81,800	64,200	344,900	1,103,543	<u>сыттыку</u> 88000 3800	72,600	
E-1 6.82 0.0314 0.0314	KMHL 10,222,222 222,222,420 20,707,744 1146,677 1166,770 230,905,807 230,905,807 230,905,807 230,905,807 231,537 232,537 115,846 233,115,971 233,956,893 233,956,893 234,975 235,956,974 234,975 235,956,974 235,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,956,974 236,957,974 236,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,957,974 237,974 237,974,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974 237,974,974,974 237,974,974,974,974 237,974,974,974,974,974,974,974,974,974,97	4,682,541,283	9.000	1					·	I		1		•
E-2 5.22 0.030464 0.030454	មុក្រភាគការការការការការកាននាកាកកាកក ស ក្ល ក		5.22 5.22 2.07 0.0338464 0.03398464 0.0339846 0.03398464 0.0309886 0.0309886 0.0309886 0.0309864 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.0309866 0.03000 0.0309866 0.03000 0.000000000000000000000000000	•	7.29 27.2 2.0.0273225	ក្លួយ ន ន ន ន ន ក្លាយ ន ន ន ន ន ភូមិ ន ន ន ន ន	63	ß	6			7.29 3.69 3.69 4.14 0.027355 NAME RVC LUN Tuño O-18D Alf Liquide BD		
Rate E Demand Eng-ON Eng-OFF	# -		Rate E - Interr Film Domand Interr 60 Domand Eng-OFF fing 0 Provided Fing 0 Pr		Rate B Demand Excess D Emergy	* 8 4 3 2 3 3 3 4 4 8 4 3 4 7 4 4 8 4 3 4 7 4 8 4 3 4 7 4 8 4 5 4 4 8 4 5 4 5 4 8 4 5 4 5 4 8 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4		_	88888 8		Total B	Rate B - Interr Firm Demand Interr 10 Demand Inter 50 Demand Inter 50 Demand Inter 50 Demand 1 1 21 1 1 2 37 J		

<u> Billing Units – Base Rate Revenue</u>

1.90 0.00378

80 H

Domano Energy I

Request 1a Attachment Page 2 of 3

EXHIBIT I Page 4 of 7



Request 1a Attachment Page 3 of 3

EXHIBIT I Page 5 of 7

ş

778.77	Revenue	.00	000			0000	0 43,384,220
8.554,161	Present Total Revent	88	447,336 391,688 413,078	428,336 355,980 613,860 683,112 683,112	1,197,228 325,248 517,548 288,296 288,296 410,676	1,162,992 10,219,764 426,000	
Tatal Revenue 9,332,384	Total LC Revenue	886,632 439,596	447,335 891,688 413,076 413,076	555,980 613,860 689,112 689,112	1, 197, 228 225, 248 517, 548 268, 298 268, 298 268, 298 268, 298	1,102,992 10,218,764 428,000	7,500
Dam Einergy Rama 2,543,530 6,783,794						Metantog	o manang ram ony 140,461,551 186,836,874 216,081,567
	·	-					140.4
	Revenue	886,692 439,586 447,336	991,868 413,076 428,336	965,980 613,860 689,112 474,120	517,548 517,548 517,548 268,298 268,298 0 410,676	10,219,764 426,000 7 500	10,653.264
BILL-KWH 217,090.529 Mbh-Energy 2392691.7	4605	ని ని	4 ¥ 다 I	86686	3 tz - 2	468 Metering Met Point only	ł
<u>W31,L118</u> 508,908 Mbtu-Darametra Mbtu-D439,11	2865	228 141 108	2825 5825	9c 4 88	នម្លីន នដ	2364 Mete Meti	
converted Mb	2323	866	865	1 2 8	881 18	95 55	
	125 944	Ħ		5	12	ĸ	
Dentandi 105768. 10	Meter = LC =	wa 60 ku		•	<u>៥ ជ ឌ ឌ ជ ឌ</u>		
Lennanci Efficiency 0.55763; Metu Dennen Metu Dennen Metu Load Ce	Σ Ο.	ត ដ ដ ត	886	- - -	\$ 3 8 8 J 8		

System
y Member
Revenue t
Srand Total

Present	42,526,334 24,028,185	20,221,967	18,871,430	78.922.694	19,645,505	31,936,390	51.840.182	12,034,882	22,673,225	11,716,472	314,498	11,890,088	52,390,640	510.669 020	
Proposed <u>Tota</u> f	46,242,515 25,735,642	21,945,509 46,020,253	20,459,344	86,141,167	21.325,317	S4,611,402	56,297,242	13,071,998	24,645,121	12,726,533	344,503	12, 902, 829	56,823,033	554.033.251	554,003,251
Metering Only			1500		2002	1500	1500							7,500	
Matering	39,000	18,000	18,500	34,500	30,000	18,000	49,500	15,000	005'27			ANC'R1	40,500	426,000.	
Load Center	5957627	899'166	413,076	355,980	013,850 680 117	474,120	1,197,228	242.625	040,110		440 E7E		762 7011	10,219,764	
AGC					5,305,503									5,305,583	
169	,061,065					1602,502								9,663,647	
	4					ŝ								9°8	
Gailatin	4			33,842,557		5.5								33,842,557 8,56	
	4			33,642,557		9,332,384 5.6									
	4			33,842,557		•,								33,842,557	
Inland Container Inland Steam	805,315 800,711	1,784,926 0	2,517,318			9,332,384	0	•	0	8	658,535	0		9,332,384 33,842,557	
Inland Container Inland Steam	805,315 800,711		2,517,318 0	117.223	0	/.250,238 7,869,734 9,332,364 3.456.417 5.456.417		0	0			6,568,678 0		7,889,734 9,332,384 33,842,557	
C Inland Container Inland Steem	ZZZ 807 805,315 1,242,292 800,771	1,191,002 6,629,299	0 2,517,318 6,953,856 0	0 117.223	1,961,558 0	U //202208 7,889,734 9,332,384 5. 2.072,016 3.454,417 7,889,734 9,332,384	Ð				0	2		J. 21. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	

3,716,181 1,707,457 1,723,555 3,855,955 1,853,955 1,978,8495 1,978,8495 1,978,8495 1,978,8495 1,978,8495 1,978,8495 1,978,9495 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,971,899 1,972,791 2,557,592 1,972,795 1,975,795 1,975,975,795 1,975

Rate Adj

Request 1b Attachment Page 1 of 8

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

	Total	Total	\$ Increase	% Increase
Residential	23,349,462	24,502,958	1,153,496	4.94%
Farm and Home Marketing Rate (ETS)	78,513	84,240	5,727	7.29%
Small General Service	1,311,885	1,376,789	64,904	4.95%
Large General Service	3,895,997	4,112,174	216,177	5.55%
Large General Service	3,050,766	3,252,513	201,747	6.61%
All Electric Schools	169,506	179,120	9,614	5.67%
Tennessee Gas	6,035,198	6,035,198	-	0.00%
Guardian Industries	2,949,659	3,177,659	228,000	7.73%
Dravo	6,590,930	6,973,582	382,652	5.81%
Inland Container	11,200,266	11,698,645	498,379	4.45%
Inland Steam	11,590,474	12,368,695	778,221	6.71%
Street Lighting and Security Lights	627,216	647,405	20,190	3.22%
			,	
	70,849,870	74,408,978	3,559,108	5.02%

Request 1b Attachment Page 2 of 8

1 1

Demand= Load Cntr=

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

\$78,513

\$88.02

Trad revenues

.age Bill

,			Re	hedule 1 sidential Rate 1							
	T	Existing			Proposed		\$ Increase	% increase	Increase Demand	Increase Energy	Increase Cust
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues					
Customer Charge	255,563	\$6.26	\$1,599,824	255,563	\$6.26	\$1,699,824	\$0 \$0	0.00%			\$0
Energy charge per kWh	287,961,077	\$0.06096	17,554,107	287,961,077	\$0,06497	18,707,604 336	\$1,153,496 \$0	6.57% 0.00%		\$1,153,49	16
Green Power Total from base rates			336 19,154,268			20,307,764	\$1,153,496	6.02%			
Fuel adjustment			2,403,734			2,403,734	\$0 \$0	0.00%			
Environmental surcharge			1,791,460			1,791,460	\$0	0.00%			
Total revenues			\$23,349,462			\$24,502,958	\$1,153,496	4.94%			
Average Bill			\$91,36			\$95.88	\$4,51	4.94%			
			Farm and Home	nedule 1-A e Marketing Rate (I ate E18	ETS)						
	[Existing			Proposed		\$ Increase	% Increase			
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues					
Customer Charge	892	\$6.26	\$5,584	892	\$6.26	\$5,584	\$0 \$0	0.00%			\$0
Energy charge per kWh	1,495,501	\$0.03515	52,567	1,495,501	\$0.03898	58,294	\$5,727 \$0	10,89%		\$5,7	27
Tolal from base rates			58,151			63,878	\$0 \$5,727 \$0	9.85%			
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			12,785 7,577			12,785 7,577	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%			x

\$84,240 \$94.44

\$5,727

\$6.42

7.29%

7,29%

Request 1b Attachment Page 3 of 8

Schedule 2 Small General Service Rate 2

		Existing			Proposed	S Increase	% Increase	
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	2,007	\$43.07	\$86,441	2,007	\$43.07	\$86,441	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	65,126	\$6.49	\$422,668	65,126	\$6.49	422,668	\$0 \$0 \$0	0.00%
Energy Charge	16,206,563	\$0.03515	569,661	16,206,563	\$0.03915	634,565	\$64,904	11.39%
Total Baseload Charges			\$1,078,770			\$1,143,674	\$64,904	6.02%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			132,664 100,451			132,664 100,451	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Total Revenues			\$1,311,885			\$1,376,789	\$64,904	4.95%
Average Bill			\$ 654			\$ 686	32.34	4.95%

\$0 \$0

\$64,904

\$0

\$216,177

\$0

Schedule 3
Large General Service
Rate 3

	Existing				Proposed		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	1,332	\$57.31	\$76,337	1,332	\$57.31	\$76,337	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	195,326	\$6.06	\$1,183,676	195,326	\$6.06	1,183,676	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Energy Charge	53,979,150	\$0,03526	1,903,305	53,979,150	\$0.03926	2,119,482	\$216,177	11.36%
Total Baseload Charges			\$3,163,317			\$3,379,494	\$216,177	6.83%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			437,923 294,757			437,923 294,757	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Revenues			\$3,895,997			\$4,112,174	\$216,177	5.55%
age Bill			\$ 2,925			\$ 3,087	162.30	5.55%

.

