#### RECEIVED

MAR 16 2007

PUBLIC CLAVICE COMMISSION

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### MID-STATES / KENTUCKY DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF	) CASE NO. 2006-00464
RATE APPLICATION BY	)
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	)
MID-STATES/KENTUCKY	)

# RESPONSE OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION MID-STATES DIVISION AG DATA REQUEST DATED FEBRUARY 20, 2007 (AG DATA REQUEST NO. 1)

DR 161 - DR 199

Respondent: Chris Forsythe

#### **Data Request:**

Provide the "credit adjusted risk free rate" used for any and all ARO calculations under FASB Statement No. 143, FIN 47, and FERC Order No. 631 calculations to date.

#### Response:

The credit adjusted risk free rate used for the ARO calculation was 6.46%. The supporting information for this rate was provided in response to question AG DR1-157.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 162

Respondent: Chris Forsythe

#### Data Request:

Provide complete copies of all Board of Director's minutes and internal management meeting minutes during the past five years in which any or all of the following subjects were discussed: the Company's gas plant and/or SSU plant depreciation rates; retirement unit costs; SFAS No. 143; FIN 47; and, FERC RM02-7-000.

#### Response:

There are no Board of Director minutes or internal management meeting minutes that document discussions of the Company's gas plant and/or SSU plant depreciation rates, retirement unit costs, SFAS 143, FIN 47 or FERC RM 02-7-000.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 163

**Respondent: Chris Forsythe** 

#### **Data Request:**

Provide the accounting entries (debits and credits) used to implement SFAS No. 143 and FIN 47, along with all workpapers supporting those entries. Provide all these workpapers and calculations in electronic format (Excel) with all formulae intact. Please include the workpapers supporting the reclassification of "\$15.1 million from regulatory cost of removal liability to asset retirement obligation" as discussed on page 74 of Atmos' September 30, 2006 Form 10K, along with the accounting entries relating to this reclassification.

#### Response:

The accounting entries and related calculations have been provided in response to question 157. The \$15.1 million ARO was reclassified from the regulatory cost of removal liability because the asset retirement cost had already been recognized as a component of depreciation expense with a corresponding increase to the regulatory cost of removal liability.

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 164

Witness: Don Roff

#### Data Request:

What impact, if any, did the application of FIN 47 have upon the proposed depreciation rates and expense in this rate case? Provide all workpapers supporting the answer. If it had no impact, please explain why not.

#### Response:

FIN 47 had no impact on the depreciation study. FIN 47 is a financial reporting requirement and has nothing to do with regulatory depreciation.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 165

Respondent: Chris Forsythe

#### **Data Request:**

Please refer to page 74 of Atmos's September 30, 2006 Form 10K, where it states, "The related cost of removal accrual is reflected as a regulatory liability on the consolidated balance sheet. At the time property, plant and equipment is retired, removal expenses less salvage, are charged to the regulatory cost of removal accrual."

- a. Is this statement referring to the regulatory cost of removal obligation of \$261,376 thousand (2006) and \$263,424 thousand (2005) shown on pages 120 and 121 of the Form 10K? If not, please provide the amounts this statement refers to.
- Please provide the workpapers supporting the calculation of the \$261,376 thousand (2006) and \$263,424 thousand (2005) regulatory cost of removal obligations shown on pages 120 and 121 of the Form 10K. Please provide these workpapers in electronic format (Excel), with all formulae intact.
- c. Provide a calculation, by account, of how much of these amounts relate to Atmos's Kentucky Properties, and separately, how much relate to the SSU properties. Provide all workpapers supporting these calculations, in electronic format (Excel), with all formulae intact.
- d. Provide an analysis of the regulatory liability for cost of removal since inception identifying and explaining each debit and credit entry and amount.

#### Response:

- a. The statement referred to in data request 165a relates to the \$261,376 (thousand) and \$263,424 (thousand) amounts reflected in the balance sheet.
- b. See the attached calculation (Case 2006-00464 AG DR 165b att 1 Cost of removal liability rollforward.xls). Prior to September 30, 2003, the regulatory cost of removal obligation was recorded as a component of accumulated depreciation. In March 2004, the Securities and Exchange Commission informally communicated to the gas utility industry through the American Gas Association that this liability should be reflected as a separate liability on the face of the balance sheet. Beginning in June 2004, Atmos Energy separately reported the regulatory cost of removal obligation for financial reporting purposes only. Accordingly, no corresponding entry was recorded in the general ledger.

In order to determine the amount of accumulated depreciation that initially related to the regulatory cost of removal liability as of September 30, 2003,

the Company obtained the accumulated depreciation by plant account from the general ledger and multiplied the related balance by the negative salvage value percentage obtained from the 1997 Kentucky depreciation study prepared by Deloitte & Touche.

Once the initial balance as of September 30, 2003 was established, this account was rolled forward on a quarterly basis. The associated accrual was calculated by multiplying the actual depreciation expense for the quarter by the negative salvage value rate described above. All removal costs were obtained from the general ledger and were recorded as a reduction to the liability. The ending balance was then reclassified for financial reporting purposes only from accumulated depreciation to a separate liability on the face of the balance sheet.

- c. See the attached calculation (Case 2006-00464 AG DR 165cd att1 Kentucky and SSU COR rollforward.xls). The method of calculating the Kentucky and SSU cost of removal liabilities was the same as that described in the preceding response.
- d. See response to item c.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 166

Witness: Tom Petersen

#### **Data Request:**

Provide Atmos's projection of the annual year-end balance in the regulatory liability for cost of removal, for the next 20 years. If not available for the next twenty years provide for as many years into the future that the projection is available. If this projection has not been made, explain why not.

- a. Provide the amounts as they relate to Kentucky properties and the SSU properties.
- b. For this projection assume that all of Atmos's proposed depreciation rates are approved as requested. Provide in hard copy and in electronic format with all formulae intact.
- c. Explain all assumptions used to make this projection.

#### Response:

Atmos does not make balance sheet projections as part of its budgeting and planning process. Therefore, the company does not have a projection of the portion of accumulated depreciation identified as related to cost of removal.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 167

Witness: Tom Petersen

#### **Data Request:**

With respect to the Regulatory Liability relating to cost of removal which Atmos reclassified out of accumulated depreciation:

- a. Does Atmos agree that this constitutes a regulatory liability for regulatory purposes in Kentucky and for FERC purposes? If not, explain why not.
- b. Does Atmos agree that this amount is a refundable obligation to ratepayers until it is spent on its intended purpose (cost of removal)? If not, why not?
- c. Explain the repayment provisions associated with this regulatory liability.
- d. Explain when Atmos expects to spend this money for cost of removal.
- e. Explain what Atmos has done with this money as Atmos has collected it. If you say that you have spent it on plant additions, please prove it.
- f. Identify and explain all other similar examples of Atmos's advance collections of estimated future costs for which it does not have a legal obligation.
- g. Does Atmos agree that the Kentucky Public Service Commission will never know whether or not Atmos will actually spend all of this money for cost of removal until and if Atmos goes out of business? If not, why not?
- h. Does Atmos believe that amounts recoded in accumulated depreciation represent capital recovery? If not, why not?
- i. Whose capital is reflected in accumulated depreciation shareholders' or ratepayers'?

#### Response:

- a. No. The reclassification of cost of removal was made for financial reporting purposes only and has nothing to do with regulatory depreciation.
- b. No. See the response to part (a) of this request.
- c. See the responses to parts (a) and (b) of this request.
- d. The company spends cash for cost of removal as payments are made for the removal of the assets for which cost of removal is incurred. Since accumulated depreciation does not represent a cash fund the reference to "this money" in the request is unclear.
- e. See the response to part (d) of this request.

- f. The company uses accrual accounting as required by the uniform system of accounts. Therefore, the recognition of expenses and revenues are not coincident with cash payments. For example, the company recognizes unbilled revenue at the end of each month.
- g. No, Atmos does not agree with that statement for the reasons explained in the responses to part (d) of this request and parts (b) and (c) of item 165.
- h. No. Depreciation accounting distributes the cost of assets less salvage over the assets' estimated useful life in a systematic and rational manner. The entry to record depreciation expense for an asset also records accumulated depreciation reducing the net book value of that asset. Depreciation is a process of allocating costs over time and not a process of recovering capital.
- i. See the response to part (h) of this request.

Witness: Don Roff

#### **Data Request:**

Please provide the calculation of the annual amount of future cost of removal and gross salvage incorporated into the Company's <u>existing</u> depreciation rates and <u>proposed</u> depreciation rates by account.

#### Response:

Please see the worksheets attached to this data request and collectively labeled AG DR1-168 ATT.

REPONSE TO AG 1-168

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION - KENTUCKY Book Depreciation Study as of September 30, 2005 Comparison of Mortality Characteristics

	Salvage <u>Accrual</u> \$		(606)		(2,217)	(952) (1,059) (2,212) (5,406)
	COR Accrual \$	44	17,411	100,203	937 436,022 2,618 8,413 1,297,318 137,757 208,493	
[12]	Salvage <u>Rate</u> %		0.10		0.05	1.25 0.05 0.33 0.20
[11]	COR Rate %	0.10	0.80	0.45	0.20 0.45 0.10 0.30 1.88 1.00 0.63	
[10]	Net Salvage %	0 (5)	5 (40) 0 0	0 0 (25) (2)	0 (25) (5) (75) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (2	0000-50000000
[6]	ED Cost of Removal %	0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 25 25	0 25 25 15 75 25 25 0 0	000000000000
[8]	RECOMMENDED Gross C Saivage Re %	000	00000	0000	000000000N	0000-500000000
	REC Iowa Curve	R5 R5	R2 R3 SQ R5 R1:5	R5 R1 R0.5	R5 R0.5 R1 R1.5 R0.5 R0.5 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R3 R3 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4 R4	7
[6]	ASIL yrs.	50 50	50 50 50 50 50 50	55 50 55 45	55 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	25 28 8 8 20 50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
[2]	Net Salvage %	1 1	(5) (50) 0 (10)	0 (5) 0	(5) (150) (150) (150)	(£) 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
[4]	EXISTING lowa Curve	r 4 i	R4 R3 - R5 S4 S1.5	R5 R3 R5 S1.5	R5 R1.5 S1.5 S1.5 S1.5 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3 R3	& & & E & 2 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8
<u>e</u>	ASIL yrs.		50 40 40 40	60 45 50 40	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	24
[2]		PRODUCTION PLANT  Producing Leaseholds  Rights-of-Way  Purification Equipment	STORAGE PLANT  Structures and improvements  Well Construction and Equipment  Suchinon Gas  Storage Rights  Compressor Station Equipment  M&R Station Equipment	TRANSMISSION PLANT  Rights-of-Way  Structures and Improvements  Mains  M&R Station Equipment	DISTRIBUTION PLANT 2 Land Rights O Structures and Improvements O Manns O M&R Station Equipment O City Gate Equipment O Services O Meters O Meters O Meters O House Regulators O House Regulators O House Regulators O Industrial M&R Equipment	GENERAL PLANT  Structures and Improvements  Structures and Improvements  Office Furniture and Equipment  Transportation Equipment  Transportation Equipment  O Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment  Power Operated Equipment  O Communication Equipment  Miscellaneous Equipment  1 OTP - Servers Hardware  3 OTP - Network Hardware  OTP - PC Software  7 OTP - PC Software
Ξ	Account	325.20 325.40 336.00	351.00 352.00 352.03 352.11 354.00 355.00	365.20 366.00 367.00 369.00	374.02 375.00 376.00 378.00 378.00 381.00 382.00 383.00 384.00	390.00 390.09 391.00 394.00 396.00 398.00 399.01 399.07 399.06

2,229,370 (12,155)

Witness: Don Roff

#### **Data Request:**

Are the amounts of cost of removal and gross salvage incorporated into the existing and proposed depreciation rates the same as they would have been in the absence of SFAS No. 143 and FIN 47? Please explain.

#### Response:

Yes. SFAS 143 and FIN 47 are financial reporting requirements and have nothing to do with regulatory depreciation.

Respondent: Chris Forsythe Witness: Tom Petersen

#### Data Request:

Are there any assets for which Atmos has determined it has a legal ARO under FIN 47 and/or SFAS No. 143, but has treated as a non-legal ARO in the Depreciation Studies? If so, please identify the accounts and the plant amounts.

#### Response:

FIN 47 had no impact on the depreciation study. FIN 47 is a financial reporting requirement and has nothing to do with regulatory depreciation.

Witness: Tom Petersen

#### **Data Request:**

Does Atmos promise to remove each asset for which it is collecting cost of removal and does it promise to spend all of the money it is collecting for cost of removal, on cost of removal? Please explain.

#### Response:

The company will continue to remove assets that need to be removed in the course of providing gas utility service. See also the response to item 167.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 172

Witness: Don Roff

#### **Data Request:**

Identify with specificity each section and paragraph of the "Energy Policy Act of 2005" which has or may have an impact upon, or relates to in any way, the following, by FERC USOA account.

- a. Plant lives
- b. Plant retirement patterns (Iowa Curves)
- c. Gross salvage
- d. Cost of removal
- e. Retirement units

#### Response:

Atmos is unaware of any impact that the Energy Policy Act of 2005 has upon any of the items listed.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 172

Respondent: Pace McDonald (i)

#### **Data Request:**

Identify with specificity each section and paragraph of the "Energy Policy Act of 2005" which has or may have an impact upon, or relates to in any way, the following, by FERC USOA account.

- f. Accounting under FERC Uniform System of Accounts
- g. Accounting under GAAP
- h. Accounting under SEC rules
- i. Deferred tax and any tax credits

#### Response:

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 did not impact Atmos Energy with respect to accounting under the FERC Uniform System of Accounts, US GAAP or SEC rules nor did it impact the deferred tax and tax credit amounts recorded at the time the legislation was passed.

The Act did modify, for tax depreciation purposes, the depreciable life of gas distribution assets. Prior to the Act's passage the depreciable life of gas distribution assets was 20 years. The Act modified the depreciable life to 15 years. As a result of this modification, tax depreciation on distribution assets will be claimed at a rate faster than prior to the Act's passage. This shorter depreciable tax life and accelerated tax depreciation will cause deferred tax liabilities associated with gas distribution assets to grow at a rate faster than prior to the Act's passage.

## Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 172

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### Data Request:

Identify with specificity each section and paragraph of the "Energy Policy Act of 2005" which has or may have an impact upon, or relates to in any way, the following, by FERC USOA account.

j. Jurisdictional and class cost allocations

#### Response:

No sections or paragraphs of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 were identified that would have an impact on, or relate to in any way, the jurisdictional and class cost allocations as filed in this proceeding.

Witness: Greg Waller

#### **Data Request:**

Please refer to the direct testimony of Greg Waller, page 17, lines 19 and 22. Please provide a calculation of the depreciation expense amounts shown on those lines. Provide the calculation in Excel with all formulae intact, and show the plant balances and applicable depreciation rates used to calculate the expense amounts.

#### Response:

There were errors in the original filing which have been revised and filed with AG DR 1 as well as provided here. These revisions resulted in updated depreciation expense amounts of \$12,368,187 and \$13,032,342 for the base and forecasted test periods respectively.

The 12 month depreciation numbers are calculated by taking the 13 month average investment multiplied by the annual accrual rate and then multiplied by a factor to reflect the capitalization of some depreciation expense. Depreciation on assets used in construction projects is capitalized. A factor representing the percent of depreciation that has been expensed rather than capitalized was calculated for each division. For those accounts where reserve already exceeds investment, no additional depreciation is calculated.

Please see the attachment Case 2006-00464 AG DR1-173 ATT. These schedules are sponsored by Tom Petersen as a part of the rate base calculation.

#### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: \_X\_ Base Period \_\_\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s).: \_\_\_\_\_

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 1 of 12

e Acct.		any Adjusted Ju	
	13 month a	average	12 Month
No. Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense
(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4 Internative Disease			
1 Intangible Plant	70 400	0.000	0
2 301.00 Organization	76,480	8,330	
3 302.00 Franchises & Consents	119,853	119,853	0
4 303.00 Misc. Intangible Plant 5	408,053	0	0
6 Total Intangible Plant	604,386	128,182	0
7 8 <u>Natural Gas Production Plant</u>			
9 325.20 Producing Leaseholds	2,353	0	0
0 325.40 Rights of Ways	83,422	0	0
1 331.00 Production Gas Wells Equipment	3,492	3,492	0
2 332.01 Field Lines	47,163	47,163	0
3 332.02 Tributary Lines	528,218	529,956	0
4 334.00 Field Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip	198,469	198,469	0
5 336.00 Purification Equipment	44,369	0	0
6			
7 Total Natural Gas Production Plant	907,486	779,080	0
8			
9 Storage Plant			
0 350.10 Land	261,127	0	0
1 350.20 Rights of Way	4,682	4,693	0
2 351.00 Sturctures & Improvements	4,700	1,672	90
3 351.02 Compression Station Equipment	159,811	116,065	3,049
4 351.03 Meas. & Reg. Sta. Structues	23,138	23,985	0
5 351.04 Other Structures	144,554	130,830	2,758
6 352.00 Wells \ Rights of Way	62,814	35,633	1,683
7 352.01 Well Construction	2,113,527	1,740,512	56,616
8 352.02 Well Equipment	531,954	557,582	0
9 352.03 Cushion Gas	1,694,833	15,237	0
0 352.10 Leaseholds	178,530	178,764	0
1 352.11 Storage Rights	54,614	51,150	988
2 353.01 Field Lines	178,501	183,071	0
3 353.02 Tributary Lines	209,458	214,822	0
4 354.00 Compressor Station Equipment	546,780	474,740	8,161
5 355.00 Meas & Reg. Equipment	288,851	286,074	5,882
6 356.00 Purification Equipment	243,119	244,496	0
7			

#### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: \_X\_ Base Period \_\_\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s).: \_\_\_\_\_

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 2 of 12

				any Adjusted J	
Line	Acct.		13 month		12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1		Transmission Plant			_
2	365.10		26,970	16	0
3	365.20	Rights of Way	826,223	331,377	7,269
4	366.02	Structures & Improvements	214,065	13,509	2,941
5	366.03	Other Structues	69,172	60,525	950
6	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	405,764	260,717	5,094
7	367.01	Mains - Steel	21,799,664	15,275,907	273,664
8	369.00	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	185,854	40,893	4,189
9	369.10	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	2,786,961	1,907,875	62,810
10					
11		Total Transmission Plant	26,314,674	17,890,819	356,917
12					
13		Distribution Plant			
14	374.00	Land & Land Rights	94,855	57,145	0
15	374.01	Land	51,571	0	0
16	374.02	Land Rights	229,318	22,177	3,808
17		Land Other	2,784	0	0
18	375.00	Structures & Improvements	312,033	25,754	6,015
19	375.01	Structures & Improvements T. B.	105,699	79,141	2,037
20	375.02	Land Rights	46,591	37,611	898
21		Improvements	4,005	176	77
22	376.00	Mains Cathodic Protection	10,029,188	1,842,869	236,935
23	376.01	Mains - Steel	64,078,064	38,182,268	1,513,812
24		Mains Plastic	25,597,506	7,946,520	604,728
25	378.00	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment General	2,925,747	1,394,519	72,011
26		Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment City Gate	1,250,157	126,649	31,759
27		Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment - T. B.	1,636,212	1,196,831	41,566
28		Services	74,128,815	36,113,921	5,026,619
29		Meters	13,888,851	1,301,791	459,913
30		Meter Installations	34,727,743	5,646,466	1,050,420
31		House Regulators	5,043,023	2,561,379	142,069
32		House Reg. Installations	154,276	96,824	5,139
33		Ind. Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment	4,590,362	2,021,690	123,872
34 35		Other Property on Cust. Prem.	2,627	1,815	78
36		Total Distribution Plant	238,899,427	98,655,544	9,321,757

#### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Type of Filing: _X_ Original Opdated Hevised					Page 3 01 12
Work	paper Re	eference No(s).:			Witness: Tom Peter
			Total Company Adjusted		
Line	Acct.		13 month		12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	<u>(F)</u>
		Const. Block			
1	000.00	General Plant	70.000	20 450	0
2		Land & Land Rights	70,900	28,459	
3		Structures Frame	65,954	6,345	1,645
4		Structures & Improvements	191,839	96,981	4,020
5		Improvements	774,269	84,269	16,225
6		Air Conditioning Equipment	13,888	7,215	247
7		Improvement to Leased Premises	1,934,060	1,456,286	108,229
8		Office Furniture & Equipment	2,708,532	1,447,111	146,055
9	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	1,504	1,851	0
10	391.03	Office Machines	137,822	11,071	7,488
11	392.00	Transportation Equipment	550,273	(662,035)	48,132
12	392.01	Trucks	24,653	30,218	0
13	392.02	Trailers	122,165	122,848	0
14	393.00	Stores Equipment	4,071	2,967	278
15	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	1,668,225	191,405	54,413
16		Power Operated Equipment	3,125	3,208	0
17	396.03	Ditchers	247,306	(139,338)	6,820
18		Backhoes	278,287	15,074	7,675
19		Welders	43,558	2,846	1,201
20		Communication Equipment	2,649,915	1,112,383	166,458
21		Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios	3,338	(18,930)	172
22		Communication Equip Fixed Radios	41,432	6,084	2,134
23		Communication Equip Telemetering	312,236	86,204	16,080
24		Miscellaneous Equipment	2,704,586	919,819	275,322
25		Other Tangible Property	40,867	31,420	7,296
		Other Tangible Property  Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	1,022,046	691,318	120,830
26		Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	595,371	487,031	68,417
27			719,035	577,343	101,771
28		Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware		61,388	0
29		Other Tangible Property - CPU	56,964		0
30		Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	60,318	63,364	
31		Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	3,812,543	3,315,027	693,329
32		Other Tang. Property - PC Software	486,027	346,682	74,746
33		Other Tang. Property - Application Software	6,985,037	3,780,595	573,208
34		Other Tangible Property - Mainframe S/W	134,331	148,380	0
35	399.24	Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	1,297,650	781,351	108,094
36					
37					
38		Total General Plant	29,762,128	15,096,240	2,610,286
39			,		
40		Total Plant	303,189,094	136,809,191	12,368,187

<sup>\*\*</sup> All Intangible and General Plant amounts include Kentucky, Div. 09 general plant 100%, plus allocations of General Office general plant from Div. 02 at 5.20%, Div. 12 at 5.60% and Div 91 at 36.78%.

## Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account, KY only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: \_X\_ Base Period \_\_\_ Forecasted Period

Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised

Workpaper Reference No(s)::\_\_\_\_\_\_

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 4 of 12

			Total Comp	Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction			
Line	Acct.		13 month		12 Month	Annual Accrual	
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
			······································				
1		Intangible Plant					
2	301.00	Organization	8,330	8,330	0	0.00%	
3	302.00	Franchises & Consents	119,853	119,853	0	0.00%	
4	303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	0	0	0	0.00%	
5							
6		Total Intangible Plant	128,182	128,182	0		
7							
8		Natural Gas Production Plant					
9	325.20	Producing Leaseholds	2,353	0	0	0.00%	
10		Rights of Ways	83,422	0	0	0.00%	
11	331.00	Production Gas Wells Equipment	3,492	3,492	0	0.00%	
12		Field Lines	47,163	47,163	0	0.00%	
13		Tributary Lines	528,218	529,956	0	0.00%	
14		Field Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip	198,469	198,469	0	0.00%	
15	336.00	Purification Equipment	44,369	00	0	0.00%	
16							
17		Total Natural Gas Production Plant	907,486	779,080	0		
18							
19		Storage Plant			_	0.000/	
20	350.10		261,127	0	0	0.00%	
21		Rights of Way	4,682	4,693	0	0.92%	
22		Sturctures & Improvements	4,700	1,672	90	1.93%	
23		Compression Station Equipment	159,811	116,065	3,049	1.93%	
24		Meas. & Reg. Sta. Structues	23,138	23,985	0 750	1.93%	
25		Other Structures	144,554	130,830	2,758	1.93%	
26		Wells \ Rights of Way	62,814	35,633	1,683	2.71%	
27		Well Construction	2,113,527	1,740,512	56,616	2.71%	
28		Well Equipment	531,954	557,582	0	2.71%	
29		Cushion Gas	1,694,833	15,237	0	0.00%	
30		Leaseholds	178,530	178,764	0	0.30%	
31		Storage Rights	54,614	51,150	988	1.83%	
32		Field Lines	178,501	183,071	0	1.35%	
33		Tributary Lines	209,458	214,822	0	1.35%	
34		Compressor Station Equipment	546,780	474,740	8,161	1.51%	
35		Meas & Reg. Equipment	288,851	286,074	5,882	2.06%	
36	356.00	Purification Equipment	243,119	244,496	0	1.30%	
37			A 700 000	4.000.000	70.000		
38			6,700,993	4,259,326	79,226		

## Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account, KY only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: _X_ Base Period	Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: _X_ Original	Updated Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s)	1.:

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 5 of 12

			Total Comp	Annual		
Line	Acct.		13 month	average	12 Month	Accrual
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
		Transmission.Plant				
1	365.10		26,970	16	0	0.00%
2		Rights of Way	826,223	331,377	7,269	0.89%
3		Structures & Improvements	214,065	13,509	2,941	1.39%
4		Other Structues	69,172	60,525	950	1.39%
5		Mains - Cathodic Protection	405,764	260,717	5,094	1.27%
6	367.01	Mains - Steel	21,799,664	15,275,907	273,664	1.27%
7	369.00	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	185,854	40,893	4,189	2.28%
8		Meas. & Reg. Equipment	2,786,961	1,907,875	62,810	2.28%
9						
10		Total Transmission Plant	26,314,674	17,890,819	356,917	
11						
12		Distribution Plant				
13	374.00	Land & Land Rights	94,855	57,145	0	0.00%
14	374.01	Land	51,571	0	0	0.00%
15	374.02	Land Rights	229,318	22,177	3,808	1.68%
16	374.03	Land Other	2,784	0	0	0.00%
17	375.00	Structures & Improvements	312,033	25,754	6,015	1.95%
18	375.01	Structures & Improvements T. B.	105,699	79,141	2,037	1.95%
19	375.02	Land Rights	46,591	37,611	898	1.95%
20	375.03	Improvements	4,005	176	77	1.95%
21	376.00	Mains Cathodic Protection	10,029,188	1,842,869	236,935	2.39%
22	376.01	Mains - Steel	64,078,064	38,182,268	1,513,812	2.39%
23	376.02	Mains Plastic	25,597,506	7,946,520	604,728	2.39%
24		Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment General	2,925,747	1,394,519	72,011	2.49%
25	379.00	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment City Gate	1,250,157	126,649	31,759	2.57%
26	379.05	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment - T. B.	1,636,212	1,196,831	41,566	2.57%
27	380.00	Services	74,128,815	36,113,921	5,026,619	6.86%
28		Meters	13,888,851	1,301,791	459,913	3.35%
29	382.00	Meter Installations	34,727,743	5,646,466	1,050,420	3.06%
30		House Regulators	5,043,023	2,561,379	142,069	2.85%
31	384.00	House Reg. Installations	154,276	96,824	5,139	3.37%
32		Ind. Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment	4,590,362	2,021,690	123,872	2.73%
33	386.00	Other Property on Cust. Prem.	2,627	1,815	78	3.00%
34 35		Total Distribution Plant	238,899,427	98,655,544	9,321,757	

### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accural Rates by Account, KY only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

FR 10(10)(b)3.2

Data: \_X\_ Base Period \_\_\_ Forecasted Period Schedule B-3.2 Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised Page 6 of 12 Witness: Tom Petersen Workpaper Reference No(s).: Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction Annual Accrual 13 month average 12 Month Line Acct. Rate Expense No. No. Account Titles Investment Reserve (E) (F) (G) (A) (B) (C) (D) 1 General Plant 2 389.00 Land & Land Rights 70,900 28,459 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 3 390.01 Structures Frame 0 96,981 4,020 2.12% 191.839 4 390.02 Structures & Improvements 5 774,269 84.269 16,225 2.12% 390.03 Improvements 5,078 247 2.12% 6 390.04 Air Conditioning Equipment 11,766 1,097,934 68,320 5.00% 1,382,343 7 390.09 Improvement to Leased Premises 656,839 122,443 7.05% 8 391.00 Office Furniture & Equipment 1,757,030 0 0 0.00% 9 391.02 Remittance Processing Equip 0 391.03 Office Machines 95,215 (28,147)6,635 7.05% 10 48,132 8.92% 545,894 (668,624)11 392.00 Transportation Equipment 8.92% 0 12 392.01 Trucks 24,653 30,218 122,848 Λ 8.92% 122,165 13 392.02 Trailers 0 0.00% 0 393.00 Stores Equipment 0 14 181,478 394.00 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment 1,620,825 52,550 3.28% 15 396.00 Power Operated Equipment 0 0 0.00% 16 247,306 (139,338)6,820 2.79% 17 396.03 Ditchers 15,074 7,675 2.79% 396.04 Backhoes 278,287 18 396.05 Welders 43,558 2,846 1,201 2.79% 19 20 397.00 Communication Equipment 1.141.094 628,057 58,766 5.21% (18,930)172 5.21% 21 397.01 Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios 3.338 2,134 5.21% 397.02 Communication Equip. - Fixed Radios 41,432 6,084 22 86,204 16,080 5.21% 397.05 Communication Equip. - Telemetering 312,236 23 852,018 263,208 10.94% 398.00 Miscellaneous Equipment 2,433,983 24 0 0.00% 25 399.00 Other Tangible Property 0 0 399.01 Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W 175,990 181,171 0 14.29% 26 14.29% 399.02 Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W 113,473 122,827 0 27 511,781 477,791 72,291 14.29% 28 399.03 Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware 0 0.00% n 29 399.04 Other Tangible Property - CPU 0 0.00% 399.05 Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware 0 0 0 30 2,896,164 534,408 18.51% 2,920,797 31 399.06 Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware 197,633 38,068 15.85% 32 399.07 Other Tang. Property - PC Software 242,979 64,529 12.50% 365,271 33 399.08 Other Tang. Property - Application Software 522,254 0.00% 34 399.09 Other Tangible Property - Mainframe S/W 0 0 0 0 0.00% 35 399.24 Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs 0 0 36

37 38

39

40

Total General Plant

Total Plant

15,585,408

288.536.170

7,280,203

128,993,155

1,383,925

11,141,825

### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account, Div 002 only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

	FR 10(10)(b)3.2	
Data: _X_ Base Period Forecasted Period	Schedule B-3.2	
Type of Filing: _X_ Original Updated Revised	Page 7 of 12	
Norkpaper Reference No(s).:	Witness: Tom Peterser	1

			Total Com	Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction			
Line	Acct.		13 month	12 Month	Accrual		
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
1		General Plant					
2	389.00	Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	0.00%	
3		Structures Frame	0	0	0	0.00%	
4	390.02	Structures & Improvements	0	0	0	0.00%	
5		Improvements	0	0	0	0.00%	
6		Air Conditioning Equipment	0	0	0	0.00%	
7		Improvement to Leased Premises	7,084,974	5,204,140	525,972	7.43%	
8		Office Furniture & Equipment	9,233,165	6,148,467	451,123	4.89%	
9		Remittance Processing Equip	28,932	35,605	0	11.37%	
10		Office Machines	528,284	534,295	0	2.22%	
11		Transportation Equipment	18,885	28,035	0	28.96%	
12		Trucks	. 0	. 0	0	0.00%	
13	392.02	Trailers	0	0	0	0.00%	
14	393.00	Stores Equipment	2,635	3,647	0	10.00%	
15		Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	10,901	13,151	0	10.00%	
16		Power Operated Equipment	0	. 0	0	0.00%	
17		Ditchers	0	0	0	0.00%	
18	396.04	Backhoes	0	0	0	0.00%	
19		Welders	0	0	0	0.00%	
20		Communication Equipment	2,074,923	814,126	147,611	7.12%	
21		Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios	0	0	0	0.00%	
22		Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0	0.00%	
23		Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0	0.00%	
24		Miscellaneous Equipment	631,550	379,632	33,823	5.36%	
25		Other Tangible Property	10,196	9,746	1,605	15.75%	
26		Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	5,148,574	1,510,125	735,114	14.29%	
27		Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	1,821,396	570,659	260,059	14.29%	
28		Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	1,870,898	335,811	267,127	14.29%	
29		Other Tangible Property - CPU	1,095,465	1,180,547	. 0	26.26%	
30		Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	1,159,964	1,218,543	0	15.76%	
31		Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	4,896,338	3,463,330	823,362	16.83%	
32		Other Tang. Property - PC Software	1,442,733	921,253	255,582	17.73%	
33		Other Tang. Property - Application Software	39,469,010	17,538,527	3,241,629	8.22%	
34		Other Tangible Property - Mainframe S/W	2,583,281	2,853,465	0,211,020	22.16%	
35		Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	0	0	0	8.33%	
36			ŭ	3	•	3.207	
37			······		······································		
38		Total General Plant	79,112,106	42,763,104	6,743,004		
39			. 4,1114,100	,. 50,, 41	-,0,00 1		
40		Total Plant	79,112,106	42,763,104	6,743,004		

## Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account, Div 12 only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: _X_ Base Period Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: _X_ Original Updated Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s).:

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 8 of 12

			Total Comp	Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction			
Line	Acct.		13 month	average	12 Month	Accrual	
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	<u>(F)</u>	(G)	
1		General Plant					
2	389.00	Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	0.00%	
3		Structures Frame	0	0	0	0.00%	
4		Structures & Improvements	0	0	0	0.00%	
5		Improvements	0	0	0	0.00%	
6		Air Conditioning Equipment	0	0	0	0.00%	
7		Improvement to Leased Premises	3,018,160	1,242,647	224,249	7.43%	
8		Office Furniture & Equipment	56,077	9,312	2,742	4.89%	
9		Remittance Processing Equip	0	Ď	. 0	11.37%	
10		Office Machines	0	0	0	2.22%	
11		Transportation Equipment	0	0	0	28.96%	
12		Trucks	0	0	0	0.00%	
13		Trailers	0	0	0	0.00%	
14		Stores Equipment	0	0	0	10.00%	
15		Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	0	0	0	10.00%	
16		Power Operated Equipment	0	0	0	0.00%	
17		Ditchers	0	0	0	0.00%	
18		Backhoes	0	0	0	0.00%	
19		Welders	0	0	0	0.00%	
20		Communication Equipment	23,362,661	7,170,767	1,663,421	7.12%	
21		Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios	0	0	0	0.00%	
22		Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0	0.00%	
23		Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0	0.00%	
24		Miscellaneous Equipment	1,916	275	103	5.36%	
25		Other Tangible Property	214,670	205,213	33,811	15.75%	
26		Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	9,856,698	7,314,911	1,408,522	14.29%	
27		Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	6,859,702	5,896,027	980,251	14.29%	
28		Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	459,783	193,973	65,703	14.29%	
29		Other Tangible Property - CPU	0 00,700	0	0	26.26%	
30		Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	0	0	0	15.76%	
31		Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	3,265,781	1,168,836	549,631	16.83%	
32		Other Tang. Property - PC Software	2,355,544	1,128,273	417,638	17.73%	
33		Other Tang. Property - Application Software	73,886,455	32,747,763	6,073,467	8.22%	
34		Other Tangible Property - Mainframe S/W	70,000,400	02,147,700	0,070,407	22.16%	
35		Other Tangible Property - Maintaine 3/V Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	23,172,326	13,952,692	1,930,255	8.33%	
36	399.24	Other rang. Property - General Startup Costs	20,172,020	10,302,002	1,000,200	0.007	
37					<del></del>		
38		Total General Plant	146,509,773	71,030,689	13,349,793		
39		TOTAL GENERAL FIAME	140,008,110	7 1,000,000	10,070,100		
Jy		Total Plant	146,509,773	71,030,689	13,349,793		

## Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account, Div 91 only Base Period Ended March 31, 2007

Data: _X_ Base Period	Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: Original	Updated _X_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s	s).:

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 9 of 12

				Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction				
ine Acct. No. No.			13 month a	13 month average 12 Month				
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate		
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		
1		Intangible Plant						
2	301.00	Organization	185,309	0	0	0.00%		
3		Franchises & Consents	0	0	0	0.009		
4		Misc. Intangible Plant	1,109,552	0	0	0.00%		
5	300.00	Wise. Interngision rearr	1,100,002			0.00,		
6		Total Intangible Plant	1,294,861	0	0			
7		Total intangible Flatit	1,234,001	V	Ü			
8		Distribution Plant						
	276.01	Mains - Steel	0	0	0	3.619		
9	3/6.01	Mains - Steel		U	<u> </u>	3.017		
10		Tatal Distribution Dissi	0	0	0			
11		Total Distribution Plant	0	0	0			
12		0 181						
13		General Plant	-	^	^	0.000		
14		Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	0.00%		
15		Structures Frame	179,339	17,253	4,473	2.529		
16	390.02	Structures & Improvements	0	0	0	0.009		
17		Improvements	0	0	0	0.00%		
18	390.04	Air Conditioning Equipment	5,771	5,810	0	2.529		
19	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	38,834	49,348	0	2.529		
20	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	1,273,200	1,278,077	0	5.699		
21	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	0	0	0	0.009		
22		Office Machines	41,158	31,094	2,318	5.699		
23		Transportation Equipment	9,235	13,953	0	0.009		
24	392.01		. 0	. 0	0	0.009		
25		Trailers	0	0	0	0.009		
26		Stores Equipment	10,698	7,552	757	7.159		
27		Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	127,345	25,132	5,066	4.029		
28		Power Operated Equipment	8,497	8,724	0	11.119		
29		Ditchers	0,437	0,727	0	0.009		
30		Backhoes	0	0	0	0.009		
		3	0	0	0	0.009		
31		Welders				7.499		
32		Communication Equipment	251,835	109,928	18,668			
33		Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios	0	0	0	0.009		
34		Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0	0.009		
35		Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0	0.009		
36		Miscellaneous Equipment	646,215	130,640	28,140	4.40%		
37		Other Tangible Property	76,993	52,810	14,462	18.989		
38		Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	71,663	59,781	10,135	14.299		
39	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	8,273	11,836	0	14.299		
40	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network Hardware	229,003	193,677	32,387	14.29		
41		Other Tangible Property - CPU	0	0	0	0.009		
42	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	0	0	0	0.009		
43		Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	1,235,175	471,267	232,015	18.989		
44		Other Tang. Property - PC Software	98,204	103,222	0	18.989		
45		Other Tang. Property - Application Software	741,652	1,820,313	0	18.989		
46		Other Tangible Property - Mainframe S/W	0	0	0	0.009		
47		Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	0	0	0	0.009		
48		Total General Plant	5,053,090	4,390,417	348,420			
49		Total Plant	6,347,851	4,390,417	348,420			

#### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Forecasted Period ended June 30, 2008

Data: \_\_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(s).

FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 10 of 12

			Total Comp	Total Company Adjusted Jurisdiction			
Line	Acct.		13 Mon		12 Month	Accrual	
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	Rate	
<u>(A)</u>	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
1		Intangible Plant				See Note	
	301.00	Organization	76,480	8,330	0	00011010	
2 3	302.00	Franchises & Consents	119,853	119,853	0		
3 4	303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	408,053	0	0		
5	303.00	MISC. ITRATIGIDIE FTATE	400,000	Ü	ŭ		
6		Total Intangible Plant	604,386	128,182	0		
7		Total Intangible Flant	004,000	120,102	ŭ		
8		Natural Gas Production Plant					
9	325.20	Producing Leaseholds	2,353	69	137		
10	325.40	Rights of Ways	83,422	955	1,888		
11	331.00	Production Gas Wells Equipment	3,492	3,492	0		
12	332.01	Field Lines	47,163	47,163	0		
13	332.02	Tributary Lines	528,218	529,956	0		
14	334.00	Field Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip	198,469	198,469	0		
15	336.00	Purification Equipment	44,369	1,167	2,307		
16	330.00	runneation Equipment	41,000	1,107	2,007		
17		Total Natural Gas Production Plant	907,486	781,271	4,332		
18		Total Nataral aus i Todastion Flant	007,100	701,7	,,002		
19		Storage Plant					
20	350.10	Land	261,127	0	0		
21	350.20	Rights of Way	4,682	4,757	0		
22	351.00	Structures & Improvements	4,700	2,503	28		
23	351.02	Compression Station Equipment	159,811	118,199	948		
24	351.03	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Structues	23,138	25,129	0		
25	351.04	Other Structures	144,554	132,962	857		
26	352.00	Wells \ Rights of Way	62,814	51,466	1,310		
27	352.01	Well Construction	2,113,527	1,795,052	44,081		
28	352.02	Well Equipment	531,954	583,481	0		
29	352.03	Cushion Gas	1,694,833	43,472	39,872		
30	352.10	Leaseholds	178,530	179,464	0		
31	352.11	Storage Rights	54,614	52,586	238		
32	353.01	Field Lines	178,501	186,188	0		
33	353.02	Tributary Lines	209,458	219,495	0		
34	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	546,780	481,599	3,243		
35	355.00	Meas & Reg. Equipment	288,851	290,474	0		
36	356.00	Purification Equipment	243,119	248,386	0		
37 38		Total Storage Plant	6,700,993	4,415,212	90,577		

### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Forecasted Period ended June 30, 2008

Data: \_\_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised Workpaper Reference No(s). FR 10(10)(b)3.2 Schedule B-3.2 Page 11 of 12 Witness: Tom Petersen

			Total Com	oany Adjusted Ju	ırisdiction
L.ine	Acct.			ith Avg.	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
		Transmission Plant			
1	365.10	Land	26,970	16	0
2	365.20	Rights of Way	838,245	342,444	13,672
3	366.02	Structures & Improvements	214,065	17,431	4,338
4	366.03	Other Structues	69,172	63,126	1,402
5	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	406,111	338,041	7,426
6	367.01	Mains - Steel	23,217,765	15,630,914	424,577
7	369.00	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	185,854	60,681	2,719
8	369.01	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	2,968,370	1,961,721	43,425
9		•			
10		Total Transmission Plant	27,926,553	18,414,372	497,559
11					
12		Distribution Plant			
3	374.00	Land & Land Rights	98,315	57,145	0
4	374.01	Land	51,571	0	0
5	374.02	Land Rights	244,565	26,362	4,496
6	374.03	Land Other	2,784	0	0
7	375.00	Structures & Improvements	312,033	34,273	9,808
8	375.01	Structures & Improvements T.B.	105,699	82,079	3,322
9	375.02	Land Rights	46,591	38,826	1,465
.0	375.03	Improvements	4,005	51,331	0
1	376.00	Mains Cathodic Protection	10,874,159	2,492,227	261,196
2	376.01	Mains - Steel	68,360,296	39,831,667	1,642,007
:3	376.02	Mains - Plastic	27,804,905	8,618,209	667,871
24	378.00	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip - General	3,132,686	1,442,340	59,454
25	379.00	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment - City Gate	1,277,515	168,827	30,686
26	379.05	Meas & Reg. Sta. Equipment T.B.	1,636,212	1,730,200	0
27	380.00	Services	79,748,813	39,569,257	4,122,785
28	381.00	Meters	14,802,451	2,527,504	1,179,325
9	382.00	Meter Installations	36,781,828	6,843,967	1,672,461
0	383.00	House Regulators	5,400,323	2,713,334	154,804
31	384.00	House Reg. Installations	154,276	140,951	3,080
32	385.00	Ind. Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment	4,926,403	2,148,899	127,097
33	386.00	Other Property on Cust Prem	0	2,511	0
34 35		Total Distribution Plant	255,765,430	108,519,908	9,939,858

### Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense, Accum. Reserve & Accrual Rates by Account Forecasted Period ended June 30, 2008

Data: \_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period Schedule B-3.2

Type of Filing: \_X\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_\_\_ Revised Page 12 of 12

Workpaper Reference No(s). Witness: \_\_\_ Tom Petersen

	aper Refere	ence No(s).	Witness: To			
,			Total Com	oany Adjusted Ju		
Line	Acct.		13 Mor	nth Avg.	12 Month	
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Expense	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
1		General Plant *				See
2	389.00	Land & Land Rights	71,393	28,459	0	-
3	390.01	Structures Frame	65,954	8,423	1,645	
4	390.02	Structures & Improvements	193,598	109,629	18,964	
5	390.03	Improvements	774,269	134,945	75,846	
6	390.04	Air Conditioning Equipment	14,251	8,084	1,188	
7	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	1,939,014	1,571,253	81,576	
8	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	2,496,243	1,425,957	105,852	
9	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	956	1,551	0	
10	391.03	Office Machines	119,984	4,045	6,500	
11	392.00	Transportation Equipment	509,135	(509,844)	304,276	
12	392.01	Trucks	16,597	25,470	0	
13	392.02	Trailers	111,671	154,672	0	
14	393.00	Stores Equipment	3,856	3,119	278	
15	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	1,449,163	72,973	93,816	
16	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	3,125	3,704	0	
17	396.03	Ditchers	223,756	(133,021)	45,916	
18	396.04	Backhoes	267,602	38,654	54,914	
19	396.05	Welders	33,959	(1,713)	6,969	
20	397.00	Communication Equipment	2,653,181	1,297,724	187,921	
21	397.01	Communication Equip Mobile Radios	3,338	(18,709)	179	
22	397.02	Communication Equip Fixed Radios	41,432	8,828	2,224	
23	397.05	Communication Equip Telemetering	312,236	106,882	16,759	
24	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	2,850,542	1,192,768	121,768	
25	399.00	Other Tangible Property	40,867	39,927	5,319	
26	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	1,255,886	852,243	73,192	
27	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	603,296	573,183	19,468	
28	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network - H/W	724,910	680,115	24,059	
29	399.04	Other Tangible Property - CPU	56,964	83,539	0	
30	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	60,318	77,441	0	
31	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	4,538,528	3,909,152	177,992	
32	399.07	Other Tang. Property - PC Software	515,241	447,639	21,295	
33	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software	7,610,511	4,689,742	845,902	
34	399.09	Other Tang. Property - Mainframe S/W	133,816	191,807	0	
35	399.24	Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	1,297,650	964,881	206,197	
36						
37		Total General Plant	30,993,244	18,043,519	2,500,016	
38						
39		Total Plant	322,898,092	150,302,465	13,032,342	

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Includes allocations from Shared Services and Mid States General office.

Column G Note: Depreciation rates are specific to Kentucky, Shared Services amd Mid States General office and can be found on schedules wpB.3.2 F series of schedules.