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

Schedule 3a Large General Service 1 Rate 3

				Rates				
		Existing			Proposed	\$ Increase	% Increase	
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	145	\$57.31	\$8,310	145	\$57,31	\$8,310	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	103,801	\$6.06	\$629,034	103,801	\$6,06	629,034	\$0	0.00%
Energy Charge	50,375,897	\$0.03526	1,776,254	50,375,897	\$0.03926	1,978,001	\$0 \$201,747	11.36%
Total Baseload Charges		-	\$2,413,598		-	\$2,615,345	\$201,747	8,36%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			406,069 231,099			406,069 231,099	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Total Revenues		:	\$3,050,766		,	\$3,252,513	\$201,747	6,61%
Average Bill			\$ 21,040			\$ 22,431	1,391.36	6.61%

				nedule AES ectric Schools Rate 4				
		Existing			Proposed		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	24	\$56.77	\$1,362	24	\$56.77	\$1,362	\$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0	8,236	\$0.00	-	\$0 \$0 \$0	
Energy Charge	2,400,600	\$0.05692	136,642	2,400,600	\$0.06092	146,256	\$9,614	7.04%
 Baseload Charges 			\$138,005			\$147,619	\$9,614	6.97%
adjustment Environmental surcharge			28,304 3,197			28,304 3,197	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Total Revenues			\$169,506			\$179,120	\$9,614	5.67%
Average Bill			\$ 7,063			\$ 7,463	400.58	5.67%

\$0

\$201,747

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$9,614

\$0

\$0

•** .

Contract Rate Tennessee Gas

,

	[Existing		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Proposed	,	\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	12	\$56.77	\$681	12	\$56.77	\$681	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	300,000	\$1.75	\$525,000	300,000	\$1.75	526,000	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Energy Charge Customer Adder	88,570,870 88,570,870	\$0.05692 0.002	5,041,454 177,142	88,570,870 88,570,870	\$0.05692 \$0.00200	5,041,454 177,142	\$0	0.00%
Total Baseload Charges	001010101010		\$5,744,277	001010,010		\$5,744,277	\$0	0.00%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge		-	0 290,921			0 290,921	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Total Revenues		#	\$6,035,198		=	\$6,035,198	\$0	0.00%
Average Bill			\$ 502,933			\$ 502,933	-	0.00%

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

Schedule LIS 6 B Guardian Industries Rate LIS 6 B

		Existing			Proposed		\$ increase	% increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	12	\$1,069.00	\$12,828	12	\$1,069	\$12,828	50 \$0	0.00%
Demand Charge	120,000	\$5.39	\$646,800	120,000	\$7.29	874,800	\$228,000 \$0	35.25%
Energy Charge	53,077,372	\$0.03085	1,637,437	53,077,372	\$0.03085	1,637,437	\$0	0.00%
Baseload Charges		-	\$2,297,065			\$2,525,065	\$228,000	9,93%
ruel adjustment Environmental surcharge		-	426,986 225,608			426,986 225,608	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Total Revenues			\$2,949,659			\$3,177,659	\$228,000	7.73%
Average Bill			\$ 245,805			\$ 264,805	19,000.00	7.73%

\$228,000

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$382,652

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2005

Schedule LIS 6 Dravo Rate LIS 6

			R	ate LIS 6				
		Existing			Proposed		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	12	\$0.00	\$0	12	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	
Demand Charge	201,396	\$5.39	\$1,085,524	201,396	\$7.29	1,468,177	\$382,652 \$0	35.25%
Energy Charge	128,158,165	\$0.03085	3,953,679	128,158,165	\$0.03085	3,953,679	\$0	0.00%
Total Baseload Charges			\$5,039,204			\$5,421,856	\$382,652	7.59%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			1,048,782 502,944			1,048,782 502,944.	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
Total Revenues		z	\$6,590,930			\$6,973,582	\$382,652	5.81%
Average Bill			\$ 549,244			\$ 581,132	31,887.70	5.81%

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

Contract Inland Container

		Existing	·		Proposed		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues		
Customer Charge	12	\$4,605.00	\$55,260	12	\$4,605	\$55,260		0,00%
Demand Charge	325,738	\$5.39	\$1,755,728	325,738	\$6.92	2,254,107	498,379	28.39%
- rgy Charge	220,486,205	\$0.02756	6,076,600	220,486,205	\$0.02756	6,076,600	~	0.00%
mer Adder ., Baseload Charges		•	\$7,887,588		-	\$8,385,967	498,379	6.32%
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			1,654,414 1,658,264			1,654,414 1,658,264	-	0.00% 0.00%
Total Revenues		I	\$11,200,266		-	\$11,698,645	498,379	4.45%
Average Bill			\$ 933,355			\$ 974,887	41,532	4.45%

\$0

\$0

\$0

1,671,856 0.004004827

Ener Rate

Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

Contract Inland Steam

							_					
		Existing			Proposed		\$ increase	% Increase]			
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues	Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues						
Customer Charge	12	\$0.00	\$0	12	\$0	\$0			Eff Factor			\$0
Demand Charge	4,394.11	\$419.51	\$1,765,378	4,394	\$604.44	2,543,599	778,221	44.08%	0.95768	ə \$0		
Energy Charge	2,392,681.7	\$2.96396	\$6,788,794	2,392,682	\$2,96396	6,788,794	-	0.00%	0.95727:	2	\$0	
Total Baseload Charges			\$8,554,172			\$9,332,393	778,221	9.10%	5			
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			2,025,897 1,010,405			2,025,897 1,010,405	•	0.00% 0.00%				
Total Revenues			\$11,590,474			\$12,368,695	778,221	6.71%	\$			
Average Bill			\$ 965,873			\$ 1,030,725	64,852	6.71%	6			
	Total		\$70,849,870		Diff	\$74,408,978 \$3,559,108	\$3,559,108 \$243,680	Inland El Load Cntr		610,652	1,651,868	-
	Total (less TGP,I	nlands)	\$42,023,933		Diff	\$44,306,441 \$2,282,508				KWh Non-Dem 417,460,126	Parts	Diff
									Total Incr Dem Incr Cust Incr	2,282,508 610,652	2,282,508	(0)
									Energy Incr	1,671,856		

Request 1b Attachment Page 8 of 8

Fleming-Mason

Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006

Street Lighting and Security Lights Outdoor Lighting

		Existir	ו <u>ק</u>			Propose	ed I		\$ Increase	% Increase
	Billing	Total kWh	Current	Annualized	Billing	Total kWh		Annualized		
	Determinants		Rate	Revenues	Determinants	L	Rate	Revenues		
VAPOR	30,193	2,083,317	\$6.32	\$190,819.76	30,193	2,083,317	\$6.60	199,163	8,343	4.37%
Y VAPOR	72	2,808	\$12.03	\$866.16	72	2,808	\$12.19	877	11	1.30%
) HPS	57,398	2,238,522	\$6.36	\$365,051.28	57,398	2,238,522	\$6.52	374,016	8,965	2,46%
TAL HPS	108	4,212	\$13.84	\$1,494.72	. 108	4,212	\$14.00	1,512	17	1.13%
VAL HPS	2,347	91,533	\$6.44	\$15,114.68	2,347	91,533	\$6.60	15,481	367	2.43%
RD HPS	513	41,553	\$8.85	\$4,540.05	513	41,553	\$9,17	4,706	166	3.67%
NTAL HPS	156	12,636	\$16.35	\$2,550.60	156	12,636	\$16,67	2,601	51	1.98%
ONAL HPS	945	76,545	\$8,67	\$8,193.15	945	76,545	\$8.99	8,500	307	3.74%
RD HPS	12	1,944	\$13.05	\$156.60	12	1,944	\$13.70	164	8	4,97%
ONAL HPS	3,014	488,268	\$12.75	\$38,428.50	3,014	488,268	\$13.40	40,384	1,955	5.09%
	94,758	5,041,338		\$627,215.50	94,758	5,041,338		647,405	20,190	3.22%

7000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR 20000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR 9500 LUMEN STANDARD HPS 9500 LUMEN ORNAMENTAL HPS 9500 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS 22,000 LUMEN STANDARD HPS 22,000 LUMEN ORNAMENTAL HPS 50,000 LUMEN STANDARD HPS 50,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS

. .