#### workpaper Computation of Depreciation Expense - Div. 09 KY Only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data:	_ Base P	eriod _X_ Fo	recasted P	eriod
Type of I	Filing:	_Original	_Updated_	_X_ Revised
Workpap	er Refere	ence No(S).:		

WP Sched. B-3.2 Page 7 of 9 Witness:

			DIVISIO		_ Annual		40.11
Line	Acct		13 Mont		Accrual		12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate Computation Projected	Expense 98.85%	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(G)		(F)
1		Intangible Plant					
2	301.00	Organization	8,330	8.330	0.00%	0	0
3	302.00	Franchises & Consents	119,853	119,853		0	0
4	303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	0	,	0.00%	0	C
5	000.00	Mico. Mangiolo / lane	· ·	Ť	0,00,0	-	-
6		Total Intangible Plant	128,182	128,182	-	0	C
7		Total mangiolo Tiam	120,102	,,,		-	_
8		Natural Gas Production Plant					
9	325.20	Producing Leaseholds	2,353	69	5.89%	139	137
10	325.40	Rights of Ways	83,422		2.29%	1,910	1,888
11	331.00	Production Gas Wells Equipment	3,492		0.00%	. 0	. 0
12	332.01	Field Lines	47,163	47,163		0	0
13	332.02	Tributary Lines	528,218	529,956		0	C
14	334.00	Field Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip	198,469	198,469		0	0
15	336.00	Purification Equipment	44,369	1,167	5.26%	2,334	2,307
16			·	·		•	
17		Total Natural Gas Production Plant	907,486	781,271	•	4,383	4,332
18			,	·			
19		Storage Plant					
20	350.10	Land	261,127	0	0.00%	0	C
21	350.20	Rights of Way	4,682	4,757	0.92%	0	C
22	351.00	Structures & Improvements	4,700	2,503	0.60%	28	28
23	351.02	Compression Station Equipment	159,811	118,199	0.60%	959	948
24	351.03	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Structues	23,138	25,129	1.93%	0	C
25	351.04	Other Structures	144,554	132,962	0.60%	867	857
26	352.00	Wells \ Rights of Way	62,814	51,466	2.11%	1,325	1,310
27	352.01	Well Construction	2,113,527	1,795,052	2.11%	44,595	44,081
28	352.02	Well Equipment	531,954	583,481	2.71%	0	0
29	352.03	Cushion Gas	1,694,833	43,472	2.38%	40,337	39,872
30	352.10	Leaseholds	178,530	179,464	0.30%	0	(
31	352.11	Storage Rights	54,614	52,586	0.44%	240	238
32	353.01	Field Lines	178,501	186,188	1.35%	0	(
33	353.02	Tributary Lines	209,458	219,495	1.35%	0	C
34	354.00	Compressor Station Equipment	546,780	481,599	0.60%	3,281	3,243
35	355.00	Meas & Reg. Equipment	288,851	290,474	0.12%	0	C
36	356.00	Purification Equipment	243,119	248,386		0	C
37		• •			_		
38		Total Storage Plant	6,700,993	4,415,212	-	91,633	90,577

#### workpaper Computation of Depreciation Expense - Div. 09 KY Only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data: \_\_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_\_\_\_ Original \_\_\_\_ Updated \_X\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(S).: \_\_\_\_\_\_

			DIVISI	ON 09	Annual		
Line	Acct.		13 Mon	th Avg.	Accrual	Reserve	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate	Computation	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(G)		(F)
		Transmission Plant					
1	365.10	Land	26,970	16	0.00%	0	(
2	365.20	Rights of Way	838,245	342,444	1.65%	13,831	13,672
3	366.02	Structures & Improvements	214,065	17,431	2.05%	4,388	4,338
4	366.03	Other Structues	69,172	63,126	2.05%	1,418	1,40
5	367.00	Mains - Cathodic Protection	406,111	338,041	1.85%	7,513	7,426
6	367.01	Mains - Steel	23,217,765	15,630,914	1.85%	429,529	424,57
7	369.00	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	185,854	60,681	1.48%	2,751	2,719
8	369.01	Meas. & Reg. Equipment	2,968,370	1,961,721	1.48%	43,932	43,425
9							
10		Total Transmission Plant	27,926,553	18,414,372	•	503,362	497,55
11							
12		Distribution Plant					
13	374.00	Land & Land Rights	98,315	57,145	0.00%	0	(
14	374.01	Land	51,571	. 0	0.00%	0	(
15	374.02	Land Rights	244,565	26,362	1.86%	4,549	4,49
16	374.03	Land Other	2,784		0.00%	. 0	
17	375.00	Structures & Improvements	312,033	34,273		9,923	9,80
18	375.01	Structures & Improvements T.B.	105,699	82,079		3,361	3,32
19	375.02	Land Rights	46,591	38,826		1,482	1,46
20	375.03	Improvements	4,005	51,331		. 0	
21	376.00	Mains Cathodic Protection	10,874,159	2,492,227		264,242	261,190
22	376.01	Mains - Steel	68,360,296	39,831,667		1,661,155	1,642,00
23	376.02	Mains - Plastic	27,804,905	8,618,209		675,659	667,87
24	378.00	Meas, & Reg. Sta. Equipment General	3,132,686	1,442,340		60,148	59,45
25	379.00	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment - City Gate	1,277,515	168,827		31,044	30,686
26	379.05	Meas & Reg. Sta. Equipment T.B.	1,636,212	1,730,200		. 0	
27	380.00	Services	79,748,813	39,569,257		4,170,863	4,122,78
28	381.00	Meters	14,802,451	2,527,504		1,193,078	1,179,32
29	382.00	Meter Installations	36,781,828	6,843,967		1,691,964	1,672,46
30	383.00	House Regulators	5,400,323	2,713,334		156,609	154,80
31	384.00	House Reg. Installations	154,276	140,951		3,116	3,080
32	385.00	Ind. Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equipment	4,926,403	2,148,899		128,579	127,09
33	386.00	Other Property on Cust Prem	0		3.00%	0	727,00
34	555.50	and the same of th	· ·	2,311	_,	v	
٠.				108,519,908			9,939,85

## workpaper Computation of Depréciation Expense - Div. 09 KY Only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data: \_\_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_\_\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_X\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(S).: \_\_\_\_\_\_

			DIVISI		Annual		
_ine	Acct.		13 Mon	th Avg.	Accrual	Reserve	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate	Computation	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(G)		(F)
		General Plant					
. 1	389.00	Land & Land Rights	71,393	28,459	0.00%	0	(
2	390.01	Structures Frame	0	0		0	(
3	390.02	Structures & Improvements	193,598	109,629	9.91%	19,186	18,96
4	390.03	Improvements	774,269	134,945	9.91%	76,730	75,846
5	390.04	Air Conditioning Equipment	12,129	5,868	9.91%	1,202	1,188
6	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	1,382,343	1,166,083	2.36%	32,623	32,247
7	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	1,560,722	603,410		97,077	95,958
8	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	0	0		0	(
9	391.03	Office Machines	94,911	(20,448)	6.22%	5,903	5,835
10	392.00	Transportation Equipment	514,843	(507,588)		307,825	304,276
11	392.01	Trucks	16,597	25,470		0	
12	392.02	Trailers	111,671	154,672		0	(
13	393.00	Stores Equipment	. 0	0		0	(
14	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	1,404,373	63,134	6.63%	93,110	92,03
15	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	0	0		0	
16	396.03	Ditchers	223,756	(133,021)	20.76%	46,452	45,910
17	396.04	Backhoes	267,602		20.76%	55,554	54,91
18	396.05	Welders	33,959	(1,713)	20.76%	7,050	6,96
19	397.00	Communication Equipment	1,141,094	703,626	5.43%	61,961	61,24
20	397.01	Communication Equip Mobile Radios	3,338	(18,709)	5.43%	181	179
21	397.02	Communication Equip Fixed Radios	41,432	8,828	5.43%	2,250	2,22
22	397.05	Communication Equip Telemetering	312,236	106,882	5.43%	16,954	16,75
23	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	2,511,890	1,107,139		107,006	105,773
24	399.00	Other Tangible Property	0	0		0	(
25	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	175,990	205,672	2.71%	0	(
26	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	113,473	146,838	14.29%	0	(
27	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network - H/W	511,781	545,999	5.22%	0	(
28	399.04	Other Tangible Property - CPU	0	0		0	(
29	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	0	0		0	(
30	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	3,631,797	3,410,816	0.61%	22,154	21,899
31	399.07	Other Tang. Property - PC Software	242,979	249,794	19.16%	0	
32	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software	522,254	459,904	17.49%	91,342	90,289
33	399.09	Other Tangible Property - Mainframe - S/W	0	0	0.00%	0	
34 35	399.24	Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	0	0	0.00%	0	
36 37		Total General Plant	15,870,429	8,594,342		1,044,561	1,032,520
38		Total Plant	307,299,074	140,853,287	_	11,699,710	11,564,84

### Atmos Energy Corporation, KY Case No. 2006-00464 workpaper Computation of Depreciation Expense - Div. 02 General Ofice only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data: \_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_\_\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_X\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(S).: \_\_\_\_\_

WP Sched. B-3.2 Page 1 of 2 Witness:

			DIVISIO	ON 02	Annual		
ine	Acct.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13 Mont	13 Month Avg.		Reserve	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate Projected	•	Expense 99.92%
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(G)		(F)
1	389.00	General Plant Land & Land Rights	0	٥	0.00%	0	(
2	390.01	Structures Frame	0		0.00%	0	
3	390.01	Structures & Improvements	0	_	0.00%	0	
4	390.02	Improvements	0		0.00%	0	
5	390.03	Air Conditioning Equipment	0		0.00%	0	
6		•	-	5,759,267		653,401	652,85
7	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	7,180,234			•	188,99
	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	8,880,324	6,072,967		189,151 0	100,99
8	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	18,384		11.37%		
9	391.03	Office Machines	255,134	292,550		0	
10	392.00	Transportation Equipment	18,885	•	28.96%	0	
11	392.01	Trucks	0		0.00%	0	
12	392.02	Trailers	0		0.00%	0	
13	393.00	Stores Equipment	(1,516)		10.00%	0	
14	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	1,343		10.00%	0	
15	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	0	0	0.00%	0	
16	396.03	Ditchers	0	0	0.00%	0	
17	396.04	Backhoes	0	0	0.00%	0	
18	396.05	Welders	0	0	0.00%	0	
19	397.00	Communication Equipment	990,598	308,482	8.45%	83,705	83,63
20	397.01	Communication Equip Mobile Radios	0	0	0.00%	0	
21	397.02	Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0.00%	0	
22	397.05	Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0.00%	0	
23	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	631,550	429,080	8.15%	51,471	51,42
24	399.00	Other Tangible Property	10,196	11,200	4.66%	0	
25	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	9,436,183	2,501,386	6.95%	655,815	655,26
26	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	1,971,595	807,464		78,864	78,79
27	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network - H/W	1,917,244	628,553		178,304	178,15
28	399.04	Other Tangible Property - CPU	1,095,465	1,606,519		0	
29	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	1,159,964	1,489,243		0	
30	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	3,086,387	2,272,695		458,637	458,25
31	399.07	Other Tang. Property - PC Software	1,467,647	1,170,832		132,382	132,27
32	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software	50,421,532	22,467,881		5,601,832	5,597,13
32 33	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software Other Tangible Property - Mainframe - S/W	2,573,389	3,688,598		0,001,002	5,597,15
		Other Tangible Property - Maintaine - 5/W Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	2,573,369 0			0	
34 35	399.24	Other rang, Property - General Staffup Costs	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_15.89%		
36 37		Total General Plant	91,114,538	49,577,681	-	8,083,562	8,076,77
37 38		Total Plant	91,114,538	49,577,681		8,083,562	8,076,77

#### workpaper Computation of Depreciation Expense - Div 12 Customer Service only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data: \_\_\_ Base Period \_X\_ Forecasted Period
Type of Filing: \_\_\_ Original \_\_\_ Updated \_X\_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(S).: \_\_\_\_\_

WP Sched. B-3.2 Page 1 of 2 Witness:

			DIVISION 12		Annual		
Line	Acct		13 Mon	th Avg.	Accrual	Reserve	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate	Computation	Expense
					Projected		100.00%
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	<u>(H)</u>
		General Plant			0.000/	•	
1	389.00	Land & Land Rights	0		0.00%	0	0
2	390.01	Structures Frame	0		0.00%	0	0
3	390.02	Structures & Improvements	0	_	0.00%	0	0
4	390.03	Improvements	0		0.00%	0	0
5	390.04	Air Conditioning Equipment	0		0.00%	0	0
6	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	3,018,160	1,553,690		274,653	274,653
7	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	56,077	11,875		1,194	1,194
8	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	0		0.00%	0	0
9	391.03	Office Machines	0	0	0.00%	0	0
10	392.00	Transportation Equipment	0	0	0.00%	0	0
11	392.01	Trucks	0	0	0.00%	0	0
12	392.02	Trailers	0	0	0.00%	0	0
13	393.00	Stores Equipment	0	0	0.00%	0	0
14	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	0	0	0.00%	0	0
15	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	0	0	0.00%	0	0
16	396.03	Ditchers	0	0	0.00%	0	0
17	396.04	Backhoes	0	0	0.00%	0	0
18	396.05	Welders	0	0	0.00%	0	0
19	397.00	Communication Equipment	24,199,330	9,432,840	8.45%	2,044,843	2,044,843
20	397.01	Communication Equip Mobile Radios	0		0.00%	0	0
21	397.02	Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0.00%	0	0
22	397.05	Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0.00%	0	0
23	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,916	428	8.15%	156	156
24	399.00	Other Tangible Property	214,670	235,803		0	0
25	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	10,051,060	8,746,527		698,549	698,549
26	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	6,861,747	6,774,304		274,470	274,470
27	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network - H/W	459,784	264,431		42,760	42,760
28	399.04	Other Tangible Property - CPU	0	•	0.00%	0	0
29	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	0		0.00%	0	0
30	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware	3,599,489	1,545,069		534,884	534,884
31	399.07	Other Tangible Property - PC Software	2,854,096	1,586,604		257,439	257,439
32	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software	74,669,220	41,318,325		8,295,750	8,295,750
		Other Tangible Property - Mainframe - S/W	74,003,220		0.00%	0,233,730	0,293,730
33	399.09	· , ,				_	
34 35	399.24	Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	23,172,326	17,230,016	. 15.09%	3,682,083	3,682,083
36		Total General Plant	149,157,876	88,699,913		16.106.782	16,106,782
37		TOTAL STORES I MITE		30,000,010	•	.01.001.02	,,
		Total Plant	149,157,876	88,699,913		16,106,782	

# Atmos Energy Corporation, KY Case No. 2006-00464 workpaper Computation of Depreciation Expense - Div. 91 Admin. Office only Forecast Period Ending 6-30-2008

Data: Base Period _X_ Fo	precasted Peri	od
Type of Filing: _X_ Original	_ Updated	_ Revised
Workpaper Reference No(S).:		

WP Sched. B-3.2 Page 7 of 9 Witness:

			DIVISIO		Annual		
ine	Acct.		13 Mont	h Avg.	Accrual	Reserve	12 Month
No.	No.	Account Titles	Investment	Reserve	Rate	Computation	Expense
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(G)		98.979 (F)
4		Intensible Plant					
1	004.00	Intangible Plant	405.000	0	0.000/	0	,
2	301.00	Organization	185,309		0.00%	0	(
3	302.00	Franchises & Consents	0			0	(
4	303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	1,109,552	0	0.00%	0	(
5 6		Total Intangible Plant	1,294,861	0	•	0	
7		rotal mangible i lant	1,207,001	Ū		· ·	
8							
9		Distribution Plant					
10	376.01	Mains - Steel	0	٥	3.61%	0	
	3/6.01	Mains - Steel	U	Ü	3.01%	U	
11		T . 101 . D 1			-		
12		Total Plant Distribution	0	0		0	
13							
14		General Plant					
15	389.00	Land & Land Rights	0		0.00%	0	
16	390.01	Structures Frame	179,339	22,902		4,519	4,47
17	390.02	Structures & Improvements	0		0.00%	0	
18	390.03	Improvements	0	0	0.00%	0	
19	390.04	Air Conditioning Equipment	5,771	6,026	2.52%	0	
20	390.09	Improvement to Leased Premises	38,834	50,798	2.52%	0	
21	391.00	Office Furniture & Equipment	1,279,638	1,376,122	5.69%	0	
22	391.02	Remittance Processing Equip	0		0.00%	0	
23	391.03	Office Machines	32,103	25,234		1,827	1,80
24	392.00	Transportation Equipment	(18,191)	(11,244)		0	.,00
25	392.01	Trucks	(10,101)		0.00%	0	
26	392.02	Trailers	ő		0.00%	0	
27			10,698		7.15%	765	75
	393.00	Stores Equipment					
28	394.00	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	121,600	26,017		4,888	4,83
29	396.00	Power Operated Equipment	8,497		11.11%	0	
30	396.03	Ditchers	0		0.00%	0	
31	396.04	Backhoes	0		0.00%	0	
32	396.05	Welders	0		0.00%	0	
33	397.00	Communication Equipment	286,634	135,459		21,469	21,24
34	397.01	Communication Equip Mobile Radios	0		0.00%	0	
35	397.02	Communication Equip Fixed Radios	0	0	0.00%	0	
36	397.05	Communication Equip Telemetering	0	0	0.00%	0	
37	398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	831,253	172,103	4.40%	36,575	36,19
38	399.00	Other Tangible Property	76,993	71,076	18.98%	14,613	14,46
39	399.01	Other Tangible Property - Servers - H/W	71,663		14.29%	0	
40	399.02	Other Tangible Property - Servers - S/W	8,273		14.29%	0	
41	399.03	Other Tangible Property - Network - H/W	238,424	235,540		34,071	33,71
42	399.04	Other Tangible Property - CPU	0		0.00%	0	0017
43	399.05	Other Tangible Property - MF Hardware	ő		0.00%	0	
		<del>-</del> , ,	1,481,024	798,427		281,098	
44	399.06	Other Tangible Property - PC Hardware					278,19
45	399.07	Other Tang. Property - PC Software	98,204	130,822		0	
46	399.08	Other Tang. Property - Application Software	774,577	2,033,050		0	
47	399.09	Other Tangible Property - Mainframe - S/W	0		0.00%	0	
48	399.24	Other Tang. Property - General Startup Costs	0	0	_0.00%	0_	
49		Total Communal Diame	F F05 000	F 477 070		000 000	005.00
50		Total General Plant	5,525,332	5,177,079	•	399,826	395,69
51 50		Total Plant	g gan 100	E 177 070		300 006	30E 80.
52		Total Plant	6,820,193	5,177,079		399,826	395,69

# Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 174

Witness: Greg Waller

### **Data Request:**

Please provide the amount of depreciation expense related to the SSU assets and allocated to Kentucky (Waller, p. 17). Also, provide the calculation of those amounts in Excel, with all formulae intact, showing plant balances, depreciation rates, the allocation factor used to allocate the expense to Kentucky, and a description of how that allocation factor is derived.

### Response:

The amount of depreciation expense related to the SSU assets and allocated to Kentucky is \$1,098,225 for the base period and \$1,321,972 for the forecasted test period. These amounts are included in the amounts in Mr. Waller's testimony (page 17).

The depreciation expense related to SSU assets is provided in detail as a response to the previous DR #173.

Please refer to the attachment Case 2006-00464 AG DR1-173 ATT tabs B.3.2 B 02, B.3.2 B 12, wpB.3.2 F 02, and wpB.3.2 F 12. These schedules are sponsored by Tom Petersen as a part of the rate base calculation.

Please see Mr. Cagle's testimony for information regarding the calculation of allocation factors.

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

# Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 175

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

### **Data Request:**

Please provide a complete electronic copy of Mr. Uffelman's class cost of service study with all internal formulas intact.

### Response:

Please see the attached CD labeled AG DR1-175 ATT for a complete copy of the Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division class cost of service study with all internal formulas intact.

# Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

# Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 176

Witness: Gary Smith

### Data Request:

Were any administrative and general expenses allocated to gas costs for recovery through the GCA mechanism? If so, please describe the method for doing so and the amount allocated.

### Response:

No.





March 29, 2006

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 2006-000135

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

We are filing the enclosed original and three (3) copies of a notice under the provisions of our Gas Cost Adjustment Clause, Case No. 2006-00135. This filing contains a Petition of Confidentiality and confidential documents.

Please indicate receipt of this filing by stamping and dating the enclosed duplicate of this letter and returning it in the self-addressed stamped envelope to the following address:

Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75240

If you have any questions, feel free to call me at 972-855-3011.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Thomas of March

**Enclosures** 

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### RECEIVED

MAR 3 0 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT ) Case No. 2006 - 00135 FILING OF )
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION )

NOTICE

### QUARTERLY FILING

For The Period

May 1, 2006 - July 31, 2006

Attorney for Applicant

Mark R. Hutchinson 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301 Atmos Energy Corporation, ("the Company"), is duly qualified under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky to do its business. The Company is an operating public utility engaged in the business of purchasing, transporting and distributing natural gas to residential, commercial and industrial users in western and central Kentucky. The Company's principal operating office and place of business is 2401 New Hartford Road, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301. Correspondence and communications with respect to this notice should be directed to:

Gary L. Smith
Vice President - Marketing &
Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division
Atmos Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 866
Owensboro, Kentucky 42302

Mark R. Hutchinson Attorney for Applicant 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Thomas J. Morel Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75240 The Company gives notice to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, hereinafter "the Commission", pursuant to the Gas Cost Adjustment Clause contained in the Company's settlement gas rate schedules in Case No. 99-070.

The Company hereby files Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 4, Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 5 and Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 6 to its PSC No. 1, Rates, Rules and Regulations for Furnishing Natural Gas to become effective May 1, 2006.

The Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) for firm sales service is \$9.3487 per Mcf, \$8.4754 per Mcf for high load factor firm sales service, and \$8.4754 per Mcf for interruptible sales service. The supporting calculations for the Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 5 are provided in the following Exhibits:

Exhibit A - Summary of Derivations of Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) .....

Exhibit B - Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation .....

Exhibit C - Rates used in the Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation ....

Exhibit D - Correction Factor (CF) Calculation .....

Exhibit E - Refund Certificate of Compliance .....

Exhibit F - LVS Pricing Calculation .....

Since the Company's last GCA filing, Case No. 2005-00552, the following changes have occurred in its pipeline and gas supply commodity rates for the GCA period.

- 1. The commodity rates per MMbtu used are based on historical estimates and/or current data for the quarter May 2006 through July 2006, as shown in Exhibit C, page 19.
- 2. The Expected Commodity Gas Cost will be approximately \$7.9545 MMbtu for the quarter May 2006 through July 2006, as compared to \$10.3019 per MMbtu used for the quarter of February 2006 through April 2006.
- 3. The Company's notice sets out a new Correction Factor of \$0.2988 per Mcf, which will remain in effect until at least July 31, 2006.

The GCA tariff as approved in Case No. 92-558 provides for a Correction Factor (CF) which compensates for the difference between the expected gas cost and the actual gas cost for prior periods. A revision to the GCA tariff effective December 1, 2001, Filing No. T62-1253, provides that the Correction Factor be filed on a quarterly basis. The Company is filing its updated Correction Factor that is based upon the balance in the Company's Account 191 as of January 31, 2006. The calculation for the Correction Factor is shown on Exhibit D, Page 1.

WHEREFORE, Atmos Energy Corporation requests this Commission, pursuant to the Commission's order in Case No. 99-070, to approve the Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) as filed in Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 5; and Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 6 setting out the General Transportation Tariff Rate T-2 for each respective sales rate for meter readings made on and after May 1, 2006.

DATED at Dallas Texas, this 29th Day of March, 2006.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

By:

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Atmos Energy Corporation

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

		Current Rate Case No. 20						1	
Firm Service									
Base Charge: Residential		£7 50	per meter per r	nonth					
Non-Residential			per meter per r						
Carriage (T-4)		- 220.00	per delivery po	oint per month					
Transportation Administrati	m Fee	- 50.00	per customer p	er meter					
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>	Sales (G-1)		Transport (	Γ-2)	Carris	ıge (T-4)			
First 300 ' Mcf'	@ 10.5387	per Mcf	@ 2.2472	per Mcf	0000	1.1900	per Mcf		R,
Next 14,700 Mcf Over 15,000 Mcf		per Mcf per Mcf	(a) 1.7162 (a) 1.4872	per Mcf per Mcf	@ @		per Mcf per Mcf		₹, ₹,
15,500 11442	<i>y y</i> , o.	por const	9	F-1	O				
High Load Factor Firm Se	rvice							-	
HLF demand charge/Mcf	@ 4.5576		@ 4.5576	per Mcf of daily Contract Demand	i			(R)	
Rate per Mcf	0 0.0054	Y # - F	A 1 2720	Mof					
First 300 Mcf	-	per Mcf	_	per Mof				(R, R)	
Next 14,700 Mcf Over 15,000 Mcf		per Mof per Mof		per Mcf per Mcf				(R, R)	
0 10 10 10 1101	3.505	201 11-01	<b>(</b>	<b>F</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Interruptible Service									
Base Charge			per delivery po						
Transportation Administrati	on Fee	- 50,00	per customer p	er meter					
	Sales (G-2)		Transport (	<u> </u>	<u>Carria</u>	nge (T-3)			
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>		per Mcf	@ 0.7139	per Mcf	@		per Mcf	(R, F	R,
Rate per Mcf <sup>-</sup> First 15,000 <sup>1</sup> Mcf	@ 9.0470	, por man		per Mcf	(a)		per Mcf		

ISSUED:

March 29, 2006

Effective:

May 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

### **Current Gas Cost Adjustments** Case No. 2006-00000 <u>Applicable</u> For all Mcf billed under General Sales Service (G-1) and Interruptible Sales Service (G-2). Gas Charge = GCA GCA = EGC + CF + RF + PBRRFHLF Gas Cost Adjustment Components G-1G-2G-1 EGC (Expected Gas Cost Component) 9.0117 8.1384 8.1384 (R, R, R) CF (Correction Factor) 0.2988 0.2988 0.2988 (R, R, R) RF (Refund Adjustment) (0.0017)(0.0017)(0.0017)(N, N, I) PBRRF (Performance Based Rate 0.0399 Recovery Factor) 0.0399 0.0399 (N, N, N) GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment) \$9.3487 \$8,4754 \$8.4754 (R, R, R)

ISSUED:

March 29, 2006

Effective:

May 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

			(		ansportation		Carriage				
				Ci	ase No. 2006-0	0000					
	. 2004-00398										
	General Transpo ective service net				Rates T-3 and T	`-4) fi	or each			o, tal-ferrore_1	
Syst	tem Lost and Un	accounted gas	percentag	e <b>:</b>					1.38%		
		,			Simple Margin		Non- Commodity		Gross Margin		
Tra	asportation Ser	vice (T-Z)									1
a)	Firm Service	_									1
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$1.0572	=	\$2.2472	-	(R)
	Next	14,700 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	1.0572	==		per Mcf	(R)
	All over	15,000	Mof	@	0.4300	+	1.0572	=	1.4872	per Mcf	(R)
b)		ictor Firm Servic	e (HLF)						0.4 5555	1.6.6	
	Demand			@	\$0.0000	+	4.5576	=	daily contract	per Mcf of demand	(R)
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.1839	=	\$1.3739	per Mcf	(R)
	Next	14,700 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	0.6590	÷	0.1839	=	0.8429	per Mcf	(R)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.1839	=	0.6139	per Mcf	(R)
c)	Interruptible S	Service									
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.1839	=	\$0.7139	per Mcf	(R)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.1839	107	0.5430	per Mcf	(R)
Car	riage Service 3										
	Firm Service	(T-4)									
	First	300	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.0000	=	\$1.1900	per Mcf	(14)
	Next	14,700	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.0000	=	0.6590	per Mcf	(14)
	All over	15,000	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.0000	==	0.4300	per Mcf	(N)
	Interruptible !	Service (T-3)									
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.0000	=	\$0.5300	per Mcf	(N)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.0000	==	0.3591	per Mcf	(14)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Includes standby sales service under corresponding sales rates. GRI Rider may also apply.

ISSUED:

March 29, 2006

Effective:

May 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

All gas consumed by the customer (Sales and transportation; firm, high load factor, interruptible, and carriage) will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Excludes standby sales service.

### Atmos Energy Corporation

### Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 1 of 5

Line		Case		
No.	Description	2005-00552	2006-00000	Difference
***************************************		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-1			
2	Special Conference on the second			
3	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
4	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
5	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
7				
8	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
9	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):			
10	Commodity	10.3019	7.9545	(2.3474)
11	Demand	1.2622	1.0572	(0.2050)
12	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Transition Costs	0.0000	9.0117	0.0000
14	Total EGC	11.5641 0.0000	0.0000	(2.5524) 0.0000
15	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.7717	0.2988	(0.4729)
16 17	CF (Correction Factor) RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000
18	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
19	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	12,3740	9.3487	(3.0253)
		12.3740	9.3487	(3.0253)
20	Total Billing Cost of Gas	12.3740	7.5401	(3.0233)
21	Commodity Charge (GCA included):			
22 23	First 300 Mcf	13.5640	10.5387	(3.0253)
23 24	Next 14,700 Mcf	13.0330	10.0077	(3.0253)
25	Over 15,000 Mcf	12.8040	9.7787	(3.0253)
26	GV6( 15,000 NOL .	12.00.0	311.10.	(0.000)
27	HLF (High Load Factor)			
28	Table Months and Comments			
29	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
30	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
31	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
32	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
33	75,000 1101			
34	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
35	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):			
36	Commodity	10,3019	7.9545	(2.3474)
37	Demand	0.2195	0.1839	(0.0356)
38	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
39	Transition Costs	0,0000	0.0000	0.0000
40	Total EGC	10.5214	8.1384	(2.3830)
41	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	CF (Correction Factor)	0.7717	0.2988	(0.4729)
42	· ·	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000
43	RF (Refund Adjustment) PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Pactor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11.3313	8.4754	(2.8559)
45	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)			•
46	Total Cost of Gas to Bill (excludes MDQ Demand)	11.3313	8.4754	(2.8559)
47				
48	Commodity Charge (GCA included):			
49	First 300 Mcf	12.5213	9.6654	(2.8559)
50	Next 14,700 Mcf	11.9903	9.1344	(2.8559)
51	Over 15,000 Mcf	11.7613	8,9054	(2.8559)
52				
53	HLF Demand			
54	Contract Demand Factor	5.4418	4.5576	(0.8842)

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 2 of 5

Line				Case No.				
No.	Description			2005-00552	2006-00000	Difference		
				\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf		
1	<u>G-2</u>	***						
2	G 11 G	(B B						
3		e (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):		0.5300	0.5300	0.0000		
4		000 Mcf		0.3591	0.3591	0.0000		
5 6	Over 15,	000 Mcf		0.5591	0.3391	U.UUU		
7	Gas Cost Adjustme	ent Components						
8	Expected Gas Cos							
9	Commodity	si (EGC).		10.3019	7,9545	(2.3474)		
10	Demand			0.2195	0.1839	(0.0356)		
11	Take-Or-Pay			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
12	Transition Costs			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
13	Total EGC			10.5214	8.1384	(2.3830)		
14	Less: Base Cost o	f Gas (BCOG)		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
15	Correction Factor			0.7717	0.2988	(0,4729)		
16	Refund Adjustme	-		(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000		
17		ed Rate Recovery Factor (PBRRF)		0.0399	0.0399	0.0000		
18	Gas Cost Adjustm			11.3313	8,4754	(2.8559)		
19	Total Cost of Gas	• •		11.3313	8,4754	(2.8559)		
20	Total Cost in Gas	to Bill		11.3213	0.H1JH	(2.0555)		
21	Commodity Charge	a (GCA included).						
22		000 Mcf		11.8613	9,0054	(2.8559)		
23		000 Mcf		11.6904	8,8345	(2.8559)		
23 24	Over 15,	OOO MCI		11.0504	4.60.6	(2.0337)		
25								
26	Monthly Refund Fa	antor						
27	WEGHENT POLICIANA TE	2007	Effective					
28		Case No.	Date	G-1	G-1/HLF	G-2		
	_							
29	1 -	1999-070 L	07/01/01	0,000,0	0,000	0.0000		
30	2 -	1999-070 M	08/01/01	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000		
31	3 -	1999-070 N	10/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
32	4 -	1999-070 O	11/01/01	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0019)		
33	5 -	1999-070 P	05/03/02	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
34	6 -	2002-00251	08/01/02	(0.0095)	(0.0095)	(0.0019)		
35	7 -	2002-00359	11/01/02	<u>(0.1574)</u>	(0.1574)	(0.0391)		
36	8 -	2003-00377	11/01/03	<u>(0.0006)</u>	<u>(0.0006)</u>	(0.0006)		
37	9 -	2004-00269	08/01/04	(0.0048)	(0.0048)	(0.0048)		
38	10 -	2005-00399	11/01/05	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)		
39	11 -			` ′	,	` `		
40	12-							
41	12-							
42	Total Cumulian Das	and Adjustment (RF)		(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)		
42	totat aubbuet Kert	ma valuemem (VL)		(0.0017)	(0.0011)	(0.0017)		
43								

Atmos Energy Corporation Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Firm Transportation Service

Line		Case		
No.	Description	2005-00552	2006-00000	Difference
180.	Postription	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	<u>T-2\G-1</u>			
2	1-2/0-1			
3				
4.	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.000.0
7	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
8				
9	Non-Commodity Components:	1,2622	1.0572	(0.2050)
10	Demand	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
1.1	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjustment) Total	1.2622	1.0572	(0.2050)
14 15	LOIZE	<b>2</b>		
16	Gross Margin:	•		
17	First 300 Mcf	2,4522	2.2472	(0.2050)
18	Next 14,700 Mcf	1.9212	1.7162	(0.2050)
19	Over 15,000 Mcf	1.6922	1.4872	(0.2050)
20				
21	<u>T-2\G-1\HLF</u>			
22				
23	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
24	First 300 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
25	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
26	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	01-200	3,42.5
27 28	Non-Commodity Components:			
29	Demand	0.2195	0.1839	(0.0356)
30	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
33	Total	0.2195	0.1839	(0.0356)
34				
35	Gross Margin (Excluding HLF Demand):	1.4095	1,3739	(0.0356)
36	First 300 Mcf	0,8785	0.8429	(0.0356)
37	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6495	0.6139	(0.0356)
38	Over 15,000 Mof	0.0493	0.0103	,
39 40	HLF Demand			
40	Contract Demand Factor	4.6207	4.5576	(0.0631)
41 42	Collegate Delimina Lation			
42				

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 4 of 5

Line			Cas	e No.	
No.	Description		2005-00552	2006-00000	Difference
			\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	Carriage Service	•			
2					
3	Firm Service (T-4)				
4	Simple Margin (Bas	e Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First	300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next	14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
7	Over	15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
ઈ					
9	Non-Commodity Co	mponents:			
11	Take-Or-Pay		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjust	ment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15					
16	Gross Margin:				
17	First	300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
18		14,700 Mcf	0,6590	0.6590	0.0000
19	Over	15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
20			•		

### Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Transportation and Carriage Service

Line		Cas	e No.	
No.	Description	2005-00552	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	General Transporation (T-2)			
2				
3	Interruptible Service (G-2)			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
7				
8	Non-Commodity Components:		2.1222	/A AAAA
9	Demand	0.2195	0.1839	(0.0356)
10	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Transition Costs	0,000	0.0000	0.000.0
12	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0,000,0	0.0000	<u>0.0000</u> (0.0356)
13	Total	0,2195	0.1839	(0.0356)
14				
15	Gross Margin:	0.5405	0.7139	(0.0356)
16	First 15,000 Mcf	0.7495 0.5786	0.7139	(0.0356)
17	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3760	0.2450	(0.0330)
18	~ . ~ .			
19	Carriage Service			
20	or 1 m 1 /m n)			
21	Carriage Service (T-3)			
22	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	0.7000	0.5300	0.0000
23	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300 0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
24	Over 15,000 Mcf	1,523,1	0.3391	0.0000
25	V 6 P. 6			
26	Non-Commodity Components:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
28	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	Total	0,0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	Garan Managina			
33	<u>Gross Margin:</u> First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
34 25	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
35	Gyet 15,000 Met	0.5571	0.5071	
36				

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 1 of 11

Transition   No. Description   Sheet No.   Units   Rate   Total   Demand   Costs					(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
1   SL to Zone 2   2   NNS Contract #   N0210   12,617,673   20   0.3088   3,896,336   3,896,336   3,896,336   4   GSR   20   0.0000   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		Description				Rate	Tatal		
1 SL to Zone 2 2 NNS Contract # N0210 12,617,673 3 Base Rate 20 0.0000 0 0 0.0000 4 GSR 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 5 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 6 Unree TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 7 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 8 Mise Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 9 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 7 Total SL to Zone 2 12,617,673 3,896,336 3,896,336 0 8 SL to Zone 3 10 NNS Contract # N0340 27,480,375 11 Base Rate 20 0.0000 0 0 0 12 GSR 20 0.0000 0 0 0 13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unree TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 16 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 19 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 10 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 16 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 18 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 18 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 19 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unree TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 GRI 27 CA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 28 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 29 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 20 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 20 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 25 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 27 GRI 27 CR Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 28 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 29 GRI 20 Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 20 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 20 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 20 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-								
3 Base Rate 20 0,3088 3,896,336 3,896,336 4 GSR 20 0,0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	SL to Zone 2							
4 GSR 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	NNS Contract #	N0210		12,617,673				
5 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	Base Rate				0.3088	3,896,336	3,896,336	
6 Unrea TCA Sureis 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4			20		0.0000			0
7 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 8 Miss Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 9 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 6 7 Total SL to Zone 2 12,617,673 3,896,336 3,896,336 0 8 9 SL to Zone 3 10 NNS Contract # N0340 27,480,375 11 Base Rate 20 0.0000 0 0 0 13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unrer TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 16 Miss Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Miss Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 28 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 29 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 20 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 21 SS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 Miss Cred TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Miss Cred TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 27 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 28 Miss Cred TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 30 31	5	TCA Adjustment		20			0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj       20       0.0000       0       0         9 GRI       20       0.0000       0       0         6       0       0       0       0         7 Total SL to Zone 2       12,617,673       3,896,336       3,896,336       0         8       9 SL to Zone 3       0       0       0       0         10 NNS Contract #       M0340       27,480,375       0       0       0       0         11 Base Rate       20       0.0000       0       0       0       0       0         12 GSR       20       0.0000       0<	6	Unrec TCA Surch					0	0	
9 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 6 7 Total SL to Zone 2 12,617,673 3,896,336 3,896,336 0 8 9 SL to Zone 3 10 NNS Contract # N0340 27,480,375 11 Base Rate 20 0.0000 0 0 0 13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unrec TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 16 Misc Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 TF Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 28 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 29 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 20 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 21 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 27 POTAL SURCE ADD TOTAL	7	ISS Credit				0.0000	0	0	
6 7 Total SL to Zone 2 12,617,673 3,896,336 3,896,336 0 0 8 9 SL to Zone 3	8	Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
7 Total SL to Zone 2  12,617,673  3,896,336  3,896,36  3,86,636  3,896,36  3,896,36  3,896,36  3,896,36  3,896,36  3,896,36	9	GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
8 9 SL to Zone 3 10 NNS Contract # N0340 27,480,375 11 Base Rate 20 0.3543 9,736,297 9,736,297 12 GSR 20 0.0000 0 0 0 13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unree TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 16 Mise Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 19 19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unree TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Mise Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0				_					
10 NNS Contract # N0340   27,480,375   11 Base Rate   20   0.3543   9,736,297   9,736,297   12 GSR   20   0.0000   0   0   0   0   0   13 TCA Adjustment   20   0.0000   0   0   0   0   0   14 Unrec TCA Surch   20   0.0000   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		Total SL to Zone 2		_	12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
11   Base Rate   20	9	SL to Zone 3							
12 GSR 20 0.0000 0 0 0 13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unrec TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 16 Misc Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0 18 19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.0000 0 0 0 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0	10	NNS Contract #	N0340		27,480,375				
13 TCA Adjustment 20 0.0000 0 0 0 14 Unrec TCA Surch 20 0.0000 0 0 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 16 Miso Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 18 19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.0000 0 0 0 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 Miso Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 28 Drotal SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0 30 31 32	11					0.3543	9,736,297	9,736,297	
14 Unrec TCA Surch 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12			20		0.0000	0		0
14 Unrec TCA Surch 15 ISS Credit 20 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13	TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj 20 0.0000 0 0 0 17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 18 19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0	14			20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI 20 0.0000 0 0 0  18  19 FT Contract # 3355 3,130,605  20 Base Rate 24 0.0000 0 0 0  21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0  22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0  23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0  24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0  25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0  26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0  27  28  29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0  31  32	15	ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
18 19 FT Contract# 3355 20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unree TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GR1 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0	16	Misc Rev Cr Adj		20			0	0	
19 FT Contract# 3355 3,130,605 20 Base Rate 24 0,2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0,0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0,0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0,0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0,0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0,0000 0 0 0 26 GR1 24 0,0000 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0	17			20		0.0000	0	0	
20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0	18								
20 Base Rate 24 0.2494 780,773 780,773 21 GSR 24 0.0000 0 0 0 22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0		FT Contract #	3355		3,130,605				
22 TCA Adjustment 24 0.0000 0 0 23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0.0000 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0	20	Base Rate		24		0.2494	780,773	780,773	
23 Unrec TCA Surch 24 0.0000 0 0 24 ISS Credit 24 0,0000 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 30 31 32	21	GSR		24		0.0000	0		0
24 ISS Credit 24 0,0000 0 0 25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0,0000 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 31 32	22	TCA Adjustment		24			0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj 24 0.0000 0 0 26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 31 32	23			24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI 24 0.0000 0 0 27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 30 31 32	24	ISS Credit		24		0,0000	. 0	0	
27 28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0 31 32	25	Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
28 29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 10,517,070 0 31 32	26	GR1		24		0.0000	0	0	
29 Total SL to Zone 3 30,610,980 10,517,070 0 30 31 32	27								
30 31 32	28								
31 32	29	Total SL to Zone 3		_	30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
32	30								
	31								
	32								
33	33								
34	34								
35	35								
36 '	36	1							
37									
38									
39									
40	40								

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 2 of 11

				(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line No.	Description		Tariff Sheet No.	Annual Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Transition Costs
	27 0001 20 000			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1	Zone 1 to Zone 3							
2	FT Contract #	3355		2,344,395				
3	Base Rate		24		0.2194	514,360	514,360	
4	GSR.		24		0.0000	0		0
5	TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
б	Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
7	ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
8	Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
9	GRI		24		0.0000	O	0	
6								
7 8	Total Zone 1 to Zone 3			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
9	SL to Zone 4							
10	NNS Contract #	N0410		3,320,769				
11	Base Rate		20		0.4190	1,391,402	1,391,402	
12	GSR.		20		0.0000	0		0
13	TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14	Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15	ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	*
16	Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17	GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
18								
19	FT Contract #	3819		1,277,500				
20	Base Rate		24		0.3142	401,391	401,391	
21	GSR		24		0.0000	0		9
22	TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23	Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24	ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25	•		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 27	GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
28 29	Total SL to Zone 4		_	4,598,269		1,792,793	1,792,793	0
30	Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
31	Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	C
32 33	Total Zone 1 to Zone 3			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	C
	Total Texas Gas			50,171,317		16,720,559	16,720,559	0
36								
37	Vendor Reservation Fees	(Fixed)				0	0	
	TOP & Direct Billed Tran	nsition costs				0		
40 41	Total Texas Gas Area No	n-Commodity	,			16,720,559	16,720,559	0
42 43					No.			

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 3 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual			11011-Committodicy	Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
	<u></u>		MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 0 to Zone 2							
2 FT-G Contract#	2546.1		12,844	9,0600			
3 Base Rate		23B		9.0600	116,367	116,367	0
4 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	0		0
6				2 2 2 2 2			
7 FT-G Contract#	2548.1		4,363	9.0600	20.000	20.500	
8 Base Rate		23B		9.0600	39,529	39,529	٥
9 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	0		U
11			~ ~~	0.000			
12 FT-G Contract#	2550.1		5,739	9.0600	63.006	£1.00£	
13 Base Rate		23B		9,0600	51,995	51,995	0
14 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	0		U
16	0551.1		4,447	9.0600	f		
17 FT-G Contract#	2551.1	225	4,441	9.0600	40,290	40,290	
18 Base Rate		23B		0.0000	40,290	40,250	. 0
19 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.000.0	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	U		· ·
21 22							
23 Total Zone 0 to 2			27,393		248,181	248,181	0
24			21,373		250,701	4,0,101	_
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
33							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 4 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line No. Description	Tariff Sheet No		Rate	Total	Demand	Transition Costs
1704 Description	DH-000 144	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$ .	\$	S
1 1 to Zone 2						
2 FT-G Contract #	2546	114,156	7.6200			
3 Base Rate	23B	,	7.6200	869,869	869,869	
4 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6						
7 FT-G Contract #	2548	44,997	7.6200			
8 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	342,877	342,877	
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
11						
12 FT-G Contract#	2550	59,741	7.6200			
13 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	455,226	455,226	
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
16						
17 FT-G Contract#	2551	45,058	7.6200			
18 Base Rate	23B	,	7.6200	343,342	343,342	
19 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	. 0		0
20 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21			0.000			
22 Total Zone 1 to 2		263,952		2,011,314	2,011,314	0
23						
24 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
25				•	·	
26 Total Zone 1 to 2 and Zon	e 0 to 2	291,345	· <del>-</del>	2,259,495	2,259,495	0
27		,			·	
28 Gas Storage						
29 Production Area:						
30 Domand	27	34,968	2,0200	70,635	70,635	
31 Space Charge	27	4,916,148	0.0248	121,920	121,920	
32 Market Area:	-,	7,,.		•		
33 Demand	27	237,408	1.1500	273,019	273,019	
34 Space Charge	27	10,846,308	0,0185	200,657	200,657	
35 Total Storage			-	666,231	666,231	
36				•		
37 Vendor Reservation Fees	(Fixed)			0	0	
38	()					
39 TOP & Direct Billed Tran	sition costs			0	0	0
40						
41 Total Tennessee Gas Area	FT-G Non-Commodity		-	2,925,726	2,925,726	0
42						
140						

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Texas Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 5 of 11

(3) (4) (1) (2)

Line		Tariff		<b>5</b>		Doto		Total
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Purch	MMbtu	Rate \$/MMbtu		Total S
				Mof	MINIOTO	P. IATIATOTH		a)
1	No Notice Service				6,056,100			
2	Indexed Gas Cost (Texas Gas Payback)				-,,	7.1940		43,567,583
3	Commodity	20				0.0508		307,650
4	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	2.15%			0.1581		957,469
5	1 del and hoss Notoridon (8)	30			-	7.4029	-	44,832,702
6								
7	Firm Transportation				91,000			
8	Indexed Gas Cost					7.1940		654,654
9	Base (Weighted on MDQs)	25				0.0439		3,995
10	TCA Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
11	Unrecovered TCA Surcharge	25				0.0000		0
12	Cash-out Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
13	GRI	25				0.0000		0
14	ACA	25				0.0018		164
15	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	1.94%			0.1423		12,949
16	1 cot and 1999 1991 1991	-				7.3820		671,762
17	No Notice Storage							
18	Net (Injections)/Withdrawals				(3,025,257)			
19	Indexed Gas Cost					7.1940	(	21,763,699)
20	Commodity (Zone 3)	20				0.0508		(153,683)
21	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	2.15%			0.1581		(478,293)
22	t tot aite about total					7.4029	(	(22,395,675)
23								
24								
25	Total Purchases in Texas Area				3,121,843	7.4023		23,108,789
26								
27								
28	Used to allocate transportation non-	commodity						
		************						
29				Annualized		Commodity		
30				MDQs in		Charge	V	Weighted
31	m - C			MMbtu	Allocation	\$/MMbtu		Average
32	Texas Gas			12,617,673	25.15%	\$0.0399	\$	0.0100
33	SL to Zone 2			30,610,980	61.01%	0.0445		0.0271
34	SL to Zone 3			2,344,395	4.67%	0.0422		0.0020
35	1 to Zone 3			4,598,269	9.17%	0.0528		0.0048
36	SL to Zone 4		-	50,171,317	100.00%		\$	0.0439
	Total							
37								
38	Tannersee Gos							
38 39	Tennessee Gas			27,393	9,40%	0.0880	\$	0.0083
38 39 40	0 to Zone 2			27,393 263,952	9,40% 90.60%	0.0880 0.0776		0.0703
38 39			-	27,393 263,952 291,345			\$ -\$	

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Tennessee Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 6 of 11

(1) (2) (3) (4)

The second sections	Tariff Sheet No.		Purchases	Rate	Total
Description	Sheet 140.	Mcf	MiMbtu	\$/MMbtu	S
FT-A and FT-G			752,991		
Indexed Gas Cost				7.1940	5,417,017
Base Commodity (Weighted on MDC	)e)			0.0786	59,185
GRI	23C			0.0000	0
ACA	23C			0.0018	1,355
Transition Cost	23C			0.0000	0
Fuel and Loss Retention	29	3.69%		0,2756	207,524
ruei and coss retendon	Am F	5105.0	-	7.5500	5,685,081
, 1					
			136,694		
FT-GS Indexed Gas Cost				7.1940	983,377
	20			0.5844	79,884
	20			0.0000	(
GRI	20			0.0018	246
ACA	20			0.0000	
PCB Adjustment	20			0.0000	
Settlement Surcharge	29	3.69%		0.2756	37,67
Fuel and Loss Retention	23	2.0376	•	8.0558	1,101,18
)					
)					
Gas Storage	/53/ith d-out of o		(566,031)		
FT-A & FT-G Market Area (Injection	is)/ Withdrawais		(200,000)	7.1940	(4,072,02)
Indexed Gas Cost/Storage	27			0.0102	(5,77
5 Injection Rate	27	1,49%		0.1088	(61,58
Fuel and Loss Retention	21	11-1270	•	7.3130	(4,139,38
7 Total					
3					
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	L-Jto		(107,814)		
FT-GS Market Area (Injections)/With	norawais		(,,,	7.1940	(775,61
Indexed Gas Cost/Storage	27			0.0102	(1,10
2 Injection Rate	27	1.49%		0.1088	(11,73
Fuel and Loss Retention	21	1,7770	•	7.3130	(788,44
4 Total					•
			215.840	8,6102	1,858,43
			22,010	_,	,
5 6 7 Total Tennessee Gas Zones 8 9			215,840	8.6102	

Page 7 of 11 Expected Gas Cost Trunkline Gas (4)(2)(3) (1) Commodity Tariff Line Total Rate Purchases Sheet No. No. Description \$/MMbtu Mcf MMbtu 1 Firm Transportation 92,000 2 Expected Volumes 661,848 7,1940 3 Indexed Gas Cost 1,960 0.0213 4 Base Commodity 0 10 5 GRI 0.0019 175 10 6 ACA 0.0807 7,424 10 1.11% 7 Fuel and Loss Retention 7.2979 671,407 8 9 10 Non-Commodity (6)(5) (4)(1) (2) (3) Non-Commodity Transition Tariff Annual Line Total Demand Costs Rate Sheet No. Units No. Description \$ \$/MMbtu MMbtu 87,475 11 FT-G Contract# 014573 629,820 629,820 7.2000 Discount Rate on MDQs 12 13 92,125 14 0 10 15 GRI Surcharge 16 Reservation Fee 17 18 629,820 629,820 19 Total Trunkline Area Non-Commodity

**Atmos Energy Corporation** 

20 21 Exhibit B

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Total Demand Cost:						
2	Texas Gas	\$16,720,559					
3	Midwestern	0					
4	Tennessee Gas	2,925,726					
5	Trunkline	629,820					
6	Total	\$20,276,105					
7					<b>1</b> .	ionthly Demand Charge	
8			Allocated	Related		Interruptible	HLF
9	Demand Cost Allocation:	Factors	Demand	Volumes	Firm	0.1839	0.1839
10	All	0.1850	\$3,751,079	20,401,274	0.1839	0.1839 NA	NA.
11	Firm	0.8150	16,525,026	18,923,274	0.8733 1.0572	0.1839	0.1839
12	Total	1.0000	\$20,276,105		1.0372	0,1035	0.1037
13							
14			Volumetric				
15		Annualized	Monthly Dem				
16		Mcf @14.65	All	Firm			
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:				1 0000		
19	Ġ-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274	1.0572	· III E MEDO Domand	
20	HLF	60,000	60,000			+ HLF MDQ Demand	
21	LVS-1	0	0	0	1.0572		
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,887,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						
25	T-2 \G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000	1.0572		
26	HLF	0	0		0.1839		
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,923,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000		1.0572	0.1839	
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000		1.0572	0.1839	
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000				
34	10th bust		•				
35	Transportation:		*			0.1000	
. 36	T-2\G-2	580,000	580,000		1.0572	0.1839	
37	X-21CL 2						
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000				
39	Tour III.	·					
40	Carriage Service						
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000					
42	x . D . O						
43	Total	43,839,274	20,401,274	18,923,274			
44	1 Chair						
45	HLF MDO Demand						
46	Firm Demand Cost		\$16,525,026				
47	Peak Day Thru-put		302,152	Mcf/Peak Day			
48	Times:			Months/Year			
49	Total Annualized Peak Day Demand	· ·	3,625,824				
50	Demand Charge per MDQ		\$4.5576	/ MDQ of Custom	er's Contract		
51	remain over 20 hor						
52	•						
53	Note: LVS Credit =	(\$28,321)					
ر د	A SOLUMN AND S HE WESTERN	-					

Page 9 of 11

Line		405	<b>(2)</b>	(2)	(4)	(5)	(6)
No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)
1	Other Fixed Charges	Take-or-Pay	Transition				
2	Texas Gas		\$0				
3	Tennessee Gas		0				
4	Total	\$0	\$0				
5							
6							
7			Related	Charge			
8	Other Fixed Charges	Amount	Volumes	\$/Mcf			
9	Take-or-Pay	0	43,839,274	0.0000			
10	Transition	0	20,401,274	0.0000			
11	Total	\$0		0.0000			
12							
13							
14			Volumetric				
15		Annual	Other Fixed				ted Charges
16		Expected Mcf	Take-or-Pay	Transition	,	Take-or-Pay	Transition
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:						0.0000
19	G-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274			0.000.0
20	HLF	60,000	60,000	60,000			0000,0
21	LVS-1	<u>D.</u>	0	0			0.0000
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,947,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						0.0000
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000			0000.0 0000.0
26	T-2 \ G-1 \ HLF	0		10.002.004			0.0000
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,983,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:			604.000			0,0000
31	G-2	684,000	684,000	684,000			0.0000
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000	154,000			0.0000
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000	838,000			
34							
3 <b>5</b>	Transportation:		#DD 555	500,000			0.0000
36	T-2 \ G-2	580,000	580,000	580,000			0.0000
37			- 447.000	1 410 000			
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000	1,418,000			
39							
40	Carriage Service		ma (00 000	NA			
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000	23,438,000	NA			
42		14 Pag 5 1	10.000.074	20,401,274	•		
43	Total	43,839,274	43,839,274	20,401,474			
44							
45		**					
46	Note: LVS Credit =	\$0					
47							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Commodity
Total System

Exhibit B Page 10 of 11

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

Line No. Description		Purchases		Rate	Total
		Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
1 Texas Gas Area					44 00P E00
2 No Notice Service		5,908,390	6,056,100	7.4029	44,832,702
3 Firm Transportation		88,780	91,000	7.3820	671,762
4 No Notice Storage	*************	(2,951,470)	(3,025,257)	7.4029	(22,395,675) 23,108,789
5 Total Texas Gas Area 6		3,045,700	3,121,843	7.4023	25,106,769
7 Tennessee Gas Area					
8 FT-A and FT-G		724,030	752,991	7.5500	5,685,081
9 FT-GS		131,437	136,694	8.0558	1,101,180
10 Gas Storage					
11 FT-A and FT-G Injections		(544,261)	(566,031)	7.3130	(4,139,385
12 FT-GS Withdrawals		(103,667)	(107,814)	7.3130	(788,444
13		207,539	215,840	8.6102	1,858,432
14 Trunkline Gas Area			00.000	7 2070	671 407
15 Firm Transportation		88,889	92,000	7.2979	671,407
16					
17					
18 WKG System Storage		(2 270 77A)	(2,335,743)	7,4029	(17,291,272
19 Injections		(2,278,774) 0	(2,333,743)	8.0100	(1,,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,
20 Withdrawals		(2,278,774)	(2,335,743)	7.4029	(17,291,272
21 Net WKG Storage 22		(2,270,774)	(2,000,11,0)		(,,
23					
24 Local Production		59,512	61,000	7.3820	450,302
25			•		
26					
27					
28 Total Commodity Purchases		1,122,866	1,154,940	7.6174	8,797,658
29					
30 Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	15,495	15,938		
31					
32 Total Deliveries		1,107,371	1,139,002	7.7240	8,797,658
33					
34 <u>LVS Commod</u>	ity Credit to System			'H 5010	(207.00)
35 LVS Sales		(50,000)	(51,428)	7.5212	(386,800
36					
37	***************************************	4.0.001	1 097 674	7.7336	8,410,858
38 Total Expected Commodity Cost		1,057,371	1,087,574	7.7330	0,410,000
39				7.9545_	-
40 Expected Commodity Cost (\$/Mcf)			=	77-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7	
41					
42					
43					

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Load Factor Calculation for Demand Allocation

Exhibit B
Page 11 of 11

Line No.	Description	MCF
140*	Description	A 1 32 hard N.
	Annualized Volumes Subject to Demand Charges	
1	Sales Volume	19,631,274
2	Large Volume Sales (Annualized)	154,000
3	Transportation	616,000
4	Total Mcf Billed Demand Charges	20,401,274
5	Divided by: Days/Year	365
7	Average Daily Sales and Transport Volumes	55,894
8		
10	Peak Day Sales and Transportation Volume	
11	Estimated total company firm requirements for 5 degree average	
12	temperature day from Peak Day Book - with adjustments per rate filing	302,152 Mcf/Peak Day
13		
14		
15	New Load Factor (line 7/ line 12)	0.1850

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective

# Superseding: Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 20

Currently Effective Maximum Transportation Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule NNS

Currently Rffective Rates	(3)	0.1800	0.2071	0.2782	0 0449	10000	1.565.0	3088	NATA TA	87.50.0	0,3566	0.3543	8030	00000	U.4051	0 7 7 0	2000	0.0024	0.4822
FERC	(2)	0.0018	0.0018		0000	O.UULB	0.0018			0.0018	0.0018		\$ 7 4 1 1	0.0018	0.0018			0,0018	0.0018
Base Tariff Rates	(1)	0.1800	0.2053	1	0.2782	0.0431	0.3213		0,3088	0.0460	0.3548	1	0.3543	0.0490	0.4033		0.4190	0.0614	0.4804
	gone GT.	Daily Demand	Commodity	Zone 1	Daily Demand	Commodity	Overrun	Zone 2	Daily Demand	Commoditv	Overrun	Zone 3	Daily Demand	Commodity	Overrun	Zone 4	Daily Demand	Commodity	Overrun

0.0163 0.0186 0.0223 0.0262 Minimum Rate: Demand \$-0-; Commodity - Zone 1.
Zone 1.
Zone 2.
Zone 2.
Zone 3.
Zone 4.