PSC Request 2 Page 1 of 4

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2006-00479 FIRST DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST DATED 3/12/07REQUEST 2RESPONSIBLE PERSON:William A. BostaCOMPANY:Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Request 2. KRS 278.455(2) provides that a distribution cooperative may change its rates to reflect a change in the rate of its wholesale supplier if the effects of an increase or decrease are allocated to each class and within each tariff on a proportional basis that will result in no change in the rate design currently in effect. 807 KAR 5:007, Section 2(2), provides that the distribution cooperative shall file an analysis demonstrating that the rate change does not change the rate design currently in effect and the revenue change has been allocated to each class and within each tariff on a proportional basis. In the cover letter to its Application, Fleming-Mason states:

In each instance, the retail rates for a particular class have been developed in a manner that is consistent with the method proposed by EKPC. The proposed rate design structure at retail does not change the rate design currently in effect and is consistent with the rate design methodology used at wholesale.

Request 2a.For each retail Rate Schedule listed in Exhibit II of theApplication, identify the corresponding wholesale Rate Schedule of East KentuckyPower Cooperative, Inc.

Response 2a. Please see the attached information.

Request 2b.Would Fleming-Mason agree that KRS 278.455(2) and 807 KAR5:007, Section 2(2), require that increases or decreases in rates from the wholesalesupplier must be allocated to each retail class and within each retail tariff on aproportional basis? Explain the response.

Response 2b. Yes. EKPC and each Member System understands these requirements and have developed proposed rates that meet the intent of KRS 278.455(2) and 807 KAR5:007. As explained in Mr. Bosta's testimony, EKPC began the rate design process at wholesale by allocating the proposed rate increase to each rate class on a proportional basis. The proportional increase to each rate class was then applied to the most appropriate rate mechanism for each rate class.

The proposed increase at retail is strictly a pass-through of EKPC's increased wholesale costs and each Member System must recover the dollar increase from new wholesale rates. As a result, EKPC and each Member System recognized that it was important to implement retail rates that mirror the change at wholesale, while meeting the proportionality and rate design requirements.

EKPC and its Member Systems understand that a "pure" proportional increase at retail, as discussed in Item 3 herein, would result in increases at retail to customer, demand and energy charges. However, EKPC and its Member Systems came to the conclusion that, for example, an increase in the customer charge at retail made no sense because the wholesale increase had no relationship to customer cost. EKPC has not proposed an increase in its substation charges or metering point charges in this proceeding. Consequently, EKPC and its Member Systems could not justify increasing the retail customer charge when the wholesale increase has no relationship to that cost.

Therefore, EKPC believes that its proposed wholesale increase using a proportional basis, coupled with the use of the wholesale rate design methodology at retail, is a reasonable approach to meeting the intent of the requirements.

Request 2c.Would Fleming-Mason agree that KRS 278.455(2) and 807 KAR5:007, Section 2(2), require that the retail rate change does not change the retail ratedesign currently in effect? Explain the response.

Response 2c. Yes. EKPC and its Member Systems understand the requirements set forth in KRS 278.455(2) and 807 KAR 5:007 and believe that the proposed rates do not alter the existing rate design structure at retail.

As indicated in the response to Item 2b, the rate design used for the pass-through increase at retail was intended to meet these requirements, while also maintaining the existing wholesale/retail rate design relationship and recognizing cost causation principles.

Industrial customers at retail, for example, will pay the same demand charge as the Member System pays to EKPC. This maintains the rate design relationship from wholesale to retail that has existed for a number of years. Likewise, the proposed increase in the "E" wholesale rate, which is only applied to the energy charge, is being passed through only to the energy charge at retail. This process allows the rate design relationship from wholesale to retail to remain in place.

Fundamentally, for every retail rate class, there has been no change in the rate design structure. The demand, energy, and customer components for industrial rates remains intact and the residential and commercial rate design structure remains as is through a continuation of the customer and energy charge structure. This adherence to the rate design structure, coupled with a retention of the wholesale to retail rate design relationship, is a reasonable approach and meets the legal requirements.

Request 2a Attachment Page 1 of 2

The present and proposed rates structures of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative are listed below:

	EKPC		
Rate Class	Rate Schedule	Present	Proposed
Residential & Small Power – Sch RSP	E-2		
Customer charge per month		\$6.26	\$6.26
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.06096	\$0.06497
Residential & Small Power – Sch RSP-ETS	E-2		
Energy charge for all kWh		\$0.03657	\$0.03898
Small General Service – Sch SGS	E-2		
Customer charge per meter		\$43.07	\$43.07
Demand charge per kW		\$6.49	\$6.49
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03515	\$0.03915
Large General Service – Sch LGS	E-2		
Customer charge per meter		\$57.31	\$57.31
Demand charge per kW		\$6.06	\$6.06
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03526	\$0.03926
Outdoor Lighting Service – Sch OLS	E-2		
Mercury Vapor – 7,000 Lumens – Std Service		\$6.32	\$6.60
Mercury Vapor – 7,000 Lumens – Ornamental Service		\$14.97	\$15.25
Mercury Vapor – 20,000 Lumens – Std Service		\$12.03	\$12.19
Mercury Vapor - 20,000 Lumens - Ornamental Service		\$19.54	\$19.70
High Pressure Sodium – 9,500 Lumens – Std Service		\$6.36	\$6.52
High Pressure Sodium - 9,500 Lumens - Ornamental Ser	vice	\$13.84	\$14.00
High Pressure Sodium - 9,500 Lumens - Directional Ser	vice	6.44	\$6.60
High Pressure Sodium - 22,000 Lumens - Std Service		\$8.85	\$9.17
High Pressure Sodium - 22,000 Lumens - Ornamental Se	ervice	\$16.35	\$16.67
High Pressure Sodium – 22,000 Lumens – Directional Se	rvice	\$8.67	\$8.99
High Pressure Sodium – 50,000 Lumens – Std Service		\$13.05	\$13.70
High Pressure Sodium – 50,000 Lumens – Ornamental Se		\$20.15	\$20.80
High Pressure Sodium - 50,000 Lumens - Directional Se	rvice	\$12.75	\$13.40
All Electric School	E-2		
Customer charge		\$56.77	\$56.77
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.05692	\$0.06092
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 1	С		
Customer charge per meter		\$535.00	\$535.00
Demand charge per kW		\$7.82	\$9.72
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03629	\$0.03629
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 2	С		
Customer charge per meter		\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per kW		\$7.82	\$9.72
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03304	\$0.03304
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 3	С		
Customer charge per meter		\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per kW		\$6.39	\$8.64
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03198	\$0.03198
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 4	C	** **	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Customer charge per meter		\$535.00	\$535.00
Demand charge per kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03812	\$0.03812

Request 2a Attachment Page 2 of 2

i.

Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 5	С		
Customer charge per meter	·	\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03487	\$0.03487
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 6	С		
Customer charge per meter		\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03085	\$0.03085
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 4B	В		
Customer charge per meter		\$535.00	\$535.00
Demand charge per contract kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Demand charge in excess of contract		\$7.82	\$9.72
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03812	\$0.03812
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 5B	В		
Customer charge per meter		\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per contract kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Demand charge in excess of contract		\$7.82	\$9.72
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03487	\$0.03487
Large Industrial Service – Sch LIS 6B	В		
Customer charge per meter		\$1,069.00	\$1,069.00
Demand charge per contract kW		\$5.39	\$7.29
Demand charge in excess of contract		\$7.82	\$9.72
Energy charge per kWh		\$0.03085	\$0.03085
Special Contract – Inland Container	G		
Customer Charge		\$4,605.00	\$4,605.00
Demand Charge per kW		\$5.39	\$6.92
Energy Charge per kWh		\$0.02756	\$0.02756
Special Contract – Inland Steam	Special Contract		
Demand Charge per MMBTU		\$419.51	\$604.44
Energy Charge per MMBTU		\$2.964	\$2.964
Special Contract – Tennessee Gas	Special Contract		
Customer Charge		\$56.77	\$56.77
Demand Charge per kW		\$1.75	\$1.75
Energy Charge per kWh		\$0.05692	\$0.05692

ĺ



PSC Request 3 Page 1 of 2

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE PSC CASE NO. 2006-00479 FIRST DATA REQUEST RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST DATED 3/12/07REQUEST 3RESPONSIBLE PERSON:William A. BostaCOMPANY:Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Request 3. Refer to Exhibit III of the Application.

<u>Request 3a.</u> Prepare the following comparative analyses of Fleming-Mason's present and proposed revenues:

(1) Calculate the percentage that each rate schedule or class represents of the total revenues for both the present revenues and proposed revenues. Percentages should be expressed to 2 decimal places.

(2) Calculate the percentage that each component of the base rates within each rate schedule or class represents of the total base rate revenues for both the present revenues and proposed revenues. Do not include fuel adjustment revenues, environmental surcharge revenues, or green power revenues. Percentages should be expressed to 2 decimal places.

Response 3a.(1) Please see the attached information.(2) Please see the attached information.

Request 3b. Based upon the results of the analyses prepared in part (a) above, explain in detail how Fleming-Mason's proposed pass-through rates are in compliance with the retail rate requirements of KRS 278.455(2) and 807 KAR 5:007, Section 2(2).