The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions. Note:

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Bastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental transportation charge of:

\$0.0621 \$0.0155 \$0.0776 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TARS.

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 24 : Hifective

Superseding: Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 24

Currently Effective Maximum Daily Demand Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently Effective Rates [1]

0.0794	0.1552	0.2120	0,2494	0,3142	0.1252	0.1820	0.2194	0.2842	0.1332	0.1705	0.2334	0.1181	0.1810	0.1374	
0101.		יין יין ני	541-4	A. FO	7 7	ተ ና ! ተ ፣	থ ৫ ৷ -া ং	**************************************	# C	7 C	n s	7 H 1 7 G	ι, τ. 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	# ·	<b>ት</b> ∽ቲ

Minimum Rates: Demand \$-0-

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

[1] Currently Bffective Rates are equal to the Base Tariff Rates.

Note: The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions.

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Bastern Transmission, IP interconnect near Bedkville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Daily Demand charge of \$0.0621. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 25 : Effective

# Superseding: Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 25

Currently Effective Maximum Commodity Rates (\$ per MWBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently Effective Rates (3)	0.0122 0.0373 0.0417	0.0546	0.0355 0.0403 0.0440	0.0526 0.0341 0.0378 0.0464 0.0330	0.0378
FERC ACA (2)	0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0,0018	0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0,0018 0,0018 0,0018 0,0018 0,0018 0,0018	0,0018
Base Tariff Rates (1)	0,0104 0,0355 0,0399	0.0445	0.0337	0.0508 0.0323 0.0360 0.0446 0.0312	0.0360
	SL-SL SL-1 SL-2	SL-3 SL-4	1-1	4 4 4 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 4 H

Minimum Rates: Commodity minimum base rates are presented on Sheet 31.

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, ip interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Commodity charge of \$0.0155. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS. Note:

Third Revised Sheet No. 36 : Effective Superseding: Second Revised Sheet No. 36 Schedule of Currently Effective Fuel Retention Percentages Pursuant to Section 16 of the General Terms and Conditions

NNS/SGI/SNS RATE SCHEDULES

됩	BERRP { 3 } 0.00% 1.92% 2.01% 2.15% 2.15%
NS SUMME	FAP{2} (0.15%) (0.29%) (0.38%) (0.48%) (0.83%)
NNS/SGT/SNS SUMMER	PFRP [1] 0.15% 2.21% 2.39% 2.63% 2.98%
	Zone St. 1
; ; ; ;	DERP{3} 1.00% 2.36% 2.43% 2.73% 3.02%
WINTER	FAP{2} 0.41% (0.18%) (0.36%) (1.29%)
MMS/SGT WINTER	PFRP{1}
	Delivery Zone Si Si 1 2 3

SUMMER

FT/STF/STFX/IT/ITX RATE SCHEDULES

		שלה בלה ביל	als de	0/م
! ! ! !	DERRE 0.96% 1.06%	0.44% 0.87% 1.49%	0.44	0.42
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FAP 0.73% (0.44%) (1.03%) (0.19%)	0.43%	0.43%	\$00.0
SUMMER	PERP 0.23% 1.50% 2.10%	0.01% 0.03% 0.86%	0.01%	0.42%
	Rec/Del Zone SL/SL SL or 1/1 SL or 1/2 SL or 1/2	Sh or 1/4 2/2 2/3 2/4	3/3	4/4
	BERP 0.95% 1.28% 2.84%	2,90% 0,46% 0,92% 0,98%	0.468	0.33%
ER	EAP 0.67% (0.46%) (0.20%)	(0.08%) 0.35% 0.71% 0.12%	0.35%	\$00.0
WINTER	PERP 0.28% 1.74% 2.12% 2.33%	2.98% 0.11% 0.21% 0.86%	0.11%	0.33%
	Rec/Del Zone SIL/SI SI. or 1/1 SI. or 1/2 SI. or 1/3	SL or 1/4 2/2 2/3 2/4	3/3 3/4	4/4

	Tullering	nome	EFRP  1.00%					
1 1 1 1 1	rnjection	c f	FAR	1 6	0.78%			
PSS/ISS RATE SCHEDULES			PFRP FAP BFRP	1 E 3 2 T E 3 2 T E 5	0.89% 0.35% 1.24% 0.72%			

<sup>{1}</sup> projected Fuel Retention Percentage
{2} Fuel Adjustment Percentage
{3} Effective Fuel Retention Percentage

Thirty-Second Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective

Superseding: Thirty-First Revised Sheet No. 20

raties per dekatherm		11	FIRM	I TRANSP	FIRM TRANSPORTATION	- GS RA	RATES (FT-GS)	-GS)	
Base Rates				DELI	DELIVERY ZONE		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 3 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1
# 7	RECEIPT	0	; ; ; ; ;3	[ ] ! !! !!	7			មា មា	: : : : :
	O 1 H 1 W 1 W 1 W 10	\$0.2138 \$0.4318 \$0.5844 \$0.5848 \$0.7995 \$0.7995 \$0.8952 \$1.0698	\$0.1771	\$0.4203 \$0.3268 \$0.4951 \$0.5849 \$0.7096 \$0.7096	\$0.5844 \$0.4951 \$0.2000 \$0.2897 \$0.4144 \$0.5106	\$0.5849 \$0.2897 \$0.1489 \$0.3995 \$0.4951	7814 6915 4144 3995 1886 2311 4061	0.8952 0.8052 0.5106 0.4951 0.2311 0.1989	\$1.0698 \$0.9804 \$0.6852 \$0.6698 \$0.4061 \$0.3466
Surcharges				DEL	Þ	M	1	1	3 4 1 3 7 8
1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RECEIPT ZONE		1 7	: : : <del>: :</del> :	2 2	(n)	4.	נט	1 6
PCB Adjustment: 1/	らずよなてたの	1	\$0.0000	\$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$\$0.0000 \$\$0.0000 \$\$0.0000 \$\$0.0000	1	000000	\$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000
annual Charce Adiustment (ACA)		\$0.000		\$0.000u \$0.0018	0000 € 0¢	. ne			
				DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	E			 
	RECEIPT	0				; ; ; ;	1	. ភេ i	9
	0 H H W W 4 10 10	\$0.2156 \$0.4336 \$0.5862 \$0.6766 \$0.8013 \$1.0716	\$0.1789	\$0.4221 \$0.3286 \$0.4969 \$0.5867 \$0.7114 \$0.8870	\$0.5862 \$0.4969 \$0.2018 \$0.2915 \$0.4162 \$0.5124	\$0.6766 \$0.5867 \$0.2915 \$0.1507 \$0.4969 \$0.6716	\$0. \$0. \$0. \$0.	\$0.8970 \$0.8070 \$0.5124 \$0.4969 \$0.2329 \$0.2007 \$0.3484	\$1.0716 \$0.9822 \$0.6870 \$0.6716 \$0.3484 \$0.2392
Minimum Rates				DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	NE			

	9	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326		\$0.0067 \$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$0.0202 \$0.0236 \$0.0294	\$0.0131 \$0.0183	\$0.0054 \$0.0004 \$0.0095 \$0.0126 \$0.0104	20.0022	\$0.0022 \$0.0003	50.000
1 1 1 1 1	<b>ব</b> '	\$0.0233		\$0.0202	\$0.0100	\$0.0035	\$0.0015	\$0.0032	0600°08
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	m	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054	\$0.0004	\$0.0205 \$0.0100 \$0.0055	\$0.0236 \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.0032 \$	\$0.0189 \$0.0184 \$0.0090
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	73	\$0.0161	-	\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
1 1 1 1 1 1	0 L 1 2	\$0.0096		\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0205	\$0.0236	\$0.0294
1 1 1 1 1 1	д	1 1 1	\$0.0034						
1 1 1 1	0	\$0.0026	1 2 2 3	\$0.0096	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0237	\$0.0268	\$0.0326
中国工語の語句	ZONE	c	<b>ы</b>	<del>, -1</del>	2	m	4	ហ	9
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required 2000, was revised and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

2/ Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

3/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 23A : Effective

234 Superseding: Sixteenth Revised Sheet No.

RATES PER DEKATHERM

\$0.0090 \$0.0687 \$0.0898 \$0.0996 \$0.1136 \$0.1249 \$0.1626 \$0.0069 \$0.0189 \$0.0096 <u>\$0.0161</u> \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326 \$0.0184 \$0.0031 \$0.1142 \$0.0834 \$0.0765 \$0.1159 \$0.0669 \$0.0880 \$0.0978 \$0.1118 \$0.1231 \$0.1608 ø 9 Ö \$0.0022 \$0.0032 \$0.0663 \$0.0765 \$0.0401 \$0.0459 \$0.0236 \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.1142 \$0.0834 \$0.0765 \$0.0427 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1126 D ហ \$0.0202 \$0.0032 \$0.0015 \$0.005 \$0.0459 \$0.1014 RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-A \$ \$0.0100 \$0.0095 \$ \$ \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$ \$ \$0.0189 \$0.0184 \$ \$0.0004 \$0.0095 \$0.0159 \$0.0054 \$0.0530 \$0.0874 \$0.0663 \$0.0765 COMMODITY RATES DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE \$0,0129 \$0.0054 \$0.0681 \$0.0024 \$0.0776 \$0.1159 \$0.0433 \$0.0530 N \$0.0067 \$0.0205 \$0.0159 \$0.1126 \$0.0776 \$0.0572 \$0.1025 \$0.0034 \$0.0286 Н П \$0.0237 \$0.0268 \$0.0326 \$0.0457 \$0.0026 \$0.0096 \$0.0191 \$0.0161 \$0.0439 \$0.0669 \$0.0880 \$0.0978 \$0.1129 \$0.1231 \$0.1608 0 0 RECEIPT RECEIPT RECEIPT ZOME ZONE 011126450 0 THKERES 0 1/, 2/ Base Commodity Rates Commodity Rates 2/ Commodity Rates Maximum

\$0.0590 \$0.0794 \$0.0892 \$0.1032 \$0.1144 \$0.1521 \$0.0794 \$0.0451 \$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177

\$0.0304

\$0.0687 \$0.0898

그 H N

\$0.1160	\$0.0852	\$0.0783	\$0.0660	
\$0.0783	\$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852	\$0.0445	\$0.0783	
\$0.0681	\$0.0419	\$0.0477	\$0 0852	1
\$0.0384	\$0.0681	\$0.0783	\$0 11 KD	7
\$0.0548	\$0.0699	\$0.0801	40 1177	1177.00
\$0.0892	50.1043	\$0.1144	1000	T7CT . 04
\$0 0096	40.1147	\$0.1249	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.1626
r	) 5	H U	n i	ဖ

Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

\$0,0018

2/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B : Effective

Superseding: Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B

RATES FER DEKATHERM

FIRM TRANSPORTATION RATES

\$15.15 \$10.39 \$10.14 \$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16 \$15.15 \$10.39 \$16,59 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$16.59 \$10.14 G v \$12.64 \$7.89 \$7.64 \$14.09 \$12.64 \$7.64 \$7.64 \$3.38 \$2.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14,09 ហ ហ \$10.77 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$12,22 \$6.32 \$6.32 \$6.08 \$2.71 \$3.38 \$5.89 \$0.00 \$12.22 RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G 4 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.53 \$10.53 DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE w \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$9.06 \$9.06 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$6.32 \$7.89 \$0.00 Ø C N \$6.45 \$4.92 \$7.62 \$9.08 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$4.92 \$7.62 \$9.08 \$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15 \$6.45 \$0.00 \$2.71 \$0.00 \$2.71 H Д н \$6.66 \$9.06 \$10.53 \$3.10 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.53 \$12.53 \$14.09 \$16.59 \$3.10 \$6.66 \$9.06 0 0 0 RECEIPT RECEIPT RECEIPT ZONE ZOME ZOME 0 11 4 6 m 01426460 11 4 7 7 7 7 9 9 Maximum Reservation Rates 2/ PCB Adjustment: 1/ Base Reservation Rates Surcharges

\$6.08

\$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16
\$3.38 \$2.85 \$4.93
\$2.71 \$3.38 \$5.89
\$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14
\$6.32 \$7.89 \$10.39
\$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15
\$12.53 \$14.09 \$16.59
400

Minimum Base Reservation Rates The minimum FT-G Reservation Rate is \$0.00 per Dth

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000,

was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the was revised and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

2/ Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C

rates per dekatherm			COMMODITY RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G
Base Commodity Rate			
	RECEIPT ZONE	0 L	7 2 3
	٥	\$0.0439	0.1118 \$
		\$0.0286 \$0.0669 \$0.0880	\$0.0776 \$0.0874 \$0.1014 \$0.1126 \$0.0433 \$0.0530 \$0.0681 \$0.0783
	1 W 41 1	\$0.0978 \$0.1129	\$0.0530 \$0.0366 \$0.0663 \$0.0765 \$0.0681 \$0.0653 \$0.0401 \$0.0459 \$0.0783 \$0.0765 \$0.0459 \$0.0427
	ם ת	\$0.1608	\$0.1159 \$0.1142 \$0.0834 \$0.0765
Minimum Commodity Rates 2/			ZONE
	RECEIPT ZONE	0	
	0	\$0.0026	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.
	그 ન	\$0.0034 \$0.0096	\$0.0067
	<b>61</b> m	\$0.0161	\$0.0024 \$0.0054 \$0.0100 \$0.0126 \$0.0054 \$0.0004 \$0.0095 \$0.0126
	) 4 <sup>i</sup>	\$0.0237	
	o n	\$0.0268 \$0.0326	\$0.0189 \$0.0184 \$0.0090 \$0.0069
Maximum Commodity Rates 1/, 2/			DELIVERY ZONE
	RECEIPT ZONE	T 0	4 1
	0	\$0.0457	\$0.0687 \$0.0898
	ИНЕ	\$0.0304 \$0.0687 \$0.0898	4 \$0.0590 \$0.0794 \$0.0892 \$0.1032 \$0.1144 \$0.1521 \$0.0794 \$0.0451 \$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177

\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783
\$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852
\$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160
\$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177
\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0996 \$0.1147 \$0.1249 \$0.1626

**ы** 4 п 0

Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for:

\$0.0018

(ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

72

The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 27 : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 27

	Retention Percent 1/	1.49%	7.498	ር 4. የነ የክ	1.49%
	Current Adjustment	\$2,02 \$0,0248 \$0,0053 \$0,2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	\$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	\$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
STORAGE SERVICE	ADJUSTMENTS (ACA) (TCSM) (PCB) 2/	0000.08	\$0.00	0000.0\$	0000.0\$
•	Tariff Rate	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	## \$0.0848	1CE \$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
rates per dekatherm	Rate Schedule and Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS) - PRODUCTION AREA Deliverability Rate Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrun Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS) - MARKET AREA Deliverability Rate Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrun Rate	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - MARKET AREA  Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - PRODUCTION AREA  Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate

<sup>1/</sup> The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

	3.
\$0.7819	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1619
	\$0.00 \$0.000
\$0.0019	\$0.0019
\$0.7800	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936
Excess Withdrawal Rate	SS-NE Deliverability Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate

1/ The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

First Revised Sheet No. 29 : Effective Superseding: Substitute Original Sheet No. 29

FUEL AND LOSS RETENTION PERCENTAGE 1/,2/, 3/

NOVEMBER - MARCH

	! 1	1 4 1 %	%	%	%	78	8	%
	9	8.71%	7.82%	9.9	4.5	2.17%	2.0	0.8
	מו	7.88%	6.99%	4.15%	3.69%	1.33%	1.28%	1.40%
	ā.	6.79%	5.90%	3.05%	2.64%	1.09%	1.16%	2.50%
	3		4.99%	2,15%	0.69%	3.078	3.148	4.56%
Delivery Zone	1 2	2.79% 5.16% 5.88%	4,28%	1.43%	1.23%	2.68%	2.76%	4.18%
Delive		2.79%	1.91%	2.13%	3.60%	4.97%	5.05%	6.47%
	T	1.01%						
		868.0	1.74%	4.59%	6.06%	7.43%	7.51%	8.93%
	RECEIPT ZONE	T 0	-1	73	3	4	ល	9

APRIL - OCTOBER

- $1\backslash$  Included in the above Fuel and Loss Retention Percentages is the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5\$ .
  - $2\backslash$  For service that is rendered entirely by displacement shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
- 3\ The above percentages are applicable to (IT) Interruptible Transportation, (FT-A) Firm Transportation, (FT-GS) Firm Transportation-GS, (PAT) Preferred Access Transportation, (IT-X) Interuptible Transportation-X, (FT-G) Firm Transportation-G, (EDS/ERS) FT- A Extended Transportation Service.

Eighth Revised Sheet No. 10 : Effective

# Superseding: Seventh Revised Sheet No. 10

# CURRENTLY BFFECTIVE RATES

Each rate set forth in this Tariff is the currently effective rate pertaining to the particular rate schedule to which it is referenced, but each such rate is separate and independent and the change in any such rate shall not thereby effect a change in any other rate or rate schedule.

	Ваѕе	Adjus	Adjustments	Maximum	Minimun	
	Rate	1 1 2 4 4 5		Rate	Rate	Fuel
	Per Dt	Sec. 24	Sec. 25	Per Dt	Per Dt	Reimbursement
	(1)	(0)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(8)
NATE SCHEDULE FT	/+>		(2)	(R.)		(2)
Field Zone to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 9.7097	1	\$ 0.2800	\$ 9.9897	1	f
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0141	ı	,	0.0141	\$ 0.0141	2.60 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0,3192	J	0.0092	0.3284	t	,
Zone 1A to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 6.0096	1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 6.1996	t	ı
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0117	'	ı	0.0117	\$ 0.0117	1.99 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1976	ı	0.0062	0.2038	1	
Zone 1B to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.5557	ı	\$ 0.1900	\$ 4.7457	1	1
- Usage Rate (1)	0,0062	t	,	0.0062	\$ 0.0062	0.95 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1498	ı	0.0062	0.1560	1	t
Zone 2 Only						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.4350	ı	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3.6250	1	•
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0011	,	í	0.0011	\$ 0.0011	0.58 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1129	ı	0.0062	0.1191	ı	ı
Field Zone to Zone 1B						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 8.4890	ı	\$ 0.2800	\$ 8.7690	t	r
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0130	ı	ı	0.0130	\$ 0.0130	2.34 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.2791	ı	0.0092	0.2883	1	1
Zone 1A to Zone 1B						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.7889	ı	\$ 0.1900	\$ 4.9789	ı	î
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0106	1	ι	0.0106	\$ 0.0106	1.73 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1574	,	0.0062	0.1636	ı	
Zone 1B Only						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.3350	1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3.5250	ı	1
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0051			0.0051	\$ 0.0051	0.69 % (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1096	1	0.0062	0.1158	1	,
Field Zone to Zone 1A						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 7.3683	ı	\$ 0.2800	\$ 7.6483	1	t

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes Section 21 Annual Charge Adjustment: \$0.0018 (2) Fuel reimbursement for backhauls is 0.43% (3) Maximum firm volumetric rate applicable for capacity release

# For the Quarter of May 2006 - July 2006 Atmos Energy Corporation Basis for Indexed Gas Cost 2006-00000

The projected commodity price was provided by the Gas Supply Department and was based upon the following: The Gas Supply Department reviewed the NYMEX futures close prices for the quarter of May 2006 - July 2006 during the period March 15, 2006 through March 23, 2006 which are listed below:

Ą

JUL 2006 (\$/MIMBTU)								\$7.523
JUN 2006 (\$/MMBTU)								\$7.367
MAY 2006 (\$/MMBTU)	7.304	7.412	7.208	6.978	7.011	7.103	7.467	\$7.212
	15_Mar	16-Mar	17-Mar	20-Mar	21 Mar	22 Mar	23-Mar	
	TXI.	Wednesday	Inursday	Friday	Monday	Luesday	Wednesday Thursday	

Gas Supply believes prices will remain stable and prices for the quarter of May 2006 - July 2006 will settle at 7.194 per Mmbtu for the period that the GCA is to be effective. m

In support of Item B, a worksheet entitled "Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas" has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality in this Case.

Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division For the Month of February, 2006

WKG Cash-out Price	\$7.3500 6.6203 5.8906	\$7.3533 6.6236 5.8939	\$7.3655 6.6358 5.9061	\$7.4984 6.7575 6.0167
Cas P	\$ 0 8	\$7 6 5	\$7 6 6	
	n n n	11 11 11	11 11 11	11 11 11
Transport Charge 2, 3	\$0.0530 0.0530 0.0530	\$0.0563 0.0563 0.0563	\$0.0685 0.0685 0.0685	\$0.0898 0.0898 0.0898
	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Indexed 1 Cash-out Price	\$7.2970 6.5673 5.8376	\$7.2970 6.5673 5.8376	\$7.2970 6.5673 5.8376	\$7.4086 6.6677 5.9269
s served in:	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price
For Kentucky customers served in:	A. Texas Gas: Zone 2 Area	Zone 3 Area	Zone 4 Area	B. Tennessee Gas: Zone 2 Area

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indexed cash-out price is from the pipeline's Electronic Bulletin Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Transport charge used for Texas Gas is its tariff sheet no. 20 commodity rate.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Transport charge used for Tennessee Gas is its tariff sheet no. 23A maximum commodity rate from zone 0 to zone 2.

Atmos Energy Corporation Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas May-06 Through July-06

Total Value Rate Volumes July-06 Rate Volumes Value June-06 Rate Volumes Value May-06 Rate Volumes

(This information has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality)

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

WACOGs

Storage Market

Texas Gas Trunkline Tennessee Gas TX Gas Storage TN Gas Storage WKG Storage Midwestern

Correction Factor (CF)

For the Three Months Ended January 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

35

Exhibit D Page 1 of 5

Line	(1)	(2) Actual Sales	(3) Recoverable	(4) Actual Recovered	(5) Under (Over) Recovery	(6)	(7)
No.	Month	Volume (Mcf)	Gas Cost	Gas Cost	Amount	Adjustments	Total
1 2	November-05	2,849,472	12,168,326.05	13,622,670.44	(1,454,344.39)	0.00	(1,454,344.39)
3 4	December-05	3,142,867	27,969,453.46	35,353,498.55	(7,384,045.09)	0.00	(7,384,045.09)
5 6 7 8	January-06	3,064,001	33,529,976.99	39,112,285.63 (5,582,308.64)		0.00	(5,582,308.64)
9 10 11 12						·	
13	Total Gas Cost						
14	Under/(Over) P		<u>73.667.756.50</u>	88.088.454.62	(14.420,698.12)	0.00	(14,420,698,12)
15							
16							
17			000				91 4 C40 240 10
18		alance @ Octobe		e months ended Ja			\$14,649,349.19 (14,420,698.12)
19 20		•	ection Factor (CF)		mary, 2006		5,443,199.41
21		alance @ Januar		,			5,671,850.48
22			, ,				
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	Derivation of C	Correction Factor	(CF):				
29 30	Account 191 B	nIrmoa				\$5,671,850	
30 31		arance otal Expected Cu	stomer Sales		-	18,983,274	MCF
32	Dividually. 1	out inpoctor of	ACTION OF STREET				
33	Correction Fa	ctor (CF)			_	\$0.2988	/MCF
34		•			=======================================		

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

For the Three Months Ended January 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 2 of 5

NO. 2008-000	CI	Dag 05	Tom 06	that Ac	
	GL	1360-03	3211-00	1.40-00	
		(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
Description	Unit	November-05	December-05	January-06	Document
Supply Volume					
Pipelines:					
Texas Gas Transmission 1	Mcf	0	0	0	
Tennessee Gas Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	Mcf	0	0	0	
Trunkline Gas Company 1	Mcf	0	0	0	
Midwestern Pipeline 1	Mcf	0_	0	0	
Total Pipeline Supply	Mcf	0	0	0	
Total Other Suppliers	Mcf	416,280	1,516,374	1,625,771	pages 5
Off System Storage					
Texas Gas Transmission	Mcf	0	0	0	
Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Mcf	162,158	242,115	(284)	
System Storage					
Withdrawals	Mcf	336,956	1,105,202	915,844	
Injections	Mcf	(413,281)	0	0	
Producers	Mcf	15,462	11,895	(1,252)	
Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	Mcf	0	0	0	
System Imbalances 2	Mcf	1,427,553	891,237	158,511	
Total Supply	Mcf	1,945,128	3,766,823	2,698,590	
Change in Unbilled	Mcf	904,344	(623,956)	365,411	
Company Use	Mcf	0	0	0	
Unaccounted For	Mcf	0	0	0	
Total Sales	Mcf	2,849,472	3,142,867	3,064,001	
	Description  Supply Volume  Pipelines:  Texas Gas Transmission  Tennessee Gas Pipeline  Trunkline Gas Company  Midwestern Pipeline  Total Pipeline Supply  Total Other Suppliers  Off System Storage  Texas Gas Transmission  Tennessee Gas Pipeline  System Storage  Withdrawals Injections  Producers  Pipeline Imbalances cashed out  System Imbalances  Total Supply  Change in Unbilled  Company Use  Unaccounted For	Description Unit  Supply Volume Pipelines:  Texas Gas Transmission 1 Mof Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1 Mcf Trunkline Gas Company 1 Mcf Midwestern Pipeline 1 Mcf Total Pipeline Supply Mcf Total Other Suppliers Mcf Off System Storage Texas Gas Transmission Mcf Tennessee Gas Pipeline Mcf System Storage Withdrawals Mcf Injections Mcf Producers Mcf Pipeline Imbalances cashed out Mcf System Imbalances 2 Mcf Total Supply Mcf Change in Unbilled Mcf Company Use Mcf Unaccounted For Mcf	GL Dec-05  (1)  Description Unit November-05  Supply Volume  Pipelines:  Texas Gas Transmission 1 Mof 0 Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1 Mof 0 Trunkline Gas Company 1 Mof 0 Midwestern Pipeline 1 Mof 0 Midwestern Pipeline 1 Mof 0  Total Pipeline Supply Mof 0  Total Other Suppliers Mof 416,280  Off System Storage  Texas Gas Transmission Mof 0 Temnessee Gas Pipeline Mof 162,158  System Storage  Withdrawals Mof 336,956 Injections Mof (413,281)  Producers Mof 15,462  Pipeline Imbalances cashed out Mof 0  System Imbalances 2 Mof 1,427,553  Total Supply Mof 1,945,128  Change in Unbilled Mof 904,344  Company Use Mof 0  Unaccounted For Mof 0	C  Dec-05   Jan-06	Company Use   Company Use

<sup>1</sup> Includes settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes volumes banked from grandfathering or special contract and monthly cash out of endusers.

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

For the Three Months Ended January 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 3 of 5

NG. 2000-000	GL	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	
			Month		Source
Description	Unit	November-05	December-05	January-06	Document
Supply Cost					
Pipelines:					
Texas Gas Transmission 1	\$	2,021,363	2,104,291	2,061,745	
Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1	\$	326,432	342,366	363,225	
Trunkline Gas Company 1	\$	0	0	32,063	
Midwestorn Pipeline 1	\$	30,132	32,054	0	
Total Pipeline Supply	\$	2,377,927	2,478,711	2,457,034	
Total Other Suppliers	\$	4,958,738	18,105,510	16,549,958	page 5
Hedging Settlements		0	0	0	at
Off System Storage					
Texas Gas Transmission	\$	0	0	0	
Tennessee Gas Pipeline	\$	1,314,686	1,979,567	(106,011)	
WKG Storage		122,500	122,500	122,500	
System Storage					
Withdrawals	\$	(1,874,568)	9,229,055		
Injections	\$	0	0	ū	
Producers	\$	177,670	142,279		
Pipeline imbalances cashed out	\$	0	0	0	
System Imbalances 2	\$	15,362,269	5,611,265	2,085,277	
Sub-Total	\$	22,439,221	37,668,886	29,083,775	
				,	
Change in Unbilled	\$	(10,270,895)	(9,699,433)	4,446,202	
Company Use	\$	0	0	0	
Recovered thru Transportation	\$	0	0	0	
Total Recoverable Gas Cost	\$	12,168,326	27,969,453	33,529,977	
	Supply Cost Pipelines:  Texas Gas Transmission  Tennessee Gas Pipeline  Trunkline Gas Company Midwestorn Pipeline  Total Pipeline Supply Total Other Suppliers Hedging Settlements Off System Storage Texas Gas Transmission Tennessee Gas Pipeline WKG Storage System Storage Withdrawals Injections Producers Pipeline Imbalances cashed out System Imbalances  Sub-Total Change in Unbilled Company Use Recovered thru Transportation	Description  Supply Cost Pipelines:  Texas Gas Transmission   \$ Tennessee Gas Pipeline   \$ Trunkline Gas Company   \$ Midwestorn Pipeline   \$ Total Pipeline Supply \$ Total Other Suppliers   \$ Hedging Settlements Off System Storage  Texas Gas Transmission   \$ Tennessee Gas Pipeline   \$ WKG Storage  System Storage  Withdrawals   \$ Injections   \$ Producers   \$ Pipeline imbalances cashed out   \$ System Imbalances 2   \$ Sub-Total   \$ Change in Unbilled   \$ Company Use   \$ Recovered thru Transportation   \$	Description	GL   Dec-05   Jan-06	GL   Dec-05   Jan-06   Feb-06

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes demand charges, cost of settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes volumes banked from grandfathering or special contract and monthly cash out of endusers.

Recovery from Correction Factors (CF)
For the Three Months Ended January, 2006
Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 4 of 5

Line No.	Month	Type of Sales	Mcf Sold	Rate	Amount
1	November-05	G-I Sales	1,060,145.7	\$0.7717	\$818,114.44
2		G-1 HLF	0.0	0.7717	0.00
3		G-2 Sales	16,504.3	0.7717	12,736.34
4		T-3 Overrun Sales	3,664.0	0.8489	3,110.37
5		T-4 Overrun Sales	811.0	0.8489	688.46
6		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
7		LVS-2 Sales	3,972.0	0.0000	0.00
8		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
9		Total	1,085,097.0		834,649.61
10		r Ottai	1,000,077.0		
11	December-05	G-1 Sales	2,724,827.1	\$0.7717	\$2,102,749.06
12		G-1 HLF	0.0	0.7717	0.00
13		G-2 Sales	83,459.7	0.7717	64,405.81
14		T-3 Overrun Sales	16,433.0	0.8489	13,949.97
15		T-4 Overrun Sales	24,314.0	0.8489	20,640.15
16		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
17		LVS-2 Sales	6, <b>5</b> 73.0	0.0000	0.00
18		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
19		Total	2,855,606.7		2,201,744.99
20			, ,		
21	January-06	G-1 Sales	3,056,866.2	\$0.7717	\$2,358,983.64
22		G-1 HLF	0.0	0.7717	0.00
23		G-2 Sales	41,251.6	0.7717	31,833.84
24		T-3 Overrun Sales	18,769.0	0.8489	15,933.00
25		T-4 Overrun Sales	64.0	0.8489	54.33
26		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
27		LVS-2 Sales	8,789.0	0.0000	0.00
28		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
29		Total	3,125,739.8	P-1	2,406,804.81
30					
31					
32					
33					-
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
-17		rom Correction Factor (CF)			\$5,443,199.41

LVS sales commodity is "trued-up" according to Section 3(f) in LVS tariff in P.S.C. No. 1.

52 53 54

55

51

When Carriage (T-3 and T-4) customers have a positive imbalance that has been approved by the Company, the customer is billed for the imbalance volumes at a rate equal to 110% of the Company's applicable sales rate according to Section 6(a) of P.S.C. No. 20, Sheet Nos. 41A and 47A.

Exhibit D Page 5 of 5

		November, 2005		Decem	iber, 2005	January, 2006		
	Description	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Texas Gas Pipeline Area LG&E Natural Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Texaco Gas Marketing CMS WESCO Southern Energy Company Union Pacific Fuels Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Engage ERI Prepaid Reservation Hedging Costs - All Zones							
16	Total	217,513	\$2,566,018.88	1,080,471	\$12,746,367.03	1,079,620	\$10,993,300.17	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Area Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Union Pacific Fuels WESCO Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment	-						
26 27	Total	111,703	\$1,316,143.68	286,622	\$3,465,844.94	394,682	\$3,974,874.52	
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Trunkline Gas Company Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Engage Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment			-				
37 38	Total	87,064	\$1,076,575.07	149,910	\$1,901,743.10	151,469	\$1,581,783.66	
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Midwestern Pipeline Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC LG&E Natural Anadarko Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment							
47 48 49	Total	0	\$0.00	(629)	(\$8,445.07)	0	\$0.00	
50 51 52 53	Ali Zones Total	416,280	\$4,958,737.63	1,516,374	\$18,105,510.00	1,625,771	\$16,549,958.35	
54 55		**** Detail of Volum	nes and Prices Has Beer	Filed Under Petitio	on for Confidentiality ***	*		

11,372.82

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

n the Matter of:				
REFUND PLAN OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPO	) RATION )		Case No. 2003-003'	77
CERTIFICATE OF COMPI	IANCE			
We hereby certify that the re in the following manner:	fund directed to be made by Or	der in Case No. 2003	-00377 has been completed	
Refund	Detail			
Interes Carry-t	ners Refund As Filed t Accrued over to next GCA Refund	\$	(11,438.00) (194.60) 259.78	
,	Total	\$	(11,372.82)	
Refund	i by Class of Customer			
Co	sidential mmercial ustrial	\$	6,622.69 2,920.45 920.57	
Pul T-3	olic Authority  Noverrun Sales  Overrun Sales		860.85 34.06 14.20	

Total

### Exhibit E Page 2 of 2

206.67

93,651.97

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

REFUND PLAN OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	)		Case No. 2004-00269
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE			,
We hereby certify that the refund directe in the following manner:	ed to be made by Order in	Case No. 2004-(	00269 has been completed
Defend Detell			
Refund Detail			
Customers Refund Interest Accrued Carry-over to next Total		\$	(93,396.29) (766.96) 511.28 (93,651.97)
Refund by Class of	f Customer		
Sales: Residential Commercial Industrial Public Authorit	···	\$	53,316.59 24,941.60 7,859.20 7,177.49
T-3 Overrun Sa	=		150.42

T-4 Overrun Sales

Total

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

### Large Volume Sales

For the Period February, 2006

Exhibit F Page 1 of 3

The net monthly rates for Large Volume Sales service is as follows:

### Base Charge:

LVS-1 Servi LVS-2 Servi			\$ 2	20.00 220.00	•	Mete Mete							
Combined S	ervice		2	220.00	per	Mete	er						
										stimated			
									V	Veighted			
<u>LVS-1:</u>							Non-			Average			
			Si	imple		Co	nmodity		C	ommodity		Sales	
Firm Service	<u>a</u>		M	largin	_	Con	nponent 2		_(	as Cost	_	Rate	
First	300	1 Mcf @	\$ 1	1.1900	- +	\$	1.2622	÷	\$	10.3825	=	\$ 12.834	7 per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @	(	0.6590	÷		1.2622	÷		10.3825	=	12.303	7 per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf@	€	0.4300	+		1.2622	+		10.3825	=	12.074	7 per Mcf
High Load F	actor Firm S	<u>Service</u>											
Demand					@		5.4418	+		\$0.0000	==		8 per Mcf of
		_										daily cont	ract demand
First	300	1 Mcf @	\$ 1	1.1900	+	\$	0.2195	+	\$	10.3825	=	\$ 11.792	0 per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @	(	0.6590	÷		0.2195	÷		10.3825	=	11.261	0 per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf @	(	0.4300	+		0.2195	+		10.3825	=	11.032	0 per Mcf
<u>LVS-2:</u>													
Interruptible	Service												
First	15,000	Mcf @	\$ (	0.5300	÷	\$	0.2195	+	\$	10.3825	=	\$ 11.132	0 per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf@	(	0.3591	÷		0.2195	+		10.3825	=	10.961	1 per Mcf

### True-up Adjustment for 1/06 billing period:

\$ (1.8148) per Mcf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All gas consumed by the customer will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Non-Commodity Component is from P.S.C. No. 20 Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 6, effective February 1, 2006.

# Atmos Energy Corporation Large Volume Sales Estimated WACOG used for Billing For the Period February, 2006

Exhibit F Page 2 of 3

			January-06	January-06
Line No.	Supplier/Type of Service	1	(A) Estimated MCF Purchased @14.65	(B) Estimated Commodity Cost
	The state of the s			
1	Estimated Purchases:		1,079,620	\$10,993,300.17
2	Texas Gas Area		394,682	3,974,415.12
3	Tennessee Gas Area		151,469	1,581,783.66
4	Trunkline Gas Area		0	0.00
5	Midwestern Gas Area		1,625,771	16,549,498.95
6	Total Estimated Purchases		1,020,771	20,0 11,15 2.12
7	Town autotion Costs			Acc
8	Transportation Costs: Texas Gas Transmission			54,094.60
9 10	Tennessee Gas Pipeline			53,396.55
11	Trunkline Gas Area			2,293.29
11	Midwestern Gas Area			
12	Wildwestern Gas Area			
13	Local Production		13,578	135,333.48
14	1300011100000001		,	
15	WKG Fnd-User Cash Outs		4,289	34,944.74
16	77120 2002 0002 0000			·
17	Total Current Month Gas Cost		1,643,637	\$16,829,561.61
18				
19	Less: Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	22,682	
20				
21	Total Deliveries		1,620,955	\$16,829,561.61
22				
23	Estimated LVS Weigh	ited Average Com	nodity Rate	<u>\$10.3825</u>

# Atmos Energy Corporation Expected Purchases LVS Commodity Purchase Basis For the Period of February '06 to April '06

Exhibit F Page 3 of 3

			(1)	(2)	(3)
Line					
No.			Mcf	MMbtu	Gas Cost
1	Texas Gas Area				
2	No Notice Service		5,908,390	6,056,100	44,504,462
3	Firm Transportation		88,780	91,000	666,848
4	Total Texas Gas Area		5,997,170	6,147,100	45,171,310
5					
6					
7	Tennessee Gas Area				
8	FT-A&G Commodity		724,030	752,991	5,643,667
9	FT-GS Commodity		131,437	136,694	1,093,661
10	Total Tennessee Gas Area		855,467	889,685	6,737,328
11					
12	Trunkline Gas Area				
13	Firm Transportation		88,889	92,000	1,185,789
14	I HILL LIMITOPOLOGICA				
15					
16	Local Production				
17	Commodity		59,512	61,000	447,008
18	Commodity		•	·	
19					
20	Expected WKG End-User Cash Outs		0	0	0
21					
22	Total LVS Commodity Purchase Basis		7,001,038	7,189,785	53,541,435
23					
24	Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	96,614	99,219	
25	_				
26	Total Deliveries		6,904,424	7,090,566	53,541,435
27	·				05.551
28	Estimated LVS Weighted Average	Commodity Rat	te (per MMbtu)		\$7.5511
29					ውማ ማ <i>ር ልጣ</i>
30	Estimated LVS Weighted Average Commodity R	ate (per Mcf)	• •		\$7.7547
31	(To only be used to calculate commodity credit b	ack on Exhibit I	3)		
32					
33					

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

# Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 177

Witness: Greg Waller & Tom Petersen

### Data Request:

Please quantify all costs included in the Company's revenue requirement that are associated with gas acquisition, transportation and storage, including G&A costs.

### Response:

The following separately identified costs are included in the forecasted revenue requirement:

Gas Supply Services: \$249,598 Gas Control Services: \$193,055

Storage O&M Costs \$262,213

Depreciation Expense:

Storage \$90,569

Production \$4,332

Additionally, the traditional regulatory treatment of gas storage inventory costs recognizes the 13-month average balance as a rate base component. Thus, there are also some return and taxes on investment associated with storage gas facilities:

Storage Plant in Service – Gross \$6,700,993 – Accumulated Depreciation \$4,415,212

Gas Stored Underground - \$21,792,727

Other gas acquisition, transportation and storage costs that are recoverable through the GCA are included in purchased gas cost in the calculation of forecasted net operating income but not separately identified.

Other rate base items, other taxes and administrative and general costs that would be allocable to these functions were not separately identified in the revenue requirement calculation.

# Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

# Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 178

Witness: Gary Smith

### **Data Request:**

Please identify the categories of cost by account that are subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment.

### Response:

The accounts that are subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment are shown below:

<u>Account</u>	<u>Description</u>
8040	Natural gas city gate purchase
8045	Transportation to City Gate
8060	Exchange gas
8081	Gas withdrawn from storage-Debit
8082	Gas delivered to storage-Credit
1910.14087	Performance Based Rates
1910.14088	Deferred Gas Cost
1910.27314	Pipeline Refunds

# Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 179

Witness: Gary Smith

### Data Request:

Please provide all workpapers and calculations that were used to compute the current gas cost adjustment and weather normalization adjustment.

### Response:

Workpapers and calculations for the gas cost adjustment (GCA) are included in conjunction with the quarterly filing with the Kentucky Public Service Commission. Please refer to the Company's response to AG DR1-200 for the filing materials for the current GCA, effective February 1, 2007 (Case No. 2006-00568).

For the weather normalization adjustment, the formula is found on Sheet No. 22 of the Company's tariffs. Each year, the Company updates certain factors for each applicable customer class of residential, commercial and public authority. The factors are the HSF (heat sensitive factor), BL (base load factor) and R (the weighted average distribution charge). The billing system applies these factors in the calculation of the WNA including the normal heating degree days and actual heating degree days for each customer's billing period. The computation of pertinent factors for the winter of 2006-2007 was as follows:

### Atmos Energy (Kentucky)

### Calculation of Base Load Factor Applicable for Winter 2006-2007

### For G-1 Sales - Residential, Commercial and Public Authority Classes

						Calculated
Line		No. of	Actual	Pro-Forma	Pro-Forma	Base Load
No.	Month	Customers	Volumes, ccf			(BL)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	Residential - Class 1 Rate	<u>. 1</u>				
2						
3	Jul-06	149,549	1,746,901	0	1,746,901	
4	Aug-06	149,337	1,908,628	0	1,908,628	
5	Total	298,886	3,655,529	0	3,655,529	
6						
7	Base Load, ccf per cus					
8	Column e, line 5 di	vided by colu	umn b, line 5, I	BL(res) =	=	12.231
9						
10	Commercial - Class 2 Rat	<u>e 1</u>				
11						
12	Jul-06	17,293	1,357,953	(16,753)	1,341,200	
13	Aug-06	17,081	1,434,589	(12,512)	1,422,077	
14	Total	34,374	2,792,541	(29,265)	2,763,276	
15						
16	Base Load, ccf per cus	tomer per me	onth -			
17	Column e, line 14 c	livided by co	lumn b, line 14	H, BL(com) =		80.389
18					•	
19	Public Authority - Class 4	Rate 1				
20						
21	Jul-06	1,619	349,002	(51,490)	297,512	
22	Aug-06	1,603	337,901	(39,686)	298,215	
23	Total	3,222	686,903	(91,176)	595,727	
24						
25	Base Load, ccf per cus	tomer per me	onth -			
26	Column e, line 23 d	livided by co	lumn b, line 23	B, BL(PA) =	_	184.894
27					-	

28 Note: Pro-forma adjustments reflect commercial customer contract changes from G-1 sales.

### Sheet 2 of 6

HSF Sheet 1 of 3

# Atmos Energy (Kentucky) Calculation of Heating Sensitive Factor Applicable for Winter 2006-2007 For G-1 Sales - Residential, Commercial and Public Authority Classes

					Total Class		Class	Average		
Line		Lagged Actual	Calculated	No. of	Base Load	Actual	Heating Load	HL per Cust.		
No.	Month	Degree-Days	Base Load (BL)	Customers	(ColcxCold)	Volumes, ccf	(Colf-Cole)	(Colg/Cold)		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)		
Ţ	Residential -	-Class I Rate I								
1	Sep-05	0.0	12.2310	151,076	1,847,811	1,920,420	72,610	0.48		
2	Oct-05	56.0	12.2310	150,362	1,839,078	2,424,214	585,137	3.89		
3	Nov-05	307.0	12.2310	153,572	1,878,339	6,258,114	4,379,775	28.52		
4	Dec-05	863.0	12.2310	156,105	1,909,320	16,839,318	14,929,998	95.64		
5	Jan-06	768.0	12.2310	157,778	1,929,783	19,000,726	17,070,944	108.20		
6	Feb-06	760.0	12.2310	158,018	1,932,718	16,057,962	14,125,244	89.39		
7	Mar-06	567.0	12.2310	157,942	1,931,789	15,278,847	13,347,058	84.51		
8	Apr-06	429.0	12.2310	157,139	1,921,967	8,500,778	6,578,811	41.87		
9	May-06	112.0	12.2310	153,253	1,874,437	3,615,455	1,741,018	11.36		
10	Jun-06	22.0	12.2310	150,616	1,842,184	2,318,356	476,172	3.16		
11	Jul-06	0.0	12.2310	149,549	1,829,134	1,746,901	(82,233)	(0.55)		
12	Aug-06	0.0	12.2310	149,337	1,826,541	1,908,628	82,088	0.55		
13	_					<del></del>				
14	Total	3,884.0		153,729	22,563,101	95,869,720	73,306,620	467.01		
15										
16	Heating Sensitve Factor, ccf per customer per degree-day -									
17			Column h, line	14 divided	by column b line	e 14, HSF(res)	==	0.12024		

### Sheet 3 of 6

HSF Sheet 2 of 3

# Atmos Energy (Kentucky) Calculation of Heating Sensitive Factor Applicable for Winter 2006-2007 For G-I Sales - Residential, Commercial and Public Authority Classes

					Total Class			Pro-forma	Class	Average
Line		Lagged Actua	Calculated	No. of	Base Load	Actual	Pro-forma	Volumes, ccf	Heating Load	HL per Cust.
No.	Month	Degree-Days	Base Load (BL)	Customers	(Col c x Col d)	Volumes, ccf	Adjustments	(Col f + Col g)	(Col h - Col e)	(Col j / Col d)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
<u>0</u>	Commercia	1 - Class 2 Rate	1							
1	Sep-05	0.0	80.3890	17,202	1,382,852	1,557,045	(14.081)	1,542,964	160,113	9.31
2	Oct-05	56.0	80.3890	17,328	1,392,981	1.947,327	(15,000)	1.932,327	539,347	31.13
3	Nov-05	3070	80.3890	17,690	1,422,081	2,771,104	(6,281)	2.764,823	1.342.741	75.90
4	Dec-05	863.0	80.3890	18,044	1,450.539	7,115,983	(1,536)	7,114,447	5,663,908	313.89
5	Jan-06	768.0	80.3890	18.078	1,453,272	7,958,651	(11,016)	7,947,635	6,494,363	359.24
6	Feb-06	760.0	80.3890	18,123	1,456,890	6.840,949	(17,183)	6.823,766	5,366,876	296.14
7	Mar-06	567.0	80.3890	18,063	1,452,067	6,230,835	(18,323)	6.212,512	4,760.446	263.55
8	Apr-06	429.0	80.3890	17,944	1.442,500	3.681,970	(17.480)	3.664,490	2,221,989	123.83
9	May-06	112.0	80.3890	17.691	1,422,162	1,929,866	(17,995)	1,911.871	489.709	27.68
10	Jun-06	22.0	80.3890	16,933	1.361,227	1,646.623	(17.236)	1,629,387	268.160	15.84
11	Jul-06	00	80.3890	17,293	1.390,167	1,357,953	(16,753)	1,341,200	(48,967)	(2.83)
12	Aug-06	0.0	80.3890	17.081	1,373,125	1,434,589	(12,512)	1,422,077	48,952	2.87
13										
14	Total	3,884.0			16.999,862	44,472,895	(165,396)	44.307,499	27,307,637	1,516.54
15										
16					Heating Sensity	e Factor, ccf pe	er customer per	degree-day -		
17					Column h, li	ne 14 divided b	y column b. lir	ne 14. HSF(com	) =	0.39046
18									-	
19		Note: Pro-form	na adjustments re	eflect commo	ercial customer	contract change	s from G-1 sale	s.		

### Sheet 4 of 6

Sheet 3 of 3

# Atmos Energy (Kentucky) Calculation of Heating Sensitive Factor Applicable for Winter 2006-2007 For G-1 Sales - Residential, Commercial and Public Authority Classes

					Total Class			Pro-forma	Class	Average
Line		Lagged Actual	Calculated	No. of	Base Load	Actual	Pro-forma	Volumes. ccf	Heating Load	HL per Cust.
No.	Month	Degree-Days	Base Load (BL)	Customers	(Col c x Col d)	Volumes, ccf	Adjustments	(Col f + Col g)	(Col h - Col e)	(Col j / Col d)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(g)	(h)
Public Authority - Class 4 Rate I										
1	Sep-05	0.0	184.8940	1,625	300,453	419,529	(52,568)	366,961	66.508	40.93
2	Oct-05	56.0	184.8940	1,618	299.158	479,401	(56,023)	423.378	124,220	7677
3	Nov-05	307.0	184.8940	1,631	301.562	941,052	(72.392)	868.660	567,097	347.70
4	Dec-05	863.0	184.8940	1,634	302,117	2,018,005	(82,116)	1.935.889	1,633.773	999.86
5	Jan-06	768.0	184.8940	1,645	304,151	2,284,789	(81,877)	2,202,912	1,898.761	1.154,26
6	Feb-06	760.0	184.8940	1,635	302.302	1,894,328	(76,287)	1,818.041	1,515.739	927.06
7	Mar-06	567.0	184.8940	1,631	301,562	1,815,785	(72,959)	1,742,826	1,441.264	883.67
8	Apr-06	429.0	184.8940	1,626	300,638	1,066,825	(60.879)	1,005,946	705,309	433.77
9	May-06	112.0	184.8940	1,620	299,528	588,471	(64,718)	523,753	224,225	138.41
10	Jun-06	22.0	184.8940	1,546	285,846	461,295	(56,049)	405,246	119.400	77.23
11	Jul-06	0.0	184.8940	1,619	299,343	349,002	(51,490)	297,512	(1,831)	(1.13)
12	Aug-06	0.0	184.8940	1,603	296,385	337,901	(39,686)	298,215	1.830	1.14
13										
14	Total	3,884.0			3,593,045	12,656,383	(767,044)	11,889,339	8,296,294	5.079.67
15										
16			Heating Sensitve	Factor, ccf p	er customer per	degree-day -				
17			Column h, line	e 14 divided	by column b, lin	e 14, HSF(PA	) =		_	1.30785
18									•	
19		Note: Pro-form	ia adjustments ref	lect public a	uthority custome	r contract char	nges from G-1	sales		

### Sheet 5 of 6

R Factor Sheet 1 of 1

# Atmos Energy (Kentucky) Calculation of Weighted Average Rate (R) Applicable for Winter 2006-2007 For G-1 Sales - Residential, Commercial and Public Authority Classes

		Volumes, ccf			Current	Current			
Line		(12 months	Pro-Forma	Pro-Forma	Margin	Margin			
No.	G-1 Sales by Billing Block	ending 8/31/05)	Adjustments	Volumes, ccf	per ccf	(Col d x Col e)			
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)			
	()	(-,	` '		. ,				
1	Residential - Class 1	Rate 1							
2	0-300 Mcf/month	95,401,722	467,999	95,869,720	0.1190	11,408,497			
3	Next 14,700 Mcf/month	467,999	(467,999)	0	0.0659	0			
4	Over 15,000 Mcf/month	0	0	0	0.0430	0			
5	Total	95,869,720	0	95,869,720		11,408,497			
6									
7	Weighted Average Ra								
8	Current Rates - Co	olumn f, line 6 divid	ed by column d,	line 6, R (res) =		0.1190			
9									
10									
11	Commercial - Class 2	Rate 1							
12	0-300 Mcf/month	38,866,068	(34,536)	38,831,532	0.1190	4,620,952			
13	Next 14,700 Mcf/month	5,606,827	(130,860)	5,475,967	0.0659	360,866			
14	Over 15,000 Mcf/month	0		0	0.0430	0			
15	Total	44,472,895	(165,396)	44,307,499		4,981,819			
16									
17	Weighted Average Ra								
18	Current Rates - Co	olumn f, line 15 divi	ded by column	d, line 15, R (con	n) =	0.1124			
19									
20									
21	Public Authority - Cl	ass 4 Rate 1							
22	0-300 Mcf/month	9,227,311	(105,000)	9,122,311	0.1190	1,085,555			
23	Next 14,700 Mcf/month	3,429,072	(662,044)	2,767,028	0.0659	182,347			
24	Over 15,000 Mcf/month	0	0	0	0.0430	0			
25	Total	12,656,383	(767,044)	11,889,339		1,267,902			
26									
27	Weighted Average Ra	ate (R), \$/ccf -							
28	$\frac{\text{Current Rates} - \text{Column f, line 25 divided by column d, line 25, R (PA)}{\text{Current Rates}} = \frac{0.1066}{\text{Column f, line 25 divided by column d, line 25, R (PA)}}$								

### Attachment AG DR 1-179 Sheet 6 of 6

HDDs Sheet 1 of 1

### Atmos Energy (Kentucky) Actual & Normal Degree Days 12 Months Ended 08/31/06

Line No.	Month (a)	Actual Ddays (b)	Normal Ddays (c)	Lagged Actual Mo. DDays (16th - 15th)	Lagged Normal 50% Prior Mo. DDays (e)
1					
2	Sep-05	12	28	0	0
3	Oct-05	231	239	56	109
4	Nov-05	483	516	307	376
5	Dec-05	950	859	863	680
6	Jan-06	656	1,006	768	963
7	Feb-06	779	797	760	976
8	Mar-06	536	555	567	653
9	Apr-06	145	247	429	394
10	May-06	92	90	112	154
11	Jun-06	0	0	22	32
12	Jul-06	0	0	0	0
13	Aug-06	0	0	0	0
14					
15		3,884	4,337	3,884	4,337



September 28, 2006

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 2006-00 478

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

We are filing the enclosed original and three (3) copies of a notice under the provisions of our Gas Cost Adjustment Clause, Case No. 2006-478. This filing contains a Petition of Confidentiality and confidential documents.

Please indicate receipt of this filing by stamping and dating the enclosed duplicate of this letter and returning it in the self-addressed stamped envelope to the following address:

Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75240

If you have any questions, feel free to call me at 972-855-3011.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Thomas I Moul

Enclosures

received

SEP 2 9 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Peceived

SEP 2 9 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT ) Case No. 2006 - 00478 FILING OF )
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION )

NOTICE

### QUARTERLY FILING

For The Period

November 1, 2006 - January 31, 2007

Attorney for Applicant

Mark R. Hutchinson 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301 Atmos Energy Corporation, ("the Company"), is duly qualified under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky to do its business. The Company is an operating public utility engaged in the business of purchasing, transporting and distributing natural gas to residential, commercial and industrial users in western and central Kentucky. The Company's principal operating office and place of business is 2401 New Hartford Road, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301. Correspondence and communications with respect to this notice should be directed to:

Gary L. Smith
Vice President - Marketing &
Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division
Atmos Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 866
Owensboro, Kentucky 42302

Mark R. Hutchinson Attorney for Applicant 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Thomas J. Morel Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75240 The Company gives notice to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, hereinafter "the Commission", pursuant to the Gas Cost Adjustment Clause contained in the Company's settlement gas rate schedules in Case No. 99-070.

The Company hereby files Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 4, Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 and Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 6 to its PSC No. 1, Rates, Rules and Regulations for Furnishing Natural Gas to become effective November 1, 2006.

The Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) for firm sales service is \$8.7869 per Mcf, \$7.9136 per Mcf for high load factor firm sales service, and \$7.9136 per Mcf for interruptible sales service. The supporting calculations for the Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 are provided in the following Exhibits:

Exhibit	A	_	Summary of Derivations of Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA)
Exhibit	В		Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation
Exhibit	С	***	Rates used in the Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation
Exhibit	D		Correction Factor (CF) Calculation
Exhibit	E	_	Refund Factor (RF) Calculation
Exhibit	F'	-	LVS Pricing Calculation

Since the Company's last GCA filing, Case No. 2006-00135, the following changes have occurred in its pipeline and gas supply commodity rates for the GCA period.

- 1. The commodity rates per MMbtu used are based on historical estimates and/or current data for the quarter November 2006 through January 2007, as shown in Exhibit C, page 19.
- 2. The Expected Commodity Gas Cost will be approximately \$8.0540 MMbtu for the quarter November 2006 through January 2007, as compared to \$7.7975 per MMbtu used for the quarter of August 2006 through October 2006.
- 3. The Company's notice sets out a new Correction Factor of (\$0.3088) per Mcf, which will remain in effect until at least January 31, 2007.

The GCA tariff as approved in Case No. 92-558 provides for a Correction Factor (CF) which compensates for the difference between the expected gas cost and the actual gas cost for prior periods. A revision to the GCA tariff effective December 1, 2001, Filing No. T62-1253, provides that the Correction Factor be filed on a quarterly basis. The Company is filing its updated Correction Factor that is based upon the balance in the Company's Account 191 as of July 31, 2006. The calculation for the Correction Factor is shown on Exhibit D, Page 1.

WHEREFORE, Atmos Energy Corporation requests this Commission, pursuant to the Commission's order in Case No. 99-070, to approve the Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) as filed in Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 5; and Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 6 setting out the General Transportation Tariff Rate T-2 for each respective sales rate for meter readings made on and after November 1, 2006.

DATED at Dallas Texas, this 28th Day of September, 2006.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

Bv:

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Atmos Energy Corporation

FECEIVED

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SEP 2 9 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Tra	the	Matter	of

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT	)	CASE NO.
FILING OF	)	2006 - 00 4 2 8
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	Ì	100

# PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION BEING FILED WITH THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") respectfully petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and all other applicable law, for confidential treatment of the information which is described below and which is attached hereto. In support of this Petition, Atmos states as follows:

- 1. Atmos is filing its Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") for the quarterly period commencing on November 1, 2006. This GCA filing also contains Atmos' quarterly Correction Factor (CF) as well as information pertaining to Atmos' projected gas prices. The following two attachments contain information which requires confidential treatment.
  - a. The attached Exhibit D contains information from which the actual price being paid by Atmos for natural gas to its supplier can be determined.
  - b. The attached Weighted Average Cost of Gas ("WACOG") schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 20 contains confidential information pertaining to prices projected to be paid by Atmos for purchase contracts.
- 2. Information of the type described above has previously been filed by Atmos with the Commission under petitions for confidentiality. Exhibit D contains information from which it

could be determined what Atmos is paying for natural gas under its gas supply agreement with its existing supplier. The Commission has consistently granted confidential protection to that type of information in each of the prior GCA filings in KPSC Case No. 1999-070. The information contained in the attached WACOG schedule has also been filed with the Commission under a Petition for Confidentiality in Case No. 97-513.

- 3. All of the information sought to be protected herein as confidential, if publicly disclosed, would have serious adverse consequences to Atmos and its customers. Public disclosure of this information would impose an unfair commercial disadvantage on Atmos. Atmos has successfully negotiated an extremely advantageous gas supply contract that is very beneficial to Atmos and its ratepayers. Detailed information concerning that contract, including commodity costs, demand and transportation charges, reservations fees, etc. on specifically identified pipelines, if made available to Atmos' competitors, (including specifically non-regulated gas marketers), would clearly put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage. Those competitors for gas supply would be able to gain information that is otherwise confidential about Atmos' gas purchases and transportation costs and strategies. The Commission has accordingly granted confidential protection to such information.
- 4. Likewise, the information contained in the WACOG schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 20, also constitutes sensitive, proprietary information which if publicly disclosed would put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage in future negotiations.
- 5. Atmos would not, as a matter of company policy, disclose any of the information for which confidential protection is sought herein to any person or entity, except as required by law or pursuant to a court order or subpoena. Atmos' internal practices and policies are directed towards non-disclosure of the attached information. In fact, the information contained in the

attached report is not disclosed to any personnel of Atmos except those who need to know in order to discharge their responsibility. Atmos has never disclosed such information publicly. This information is not customarily disclosed to the public and is generally recognized as confidential and proprietary in the industry.

- 6. There is no significant interest in public disclosure of the attached information. Any public interest in favor of disclosure of the information is out weighed by the competitive interest in keeping the information confidential.
- 7. The attached information is also entitled to confidential treatment because it constitutes a trade secret under the two prong test of KRS 265.880: (a) the economic value of the information as derived by not being readily ascertainable by other persons who might obtain economic value by its disclosure; and, (b) the information is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. The economic value of the information is derived by Atmos maintaining the confidentiality of the information since competitors and entities with whom Atmos transacts business could obtain economic value by its disclosure.
- 8. Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(3) temporary confidentiality of the attached information should be maintained until the Commission enters an order as to this petition. Once the order regarding confidentiality has been issued, Atmos would have twenty (20) days to seek alternative remedies pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(4).

WHEREFORE, Atmos petitions the Commission to treat as confidential all of the material and information which is included in the attached one volume marked "Confidential".

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of September, 2006.

Mark R. Hutchinson 1700 Frederica Street Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Douglas Walther Atmos Energy Corporation P.O. Box 650250 Dallas, Texas 75265

John N. Hughes 124 W. Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Attorneys for Atmos Energy Corporation

WHEREFORE, Atmos petitions the Commission to treat as confidential all of the material and information which is included in the attached one volume marked "Confidential".

Respectfully submitted this 28<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.

Mark R. Hutchinson 611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Douglas Walther Atmos Energy Corporation P.O. Box 650250 Dallas, Texas 75265

John N. Hughes 124 W. Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Attorneys for Atmos Energy Corporation

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

		···	Current Rate Case No. 20							-
Firm Service										
Base Charge: Residential			e7 50	<b>TO COM 177</b>	etar nari	anth				
Residential				-	eter per n eter per n					
Carriage (T-4)				-		int per month				
Transportation Administra	tion Fee		- 50.00	per cu	stomer p	er meter				
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>	Sales (G-	<u>·1)</u>		Tra	nsport (I			ge (T-4)		
First 300 ' Mcf Next 14,700 ' Mcf			per Mcf per Mcf	@		per Mcf per Mcf	999		per Mcf per Mcf	(I, N,
Over 15,000 Mcf	@ 9 @ 9	2.2169	per Mef	@		per Mcf	@		per Mcf	(I, N,
Friet V and France Firm	lamitas									
High Load Factor Firm HLF demand charge/Mcf		1.5576		@	4 5576	per Mcf of daily				(1/1)
FILE demand charge wer	<u>@</u>	7.2270		<b>@</b>	4.5570	Contract Demand				
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>										
First 300 ' Mcf	@ 9	9.1036	per Mcf	@	1.3739	per Mcf				(I, N)
Next 14,700 1 Mcf	@ 8	3.5726	per Mcf	@	0.8429	per Mcf				(I, N)
Over 15,000 Mcf	@ 8	3.3436	per Mcf	@	0.6139	per Mcf				(I, N)
Interruptible Service										
Base Charge						int per month				
Transportation Administra	tion Fee		- 50.00	per cu	stomer p	er meter				
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>	Sales (G-	2)		Trai	asport (I	`-2)	Carria	ge (T-3)		
			per Mcf	@		per Mcf	@	0.5300	per Mcf	(I, N,
First 15,000 1 Mcf			per Mcf	<u>@</u>		per Mcf	@,	0.3591		(I, N,

ISSUED:

September 28, 2006

Effective:

November 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

For Entire Service Area
P.S.C. No. 1
Nineteenth SHEET No. 5
Cancelling
Eighteenth SHEET No. 5

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

ce (G-1) and Interru	ptible Sales Servi	ce (G-2).	
PBRRF			
G-1	HLF <u>G-1</u>	G-2	
9.1112	8.2379	8.2379	(1, 1, !)
(0.3088)	(0.3088)	(8806.0)	(R, R, R)
(0.0554)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)	(R, R,
0.0399	0.0399	0.0399	(N, N, N)
\$8.7869	\$7.9136	\$7.9136	(I I
	Case No. 2006-00  ce (G-1) and Interru  PBRRF  G-1  9.1112  (0.3088)  (0.0554)	PBRRF  G-1  9.1112  8.2379  (0.3088)  (0.3088)  (0.0554)  (0.0554)  0.0399  0.0399	Case No. 2006-00000  ce (G-1) and Interruptible Sales Service (G-2).  PBRRF  HLF  G-1 G-1 G-2  9.1112 8.2379 8.2379  (0.3088) (0.3088) (0.3088)  (0.0554) (0.0554) (0.0554)

ISSUED:

September 28, 2006

Effective:

November 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

					ansportation		Carriage				
	2024 60000			C	ase No. 2006-0	0000					
The		ortation Rate T-2 t monthly rate is			Rates T-3 and T	7-4) fo	or each				
Syst	em Lost and Ur	naccounted gas	percentage	2:					1.38%	•	
<b></b>	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	on al			Simple Margin		Non- Commodity		Gross Margin	-	
	nsportation Ser										
a)	Firm Service First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1,1900	+	\$1.0572	==	\$2,2472	per Mcf	(N)
	Next	14,700 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	1.0572	==		per Mcf	(N)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.4300	+	1.0572	==		per Mcf	(N)
b)		actor Firm Servic	e (HLF)		<b>70.0000</b>		4.5576	==	PA 5576	per Mcf of	(13)
	Demand			@	\$0.0000	+	4.5576		daily contract		(14)
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.1839	200	-	per Mcf	(14)
	Next	14,700 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.1839	=	0.8429	per Mcf	(N)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	<u>@</u>	0.4300	- <del>!</del> -	0.1839	=	0.6139	per Mcf	(N)
c)	Interruptible	<u>Service</u>									
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.1839	=	\$0.7139	per Mcf	(N)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.1839		0.5430	per Mcf	(N)
Car	riage Service 3										
	Firm Service	(T-4)									
	First	300	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.0000	200	\$1.1900	per Mcf	(N)
	Next	14,700	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.0000	==	0.6590	per Mcf	(N)
	All over	15,000	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.0000	122	0,4300	per Mcf	(N)
	Interruptible	Service (T-3)									
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.0000	==		per Mcf	(14)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.0000	=	0.3591	per Mcf	(N)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes standby sales service under corresponding sales rates. GRI Rider may also apply.

ISSUED:

September 28, 2006

Effective:

November 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

All gas consumed by the customer (Sales and transportation; firm, high load factor, interruptible, and carriage) will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Excludes standby sales service.

# Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 1 of 5

Line		Case	No.	
No.	Description	2006-00324	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-1		•	
2	Appropriate Control of the Control o			
3	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
4	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
5	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
7				
8	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
9	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):	7.7975	8.0540	0,2565
10	Commodity	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
11 12	Demand Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total EGC	8.8547	9.1112	0.2565
15	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
16	CF (Correction Factor)	(0.1749)	(0.3088)	(0.1339)
17	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0017)	(0.0554)	(0.0537)
18	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
19	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	8.7180	8.7869	0.0689
20	Total Billing Cost of Gas	8.7180	8.7869	0.0689
21	-			
22	Commodity Charge (GCA included):			2.0502
23	First 300 Mcf	9.9080	9.9769	0.0689
24	Next 14,700 Mcf	9.3770	9.4459	0.0689
25	Over 15,000 Mcf	9.1480	9.2169	0.0689
26	www.wa.com. b. of b. Th			
27	HLF (High Load Factor)			
28	G			
29	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
30	First 300 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
31	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
32	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.7300	0.0000
33	Con Cont & Single and Commence to			
34	Gas Cost Adjustment Components  EGC (Expected Gas Cost):			
35 36	Commodity	7.7975	8.0540	0.2565
30 37	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
37 38	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
39	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
40	Total EGC	7.9814	8.2379	0.2565
41	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
42	CF (Correction Factor)	(0.1749)	(0.3088)	(0.1339)
43	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0017)	(0.0554)	(0.0537)
44	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
45	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	7.8447	7.9136	0.0689
	•	7.8447	7.9136	0.0689
46	Total Cost of Gas to Bill (excludes MDQ Demand)	7.8447	7.7130	0.0000
47	a the attended to the to the			
48	Commodity Charge (GCA included):	9.0347	9.1036	0.0689
49	First 300 Mcf	8,5037	8.5726	0.0689
50	Next 14,700 Mcf	8.2747	8.3436	0.0689
51	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.2141	OCFC.6	0,000
52	UI E Demand			
53 54	HLF Demand Contract Demand Factor	4.5576	4.5576	0.0000
24	Common Demand Pacion	,,,,,,		

## Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Interruptible Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 2 of 5

Line				Case	No.	
No.	Description		2006-00324	2006-00000	Difference	
				\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-2					
2						
3		e (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):				
4		000 Mcf		0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
5	Over 15,	000 Mcf		0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
6						
7	Gas Cost Adjustme					
8	Expected Gas Cos	st (EGC):		m mome	2.0542	0.0565
9	Commodity			7.7975	8.0540	0.2565
10	Demand			0.1839	0.1839	0.0000 0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay			0000.0	0.000.0	
12	Transition Costs			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Total EGC			7.9814	8.2379	
14	Less: Base Cost o			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15	Correction Factor			(0.1749)	(0.3088)	(0.1339)
16	Refund Adjustme			(0.0017)	(0.0554)	(0.0537)
17		ed Rate Recovery Factor (PBRRF)		0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
18	Gas Cost Adjustm	nent (GCA)		7.8447	7.9136	0.0689
19	Total Cost of Gas	to Bill		7.8447	7.9136	0.0689
20						
21	Commodity Charge	e (GCA included):				
22	First 15,	000 Mcf		8.3747	8.4436	0.0689
23	Over 15,	000 Mcf		8.2038	8.2727	0.0689
24						
25						
26	Monthly Refund Fa	actor				
27			Effective			
28		Case No.	Date	G - 1	G-1/HLF	<u>G-2</u>
29	1 -	1999-070 L	07/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
30	2 -	1999-070 M	08/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	3 -	1999-070 N	10/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	4 -	1999-070 O	11/01/01	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0019)
33	5 -	1999-070 P	05/03/02	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
34	6 -	2002-00251	08/01/02	(0.0095)	(0.0095)	(0.0019)
35	7 -	2002-00359	11/01/02	(0.1574)	(0.1574)	(0.0391)
36	8	2003-00377	11/01/03	(0.0006)	(0.0006)	(0.0006)
37	9-	2004-00269	08/01/04	(0.0048)	(0.0048)	(0.0048)
38	10 -	2005-00399	11/01/05	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)
39	11 -	2006-00000	11/01/06	(0.0554)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)
40	12 -	2000 00000	11/01/00	(0.000.)	(,	,
40 41	12-					
42	Total Supplier Defi	and Adjustment (RF)		(0.0554)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)
43	rom aubbuct gen	and regulations (see )		francous ()	Z :	,,
43						

Atmos Energy Corporation Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 3 of 5

_		Case	No.	
Line		2006-00324	2006-00000	Difference
No.	Description	\$/Mcf	\$/IMcf	S/Mcf
1	T-2\G-1			
2				
3	7 21 00 070			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
5	First 300 Mcf Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
6	. 10/12	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
7 8	Over 15,000 Mcf			
9	Non-Commodity Components:		1.0577	0.0000
10	Demand	1.0572	1.0572 0.0000	0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjustment)	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
14	Total	1.0512		
15	Gross Margin:			0.0000
16 17	First 300 Mcf	2.2472	2.2472	0000.0 0000.0
18	Next 14,700 Mcf	1.7162	1.7162	0.000.0
19	Over 15,000 Mcf	1.4872	1.4872	0.0000
20				
21	<u>T-2\G-1\HLF</u>			
22				
23	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	1.1900	1,1900	0.0000
24	First 300 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
25	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
26	Over 15,000 Mcf			
27 28	Non-Commodity Components:		0.1020	0.0000
29	Demand	0.1839	0.1839 0.0000	0.0000
30	Take-Or-Pay	0000.0 0000.0	0.0000	0.0000
31	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
33	Total	0.1037	*****	
34	Gross Margin (Excluding HLF Demand):			0.000
35	First 300 Mcf	1.3739	1.3739	0.0000
36	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.8429	0.8429	0000.0 0000.0
37 38	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.6139	0.6139	0.0000
39	,			
40	HLF Demand	4,5576	4.5576	0.0000
41	Contract Demand Factor	-:5510		
42				

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 4 of 5

Line	e		Cas		
No.	Description		2006-00324	2006-00000	Difference
-			\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	Carriage Service				
2					
3	Firm Service (T-4)				
4	Simple Margin (	Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First	300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next	14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
7	Over	15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
8					
9	Non-Commodity	Components:			
11	Take-Or-Pay		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Ad	justment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15					
16	Gross Margin:				
17	First	300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
18	Next	14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
19	Over	15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
20					

### Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Transportation and Carriage Service

Line		Cas	e No.	
No.	Description	2006-00324	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	General Transporation (T-2)			
2				
3	Interruptible Service (G-2)			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
7				
8	Non-Commodity Components:			0.0000
9	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
10	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0
13	Total	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
14				
15	Gross Margin:	0.7120	0.7139	0.0000
16	First 15,000 Mcf	0.7139 0.5430	0.7139	0.0000
17	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3430	0.5450	0.5500
18	C. to a Contra			
19	Carriage Service			
20 21	Carriage Service (T-3)			
22	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	0,5300	0,5300	0.0000
23	First 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
24	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.5391	0.3371	0.0000
25	N- G			
26	Non-Commodity Components:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
28	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30	RF (Refund Adjustment) Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	1 0721	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	G Marria			
33 34	Gross Margin: First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
34 35	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
35 36	CYGI 15,000 MOI	0,000		
20				

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

38 39 40

Exhibit B Page I of II

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Teriff	Annual	-			Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	S	\$	\$
l SL to Zone 2							
2 NNS Contract #	N0210		12,617,673				
3 Base Rate		20		0.3088	3,896,336	3,896,336	
4 GSR		20		0.0000	0		0
5 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
6		_		-			
7 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
8							
9 SL to Zone 3							
10 NNS Contract #	N0340		27,480,375				
11 Base Rate		20		0.3543	9,736,297	9,736,297	
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0		0
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
18							
<pre>19 FT Contract #</pre>	3355		3,130,605				
20 Base Rate		24		0.2494	780,773	780,773	
21 GSR.		24		0.0000	0		0
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
27							
28							
29 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
20							

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 2 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual			_	Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
1 7 ama 1 to 7 ama 2			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	S	\$	\$
<ul> <li>1 Zone 1 to Zone 3</li> <li>2 FT Contract #</li> </ul>	3355		2,344,395				
3 Base Rate	2223	24	2,344,333	0.2194	514,360	514,360	
4 GSR		24		0.0000	0	51.,500	0
5 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	J
6 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
6							
7 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3 8			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
9 SL to Zone 4							
10 NNS Contract #	N0410		3,320,769				
II Base Rate		20		0.4190	1,391,402	1,391,402	0
12 GSR		20		0.000.0	0	0	0
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.000.0	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000 0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20 20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI 18		20		0.0000	U	V	
19 FT Contract #	3819		1,277,500				
20 Base Rate	3019	24	1,217,300	0.3142	401,391	401,391	
21 GSR		24		0.0000	0		0
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
27				-			
28 Total SL to Zone 4 29			4,598,269		1,792,793	1,792,793	0
30 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
31 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
32 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3 33			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
34 Total Texas Gas		-	50,171,317		16,720,559	16,720,559	0
35							
36							
37 Vendor Reservation Fees (	Fixed)				0	0	
38					•		
39 TOP & Direct Billed Tran	sition costs				0		
40	G ""				16 720 550	16 720 550	n
41 Total Texas Gas Area Nor	-Commodity				16,720,559	16,720,559	0
42							
43							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 3 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line	Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs \$
		MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	.⊅
1 <u>0 to Zone 2</u> 2 FT-G Contract # 2546.1		12,844	9.0600			
	23B	12,0	9.0600	116,367	116,367	
<ul><li>3 Base Rate</li><li>4 Settlement Surcharge</li></ul>	23B		0.0000	0		0
4 Settlement Surcharge 5 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6						
7 FT-G Contract # 2548.1		4,363	9.0600	-0.500	20.520	
8 Base Rate	23B		9.0600	39,529	39,529	0
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	U		Ü
11		c 720	9.0600			
12 FT-G Contract # 2550.1		5,739	9.0600	51,995	51,995	
13 Base Rate	23B		0.0000	0		0
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B 23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	235		0,000			
16 17 FT-G Contract # 2551.1		4,447	9.0600			
17 11 0 0	23B	4	9,0600	40,290	40,290	_
<ul><li>18 Base Rate</li><li>19 Settlement Surcharge</li></ul>	23B		0.0000	0		0
<ul><li>19 Settlement Surcharge</li><li>20 PCB Adjustment</li></ul>	23B		0.0000	0		0
21						
22					040 101	0
23 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	U
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 4 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line	Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
140. Description	Dillocation	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	S
l <u>1 to Zone 2</u>						
2 FT-G Contract # 2546		114,156	7.6200			
3 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	869,869	869,869	
4 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0 0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6						
7 FT-G Contract # 2548		44,997	7.6200			
8 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	342,877	342,877	
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
11						
12 FT-G Contract # 2550		59,741	7.6200			
13 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	455,226	455,226	
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
16						
17 FT-G Contract # 2551		45,058	7.6200			
18 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	343,342	343,342	
19 Seitlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21						
22 Total Zone 1 to 2	_	263,952	_	2,011,314	2,011,314	0
23						
24 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
25						
26 Total Zone 1 to 2 and Zone 0 to 2	_	291,345		2,259,495	2,259,495	0
27						
28 Gas Storage						
29 Production Area:						
30 Demand	27	34.968	2.0200	70,635	70,635	
31 Space Charge	27	4,916,148	0.0248	121,920	121,920	
32 Market Area:						
33 Demand	27	237,408	1.1500	273,019	273,019	
34 Space Charge	27	10,846,308	0.0185	200,657	200,657	
35 Total Storage				666,231	666,231	
36						
37 Vendor Reservation Fees (Fixed)				0	0	
38					_	0
39 TOP & Direct Billed Transition costs	1			0	0	0
40			_			
41 Total Tennessee Gas Area FT-G Non	-Commodity		_	2,925,726	2,925,726	. 0
42			-			
43						
44						
45						
46						

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Texas Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 5 of 11

				(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)
Line		Tariff						mn i N
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Purch		Rate		Total
				Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu		\$
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6					1,760,200			
7	Firm Transportation				.,,	8.7170		15,343,663
8	Indexed Gas Cost	n e				0.0439		77,273
9	Base (Weighted on MDQs)	25 25				0.0000		0
10	TCA Adjustment	25 25				0.0000		0
11	Unrecovered TCA Surcharge					0.0000		0
12	Cash-out Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
13	GRI	25 25				0.0018		3,168
14	ACA	25 36	2.10%			0.1870		329,157
15	Fuel and Loss Retention @	30	2.1076		_	8,9497		15,753,261
16								
17	No Notice Storage				2,300,000			
18	Net (Injections)/Withdrawals				2,500,1500	7.7010		17,712,300
19	Indexed Gas Cost	20				0.0508		116,840
20	Commodity (Zone 3)	20	2.05%			0.1612		370,760
21	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	2.0376			7.9130		18,199,900
22								
23								
24				*********	4,060,200	8.3624		33,953,161
25	Total Purchases in Texas Area				1,000,			
26								
27		4						
28	Used to allocate transportation a	non-commodity					-	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN
29								
30				Annualized		Commodity		777 1 1 1 - 3
31				MDQs in		Charge		Weighted
32	Texas Gas		_	MMbtu	Allocation	\$/MMbtu		Average
33	SL to Zone 2			12,617,673	25.15%	\$0.0399	5	0.0100
34	SL to Zone 3			30,610,980	61.01%	0.0445		0.0271
35	1 to Zone 3			2,344,395	4.67%	0.0422		0.0020
36				4,598,269	9.17%	0.0528		0.0048
37	Total		<del>-</del>	50,171,317	100.00%		\$	0.0439
38	I Otal							
39	Tennessee Gas						_	5.0003
40	0 to Zone 2			27,393	9.40%	0.0880	2	0.0083
40	1 to Zone 2			263,952	90.60%	0.0776		0.0703
42	Total		-	291,345	100.00%		\$	0.0786
43	1 Other							
45								

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity
Purchases in Tennessee Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 6 of 11

(4) (2) (3) (1)

Line No. Description	Tariff Sheef No.		Pui	rchases	Rate	Total
No. Description	Succi 140.		Mcf	MMbtu	S/MMbtu	\$
				684,900		
1 FT-A and FT-G					8.7170	5,970,273
2 Indexed Gas Cost					0.0786	53,833
3 Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs)	23C				0.0000	0
4 GRI	23C				0.0018	1,233
5 ACA	23C 23C				0.0000	0
6 Transition Cost	23C 29	4.28%			0.3898	266,974
7 Fuel and Loss Retention	29	2076		-	9.1872	6,292,313
8						
9						
10				101,900		
11 <u>FT-GS</u>				101,200	8.7170	888,262
12 Indexed Gas Cost	20				0.5844	59,550
13 Base Rate	20 20				0.0000	0
14 GRI					0.0018	183
15 ACA	20				0.0000	0
16 PCB Adjustment	20				0.0000	0
17 Settlement Surcharge	20	4.300/			0.3898	39,721
18 Fuel and Loss Retention	29	4.28%		-	9.6930	987,716
19					7.0750	201,111
20		,				
21						
22 Gas Storage				810,000		
23 FT-A & FT-G Market Area (Injections)/Withdrawals				810,000	6.5400	5,297,400
24 Indexed Gas Cost/Storage					0.0102	8,262
25 Injection Rate	27	7 4007			0.0702	80,109
26 Fuel and Loss Retention	27	1.49%		-	6.6491	5,385,771
27 Total					0.0471	5,505,177
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33				•		
34						
35						
36				1,596,800	7,9320	12,665,800
37 Total Tennessee Gas Zones				1,550,000	1,7520	12,005,000
38						
39						

Atmos Energy Corporation Expected Gas Cost Trunkline Gas							exhibit B Page 7 of 11
Commodity				(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Line No. Description		Tariff Sheet No.		Purch	ases MMbtu	Rate S/MMbtu	Total S
				Mcf	MINIDIU	2/141141010	.9
<ul> <li>1 Firm Transportation</li> <li>2 Expected Volumes</li> <li>3 Indexed Gas Cost</li> <li>4 Base Commodity</li> <li>5 GRI</li> <li>6 ACA</li> <li>7 Fuel and Loss Reter</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> </ul>	ntion	10 10 10	1.11%		400,000	8.7170 0.0213 0.0019 0.0978 8.8380	3,486,800 8,520 0 760 39,120 3,535,200
Non-Commodity							
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-C	(5) ommodity	(6)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition Costs
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units MMbtu	Rate \$/MMbtu	Total \$	Demand \$	\$
11 FT-G Contract # 12 Discount Rate on I	014573 MDQs		87,475	7.2000	629,820	629,820	
13 14 15 GRI Surcharge 16		10	92,125		0	-	
17 Reservation Fee 18 19 Total Trunkline Ar 20	ea Non-Commodity				629,820	629,820	

Page 8 of 11

Demand Charge Calculation

Times:

Total Annualized Peak Day Demand

Demand Charge per MDQ

Note: LVS Credit =

48

49

50 51 52

53

Line (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) No. Total Demand Cost: 1 \$16,720,559 2 Texas Gas Midwestern 0 3 2,925,726 4 Tennessee Gas 629,820 Trunkline 5 6 Total \$20,276,105 7 Monthly Demand Charge Allocated Related 8 Firm Interruptible HLF Volumes Demand 9 Demand Cost Allocation: Factors 0.1839 0.1850 20,401,274 0.1839 0.1839 \$3,751,079 10 All NA 0.8733 NA 0.8150 16,525,026 18,923,274 Firm 11 1.0572 0.1839 0.1839 12 Total 1.0000 \$20,276,105 13 Volumetric Basis for 14 Monthly Demand Charge 15 Annualized Mcf@14.65 All Firm 16 17 Firm Service 18 Sales: 18.887.274 18,887,274 18,887,274 1.0572 G-1 19 0.1839 + HLF MDQ Demand 60,000 20 HLF 60,000 1.0572 ٥ 21 LVS-1 18,947,274 18,947,274 18,887,274 Total Firm Sales 22 23 24 Transportation: 1.0572 36.000 36,000 36,000 T-2\G-1 25 0.1839 HLF 0 26 18,983,274 18,923,274 Total Firm Service 18,983,274 27 28 29 Interruptible Service 30 Sales: 0.1839 1.0572 684,000 684,000 G-231 1.0572 0.1839 154.000 154,000 32 LVS-2 838,000 838,000 33 Total Sales 34 35 Transportation: 1.0572 0.1839 580,000 580,000 36 T-2 \ G-2 37 1,418.000 38 Total Interruptible Service 1,418,000 39 40 Carriage Service 23,438.000 41 T-3 & T-4 42 20,401,274 18,923,274 43,839,274 43 Total 44 **HLF MDO Demand** 45 \$16,525,026 Firm Demand Cost 46 302,152 Mcf/Peak Day Peak Day Thru-put 47

12 Months/Year

\$4.5576 / MDQ of Customer's Contract

3,625,824

(\$28.321)

Line							
No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I	Other Fixed Charges	Take-or-Pay	Transition				
2	Texas Gas		\$0				
3	Tennessee Gas		0				
4	Total	\$0	\$0				
5							
6							
7			Related	Charge			
8	Other Fixed Charges	Amount	Volumes	\$/Mcf			
9	Take-or-Pay	0	43,839,274	0.0000			
10	Transition	0	20,401,274	0.0000			
11	Total	\$0		0.0000			
12							
1.3							
14			Volumetric			0.1	1.07
15		Annual	Other Fixed				ed Charges
16		Expected Mcf	Take-or-Pay	Transition		Take-or-Pay	Transition
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:	10.007.074	10 007 274	10.007.274			0.0000
19	G-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274 60,000			0.0000
20	HLF	60,000 0	60,000 0	00,000			0.0000
21	LVS-1	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,947,274			0.0000
22	Total Firm Sales	10,947,274	10,747,274	10,547,274			
23	Transportations						
24 25	Transportation: T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000			0.0000
26	T-2 \ G-1 \ HLF	0	30,000	20,000			0.0000
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,983,274			
28	TOTAL THIS SELVICE	121-221-1		, , , ,			
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000	684,000			0.0000
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000	154,000			0.0000
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000	838,000			
34							
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2 \ G-2	580,000	580,000	580,000			0.0000
37							
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000	1,418,000			
39							
40	Carriage Service		nn /20 000	***			
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000	23,438,000	NA			
42		10.020.081	42.020.004	20 401 274			
43	Total	43,839,274	43,839,274	20,401,274			
44							
45	Notes INC Condit	\$0					
46	Note: LVS Credit =	20					
47							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Total System

Exhibit B Page 10 of 11

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

ne o. Description		Purchases		Rate	Total
G. Description		Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
1 Texas Gas Area					
2 No Notice Service		0	0	0.0000	0
3 Firm Transportation		1,717,268	1,760,200	8.9497	15,753,261
4 No Notice Storage		2,243,902	2,300,000	7.9130	18,199,900
5 Total Texas Gas Area		3,961,170	4,060,200	8.3624	33,953,161
6					
7 Tennessee Gas Area			604.000	0.1000	4 202 213
8 FT-A and FT-G		658,558	684,900	9.1872	6,292,313 987,716
9 FT-GS		97,981	101,900	9.6930	967,710
10 Gas Storage			810.000	6.6491	5,385,771
11 FT-A and FT-G Injections		778,846	810,000 0	0.0000	0
12 FT-GS Withdrawals	***************************************	0	1,596,800	7.9320	12,665,800
13		1,535,385	1,590,600	7.9.520	12,005,000
14 Trunkline Gas Area		30C 4CC	400,000	8.8380	3,535,200
15 Firm Transportation		386,473	400,000	8.000	2,020,20
16					
17					
18 WKG System Storage		0	0		O
19 Injections		3,680,000	3,772,000	6.8300	25,762,760
20 Withdrawals		3,680,000	3,772,000	6.8300	25,762,760
21 Net WKG Storage		2,080,000	5,7,2,000		
22					
23		59,512	61,000	8.9497	545,932
24 Local Production					
25					
26 27					
28 Total Commodity Purchases		9,622,540	9,890,000	7.7313	76,462,853
29		, ,			
30 Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	132,791	136,482		
31					
32 Total Deliveries		9,489,749	9,753,518	7.8395	76,462,853
33					
34 <u>LVS Commodi</u>	ty Credit to System				(***********
35 LVS Sales		(20,000)	(20,556)	9.4164	(193,564
36					
37					76.760.000
38 Total Expected Commodity Cost		9,469,749	9,732,962	7.8362	76,269,289
39				0.0540	
40 Expected Commodity Cost (\$/Mcf)			=	8.0540	
41					
42					
43					

Atmos Energy Corporation Load Factor Calculation for Demand Allocation

Exhibit B Page 11 of 11

Line No.	Description	MCF
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Annualized Volumes Subject to Demand Charges	
1	Sales Volume	19,631,274
2	Large Volume Sales (Annualized)	154,000
3	Transportation	616,000
4	Total Mcf Billed Demand Charges	20,401,274
5	Divided by: Days/Year	365
7	Average Daily Sales and Transport Volumes	55,894
S		
10	Peak Dav Sales and Transportation Volume	
11	Estimated total company firm requirements for 5 degree average	
12	temperature day from Peak Day Book - with adjustments per rate filing	302,152 Mcf/Peak Day
13		
14		
15	New Load Factor (line 7 / line 12)	0.1850

Exhibit C Page 1 of 21

Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective

Superseding: Second Sub Sixth Rev Sheet No. 20

Currently Bifective Maximum Transportation Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule NNS

Currently Effective Rates (3)	0.1800 0.0271 0.2071	0.0449	0.3566	0.0508	0.4822
FERC ACA (2)	0.0018	0.0018 0.0018	0.0018	0.0018	0.0018
Base Tariff Rates (1)	0.1800 0.0253 0.2053	0.2782 0.0431 0.3213	0.3088 0.0460 0.3548	0.3543 0.0450 0.4033	0,4804 0,4804
	Zone Sl. Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 1 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	zone 2 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 3 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 4 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun

0.0163 0.0186 0.0223 0.0262 Minimum Rate: Demand \$-0-; Commodity - Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 2 Zone 3 Zone 3

The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions. Note:

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Bastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental transportation charge of:

\$0.0621 \$0.0155 \$0.0776 Daily Demand Commodity

This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 24 ; Effective Superseding: Second Sub Fourth Rev Sheet No. 24

Currently Effective Maximum Daily Demand Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Effective Rates [1] Currently

0.0794 0.1552 0.2120	0.2494 0.3142 0.1252 0.1820	0.2194 0.2842 0.1332 0.1705	0,1181 0,1810 0,1374
SL-SL SL-1 SL-2	SL-3 SL-4 1-1	1-3 2-2 2-3	2 ሠ ሠ ቁ ብ ሀ ብ ብ ብ

Minimum Rates: Demand \$-0-

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

[1] Currently Effective Rates are equal to the Base Tariff Rates.

The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions. Note:

pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS. \$0.0621. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Daily Demand charge of

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 25 : Effective

Superseding: Second Sub Fifth Rev Sheet No. 25

Currently Bffective Maximum Commodity Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently Effective Rates (3)	0.0122 0.0373 0.0417	0,0463	0.0355 0.0403 0.0440	0.0526	0.0370 0.0464 0.0330 0.0416
FERC ACA (2)	0,0018 0,0018 0,0018	0.0018	0.0018 0.0018	0.0018	0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018
Base Tariff Rates (1)	0.0104 0.0355 0.0399	0.0445	0.0337	0.0422	0.0360 0.0446 0.0312 0.0398 0.0360
	SL-SL SL-1	SII-3	1-1	1-3 1-4 2-2	2 2 2 2 2 2 4 

Minimum Rates: Commodity minimum base rates are presented on Sheet 31.

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Commodity charge of \$0.0155. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS. For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, LP Note:

Sub 1 Rev 3 Rev Sheet No. 36 : Effective

Superseding: Third Revised Sheet No. 36

Schedule of Currently Effective Fuel Retention Percentages Pursuant to Section 16 of the General Terms and Conditions

# NNS/SGT/SNS RATE SCHEDULES

; ; ; ;	EFRP { 3 }	0.00% 2.07% 2.71% 3.23%	! ! ! ! !	EFRP	1.46%	0.76%	2.30%	3,08%	0.34%	0.5	٠. 40 تا	0.34%	0.85%	0.43%	1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1	EFRP	0.87%
NNS/SGT/SNS SUMMER	EAP {2}	(0.23%) (0.19%) 0.23% (0.15%)	2:	FAP	1.22%	(0.69%)	0.10%	0.03%	0.31%	% n n o	. u o	0.31%	0.00%	0.00%	Injection	FAP	0.24%
NNS/SGT/S	PFRP { 1 }	0.23% 2.26% 2.48% 2.71% 3.01%	SUMMER	PERP	0.24%	1.45%	2.20%	3.05%	0.03%	0.05	0.00	0.03%	0.85%	0.43%	THÌ		
] 	Delivery Zone		REDULES	Rec/Del Zone	SL/SL	SL or 1/1	St. or 1/2	SL or $1/4$	2/2	2/3	2/4	3/3	3/4	4/4	SCHEDULES	PFRP	0.63%
	EFRP (3)	1.17% 2.07% 2.05% 3.61%	FT/STF/IT RATE SCHEDULES	EFRP	1.37%	0.56%	1.06%	2.448	0.52%	1.04%	.38%	0.52%	299.0	0.33%	FSS/ISS RATE SO	: : :	c/0
WINTER	FAP {2}	0.59% (0.76%) (0.55%) (0.76%)		1 } \$				(0.27%) 2				0 41%		0.00%	Withdrawal	 	
NNS/SGT WINTER	PFRP [1]	2.53% 2.53% 2.62% 4.39%	WINTER	PERP	1 1 1 1 0			2.05%	0.11%	0.22%	988.0	6 6	899.0	.33%	Witho	PAP	(810.0)
	Delivery Zone	1 2 2 2 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Rec/Del Zone	10/10	SL or 1/1	$s_{\rm L}$ or $1/2$	SL or $1/3$ SL or $1/4$	2/2	2/3	2/4	د/ د	υ κ υ 4/	4/4		PERP	

 $<sup>\{1\}</sup>$  Projected Fuel Retention Percentage

Thirty-Third Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective Superseding: Thirty-Second Revised Sheet No. 20

	RECEIPT ZONE 0 L		L	DELIVERY  1 2	ZON  844	3	4 4 50.7814 80.6915		6 51.0698
	ነሪ ሠ 4 17 10	\$0.5844 \$0.7995 \$0.7995 \$1.0698		⊢		).2897 ).1489 ).3995 ).4951	4144 3995 1886 2311 4061	.5106 .4951 .2311 .1989	00000
 	RECEIPT ZONE	0	, I		7		4	5	9 1
7	0 11 H W 4 R D O	\$0.000.0\$	\$0.000.0\$	\$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.0000
Annual Charge Adjustment (Admeximum Rates 2/, 3/	(ACA):			DEL.	DELIVERY ZONE				
	RECEIPT ZONE	. 0	1.1	: : H	1 0	າ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ เ	4 4	5	1
	0,	\$0.2156		\$0.4221	.5862	\$0.6766	\$0.783	0.89	.07
	<u> ገ</u> ዛሪክ 4 ነው <i>የ</i>	\$0.4336 \$0.5862 \$0.6766 \$0.8013 \$0.8970	% ○	\$0.3286 \$0.4969 \$0.5867 \$0.7114 \$0.8070	\$0.4969 \$0.2018 \$0.2915 \$0.4162 \$0.5124	\$0.5867 \$0.2915 \$0.1507 \$0.4013 \$0.4969	\$0.6933 \$0.4162 \$0.4013 \$0.1904 \$0.2329	\$0.8070 \$0.5124 \$0.4969 \$0.2329 \$0.2007	\$0.9822 \$0.6870 \$0.6716 \$0.4079 \$0.3484

RECEIPT		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
ZONE	0 L 1	ᆸ	-	7	m	4,	ĽП	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : : :	
0	\$0.0026	-	\$0.0096	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0233	\$0.0268	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326
П	\$0	\$0.0034						
ţ\$	\$0.0096		\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0202	\$0.0236	\$0.0294
2	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
l m	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054	\$0.0004	\$0.0095	\$0.0126	\$0.0184
় বা	\$0.0237		\$0.0205	\$0.0100	\$00.09	\$0.0015	\$0.0032	5 \$0.0015 \$0.0032 \$0.0090
ហ	\$0.0268		\$0.0236	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$0.0032	\$0.0022	\$0.0069
v	\$0.0326		\$0.0294	\$0.0189	\$0.0184	\$0.0090	\$0.00€9	\$0.003T

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required 2000, was revised and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued by the Stipulation and Agreement 20, 1996.