Response 3b. Maintaining the existing revenue proportion as shown in part (a) above assumes that the proportionality requirement would follow strict adherence to the existing proportion of revenues at retail, by rate mechanism component (i.e. customer, energy and demand). EKPC and the Member Systems believe that the proportionality requirement is not so narrow and that the pass-through at retail has followed the proposed wholesale rate design process in a proportional manner. At retail, for example, there is no increase in the customer charge because EKPC did not increase the metering point charge or substation charge at wholesale. Moreover, the "B" and "C" type retail industrial classes will have the same demand rate as the proposed demand rate for industrial customers at wholesale. It follows the matching concept upon which these rates were originally created.

See also the response to Item 2(b) and 2(c) herein. KRS 278.455(2) explicitly recognizes "proportional" allocation without recognizing a specific method, whether KWh, revenue, or other means of proportionality. EKPC has chosen the proportional method of applying wholesale to retail, with the intended matching concept of costs vs. revenue. The retail rates reflect this top-down approach to proportionality. Please see the attached analysis which illustrates this approach.

		1000 million and a second seco				Dogitort 30
	Fleming-Mason			ourdente (request og 1
	Billing Analysis			ne blink bown	*** -	Attachment
for the 12 months ended :	ths ended Septembe	September 30, 2006				Page 1 of 1
					Prresent	Proposed
					Revenue	Revenue
	Total	Total	\$ Increase	% Increase	Percent	Percent
Residential	23,349,462	24,502,958	1,153,496	4.94%	32.96%	32.93%
Farm and Home Marketing Rate (ETS)	78,513	84,240	5,727	7.29%	0.11%	0.11%
Small General Service	1,311,885	1,376,789	64,904	4.95%	1.85%	1.85%
Larde General Service	3,895,997	4,112,174	216,177	5.55%	5.50%	5.53%
Large Industriial Service 1	3,050,766	3,252,513	201,747	6.61%	4.31%	4.37%
All Electric Schools	169,506	179,120	9,614	5.67%	0.24%	0.24%
Tennessee Gas	6,035,198	6,035,198	1	0.00%	8.52%	8.11%
Guardian Industries	2,949,659	3,177,659	228,000	7.73%	4.16%	4.27%
Dravo	6,590,930	6,973,582	382,652	5.81%	9.30%	9.37%
Inland Container	11,200,266	11,698,645	498,379	4.45%	15.81%	15.72%
Inland Steam	11,590,474	12,368,695	778,221	6.71%	16.36%	16.62%
Street Lighting and Security Lights	627,216	647,405	20,190	3.22%	0.89%	0.87%
	70,849,870	74,408,978	3,559,108	5.02%	- 	100.00%

Summary, Req 3a1 Fl Mason.xls

i,

1 of 1

														1		
			Fleming-					1						Demanda	erizebet en b erneren en	1
		for the	Billing A 12 months ended	nalys I Sej	sis ptember 30, 2006						Request 3a 2 Attachment			Load Cnir=	······	1
			Sched								Page 1 of 5					
			Reside	Intial							Present	Proposed				
]	7	Rale		1			Ţ			Percent	Percent		Increase	Increase	Increase
		Existing				Proposed		+	\$ Increase	% Increase	Revenue	Revenue		Demand	Energy	Cust
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Billing Determinants	Current Rale	Annualized Revenues		Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues	-		~~~~						
	Determinativa	Trace 1		_	Doctrimento					(and a)	an an air					
Customer Charge	255,563	\$6.26	\$1,599,824		255,563	\$6.26	\$1,599,824	1	\$0	0.00%	8.35%	7.88%				\$0
Energy charge per kWh	287,961,077	\$0.06096	17,554,107		287,961,077	\$0.0649657	18,707,604	1	\$0 \$1,153,498	#DIV/01 6.57%	91,65%	92.12%			\$1,153,490	3
Green Power Total from base rates			336 19,154,268			·····	336 20,307,764	-	\$0 \$1,153,496	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	1.5			
Fuel adjustment			2,403,734				2,403,734	-+-	\$0 \$0	#DIV/0! 0.00%	·····					
Environmental surcharge			1,791,460	_	and a second device of hitself war		1,791,460		\$0	0,00%			1.0.016.000		·····	
Total revenues			\$23,349,462				\$24,502,958	_	\$1,153,498	4,94%						
Averago Bill			\$91.36				\$95.88		\$4.51	4.94%						1.45
			Fleming-	Mas	ion						-1-4176					+
a fan de seu ar se an en		for the	Billing A 12 months ende	naly	sis				******			······································				
e for fan al fele fennen en werden en de fele ander en de fele de fele de fele de fele de fele de fele de fele Inselferen anne anne en en de fele de f								1								
٠	and a further the second se	Fa	Schedu m and Home Ma	rketi	ng Rate (ETS)		······································									
- 			Rate	±18	I				·····							
		Existing				Proposed		-	S Increase	% Increase	i			<u> </u>	1	-
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues		Billing Determinants	Rate	Annualized Revenues	7								
n a far an	we wremiding							****				and work salar (Maraan		and a first strategy and a strategy		
Customer Charge	892	\$6.26	\$5,584		892	\$6.26	\$5,584		<u>\$0</u>	0.00%	9.60%	8.74%			1	\$0
Energy charge per kWh	1,495,501	\$0.03515	52,567	~~~~~	1,495,501	\$0.038979	58,294		\$0 \$5,727	#DIV/0I 10,89%	90.40%	91.26%			\$5,72	7
Total from base rates			58,151			·····	63,878		\$0 \$5,727	#DIV/01 9.85%	100,00%	100.00%			<u> </u>	
Fuel adjustment			12,785				12,785	_	\$0 \$0	#DIV/01 0.00%		de Collectures				
Environmental surcharge			7,577			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	7,577		\$0	0.00%	and a share for the second state of the second			and the state of t		
Total revenues			\$78,513				\$84,240		\$5,727	7.29%				**************************************		
Average Bill			\$88.02	-			\$94.44		\$6.42	7.29%					<u> </u>	
			Fleming	Ma	son											
	1 - 0 = 0.05 mm		Billing A	naly	sis						······································	1999 - 19		anda era de la de la desenverante d		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		12 months ende							Ļ.						
			Scheo Small Gene													
ana si sa dan dina sa matang manang manan	1	1	Rat			·······	1			1						
a albana (A (A albana) da Anno da Anno andre andre a da Anno d I a Changa a changa anno de anno anno an		Existing	[Proposed	1		\$ Increase	% Increase						
	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues		Billing Determinants	Rale	Annualized Revenues									
						\$43.07	\$86,441		\$0	0.00%	8.01%	7.56%		6		\$(
Customer Charge	2,007	\$43.07	\$86,441		2,007				\$0	#DIV/01						
Demand Charge	65,126	\$6.49	\$422,668		65,126	\$6.49	422,668		\$0 \$0	#DIV/0	39.18%	38.96%		\$C		
Energy Charge	16,206,563	\$0.03515	569,861		16,206,563	\$0.0391548	634,565	****	\$64,904		52,81%		<u> </u>		\$64,90	4
Total Baseload Charges			\$1,078,770				\$1,143,674		\$64,904	6.02%	100.00%	100.00%	,			
Fuel adjustment			132,664 100,451				132,664		\$0	0.00%						
Environmental surcharge				[]				1			1					
Total Revenues							100,451		\$0	0.00%						
			\$1,311,885				\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
Average Sill									\$0	0.00%						
			\$1,311,805 \$654		S00		\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
			\$1,311,885 \$654 Fleming Billing	-Ma	vsis		\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
		for th	\$1,311,885 \$654 Fleming Billing / e 12 months ende	-Ma Analy	vsis aptember 30, 200	1000 - 10	\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
		in the second seco	\$1,311,885 \$654 Fileming Billing a 12 months ender Sche Large Gen	-Ma Analy Ind So Jule	vsis aptember 30, 200 3	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
		For th	\$1,311,885 \$654 Fileming Billing a 12 months ender Sche Large Gen	-Ma Analy Ind St	vsis aptember 30, 200 3	5	\$1,376,789		\$0 \$64,904	0.00% 4.95%						
		For the Existing	\$1,311,885 \$654 Fileming Billing a 12 months ender Sche Large Gen	-Ma Analy Ind So Jule	vsis aptember 30, 200 3	8 	\$1,376,789		<u>\$0</u> <u>\$64,904</u> <u>32,34</u>	0.00% 4.95%						
	8////19	Existing	\$1,311,685 \$654 Fleming Billing e 12 months end Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized	-Ma Analy Ind So Jule	vsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing	Proposed	\$1,376,789 \$ 685		<u>\$0</u> <u>\$64,904</u> <u>32,34</u>	0.00%						
	Billing Determinants	Existing	\$1,311,885 \$654 Fleming 8 liling a 12 months endr Sche Large Gen Ra	-Ma Analy Ind So Jule	vsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service		\$1,376,789 \$686		<u>\$0</u> <u>\$64,904</u> <u>32,34</u>	0.00%						
		Existing	\$1,311,685 \$654 Fleming Billing e 12 months end Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized	-Ma Analy Ind So Jule	vsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing	Proposed	\$1,376,789 \$ 685		\$0, \$64,504 32.34 \$ increase \$ increase \$ so	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95%		2.209				
Average Bill	Determinants 1,332	Existing Current Rate	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Billing 12 months ende Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337	-Ma Anah Idule Prai 1 Ie 3	rsis ptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants	Proposed Rate	\$1,376,789 \$686 Annualized Revenues \$76,337		\$0, \$64,904 32.34 \$ increase \$ increase \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 0,	0.00% 4.95%	2.419					
Average Bill	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Billing / 12 months ende Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues. \$76,337 \$1,183,676	-Ma Anah dule eral 1 le 3	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 <i>Annualized</i> Revenues \$76,337 1,133,676		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ increase \$ increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00% 5.00% #DIV/0] 6.00%	2.419 37.429	35.035	6			
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge	Determinants 1,332	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Biling 12 months endr Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305	-Ma Analy ed So dule eral S re 3	vsis ptember 30, 200 3 Service <i>Billing</i> Determinants 1,332	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Annualized Revonues \$76,337 1,183,676 2,119,482		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ Increase \$ Increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$216,177	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00% 5.00% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00%	2.419 37.429 60.177	35.039	6 6		2 2 5 2 1 5 2 16,1	
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Billing a 12 months end Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305 \$3,163,317	-Me Analy dule eral 1 e 3	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Aanualized Revenues \$76,337 1,183,676 2,119,482 \$3,379,494		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ increase \$ increase \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$216,177	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 7% Increase 0.00% #DIV/0 11.36% 6.83%	2.419 37.429 60.173 100.009	35.039	6 6			
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Biling 12 months endr Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305	-Me Main d St tule eral 1 e 3	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Annualized Revonues \$76,337 1,183,676 2,119,482	-	\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ Increase \$ Increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$216,177	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00% 5.00% #DIV/0] 0.00% #DIV/0] 11.38% 0.00% 5.00%	2.419 37.429 60.175 100.009	35.039	6 6			
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Fuel adjustment Environmental sucharge	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Biling e 12 months ends Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305 \$3,163,317 437,923 294,767	-Ma Anah Itule Itule Baral Itule	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Annualized Revenues \$76,337 1,183,676 2,119,482 \$3,379,494 437,923 294,757		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ Increase \$ Increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$216,177 \$216,177 \$0 \$0	0.00% 4.95%	2.419 37.429 60.177 100.009	35.039	6 6			
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Fuel adjustment Environmental succharge Revenues Revenues	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Billing a 12 months end Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305 \$3,163,317 437,923 294,757 \$3,895,997	-Ma Analy d Si fule eral 1 re 3	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Aanualized Revenues \$76,337 1,103,676 2,119,482 \$3,379,494 437,923 294,757 \$4,112,174		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$216,177 \$216,177	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00% 5.55%	2.419 37.429 60.179 100.009	35.039	6 6			
Average Bill Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Fuel adjustment Environmental sucharge	Determinants 1,332 195,326	Existing Current Rate \$57.31 \$6.06	\$1,311,885 \$ 654 Fleming Biling e 12 months ends Sche Large Gen Ra Annualized Revenues \$76,337 \$1,183,676 1,903,305 \$3,163,317 437,923 294,767	-Ma Analy d Si fule eral 1 re 3	rsis aptember 30, 200 3 Service Billing Determinants 1,332 195,326	Proposed Rate \$57.31	\$1,376,789 \$686 Annualized Revenues \$76,337 1,183,676 2,119,482 \$3,379,494 437,923 294,757		\$0 \$64,904 32.34 \$ Increase \$ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	0.00% 4.95% 4.95% 4.95% 5.00% 5.00% 70 Increase 0.00% 70 Increase 70 Increase	2.419 37.429 60.179 100.009	35.039	6 6			