November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses

ctive 3.2

ij	C
Effecti	No.
••	Sheet
233	75
NO	evise
Sheet	R
Revised 6	. Sixteenth
Seventeenth	Superseding:

RATES PER DEKATHERM		11		RATE	COMMODITY SCHEDULE	RATES FOR FT	11 11 11 11 11		
Base Commodity Rates				DELL	VERY ZONE	3 1 1 1 2 2	1 i i 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1
	RECEIPT ZONE	t t t 1	1 1	: : : : : :	] 	(n)	4: 1	10 1	9
	0	\$0.0439	1 5	\$0.0669	80	0.0978	0.1118	0.1231	\$0.1608
	ਹਜ :	90.0669	0020	\$0.0572	\$0.0776	\$0.0874 \$	0.1014 \$	0.1126	\$0.1503 \$0.1159
	C1 FA	\$0.0880 \$0.0978		\$0.0874	50.0530	\$0.0366	\$0.0663 \$	10,0765	\$0.1142
	4 tJ	\$0.1129 \$0.1231		\$0.1025 \$0.1126	0.0681		\$0.0401 \$0.0459	\$0.0427	\$0.0765
	ø	\$0.1608		\$0 <b>.</b> 1503		7 4 7	r 0 0 0		
Minimum Commodity Rates 2/					VERY ZON	1 1 1	1 1 3 3	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RECEIPT ZONE	0	1 1	    -  	7	(A)	4 1	2	1 0 1
	0	\$0.0026	) 	960	30.0161	0.0191	0.0233	0.	\$0.0326
	- FL	9600	\$0.0034	\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0202	\$0.0236	\$0.0294
	H (7)	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
	w 4	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054 \$0.0100	\$0.0004 \$0.0095	\$0.0035	\$0.0032	\$0.0090
	. W	\$0.0268		\$0.0236	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$0.0032	\$0.0022	\$0.0069
	9	\$0.0326		ş0.0294	\$0.0±	# o T o T o T o		•	,
Maximum Commodity Rafes 1/. 2/				DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	ы			
! - ! ! ! !	RECEIPT ZONE	0 I		  -  -  -  -	2	1 1 1 1 1	1 4 4	1	9
	Ö	\$0.0457	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0687	\$0.0898	\$0.0996	\$0.1136	\$0.1249	\$0.1626
	ИНС	\$0.0687 \$0.0898	\$0.0304	\$0.0590 \$0.0794	\$0.0794 \$0.0451	\$0.0892 \$0.0548	\$0.1032 \$0.0699	\$0.1144 \$0.0801	\$0.1521

\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783
\$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852
\$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160
\$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177
\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0996 \$0.1147 \$0.1249 \$0.1626
ម្នា ។ ប្រ

Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

\$0,0018

2/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B

RATES PER DEKATHERM

\$10.39 \$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16 \$10.14 \$15.15 \$10.39 \$10.14 \$0.00 \$16.59 \$15.15 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$16.59 9 w \$12.64 \$7.89 \$7.64 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.09 \$12.64 \$7.89 \$0.00 \$7.64 \$3.38 \$2.85 \$4.93 \$14.09 Ŋ IJ \$10.77 \$6.32 \$6.08 \$6.32 \$6.32 \$6.08 \$2.71 \$3.38 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$12.22 \$0.00 \$12.22 FIRM TRANSPORTATION RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G 41 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14 \$0.00 \$10.53 \$10.53 DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE m DELIVERY ZONE 3 \$0.00 \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$9.06 \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$6.32 \$7.89 \$0.00 \$9.06 Ŋ N N \$7.62 \$6.45 \$4.92 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$6.45 \$4.92 \$7.62 \$9.08 \$2.71 \$0.00 \$2.71 Ы Н \$6.66 \$9.06 \$10.53 \$3.10 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.09 \$16.59 \$6.66 \$9.06 \$10.53 \$12.53 0 0 0 RECEIPT RECEIPT RECEIPT ZONE ZONE ZONE 3 2 1 L O 014264 ര വ 01422450 Maximum Reservation Rates 2/ PCB Adjustment: 1/ Base Reservation Rates Surcharges

\$5.89	\$4.93	\$3.16	
\$3.38	\$2.85	\$4.93	
\$2,71	\$3.38	\$5.89	
\$6.08	\$7.64	\$10.14	
\$6.32	\$7.89	\$10.39	
\$11.08	412 64	1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	1
61.0 11.3	7.4.4	77.4.CV	מים מים
	<b>.</b> 1	, n	_

Minimum Base Reservation Rates The minimum FT-G Reservation Rate is \$0.00 per Dth

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000,

was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required by the was revised and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

2/ Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C : Diffective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C

COMMODITY RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G	DELIVERY ZONE	; ; ; ;	0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0
RATES PER DEKATHERM	Rase Commodity Rate		

1 1 1 1 1	9 1	\$0.0326	\$0.0067 \$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$0.0202 \$0.0236 \$0.0294 \$0.0129 \$0.0024 \$0.0106 \$0.0131 \$0.0189 \$0.0159 \$0.0024 \$0.0100 \$0.0131 \$0.0189 \$0.0159 \$0.0054 \$0.0004 \$0.0095 \$0.0126 \$0.0184 \$0.0205 \$0.010 \$0.0095 \$0.0126 \$0.0184 \$0.0236 \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.0032 \$0.0032 \$0.0099 \$0.0236 \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.0032 \$0.0022 \$0.0069 \$0.0294 \$0.0189 \$0.0184 \$0.0090 \$0.0069 \$0.0031
! ! ! !	5 1	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326	\$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$0.0202 \$0.0236 \$0.0024 \$0.0024 \$0.0100 \$0.0131 \$0.0054 \$0.0100 \$0.0131 \$0.0054 \$0.0005 \$0.0126 \$0.0126 \$0.0015 \$0.0032 \$0.0032 \$0.0022 \$0.0189 \$0.0184 \$0.0090 \$0.0069
   1   1   1   1	£.	\$0.0233	\$0.0202 \$0.0100 \$0.0095 \$0.0015 \$0.0032
1		\$0.0191	\$0.0159 \$0.0054 \$0.0004 \$0.0095 \$0.0126
DELIVERY ZONE		\$0.0096 \$0.0161	7 \$0.0125 9 \$0.0024 9 \$0.0054 5 \$0.0133 6 \$0.0133
DEL	T 7		\$ \$0.0067 \$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$0.0205 \$0.0236 \$0.0236
		 	\$0.0034 40.0034
	0	\$0.0026	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0237 \$0.0268
	RECEIPT . ZONE	0	<u>ገ ዛ ሪነ ሠ 4 ቦን ር</u>
Winimum Tommodity Rates 2/			
Minimum	1 1 1		

ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי				DET.	DET, TVERY ZONE	E	
Commodity Rates $1/$ , $2/$						1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1
	RECEIP'I	1 1 1 1 1 1	1		ı	r	5
	ZOME	0	ᆸ	Н	7	ń	ţi
		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	
					3511 ON 2000 OF COOK OF COOK	2000 00	277 00

1 1 1 1 1	707	070T.		1.1361	0.1177	1
i	-	v.	ł	ŗ-	·U	<u>.</u>
1 1 1 1	•	\$0.1249	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50.1144	40 0801	1
1		\$0.1136		\$0.1032	0000	7000.00
	1 1 1 1	\$0.0996		\$0.0892	- C	\$0.03#a
i	! ! ! ! ! !	\$0.0687 \$0.0898 \$0.0996 \$0.1136 \$0.1249 \$0.05		SO 0590 S0.0794 S0.0892 S0.1032 SU.1144 SU.1321		\$0.0794 \$0.0451 \$0.0540 \$0.0077 \$0.004 \$0.00
1	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0687		30 0590		\$0.0794
3			\$0.0304			
>	1 1 1 1	\$0.0457		1000	2000.00	\$0 0898
ZONE		0	Ţ	۱۲	-1	c

0.1160 0.0852 0.0783
\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852
\$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160
\$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177
\$0.0892 \$0.1043 \$0.1144 \$0.1521
196 147 249 526
\$0.0996 \$0.1147 \$0.1249 \$0.126
W 41 TV O

### Notes:

The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

\$0.0018

The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%. 2/

Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 27 ; Effective

# Superseding: Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 27

	Retention Percent 1/	1.49%	1. 4.09%	1.49%	4. ዓ
H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Current Adjustment	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	\$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	\$0.0993 \$0.0053
STORAGE SERVICE	ADJUSTWENTS (ACA) (TCSM) (PCB) 2/	\$0.00	00.00\$	0000.0\$	\$0.000
	Tariff Rate	\$2,02 \$0,0248 \$0,0053 \$0,2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	TCE \$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	VICE \$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
RATES PER DEKATHERM	Rate Schedule and Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS)  PRODUCTION AREA  Deliverability Rate Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrun Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS)  MARKET AREA  Deliverability Rate  Space Rate  Injection Rate  Withdrawal Rate  Overrum Rate	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - WARKET AREA	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - PRODUCTION AREA  Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate

<sup>1/</sup> The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required by the stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

	3.25%
\$0.7819	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1619
	\$0.00 \$0.0000
\$0.0019	\$0.0019
\$0,7800	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1600
Excess Withdrawal Rate	SS-NE Deliverability Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Excess Withdrawal Rate

1/ The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

First Revised Sheet No. 29 : Effective Superseding: Substitute Original Sheet No. 29

FUEL AND LOSS RETENTION PERCENTAGE 1/,2/, 3/

## NOVEMBER - MARCH

Delivery Zone	1	2.79% 5.16% 5.88% 6.79% 7.88%		4.28% 4.99% 5.90% 6.99%	1.43% 2.15% 3.05% 4.15%	1.23% 0.69% 2.64%	2.68% 3.07% 1.09% 1.33%	5.05% 2.76% 3.14% 1.16% 1.28% 2.09%	4.18% 4.56% 2.50%
•	1 (1)	5.88%							
ery Zone	2 1	5.16%		4.28%	1.43%	1.23%	2.68%	2.76%	4.18%
Deliv		2.79%		1.91%	2.13%	3.60%	4.97%	5.05%	6.47%
	- - - -	; ; ; ; ; 1	1,01%						
	0	0.89%		1.74%	4.59%	6,06%	7.43%	7.51%	8.93%
	RECEIPT ZONE	0	μŢ	<del>, -</del> 1	2	) (r)	4	ពេ	· Vc

## APRIL - OCTOBER

	; ! ! ! ! !	7. 4. 6. 6. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
	1 (0 1	D 04WHH0
	<u> </u>	6.72% 3.588% 3.199% 1.211% 1.17%
	1 4	5.80% 2.06% 2.66% 11.01% 2.2% 2.8% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0
	3	5.04% 1.90% 0.67% 2.67% 3.93%
Delivery Zone	1 27	2,62% 1,30% 1,30% 2,52% 2,41% 3,61%
Delive	1 -	
	I I	
	0	1.56% 44% 7.61% 4.1% 7.61% 4.1% 7.61
	RECEIPT ZONE	0 1 H V W 4 M 0

- $1 \setminus$  Included in the above Fuel and Loss Retention Percentages is the quantity of gas associated with losses of  $0.5 \% \, .$ 
  - $2\backslash$  For service that is rendered entirely by displacement shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5 %.
- 3\ The above percentages are applicable to (IT) Interruptible Transportation, (FT-A) Firm Transportation, (FT-GS) Firm Transportation-GS, (PAT) Preferred Access Transportation, (IT-X) Interruptible Transportation-X, (FT-G) Firm Transportation-G, (EDS/ERS) FT- A Extended Transportation Service.

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 10 : Effective

# Superseding: Eighth Revised Sheet No. 10

# CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE RATES

rently effective rate pertaining to the particular rate

Each rate set forth in the schedule to which it is reany such rate shall not t	this Tariff is the referenced, but thereby effect a	the currently t each such r a change in	each such rate is a change in any other	ective rate per is separate and other rate or 1	s percaining to the and independent or rate schedule.	is the currently effective rate pertaining to the particular race but each such rate is separate and independent and the change in lect a change in any other rate or rate schedule.
	Base 6.4.0	i	Adjustments	Maximum Rate	Minimum Rate	Fuel
	race Per Dt	Sec. 24	Sec. 25	Per Dt	Per Dt	Reimbursement
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
RATE SCHEDULE FT						
I .						
Field Zone to Zone 2	7607 6 \$	1	\$ 0.2800	\$ 9.9897	í	
Heselvacion nace	10	t	i	0.0141	\$ 0.0141	2.25% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.3192	ı	0.0092	0.3284	!	1
Zone 1A to Zone 2					1	1
- Reservation Rate	\$ 6.0096	ı	\$ 0.1300	4 0 LY40	7117	1 86% (2)
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0117	ı	1	/TTO:0	1 TTO . 0 &	
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1976	ı	0.0062	0.2030	l	
Zone 1B to Zone 2				7	1	ı
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.5557	i	\$ 0.1300	7 th 1 th 12 th	6 200 0 5	0 86% (2)
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0062	1	ì	0,0062	2000.0 ¢	
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1498	1	0.0062	0.1560	ı	ı
Zone 2 Only				C	1	1
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.4350	t	\$ 0.1300	7.000	± 0 0011	0.60% (2)
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0011	ì	1 0	0.0011	ر	
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1129	i	0.0062	1 5 T T C	1	
Field Zone to Zone 1B				0 26 90	1	ı
- Reservation Rate	\$ 8.4890	i	0.007.0 ¢	0.130	0130	1.95% (2)
- Usage Rate (1)		r	נטטט	0.000	•	
- Overrun Rate (3)	1872.U	ı	1000	1		
Zone 1A to Zone 1B				0780	1	1
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.7889	1	00KT.0 &		\$ 0.0106	1 56% (2)
- Usage Rate (1)		I	1 1	0.0100	>	
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1574	ì	0.0062	0.1030		
Zone 1B Only				4 C	ı	1
~		1	006T.0 &	0.000.0	\$ 0.0051	0.56%(2)
	0.0051	-	2900 0	0 1158	1	
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1096	1	0.00	) 1 1 2		
Field Zone to Zone 1A		1	\$ 0.2800	\$ 7.6483	ı	ı
- Reservation Rate	1, 1500.	I				

					0	10 608 1
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0079	1	1	0.0079	E 00.00	12 9.CO.T
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.2422	ı	0.0092	0.2514	ı	1
Zone 1A Only			1			
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.6682	1	\$ 0.1900	'n		
r IIsage Rate (1)	0,0055	,	ı	0.0055	\$ 0.0055	1.30% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1206	•	0.0062	0.1268	1	ı
Field Zone Only						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.7001	1	\$ 0.0900	Ω.	ı	,
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0024	ı	•	0.0024	\$ 0.0024	0.69% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1216	1	0.0030	0.1246	ı	:
Gathering Charge (All Zones)	ones)					
- Reservation Rate	\$ 0.3257			\$ 0.3257		
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.0107			0.0107		

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes Section 21 Annual Charge Adjustment: \$0.0018 (2) Fuel reimbursement for backhauls is 0.41% (3) Maximum firm volumetric rate applicable for capacity release

Basis for Indexed Gas Cost For the Quarter of November 2006 - January 2007 2006-00000

The projected commodity price was provided by the Gas Supply Department and was based upon the following:

November 2006 - January 2007 during the period September 11, 2006 through September 19, 2006 The Gas Supply Department reviewed the NYMEX futures close prices for the quarter of which are listed below: Ą

JAN 2007 (\$/MMBTU) 9.825 9.639 9.404 8.772 8.504 8.336 8.443	\$8.989
(\$\text{MMBTU}) (\$\text{\$\scrt{MMBTU}}) 9.110 8.884 8.679 8.047 7.774 7.883	\$8.312
(\$\MMBTU) (\$\MMBTU) 7.255 7.274 7.084 6.467 6.364 6.256 6.203	\$6.700
11-Sep 12-Sep 13-Sep 14-Sep 15-Sep 18-Sep 19-Sep	

Wednesday Thursday

Monday Tuesday Monday Tuesday

Friday

Gas Supply believes prices will remain stable and prices for the quarter of Nov 2006 - Jan 2007 will settle at 8.581 per Mmbtu for the period that the GCA is to be effective. B.

In support of Item B, a worksheet entitled "Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas" has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality in this Case.

Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division For the Month of August, 2006

WKG Cash-out Price	\$7,0468 6.3469 5.6470	\$7.0498 6.3499 5.6500	\$7.0622 6.3623 5.6624	\$7.2629 6.5458 5.8286
	11 11 11	11 11 11	11 11 11	11 11 11
Transport Charge 2, 3	\$0.0478 0.0478 0.0478	\$0.0508 0.0508 0.0508	\$0.0632 0.0632 0.0632	\$0.0916 0.0916 0.0916
	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Indexed 1 Cash-out Price	\$6.9990 6.2991 5.5992	\$6.9990 6.2991 5.5992	\$6.9990 6.2991 5.5992	\$7.1713 6.4542 5.7370
s served in:	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price
For Kentucky customers served in:	A. Texas Gas: Zone 2 Area	Zone 3 Area	Zone 4 Area	B. Tennessee Gas: Zone 2 Area

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indexed cash-out price is from the pipeline's Electronic Bulletin Board.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Transport charge used for Texas Gas is its tariff sheet no. 20 commodity rate.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Transport charge used for Tennessee Gas is its tariff sheet no. 23A maximum commodity rate from zone 0 to zone 2.

Correction Factor (CF)

For the Three Months Ended July 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

35

Exhil	oi	t D
Page	1	of 5

•••	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Actual Recovered	(5) Under (Over) Recovery	(6)	(7)
Line No.	Month	Actual Sales Volume (Mcf)	Recoverable Gas Cost	Gas Cost	Amount	Adjustments	Total
1 2	May-06	721,819	3,315,840.91	6,613,148.87	(3,297,307.96)	00.0	(3,297,307.96)
3 4	June-06	515,369	5,256,810.98	5.039.018.24	217,792.74	0.00	217,792.74
5 6 7 8 9	July-06	533,668	4,202,910.38	4,139,324,70	4,139,324.70 63,585.68		63,585.68
10 11 12				-			
13	Total Gas Cos					2.00	(D. 01 f. 020 f.4)
14 15 16	Under/(Over)	Recovery	<u>12,775,562,27</u>	<u>15.791.491,81</u>	(3.015.929.54)	<u>0.00</u>	<u>(3,015.929.54)</u>
17 18 19	Account 191 I	Balance @ April, 2	2006				(\$3.320,396.77)
20				ee months ended Ju	ily, 2006		(3,015,929.54)
21 22		n outstanding Com Balance @ July, 2	ection Factor (CF)	)			<u>473,388.76</u> (5,862,937.55)
23	Account 1911	raiance (w sury, 2	000				
24							
25							
26 27							
28	Derivation of	Correction Factor	(CF):				
29							
30	Account 191 I					(\$5,862,938)	
31	Divided By: T	Total Expected Cu	stomer Sales			18,983,274	MCF
32 33	Correction Fa	notor (CF)				(\$0.3088)	/MCF
33 34	Correction F	actor (C.F.)			==	(000000)	z w z do tour sin
J#							

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

For the Three Months Ended July 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 2 of 5

		GL	Jun-05	Jul-06	Aug-06	
Line			(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
No.	Description	Unit	Мау-06	June-06	July-06	Document
1	Supply Volume					
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission 1	Mcf	0	Ci	0	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	Mcf	0	(J	0	
5	Trunkline Gas Company 1	Mof	0	i)	()	
6	Midwestern Pipeline	Mcf	<i>ti</i>	0	đ	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	Mcf -	0	0	0	
8	Total Other Suppliers	Mcf	2,612,006	2,241,469	2,224,323	pages 5
9	Off System Storage					
10	Texas Gas Transmission	Mcf	()	0	()	
11	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Mcf	(212.261)	(211,267)	(216,579)	
12	System Storage					
13	Withdrawals	Mcf	224	15	I	
14	Injections	Mcf	(422.998)	(542,466)	(456.415)	
15	Producers	Mcf	11,939	13.272	17.011	
16	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	Mcf	0	0	Ð	
17	System Imbalances <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	(1,267,091)	(985,654)	(1,034,673)	
18	Total Supply	Mcf	721,819	515,369	533,668	
19						
20	Change in Unbilled	Mcf				
21	Company Use	Mcf	0	()	()	
22	Unaccounted For	Mcf	Ú.	0	0	
23	Total Sales	Mcf	721,819	515,369	533,668	

Includes settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

For the Three Months Ended July 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 3 of 5

Case I	No. 2006-000					
		GL	Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	
			(1)	(2)	(3)	
Line		_		Month		Source
No.	Description	Unit	May-06	June-06	July-06	Document
I	Supply Cost					
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission 1	\$	1.203,800	1.162,507	1,193,976	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	\$	243,294	200.554	197,291	
5	Trunkline Gas Company 1	\$	7.899	7.644	7,899	
6	Midwestern Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	\$	()	()	()	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	\$	1,454,993	1,370,705	1,399,166	
8	Total Other Suppliers	\$	17,706,444	13,767,674	13,360,911	page 5
9	Hedging Settlements		0	0	0	
10	Off System Storage					
11	Texas Gas Transmission	\$	Ð	0	0	
12	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	\$	(1,432,974)	(1.289.419)	(1,302,874)	
13	WKG Storage		122,500	122.500	122,500	
14	System Storage					
15	Withdrawals	\$	i.736	116	8	
16	Injections	\$	(2,820,315)	(3.323.042)	(2,730,995)	
17	Producers	\$	80.276	81.255	104.241	
18	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	\$	()	0	0	
19	System Imbalances 2	\$	(11,796,820)	(5.472.978)	(6,750,048)	
20	Sub-Total	\$	3,315,841	5,256,811	4,202,910	
21						
22	Change in Unbilled	\$				
23	Company Use	\$	()	0	0	
24	Recovered thru Transportation	\$ _	<u>;</u>	()	0	
25	Total Recoverable Gas Cost	\$ ==	3,315,841	5,256,811	4,202,910	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes demand charges, cost of settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Recovery from Correction Factors (CF) For the Three Months Ended July, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 4 of 5

Line No.	Month	Type of Sales	Mcf Sold	Rate	Amount
NO.	MOHILI	t ype of bales	Wici Solu	Rato	
I	May-06	G-1 Sales	650,371.8	\$0.2988	\$194,331.08
2		G-I HLF	0.0	0.2988	0.00
3		G-2 Sales	29.851.3	0.2988	8,919.57
4		T-3 Overrun Sales	0.0	0.3287	0.00
5		T-4 Overrun Sales	203.0	0.3287	66.73
6		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
7		LVS-2 Sales	5.111.0	0.0000	0.00
8		LVS HLF Sales	0.6	0.0000	0.00
9		Total	685,537.1	•	203,317.38
10					
11	June-06	G-1 Sales	468.011.2	\$0.2988	\$139,841.76
12		G-1 HLF	U,O	0.2988	0.00
13		G-2 Sales	23.247.5	0.2988	6,946.36
14		T-3 Overrun Sales	138.0	0.3287	111.10
15		T-4 Overrun Sales	3,421.0	0.3287	1,124.48
16		LVS-1 Sales	().()	0.0000	0.00
17		LVS-2 Sales	4,583.0	0.0000	0.00
18		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
19		Total	499,600.7	-	148,023.70
20			Det so defende	mn nnc0	\$110,908.18
21	July-06	G-1 Sales	371.178.7	\$0,2988 0,2988	0.00
22		G-1 HLF	0.0	0.2988	10,905.14
23		G-2 Sales	36,496.5	0.3287	98.94
24		T-3 Overrun Sales	301.0	0.3287	135.42
25		T-4 Overrun Sales	412.0	0.0000	0.00
26		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
27		LVS-2 Sales	(13.0)	0.0000	0.00
28		LVS HLF Sales Total	0.0 408.375,1	0.0000	122,047.68
29		rotar	4(0,3/J,1		122,037,00
30 31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
50	Total Recover	y from Correction Factor (CF)			\$473,388.76

LVS sales commodity is "trued-up" according to Section 3(f) in LVS tariff in P.S.C. No. 1.

54 55

51

52 53

Atmos Energy Corporation
Detail Sheet for Supply Volumes & Costs
Traditional and Other Pipelines

Exhibit D Page 5 of 5

	Ma	y, 2006	ie, 2006	July, 2006		
Description	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost
1 Texas Gas Pipeline Area 2 LG&E Natural 3 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 4 Texaco Gas Marketing 5 CMS 6 WESCO 7 Southern Energy Company 8 Union Pacific Fuels 9 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 10 Engage 11 ERI 12 Prepaid 13 Reservation 14 Hedging Costs - All Zones						
16 Total 17 18 19 Tennessee Gas Pipeline Area 20 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 21 Union Pacific Fuels 22 WESCO 23 Prepaid 24 Reservation 25 Fuel Adjustment 26	2,264,774	\$15,344,426.19	1,923,373	\$0.00	1,905,827	\$11,437,334.17
27 Total 28 29 30 Trunkline Gas Company 31 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 32 Engage 33 Prepaid 34 Reservation 35 Fuel Adjustment	317,051	\$2,152,790.50	288,893	\$13,585,247.94	288,616	\$1,747,695.04
36 37 Total 38 39 40 Midwestern Pipeline	30,181	\$209,227.64	29,203	\$182,425.66	30,114	\$177,358.83
41 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 42 LG&E Natural 43 Anadarko 44 Prepaid 45 Reservation 46 Fuel Adjustment 47					and the second s	
48 Total 49 50	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	(234)	(\$1,476.64)
51 All Zones 52 Total 53	2,612,006	\$17,706,444.33	2,241,469	\$13,767,673.60	2,224,323	\$13,360,911.40
54 55	**** Detail of Volu	mes and Prices Has Been	Filed Under Petitio	on for Confidentiality ***	÷	

Exhibit E Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Amounts Reported:							MOUNT
1	Refund: Texas Gas, Docket No. RP05-317						S	(1,023.588.9
2	Estimated Interest from 7/12/06 to 10/31/06							(14.733.9
3								
4								(1.020.200.0
5	Total						\$	(1,038,322.9
6								
7							S	(1,038,322.9
8	Total						ð	0.0
9	Less: amount related to specific end users						\$	(1,038,322.9
10	Amount to flow-through							(1,000,022)
11								4i.6817
12	Average of the 3-Month Commercial Paper Rates for the immediately							110017
13	preceding 12-month period less 1/2 of 1% to cover the costs of refundi	ing.						
14			(1)	(2)		(3)		
15	Handien		(1) Demand	(2) Commodity		otal		
16	Allocation		Demand				•	
17	Texas Gas, Docket No. RP05-317		b	(1,038,323) (260)		,038,323) (260)		
18	Carry-over (Case No. 2003-00377)		0	(501)		(501)		
19	Carry-over (Case No. 2004-00269)	-	0	(1,039,084)		,039,084)		
20	Total (w/o interest)		0	(48,647)		(48,647)		
21	Interest (Line 20 x Line 12)	-	0	(1,087,731)		.087,731)		
22	Total	=		(1,087,751)		,007,1517	:	
23	mm at the							
24	PBR Calculation	-						
25	Demand Allocator - All		0.1050					
26	(See Exh. B, p. 9, line 18)		0.1850					
27	Demand Allocator - Firm		0.8150					
28	(1 - Demand Allocator - All)		0.6150					
29	MCF Sales (annual normalized)		19,631,274					
30	(See Exh. B, p. 9, line 1)		13,051,274					
31	Firm Volumes (normalized)		18,983,274					
32 33	(See Exh. B, p. 6, col. 1, line 26) Total Throughput		10,505,211					
33 34	(See Exh. B, p. 6, col. 1, line 42 - line 40)		20,401,274					
35	(See Exil. B, p. d, coi. 1, fine 42 - fine 40)		-5(101)-1					
36	Demand Factor - All (Principal)	S		\$0.0000	/ MCF			
37	Demand Factor - All (Interest)	5		\$0.0000				
38	Demand Factor - Firm (Principal)	S		0000.02	/ MCF			
39	Demand Factor - Firm (Interest)	5	-	0000.02	/MCF			
40	Commodity Factor - Principal		(\$1,039,084)		S	(0.0529)	/MCF	,
41	Commodity Factor - Interest		(\$48,647)		\$	(0.0025)	/ MCF	ī
42	Total Demand Firm Factor						a a	
43	(Col. 2, line 36 + 37 + 38 + 39)			\$0.0000	/MCF			
44	Total Demand Interruptible Factor		_				•	
45	(Col. 2, line 36 + 37)		Ī.	\$0.000D	/MCF	,		
46	Total Firm Sales Factor						•	
47	(Col. 3, line 40 + line 41 + col. 2, line 43)	S (0.0554) /	MCF					
48	Total Interruptible Sales Factor	Town or the same of the same o						
49	(Col. 3, line 40 + line 41 + col. 2, line 45)	S (0.0554) /	MCF					
49 50	(Cor. 5, line 40 ± line 41 ± cor. 2, line 45)	6 (0:0554) I						

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

Large Volume Sales

For the Period August, 2006

Exhibit F Page 1 of 3

The net monthly rates for Large Volume Sales service is as follows:

### Base Charge:

LVS-1 Servi LVS-2 Servi Combined S	ce		\$	20.00 220.00 220.00	per	Mete Mete Mete	∋Γ						
Oombinod C	0,1100				μο.				 stimated /eighted				
<u>LVS-1:</u>			c	Simple			Non- mmodity		Average ommodity			Sales	
Firm Service	<u> </u>			Vargin			nponent <sup>2</sup>	_	as Cost	•		Rate	_
First	300	1 Mcf @		1.1900	- +	\$	1.0572	+	\$ 6.1256	=	\$	8.3728	per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @		0.6590	+		1.0572	+	6.1256	=		7.8418	per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf @		0.4300	+		1.0572	÷	6.1256	=		7.6128	per Mcf
High Load F	actor Firm S	ervice											
Demand	acioi i iiii o	C) VIOC			@		4.5576	+	\$0.0000	=	\$	4.5576	per Mcf of
											dai	ly contra	ct demand
First	300	1 Mcf @	\$	1.1900	÷	\$	0.1839	+	\$ 6.1256	==	\$	7.4995	per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @		0.6590	+		0.1839	+	6.1256	=		6.9685	per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf @		0.4300	+		0.1839	÷	6.1256	=		6.7395	per Mcf
<u>LVS-2:</u>	<u>LVS-2:</u>												
<u>Interruptible</u> First All over	<u>Service</u> 15,000 15,000	Mcf @ Mcf @	\$	0.5300 0.3591	+	\$	0.1839 0.1839	+	\$ 6.1256 6.1256	=	\$	6.8395 6.6686	per Mcf per Mcf

### True-up Adjustment for 7/06 billing period:

\$ (0.1394) per Mcf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All gas consumed by the customer will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Non-Commodity Component is from P.S.C. No. 20 Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 6, effective August 1, 2006.

### Atmos Energy Corporation Large Volume Sales Estimated WACOG used for Billing For the Period August, 2006

Exhibit F
Page 2 of 3

			July-06	July-06
Line No.		E	(A) Estimated MCF Purchased @14.65	(B) Estimated Commodity Cost
1 2	Estimated Purchases: Texas Gas Area		1,905,827	\$11,437,334.17
3	Tennessee Gas Area		288,616	1,745,713.18
4	Trunkline Gas Area		30,114	177,358.83
5	Midwestern Gas Area		(234)	(1,476.64)
6	Total Estimated Purchases		2,224,323	13,358,929.54
7				
8	Transportation Costs:			
9	Texas Gas Transmission			44,627.11
10	Tennessee Gas Pipeline			42,392.19
11	Trunkline Gas Area			458.80
11	Midwestern Gas Area			
12				101 664 10
13	Local Production		17,011	101,664.10
14				25 112 27
15	WKG End-User Cash Outs		7,148	35,112.27
16			0.040.401	#12 592 194 A1
17	Total Current Month Gas Cost		2,248,481	\$13,583,184.01
18		1 700/	21.000	
19	Less: Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	31,029	
20			0.017.450	\$13,583,184.01
21	Total Deliveries		2,217,452	٤٥٣٠ <i>٥٤ , د ۵ د , د</i> ۱ ه
22 23	Estimated LVS Weigh	hted Average Comn	nodity Rate	<u>\$6.1256</u>

### Atmos Energy Corporation Expected Purchases LVS Commodity Purchase Basis For the Period of Nov '06 to Jan '67

Exhibit F
Page 3 of 3

			(1)	(2)	(3)
Line					
No.			Mcf	MMbtu	Gas Cost
_	Maria da Arraga				
1	Texas Gas Area		0	0	0
2	No Notice Service		1,717,268	1,760,200	15,753,261
3	Firm Transportation		1,717,268	1,760,200	15,753,261
4	Total Texas Gas Area		1,717,200	1,700,200	10,100,00
5					
6	Tennessee Gas Area				
7	The state of the s		658,558	684,900	6,292,313
8 9	FT-A&G Commodity FT-GS Commodity		97,981	101,900	987,716
9 10	Total Tennessee Gas Area		756,539	786,800	7,280,029
11	Total Tomicssoo das Mon				
12	Trunkline Gas Area				
12	Firm Transportation		386,473	400,000	3,535,200
14	Firm transportation		,		
15					
16	Local Production				
	Commodity		59,512	61,000	545,932
17 18	Commodity			·	
19					
20	Expected WKG End-User Cash Outs		0	0	0
21	Exposed Wiles End obst out of the				
22	Total LVS Commodity Purchase Basis		2,919,792	3,008,000	27,114,422
23	2012				
24	Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	40,293	41,510	
25		_		2266 100	27 114 422
26	Total Deliveries		2,879,499	2,966,490	27,114,422
27	_		. ( 1616-4-1		\$9.1402
28	Estimated LVS Weighted Average Co	ommodity Ka	te (per Minipili)		09.1402
29	To D	( 3.5-6)			\$9,4164
30	Estimated LVS Weighted Average Commodity Rate	e (per McI)	21		a)
31	(To only be used to calculate commodity credit back	K on Exmon i	رد		
32					
33					

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 1 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual		<u></u>		Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	S
1 SL to Zone 2							
2 NNS Contract #	N0210		12,617,673				
3 Base Rate		20		0.3088	3,896,336	3,896,336	
4 GSR		20		0.0000	0		0
5 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
6		_					
7 Total SL to Zone 2		-	12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
8							
9 SL to Zone 3							
10 NNS Contract #	N0340		27,480,375				
11 Base Rate		20		0.3543	9,736,297	9,736,297	
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0		0
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
18							
19 FT Contract #	3355		3,130,605				
20 Base Rate		24		0.2494	780,773	780,773	
21 GSR		24		0.0000	0		0
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
27							
28							
29 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
30							
31							
32			-				
33							
34							
35							
7.0							

### Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 2 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual	-			Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 Zone 1 to Zone 3							
	355		2,344,395			514 760	
3 Base Rate		24		0.2194	514,360	514,360	0
4 GSR		24		0.0000	0	٥	Ü
5 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		24		0.0000	0	U	
6		-	2244225	_	514.260	514,360	0
7 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3 8			2,344,395		514,360	514,500	· ·
9 SL to Zone 4							
10 NNS Contract # N	J0410		3,320,769			1 201 402	
11 Base Rate		20		0.4190	1,391,402	1,391,402	0
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0	Δ.	U
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0		
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	0	U	
18							
.,	8819		1,277,500	0.71.40	401 201	401 201	
20 Base Rate		24		0.3142	401,391	401,391	0
21 GSR		24		0.0000	0	0	U
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	U	U	
27		-	4 FOR 260	_	1,792,793	1,792,793	0
28 Total SL to Zone 4			4,598,269		1,792,793	1,755,755	•
29			10 617 673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
30 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673 30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
31 Total SL, to Zone 3			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
32 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3			2,344,373		314,300	51 1,500	
33		-	50,171,317		16,720,559	16,720,559	0
34 Total Texas Gas			50,171,517		10,120,222	,,	
35							
36	(Eirad)				0	0	
37 Vendor Reservation Fees	(Fixeu)						
38 39 TOP & Direct Billed Tran	erition con	to			0		
	TOTTION COS.				-		
40 41 Total Texas Gas Area No	n_Commo	lity			16,720,559	16,720,559	0
	"-COITHING	1117					
42							
43							

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 3 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodit	(5)
Line	Tariff	Annual	_		Non-Commodii	Transition
No. Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
1102 17 000115 11011	2.10011.01	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 <u>0 to Zone 2</u>						
2 FT-G Contract # 2546.1		12,844	9.0600			
3 Base Rate	23B		9.0600	116,367	116,367	
4 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment	23B		00000	0		0
6						
7 FT-G Contract # 2548.1		4,363	9.0600			
8 Base Rate	23B		9.0600	39,529	39,529	
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
11						
12 FT-G Contract # 2550.1		5,739	9.0600			
13 Base Rate	23B		9.0600	51,995	51,995	
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
16						
17 FT-G Contract # 2551.1		4,447	9.0600			
18 Base Rate	23B		9.0600	40,290	40,290	
19 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21						
22			_			
23 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 4 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line	Tariff	Annual	-		- L	Transition
No. Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
		MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 <u>1 to Zone 2</u>						
2 FT-G Contract # 2546		114,156	7.6200			
3 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	869,869	869,869	
4 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6						
7 FT-G Contract # 2548		44,997	7.6200			
8 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	342,877	342,877	
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
11						
12 FT-G Contract # 2550		59,741	76200			
13 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	455,226	455,226	
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
16						
17 FT-G Contract # 2551		45,058	7.6200			
18 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	343,342	343,342	
19 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21						
22 Total Zone 1 to 2		263,952	-	2,011,314	2,011,314	0
23						
24 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
25			-			
26 Total Zone 1 to 2 and Zone 0 to 2		291,345		2,259,495	2,259,495	0
27						
28 Gas Storage						
29 Production Area:						
30 Demand	27	34,968	2.0200	70,635	70,635	
31 Space Charge	27	4.916.148	0.0248	121,920	121,920	
32 Market Area:						
33 Demand	27	237.408	1.1500	273,019	273,019	
34 Space Charge	27	10.846.308	0.0185	200,657	200,657	
35 Total Storage				666,231	666,231	
36						
37 Vendor Reservation Fees (Fixed)				0	()	
38					•	0
39 TOP & Direct Billed Transition co	sts			0	0	0
40				2.025.525	2.025.726	
41 Total Tennessee Gas Area FT-G N	on-Commodity		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	2,925,726	2,925,726	0_
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
10						

43

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Texas Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 5 of 11

				(1)	(2)	(3)	(	(4)
Line		Tariff						
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Purci	iases	Rate	T	otal
1101	Description			Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu		\$
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6					01 000			
7	Firm Transportation				91,000	6,5910		599,781
8	Indexed Gas Cost					0.0439	•	3,995
9	Base (Weighted on MDQs)	25				0.0000		0,000
10	TCA Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
11	Unrecovered TCA Surcharge	25				0.0000		0
12	Cash-out Adjustment	25 25				0.0000		0
13	GRI	25 25				0.0016		146
14	ACA	25 36	1.73%			0.1160		10,556
15	Fuel and Loss Retention @	30	1.7370		-	6,7525		614,478
16	N Nation Storage							
17	No Notice Storage Net (Injections)/Withdrawals				340,681			
18 19	Indexed Gas Cost				,-	6.5910	2,	245,428
20	Commodity (Zone 3)	20				0.0506		17,238
21	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	3.17%			0.2158		73,519
22	ruel and Loss Retention (B)	50	2,		-	6.8574	2,	336,185
23								
24								
25	Total Purchases in Texas Area			_	431,681	6.8353	2,	950,663
26	101111111111111111111111111111111111111							
27								
28	Used to allocate transportation	non-commo	dity					
						***************************************		
29				Annualized		Commodity		
30				MDQs in		Charge	We	ighted
31	Towar Con			MMbtu	Allocation	\$/MMbtu		erage
32	Texas Gas SL to Zone 2		-	12,617,673	25.15%	\$0.0399	\$	0.0100
33 34	SL to Zone 3			30,610,980	61.01%	0.0445		0.0271
35	1 to Zone 3			2,344,395	4.67%	0.0422		0.0020
36	SL to Zone 4			4,598,269	9.17%	0.0528		0.0048
37	Total		-	50,171,317	100.00%		\$	0.0439
38	1000			, ,-				
39	Tennessee Gas							
40	0 to Zone 2			27,393	9.40%	0.880.0	\$	0.0083
41	1 to Zone 2			263,952	90.60%	0.0776		0.0703
42	Total		•	291,345	100.00%		\$	0.0786
17	<u> </u>							

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Tennessee Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 6 of 11

(1) (2) (3) (4)

Line		Tariff					
No.	Description	Sheet No.			chases	Rate	Total
				Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
					450 CM		
1	FT-A and FT-G				659,675	6.5010	4,347,918
2						6.5910 0.0786	51,850
3	Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs)					0.0000	0 0
4	GRI	23C					1,055
5	ACA	23C				0.0016	0 0
6	Transition Cost	23C				0.0000	194,406
7	Fuel and Loss Retention	29	4.28%			0.2947	
8						6.9659	4,595,229
9							
10							
1 I	FT-GS				120,440	6 5010	702 505
12	Indexed Gas Cost					6.5910	793,820
13	Base Rate	20				0.5844	70,385
14	GRI	20				0.0000	0
15	ACA	20				0.0016	193
16	PCB Adjustment	20				0.0000	0
17	Settlement Surcharge	20				0.0000	0
18	Fuel and Loss Retention	29	4.28%			0.2947	35,494
19						7.4717	899,892
20							
21							
22	Gas Storage						
23	FT-A & FT-G Market Area (Injections)/Withdrawa	ls			215,385	4 - 100	00 610
24	Indexed Gas Cost/Storage					6.5400	1,408,618
25	Injection Rate	27				0.0102	2,197
26	Fuel and Loss Retention	27	1.49%			0.0989	21,302
27	Total					6.6491	1,432,117
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
	Total Tennessee Gas Zones				995,500	6.9586	6,927,238
38							
39							

Expected Gas Cost Trunkline Gas (4) (3) (1) (2) Commodity Tariff Line Total Rate Purchases Sheet No. No. Description \$ Mcf MMbtu \$/MMbtu 1 Firm Transportation 219,500 2 Expected Volumes 1,446,725 6.5910 3 Indexed Gas Cost 0.0213 4,675 4 Base Commodity 0 10 5 GRI 351 0.0016 10 6 ACA 0.0086 1,888 0.13% 10 7 Fuel and Loss Retention 6.6225 1,453,639 8 9 10 Non-Commodity (6) (5) (4) (1) (2) (3) Non-Commodity Transition Tariff Annual Line Costs Total Demand Sheet No. Units Rate No. Description \$ MMbtu \$/MMbtu S 11 FT-G Contract # 014573 87,475 629,820 629,820 7.2000 Discount Rate on MDQs 12 13 92,125 14 0 10 15 GRI Surcharge 16 17 Reservation Fee 18 629,820 629,820 Total Trunkline Area Non-Commodity 19 20

**Atmos Energy Corporation** 

21

Exhibit B

Page 7 of 11

Page 8 of 11

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Total Demand Cost:						
2	Texas Gas	\$16,720,559					
3	Midwestern	0					
4	Tennessee Gas	2,925,726					
5	Trunkline	629,820					
6	Total	\$20,276,105					
7			Allocated	Related	M	onthly Demand Charge	
8 9	Demand Cost Allocation:	Factors	Demand	Volumes	Firm	Interruptible	HLF
10	All	0.1850	\$3,751,079	20,401,274	0.1839	0.1839	0.1839
11	Firm	0.8150	16,525,026		0.8733	NA	NA
12	Total	1.0000	\$20,276,105		1.0572	0.1839	0.1839
13	Total	1.000	323,273,111				
14			Volumetri	c Basis for			
15		Annualized	Monthly De	mand Charge			
16		Mcf@14.65	All	Firm			
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:						
19	G-1	18.887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274	1.0572		
20	HLF	60,000	60,000		0.1839	+ HLF MDQ Demand	
21	LVS-1	()	0	0	1.0572		
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,887,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000	1.0572		
26	HLF	ð	0		0.1839		
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,923,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:				1.0570	0.1070	
31	G-2	684,000	684,000		1.0572 1.0572	0.1839 0.1839	
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000		1.0372	0.1639	
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000				
34							
35	Transportation:	500 000	590,000		1.0572	0.1839	
36	T-2\G-2	580,000	580,000		1.0572	0.1037	
37 38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000				
39	Total interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,410,000				
40	Carriage Service						
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000					
42	1-3621	2011001000					
43	Total	43,839,274	20,401,274	18,923,274			
44	, our	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,				
45	HLF MDQ Demand						
46	Firm Demand Cost		\$16,525,026				
47	Peak Day Thru-put			Mcf/Peak Day			
48	Times:	_	12	Months/Year			
49	Total Annualized Peak Day Demand	_	3,625,824				
50	Demand Charge per MDQ		\$4.5576	/ MDQ of Custor	ner's Contrac	t	
51							
52							
53	Note: LVS Credit =	(\$28,321)					

Line

Page 9 of 11

No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
-							
1	Other Fixed Charges	Take-or-Pay	Transition				
2	Texas Gas		\$0				
3	Tennessee Gas		0				
4	Total	\$0	\$0				
5							
6							
7			Related	Charge			
8	Other Fixed Charges	Amount	Volumes	\$/Mcf			
9	Take-or-Pay	0	43,839,274	0.0000			
10	Transition	0	20,401,274	0.0000			
11	Total	\$0		0.0000			
12							
13							
14			Volumetric	Basis for			
15		Annual	Other Fixed	d Charges			ed Charges
16		Expected Mcf	Take-or-Pay	Transition		Take-or-Pay	Transition
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:						
19	G-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274			0.0000
20	HLF	60,000	60,000	60,000			0.0000
21	LVS-1	0	0	0			0.0000
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,947,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000			0.0000
26	T-2 \ G-1 \ HLF	0					0.0000
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,983,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000	684,000			0.0000
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000	154,000			0.0000
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000	838,000			
34							
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2 \ G-2	580,000	580,000	580,000			0.0000
37							
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000	1,418,000			
39							
40	Carriage Service						
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000	23,438,000	NA			
42		, ,					
43	Total	43,839,274	43,839,274	20,401,274			
44		, ,					
45							
46	Note: LVS Credit =	\$0					
47							
77							

**Atmos Energy Corporation**Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Total System

Exhibit B Page 10 of 11

(2)

(1)

(3)

(4)

T			
	 n	B	

Line				
No. Description	Purchase	S	Rate	Total
	Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
1 The Continue				
1 Texas Gas Area	0	0	0.0000	0
2 No Notice Service	88,780	91,000	6.7525	614,478
3 Firm Transportation		-	6.8574	2,336,185
4 No Notice Storage	332,372	340,681 431,681	6.8353	2,950,663
5 Total Texas Gas Area	421,152	451,081	6,65,0	2,950,005
6 7 The common Comp Association				
7 Tennessee Gas Area	624 202	659,675	6.9659	4,595,229
8 FT-A and FT-G	634,303 115,808	120,440	7.4717	899,892
9 FT-GS	113,606	120,440	7.4717	099,092
10 Gas Storage	207,101	215,385	6.6491	1,432,117
11 FT-A and FT-G Injections	207,101	213,363	0.0000	0
12 FT-GS Withdrawals	957,212	995,500	6.9586	6,927,238
13	937,212	333,300	0.9380	0,727,230
14 Trunkline Gas Area	212.077	219,500	6.6225	1,453,639
15 Firm Transportation	212,077	219,300	0.0223	1,455,057
16				
17				
18 WKG System Storage	(750 501)	(778,581)	6.4373	(5,011,948)
19 Injections	(759,591) 3,680,000	3,772,000	7.1670	27,033,924
20 Withdrawals	2,920,409	2,993,419	7.3568	22,021,976
21 Net WKG Storage	2,920,409	2,393,419	7.5508	22,021,770
22				
23	50.512	61,000	6.7525	411,903
24 Local Production	59,512	01,000	0.7525	411,505
25				
26				
27	4,570,362	4,701,100	7,1825	33,765,419
28 Total Commodity Purchases	4,370,362	4,701,100	7.1625	33,703,417
29	67.071	61 075		
30 Lost & Unaccounted for @ 1.38%	63,071	64,875		
31	4 507 201	4,636,225	7.2830	33,765,419
32 Total Deliveries	4,507,291	4,030,223	7-2850	33,703,413
33	tom			
34 LVS Commodity Credit to Sys	(20,000)	(20,572)	9.4164	(193,714)
35 LVS Sales	(20,000)	(20,372)	2.4104	(175,714)
36				
37	4 407 201	4,615,653	7.2734	33,571,705
38 Total Expected Commodity Cost	4,487,291	4,013,03	1.2134	33,371,703
39			7.4815	
40 Expected Commodity Cost (\$/Mcf)		=	7.4013	
41				

42 43

Load Factor Calculation for Demand Allocation

Exhibit B Page 11 of 11

Line
RT.