					·······		·····							÷		
		hana bar se artisekan ado sebina ordek	. V Z M drug (di C Martin di an Fasti anti a an Andra Barrach - Ar						en marin) el ersitered ell'andede	ubala/adalamakedamarama						
		indef an ann an 12 an thur to do an thu														
	w land model descended on a database of a	den et a din character et a dinee freda	Fleming Billing A						underland and an obligation of second served		Request 3a 2 Attachment					
	na ha an	for the	12 months ende	d Se	eplember 30, 200	3	*******	-Conductor Economica	*********		Page 2 of 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Construction book for the of the set of service of	*****	Schedu	do 2	~?		anent terreter tonate a testant		Antonina and a state of the state				a. 2010/07/06/00/00			
			Large Industr	iliat :	Service 1		******	^686. . .					2		·····	
	·····		Rat		·····	ana a sa ana ana la madandi sa bitana/ s								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A same and a ball and a family of the
		Existing	L	İ_		Proposed	1	Ţ	\$ Increase	% Increase						
	Billing	Current	Annualized		Billing		Annualized				anach marantitische brahafstatischehorens	daaandi si kulumbaa sheeba waxaa kaara				110 ⁻² (120-14)-16(0)-1
	Determinants	Rate	Revenues		Determinants	Rate	Revenues	+								
una secola de acastera da calencia da secola esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est					259144444666449356644444444	rh-m										a under and description due to the first
Customer Charge	145	\$57.31	\$8,310		145	\$67,31	\$8,310	1	\$0	0.00%	0.34%	0.32%				\$0
	103,801	\$6.06	\$629,034	ļ	103,801	\$6.06	629,034		\$0 \$0	#DIV/0! 0.00%	26.06%	24.05%	-h.m.h.	\$0		
Demand Charge			3029,034	+	103,001	30.00	029,034	+	\$0	#DIV/0						
Energy Charge	50,375,897	\$0.03526	1,776,254		50,375,897	\$0.0392648	1,978,001)	\$201,747	11.38%	73.59%	75.63%			\$201.747	
Total Baseload Charges		~~/~~~~	\$2,413,598	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$2,615,345)	\$201,747	8.36%	100.00%	100.00%				
				[
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge		hoosaa holoodoloos hales ha (a	406,069 231,099				406,069 231,099		\$0 \$0	0.00%						
	- Carl			1												
Total Revenues			\$3,050,766				\$3,252,513		\$201,747	6.61%			1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			
Average Bill			\$ 21,040	1-		1	\$ 22,431		1,391.36	6.61%		**************************************	L	1		
			L	1		V=//admandsarddadaaa				ļ		Store and Store and				aansintan labatnamba beterete
		··		:			[······································	L			<u> </u>			
**************************************					Parishese with American Science							10000 constanti do constante en 1000		\		
	Į									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
			Fleming	g-Mo	150A		·····					Samulation Contemption (
		for I	he 12 months end	ed S	ysis September 30, 200	3				·····		- Carlas - Austania Carlos - Manufactur Man - Manufact - Alexandra - Manufactur				
······································	l		Schedu	le A	L	·······					ar u anë mu si danë dobë ar 1021/201	azutuen mater h 10 mars 1 anto				
A set of the set of			All Electri	IC Se	chools	1999										
······································	1	I	Ra	te 4		for Galacteria de Caracteria de Car	····	r1		1						
en selection de Administra de Administration de Constante de Administration de la Constante de Constante de Const Aux manages paramèters de constante		Existing	J			Proposed			\$ Increase	% Increase		an an an air an the Provincian and				
	Billing	Current	Annualized		Billing		Annualized								<u> </u>	······
	Determinants	Rate	Revenues		Determinants	Rate	Revenues						a and a fair of the shift of the	at shifting the sector to the sector to the		`
				+-					·			Ļ				ļ
												1				
Customer Charge	24	\$56,77	\$1,362	1	24	\$56.77	\$1,362		\$0		0.99%	0.92%		in anderstandig a land scher beiden Alexandra ander In anderstande ein scher an einer Alexandra ander		\$0
							and her Plant a second address of the		\$0	#DIV/0I				2		so
Demand Charge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0		8,236	\$0.00			\$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01	0.00%	0.00%				
		\$0.00							\$0 \$0	#DIV/01 #DIV/01	0.00%	0.00%		2 - John	\$9,814	
Demand Charge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0		8,236	\$0.00			\$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/01 #DIV/01 #DIV/01	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far			
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005		8,236	\$0.00	146,256		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614	#DIV/0i #DIV/0i #DIV/0i 7.04% 6.97%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far			
Demand Charge Energy Charge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642		8,236	\$0.00	146,256		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614	#DIV/0I #DIV/0I #DIV/0I 7.04%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far			
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges 'djustment mmental surcharge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0I #DIV/0I #DIV/0I 7.04% 6.97% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$ Solution of the second seco		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far			
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges 'djustment mmental surcharge	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0I #DIV/0I #DIV/0I 7.04% 6.97% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	0		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	0		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$000 \$000 \$000 \$000 \$000 \$000 \$000 \$00		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	300		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$ 7,063		8,236	\$0.00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	300		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 Flemin Billing	g-Mana	8,236 2,460,600	\$0,00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$Q		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$ 7,083 Flemin Billing he 12 months end	g-Mana Jed J	8,236 2,400,600	\$0,00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$Q		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 Flemin Billing Billing Contra	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,490,600	\$0,00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$0 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$ 7,083 Flemin Billing he 12 months end	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,490,600	\$0,00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/08 #DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	\$0 		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 Flemin Billing Billing Contra	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,490,600	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0,804 400,55	PDIV/01 PDI	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	300		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 Flemin Billing Billing Contra	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,490,600	\$0,00	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	PDIV/01 PDIV/0	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	300		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236 2,400,600	\$0.00 \$0.05692 	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 Flemin Billing the 12 months ens Contra Tennes	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,400,600,600 2,400,600,600 2,400,600,600,600,600,600,600,600,600,600	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,463		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0,804 400,55	PDIV/01 PDI	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far	300		
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Yotal Revenues	8,236	\$0.00 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 Flemin Billing He 12 months of the second Flemines	g-Mi Ang Jed J	8,236 2,490,600	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$7,463 		\$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0,804 400,55	PDIV/01 PDI	0.00%	0.00%	a ta farfarda na ta far			
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Successful Successful Suc	8,236 2,400,600 8,100 8,100 Determinants	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for the second	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$ 7,063 Flemin Gilling he 12 months enc Contra Tennes	g-Ma Ang Jed	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 9,200 2,000 9,2000 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,2	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463		S0 \$0 \$0,0000 \$0,0000 \$	#DIV/01 #DIV/01 7.04% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.67% 5.67%	0.00%	0.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mmental surcharge Total Revenues	8,236 2,400,600 8,110 8,1110 Determinants	\$0.00 \$0.05692 	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$169,506 \$7,083 \$169,506 \$160,506 \$160,50	g-Mi	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 8,0000 8,0000 8,000 8,000 8,0000 8,0000 8,0000	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463		S0 S0 S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0,	PDIVIOI #DIVIOI #DIVIOI 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00% 5.67% 5.67%	0.00%	0.00%			\$5,814	
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Sustment nmental surcharge Total Revenues Average Bill	8,236 2,400,600 8,100 8,100 Determinants	\$0.00 \$0.05692 	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$160,506 \$160,5	g-Mi	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 9,200 2,000 9,2000 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,200 9,2	\$0.00 \$0.06092	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463 \$ 7,463		\$0 \$0 \$0,50 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0,50\$\$0,50\$\$	PDIV/01 PDIV/01 PDIV/01 7.04% 6.97% 0.00% 0.00% 5.67% 5.67% 5.67% 5.67%		0.00%		30	\$5,814	
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Jotal Baseload Charges Jotal Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge	8,236 2,400,600 8,110 8,1110 Determinants	\$0.00 \$0.05692 \$0.05692 For the second se	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$7,063 \$169,506 \$7,063	g-Ma Ana Ana Ana Ana Ana Ana Ana Ana	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 8,0000 8,0000 8,000 8,000 8,0000 8,0000 8,0000	\$0.00 \$0.06092 	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,453 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,853 \$ 7,855 \$ 7,955 \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7,9555\$ \$ 7		S0 S0 S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0, S0,	PDIV/01 PDI	0.00% 99.01% 109.00%	0.00%			\$5,814	
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mmental surcharge Yotal Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Customer Adder	8,236 2,400,600 8///////////////////////////////////	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$ 7,083 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085	g-Mi Ang Jed Jed	8,236 2,490,600 2,490,600 8 8 8 9 9 9 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 300,000	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	Annualized Revenues S25,000 5,041,454		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80 \$0 \$0,80\$0,80	#Div/0i #Div/0i #Div/0i 7.04% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.87% 6.87% 6.87% 6.87%		0.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges "djustment mmental surcharge Total Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$169,506 \$ 7,063 \$ 7,063	g-Mi Ang Jed Jed	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Peon yels Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	146,256 \$147,619 28,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$ 7,463 Annualized Revenues \$25,000 \$,041,454		S0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	#Div/0i #Div/0i #Div/0i 7.04% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.87% 6.87% 6.87% 6.87%		0.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Gustomer Charge Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge En	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$7,063 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,142 \$7,744	g-Mana Ana Ana Ana Ana	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Peon yels Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	Annualized Revenues 525,000 52		S0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$			0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges "djustment mmental surcharge Total Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Customer Adder Total Baseload Charges	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 136,642 138,005 28,304 3,197 \$159,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$159,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$159,506 \$7,083 \$7,083 \$159,506 \$7,083	g-Mana Ana Ana Ana Ana	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Peon yels Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$7,463 \$7,463 Annualized Revenues \$25,000 \$5,041,454 177,142 \$5,744,277		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0,50\$0,50			0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges Gustomer Charge Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge En	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$7,063 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,077 \$7,142 \$7,744	g-Mil	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Peon yels Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	Annualized Revenues 525,000 52		S0 \$0 \$0 \$9,614 \$9,614 \$0,50 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	EDWOR #DWOR #DWOR 7.04% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.67%		0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mental surcharge Total Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Customer Adder Total Baseload Charges Fuel Jagustment Environmental surcharge Total Revenues	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 136,642 138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$7,083	g-Ma g-Ma g-dif act F	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Beon yes Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$7,463 \$7,463 Annualized Revenues \$525,000 \$0,41,454 177,142 \$5,74,4277 \$0,029,921 \$6,035,198		S0 S0 S0 S9,614 S9,614 S0 S0 S0 S0,814 400,59 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	PDIV/01 PDIV/0	0.00% 99.01% 100.00%	0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges djustment mmental surcharge Yotal Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Energy Charge Energy Charge Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 \$138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$ 7,083 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085 \$ 7,085	g-Ma g-Ma g-dif act F	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Beon yes Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	Annualized Revenues S25,000 5,041,454 77,142 8,7,453 8,7,453 9		S0 S0 S0 S9,614 S9,614 S0 S0 S0 S0,814 400,59 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	EDWOR #DWOR #DWOR 7.04% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.67%	0.00% 99.01% 100.00%	0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				
Demand Charge Energy Charge Total Baseload Charges "djustment mmental surcharge Total Revenues Average Bill Customer Charge Demand Charge Energy Charge Customer Adder Total Baseload Charges Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge	8,236 2,400,600 8 8 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0.00 \$0.05692 for 1 Existing Current Rate \$56:77 \$1.75 \$0.05692	\$0 136,642 136,642 138,005 28,304 3,197 \$189,506 \$7,083	g-Ma g-Ma g-dif act F	8,236 2,400,600 2,400,600 Beon yes Saplember 30, 200 cate Gas Determinants 200,000 386,570,870	\$0.00 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$0.06092 \$1.75 \$0.06692	146,256 \$147,619 26,304 3,197 \$179,120 \$7,463 \$7,463 Annualized Revenues \$525,000 \$0,41,454 177,142 \$5,74,4277 \$0,029,921 \$6,035,198		S0 S0 S0 S9,614 S9,614 S0 S0 S0 S0,814 400,59 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0	PDIV/01 PDIV/0	0.00% 99.01% 100.00%	0.00% 99.08% 100.00%				