No.	Description	MCF
	Annualized Volumes Subject to Demand Charges	
1	Sales Volume	19,631,274
2	Large Volume Sales (Annualized)	154,000
3	Transportation	616,000
4	Total Mcf Billed Demand Charges	20,401,274
5	Divided by: Days/Year	365_
7	Average Daily Sales and Transport Volumes	55,894
8	Attorage Builty Builty and Timespers	Appendix of the second
10	Peak Day Sales and Transportation Volume	
11	Estimated total company firm requirements for 5 degree average	
12	temperature day from Peak Day Book - with adjustments per rate filing	302,152 Mcf/Peak Day
	temperature day from reak Day Book - with adjustments per rate hing	
13		
14		0.1050
15	New Load Factor (line 7 / line 12)	0.1850

Western Kentucky Gas Company

Gas Supply Plan

Summer 2006

All Volumes MMBTU

Cut September plan by 1,667/d to get to our larget storage level as of Sept 30

REVISED

84,990 593,870 100,420 146,860 136,780 124,820 214 1,040 920 900 790 660 4.310 31 Oct-06 27,900 24,490 20,460 28,520 133,610 32,240 Monthly 40 90 110 130 30 400 Daily 30 Sep-06 2,700 3,300 3,900 12,000 1,200 REVISED Monthly 260 540 530 440 2.020 31 Aug-06 16,740 16,430 13,640 8,060 62,620 Monthly 540 530 440 260 250 Daily 31 90-Inf 13,640 8,060 7,750 62,620 16,430 16,740 Monthly 560 550 450 270 260 2.090 Daily 30 Jun-06 16,500 13,500 8,100 7,800 62,700 Monthly 710 640 430 Daily 31 May-06 21,700 19,840 22,010 13,330 13,640 90,520 Monthly 860 1,150 900 5,660 Daily 39 Apr-06 33,000 25,800 27,000 34,500 169,800 49,500 Monthly ISSUED: 08/23/06 Plan Requirements Total Requirements Tennessee Gas Campbellsville Harrodsburg Lebanon Danville Area **GS-2** 

118,321	,712,191
1,810 1,118,321 5%	63,400 2,110 189,764 6,120 1,712,191
3,620 51,400 1,710 56,154 10% 5%	189,764
0 1,710	2,110
620 51,40 10%	40 63,40
1,307 3,6	4,927 5,640 6
224,615 7,490 224,615 7,250 112,307 20% 20%	287,315 9,580 287,235 9,270 174,927
224,615	287,235
7,490	9,580
224,615	287,315
224,615 7,490 224,615 7,250 20% 20%	394,415 13,150 315,135 10,170
224,615	315,135
15 7,490 20%	15 13,150
224,6	
ections	TOTAL PURCHASES
Storage Injections	TOTAL PL

1,118,321

1,810

56,154

543 308 308 362 290 1,810
513 16,846 291 9,546 291 9,546 342 11,231 274 8,985 1,710 56,154
513 291 291 342 274 1,710
1,086 15,420 615 8,738 615 8,738 724 10,280 579 8,224 3,620 51,400
1,086 615 615 724 579 3,620
33,692 19,092 19,092 22,461 17,969 112,307
2,175 1,233 1,233 1,450 1,160 7,250
17         67,384         2,175         33,692           73         38,185         1,233         19,092           73         38,185         1,233         19,092           98         44,923         1,450         22,461           98         35,938         1,160         17,969           90         2224,615         7,250         112,307
2,2 1,2 1,2 1,4 1,1
2,175 67,384 1,233 38,185 1,233 38,185 1,450 44,923 1,160 35,938 7,250 224,615
2,175 1,233 1,233 1,450 1,160 7,250
67,384 38,185 38,185 44,923 35,938
2,247 1,273 1,273 1,498 1,198 7,490
67,384 38,185 38,185 44,923 35,938 224,615
STORAGE INJECTIONS Danville Harrodsburg Campbellsville Lebanon GS-2 Total
ω <u>στοπο</u>

W:\Rate Administration\Kentucky\Gas Supply Plan (Winter-Summer)\[WKG Plan Summer 2006 REV 08-23-2006.xis]TGPL Plan

Western Kentucky Gas Company Gas Supply Plan Winter 2006-2007 All Volumes MMBTU

										7	7 11 7
1		000		31		31		28		5	leto H
Texas Gas Area	Nov-06	ન	Dec-06	9	Jan-07	7 Dolly,	Feb-07	Daily	Monthiy	Daily	Monthly
	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Cally	CHAININ			(	
Zone 2 Texas Gas Purchase Texas Gas - NNS	67,500	2,250	100,700	3,248	320,300	7,216	95,700 302,100 112,000	3,418 10,789 4,000	80,700 176,400 77,500	2,603 5,690 2,500	1,296,700 527,500
Trunkline	90,000	3,000	124,000 545,000	4,000	668,000	21,548	509,800	18,207	334,600	10,794	2,392,500
Zone 3	403 800	3.460	331.100	10,681	527,400	17,013	187,200	6,686	167,800	5,413	1,317,300
Texas Gas Purchase Texas Gas - NNS WKG Owned Storage	273,300 898,000	9,110 29,933	492,800	15,897 44,419	492,80 1,497,00	15,897 48,290	464,800 1,317,000 0	16,600 47,036 0	898,000 000,868	28,968 0	5,987,000
ANR Pipeline	00	00	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 000 000 +	70.321	0	43,132	0 9,299,300
Total	1,275,100	42,503	2,200,900	70,997	7,517,700	01,200	200,506,1				
Zone 4 Texas Gas Purchase	75,700	2,523	-	3,687		4,890	104,100	3,718	89,300	2,881	535,000
Texas Gas - NNS	49,100	1,637	86,900 201,200	2,803 6,490	238,500	7,694		6,686		4,539	892,400
200-										2000	000 007 0
Total Texas Gas Purchase	247,000	8,233	546,100	17,616	902,700	29,119	387,000 112,000	13,821 4,000		2,500	
Total Trunkline Total Texas Gas - NNS	500,000	3,000 16,667 29,933	1	., ,	<del>-</del> -	., .	850,00 1,317,00	28,333 43,900	499,100 898,000	16,637 29,933	5,987,000 5,987,000 0
Total WKG Owned Storage	000,080	0			0	00	00	50		0	
Total Midwest	0	0	670 100	21 61	1.026.70	33,119	499,00	17,821	$\sqcup$	13,397	
Total Purchases	337,000	70711	0		11	110,442	2,666,000	95,214	1,812,400	58,465	12,564,200
Total Requirements	1,735,000	CCO'/C			н						

Note 1: Purchases reflect storage activities

Western Kentucky Gas Company Gas Supply Plan Summer 2006 All Volumes MMBTU

ISSUED: 3/29/06

				1				-		-		30		3	214
Toyor Gae Area		30		<u>–</u>		ဓ		ر 	•		90 440		0-1-06		Total
South Sand	Apr-06		May-06		90-unr		90-Inc	<u>:</u>	Aug-vo		Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly
Planned Reg.	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Vionthiy	Cally	INDIRILIN	Lally	THE PARTY OF THE P				
Zone 2 Texas Gas Red	168,900	5,630	62,100	2,003	20,000	299	16,500	532	14,600	471	57,100	1,903	156,000 31,000	5,032	495,200 214,000
Trunkline Red	30,000	1,000	31,000	1,000	30,000	000,	31,000	1 535	45,600	1.471	87.100	2,903	187,000	6,032	678,200
Total Red	198,900	6,630	93,100	3,003	50,000	/00'!	47,300	300,1	2006						
Zone 3 Texas Gas Req	799,300	26,643	395,100	12,745	115,500	3,850	102,400	3,303	193,900	6,255	342,600 0	11,420	724,400 0	23,368	2,673,200 0
ANR Req	0	0 (	0 (	5 0	<b>o</b> c	5 C	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midwest Red	0	ס		0 1	202	2 050	102 400	3 303	193,900	6.255	342,600	11,420	724,400	23,368	2,673,200
Total Req	799,300	26,643	395,100	12,745	115,500	0,000	102,100	20212							
Zone 4			١	1 000	47 700	200	16 600	535	19,800	629	29,700	066	60,400	1,948	257,100
Texas Gas Red	72,700	2,423	40,200	1,531	007.71	200	200101								
() () ()	1 070 000	35 607	528 400	17.045	183,200	6,107	166,500	5,371	259,300	8,365	459,400	15,313	971,800	31,348	3,608,500
IOIAL REG	006,0,0,1		1000	!			•				1	(	0	5	600 670 3
NN Storage Injections	1,008,419	33,614	<del></del> -	32,530	<del>-</del>	33,614	1,008,419	32,530	504,209	16,265	252,105 194,645	6,488	252,105 194,645	6,279	3,892,904
WKG Storage Injections	778,581	25,953	- 1	25,116		20,803	1 786 000	57.645	893,500	28.823	446,750	14,892	446,750	14,411	8,934,997
Total Storage Injections	1,786,999	59,567	1,786,999	57,645	666'09/'1	100,80	1,100,000	2							
Total Texas Gas Purchases	2,827,899	94,263	94,263 2,284,399	73,690	<del>ب</del> ي	64,673	1,9	62,016	1,121,800	36,187	876,150	29,205	1,387,550	44,760	12,360,497
Total Trunkline Purchases	30,000	1,000	31,000	1,000	30,06	1,000	000,15	200,	000,10		0	0		0	0
Total ANR Purchases	0	0	0 0	<b>5</b> C	> C	5 C		50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Midwest Purchases	0 000	05 263	0 315 300	74 690	1.970.1	65,673	1,953,49	63,016	1,152,800	37,187	906,150	30,205	1,418,550	45,760	12,543,497
lotal Purchases	2,007,099	33,50			u										

194,645 89,588 137,828 24,688 252,104 12,558 5,780 8,892 1,593 16,265 179,177 275,657 49,375 504,209 389,290 11,560 17,784 3,186 32,530 25,116 358,354 551,314 98,751 1,008,419 778,581 25,953 11,945 18,377 3,292 33,614 358,354 551,314 98,751 1,008,419 778,581 25,116 11,560 17,784 3,186 32,530 358,354 551,314 98,751 1,008,419 778,581 25,953 11,945 18,377 3,292 33,614 358,354 551,314 98,751 1,008,419 778,581 STORAGE ALLOCATIONS FOR INVOICING NNS Zone 2 NNS Zone 3 NNS Zone 4 WKG Storage - Zone 3 NNS Total

1,791,770 2,756,569 493,754 5,042,093

2,890 4,446 796 8,132

89,588 137,828 24,688 252,104

2,986 4,594 823 8,403 3,892,904

6,279

194,645

6,488

Note 1: Purchases include planned storage injection quantities

W:NRate AdministrationWentucky)Gas Supply Plan (Winter-Summer)(WKG Plan Summer 2006 REV 08-23-2006.xis)TGPL Plan

Western Kentucky Gas Company Winter 2006-2007 Gas Supply Plan

All Volumes MMBTU 10/13/2006 DRAFT

		000	183,700	168,500	400		000	
9		186,500 343,000	183,	168,	1,104,400		1,250,000	
91	Daily	1 100	009	700	3,500		4,839	
	Mar-07	18,600	18,600	21,700	108,500		150,000	
28	VlicO	1,700	1,600	2,000	9,900		10,357	
	Feb-07	Wontnly 47,600	86,800	56,000	42,000	221	290,000	
31		Daily 1,800	3,300	2,200	1,600	10,700	11,935	
	Jan-07	Monthly 55,800	102,300	55,800	49,600	331,700	370,000	
5		Daily 1 500	2,800	1,500	1,400	9,000	9,355	
	Dec-06		86,800	46,500	43,400	279,000	290,000	
	င္တ	Daily	1000	009	700	3,600	5,000	
		Nov-Uo Monthíy	18,000	18,000	21,000	108,000	150 000	201001
	Tennessee Gas	ថ	Harrodsburg	Danville	Leballon Campbellsville	GS-2 Total Purchases		Storage Activity
	Ten	Area	Harr	Dan	Can	GS-2 Total		Sto

Note: Purchases reflect storage activities

1,163,538 150,000 Storage Withdrawals GS Large GS Small TOTAL MSQ Large MSQ Small

254,167 35,833 290,000 4,500 135,000 15,000 150,000

1,107,500 142,500 1,250,000

4,194 645

130,000 20,000 150,000

9,077

254,166 35,834 290,000

10,780 1,156

334,167 35,833 370,000

8,199 1,156

2,354,400

8,339

258,500

20,257

567,200

22,635

701,700

18,355

569,000

8,600

258,000

Total Requirements

Storage Activity

1,313,538 1,247,861

WaRate Administration/Kentucky/Gas Supply Plan (Winter-Summer)\[WKG Plan Winter 2008-2007 DRAFT.xks]TGT Area

### Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division Geo Supply Plan

Issued (All)

				Month				
GCA Filing	Supplier	Zone	Data	May-06	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Grand Total
	Texas Gas	2	Sum of Purchases		420,454	378,354	374,854	1,173,662
			Sum of Texas Gas (NNS)	l	(358,354)	(358,354)	(358,354)	(1,075,062)
		i	Sum of WKG	}				1
			Sum of Requirements	]	62,100	20,000	16,500	98,600
		3	Sum of Purchases		1,724,995	1,445,395	1,432,295	4,602,685
			Sum of Texas Gas (NNS)	1	(551,314)	(551,314)	(551,314)	(1,653,942)
			Sum of WKG		(778,581)	(778,581)	(778,581)	(2,335,743)
			Sum of Requirements	·	395,100	115,500	102,400	613,000
1		4	Sum of Purchases		138,951	116,451	115,351	370,753
			Sum of Texas Gas (NNS)		(98,751)	(98,751)	(98,751)	(296,253)
	1		Sum of WKG					
			Sum of Requirements	]	40,200	17,700	16,600	74,500
	Texas Gas Su	ım of Purchases	\$		2,284,400	1,940,200	1,922,500	6,147,100
	Texas Gas Su	ım of Texas Gas	s (NNS)		(1,008,419)	(1,008,419)		
	Texas Gas Su	ım of WKG			(778,581)	(778,581)	(778,581)	
	Texas Gas Su	ım of Requireme	ents		497,400	153,200	135,500	786,100
5/1/2007 Sum (	of Purchases				2,284,400	1,940,200	1,922,500	6,147,100
5/1/2007 Sum (	of Texas Gas (	NNS)			(1,008,419)		(1,008,419)	(3,025,257)
5/1/2007 Sum of WKG				(778,581)	(778,581)	(778,581)	(2,335,743)	
5/1/2007 Sum (	of Requiremen	ts			497,400	153,200	135,500	786,100

- n i mi matakana wa

#### Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division Gas Supply Plan

Is	9	(All)	
110	- C	[(/ (11)	

GCA Filing	Supplier	Zone		Data	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Grand Total
5/1/2007	Trunkline		2	Sum of Purchases	31,000	30,000	31,000	92,000
j				Sum of Requirements	31,000	30,000	31,000	92,000
	Trunkline Sun	n of Purchases			31,000	30,000	31,000	92,000
	Trunkline Sun	n of Requirements		7	31,000	30,000	31,000	92,000
5/1/2007 Sum (	5/1/2007 Sum of Purchases 31,000 30,000 31,000 92,000							
5/1/2007 Sum (	5/1/2007 Sum of Requirements 31,000 30,000 31,000 92,000						92,000	

#### Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division Supply Plan

Issued (All)

GCA Filing	Supplier	Zone	Data	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	<b>Grand Total</b>
5/1/2007	Tennessee Gas	Danville	Sum of Purchases	81,024	84,184	84,124	249,332
Ĭ.			Sum of Storage	(67,384)	(67,384)	(67,384)	(202,152)
			Sum of Requirements	13,640	16,800	16,740	47,180
		Harrodsburg	Sum of Purchases	59,885	54,685	54,615	169,185
			Sum of Storage	(38, 185)	(38, 185)	(38,185)	(114,555)
			Sum of Requirements	21,700	16,500	16,430	54,630
		Campbellsville	Sum of Purchases	58,025	51,685	51,825	161,535
Î			Sum of Storage	(38, 185)	(38, 185)	(38,185)	(114,555)
į			Sum of Requirements	19,840	13,500	13,640	46,980
		Lebanon	Sum of Purchases	66,933	53,023	52,983	172,939
			Sum of Storage	(44,923)	(44,923)	(44,923)	(134,769)
			Sum of Requirements	22,010	8,100	8,060	38,170
		GS-2	Sum of Purchases	49,268	43,738	43,688	136,694
			Sum of Storage	(35,938)	(35,938)	(35,938)	(107,814)
			Sum of Requirements	13,330	7,800	7,750	28,880
	Tennessee Gas	Sum of Purchases		315,135	287,315	287,235	889,685
	Tennessee Gas	Sum of Storage		(224,615)	(224,615)	(224,615)	(673,845)
	Tennessee Gas	Sum of Requirements		90,520	62,700	62,620	215,840
5/1/2007 Sum of Purc	hases			315,135	287,315	287,235	889,685
5/1/2007 Sum of Stora	age			(224,615)	(224,615)	(224,615)	(673,845)
5/1/2007 Sum of Regi	uirements			90,520	62,700	62,620	215,840

Correction Factor (CF)

or the Three Months Ended October 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

Line No.	(1) Month	(2) Actual Sales Volume (Mcf)	(3)  Recoverable  Gas Cost	(4) Actual Recovered Gas Cost	(5) Under (Over) Recovery Amount	(6) Adjustments	(7) Total
1 2	August-06	957,239	5,524,981.22	3,665,059.17	1,859,922.05	0.00	1,859,922.05
3 4	September-06	635,846	4,298,501.44	3,719.994.94	578,506.50	0.00	578,506.50
5 6 7 8 9	October-06	1,151,786	11,115,568.91	6,369,828.61	4,745,740.30	0.00	4,745,740.30
11 12			Andrew Printer Street Control of the Street			The same of the sa	
13 14 15	Total Gas Cost Under/(Over) Red	covery	20.939.051.57	13,754,882,72	7.184.168.85	0.00	7,184,168,85
16 17	PBR Saving refle	cted in Gas Cost	593,186.41	•			
18 19	Account 191 Bala	ance @ July, 2000	5				(\$5.862.937.55)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Total Gas Cost U Recovery from ou Account 191 Bala	atstanding Correc	tion Factor (CF)	e months ended Oo	ctober, 2006		7,184,168.85 (275,434.63) 1,045,796.67
27 28 29	Derivation of Cor	rection Factor (C	F):				
30	Account 191 Bala		mer Sales		-	\$1,045,797 18,983,274	MCF
31 32	Divided By: Tota		iner sales			, ,	
33 34 35	Correction Factor	or (CF)			=	\$0.0551	/MCF

Exhibit D

Page 1 of 5

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

r the Three Months Ended October 1, 2006

\_ase No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 2 of 5

		GL	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	
Line			(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
No.	Description	Unit -	August-06	September-06	October-06	Document
1	Supply Volume					
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission <sup>1</sup>	Mcf	0	()	()	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	Mcf	()	0	0	
5	Trunkline Gas Company 1	Mcf	O	0	ć)	
6	Midwestern Pipeline 1	Mcf	0	0	()	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	Mcf	0	0	0	
8	Total Other Suppliers	Mcf	1,316,214	971,503	1,611,360	pages 5
9	Off System Storage					
10	Texas Gas Transmission	Mcf	()	0	0	
11	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Mcf	(106.118)	9.522	(6.534)	
12	System Storage					
13	Withdrawals	Mcf	4	0	(86.870)	
14	Injections	Mcf	(248,239)	(948.335)	(50)9.329)	
15	Producers	Mcf	13.872	12.529	12.091	
16	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	Mcf	0	()	()	
17	System Imbalances <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	(18,494)	590,627	131.068	
18	Total Supply	Mcf -	957,239	635,846	1,151,786	
19						
20	Change in Unbilled	Mcf				
21	Company Use	Mcf	0	0	0	
22	Unaccounted For	Mcf	0	0_	0	
23	Total Sales	Mcf	957,239	635,846	1,151,786	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation

 $\tau$  the Three Months Ended October 1, 2006

case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 3 of 5

		GL	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	
Line			(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
No.	Description	Unit	August-06	September-06	October-06	Document
1	Supply Cost	•				
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission 1	\$	1.181.945	1.179.985	1.614.294	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1	\$	180.651	186.971	263.695	
5	Trunkline Gas Company 1	\$	7.899	7.644	7,893	
6	Midwestern Pipeline <sup>1</sup>	\$	0	0	0_	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	\$	1,370,494	1,374,600	1,885,882	
8	Total Other Suppliers	\$	9,544,943	5,404,068	7,547,579	page 5
9	Hedging Settlements		0	0	0	
10	Off System Storage					
11	Texas Gas Transmission	\$	0	U	0	
12	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	\$	(772.031)	74.391	45,067	
13	WKG Storage		122,500	122,500	122,500	
14	System Storage					
15	Withdrawals	\$	29	()	601,710	
16	Injections	\$	(2.235.803)	(5,200,776)	(2,517,545)	
17	Producers	\$	97,946	73,235	<i>57.</i> 950	
18	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	\$	()	()	()	
19	System Imbalances 2	\$	(2,603,098)	2,450,484	3,372,427	
20	Sub-Total	\$	5,524,981	4,298,501	11,115,569	
21						
22	Change in Unbilled	\$				
23	Company Use	\$	0	()	0	
24	Recovered thru Transportation	\$ _	0	0	()	
25	Total Recoverable Gas Cost	\$ =	5,524,981	4,298,501	11,115,569	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes demand charges, cost of settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Exhibit D Page 4 of 5

Recovery from Correction Factors (CF) r the Three Months Ended October, 2006 ase No. 2006-000

Line No.	Month	Type of Sales	Mcf Sold	Rate	Amount
1101	17101111	1),50 01 02100			
1	August-06	G-1 Sales	393,246.7	(\$0.1749)	(\$68,778.84)
2	-	G-1 HLF	0.0	(0.1749)	0.00
3		G-2 Sales	20,155.7	(0.1749)	(3,525.23)
4		T-3 Overrun Sales	2.010.0	(0.1924)	(386.72)
5		T-4 Overrun Sales	2.688.0	(0.1924)	(517.17)
6		LVS-1 Sales	().()	0.0000	0.00
7		LVS-2 Sales	(1.020.0)	0.0000	0.00
8		LVS HLF Sales	0,0	0.0000	0.00
9		Total	417,080.3		(73,207.96)
10					
11	September-06	G-1 Sales	383.534.8	(\$0.1749)	(\$67,080.23)
12	•	G-1 HLF	0.0	(0.1749)	0.00
13		G-2 Sales	31,369.8	(0.1749)	(5,486.58)
14		T-3 Overrun Sales	2,522.0	(0.1924)	(485.23)
15		T-4 Overrun Sales	527.0	(0.1924)	(101.39)
16		LVS-1 Sales	0,0	0.0000	0.00
17		LVS-2 Sales	0.886	0.0000	0.00
18		LVS HLF Sales	(),()	0.0000	0.00
19		Total	418.641.6		(73,153.43)
20					
21	October-06	G-1 Sales	716.963.8	(\$0.1749)	(\$125,396.96)
22		G-1 HLF	0.0	(0.1749)	0.00
23		G-2 Sales	18,714.8	(0.1749)	(3,273.21)
24		T-3 Overrun Sales	756.0	(0.1924)	(145.45)
25		T-4 Overrun Sales	1.339.0	(0.1924)	(257.62)
26		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
27		LVS-2 Sales	24,624.0	0.0000	0.00
28		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
29		Total	762.397.6		(129,073.24)
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					

50 Total Recovery from Correction Factor (CF)

(\$275,434.63)

LVS sales commodity is "trued-up" according to Section 3(f) in LVS tariff in P.S.C. No. 1.

When Carriage (T-3 and T-4) customers have a positive imbalance that has been approved by the Company, the customer is billed for the imbalance volumes at a rate equal to 110% of the Company's

applicable sales rate according to Section 6(a) of P.S.C. No. 20, Sheet Nos. 41A and 47A.

Detail Sheet for Supply Volumes & Costs ditional and Other Pipelines

Exhibit D Page 5 of 5

י	ditional and Other Pipelines	G 06		Oct-06		Nov-06	Page 5 of 5
	G	•	ıgust-06		ember-06		ber-06
	Description	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost
1	Texas Gas Pipeline Area						
2	LG&E Natural	()	0.00	0	0.00	0	(),()()
3	Woodward Marketing	0	0.00	Ü	0.00	47	0.00
4	Texaco Gas Marketing	U	0.00	i)	-1,00	()	0.00
5	CMS	0	0.00	U	0.00	()	0.00
6	WESCO	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
7	Southern Energy Company	Ø	0.00	0	0.00	Ü	00.0
8	Union Pacific Fuels	O	0.00	()	().()()	()	0.00
9	Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC		8,035,183,48	877.389	4,888,263 05	1.388.062	6,500,074.70
10	Engage	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
11	ERI	f)	0.00	0	0.00	()	00
12	Prepaid	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	60.0
13	Reservation	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
14 15	Hedging Costs - All Zones	()	0.00	()	().(0)	11	(i(),()
16	Total	1,110,094	\$8,035,183.48	877,389	\$4,888,263.05	1,388,062	\$6,500,074.70
17	Total	1,110,034	90,000,100,00	677,565	94,000,205.05	1,505,002	20,200,071.10
18							
19	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Area						
20	Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC	175,939	1.287.773.57	64.629	352,980.87	192,728	912,009.38
21	Union Pacific Fuels	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
22	WESCO	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
23	Prepaid	0	0.00	0	0,00	0	0.00
24	Reservation	()	00.0	0	0.00	i)	0.00
5	Fuel Adjustment	n	0.00	0	00,0	()	()()()
26							
27	Total	175,939	\$1,287,773.57	64,629	\$352,980.87	192,728	\$912,009.38
28							
29							
30	Trunkline Gas Company	30.404	201 005 07	30.405	: / 2 22 3 4 4 /	30,570	125 404 02
31	Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC	30,181	221,985.92	29,485	162,824.46	30,570 (I	135,494,92 0.00
32	Engage	()	0 00	()	0.00	0	0.00
33	Prepaid	0	0.00	0	9.00 9.00	0	0.00
34	Reservation	0	0.00 0.00	0	0.00 fr	O O	0.00
35 36	Fuel Adjustment	- (7	0.00		0.00		***************************************
	Total	30,181	\$221,985.92	29,485	\$162,824.46	30,570	\$135,494.92
38	1 otal	30,101	0001,500.52	25,100	<del></del>	,	•
39							
40	Midwestern Pipeline						
41	Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC	0	0.00	O	0.00	Ű	0.00
42	LG&E Natural	0	0.00	0	0.00	t)	0.00
43	Anadarko	U	0.00	0	0.00	υ	0.00
44	Prepaid	1}	0.00	()	0.00	O	00.6
45	Reservation	1)	00.0	()	0.00	( j	0.00
46	Fuel Adjustment	0	0.00	()	(J.()L)	(1	0.00
47							
48	Total	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
49							
50							
	All Zones				0# 404 0 50 70		00 040 000 00
52	Total	1,316,214	\$9,544,942.97	971,503	\$5,404,068.38	1,611,360	\$7,547,579.00
53							
54		4.444 T . 14 A			tion for CEd1-1	***	
55		**** Detail of Volu	imes and Prices Has Bee	n Filed Under Peti	uon for Confidentiality		

Detail Sheet for Supply Volumes & Costs

aditional and Other Pipelines

Exhibit D Page 5 of 5

		Anor	ıst, 2006	Septen	aber, 2006	October, 2006	
	Description	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Texas Gas Pipeline Area LG&E Natural Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Texaco Gas Marketing CMS WESCO Southern Energy Company Union Pacific Fuels Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Engage ERI Prepaid Reservation Hedging Costs - All Zones						
	Total  Tennessee Gas Pipeline Area Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Union Pacific Fuels WESCO Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment	1,110,094	\$8,035,183.48	877,389	\$4,888,263.05	1,388,062	\$6,500,074.70
27 28 29	Trunkline Gas Company Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC Engage Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment	175,939	\$1,287,773.57	64,629	\$352,980.87	192,728	\$912,009.38
37 38 39	Midwestern Pipeline Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC LG&E Natural Anadarko Prepaid Reservation Fuel Adjustment	30,181	\$221,985.92	29,485	\$162,824.46	30,570	\$135,494.92
48 49 50	Total	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00
52 53 54	All Zones Total	1,316,214	\$9,544,942.97	971,503	\$5,404,068.38	1,611,360	\$7,547,579.00
55		**** Detail of Volu	ımes and Prices Has Be	en Filed Under Pet	inon for Conndenuality	,	

	17.5.11
Company	(All)
Account	1910
	(Aii)
Ser the Subjust	14088

Sum of Journal Amount	Group	
Month	Recoveries	Grand Total
Aug-06	(3,738,267.13)	(3,738,267.13)
Sep-06	(3,810,030.50)	(3,810,030.50)
Oct-06	(6,498,901.85)	(6,498,901.85)
Grand Total	(14,047,199.48)	(14,047,199.48)

Activity (Groups)

os Energy Corporati
States - Kentucity Division - (Rate Div 9)
arred Gas Costs Reconciliation
ounts 1910 14088
e Administration vs General Ledger
ouths Ended October (Production)

duction Month	May-06	90-unf	30-luf	Aug-06	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	Dec-06
e Administration: ginning Balance - Rate Administration	(3,320,396.77)	(6,414,387.35)	(6,048,570.80)	(5,862,937.55)	(4,059,341.33)	(3,570,870.35)	1,045,796.67	1,908,476.27
dd: Current Production Gas Cost Deduct: Current Recoveries	3,315,840.91 (6,409,831.49)	5,256,810.98 (4,890,994.54)	4,202,910.27 (4,017,277.02)	5,524,981.22 (3,738,267.13)	4,298,501.44 (3,826,912.63) 16,882.13	11,115,568.87 (6,498,901.85)	14,477,402.42 (13,614,722.82)	23,096,688.22 (20,910,203.75)
ding Balance - Rate Administration	(6,414,387.35)	(6,048,570.91)	(5,862,937.55)	(4,076,223.46)	(3,570,870.39)	1,045,796.67	1,908,476.27	4,094,960.74
justments (Rate Administration):	(36 7.4 987 95)	(6.048.570.91)	(5.862,937,55)	(4,076,223.46)	(3,570,870.39)	1,045,796.67	1,908,476.27	4,094,960.74
ijusted Ending Balance - Hate Administration is conciliation to the General Ledger:	90-unr	90-lnf	Aug-06	90-das	90-100	Nov-06	Dec-06	Jan-07
ming Differences: Invoice Accrual not booked				16,882.13				
djustments (Gas Cost Accounting): Rounding Rounding (1910:14088 CR 1910:14087) Plerments (Revenue Accounting):	14087	0.11			0.04			(19,723.59)
glusinishis (1958) State of Seneral Ledger	(6,414,387.35)	(6,048,570.80)	(5,862,937.55)	(4,059,341.33)	(3,570,870.35)	1,045,796.67	1,908,476.27	4,075,237.15
nding balance Some Ending Balances illerence in Adjusted Ending Balances	0.00	(0.11)	0.00	(16,882.13) (16,882.13)	(0.04)	0.00	0.00	19,723.59 19,723.59
910.14088.009XXX	(6,414,387.35)	(6,048,570.80)	(5,862,937.55)	(4,059,341.33)	(3,570,870.35)	1,045,796.67	1,908,476.27	4,075,237.15
seneral Ledger less Reconciliation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(All)   (All)	A College Control of the College Colle	「おいれ」とは、これのでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これ	Ontine Nov-06 Grand Total	22:00		Part Market	m	30,570.00	971.503.00 1,611,360.00 3,899,077.00	12 529 00 38,492:00	12 529 00 12 091 00 38,492.00	五年の次のである	101 000 00	00.000,161	(948,335.00) (509,329.00) (1,703,903.00)	(80,070)	) (596,199.00) (1,		775,627,61 - 1,551,675,48 2,769,243.79	775 627 61 1.551,675,48 2,769,243.79	)		1 846 296 48	
	O County (Groups)	ery General Leager (Boundary) statistical programmy (Caractery)	MOTHER	Septime (4 pe 4 4 8 po)	(400,440,00)		1175,939,00	- 10.00分割の - 10.00分割の - 10.100 - 10.100	1 346 944 00	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	が R M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	(18,494,00)		(248,239.00)			1000mmの対象ができる。 1000mmののできる。 1000mmoのできる。 1000mmoone 1000				で、「それには、これのでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これ	,	

3/1/2007

Grand	7,803,72 5) 7,803,72 6,559,95 652,573,06) 45,066,99 (652,573,06) 652,573,06)	6,500,074,70 135,494,92 7,547,579,00	7,614,293.66 7,892.60 1,885,881.57	97,950.21 57,950.21 3372,426.75 3372,426.75	88.888 I I	.99 11, 123, 3/2, 00
(All) (All) (All) (All)	Report Sub Group	4 3				5,524,199,90 4,298,038.99
	m of Journal Amount port Group impany Use impany Use Total System Storage	H System Storage Total ther Suppliers	ther Suppliers Total ipelines	ipelines Total roducers roducers Total	ystem imbalances Total	System Storage Total



RECEIVED

JUN 2 8 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

4

June 26, 2006

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 2006-00 374

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

We are filing the enclosed original and three (3) copies of a notice under the provisions of our Gas Cost Adjustment Clause, Case No. 2006-00374 This filing contains a Petition of Confidentiality and confidential documents.

Please indicate receipt of this filing by stamping and dating the enclosed duplicate of this letter and returning it in the self-addressed stamped envelope to the following address:

Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75240

If you have any questions, feel free to call me at 972-855-3011.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Thomas ) Moul

Enclosures

## RECEIVED

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JUN 2 8 2006 PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT	)	CASE NO.
FILING OF	)	2006 - 00 3 2 4
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	)	0, 1

## PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION BEING FILED WITH THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") respectfully petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and all other applicable law, for confidential treatment of the information which is described below and which is attached hereto. In support of this Petition, Atmos states as follows:

- Atmos is filing its Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") for the quarterly period
   commencing on August 1, 2006. This GCA filing also contains Atmos' quarterly Correction
   Factor (CF) as well as information pertaining to Atmos' projected gas prices. The following two attachments contain information which require confidential treatment.
  - a. The attached Exhibit D contains information from which the actual price being paid by Atmos for natural gas to its supplier can be determined.
  - b. The attached Weighted Average Cost of Gas ("WACOG") schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 19 contains confidential information pertaining to prices projected to be paid by Atmos for purchase contracts.
- Information of the type described above has previously been filed by Atmos with the
   Commission under petitions for confidentiality. Exhibit D contains information from which it

could be determined what Atmos is paying for natural gas under its gas supply agreement with its existing supplier. The Commission has consistently granted confidential protection to that type of information in each of the prior GCA filings in KPSC Case No. 1999-070. The information contained in the attached WACOG schedule has also been filed with the Commission under a Petition for Confidentiality in Case No. 97-513.

- 3. All of the information sought to be protected herein as confidential, if publicly disclosed, would have serious adverse consequences to Atmos and its customers. Public disclosure of this information would impose an unfair commercial disadvantage on Atmos. Atmos has successfully negotiated an extremely advantageous gas supply contract that is very beneficial to Atmos and its ratepayers. Detailed information concerning that contract, including commodity costs, demand and transportation charges, reservations fees, etc. on specifically identified pipelines, if made available to Atmos' competitors, (including specifically non-regulated gas marketers), would clearly put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage. Those competitors for gas supply would be able to gain information that is otherwise confidential about Atmos' gas purchases and transportation costs and strategies. The Commission has accordingly granted confidential protection to such information.
- 4. Likewise, the information contained in the WACOG schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 19, also constitutes sensitive, proprietary information which if publicly disclosed would put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage in future negotiations.
- 5. Atmos would not, as a matter of company policy, disclose any of the information for which confidential protection is sought herein to any person or entity, except as required by law or pursuant to a court order or subpoena. Atmos' internal practices and policies are directed towards non-disclosure of the attached information. In fact, the information contained in the

attached report is not disclosed to any personnel of Atmos except those who need to know in order to discharge their responsibility. Atmos has never disclosed such information publicly. This information is not customarily disclosed to the public and is generally recognized as confidential and proprietary in the industry.

- 6. There is no significant interest in public disclosure of the attached information. Any public interest in favor of disclosure of the information is out weighed by the competitive interest in keeping the information confidential.
- 7. The attached information is also entitled to confidential treatment because it constitutes a trade secret under the two prong test of KRS 265.880: (a) the economic value of the information as derived by not being readily ascertainable by other persons who might obtain economic value by its disclosure; and, (b) the information is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. The economic value of the information is derived by Atmos maintaining the confidentiality of the information since competitors and entities with whom Atmos transacts business could obtain economic value by its disclosure.
- 8. Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(3) temporary confidentiality of the attached information should be maintained until the Commission enters an order as to this petition. Once the order regarding confidentiality has been issued, Atmos would have twenty (20) days to seek alternative remedies pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(4).

WHEREFORE, Atmos petitions the Commission to treat as confidential all of the material and information which is included in the attached one volume marked "Confidential".

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of June, 2006.

Mark R. Hutchinson 611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Douglas Walther Atmos Energy Corporation P.O. Box 650250 Dallas, Texas 75265

John N. Hughes 124 W. Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Attorneys for Atmos Energy Corporation

## RECEIVED

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JUN 2 8 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GAS COST ADJUSTMENT ) Case No. 2006 - 00374 ) ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION )

NOTICE

#### QUARTERLY FILING

For The Period

August 1, 2006 - October 31, 2006

Attorney for Applicant

Mark R. Hutchinson 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301 Atmos Energy Corporation, ("the Company"), is duly qualified under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky to do its business. The Company is an operating public utility engaged in the business of purchasing, transporting and distributing natural gas to residential, commercial and industrial users in western and central Kentucky. The Company's principal operating office and place of business is 2401 New Hartford Road, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301. Correspondence and communications with respect to this notice should be directed to:

Gary L. Smith
Vice President - Marketing &
Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division
Atmos Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 866
Owensboro, Kentucky 42302

Mark R. Hutchinson Attorney for Applicant 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Thomas J. Morel Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75240 The Company gives notice to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, hereinafter "the Commission", pursuant to the Gas Cost Adjustment Clause contained in the Company's settlement gas rate schedules in Case No. 99-070.

The Company hereby files Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 4, Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 and Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 6 to its PSC No. 1, Rates, Rules and Regulations for Furnishing Natural Gas to become effective August 1, 2006.

The Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) for firm sales service is \$8.7180 per Mcf, \$7.8447 per Mcf for high load factor firm sales service, and \$7.8447 per Mcf for interruptible sales service. The supporting calculations for the Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 5 are provided in the following Exhibits:

Exhibit :	A -	Summary of Derivations of Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA)
Exhibit :	В -	Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation
Exhibit	C -	Rates used in the Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation
Exhibit 1	D -	Correction Factor (CF) Calculation
Exhibit 1	F -	LVS Pricing Calculation

Since the Company's last GCA filing, Case No. 2006-00135, the following changes have occurred in its pipeline and gas supply commodity rates for the GCA period.

- 1. The commodity rates per MMbtu used are based on historical estimates and/or current data for the quarter August 2006 through October 2006, as shown in Exhibit C, page 19.
- 2. The Expected Commodity Gas Cost will be approximately \$7.7975 MMbtu for the quarter August 2006 through October 2006, as compared to \$7.9545 per MMbtu used for the quarter of May 2006 through July 2006.
- 3. The Company's notice sets out a new Correction Factor of (\$0.1749) per Mcf, which will remain in effect until at least October 31, 2006.

The GCA tariff as approved in Case No. 92-558 provides for a Correction Factor (CF) which compensates for the difference between the expected gas cost and the actual gas cost for prior periods. A revision to the GCA tariff effective December 1, 2001, Filing No. T62-1253, provides that the Correction Factor be filed on a quarterly basis. The Company is filing its updated Correction Factor that is based upon the balance in the Company's Account 191 as of April 30, 2006. The calculation for the Correction Factor is shown on Exhibit D, Page 1.

WHEREFORE, Atmos Energy Corporation requests this Commission, pursuant to the Commission's order in Case No. 99-070, to approve the Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) as filed in Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 5; and Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 6 setting out the General Transportation Tariff Rate T-2 for each respective sales rate for meter readings made on and after August 1, 2006.

DATED at Dallas Texas, this 26th Day of June, 2006.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

By:

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Atmos Energy Corporation

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

					Current Rate Case No. 20							=	
Firm Se	rvice												
Base Ch													
	dential				- \$7.50	per m	neter per n	nonth					
	-Residential						neter per r						
	iage (T-4)							int per month					
Transpo	rtation Adm	inistratio	n Fee		- 50.00	per c	ustomer p	er meter					
Rate pe	r Mcf <sup>2</sup>		Sales			Tra	msport (	<u>(-2)</u>	Carri	iage (T-4)			
First	300 '	Mcf	@		per Mcf	@		per Mcf per Mcf	@		per Mcf per Mcf	(R,	N, N,
Next Over	14,700 1 15,000	Mcf Mcf	@		per Mcf per Mcf	@		per Mcf	<u>@</u>		per Mcf	(R.	
High L	oad Factor l	irm Ser	<u>vice</u>										
	mand charge		@	4.5576		@	4.5576	per Mcf of daily Contract Deman				(14)	
Rate pe First	<u>r Mcf</u> 300 '	Mcf	@	9.0347	per Mcf	@	1.3739	per Mcf				(R,	N)
Next	14,700	Mcf	@		per Mcf	@		per Mcf				(R.	N)
Over	15,000	Mcf	@		per Mcf	<u>@</u>		per Mcf				(R,	N)
<u>Interru</u>	ptible Servi	<u>ce</u>											
Base Cf								int per month					
Transpo	rtation Adm	inistratio	n Fee		- 50.00	per c	ustomer p	er meter					
	er Mef <sup>2</sup>		Sales	( <u>G-2)</u>		Tra	ensport ()	<u>[-2)</u>	Carr	iage (T-3)	!		
Rate pe		36-5	@	8.3747	per Mcf	@	0.7139	per Mcf	@	0.5300	per Mcf	(R,	N,
Rate pe	15,000 1	MICI			per Mcf	æ.		per Mcf	a.	0.2501	per Mcf	(R,	

ISSUED:

June 26, 2006

Effective:

August 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affeirs/Kentucky Division

For Entire Service Area
P.S.G. No. 1
Elghteenth SHEET No. 5
Cancelling
Seventeenth SHEET No. 5

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

ce (G-1) and Interru	ptible Sales Servi	ce (G-2).	
77777			
BRRF	788 57		
<u>G-1</u>	<u>G-1</u>	G-2	
8.8547	7.9814	7.9814	(R, R, R)
(0.1749)	(0.1749)	(0.1749)	(R, R, R)
(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(N, N, N
0.0399	0.0399	0.0399	(N. N. N)
\$8,7180	\$7.8447	\$7.8447	(R. R. R
	Case No. 2006-00  ce (G-1) and Interru  PBRRF  G-1  8.8547  (0.1749)  (0.0017)	PBRRF  G-1  8.8547  7.9814  (0.1749)  (0.0017)  (0.0017)  0.0399  0.0399	Case No. 2006-00000  ce (G-1) and Interruptible Sales Service (G-2).  PBRRF  HLF G-1 G-1 G-2  8.8547 7.9814 7.9814  (0.1749) (0.1749) (0.1749) (0.0017) (0.0017) (0.0017)

ISSUED:

June 26, 2006

Effective:

August 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

					ansportation asse No. 2006-00						
se No.	2004-00398		10	<b>D</b> (	n	4\ C	dono				
The C	General Transport Stive service net n	ation Rate 1-2 nonthly rate is	and Carriag	ge Service (	Kates 1-3 and 1	-4) IC	r each			MPS dring a d.	
roope											
Syste	m Lost and Una	ccounted gas	percentage	:					1.38%		
					Simple Margin		Non- Commodity		Gross Margin	<b>.</b>	
Tran	sportation Servi	ce (T-2)									
a)	Firm Service										
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$1.0572	==	\$2.2472	per Mcf	(6
	Next	14,700 2	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	1.0572	700		per Mcf	(1)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.4300	+	1.0572	ne:	1.4872	per Mcf	(4
b)	High Load Fac	tor Firm Servic	e (HLF)								
•	Demand			@	\$0.0000	+	4.5576	==		per Mcf of	U
		_							daily contract		
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.1839	==		per Mcf	(*
	Next	14,700 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.1839	=		per Mcf	0
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.1839	===	0.6139	per Mcf	(tr
c)	Interruptible Se										
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.1839	==		per Mcf	(1)
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.1839	==	0.5430	per Mcf	4)
Carr	iage Service 3										
*************	Firm Service (	[ <del>-4</del> )									
	First	300	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.0000	=	\$1.1900	per Mcf	4)
	Next	14,700	<sup>2</sup> Mof	@	0.6590	+	0.0000	==	0.6590	per Mcf	4)
			<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.0000	=	0.4300	per Mcf	(1)
	All over	15,000									1
		,									
	All over  Interruptible Se	,	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.0000	***	\$0.5300	per Mcf	(1

ISSUED:

June 26, 2006

Effective:

August 1, 2006

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Sales Service

Line		Case	No.	
No.	Description	2006-00135	2006-00000	Difference
		S/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-1			
2				
3	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
4	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0,000,0
5	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
7				
8	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
9	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):	7.9545	7.7975	(0.1570)
10 11	Commodity Demand	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
12	Demand Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total EGC	9.0117	8.8547	(0.1570)
15	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
16	CF (Correction Factor)	0.2988	(0.1749)	(0.4737)
17	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000
18	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
19	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	9.3487	8.7180	(0.6307)
20	Total Billing Cost of Gas	9.3487	8.7180	(0.6307)
21	-			
22	Commodity Charge (GCA included):			40 40 0TD
23	First 300 Mcf	10.5387	9.9080	(0.6307)
24	Next 14,700 Mcf	10.0077	9.3770 9.1480	(0.6307) (0.6307)
25	Over 15,000 Mcf	9.7787	9.1400	(0.0307)
26	THE OFFILE and Francis			
27	HLF (High Load Factor)			
28	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
29	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
30 31	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
32	Over 15,000 Mcf	0,4300	0.4300	0.0000
32 33	GVER 15,000 MCK	31,233	*****	
34	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
35	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):			
35 36	Commodity	7.9545	7.7975	(0.1570)
37	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
38	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
39	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
40	Total EGC	8.1384	7.9814	(0.1570)
41	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
42	CF (Correction Factor)	0.2988	(0.1749)	(0.4737)
43	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000
44	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
45	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	8.4754	7.8447	(0.6307)
		8.4754	7.8447	(0.6307)
46	Total Cost of Gas to Bill (excludes MDQ Demand)	5,77,7	,	(0.3007)
47	Commodity Charge (GCA included)			
48	Commodity Charge (GCA included):	9.6654	9.0347	(0.6307)
49	First 300 Mcf	9.1344	8.5037	(0.6307)
50	Next 14,700 Mcf	9.1344 8.9054	8.2747	(0.6307)
51	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.7034	U-4141	(0.0501)
52	FYE TO Thomas and			
53 54	HLF Demand Contract Demand Factor	4.5576	4.5576	0.0000
34	Contact Deniara Pascot	140,0		

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Sales Service

Line				Case	No.	
No.	Description			2006-00135	2006-00000	Difference
				\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-2					
2						
3		ge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):				n nnnn
4		i,000 Mcf		0.5300	0.5300	0.0000 0.0000
5	Over 15	,000 Mcf		0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
6						
7	Gas Cost Adjustn					
8	Expected Gas Co	ost (EGC):		7.0545	7.7975	(0.1570)
9	Commodity			7.9545 0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
10	Demand			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay	_		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Cost	S		8.1384	7.9814	(0.1570)
13	Total EGC	-£C (BCOC)		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Less: Base Cost	•		0.2988	(0.1749)	(0.4737)
15	Correction Factor	• •		(0.0017)	(0.0017)	0.0000
16	Refund Adjustm			0.0399	0.0399	0.0000
17		sed Rate Recovery Factor (PBRRF)	~	8,4754	7.8447	(0.6307)
18	Gas Cost Adjust				•	(0.6307)
19	Total Cost of Ga	s to Bill		8.4754	7.8447	(0.0307)
20						
21		ge (GCA included):		0.0054	0 2747	(0.6307)
22		5,000 Mcf		9.0054	8.3747	(0.6307)
23	Over 15	5,000 Mcf		8.8345	8.2038	(0.0307)
24						
25		_				
26	Monthly Refund	ractor	77.60 A			
27			Effective	<i>c</i> 1	CLUTTE	G-2
28		Case No.	Date	<u>G - 1</u>	G-1/HLF	<u> </u>
29	1 -	1999-070 L	07/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30	2 ~	1999-070 M	08/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	3 -	1999-070 N	10/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	4-	1999-070 O	11/01/01	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0019)
33	5-	1999-070 P	05/03/02	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
34	6-	2002-00251	08/01/02	(0.0095)	(0.0095)	(0.0019)
35	7	2002-00359	11/01/02	(0.1574)	(0.1574)	(0.0391)
36	8 -	2003-00377	11/01/03	(0.0006)	(0.0006)	(0.0006)
37	9.	2004-00269	08/01/04	(0.0048)	(0.0048)	(0.0048)
38	10 -	2005-00399	11/01/05	(0,0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)
39	11 -			, ,	,	
40	12 -					
41						
42	Total Supplier Re	fund Adjustment (RF)		(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0,0017)
43	roun outhing we				• •	, ,
73						

#### Exhibit A Page 3 of 5

### **Atmos Energy Corporation**

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Line		Case	No.	
No.	Description	2006-00135	2006-00000	Difference
1103	DOG DECK	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	<u>T-2\G-1</u>		ı	
2	A 4 1 1 2 4			
3				
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0,000,0
7	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
8				
9	Non-Commodity Components:	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
10	Demand	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjustment)	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
14 15	Total	1100 / 2		
16	Gross Margin:			
17	First 300 Mcf	2.2472	2.2472	0.0000
18	Next 14,700 Mcf	1.7162	1.7162	0.0000
19	Over 15,000 Mcf	1.4872	1.4872	0.0000
20				
21	T-2\G-1\HLF			
22				
23	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			0.0000
24	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
25	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0000.0 0000.0
26	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
27	N. G We G			
28	Non-Commodity Components:	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
29	Demand Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30 31	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
33	Total	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
34	Total			
35	Gross Margin (Excluding HLF Demand):			
36	First 300 Mcf	1.3739	1.3739	0.0000
37	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.8429	0.8429	0.0000
38	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.6139	0.6139	0.0000
39				
40	HLF Demand	4.5576	4.5576	0.0000
41	Contract Demand Factor	4.3370	4.3510	0.0000
42				

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 4 of 5

Line		Cas	e No.	
No.	Description	2006-00135	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	Carriage Service			
2				
3	Firm Service (T-4)			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 300 Mof	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
7	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
8				
9	Non-Commodity Components:			
11	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0,000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15				
16	Gross Margin:			
17	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
18	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
19	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
20				

#### Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Transportation and Carriage Service

Line		Cas	e No.	
No.	Description	2006-00135	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	General Transporation (T-2)			
2				
3	Interruptible Service (G-2)			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
7				
8	Non-Commodity Components:			
9	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
10	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0000.0
13	Total .	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
14				
15	Gross Margin:	2 74.60	0.000	0.0000
16	First 15,000 Mcf	0.7139	0.7139	0.000.0 0.000.0
17	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.5430	0.5430	0.0000
18	G to Good G			
19	Carriage Service			
20	Carriage Service (T-3)			
21				
22	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):	0.5000	0.5200	0.0000
23	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300 0.3591	0.0000
24	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3391	0.000.0
25	Man Camanadita Camananan			
26	Non-Commodity Components:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
28	Take-Or-Pay RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30	Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	i Olai	0,0000	0.0000	0.0000
32 33	Gross Margin:			
33 34	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0,5300	0.0000
3 <del>4</del> 35	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
36	2101 103000 11101	0.5371	0.0072	0.0000

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 1 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 SL to Zone 2							
2 NNS Contract #	N0210		12,617,673				
3 Base Rate		20		0.3088	3,896,336	3,896,336	_
4 GSR		20		0.0000	0		0
5 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
, <b>6</b>		_					
7 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
8							
9 SL to Zone 3							
10 NNS Contract #	N0340		27,480,375				
11 Base Rate		20		0.3543	9,736,297	9,736,297	_
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0	_	0
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	0	0	
18							
<pre>19 FT Contract #</pre>	33 <i>55</i>		3,130,605				
20 Base Rate		24		0.2494	780,773	780,773	_
21 GSR		24		0.0000	0		0
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unree TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
27							
28				*****			
29 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 2 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual	*****			Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 Zone 1 to Zone 3							
2 FT Contract #	3355		2,344,395			~	
3 Base Rate		24		0.2194	514,360	514,360	0
4 GSR.		24		0.0000	0	•	U
5 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0 0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		24		0.0000	0	_	
7 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		24		0.0000	0	U	
б		_			514 260	514260	0
7 Total Zone I to Zone 3			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	U
8							
9 SL to Zone 4							
10 NNS Contract #	N0410		3,320,769		1 001 600	1 201 402	
11 Base Rate		20		0.4190	1,391,402	1,391,402	0
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0	0	D
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	ð	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	U	U	
18							
19 FT Contract #	3819		1,277,500	0.3142	401,391	401,391	
20 Base Rate		24		0.0000	401,391	401,551	0
21 GSR		24		0.0000	0	0	•
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24 24		0.0000	ő	0	
24 ISS Credit				0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24 24		0,0000	Ō	0	
26 GRI		24		0,0000	•	•	
27		-	4,598,269		1,792,793	1,792,793	0
28 Total SL to Zone 4			4,336,203		241724112	-,,,,,,,	
29			12,617,673		3,896,336	3,896,336	0
30 Total SL to Zone 2 31 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
32 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
			Dio Mipso				
33 34 Total Texas Gas			50,171,317		16,720,559	16,720,559	0
35			50,271,521		,		
36							
37 Vendor Reservation Fees	(Fived)				0	0	
38	(L Dioc)						
39 TOP & Direct Billed Tra	neition costs				0		
40	and the same						
41 Total Texas Gas Area No	n-Commodit	v			16,720,559	16,720,559	0
42		,					
42 43							
43							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 3 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 O to Zone 2	2546.1		12,844	9.0600			
2 FT-G Contract#	2340.1	23B	,	9.0600	116,367	116,367	
3 Base Rate		23B		0.0000	0		0
4 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment		235		0.0000			
6 7 FT-G Contract #	2548.1	222	4,363	9,0600 9,0600	39,529	39,529	
8 Base Rate		23B		0,0000	0	57,2	0
9 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.000	0		
11				0.0000			
12 FT-G Contract #	2550.1		5,739	9,0600	51,995	51,995	
13 Base Rate		23B		9.0600		31,773	0
14 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		ő
15 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	0		U
16							
17 FT-G Contract#	2551.1		4,447	9.0600	10.000	40,290	
18 Base Rate		23B		9.0600	40,290	40,290	0
19 Settlement Surcharge		23B		0.0000	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment		23B		0.0000	0		U
21							
22				_			
23 Total Zone 0 to 2			27,393		248,181	248,181	0
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
JJ							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

51

Exhibit B Page 4 of 11

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line	Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs \$
		MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	ъ
1 1 to Zone 2						
2 FT-G Contract # 254		114,156	7.6200	040.060	060 060	
3 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	869,869	869,869	0
4 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		U
6						
7 FT-G Contract # 2544	g	44,997	7.6200		2 10 077	
8 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	342,877	342,877	0
9 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		U
11						
12 FT-G Contract # 2556	0	59,741	7.6200			
13 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	455,226	455,226	
14 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
16						
17 FT-G Contract # 255	1	45,058	7.6200			
18 Base Rate	23B		7.6200	343,342	343,342	
19 Settlement Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
20 PCB Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21			_			
22 Total Zone 1 to 2	•	263,952		2,011,314	2,011,314	0
23						
24 Total Zone 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
25			_			
26 Total Zone I to 2 and Zone 0 t	o 2	291,345		2,259,495	2,259,495	0
27						
28 Gas Storage						
29 Production Area:						
30 Demand	27	34.968	2.0200	70,635	70,635	
31 Space Charge	27	4,916,148	0.0248	121,920	121,920	
32 Market Area:						
33 Demand	27	237,408	1.1500	273,019	273,019	
34 Space Charge	27	10,846,308	0.0185	200,657	200,657	
35 Total Storage			-	666,231	666,231	
36						
37 Vendor Reservation Fees (Fix	ed)			0	0	
38	00,					
39 TOP & Direct Billed Transition	on costs			0	0	0
40						~~
41 Total Tennessee Gas Area FT	-G Non-Commodity		_	2,925,726	2,925,726	0
	O tron		=			
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50 51						

43

Atmos Energy Corporation

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Texas Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 5 of 11

(3) (4) (2) (1)

Line		Tariff Sheet No.		Purch	2920	Rate		Total
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu		\$
				414 54		44		
1	No Notice Service				3,294,497			
2	Indexed Gas Cost (Texas Gas Payback)					7.2180		23,779,679
3	Commodity	20				0.0508		167,360
4	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	2.15%			0.1586		522,507
5	1 del alla Lois Periodiani (s)					7.4274		24,469,546
6								
7	Firm Transportation				91,000			ccc 000
8	Indexed Gas Cost					7.2180		656,838
9	Base (Weighted on MDQs)	25				0.0439		3,99 <b>5</b>
10	TCA Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
11	Unrecovered TCA Surcharge	25				0.0000		0
12	Cash-out Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
13	GRI	25				0.0000		0
14	ACA	25				0.0018		164
15	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	1.94%			0.1428		12,995
16	File and Loss Colombia (6)	•			_	7.4065		673,992
17	No Notice Storage							
	Net (Injections)/Withdrawals				(1,008,417)			
18					• • • • •	7.2180		(7,278,754)
19	Indexed Gas Cost	20				0,0508		(51,228)
20	Commodity (Zone 3)	36	2.15%			0.1586		(159,935)
21	Fuel and Loss Retention @	30	2.1370		-	7.4274		(7,489,917)
22								
23								
24					2,377,080	7,4266		17,653,621
25	Total Purchases in Texas Area				2,311,1100	751200		
26								
27								
28	Used to allocate transportation non-	-commodity						
29								
30				Annualized		Commodity		
31				MDQs in		Charge		Weighted
32	Texas Gas			MMbtu	Allocation	\$/MMbtu		Average
33	SL to Zone 2			12,617,673	25.15%	\$0.0399	\$	0.0100
	SL to Zone 3			30,610,980	61.01%	0.0445		0.0271
34				2,344,395	4.67%	0.0422		0.0020
35	1 to Zone 3			4,598,269	9.17%	0.0528		0.0048
36	SL to Zone 4		-	50,171,317	100.00%		\$	0.0439
37	Total			JU 2 1 29J 1	100.00 /4		-	
38	_							
39	Tennessee Gas			27,393	9,40%	0880.0	\$	0.0083
40	0 to Zone 2				90.60%	0.0776	w	0.0703
41	1 to Zone 2			263,952	100.00%	0.0770	\$	0.0786
42	Total			291,345	100.00%		Φ	0.0760
40								

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity
Purchases in Tennessee Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 6 of 11

(2) (3) (4) (1)

Line		Tariff				Rate	Total
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Mcf	rchases MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	S
				MICI	Ministra	W/171110000	-
	TYP A and PYP C				406,495		
	FT-A and FT-G Indexed Gas Cost					7.2180	2,934,081
2						0.0786	31,951
3	Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs)	23C				0.0000	0
4		23C				0.0018	732
5	ACA	23C				0.0000	0
6	Transition Cost	29 29	3,69%			0.2765	112,396
7	Fuel and Loss Retention	29	3.0376		-	7,5749	3,079,160
8						7.00	.,,.
9							
10					71,649		
11	FT-GS				71,043	7.2180	517,162
12	Indexed Gas Cost					0.5844	41,872
13	Base Rate	20				0.0000	0
14	GRI	20				0.0018	129
15	ACA	20				0.0018	0
16	PCB Adjustment	20				0.0000	0
17	Settlement Surcharge	20					19,811
18	Fuel and Loss Retention	29	3.69%		-	0.2765	
19						8.0807	578,974
20							
21							
	Gas Storage						
23	The state of the s				(188,675)		
24						7.2180	(1,361,856)
25		27				0.0102	(1,924)
26		27	1.49%			0.1092	(20,603)
27						7.3374	(1,384,383)
28							
29							
30	and a second of				(35,939)		
31						7.2180	(259,408)
		27				0.0102	(367)
32		27	1.49%			0.1092	(3,925)
33		21	1.7770		•	7.3374	(263,700)
34							•
35							
36					253,530	7,9283	2,010,051
	7 Total Tennessee Gas Zones				200,000		
38							
39	)						

**Atmos Energy Corporation** Page 7 of 11 **Expected Gas Cost** Trunkline Gas (4) (3) (1) (2)Commodity Tariff Line Total Purchases Rate Sheet No. Description No. \$/MMbtu \$ MMbtu Mcf 1 Firm Transportation 92,000 2 Expected Volumes 664,056 7.2180 3 Indexed Gas Cost 1,960 0.0213 4 Base Commodity 0 10 5 GRI 0.0019 175 10 6 ACA 0.0810 7,452 1.11% 10 7 Fuel and Loss Retention 673,643 7.3222 8 9 10 Non-Commodity (5) (6)(4)(3) (2) (1) Non-Commodity Transition Annual Tariff Line Costs Total Demand Rate Units Sheet No. No. Description \$ \$ \$ \$/MMbtu MMbtu 87,475 014573 11 FT-G Contract# 629,820 629,820 7.2000 12 Discount Rate on MDQs 13 92,125 14 0 10 **GRI Surcharge** 15 16 Reservation Fee 17

18

19 20 21 Total Trunkline Area Non-Commodity

Exhibit B

629,820

629,820

Page 8 of 11

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Total Demand Cost	A1 C 500 550					
2	Texas Gas	\$16,720,559					
3	Midwestern	0					
4	Tennessee Gas	2,925,726					
5	Trunkline	629,820					
6	Total	\$20,276,105					
7							
8			Allocated	Related		Monthly Demand Charge	
9	Demand Cost Allocation:	Factors	Demand	Volumes	Firm	Interruptible	HLF
10	All	0.1850	\$3,751,079	20,401,274	0.1839	0.1839	0.1839
11	Firm	0.8150	16,525,026	18,923,274	0,8733	NA NA	NA
12	Total	1.0000	\$20,276,105		1.0572	0.1839	0.1839
13							
14			Volumetric	Basis for			
15		Annualized	Monthly Der	nand Charge			
16		Mcf@14.65	All	Firm			
17	Firm Service	1,202 (5)2 1102					
18	Sales:						
19	G-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274	1.0572		
	HLF	60,000	60,000	10,007,271		+ HLF MDQ Demand	
20		0,000	0,000	0	1.0572	· ZIZI NEDQ DUMBIU	
21	LVS-1		18,947,274	18,887,274	1,0572		
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	10,941,214	10,007,274			
23	_						
24	Transportation:		# C 000	26.000	1 0000		
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000	1.0572		
26	HLF	0	0		0.1839		
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,923,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000		1.0572	0.1839	
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000		1.0572	0.1839	
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000				,
34		•					
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2\G-2	580,000	580,000		1.0572	0.1839	
37	1-2 (0-2	200,	,,,,,				
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000				
39	Total Interruption our vice	1,-120,000	1,110,000				
	Comingo Coming						
40	Carriage Service	23,438.000					
41	T-3 & T-4	23,436.000					
42	•	40.000.004	20,401,274	18,923,274			
43	Total	43,839,274	20,401,274	10,723,214			
44							
45	HLF MDO Demand		016 70 7 006				
46	Firm Demand Cost		\$16,525,026	16 FM 1 F			
47	Peak Day Thru-put			Mcf/Peak Day			
48	Times:			_Months/Year			
49	Total Annualized Peak Day Demand		3,625,824				
50	Demand Charge per MDQ		\$4.5576	/ MDQ of Custome	r's Contract		
51							
52							
53	Note: LVS Credit =	(\$28,321)					
23	TOTAL DID OLOMO	(4					

Page 9 of 11

Line							
No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Other Fixed Charges	Take-or-Pay	Transition				
2	Texas Gas		\$0				
3	Tennessee Gas		0				
4	Total	\$0	\$0				
5							
6							
7			Related	Charge			
8	Other Fixed Charges	Amount	Volumes	\$/Mcf			
9	Take-or-Pay	0	43,839,274	0.0000			
10	Transition	0	20,401,274	0.0000			
11	Total	\$0		0.0000			
12							
13							
14			Volumetric				
15		Annual	Other Fixed				ed Charges
16		Expected Mcf	Take-or-Pay	Transition		Take-or-Pay	Transition
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:						
19	G-1	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274			0.0000
20	HLF	60,000	60,000	60,000			0.0000
21	LVS-1	0	0	0			0.0000
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,947,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000			0.0000
26	T-2 \ G-1 \ HLF	0					0.0000
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,983,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000	684,000			0.0000
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000	154,000			0.0000
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000	838,000			
34							
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2\G-2	580,000	580,000	580,000			0.0000
37							
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000	1,418,000			
39							
40	Carriage Service	** ***	22 422 222	***			
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000	23,438,000	NA			
42		18.000.0-1	10.000.077	20.401.054			
43	Total	43,839,274	43,839,274	20,401,274			
44							
45	37-1 1370 G37	**					
46	Note: LVS Credit =	\$0					
47	*						

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Total System

Exhibit B Page 10 of 11

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

ne	<b>D</b>		Dut	Takal
o. Description	Purchases Mcf	MMbtu	Rate \$/MMbtu	Total \$
1 Thomas Con Association				
1 <u>Texas Gas Area</u> 2 No Notice Service	3,214,143	3,294,497	7,4274	24,469,546
3 Firm Transportation	88,780	91,000	7.4065	673,992
4 No Notice Storage	(983,821)	(1,008,417)	7.4274	(7,489,917
5 Total Texas Gas Area	2,319,102	2,377,080	7.4266	17,653,621
6	2,01,02	2,577,000	,,,,,,,,,	1,,000,00
7 Tennessee Gas Area				
8 FT-A and FT-G	390,861	406,495	7.5749	3,079,160
9 FT-GS	68,893	71,649	8.0807	578,97
10 Gas Storage	06,623	71,049	0.0007	5,0,5,
	(181,418)	(188,675)	7.3374	(1,384,383
11 FT-A and FT-G Injections	(34,557)	(35,939)	7.3374	(263,70)
12 FT-GS Withdrawals	243,779	253,530	7.9283	2,010,05
13	243,119	233,330	1.9203	2,010,03
14 Trunkline Gas Area	00 000	92,000	7.3222	673,64
15 Firm Transportation	88,889	92,000	1.3242	073,04.
16				
17				
18 WKG System Storage	(770, 500)	(mmp coo)	G 40G4	(E 703 DA
19 Injections	(759,590)	(778,580)	7.4274	(5,782,82
20 Withdrawals	0	0	8.0100	(5.700.00
21 Net WKG Storage	(759,590)	(778,580)	7.4274	(5,782,82
22				
23			m 105m	454 50
24 Local Production	59,512	61,000	7.4065	451,79
25				
26				
28 Total Commodity Purchases	1,951,692	2,005,030	7.4843	15,006,287
29				
30 Lost & Unaccounted for @ 1.38%	26,933	27,669		
31				
32 Total Deliveries	1,924,759	1,977,361	7.5890	15,006,28
33				
34 LVS Commodity Credit to System	ļ.			
35 LVS Sales	(50,000)	(51,366)	7.5526	(387,94
36				
37				
38 Total Expected Commodity Cost	1,874,759	1,925,995	7.5900	14,618,34
39				
40 Expected Commodity Cost (\$/Mcf)			7.7975	
41		=		
42				

Load Factor Calculation for Demand Allocation

Exhibit B
Page 11 of 11

Line		MCF
No.	Description	HCF
	Annualized Volumes Subject to Demand Charges	12 33 274
1	Sales Volume	19,631,274
2	Large Volume Sales (Annualized)	154,000
3	Transportation	616,000
4	Total Mcf Billed Demand Charges	20,401,274
5	Divided by: Days/Year	365
7	Average Daily Sales and Transport Volumes	55,894
8		
10	Peak Day Sales and Transportation Volume	
11	Estimated total company firm requirements for 5 degree average	200 570 37 770 5 Day
12	temperature day from Peak Day Book - with adjustments per rate filing	302,152 Mof/Peak Day
13		
14		0.4070
15	New Load Factor (line 7 / line 12)	0.1850

0.

Superseding: Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 20seventh Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective

Currently Rffective Maximum Transportation Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule NNS

Currently Effective 0.3566 0.3566 0.0508 0.4190 0.3543 0.1800 0.0271 0.2071 0.0449 0.2782 Races <u>e</u> 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 FERC ACA (2) Base Tariff 0.3543 0.0614 0.4190 0.0460 0.2782 0.0431 0.3213 0.3088 0.1800 0.2053 Rates 3 Zone 3 Daily Demand Commodity Zone 1 Daily Demand Commodity Daily Demand Commodity Zone SL Daily Demand Commodity Daily Demand Commodity Overrun Overrun Overrun Overrun Overrun Zone 4 Zone 2

0.0163 0.0186 0.0223 0.0262 0.0308 Minimum Rate: Demand \$-0-; Commodity - Zone SL Zone 1 Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3 Zone 3 Zone 3 Zone 4 Zone 4

The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions. Note:

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Rastern Transmission, IP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental transportation charge of:

\$0.0621 \$0.0155 \$0.0776 paily Demand Commodity Overrun

this receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Texas (20)

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 24 : Effective Superseding: Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 24

Currently Effective Maximum Daily Demand Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently. Effective Rates [1]

0.0794	0.1552	0.2120	2000	2000	2 3 2 5 5	0.1252	0.1820	0.2194	0.2842	0.1332	0 1705	0 000	#cc2.U	0.1181	0.181.0	1 1	0.13/4	
÷	מבייות ה	SL-1	SI-2	811-3	S1-4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 (	7.1 7.1		1-4	2-2	2-3	V-6	# (	τ.) 1.	3.4		<b>5</b> -5

Minimum Rates: Demand \$-0-

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

[1] Currently Bffective Rates are equal to the Base Tariff Rates.

Note: The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions.

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Bastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Daily Demand charge of \$0.0621. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 25 : Effective

# Superseding: Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 25

Currently Effective Maximum Commodity Rates (\$ per MWBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Rates         ACA         Rates           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (3)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (1)         (2)         (3)           (2)         (3)         (3)           (3)         (3)         (3)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)           (4)         (4)         (4)	Base Tariff	FISRC	Currently Effective
4	Rates	ACA	Rates
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	(1)	(2)	(3)
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0104	0.0018	0.0122
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0355	0.0018	0.0373
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0399	0,0018	0,0417
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0445	0,0018	0.0463
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0528	0.0018	0.0546
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0337	0.0018	0.0355
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0385	0.0018	0.0403
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0422	0.0018	0.0440
0,0018 0,0018 0,0018 0,0018 0,0018	0.0508	0.0018	0.0526
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0323	0,0018	0.0341
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0360	0.0018	0.0378
0.0018 0.0018 0.0018	0.0446	0.0018	0.0464
0.0018	0.0312	0.0018	0.0330
0.0018	0,0398	0.0018	0,0416
	0.0360	0.0018	0.0378

Minimum Rates: Commodity minimum base rates are presented on Sheet 31.

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Commodity charge of \$0.0155. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS. Note:

Third Revised Sheet No. 36 : Bffective Superseding: Second Revised Sheet No. 36 Schedule of Currently Effective Fuel Retention Percentages Pursuant to Section 16 of the General Terms and Conditions

NNS/SGI/SNS RATE SCHEDULES

1 1 1 4 3 1	EFRP{3}	0.00% 1.92% 2.01% 2.15%	1 6 1 1	BERE	96%	1.06%	1.948	2.56%	0.448	0.87%	1.49%	0.44%	0.83%	0.42%		BERP	1,00%
NNS/SGT/SNS SUMMER	FAP [2]			FAP	0.73%	(0.44%)	(%61.0)	(0.40%)	0.43%	0.84%	0.63%	0.43%	800.0	0.00%	Injection	FAP	
MNS/SGT/S	PERP { 1 }	2.21.0 2.21.3 2.31.38 2.63.88 2.63.88	SUMMER	PFRP	0.23%	1.50%	2.10%	2.96%	0.01%	0.03%	0.86%	0.01%	0.83%	0.42%	T I		
	Delivery Zone	12 12 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	TE SCHEDULES	Rec/Del Zone	SI"/SI	SL OF 1/1	SL or 1/2	SL or 1/4	2/2	2/3	2/4	3/3	3/4	4/4	SCHEDULES	PFRP	0.72%
	BERP{3}	1.00% 2.136% 2.13% 3.02%	FT/STF/STFX/IT/ITX RATE	THE SERVE	0.95%	1.28%	1.92%	$\frac{2.84x}{2.90x}$	47%	0.92%	\$86°0	0 %9 %9 %9	0.65%	0.33%	FSS/ISS RATE SCHEDULES	: : : : : : :	· 4
WINTER	FAP {2}	0.41% (0.18%) (0.36%) (0.34%) (1.29%)		FAP	0.67%	(0.46%)		0.51% (0.08%)	ر بر 9/	2 7 7 8	0.12%	6) 11 7	0.00%	0.00%	Withdrawal	EFRP	1.24%
NNS/SGT WINTER	PERP { 1 }	0.59% 2.59% 2.79% 4.31%	WINTER	i i				2.33% 2.98%	6	2 t t c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	0.86%	5	0.61%	0.33%	With	FAP	0.35%
	Delivery Zone	18 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		1	GT. / QT.	SL or 1/1	SL or 1/2	Sh or $1/3$ Sh or $1/4$		7 (0	2/2	()	ы н г 4/го	4/4		PFRP	0.89%

<sup>{1}</sup> Projected Fuel Retention Percentage{2} Fuel Adjustment Percentage{3} Effective Fuel Retention Percentage

Thirty-Second Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective Superseding: Thirty-First Revised Sheet No. 20

rates per dekatherm		п	# #	FIRM TRANSPORTATION - GS RATE	ORTATION	- GS RA	RATES (FT-GS)	GS)	
Base Rates				DELT	DELIVERY ZONE				1
1	RECELPT	l i	1	! ! ! ! ! ! <del>!</del> !	: : : : : 0;			S	و
	0 =	\$0.2138	\$0.1771	\$0.4203	\$0.5844	\$0.6748	\$0.7814	\$0.8952	69
	1 11 12		ł	\$0.3268		\$0.5849 \$0.2897		\$0.8052 \$0.5106	
	w 4	\$0.6748		\$0.5849 \$0.7096		\$0.1489 \$0.3995	\$0.1886	\$0.2311	\$0.4061
	ଦ୍ୟ	\$0.8952 \$1.0698		\$0.8052	\$0.5106 \$0.6852	\$0.6698	\$0.4961	\$0.13466	\$0.2374
æs	**************************************			TING	DELIVERY ZONE	超	1	1	} 1 2 2 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	KECELFT	0	; ; ; ;	-	7		ᅰ	വ	ψ
PCB Adjustment: 1/	0 ;	\$0.0000		\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	0.00
	<b>1</b> H	\$0.0000	0000.04	\$0.0000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000
	<b>C4</b>	\$0.000		\$0.0000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000
	<b>м</b> .	\$0.0000		\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.000 \$0.0000	\$0.000 \$0.0000
	41 ru	\$0.0000		\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000
	0	\$0.000		\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000
Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA)	.a) :			\$0.0018					
Maximum Rates 2/, 3/				DEL	DELLVERY ZONE	囯			
	RECEIPT ZONE	0	H	1	1	1	; ; ; ; <del>\d</del> ;	ι ω ι ω	0 1
	0	\$0.2156		\$0,4221	\$0.5862	.67	\$0.78	\$0.	\$1.071
	그 다 (	\$0,4336	\$0.1789	\$0.3286	\$0.4969	\$0.5867	\$0.6933	\$0.8070	\$0.9822
	N W	\$0.6766		\$0.5867		\$0.1507	\$0.4013		\$0
	4 W W	\$0.8013 \$0.8970 \$1.0716		\$0.7114 \$0.8070 \$0.9822	\$0.4162 \$0.5124 \$0.6870	\$0.4013 \$0.4969 \$0.6716	\$0.1904 \$0.2329 \$0.4079	\$0.2329 \$0.2007 \$0.3484	\$0.4079 \$0.3484 \$0.2392
Minimum Rates				DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	NE			

Harris			1 1 1 1 1 1 1					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
KECKLFT	0	H	T T	73	ю	란	ល	v
		1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1
c	\$0.0026		\$0.0096	\$0.0161	\$0,0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326	\$0.0233	\$0.0268	\$0.0326
, <del>-</del>		\$0.0034						
H				\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0202	\$0.0236	\$0.0067 \$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$0.0202 \$0.0236 \$0.0294
73	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
ო	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054	\$0.0004	\$00.08	\$0.0126	\$0.0184
4	\$0.0237		\$0.020\$	\$0.0100	\$ 600.0\$	\$0.0015	\$0.0032	30.030
Ω.	\$0.0268		\$0.0236	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$0.0032	\$0.0022	\$0.0069
9	\$0.0326		\$0.0294	\$0.0189	\$0.0184	\$0.0184 \$0.0090	\$0.00\$	\$0.003

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required 2000, was revised and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

2/ Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

3/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 23A : Effective

Superseding: Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 23A

RATES PER DEKATHERM

COMMODITY RATES

Base Commodity Rates

\$0.0439 \$0.0669 \$0.0880 \$0.0378 \$0.1231

	DELIVERY ZONE	RECEIPT
Minimum	Commodity Rates 2/	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

D 1	\$0.0326		\$0.0294	\$0.0189	\$0.0184	\$0.0090	\$0.0236 \$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.0032 \$0.0022 \$0.0069	\$0.0031	
ភ (	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0268 \$0.0326		\$0.0236	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$0.0032	\$0.0022	\$0.0069	
41	\$0.0233		\$0.0203	\$0.0100	\$0.005	\$0.0015	\$0.003	\$0.0090	
7)	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054	\$0.000\$	\$0.0095	\$0.0126	\$0.0184	
	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189	
<b>=</b> 1	\$0.0096		\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0205	\$0.0236	\$0.0294	
	, { { } ; ;	\$0.0034							
>	\$0.0026		\$0.00\$	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0237	\$0.0268	\$0.0326	
ZOME	0	L)	H	7	m	Þ	មា	છ	

Maximum									
Commodity Rates 1/, 2/				DELI	DELIVERY ZONE	AE.			
	RECEIPT	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RECETPT	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1
	ZOME	0	д	ч	7	ო	4	ம	9
		i J I I I I	t 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	自主法员员的 医多子 医多耳耳氏管检查检查 医多生性 化化苯基苯基苯基苯基苯苯基苯基苯苯苯苯基苯苯苯基苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯苯					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	0	\$0.0457		\$0.0687	\$0.0898	\$0.0996	\$0.0687 \$0.0898 \$0,0996 \$0.1136 \$0.1249 \$0.1626	\$0.1249	\$0.1626
	Ľ	-	\$0.0304						
	⊣	\$0.0687		\$0.0590	\$0.0794	\$0.0892	\$0.0590 \$0.0794 \$0.0892 \$0.1032 \$0.1144 \$0.1521	\$0.1144	\$0.1521
	C4	\$0.0898		\$0.0794	\$0.0451	\$0.0548	\$0.0794 \$0.0451 \$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177	\$0.0801	\$0.1177

Maximum

\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660
\$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852
\$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160
\$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177
\$0.0892 \$0.1043 \$0.1144 \$0.1521
\$0.0996 \$0.1147 \$0.1249 \$0.1626
ଜୟପାତ

Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Arnual Charge Adjustment

\$0,0018

2/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B : Effective

Superseding: Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B

RATES PER DEKATHERM

		•	in the state and seat on the state and seat of the state and seat	FIRM	FIRM TRANSPORTATION RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G	RTATION ULE FOR	FIRM TRANSPORTATION RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G		
Base Reservation Rates					DELIVERY	ZONE			1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RECEIPT	0		: : : : ;	7	щ	4	່ເລ່	9
	0 +	\$3.10	1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$6.45	\$9.06	\$10.53	\$12.22	\$14.09	•
	<b>1</b> H	\$6,66	77.75	\$4.92	\$7.62	\$9.08	\$10.77	\$12.64	\$15.15
	2	\$9.06		\$7,62	\$2.86	\$4.32	\$6.32	\$7.89	\$10.39
	M)	\$10.53		\$9.08	\$4.32	\$2.05	\$6.08	57.64	410.14
	পা।	\$12.53		\$11.08	\$6,32	\$6.08	52.71	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00	40.00 0.00
	യര	\$14.03 \$16.59		\$12,15	\$10,39	\$10.14	\$5.89	\$4.93	\$3.16
					DEI.TVERY	ZOME			
purcuardes	marana a						1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ZONE	0	н	İ	73		4	ſΩ	w
PCB Adjustment: 1/	0 +	\$0.00	1 0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	\$0.00	
	<b>ച</b> ন	\$0.00	٠٥٠ م	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	73	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	m	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	4	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	ın v	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	30.00
	o			•	) )	) ) •	•		
ծ Հ	Ecentric				DELIVERY	ZONE	1 1 1 1	1 2 1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! ! ! !
	ZONE	. 0	 	; ; ;!	63	ю	4	1 1 10 1 1	9
	0 +	\$3.10		\$6.	O.	\$10.53	\$12.22	\$14.09	
	3 H C	\$6.66	4	\$4.92	\$7.62	\$9.08	V.	\$12.64	\$15.15
	N W	\$10.53		\$9.08	\$4.32	\$2.05	\$6.08	\$7.64	\$10.14

\$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16
\$3.38 \$2.85 \$4.93
\$2.71 \$3.38 \$5.89
\$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14
\$6.32 \$7.89 \$10.39
\$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15
\$12.53 \$14.09 \$16.59
ৰ হা ক

Minimum Base Reservation Rates The minimum FT-G Reservation Rate is \$0.00 per Dth

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000,

was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

2/ Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C

COMMODITY RATES

RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G RATES PER DEKATHERM

Base Commodity Rate				DELIVERY	JERY ZONE	1 1 1 1 1	} ! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	RECEIPT ZONE	0	1	 	C/3	m	4	ا ا ا ا	1 1 1 1 1 1
	0	\$0.0439	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0669	\$0.0880	\$0.0978	\$0.1118	\$0.1231	\$0.1608
	, <b>,</b> ,		\$0.0286	\$0.0872	\$0.0776	50.0874	\$0.1014	\$0.1126	\$0.1503
	-1 C	\$0.0880 \$0.0880					\$0.0681		\$0.1159
	l m	\$0.0978					\$0.0663		\$0.1142
	4	\$0.1129				\$0.0663	40 04 E	40.0404 40.0404	
	ល	\$0.1231		\$0.1126	\$0.0783	\$0.0765	\$0.0834	\$0.0765	
	٥	sorros		2				-	
Minimum Commodity Rates 2/				DELJ	DELLVERY ZONE			1	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	RECEIPT ZONE	1 0	П	1 11	1 02			נא	9
	0	\$0.0026		\$0,0096	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0	\$0.0268	\$0.0326
	<b>-1</b> ⊏		\$0.0034	\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159			
	1 (3	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054			\$0.0189
	ET)	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054	\$0.0004	\$0.0035	40 0030	
	4	\$0.0237		\$0.0205	\$0.010U	40.00%	0100 OK		
	ស	\$0.0268		\$0.0236	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$00.08		
	ø.	\$0.0326		\$0.0294	\$0.0189	\$0.0184	. \$0.090	80.00e	
Maximum Commodity Rates $1/$ , $2/$				DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	NE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RECEIPT	0	1 1		2	EO.	4 1	ភ	9 1
	0	\$0.0457	! ! ! !	\$0.0687	\$0.	\$0.096	5 \$0.1136	6 \$0.1249	9 \$0.1626
	Ħ		\$0.0304			000		777	1000 40 1144 AO 11501

\$0.0590 \$0.0794 \$0.0892 \$0.1032 \$0.1144 \$0.1521 \$0.0794 \$0.0451 \$0.0548 \$0.0699 \$0.0801 \$0.1177

\$0.0687 \$0.0898

2 4 6

\$0.0892 \$0.0548 \$0.0384 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1160 \$0.1043 \$0.0699 \$0.0681 \$0.0419 \$0.0477 \$0.0852 \$0.1144 \$0.0801 \$0.0783 \$0.0477 \$0.0445 \$0.0783 \$0.1521 \$0.1177 \$0.1160 \$0.0852 \$0.0783 \$0.0660

> \$0.0996 \$0.1147 \$0.1249 \$0.1626

64 EV 60

1	
,	
4.	
(C)	
ŭ	
爿	

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for:

\$0.0018

(ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%. 77

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 27 : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 27

	Retention Percent 1/	1.49%	1.493	1,49%	1.49%
	Current Adjustment	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	\$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	\$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
STORAGE SERVICE	ADJUSTWENT'S (ACA) (TCSM) (PCB) 2/	\$0,000 \$0,0000	\$0.000	0000.0\$	0000.0\$
	Tariff Rate	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	\$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	VICE \$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
RATES PER DEKATHERM	Rate Schedule and Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS)  PRODUCTION AREA  Deliverability Rate Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrum Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS) MARKET AREA  DELIVERABILITY RATE Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrun Rate	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - MARKET AREA Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - PRODUCTION AREA

<sup>1/</sup> The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

	3.25%
\$0.7819	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1619
	\$0.00
\$0.0019	\$0.0019
\$0.7800	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1600
Excess Withdrawal Rate	SS-NE Deliverability Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Excess Withdrawal Rate

1/ The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

First Revised Sheet No. 29 : Effective Superseding: Substitute Original Sheet No. 29

FUEL AND LOSS RETENTION PERCENTAGE 1/,2/, 3/

## NOVEMBER - MARCH

			Deliv	Delivery Zone				1
RECEIPT ZONE	0	L	1	1 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		4	5 6	1 1
1 0	0.89%	f 1 1 1 1	2.79%	5,16%	5.88%	5.16% 5.88% 6.79%	7,88%	8.718
, <sub>F</sub> .		1.01%						
1 -	1 74%		1.91%	4.28%	4.99%	5.90%	6.99%	7.82%
-1 c			7.3%	1.43%	2.15%	3,05%	4.15%	4.98%
<b>7</b> (			, 4 , 6 , 7	7.73%	969.0	2.64%	3.69%	4.52%
n •	2 6		4.00.0	2.68%	3.07%	1.09%	1.33%	2.17%
41 K	7.51%		5.0.8	2.76%	3,14%	1.16%	1.28%	2.09%
ט ר	8,93%		6.47%	4.18%	4.56%	2.50%	1.40%	968.0

## APRIL - OCTOBER

			Delive	Delivery Zone				
RECEIPT ZONE	0	Ţ			; ; ; ;	- 4	1 PU	
0	0.84%	; [ ; ; ; ;	0.848	4.438	5.04%	5.80%		
Ļ		0.95%						
₹	7. 7.		1.70%	3,69%	4.29%	5.06%	5.978	6.67%
4 6	9 10		1 x x x x	1 30%	1,90%	2.66%	3.58%	4.28%
<b>.</b> 4 (	ָרְיָּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְיִיּרְי		% ¢	, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,		2,32%	3.19%	3,90%
.d) 4	U 7		4 28%	2 10	2.67%	1.01%	1.21%	1.92%
t rc	0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		4.34%	2,41%	2.74%	1.07%	1.178	1.86%
9 0	7,61%		5.53%	3.61%	3.93%	2.20%	1.27%	0.85%

- i) included in the above Fuel and Loss Retention Percentages is the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
  - $2\backslash$  For service that is rendered entirely by displacement shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
- 3\ The above percentages are applicable to (IT) Interruptible Transportation, (FT-A) Firm Transportation, (FT-GS) Firm Transportation-GS, (FAT) Preferred Access Transportation, (IT-X) Interuptible Transportation-X, (FT-G) Firm Transportation-G, (EDS/ERS) FT- A Extended Transportation Service.

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 10 : Effective

# Superseding: Eighth Revised Sheet No. 10

# CURRENTLY BFFECTIVE RATES

Each rate set forth in this Tariff is the currently effective rate pertaining to the particular rate schedule to which it is referenced, but each such rate is separate and independent and the change in any such rate shall not thereby effect a change in any other rate or rate schedule.

	Base	Adjus	Adjustments	Maximum	Minimum	
	Rate	1 1 1	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Rate	Rate	Fuel
	Per Dt	Sec. 24	Sec., 25	Per Dt	Per Dt	Reimbursement
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)
RATE SCHEDULE FT						
f   1						
Field Zone to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 9.7097	1	\$ 0.2800	\$ 9.9897	ŧ	1
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0141	τ	ı	0.0141	\$ 0.0141	2.25% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.3192	ı	0.0092	0.3284	į	ı
Zone 1A to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 6.0096	1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 6.1996	,	
- Usage Rate (1)		ı	ı	0.0117	\$ 0.0117	1.86% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1976	t	0.0062	0.2038	3	ı
Zone 1B to Zone 2						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.5557	1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 4.7457	3	ī
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0062	i	1	0.0062	\$ 0.0062	0.86% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1498	ı	0.0062	0.1560	1	t
Zone 2 Only						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.4350	1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3.6250		,
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0011	ŧ	1	0.0011	\$ 0.0011	0.60% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1129	1	0.0062	0.1191	i	1
Field Zone to Zone 1B						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 8.4890	1	\$ 0.2800	\$ 8.7690		
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0130	ı	1	0.0130	\$ 0.0130	1.95% (2)
· Overrun Rate (3)	0,2791	ŧ	0.0092	0.2883	Ť	t
Zone 1A to Zone 1B						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 4.7889	ı	\$ 0.1900	\$ 4.9789	ı	ı
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0106	1	ı	0.0106	\$ 0.0106	1.56% (2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1574	,	0.0062	0.1636	ŧ	1
Zone 1B Only						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 3.3350	,	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3.5250	ı	í
- Usage Rate (1)	0.0051	,	1	0.0051	\$ 0.0051	0,56%(2)
- Overrun Rate (3)	0.1096	f	0,0062	0.1158	1	Ē
Field Zone to Zone 1A						
- Reservation Rate	\$ 7.3683	,	\$ 0.2800	\$ 7.6483	i	f

- Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3)	0.0079	i i	0.0092	0.0079	\$ 0.0079	1,69% 2)
Zone 1A Only - Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3)	\$ 3.6682 0.0055 0.1206	1 1	\$ 0.1900	\$ 3.8582 0.0055 0.1268	\$ 0.0055	1,30% (2)
Field Zone Only - Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3)	\$ 3.7001 0.0024 0.1216	1 1 1	0.0030	\$ 0.0900 \$ 3.7901 0.0024 0.0030 0.1246	\$ 0.0024	0.69% (2)
Gathering Charge (All Zones) - Reservation Rate - Overrun Rate (3)	Zones) \$ 0.3257 0.0107			\$ 0.3257 0.0107		

(1) Excludes Section 21 Annual Charge Adjustment: \$0.0018 (2) Fuel reimbursement for backhauls is 0.41% (3) Maximum firm volumetric rate applicable for capacity release

Basis for Indexed Gas Cost For the Quarter of August 2006 - October 2006 2006-00000

The projected commodity price was provided by the Gas Supply Department and was based upon the following:

The Gas Supply Department reviewed the NYMEX futures close prices for the quarter of August 2006 - October 2006 during the period June 13, 2006 through June 21, 2006 which are listed below: Ą.

(\$/MMBTU) 7.130 7.467 8.075 8.130 7.383 7.383	\$7.627
SEP 2006 (\$/MMBTU) 6.750 7.112 7.735 7.750 7.458 6.997 7.018	\$7.260
AUG 2006 (\$/MMBTU) 6.438 6.852 7.475 7.455 7.153 6.737 6.798	\$6.987
13-Jun 14-Jun 15-Jun 16-Jun 19-Jun 20-Jun 21-Jun	

Wednesday Thursday

Tuesday

Wednesday

Monday Tuesday

Friday

Gas Supply believes prices will remain stable and prices for the quarter of May 2006 - July 2006 will settle at 7.218 per Mmbtu for the period that the GCA is to be effective. B,

In support of Item B, a worksheet entitled "Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas" has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality in this Case.

Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division For the Month of May, 2006

WKG Cash-out Price	\$6.3368 5.7079 5.0790	\$6.3398 5.7109 5.0820	\$6.3522 5.7233 5.0944	\$6.3270 5.7035 5.0799
	11 11 11	11 11	11 11 11	11 11 11
Transport Charge 2, 3	\$0.0478 0.0478 0.0478	\$0.0508 0.0508 0.0508	\$0.0632 0.0632 0.0632	\$0.0916 0.0916 0.0916
	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Indexed 1 Cash-out Price	\$6.2890 5.6601 5.0312	\$6.2890 5.6601 5.0312	\$6.2890 5.6601 5.0312	\$6.2354 5.6119 4.9883
s served in:	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price
For Kentucky customers served in:	A. Texas Gas: Zone 2 Area	Zone 3 Area	Zone 4 Area	B. Tennessee Gas: Zone 2 Area

<sup>1</sup> Indexed cash-out price is from the pipeline's Electronic Bulletin Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Transport charge used for Texas Gas is its tariff sheet no. 20 commodity rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Transport charge used for Tennessee Gas is its tariff sheet no. 23A maximum commodity rate from zone 0 to zone 2.

Atmos Energy Corporation Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas August-06 Through October-06

Total Value Volumes Value September-06 Rate Volumes August-06 Rate

Texas Gas Trunkline Tennessee Gas TX Gas Storage TN Gas Storage WKG Storage Midwestern (This information has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality)

WACOGs

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

Correction Factor (CF)

For the Three Months Ended April 1, 2006

Case No. 2006-000

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Actual	(5) Under (Over)	(6)	(7)
Line		Actual Sales	Recoverable	Recovered	Recovery		
No.	Month	Volume (Mcf)	Gas Cost	Gas Cost	Amount	Adjustments	Total
1 2	February-06	3,007,431	21,039,072.92	33,845.219.57	(12,806,146.65)	0.00	(12,806,146.65)
3 4	March-06	2,057,703	18,279,742.57	31,281,518.82	(13,001,776.25)	0.00	(13,001,776.25)
5	April-06	852,289	5,462,763.72	18,314,769.49	(12,852,005.77)	0.00	(12,852,005.77)
6							
7 8							
9							
10							
11				-			And the second s
12	T-1-1 C C						
13 14	Total Gas Cost Under/(Over) I		44.781,579,21	83,441,507.88	(38.659.928.67)	0.00	(38.659,928.67)
15	Olidel/(Over) i	cccovery	<u> </u>	00,771,001.00	100,000,000,000	203	
1.6							
17							
18		alance @ Januar					\$5,671,850.48
19			st Balance @ Dece				27,725,906.00
20				ee months ended A	pril, 2006		(38,659,928.67) 1,941,775.42
21 22	•	outstanding Con Salance @ April, 2	rection Factor (CF)	)			(3,320,396.77)
23	Account 191 E	манее ш лрт,	2000				
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	Derivation of C	Correction Factor	(CF):				
29	A 101 T	Patamaa				(\$3,320,397)	
30 31	Account 191 B	salance Total Expected Cu	istomer Salee			18,983,274	MCF
32	minimar my. 1	om Dapouda Ca	DIDING DUID				
33	Correction Fa	ictor (CF)			_	(\$0.1749)	/MCF
34					_		
35							

Exhibit D

Page 1 of 5

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation For the Three Months Ended April 1, 2006 Case No. 2006-000 Exhibit D Page 2 of 5

Case	NO. 2006-000				34 04	
		GL	Mar-06	Арт-0б	May-06	
Line		al.	(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
No.	Description	Unit	February-06	March-06	April-06	Document
1	Supply Volume					
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission 1	Mcf	O	0	0	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1	Mcf	0	0	0	
5	Trunkline Gas Company	Mcf	0	0	0	
6	Midwestern Pipeline 1	Mcf_	0	()	0	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	Mcf	0	0	0	
8	Total Other Suppliers	Mcf	500,366	409,704	3,226,865	pages 5
9	Off System Storage					
10	Texas Gas Transmission	Mcf	0	0	0	
11	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Mcf	422,054	170,256	(261,828)	
12	System Storage					
13	Withdrawals	Mcf	986,417	567,594	45,494	
14	Injections	Mcf	0	0	(677,848)	
15	Producers	Mcf	30.917	11,236	12.331	
16	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	Mcf	O	0	Û	
17	System Imbalances 2	Mcf _	1,067,677	898,913	(1,492,725)	
18	Total Supply	Mcf	3,007,431	2,057,703	852,289	
19						
20	Change in Unbilled	Mcf				
21	Company Use	Mcf	0	O	Ū	
22	Unaccounted For	Mcf _	()	()	0	
23	Total Sales	Mcf _	3,007,431	2,057,703	852,289	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Recoverable Gas Cost Calculation For the Three Months Ended April 1, 2006 Case No. 2006-000

Exhibit D Page 3 of 5

	, 2000	GL	Mar-06	Арг-0б	May-06	
Line			(1)	(2) Month	(3)	Source
No.	Description	Unit	February-06	March-06	April-06	Document
1	Supply Cost	-				***************************************
2	Pipelines:					
3	Texas Gas Transmission 1	\$	1,565,349	1,716,998	1,518,781	
4	Tennessee Gas Pipeline 1	\$	313,395	331,651	326,586	
5	Trunkline Gas Company 1	\$	28,538	30,900	7,644	
6	Midwestern Pipeline 1	\$	0_	0	0	
7	Total Pipeline Supply	\$	1,907,281	2,079,549	1,853,010	
8	Total Other Suppliers	\$	4,029,629	2,855,336	23,154,145	page 5
9	Hedging Settlements		0	0	0	
10	Off System Storage					
11	Texas Gas Transmission	\$	0	()	0	
12	Tennessee Gas Pipeline	\$	3,514,015	1,429,560	(1,869,915)	
13	WKG Storage		122.500	122,500	122,500	
14	System Storage					
15	Withdrawals	\$	8,427,409	4,877,054	413,804	
16	Injections	\$	0	0	(4,852,219)	
17	Producers	\$	80,338	76,789	87,603	
18	Pipeline Imbalances cashed out	\$	0	0	0	
19	System Imbalances <sup>2</sup>	\$	2,957,900	6,838,954	(13,446,164)	
20	Sub-Total	\$	21,039,073	18,279,743	5,462,764	
21						
22	Change in Unbilled	\$				
23	Company Use	\$	0	0	0	
24	Recovered thru Transportation	\$ .	0	0	0	
25	Total Recoverable Gas Cost	\$	21,039,073	18,279,743	5,462,764	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes demand charges, cost of settlement of historical imbalances and prepaid items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Texas Gas No-Notice Service volumes and monthly imbalances related to transportation customer activities.

Recovery from Correction Factors (CF) For the Three Months Ended April, 2006 Case No. 2006-000 Exhibit D Page 4 of 5

Line No.	Month	Type of Sales	Mcf Sold	Rate	Amount
1	February-06	G-1 Sales	2,604,089.2	\$0.2988	\$778,101.85
2	1 cordary-00	G-1 HLF	0.0	0.2988	0.00
3		G-2 Sales	43,536.8	0.2988	13,008.80
4		T-3 Overrun Sales	1,646.0	0.3287	541.04
5		T-4 Overrun Sales	7,451.0	0.3287	2,449.14
6		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
7		LVS-2 Sales	8,301.0	0.0000	0.00
8		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
9		Total	2,665,024.0		794,100.83
10		10121		***************************************	
11	March-06	G-1 Sales	2,419,979.4	\$0,2988	\$723,089.85
12	WEIGH-00	G-1 HLF	0.0	0.2988	0.00
13		G-2 Sales	34,065.0	0.2988	10,178.62
14		T-3 Overrun Sales	92.0	0.3287	30.24
15		T-4 Overrum Sales	243.0	0.3287	79.87
16		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
17		LVS-2 Sales	7,632.0	0.0000	0.00
18		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
19		Total	2,462.011.4	·	733,378.58
20		20141			
21	April-06	G-1 Sales	1,370,450.7	\$0.2988	\$409,490.66
22	April-00	G-1 HLF	0.0	0.2988	0.00
23		G-2 Sales	16,093.2	0.2988	4,808.64
24		T-3 Overrun Sales	0.0	0.3287	0.00
25		T-4 Overrun Sales	(10.0)	0.3287	(3.29)
25 26		LVS-1 Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
		LVS-2 Sales	8,562.0	0.0000	0.00
27 28		LVS HLF Sales	0.0	0.0000	0.00
28 29		Total	1,395,095.8		414,296.01
30		Louis	.,,	-	

Total Recovery from Correction Factor (CF)

\$1,941,775.42

51
52 LVS sales commodity is "trued-up" according to Section 3(f) in LVS tariff in P.S.C. No. 1.

When Carriage (T-3 and T-4) customers have a positive imbalance that has been approved by the Company, the customer is billed for the imbalance volumes at a rate equal to 110% of the Company's applicable sales rate according to Section 6(a) of P.S.C. No. 20, Sheet Nos. 41A and 47A.

Detail Sheet for Supply Volumes & Costs Traditional and Other Pipelines

Exhibit D Page 5 of 5

	Februa	ry, 2006	Marci	h, 2006	April	, 2006
Description	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost	MCF	Cost
1 Texas Gas Pipeline Area 2 LG&E Natural 3 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 4 Texaco Gas Marketing 5 CMS 6 WESCO 7 Southern Energy Company 8 Union Pacific Fuels 9 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 10 Engage 11 ERI 12 Prepaid 13 Reservation 14 Hedging Costs - All Zones						
16 Total 17 18 19 Tennessee Gas Pipeline Area 20 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 21 Union Pacific Fuels 22 WESCO 23 Prepaid 24 Reservation 25 Fuel Adjustment 26	390,931	\$3,137,273.22	194,902	\$1,346,894.61	2,800,825	\$20,087,462.55
27 Total 28 29 30 Trunkline Gas Company 31 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 32 Engage 33 Prepaid 34 Reservation 35 Fuel Adjustment	0	\$0.00	140,959	\$985,994.23	396,968	\$2,854,370.32
36 37 Total 38 39 40 Midwestern Pipeline 41 Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC 42 LG&B Natural 43 Anadarko 44 Prepaid 45 Reservation 46 Fuel Adjustment	109,632	\$894,072.37	75,710	\$535,515.46	29,072	\$212,312.17
47 48 Total 49 50 51 All Zones	(197)	(\$1,716.31)	(1,867)	(\$13,067.91)	0	\$0.00
52 Total 53 54 55	500,366	\$4,029,629.28 nes and Prices Has Been	409,704 Filed Under Petition	\$2,855,336.39 n for Confidentiality ***	3,226,865 #	\$23,154,145.04

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### **Large Volume Sales**

For the Period May, 2006

Exhibit F Page 1 of 3

The net monthly rates for Large Volume Sales service is as follows:

#### Base Charge:

LVS-1 Service	ce		\$ 20	.00	per	Mete	∋r						
LVS-2 Service	ce		220	.00	per	Mete	∋r						
Combined S	ervice		220	.00	per	Mete	er						
				-					Estimated Weighted				
LVS-1:							Non-		Average				
			Simp	ie		Co	mmodity		Commodity	,		Sales	
Firm Service			Marg	in	_	Con	nponent 2		Gas Cost			Rate	•
First	300	1 Mcf @	\$ 1.19	900	+	\$	1.0572	+	\$ 7.3101	=	\$	9.5573	per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @	0.68	590	+		1.0572	+	7.3101	=		9.0263	per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf @	0.43	300	+		1.0572	÷	7.3101	=		8.7973	per Mcf
127	<del></del> (	0t											
High Load F	actor Firm	Service			_		4 5570		00.000		a.	4 = = = = =	
Demand					@		4.5576	÷	\$0.0000	=	*		per Mcf of
		_									dai	•	ct demand
First	300	1 Mcf @	\$ 1.19	900	+	\$	0.1839	+	\$ 7.3101	=	\$	8.6840	per Mcf
Next	14,700	1 Mcf @	0.69	590	+		0.1839	+	7.3101	=		8,1530	per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mof @	0.43	008	+		0.1839	+	7.3101	=		7.9240	per Mcf
LVS-2:													
<u>Interruptible</u>	<u>Service</u>												
First	15,000	Mcf @	\$ 0.53	300	+	\$	0.1839	+	\$ 7.3101	=	\$	8.0240	per Mcf
All over	15,000	Mcf @	0.38	591	+		0.1839	+	7.3101	=		7.8531	per Mcf

#### True-up Adjustment for 4/06 billing period:

\$ 0.0694 per Mcf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All gas consumed by the customer will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Non-Commodity Component is from P.S.C. No. 20 Seventeenth Revised Sheet No. 6, effective May 1,2006.

### Atmos Energy Corporation Large Volume Sales Estimated WACOG used for Billing For the Period May, 2006

Exhibit F Page 2 of 3

			April-06	April-06
Line No.	Supplier/Type of Service		(A) Estimated MCF Purchased @14.65	(B) Estimated Commodity Cost
1	Estimated Purchases:			
2	Texas Gas Area		2,800,825	\$20,087,462.55
3	Tennessee Gas Area		396,968	2,851,941.92
4	Trunkline Gas Area		29,072	212,312.17
5	Midwestern Gas Area		0	0.00
6	Total Estimated Purchases	********	3,226,865	23,151,716.64
7	Total Estimated Laterage		•••••	
8	Transportation Costs:			
9	Texas Gas Transmission			62,381.75
10	Tennessee Gas Pipeline			59,498.23
11	Trunkline Gas Area			444.00
11	Midwestern Gas Area			
12				
13	Local Production		12,331	87,602.61
14			·	
15	WKG End-User Cash Outs		9,434	58,537.23
16		-		
17	Total Current Month Gas Cost		3,248,629	\$23,420,180.46
18				
19	Less: Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	44,831	
20	_	-		
21	Total Deliveries		3,203,798	\$23,420,180.46
22				
23	Estimated LVS Weigh	nted Average Comm	nodity Rate	<u>\$7.3101</u>

### Atmos Energy Corporation Expected Purchases LVS Commodity Purchase Basis For the Period of May '06 to July '06

33

Exhibit F Page 3 of 3

			(1)	(2)	(3)
Line					
No.			Mcf	MMbtu	Gas Cost
1	Toyon Con Ayon				
1	Texas Gas Area  No Notice Service		3,214,143	3,294,497	24,388,831
2			3,214,143 88,780	91,000	671,762
3	Firm Transportation Total Texas Gas Area	_	3,302,923	3,385,497	25,060,593
4	Total Texas Gas Alea		3,302,923	3,303,421	20,000,393
5 6					
	Townsease Con Aven				
7	Tennessee Gas Area		200 061	106 105	2 040 029
8	FT-A&G Commodity		390,861	406,495 71,649	3,069,038 577,190
9	FT-GS Commodity Total Tennessee Gas Area		68,893 459,754	478,144	3,646,228
10	Total Tennessee Gas Alea		439,734	470,174	3,040,220
11	771 X-37- 67 A				
12	Trunkline Gas Area				****
13	Firm Transportation		88,889	92,000	683,505
14					
15					
16	Local Production				
17	Commodity		59,512	61,000	450,302
18					
19					
20	Expected WKG End-User Cash Outs		0	0	0
21					
22	Total LVS Commodity Purchase Basis		3,911,078	4,016,641	29,840,628
23					
24	Lost & Unaccounted for @	1.38%	53,973	55,430	
25		_			
26	Total Deliveries		3,857,105	3,961,211	29,840,628
27		41. ***			AM #222
28	Estimated LVS Weighted Average C	ommodity Rat	te (per MMbtu)		\$7.5332
29	material statement of the second statement of the seco	34.6			ф <i>т тэ с г</i>
30	Estimated LVS Weighted Average Commodity Rate				\$7,7365
31	(To only be used to calculate commodity credit back	K on Exhibit E	\$)		
32					

#### Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 180

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Please identify and provide copies of the Company's application(s) for the current gas cost adjustment and weather normalization adjustment.

#### Response:

Enclosed as Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheets 1-7 is a copy of the Company's Application for the current Weather Normalization Adjustment (Case No. 2005-00268).

The Application for the current gas cost adjustment (GCA), effective February 1, 2007 (Case No. 2006-00568), is attached. Please also refer to the Company's response to AG DR1-200.

### Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 1 of 7

The Law Offices of

### WILSON, HUTCHINSON & POTEAT

611 Fraderica Street
Owensboro, Kentucky 42301
Telephone (270) 926-5011
Facsimile (270) 920-9394

William L. Wilson, Jr. Mark R. Hutchinson T. Steven Potest bili@whplawfirm.ccm randy@wnplawfirm.com stave@whplawfirm.com

E-12 2 700

要以前

June 22, 2005

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: Application of Atmos Energy Corporation for an Order Continuing the Weather Normalization Adjustment Case No. 1005-00768

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Lenclose herewith an original, plus eleven (11) copies, of an Application of Atmos Energy Corporation for an Order Continuing the Weather Normalization Adjustment—Thanks

Very truly yours.