ь.

5

<u> </u>		-	Fleming	Mas	on											
			Billing A	naiy	sis	dalamini dalah seban dalam					Request 3a 2		r foor handlaan de kalenderse Foor ook heline de kolenderse			
·····		tor tr	e 12 months ende				<u> </u>				Altachment Page 3 of 5		~~~~~			
			Schedule Guardian I			ubm mensikk mensikk						and dealers for an interest of the second	**************************************	·		
			Rate L									1				n general a de la contra de la co
		Existing				Proposed			\$ Increase	% Increase	adaad fa fhanthair d'h fadantaan do channi tha dha	urunde unbahada erinden deremet				/autoditaan tuduka waadaa
	and the second					1 1000000						·····				u hisionadad baandad a narware
y	Billing Determinants	Current Rate	Annualized Revenues		Billing Determinants	Rale	Annualized Revenues		u du anticativa de la contecimienta de la contecimienta de la contecimienta de la contecimienta de la contecimi	"/"mathodoolaamiloloo silalisee eelde						
	CC(GIIII)(III)					1,0,00	,		2010/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
Customer Charge	12	\$1,069.00	\$12,828		12	\$1,069	\$12,828		\$0	0.00%	0,56%	0.51%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i saa saafal tei siitii sistemimin	\$0
								_	\$0	#DIV/CI						
Demand Charge	120,000	\$5.39	\$646,800		120,000	\$7.29	874,800	-	\$228,000 \$0	35.25% #DIV/0	28.16%	34,64%		\$228,000		haaddaddod addiddau ferandaad
Energy Charge	53,077,372	\$0.03085	1,637,437		53,077,372	\$0.03085	1,637,437		\$0	0.00%	71.28%	64.85%			\$0	
Total Baseload Charges			\$2,297,065			• · · ·	\$2,525,085	_	\$228,000	9.93%			- Ve-aritanee (annound) /2000/allanisheean	1		
Fuel adjustment	a an a dharaid an d'Arraite (a' chuise i ròrd) e		426,986	-			426,986		\$0	0.00%						1/2011230102718120172899999999
Environmental surcharge		a dan an bai ⁿ ad 200 di faibail di na	225,608	***		*****	225,608		\$0	0.00%	and a constraint of the state o	*****				
Total Revenues			\$2,949,659				\$3,177,659		\$228,000	7.73%						
					100100_100.000	he for a later of a strand or design of the formation of the formation of the formation of the formation of the								adams at a chosen by a balance		
Average Bill	L		\$ 245,805	ŀ			\$ 264,805	~~~	19,000.00	7.73%						<u></u>
	·····			[]			······							and a day to be back out to be to be		
	l	L	Floming	-Ma:	ion				l							
		(or II	Billing A ve 12 months ende	inaly	sis)						nambrar en denad stemaster, la blie	ar Waandad al Malaa	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	[Law market and the second	Schedul									namul da mua deinindotette dari	an haisen Vordenstände	 Colorentarianen semantenet 		
		lanas atalaando/libbahanas	• Dra	NO.	-	······		•••••			L			la formina do lo moltala en el malendo forman fonda la forma do la moltala en el malendo forman	ļ	
		[Rate I		3		ГТ	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			مراسين والمستحر فعلوه			
		Existing	·			Proposed	······································		\$ Increase	% Increase						
	Bitting	Current	Annualized		Billing		Annualized								en en en la classifica (colosion de en la mala	1
	Determinants	Rate	Revenues		Determinants	Rate	Revenues		Analahikai sabahala heabahabba	a han dha a dh' na adadh ann a na an dar ann an A mar da i fan far Gallan Gallan Gallan da da da d						
				-								//////////////////////////////////////				- an
Customer Charge	12	\$0.00	\$0		12	\$0	\$0		\$0	#DIV/01	0.00%	0.00%	. 1.2.5.2.5.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0			\$0
Demand Charge	201,396	\$5,39	\$1,085,524		201,396	\$7,29	1,468,177		\$0 \$362,652	#DIV/0 35.25%	21.54%	27.08%	****	\$382,652		
Energy Charge	128,158,165		3,953,679		128,158,165	\$0.03085	3,953,679		\$0 \$0	#DIV/0F 0.00%	78.46%	72.92%	,,		\$0	
	120,100,100	40.03000			120,000,100						10,4070	1 4c- 98, 79	andan maratak katala di katala maha hanan di manan di manan Pere			
Total Baseload Charges		randa (a durantino antinunda fudr	\$5,039,204				\$5,421,856		\$382,652	7.59%		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	à la resta à contra rela			·····
Fuet adjustment			1,048,782			·····	1,048,782	aritant daarina	\$0	0.00%	······	{······				
Environmental surcharge		<u> </u>	502,944				502,944		\$0	0.00%			·····			an Chand had data bada kata kata kata kata kata kata kata k
Total Revenues			\$6,590,930				\$6,973,582		\$382,652	5.81%					al electrolocol-color/downlowers	
Average Bill	en maak ad aa dhalina dha fha Philiphichad a nadriaanna kand		\$ 549,244			**************************************	\$ 581,132		31,887,70	5,81%	<u> </u>		n 12 a'urran 1 Aba nando.	1 ***13*****1#***1#*********************		
						······								a a constant de la co		
	ł	1	Flaming	- <u>Ma</u>	son		۱I		I	l						
		for l	Billing A no 12 months end	analı ed S	rsis eptember 30, 200	6				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	······	<u> </u>				
	1	1	Con				L		J							
			Inland C	onta	ilner		baldan de da de	ababaha Canitana	demokratika (na dana kutoka aktore) - 			ļ		1	(A)	
fed databar da mada matalan materiale de la come en en anno en en anterio en anterio en anterio en anterio en a	1	T	Rate	LIS J	5				L				L			
n na na na na na hada na na dada na da da da da da da da na		Existing	1			Proposed		-yall	\$ Increase	% Increase						
	Billing	Current	Annualized		Billing		Annualized	+620x2							1	
	Determinants		Revenues		Determinants	Rate	Revenues								+	
	1	1				-'		*****						tests of the second second	du nam nampusta	initrationalism (c.u.,
Customer Charge	12	\$4,605.00	\$55,260	f	12	\$4,605	\$55,260		\$0 \$0		0.70%	0.66%				50
Demand Charge	325,738	\$5.39	\$1,755,728		325,738	\$6.92	2,254,107		\$498,379	28.39%	22.26%	26.88%		\$0		
Energy Charge	220,486,205	\$0.02756	6,076,600	<u>t</u>	220,486,205	\$0.02756	6,076,600		\$0 \$0	#DIV/01	77.04%	72.46%	 		\$0	
Customer Adder			\$7,887,588	1			\$8,385,967			[
Total Baseload Charges	1	<u> </u>			Constants and of Soundarian Constants	~~~~2111-0044-000000000000000000000000000000			\$498,379	1						
Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			1,654,414 1,658,264		s-viri lakada ara akasabarra railaran ar		1,654,414 1,658,264		\$0 \$0							ang na dag k
	1	1		1								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		
Total Revenues	1		\$11,200,256				\$11,698,645		\$498,379	4.45%	ł	<u> </u>				
Average Bill		an	\$ 933,355	\perp			\$ 974,887		41,531.60	4.45%	á		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 - 2010	*	1
				+	<u> </u>					.	+			-		
L					<u></u>							.1				

: .

Being Andrage Being An			***	Floming	Mas				~~~~			1		7			ſ
Definition Set of all second Matchanes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							******				Request 3a 2					
Line Line Line Line Prop. 5 of 6 M M M M Line Existo Existo Image			for (ne 12 months end	ed Se	eplember 30, 200	6						v/audu/v/secols2000dbilines/040%				
Initial Sector Disting Charge Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector State Internation Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector State Internation Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector Initial Sector <	Contrast contrast of the second		[Allowed and the	Sound and and and a state of the last of	and the construction of the second seco	Page 4 of 5					
Letistics Letistics Propertial Sinceress Nonesse																	
Billing Carrent Annualized Billing Annualized Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Revenues Stationary Corrent Stationary Corrent <ths< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>Intand</td><td>Stea</td><td>រា</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ths<>	-			Intand	Stea	រា											
Billing Carrent Annualized Billing Annualized Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Revenues Stationary Corrent Stationary Corrent <ths< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ths<>																	
Billing Carrent Annualized Billing Annualized Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Annualized Revenues Corrent Revenues Stationary Corrent Stationary Corrent <ths< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>i. I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ths<>					i. I												
DeterminantsRateRoteRateRevenuesRevenuesImage: revenuesImage: revenues	J		Existing				Proposed			\$ increase	% Increase				1		
DeterminantsRateRoteRateRevenuesRevenuesImage: revenuesImage: revenues														<u> </u>			
Sustomer Charge 12 50.00 50 12 500 50 50 500 500 500 600% 000%																and a support of a support of a support	
Subsciencer Charge 12 500 S0 12 S0 S0 <td>I</td> <td>Determinants</td> <td>Rate</td> <td>Revenues</td> <td></td> <td>Oeterminants</td> <td>Rale</td> <td>Revenues</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>and the second /td> <td></td> <td>Í</td>	I	Determinants	Rate	Revenues		Oeterminants	Rale	Revenues				<u> </u>			and the second		Í
Subsciencer Charge 12 500 S0 12 S0 S0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>$\left - \right$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td>					$\left - \right $												·
Jernard Charge 4.394.11 \$17.765.378 4.394 \$804.44 2.583.690 \$778.221 40.00% 20.04% 22.285.057686 \$07 interpy Charge 2.392.681.7 \$2.993.96 \$6.768.794 2.392.682 \$80.444 \$2.883.690 \$778.221 \$0.00% 79.39% 72.74% 0.877722 \$0 olab Baseboad Charges					Į				_			ļ			E oracional e constante da la	ale a tale a	kapanteerennemb
Jennard Charge 4.394.11 \$17,253.78 4.394.01 \$243,599 \$77,821 44.08% 22.02% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789 0.07789 0.07789 72.74% 0.07789	Customer Charge		\$0.00	\$0	 	12	, \$0	\$0				0.00%	0.00%	·	4-310-000	ang dag dag	\$0
Intry Charge 2.392.0617 S2.96390 S5.708.794 2.392.062 S2.96390 S7.708.794 S0 0.00% 75.396 72.79% 0.957272 90 otal Basebad Charges					4	······		<u> </u>				ļ		h			.0.553 (5136)
Inergy Charge 2.392.681.7 \$2.98396 S5.788.794 2.392.682 \$2.88366 6.788.794 S0 0.00% 73.38% 72.74% 0.857272 30 Chal Baseload Charges	Demand Charge	4,394,11	\$419.51	\$1,765,378	Įļ	4,394	\$604.44	2,543,599				20,64%	27.28%	0.957689	\$0		
John Baseload Charges St.554,172 St.554,172 St.554,172 St.552,253 St758,221 St.054 St.056 S				inis/sharement/diabared/brainid		den danskanskanskanskanskal			****				**************************************				
uel adjustment no.	Energy Charge	2,392,681.7	\$2.96396	\$6,788,794	<u> </u>	2,392,682	\$2.96396	6,788,794			0.00%	79.36%	72.74%	0.957272		\$0	ASPHORE S
uel adjustment no.					1_1								•			- Magazaki ka	
uei al glussment 2,025,097 50 0.00%	Total Baseload Charges	.,		\$8,554,172				\$9,332,393		\$778,221	9.10%						and a literation
Informental surcharge 1,010,405 \$0 9.00% Image Sile Image Sile 1.010,405 \$0 9.00% Image Sile Image Sile Image Sile 1.010,405 \$00% Image Sile								100 (hondarin and a state of			ļ	1997,029,030,000	101-1210-2343	
Otal Revenues S11,599,474 S11,599,474 S11,599,474 S11,298,895 S778,221 6.71% S178,200 S178,20													initia (antona ta ta ta ta ta ta ta				L
Netrage Bill S 965,073 S 1,030,725 64,851,73 0.71%	Environmental surcharge			1,010,405				1,010,405		\$0	0.00%	for a format to be and the second second	et al ses le sessition nel et anno de decembra		·		
Netrage Bill S 965,073 S 1,030,725 64,851,73 0.71%						Contraction and and and and and and and and and an	det dan se canada serie da sera ana se				aladooradidoodadd landool ada		1	- Contraction and a second	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Image: Strip Add Birth Control Image: Strip Add Birth Control Image: Strip Add B	Total Revenues			\$11,590,474				\$12,368,695		\$778,221	6.71%			Į			
Image: Strip Add Birth Control Image: Strip Add Birth Control Image: Strip Add B	l																
Total \$70,849,870 \$74,408,978 \$33,559,108 910,862 1,851,868 Total \$70,849,870 0 \$34,306,441 \$34,306,441 \$600,862 1,851,868 1 Total (less TGP, Irlands) \$42,023,933 0// \$44,306,441 \$242,262,808 1 \$600,862 1,851,868 1 Total (less TGP, Irlands) \$42,023,933 0// \$242,2008 1 1 \$600,862 1 <t< td=""><td>Average Bill</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$ 965,873</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$ 1,030,725</td><td></td><td>64,851,73</td><td>5.71%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Sundularia (alumnia / monali</td></t<>	Average Bill			\$ 965,873				\$ 1,030,725		64,851,73	5.71%						Sundularia (alumnia / monali
Total \$70,849,870 \$74,408,978 \$33,559,108 910,862 1,851,868 Total \$70,849,870 0 \$34,306,441 \$34,306,441 \$600,862 1,851,868 1 Total (less TGP, Irlands) \$42,023,933 0// \$44,306,441 \$242,262,808 1 \$600,862 1,851,868 1 Total (less TGP, Irlands) \$42,023,933 0// \$242,2008 1 1 \$600,862 1 <t< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	<u> </u>																
Image: Second														J			
Image: Second																	and and an observation of the state of the
Image: Second													1				
Image: Second					I							1		L			
Image: Second					1					ļ ,				J	····		
Image: Second				J				ļ	Ŀ	ļ	ļ	ļ					ļ
Image: Second			I	L						ţ	L	<u> </u>		1	1		ļ
Image: Second			ļ	l	<u> </u>						ł			ļ			<u> </u>
Image: Second				ļ	<u> </u>		l		L		<u> </u>			ļ	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>
Image: Second		ne de la companya de				hanan arraska arramitas ara arishta'a					-		-		<u> </u>	1	L
Totel (less TGP, Irlands) \$42,023,933 \$44,306,441 KWh KWh DIff \$2,282,808 1		Total		\$70,849,870	4			\$74,408,978		\$3,559,108		Lauran	falsesseen en		610,652	1,651,668	ļ
Diff \$2,282,596 Non-Dem Mon-Dem 1 <td>** (</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td><u>Du</u></td> <td>\$3,559,108</td> <td></td> <td>\$243,680</td> <td>Intand El Load</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l</td>	** (+	+	4		<u>Du</u>	\$3,559,108		\$243,680	Intand El Load						l
Diff \$2,282,596 Non-Dem Mon-Dem 1 <td></td> <td>Total (less TOP)</td> <td>Lands)</td> <td>\$42 023 033</td> <td>+</td> <td>****</td> <td></td> <td>\$44 306 441</td> <td>200.0</td> <td>hand hundred fortune to the following</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>faarhel oo Vilaasiad oo dhalaadadhaa faa</td> <td>- 4</td> <td>KWA</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Total (less TOP)	Lands)	\$42 023 033	+	****		\$44 306 441	200.0	hand hundred fortune to the following			faarhel oo Vilaasiad oo dhalaadadhaa faa	- 4	KWA		
All of the second sec	'r wraiter yn delawar yn Ndaadron de laan y franse yn Needer achrodaed y delaadou daad	1	1.0031		\mathbf{t}		DI	\$2,282,508	****				**************************************	-			
Image: Constraint of the second sec		1		1			Silimate and and a darder	LANDERSKE AND			1	<u>]</u>		Contraction Contraction	417,460,128		
Dem Incr 610.652 Cusi Incr Energy In									A-Europe				North Additional Control Control			Perts	
Cusi Incr Energy In 1.571.855			Laar			- Martin and Associated Association	1.0% where the second which a start start should be a start where the second start s	D-0404 blockmed (mit had a block	Borte				el'rite bala e di rabeil de bodoneefe		2,282,508	2,282,508	(0
Energy in 1.871.855			ļ						-754	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		·····	Wands Institute in Children's Care		610,652		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	·····	+								1		1.071.25		
			j	<u> </u>					•				*#*****	Ic nergy in	1,0/1,650		
Ener Rate 0.004004027											1		2-21/1-1220-000 - 1422-07-0000	Ener Rate	0.004004827		

		Proposed	30.76% 0.146% 0.23% 0.23% 0.40% 1.31% 6.24%	
	Request 3a 2 Attachment Page 5 of 5		30.42% 0.14% 58.20% 0.24% 1.31% 6.13% 6.13%	
•	Rec Atte	S Increase % Increase Present	4.37% 1.30% 2.46% 3.67% 3.74% 4.97% 5.09%	3.22%
		\$ Increase 2	8,343 11 17 17 166 51 8 1,955 1,955	20,190
		Annualized Revenues	199,163 877 877 877 15,481 15,481 4,706 8,500 8,500 40,384 40,384	647,405
		ed Rate	\$660 \$1219 \$652 \$650 \$1400 \$18617 \$18617 \$1370 \$1370 \$1370	
		Proposed Total kWh	2,083,317 2,083,317 4,212 91,533 41,553 12,555 12,555 12,555 1,944 486,268	5,041,338
	30, 2006 ghts	Billing Determinants	30,193 72 57,398 108 2,347 2,347 156 945 3,014	94,75
	Fleming-Mason Billing Analysis for the 12 months ended September 30, 2006 Street Lighting and Security Lights Outdoor Lighting	Amualized Revenues	\$190,819.76 \$866.16 \$365,051.28 \$1,44.68 \$15,114.68 \$15,114.68 \$15,100 \$31,40.05 \$8,193.15 \$156.60 \$31,428.50 \$38,428.50	\$627,215,50
	r the 12 mon	g Current Rate	\$6.35 \$12.03 \$6.36 \$13.84 \$13.84 \$13.05 \$13.05 \$12.75 \$12.75	
	Ę.	Total kWh	2,083,317 2,805 4,212 91,533 41,553 12,656 76,545 1,944 488,268	5,041,338
		Billing Determinants	30,193 72 57,388 108 2,347 2,347 156 945 945 3,014	94,758
		K K	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
			7000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR 20000 LUMEN MERCURY VAPOR 9500 LUMEN STANDARD HIGH PRESSURE SODI 9500 LUMEN ORNAMENTAL HPS 9500 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS 22,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS 22,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS 50,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS 50,000 LUMEN DIRECTIONAL HPS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1

•

Analysis of Wholesale to Retail Proportionality

I Customer Charge Existing Proposed Change I Customer Charge \$125/Mo. \$125/Mo. \$125/Mo. \$125/Mo. \$526/Mo. \$626/Mo. \$626/Mo. \$526/Mo. \$526/Mo. \$519.00 \$1900000 Metering Point Charge \$125/Mo. \$944/Mo. \$944/Mo. \$944/Mo. \$5.9 Residential Customer Charge \$626/Mo. \$626/Mo. \$519.00 \$19000000 II Demand Charge \$5.39 \$71.20 \$11.90 Industrial Rate (\$/W) \$5.39 \$71.20 \$1.90 III Brergy Charge \$5.39 \$71.20 \$11.90 Industrial Rate (\$/W) \$5.39 \$71.20 \$11.90 III Brergy Charge \$71.20 \$11.90 Industrial Rate (\$/W) \$5.39 \$77.29 \$11.90 III Brergy Charge \$71.20 \$11.90 Industrial retail increase was based on the dollar amount remaining to be recovered at wholesale, divided by kWh. In non-industrial retail, divided by kWh. \$11.90	M	Wholesale				Retail		
nt Charge \$125/Mo. \$125/Mo. \$ 2626/Mo \$6.26/Mo \$ 6.26/Mo \$ 86.26/Mo \$ 86.26/M	I Customer Charge	Existing	Proposed	Change		Existing	Proposed	Change
d Charge (\$/kW)\$5.39\$7.29\$1.90Industrial Rate (\$/kW)\$5.39\$7.29istrial wholesale increase was based on the dollarThe non-industrial retail increase was based on the dollarThe non-industrial retail increase was based on the dollarining to be recovered at wholesale, divided by kWh.amount remaining to be recovered at retail, divided by kWh.	Metering Point Charge Substation at less than 3 MVA		\$125/Mo. \$944/Mo.		Residential Customer Charge Residential Customer Charge		\$6.26/ Mo \$6.26/ Mo	
istrial wholesale increase was based on the dollar ining to be recovered at wholesale, divided by kWh.	II Demand Charge B&C Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.39	\$7.29	\$1.90	Industrial Rate (\$/kW)	\$5.39	\$7.29	\$1.90
	III Energy Charge The non-industrial wholesale in amount remaining to be recover	acrease was b	ased on the do ale, divided by	llar , kWh.	The non-industrial retail increa amount remaining to be recove	se was based red at retail,	on the dollar divided by kV	Vh.

Request 3b Attachment Page 1 of 1