Mark R. Hutchinson

MRH:bkk

Enclosures

## Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 2 of 7

BEFORE THE

30:19:05

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE APPLICATION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION, FOR AN ORDER CONTINUING THE WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT FOR FIVE (5) ADDITIONAL YEARS

Case No 2005 - 00 268

### APPLICATION

Comes now Atmos Energy Corporation ("Company" or "Atmos Energy") and files its Application herein for an Order continuing the Weather Normalization Adjustment ("WNA") for five (5) additional years in support of this Application, Atmos Energy states as follows:

- (1) In the Company's last general rate adjustment case (In the Matter of The Application of Western Kentucky Gas Company For An Adjustment of Rates, Case No. 99-070), the Commission, by Order dated December 21, 1999 (the 'Order'), approved implementation by the Company of the WNA for a five (5) year trial period. Atmos Energy was ordered to file annual reports on the WNA with the Commission in the format set forth in Appendix C of the Order for each year by no later than June 30th of the following summer. The WNA was implemented by the Company on November 1, 2000. Commencing with June 30, 2001, and continuing each June thereafter, the Company filed the required annual reports. The fifth and final report is being filed simultaneously with this Application.
- (2) The Commission's December 21, 1999. Order further directed that should the Company ", wish to continue the WNA pilot beyond the five year period or implement the WNA on a permanent basis...", it should make such a request in the form of a formal application to be submitted to the Commission when it makes its final annual WNA filing in June, 2005. For

ATMOS ENERGY APPLICATION
PAGE 1 OF II PAGES

### Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 3 of 7

- the reasons set forth below, Atmos Energy requests authority to continue the WNA for an additional five (5) year period
- (3) The WNA mechanism was initially proposed to separate, or 'decouple', impacts of weather-related volume on the Company's margin recovery. During periods of colder than normal weather, the WNA lowers the Company's distribution charge and softens the impact of colder weather on consumers. Conversely, warmer than normal weather increases the distribution charge. Accordingly, the WNA, for weather-related volumes, stabilizes the Company's revenues and stabilizes the consumers billings.
- (4) Traditional ratemaking defines the utility's revenue requirement, then separates the Company's non-gas related revenues into fixed monthly charges and commodity-driven charges. The commodity driven distribution charges are based upon volumes expected with normal winter weather. However, to the extent actual winter temperatures deviate from normal, volumes will vary and the Company may exceed or fall short of its established revenue requirements. A WNA mechanism is intended to compensate for this weather variance. The WNA mechanism has performed very well during the pilot period and has met this intended purpose.
- (5) Atmos Energy, in further pursuit of stabilizing customer's billings, has also secured approval of hedging programs in each of the past four years designed to stabilize gas supply prices for consumers and avoid price spikes of today's market. The WNA mechanism complements those efforts as it relates to stabilizing the non-gas portion of a customer's bill.

ATMOS EKERSY APPLICATION PAGE 2 OF 5 PAGES

### Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 4 of 7

- (6) Atmos Energy was the third gas utility of the five major local distribution companies (LDCs) in Kentucky to adopt a WNA mechanism. Today, four of the five large LDCs utilize a WNA mechanism (Atmos Energy, Columbia Gas, Loulsville Gas & Electric and Delta)
- (7) WNA mechanisms and a broader array of rate structures which further decouple a gas utility's earnings from volumetric measures have been endorsed recently by the National Association of Regulatory Commissioners ("NARUC"). In July of 2004, NARUC, in conjunction with the National Resources Defense Council and AGA, issued a resolution which encourages regulators to approve such decoupling mechanisms for the utilities they regulate. The resolution states, in relevant part, the following:

"WHEREAS, the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), the American Gas Association (AGA) and the American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy (ACEE) have urged public utility commissions to align the interests of consumers, utility shareholders, and society as a whole by encouraging conservation. Among the mechanisms supported by these groups are the use of automatic rate true-ups to ensure that a utility's apportunity to recover authorized fixed costs is not held hostage to fluctuations in retail gas sales."

Almos Energy supports the intent of the above-resolution.

- (8) The Company proposes to utilize the same basis for normal Heating Degree Days (NOAA Normals for 1960-1989) which were the basis for weather normalization of the test period upon which rates were based in Case No. 99-070. The Company also proposes to continue to annually update the Base Load ("BL"), Heating Sensitive Factors ("HSF"), and average distribution rate factor ("R") for each of the affected classes of firm safes ("Rate G-1") service, residential, commercial and public authority.
- (9) Given the favorable performance of the WNA mechanism during the five year pilot, no changes in the existing tariff or processes are proposed. The proposed five year extension

ATMOS ENERGY APPA ICATION PAGE JUDF 5 PAGES

### Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 5 of 7

- of the WNA mechanism would begin November 1, 2006. Annual reports, in the format suggested by the Commission, would continue as currently prescribed.
- (10) Company's principal operating office and place of business is 2401 New Hartford Road.
  Owensboro, Kentucky 42303. The post office address of Applicant is P.O. Box 650205.
  Dallas, Texas. 75265-0205.
- A certified copy of Applicant's Restated Articles of Incorporation as Amended, together with all amendments thereto, is on file in the records of the Commission and is incorporated herein by reference. See, in the Matter of the Application of Atmos Energy Corporation, Through its Division Western Kentucky Gas Company of Cwensboro, Kentucky, for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of up to 1,655.740 Shares of Common Stock, Case No. 2000-436. There have been no changes to the Articles of incorporation since they were filed with the Commission in Docket No. 2000-435.
- (12) Correspondence and communications with respect to this Application should be directed to:

Gary Smith Vice President, Marketing and Regulatory Affairs Atmos Energy, Kentucky Operations 2401 New Hartford Road Owensboro, Kentucky 42303

Oouglas C. Walther Sonior Atlomey Atmos Energy Corporation P.O. Box 650205 Dallas, Texas 75265-0205

Mark R. Hutchinson Attorney at Law 611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

ATMOS ENERGY APPLICATION PAGE 1 OF 5 PAGES

# Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 6 of 7

WHEREFORE, Atmos Energy respectfully requests that the Commission authorize by appropriate order, a continuation of Atmos Energy's WNA for five (5) additional years.

Respectfully submitted on this 28 day of June, 2005.

Douglas C, Walther Sentor Attorney Almos Energy Corporation P.O. Sox 650205 Dallas, Texas. 75265-0205

Merk R. Hutchinson Afterney at Law 611 Frederica Strest Owensbaro, Kentucky 42301

COUNSEL FOR ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

By: \_\_\_\_\_

NAMES A CONTROL OF THE STATE OF

ATMOS ENERGY APPLYCATION PAGE 5 CF 5 PAGES

Attachment AG DR 1-180 WNA Sheet 7 of 7

Almos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
VNNA Surmany Report
2004-2005 Heating Season vs 2003-2004
November - April

				2004-20	2004-2005 Healing Season	nason			2003-2004 Season
	1	November December	December	January	February	March	April	Total	Total
RESIDENTIAL WNA Customers		(52,632	156.212	157,010	159,345	159,153	155,030	157,119	157,083
Total Customers		152,832	156,212	157,010	155,346	169,263	158,050	157,119	157,083
WNA Broating	1/9	241 130	130,364	379,178	373,653	52,760	30,297	1,207,392	755,922
WNA Volume Adeastment	MCF	202,530	109,550	318,637	314,003	44,336	25,460	1.014,616	661,279
Avg. WNA / Customer	65	1.58	0.33	2.43	2.34	5.33	0.19	-158 158	5.01
COMMERCIAL									
WNA Customers		17 413	17,767	17,755	17.284	17,923	17,781	17,773	17 765
Fotal Customers		17.417	17.771	17,759	17,388	17.927	17,785	17,775	17.786
WWA Revenue	43	77 009	37,681	132,680	123,522	11,418	13,499	395,809	269,321
WNA Volume Actiustment	MCF	58.270	33,405	117,624	109,506	10,122	11,987	350,894	239,610
Avg. WNA / Customer	is	4.42	2.12	7.47	6.97	9.54	0.76	22.27	10 11
PHIBLIC ALITHORITY									
WWA Customers		1,655	1,646	1,636	1.554	1.550	1,849	1,648	1,003
Total Customers		1,655		1,636	1,654	1,850	1,649	1.643	1.968
WNA Revenue	υn	26,773	10,539	24, 186	33.536	4,838	2,483	112,365	78,738
WNA Volume Adjustment	MOR	25,618		32,976	32,339	4,065	2.394	108,356	76.668
Avg. WMA / Customer	ιn	16 18	5.40	20.90	20 28	2.93	15.	58.17	47.21
TOTAL									
WNA Revenue	r.ry	344,912	_	545,054	530,721	59.016	46.279	1,715,566	1,134,031
WNA Voluma Adjustment	MCF	295,718	153,116	469,237	455.847	59,124	39.821	1,473,369	877,557
WEATHER				e e	344.	, 1.	Cut	238.6	4.00
Bling HOU'S Actual		981		000	or a	0.00	50.5	3 946	3 6 6
Warmer(Coldert fiven Normal	Sa	37.6	3.00	13.8	15.5	2.6	10	12.2	0.1
				3					
Calendar HDD'S Actual		777		783	537	(A) (A)	162	2,550	3.678
Calendar PUD 5 Normal Warmen/Coxfer) firen Normal	90	17.2	0.7	22.2	25.	10 4°	100 100 100 100	40	9.7
CUSTOMER SERVICE Total No. of WNA Inquires								203	134
No of inquiries Not Satisfied								Ç	٥



RECEIVED

JAN 1 1 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

January 9, 2007

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 2006-00568

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

On December 27, 2006 Atmos Energy filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission its quarterly Gas Cost Adjustment under the provision of our Gas Cost Adjustment Clause, to be effective February 1, 2007. Since that time forecasted market prices (as reflected in the NYMEX) have declined. Therefore, we are filing the enclosed original and three (3) copies of a REVISED notice under the same provisions. In this filing, we are only providing the exhibits which changed from our December 27 filing. This filing contains a Petition of Confidentiality and confidential documents.

Please indicate receipt of this filing by stamping and dating the enclosed duplicate of this letter and returning it in the self-addressed stamped envelope to the following address:

Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75240

If you have any questions, feel free to call me at 972-855-3011.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Thomas () Moul

Enclosures

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE RECEIVED KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JAN 1 1 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

REVISED GAS COST ADJUSTMENT ) Case No. 2006 - 00568 FILING OF ) ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

### NOTICE

### QUARTERLY FILING

For The Period

February 1, 2007 - April 30, 2007

Attorney for Applicant

Mark R. Hutchinson 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301 Atmos Energy Corporation, ("the Company"), is duly qualified under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky to do its business. The Company is an operating public utility engaged in the business of purchasing, transporting and distributing natural gas to residential, commercial and industrial users in western and central Kentucky. The Company's principal operating office and place of business is 2401 New Hartford Road, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301. Correspondence and communications with respect to this notice should be directed to:

Gary L. Smith
Vice President - Marketing &
Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division
Atmos Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 866
Owensboro, Kentucky 42302

Mark R. Hutchinson Attorney for Applicant 1700 Frederica St. Suite 201 Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Thomas J. Morel Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration Atmos Energy Corporation 5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75240 The Company gives notice to the Kentucky Public Service Commission, hereinafter "the Commission", pursuant to the Gas Cost Adjustment Clause contained in the Company's settlement gas rate schedules in Case No. 99-070.

The Company hereby files Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 4, Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 5 and Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 6 to its PSC No. 1, Rates, Rules and Regulations for Furnishing Natural Gas to become effective February 1, 2007.

The REVISED Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) for firm sales service is \$8.5885 per Mcf, \$7.7152 per Mcf for high load factor firm sales service, and \$7.7152 per Mcf for interruptible sales service. The supporting calculations for the Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 5 are provided in the following Exhibits:

Exhibit A - Summary of Derivations of Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) ......

Exhibit B - Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation .....

Exhibit C - Rates used in the Expected Gas Cost (EGC) Calculation .....

Since this is a REVISED GCA Filing, we are only providing the applicable Exhibits.

Since the Company's last GCA filing, Case No. 2006-00428, the following changes have occurred in its pipeline and gas supply commodity rates for the GCA period.

- 1. The commodity rates per MMbtu used are based on historical estimates and/or current data for the quarter February 2007 through April 2007, as shown in Exhibit C, page 19.
- 2. The Expected Commodity Gas Cost will be approximately \$7.4815 MMbtu for the quarter February 2007 through April 2007, as compared to \$8.0540 per MMbtu used for the quarter of November 2006 through January 2007.
- 3. The Company's notice sets out a new Correction Factor of \$0.0551 per Mcf, which will remain in effect until at least April 30, 2007.

The GCA tariff as approved in Case No. 92-558 provides for a Correction Factor (CF) which compensates for the difference between the expected gas cost and the actual gas cost for prior periods. A revision to the GCA tariff effective December 1, 2001, Filing No. T62-1253, provides that the Correction Factor be filed on a quarterly basis. The Company is filing its updated Correction Factor that is based upon the balance in the Company's Account 191 as of October 31, 2006. The calculation for the Correction Factor is shown on Exhibit D, Page 1.

WHEREFORE, Atmos Energy Corporation requests this Commission, pursuant to the Commission's order in Case No. 99-070, to approve the REVISED Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) as filed in Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 5; and Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 6 setting out the General Transportation Tariff Rate T-2 for each respective sales rate for meter readings made on and after February 1, 2007.

DATED at Dallas Texas, this 9th Day of January, 2007.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

By:

Thomas J. Morel

Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Administration

Atmos Energy Corporation

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

			Current Rate Case No. 20					
Firm Service								
Base Charge:								
Residential			- \$7.50	per meter pe	r month			İ
Non-Residential				per meter pe				
Carriage (T-4)					point per month			1
Transportation Administra	tion Fee		- 50.00	per custome	r per meter			
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>		(G-1)		Transpor			iage (T-4)	
First 300 ' Mcf Next 14,700 ' Mcf	@	9.7785	per Mcf per Mcf	@ 2.24'	2 per Mcf 2 per Mcf	999	1.1900 per Mcf 0.6590 per Mcf	(R, N,
Over 15,000 Mcf	@		per Mcf		2 per Mcf	@	0.4300 per Mcf	(R, N,
High Load Factor Firm S	<u>ervice</u>							
HLF demand charge/Mcf	@	4.5576		@ 4.55	6 per Mcf of dai Contract Dema	-		(N)
Rate per Mci <sup>2</sup>	_							
First 300 'Mcf	@		per Mcf	_	9 per Mcf			(R, N)
Next 14,700 <sup>1</sup> Mcf Over 15,000 Mcf	@ @		per Mcf per Mcf	-	9 per Mcf 9 per Mcf			(R, N) (R, N)
	9		F	9				
<u>Interruptible Service</u>								
Base Charge					point per month			
Transportation Administra	ion Fee		- 50.00	per custome	per meter			
Rate per Mcf <sup>2</sup>	Sales	(G-2)		Transport	(T-2)	<u>Carri</u>	iage (T-3)	
Rate per Mici	_	8.2452	per Mcf	@ 0.71	39 per Mcf	@	0.5300 per Mcf	(R, N,
First 15,000 1 Mcf	@			@ 0.54	30 per Mcf	(a),	0.3591 per Mcf	(R, N.

ISSUED:

January 9, 2007

Effective:

February 1, 2007

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

### **Current Gas Cost Adjustments** Case No. 2006-00000 **Applicable** For all Mcf billed under General Sales Service (G-1) and Interruptible Sales Service (G-2). Gas Charge = GCA GCA = EGC + CF + RF + PBRRFHLF G - 1 G-2Gas Cost Adjustment Components G-1 7.6654 (R, R, R) 7.6654 EGC (Expected Gas Cost Component) 8.5387 0.0551 0.0551 0.0551 (1, 1, 1)CF (Correction Factor) (0.0554)(0.0554)(0.0554)(N, N. N) RF (Refund Adjustment) PBRRF (Performance Based Rate 0.0501 Recovery Factor) 0.0501 0.0501 (i. i. i) GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment) \$8.5885 \$7.7152 \$7.7152 (R, R, R)

ISSUED:

January 9, 2007

Effective:

February 1, 2007

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

ISSUED BY:

Gary L. Smith

Vice President - Marketing & Regulatory Affairs/Kentucky Division

For Entire Service Area
P.S.C. No. 1
Twentieth SHEET No. 6
Cancelling
Nineteenth SHEET No. 6

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

			<u> </u>		nsportation a		airiaye			
	000.0000			Cas	se No. 2006-00	000				
	. 2004-00398 General Transports	tion Data T	2 and Carrie	ra Carrica	(Poter T-2 and	T41	for each			
	ective service net n				(Maics 1-5 and	1-4)	TOI CHOII			
Syst	em Lost and Unac	counted gas	percentage	::					1.38%	
					Simple		Non-		Gross	
					Margin		Commodity		Margin	
Trai	asportation Service	e (T-2)								
a)	Firm Service									
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$1.0572	=	\$2.2472 per M	[cf
	Next	14,700 2	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	1.0572	=	1.7162 per M	lcf
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.4300	+	1.0572	===	1.4872 per M	lcf
b)	High Load Fact	or Firm Serv	ice (HJ.F)							
٠,	Demand	<u> </u>		@	\$0.0000	+	4.5576	=	\$4.5576 per M	[cf of
									daily contract dema	
	First	300 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.1839	=	\$1.3739 per M	cf
	Next	14,700 2	Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.1839	=	0.8429 per M	cf
	All over	15,000	Mcf	<u>@</u>	0.4300	+	0.1839	==	0.6139 per M	cf
c)	Interruptible Se									
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.1839	=	\$0.7139 per M	cf
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.1839	=	0.5430 per M	cf
Carr	iage Service 3									
	Firm Service (T	<u>-4)</u>								
	First	300	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	\$1.1900	+	\$0.0000	==	\$1.1900 per M	cf
	Next	14,700	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.6590	+	0.0000		0.6590 per M	cf
	All over	15,000	<sup>2</sup> Mcf	@	0.4300	+	0.0000	==	0.4300 per M	cf
	Interruptible Se	vice (T-3)								
	First	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	Mcf	@	\$0.5300	+	\$0.0000	=	\$0.5300 per M	cf
	All over	15,000	Mcf	@	0.3591	+	0.0000	=	0.3591 per M	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes standby sales service under corresponding sales rates. GRI Rider may also apply.

ISSUED:

January 9, 2007

Effective:

February 1, 2007

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2006-00000.)

All gas consumed by the customer (Sales and transportation; firm, high load factor, interruptible, and carriage) will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Excludes standby sales service.

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 1 of 5

Line		Case	No.	
No.	Description	2006-00428	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-1			
2				
3	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
4	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
5	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
6	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
7				
8	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
9	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):	8.0540	7.4815	(0.5725)
10 11	Commodity Demand	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
12	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total EGC	9.1112	8.5387	(0.5725)
15	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
16	CF (Correction Factor)	(0.3088)	0.0551	0.3639
17	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)	0.0000
18	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0501	0.0102
19	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	8.7869	8.5885	(0.1984)
20	Total Billing Cost of Gas	8.7869	8.5885	(0.1984)
21				
22	Commodity Charge (GCA included):	2 256	0.7706	(0.1984)
23	First 300 Mcf	9.976 <del>9</del> 9.4459	9.7785 9.2475	(0.1984)
24	Next 14,700 Mcf	9,4439	9.0185	(0.1984)
25	Over 15,000 Mcf	9.2109	7.0103	(0.150-1)
26 27	HLF (High Load Factor)			
28	HEF (MER LORG FREERL)			
29	Commodity Charge (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
30	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
31	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
32	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
33	5101			
34	Gas Cost Adjustment Components			
35	EGC (Expected Gas Cost):			
36	Commodity	8.0540	7.4815	(0.5725)
37	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
38	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
39	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
40	Total EGC	8.2379	7.6654	(0.5725)
41	Less: BCOG (Base Cost of Gas)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
42	CF (Correction Factor)	(8808.0)	0.0551	0.3639
43	RF (Refund Adjustment)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)	0.0000
44	PBRRF (Performance Based Rate Recovery Factor)	0.0399	0.0501	0.0102
45	GCA (Gas Cost Adjustment)	7.9136	7.7152	(0.1984)
46	Total Cost of Gas to Bill (excludes MDQ Demand)	7.9136	7.7152	(0.1984)
47				
48	Commodity Charge (GCA included):			
49	First 300 Mcf	9.1036	8.9052	(0.1984)
50	Next 14,700 Mcf	8.5726	8.3742	(0.1984)
51	Over 15,000 Mcf	8.3436	8.1452	(0.1984)
52				
53	HLF Demand			
54	Contract Demand Factor	4.5576	4.5576	0.000.0

Atmos Energy Corporation
Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Interruptible Sales Service

Exhibit A Page 2 of 5

Line				Cass	No.	
No.	Description		·	2006-00428	2006-00000	Difference
				S/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	G-2					
2						
3		(Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):				
4		000 Mcf		0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
5	Over 15,0	000 Mcf		0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
6		_				
7	Gas Cost Adjustme					
8	Expected Gas Cos	st (EGC):				40 CM0 T
9	Commodity			8.0540	7,4815	(0.5725)
10	Demand			0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Costs			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	Total EGC			8.2379	7.6654	(0.5725)
14	Less: Base Cost of			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15	Correction Factor			(0.3088)	0.0551	0.3639
16	Refund Adjustmer	• •		(0.0554)	(0.0554)	0.0000
17		d Rate Recovery Factor (PBRRF)		0.0399	0.0501	0.0102
18	Gas Cost Adjustm	ent (GCA)		7.9136	7.7152	(0.1984)
19	Total Cost of Gas	to Bill		7.9136	7.7152	(0.1984)
20						
21	Commodity Charge	(GCA included):				
22	First 15,0	00 Mcf		8.4436	8.2452	(0.1984)
23	Over 15,0	00 Mcf		8.2727	80743	(0.1984)
24						
25						
26	Monthly Refund Fa	ctor				
27		<del>_</del>	Effective			
28		Case No.	Date	G-1	G-1/HLF_	G-2
29	1-	1000.070.1	07/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	2~	1999-070 L		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30		1999-070 M	10/10/80			0.0000
31	3	1999-070 N	10/01/01	0.0000	0.0000	(0.0019)
32	4 -	1999-070 O	11/01/01	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	
33	5 -	1999-070 P	05/03/02	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
34	6 -	2002-00251	08/01/02	(0.0095)	(0.0095)	(0.0019)
35	7 -	2002-00359	11/01/02	<u>(0.1574)</u>	(0.1574)	(0.0391)
36	8 -	2003-00377	11/01/03	(0.0006)	(0.0006)	(0.0006)
37	9	2004-00269	08/01/04	(0.0048)	(0.0048)	(0.0048)
38	10 -	2005-00399	11/01/05	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)
39	11 -	2006-00000	11/01/06	(0.0554)	(0.0554)	(0.0554)
40	12 -					
41						
42	Total Supplier Refu	nd Adjustment (RF)		(0.0554)	(1) ()554)	(0.0554)
43						

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 3 of 5

Line		Case	e No.	
No.	Description	2006-00428	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	T-2\G-1			
2	1-2 / 0-1			
3				
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1,1900	0.0000
6	Next 14.700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
7	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
Ŕ	C 101 15,000 14.01	3, 1000	07.1500	******
9	Non-Commodity Components:			
10	Demand	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
11	Take-Or-Pay	0000,0	0.0000	0.0000
12	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total	1.0572	1.0572	0.0000
15				
16	Gross Margin:			
17	First 300 Mcf	2.2472	2.2472	0.0000
18	Next 14,700 Mcf	1.7162	1.7162	0.0000
19	Over 15,000 Mcf	1.4872	1.4872	0.0000
20				
21	<u>T-2\G-1\HLF</u>			
22				
23	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
24	First 300 Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.000.0
25	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.000.0
26	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.000.0
27 28	Non-Commodity Components:			
28 29	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
30	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
31	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0
32	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
33	Total	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
34	IUIAI	0.1659	0.1037	0.0000
35	Gross Margin (Excluding HLF Demand):			
36	First 300 Mcf	1.3739	1.3739	0.0000
37	Next 14,700 Mcf	0.8429	0.8429	0.0000
38	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.6139	0.6139	0.0000
39				
40	HLF Demand			
41	Contract Demand Factor	4.5576	4.5576	0.0000
42				

Comparison of Current and Previous Cases

Firm Transportation Service

Exhibit A Page 4 of 5

Line				Cas	e No.	
No.	Description			2006-00428	2006-00000	Difference
				\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	Carriage Service					
2						
3	Firm Service (T-4)					
4	Simple Mars	in (Base Rate	per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First	300	Mcf	1.1900	1.1900	0.0000
6	Next	14,700	Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
7	Over	15,000	Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
8						
9	Non-Commo	dity Compone	nts:			
11	Take-Or-Pa	У		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13	RF (Refund	Adjustment)		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
14	Total			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
15						
16	Gross Margin	<u>n:</u>				
17	First	300	Mcf	1,1900	1.1900	0.0000
18	Next	14,700	Mcf	0.6590	0.6590	0.0000
19	Over	15,000	Mcf	0.4300	0.4300	0.0000
20						

### Comparison of Current and Previous Cases Interruptible Transportation and Carriage Service

Line		Casi	e No	
No.	Description	2006-00428	2006-00000	Difference
		\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf
1	General Transporation (T-2)			
2				
3	Interruptible Service (G-2)			
4	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
5	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
б	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
7				
8	Non-Commodity Components:			
9	Demand	0.1839	0.1839	0.0000
10	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
11	Transition Costs	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
12	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
13 14	Total	0.1839	0.1939	0.0000
15	Gross Margin;			
16	First 15,000 Mcf	0.7139	0.7139	0.0000
17	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.5430	0.5430	0.0000
18	Over 13,000 Mer	0.5450	0.5450	0.0000
19	Carriage Service			
20	<u> </u>			
21	Carriage Service (T-3)			
22	Simple Margin (Base Rate per Case No. 99-070):			
23	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
24	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
25	3.41			
26	Non-Commodity Components:			
28	Take-Or-Pay	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
30	RF (Refund Adjustment)	0.0000	0,0000	0.0000
31	Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
32				
33	Gross Margin:			
34	First 15,000 Mcf	0.5300	0.5300	0.0000
35	Over 15,000 Mcf	0.3591	0.3591	0.0000
36				

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 1 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
No. Description			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 SL to Zone 2							
2 NNS Contract #	N0210		12,617,673				
3 Base Rate		20		0.3088	3,896,336	3,896,336	0
4 GSR		20		0.0000	0		U
5 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
7 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		20		0.0000	0	Ü	
6		-		,	2.006.226	3,896,336	0
7 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,896,336	05,050,0	U
8							
9 SL to Zone 3							
10 NNS Contract #	N0340		27,480,375	0.7542	0.726.207	9,736,297	
11 Base Rate		20		0.3543 0.0000	9,736,297 0	9,730,297	0
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0	0	-
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0.0000	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.0000	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.000	o o	•	
18	2255		3,130,605				
19 FT Contract #	3355	24	3,130,003	0.2494	780,773	780,773	
20 Base Rate		24		0.0000	0	•	0
21 GSR 22 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
		24		0.0000	0	0	
<ul><li>23 Unrec TCA Surch</li><li>24 ISS Credit</li></ul>		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	0	0	
27							
28							
29 Total SL to Zone 3		-	30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Texas Gas

Exhibit B Page 2 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition
No. Description		Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	<u>Costs</u>
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	ð
1 Zone 1 to Zone 3	2255		2,344,395				
2 FT Contract #	3355	24	2,344,393	0.2194	514,360	514,360	
3 Base Rate		24		0.0000	0.4,500	51-1,500	0
4 GSR		24		0.0000	0	0	•
5 TCA Adjustment		24		0.0000	0	0	
6 Unrec TCA Surch		24 24		0.0000	0	Ö	
7 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
8 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24 24		0.0000	0	0	
9 GRI		24		0.000.0	U	Ü	
6			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
7 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3 8			2,344,373		314,500	3. 1,500	
9 SL to Zone 4			2 200 000				
10 NNS Contract #	N0410		3,320,769	0.4100	1 201 402	1 201 402	
11 Base Rate		20		0.4190	1,391,402	1,391,402	0
12 GSR		20		0.0000	0	0	V
13 TCA Adjustment		20		0000,0	0	0	
14 Unrec TCA Surch		20		0.0000	0	0	
15 ISS Credit		20		0.0000	0	0	
16 Misc Rev Cr Adj		20		0.000.0	0	0	
17 GRI		20		0.0000	Ü	U	
18	****		1 000 100				
19 FT Contract #	3819		1,277,500	0.2142	401 701	401,391	
20 Base Rate		24		0.3142	401,391 0	401,271	0
21 GSR		24		0.000.0	0	0	u
22 TCA Adjustment		24		0,0000 0,0000	0	0	
23 Unrec TCA Surch		24			0	0	
24 ISS Credit		24		0.0000	0	0	
25 Misc Rev Cr Adj		24		0.0000	0	0	
26 GRI		24		0.0000	U	V	
27		_	4,598,269	******	1,792,793	1,792,793	0
28 Total SL to Zone 4 29							
30 Total SL to Zone 2			12,617,673		3,89 <i>6</i> ,336	3,896,336	0
31 Total SL to Zone 3			30,610,980		10,517,070	10,517,070	0
32 Total Zone 1 to Zone 3 33			2,344,395		514,360	514,360	0
34 Total Texas Gas		-	50,171,317		16,720,559	16,720,559	0
35					, ,		
36							
37 Vendor Reservation Fees	(Fixed)				0	0	
38	(2)						
39 TOP & Direct Billed Train	nsition costs				0		
40					16 720 650	16,720,559	0
41 Total Texas Gas Area No	n-Commodity	/		===	16,720,559	10,720,559	U
42							
43							

Atmos Energy Corporation
Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 3 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line		Tariff	Annual	-		1100 Commount	Transition
No. Descriptio	n	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
1 0 to Zone	2						
2 FT-G Co	ntract # 2546.1		12,844	9.0600			
3 Base Rat	C	23B		9.0600	116,367	116,367	
4 Settlemen	at Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB Adj	ustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6							
7 FT-G Cor	ntract # 2548.1		4,363	9.0600			
8 Base Rate	3	23B		9.0600	39,529	39,529	
9 Settlemer	nt Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
10 PCB Adj	ustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
11							
12 FT-G Con	ntract # 2550.1		5,739	9.0600			
13 Base Rate	2	23B		9.0600	51,995	51,995	
14 Settlemer	it Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
15 PCB Adji		23B		0.0000	0		0
16							
17 FT-G Cot	stract # 2551.1		4,447	9.0600			
18 Base Rate	•	23B		9.0600	40,290	40,290	
19 Settlemen	it Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0	,	0
20 PCB Adji	~	23B		0.0000	0		D
21					_		-
22							
23 Total Zone	0 to 2		27,393	-	248,181	248,181	0
24			2.,000		270,101	2.0,101	•
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							

Expected Gas Cost - Non Commodity

Tennessee Gas

Exhibit B Page 4 of 11

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Non-Commodity	(5)
Line	-45	Tariff Sheet No.	Annual Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Transition Costs
No. Descrip	outon	Sheet No.	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	S	\$	\$
			77271D1m				
1 1 to Zo	ne 2						
	Contract # 2546		114,156	7.6200			
3 Base	Rate	23B		7.6200	869,869	869,869	
4 Settle	ment Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
5 PCB	Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
6							
	Contract # 2548		44,997	7.6200	345.022	242 077	
8 Base		23B		7.6200	342,877	342,877	0
	ment Surcharge	23B		0.0000 0.0000	0		0
	Adjustrnent	23B		0.0000	U		U
11	Contract # 2550		59,741	7.6200			
		23B	37,741	7.6200	455,226	455,226	
	ment Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
	Adjustment	23B		0.0000	ō		0
15 FCB	Adjustment	230		0.000	_		
	Contract # 2551		45,058	7.6200			
18 Base		23B	,	7-6200	343,342	343,342	
	ment Surcharge	23B		0.0000	0		0
	Adjustment	23B		0.0000	0		0
21				_			
22 Total Z	one 1 to 2	-	263,952		2,011,314	2,011,314	0
23							
24 Total Z	one 0 to 2		27,393		248,181	248,181	0
25						· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	one 1 to 2 and Zone 0 to 2		291,345		2,259,495	2,259,495	0
27							
28 Gas St							
29 Produ		27	34,968	2.0200	70,635	70,635	
30 Dem		27	4,916,148	0.0248	121,920	121,920	
31 Spac 32 Marke	e Charge	21	4,510,140	0.0244	121,720	121,723	
33 Dem		27	237,408	1.1500	273,019	273,019	
	e Charge	27	10,846,308	0.0185	200,657	200,657	
	Storage	٠,	10(0 /0)00		666,231	666,231	
36	213.480				•		
	Reservation Fees (Fixed)				0	0	
38	<b></b>						
	Direct Billed Transition cos	sts			0	0	0
40				_			
41 Total T	ennessee Gas Area FT-G No	on-Commodity		=	2,925,726	2,925,726	0
42							
43							
44							
45							
46							
47							
48							
49							
50							
51							

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Texas Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 5 of 11

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1)	(2)	(-)	,

Line		Tariff		D		Rate		Total
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Purcl	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu		S
				Mcf	MINIDIA	PUMINIOUS		. J
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6					91,000			
7	Firm Transportation				31,000	6.5910		599,781
8	Indexed Gas Cost	••				0.0439		3,995
9	Base (Weighted on MDQs)	25				0.0000		0,555
10	TCA Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
11	Unrecovered TCA Surcharge	25				0.0000		0
12	Cash-out Adjustment	25				0.0000		0
13	GRI	25				0.0016		146
14	ACA	25	1 7707			0.1160		10,556
15	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	1.73%		-	6.7525		614,478
16						0.7323		014,478
17	No Notice Storage				340,681			
18	Net (Injections)/Withdrawals				340,661	6.5910		2,245,428
19	Indexed Gas Cost					0.0506		17,238
20	Commodity (Zone 3)	20						73,519
21	Fuel and Loss Retention @	36	3.17%		-	0.2158		2,336,185
22						6.8574		2,330,163
23								
24				-	(0.4.47.1	6.0050		2.050.662
25	Total Purchases in Texas Area				431,681	6.8353		2,950,663
26								
27								
28	Used to allocate transportation n	on-commodity						
29								
30				Annualized		Commodity		
31				MDQs in		Charge		Weighted
32	Texas Gas			MMbtu	Allocation	\$/MMbtu		Average
33	SL to Zone 2		-	12,617,673	25.15%	\$0.0399	\$	0.0100
33 34	SL to Zone 3			30,610,980	61.01%	0.0445	-	0.0271
	1 to Zone 3			2,344,395	4.67%	0.0422		0.0020
35				4,598,269	9.17%	0.0528		0.0048
36	SL to Zone 4		-	50,171,317	100.00%	010000	\$	0.0439
37	Total			71,11,11	100.0070		_	2.0.103
38	T							
39	Tennessee Gas			27,393	9.40%	0.0880	S	0.0083
40	0 to Zone 2			263,952	90.60%	0.0000		0.0703
41	1 to Zone 2		-	291,345	100.00%	0.0170	S	0.0786
42	Total			471,343	100.0076			0.0100
43								

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Purchases in Tennessee Gas Service Area

Exhibit B Page 6 of 11

(1) (2) (3) (4)

No.         Description         Sheet No.         Purchases         Rate           Mcf         MMbtu         \$/MMbtu           1         FT-A and FT-G         659,675           2         Indexed Gas Cost         6.5           3         Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs)         0.0           4         GR1         23C         0.0           5         ACA         23C         0.0	910 4,347,918 186 51,850 100 0
Mcf MMbtu \$/MMbt  1 FT-A and FT-G 2 Indexed Gas Cost 3 Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs) 4 GRI 23C 659,675 6.5' 6.5' 6.5' 6.5' 6.5'	910 4,347,918 186 51,850 100 0
2 Indexed Gas Cost 3 Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs) 4 GRI 23C 6.5' 0.0' 0.0'	786 51,850 000 0
FI A and FI S   6.5    Indexed Gas Cost	786 51,850 000 0
2 indexed das Cost 3 Base Commodity (Weighted on MDQs) 4 GRI 23C 0.0	786 51,850 000 0
4 GRI 23C 0.0	000 0
4 GRI 250	
5 AGA 23C 0.0	
J ACA	
6 Transition Cost 23C 0.0	
7 Fuel and Loss Retention 29 4.28% 0.2	
8	559 4,595,229
9	
10	
11 FT-GS 120,440	
12 Indexed Gas Cost	
13 Rese Rate 20 0.5	
14 GRI 20 0.0	
15 ACA 20 0.0	193
16 PCB Adjustment 20 0.0	000
17 Settlement Surcharge 20 0.0	000
18 Fuel and Loss Retention 29 4.28% 0.2	35,494
18 Fuel and Loss Resembler 7.4	717 899,892
**	
20	
21	
22 Gas Storage 23 FT A R FT G Market Area Unjections \(\text{Withdrawals}\) 215,385	
25 FI-A & FI-O Market Alea (Injections), Wildiawais	1,408,618
24 Indexed Gas Cost/Storage	
25 Injection Rate	
26 Puel and Loss Retention	The same of the sa
27 Total	.,,
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	6007.000
37 Total Tennessee Gas Zones 995,500 6.9	6,927,238
38	
39	

Atmos E	Inergy Corporation						Exhibit B
Expected	d Gas Cost						Page 7 of 11
Trunklin							
					4-1	4.50	
Commo	lity			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Line		Tariff					
No.	Description	Sheet No.		Purc		Rate	Total
				Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
	1 Firm Transportation						
	2 Expected Volumes				219,500		
	3 Indexed Gas Cost					6.5910	1,446,725
	4 Base Commodity					0.0213	4,675
	5 GRI	10				-	0
	6 ACA	10				0.0016	351
	7 Fuel and Loss Retention	10	0.13%			0.0086	1,888
	8					6.6225	1,453,639
	9						
	10						
Non-Cor	nmodity						
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		(1)	(2)	(5)		Commodity	(-)
Line		Tariff	Annual				Transition
No.	Description	Sheet No.	Units	Rate	Total	Demand	Costs
			MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$	\$	\$
		rea.	07 475				
		1573	87,475	7 2000	629,820	629,820	
	12 Discount Rate on MDQs			7.2000	629,820	029,020	
	13 14		92,125				
	15 GRI Surcharge	10	72,123		0	_	
	16	10			ū		
	17 Reservation Fee				~	_	
	18						
	19 Total Trunkline Area Non-Con	rmoditu			629,820	629,820	
	20	amouny			027,020	027,020	
	21						

Demand Charge Calculation

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Total Demand Cost:						
2	Texas Gas	\$16,720,559					
3	Midwestern	0					
4	Tennessee Gas	2,925,726					
5	Trunkline	629,820					
6	Total	\$20,276,105					
7	Total	020,270,103					
8			Allocated	Related	h	ionthly Demand Charge	
	Demand Cost Allocation:	Factors	Demand	Volumes	Firm	Interruptible	HLF
9		0.1850	\$3,751,079	20,401,274	0.1839	0.1839	0.1839
10	All Firm	0.8150	16,525,026		0.8733	NA	NA
11		1.0000	\$20,276,105		1.0572	0.1839	0.1839
12	Total	1.0000	520,270,103		1.0572	011022	
13			Volumetri	Paris for			
14		A	Monthly De				
15		Annualized	All	Firm			
16		Mcf @14.65	All	FIIII			
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:		10.000.074	10 007 274	1.0572		
19	G-ī	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274		+ HLF MDQ Demand	
20	HLF	60,000	60,000	0		THE WINQ Deliland	
21	LVS-1	0	0	10.000.001	1.0572		
22	Total Firm Sales	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,887,274			
23							
24	Transportation:						
25	T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000	1.0572		
26	HLF	0	0		0.1839		
27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,923,274			
28							
29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000		1.0572	0.1839	
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000		1.0572	0.1839	
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000				
34							
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2 \ G-2	580,000	580,000		1.0572	0.1839	
37							
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000				
39	,						
40	Carriage Service						
41	T-3 & T-4	23.438,000					
42	1 2 00 1 7						
43	Total	43,839,274	20,401,274	18,923,274			
44	Total	,	,				
45	HLF MDO Demand						
46	Firm Demand Cost		\$16,525,026				
				Mcf/Peak Day			
47	Peak Day Thru-put			Months/Year			
48	Times:		3,625,824				
49	Total Annualized Peak Day Demand			/ MDQ of Custome	r's Contract		
50	Demand Charge per MDQ		T-1.77 / O	, who or constonic	, o contact		
51							
52	ar a rayo o da	יורג סרפן					
53	Note: LVS Credit =	(\$28,321)					

Line		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
No.			<u> </u>				
1	Other Fixed Charges	Take-or-Pay	Transition				
2	Texas Gas	<u>,</u>	\$0				
3	Tennessee Gas		0				
4	Total	50	\$0				
5							
6				-			
7			Related	Charge			
8	Other Fixed Charges	Amount	Volumes				
9	Take-or-Pay	0	43,839,274				
10	Transition	0	20,401,274	0.0000			
11	Total	\$0		0.0000			
12							
13			Volumetric	Dagie for			
14		,	Other Fixed			Other Fix	ed Charges
15		Annual	Take-or-Pay	Transition		Take-or-Pay	Transition
16		Expected Mcf	Take-or-ray	1 I ansition			
17	Firm Service						
18	Sales:	18,887,274	18,887,274	18,887,274			0.0000
19	G-1	60,000	60,000	60,000			0.000.0
20	HLF	00,000	000,000	0			0.0000
21	LVS-1	18,947,274	18,947,274	18,947,274			
22	Total Firm Sales	10,547,574	10,5 17,01				
23	m						
24	Transportation: T-2 \ G-1	36,000	36,000	36,000			0.0000
25	T-2 \ G-1 \ HLF	0	,				0.0000
26 27	Total Firm Service	18,983,274	18,983,274	18,983,274			
28	TOTAL FILLI SELVICE	10,500,00					
26 29	Interruptible Service						
30	Sales:						
31	G-2	684,000	684,000	684,000			0.0000
32	LVS-2	154,000	154,000	154,000			0.0000
33	Total Sales	838,000	838,000	838,000			
34	Total Bailes	·					
35	Transportation:						
36	T-2\G-2	580,000	580,000	580,000			0.0000
37	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
38	Total Interruptible Service	1,418,000	1,418,000	1,418,000			
39							
40	Carriage Service						
41	T-3 & T-4	23,438,000	23,438,000	NA			
42							
43	Total	43,839,274	43,839,274	20,401,274			
44							
45							
46	Note: LVS Credit =	\$0					
47							

Expected Gas Cost - Commodity

Total System

Exhibit B Page 10 of 11

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

ine lo. Description	Purchases		Rate	Total
o. Description	Mcf	MMbtu	\$/MMbtu	\$
1 Texas Gas Area			0.0000	0
2 No Notice Service	0 88,780	0 91,000	0.0000 6.7525	0 614,478
3 Firm Transportation	332,372	340,681	6.8574	2,336,185
4 No Notice Storage 5 Total Texas Gas Area	421,152	431,681	6.8353	2,950,663
6	1000,000	.51,557		-,,-
7 Tennessee Gas Area				
8 FT-A and FT-G	634,303	659,675	6.9659	4,595,229
9 FT-GS	115,808	120,440	7.4717	899,892
10 Gas Storage				
11 FT-A and FT-G Injections	207,101	215,385	6.6491	1,432,117
12 FT-GS Withdrawals	0	0	0.0000 6.9586	6,927,238
13	957,212	995,500	0.9380	0,921,230
14 Trunkline Gas Area	212,077	219,500	6.6225	1,453,639
15 Firm Transportation 16	212,077	19,500	0.0225	1,100,000
17				
18 WKG System Storage				
19 Injections	(759,591)	(778,581)	6.4373	(5,011,948
20 Withdrawals	3,680,000	3,772,000	7.1670	27,033,924
21 Net WKG Storage	2,920,409	2,993,419	7.3568	22,021,976
22				
23			c =====	411 007
24 Local Production	59,512	61,000	6.7525	411,903
25				
26 27				
28 Total Commodity Purchases	4,570,362	4,701,100	7.1825	33,765,419
29	1,570,000	.,,,,,,,,		
30 Lost & Unaccounted for @ 1.38%	63,071	64,875		
31				
32 Total Deliveries	4,507,291	4,636,225	7.2830	33,765,419
33				
34 LVS Commodity Credit to Syste				
35 LVS Sales	(20,000)	(20,572)	9.4164	(193,714
36				
	4,487,291	4,615,653	7.2734	33,571,705
38 Total Expected Commodity Cost	4,487,291	4,013,033	7.2754	33,371,703
39 40 Expected Commodity Cost (\$/Mcf)			7.4815	
41		=		
42				
43				

Atmos Energy Corporation

Load Factor Calculation for Demand Allocation

Exhibit B Page 11 of 11

Line			
No.	Description	MCF	
	Annualized Volumes Subject to Demand Charges		
1	Sales Volume	19,631,274	
2	Large Volume Sales (Annualized)	154,000	
3	Transportation	616,000	
4	Total Mcf Billed Demand Charges	20,401,274	
5	Divided by: Days/Year	365	
7	Average Daily Sales and Transport Volumes	55,894	
8			
10	Peak Day Sales and Transportation Volume		
11	Estimated total company firm requirements for 5 degree average		
12	temperature day from Peak Day Book - with adjustments per rate filing	<u>302,152</u> .	Mcf/Peak Day
13			
14			
15	New Load Factor (line 7 / line 12)	0.1850	

ᆣ

Eighth Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective

# Superseding: Substitute Seventh Revised Sheet No. 20

Currently Effective Maximum Transportation Rates (\$ per NMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule NNS

Currently Rffective Rates (3)	0.1800 0.0269 0.2069	0.2782 0.0447 0.3229	0.3088 0.0476 0.3564	0.3543 0.0506 0.4049	0,4190 0,0630 0,4820
FERC ACA (2)	0.0016 0.0016	0.0016 0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016
Base Tariff Rates (1)	0.1800 0.0253 0.2053	0.2782 0.0431 0.3213	0.3088 0.0460 0.3548	0.3543 0.0490 0.4033	0.4190 0.0514 0.4804
	Zone SL Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 1 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 2 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 3 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun	Zone 4 Daily Demand Commodity Overrun

Minimum Rate: Demand \$-0-; Commodity - Zone SL 0.0163
Zone 1 0.0186
Zone 2 0.0223
Zone 3 0.0262
Zone 4 0.0308

The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions. Note:

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Bastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental transportation charge of:

Daily Demand \$0.0621 Commodity \$0.0155 Overrun \$0.0776 This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 24 : Effective

Superseding: Second Sub Fourth Rev Sheet No. 24

Currently Effective Maximum Daily Demand Rates (\$ per MMBtu)

For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently Effective Rates [1]

0.0794	0.1552	0.2120	0.2494	0.3142	0.1252	0.1820	0.2194	0.2842	0.1332	0.1705	0.2334	0.1181	0.1810	0,1374	
ST.=ST,	1 1 1 N	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	QT:-3	5-17S	1	1 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 - 1	1 0	) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	3 4:0	1 (r 1 (r	) d	ים ול היים ולי	#! ! !*

Minimum Rates: Demand \$-0-

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

[1] Currently Effective Rates are equal to the Base Tariff Rates.

Note: The maximum reservation charge component of the maximum firm volumetric capacity release rate shall be the applicable maximum daily demand rate herein pursuant to Section 25 of the General Terms and Conditions.

For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, LP interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Daily Demand charge of \$0.0621. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS.

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 25 : Effective

# Superseding: Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 25

Currently Effective Maximum Commodity Rates (\$ per MMBtu) For Service Under Rate Schedule FT

Currently Effective Rates (3)	0.0120	0.0461	0.0544	0.0353	0.0401	0.0438	0.0524	0.0339	0.0376	0.0462	0.0328	0.0414	0.0376
FERC ACA (2)	0.0016 0.0016	0.0016	0,0016	0.0016	0.0016	0,0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016
Base Tariff Rates (1)	0.0104	0.0399	0.0528	0.0337	0.0385	0.0422	0.0508	0.0323	0.0360	0.0446	0.0312	0.0398	0.0360
	SL-SL SL-1	SL-2	ST,-2	1-1	1-2	1-3	1-4	2-2	2-3	2-4	3-3	3-4	4-4

Minimum Rates: Commodity minimum base rates are presented on Sheet 31.

Backhaul rates equal fronthaul rates to zone of delivery.

interconnect near Beckville, Texas, the above rates shall be increased to include an incremental Commodity charge of \$0.0155. This receipt point is available to those customers agreeing to pay the incremental rate(s) applicable to such point and is not available for pooling under Rate Schedule TAPS. For receipts from Enterprise Texas Pipeline, L.P./Texas Eastern Transmission, LP Note:

Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 36 : Effective

Superseding: Sub 1 Rev 3 Rev Sheet No. 36

materia Finel Refention Percentages

	SUMMER	FAP{2} GFRP{3}	(0.34%) 2.00% 0.21% 2.18% 0.19% 2.36% 0.98% 3.23% 0.26% 3.00%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FAP BFRP	0.75% 0.97% (0.01%) 1.47%	_	_	(0.45%) 0.00%	_	(0.12%) 0.01% (0.09%) 0.54%	0.19% 0.71%	non	EFRP
	NNS/SGT/SNS SUMMER	PFRP [1] F	2.34% (0 1.97% 0 2.17% 0 2.25% 0	SUMMER	PFRP	0.22%	1.91%	2.44%	0.45%	1.06%	0.13% 0.63%	0.52%	Injection	FAP
OI LIE GENETAL TELMS CONTROLLES	/A ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	Delivery Zone	31 L 2 2 2 4	SCHEDULES	Rec/Del Zone	St or 1/St St. or 1/1	i d	SL OF $1/3$ SL OF $1/4$	2/2	2/4	3/3 3/4	4/4	SCHEDULES	PFRP
n 16 OI CHE GENEL NNS/SGT/SNS RATE		EFRP{3}	2.49% 2.70% 3.17%	7/IT RATE	BFRP	0.93%	1.56%	2,49%	0.10%	1.13%	0.11% 1.18%	1.08%	FSS/ISS RATE	EFRP
Section	WINTER	FAP {2}	(0.54%) 0.16% (0.02%) 0.38% (0.12%)		FAP	0.71%	(0.07%)	(0.07%) (0.05%)	(0.23%)	0.57% (0.50%)	(0.15%) 0.19%	0.32%	Withdrawal	
Pursuant to	NNS/SGT	PERP{1}	2.33% 2.72% 2.72% 4.08%	WINTER	i	0.22%	1.33% 1.63%	1.80% 2.54%	0.33%	0.56% 1.29%	0.26%	0.76%	With	FAP
Σ.		Delivery Zone	SL 1		Rec/Del Zone	1/SL	1/1	or 1/3 or 1/4	. 21	2/3 2/4	3/3 3/4	4/4		PFRP

<sup>[1]</sup> Projected Fuel Retention Percentage
[2] Fuel Adjustment Percentage
[3] Effective Fuel Retention Percentage

Thirty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 20 : Effective Superseding: Thirty-Third Revised Sheet No. 20 .

	)   	9 !	.069	\$0.9804	\$0.6852	\$0.6698	\$0.3466	\$0.2374	1 1 1 1	9	0.	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000			1 4 5 1 1	9	.071	\$0.9820	\$0.6868			-0.5
SE)	1	ហ	.8952	0.8052		\$0.4951	0.1989	\$0.3466	1	 !		\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000		\$0.000				\$0,8968		\$0	\$0.2327	0	\$0.3482
RATES (FT-GS)		4	.7814		0.4144		\$0.2311	\$0.4061		1 4	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.000			!	\$0.7830	\$0.6931		S0 1902		\$0.4077
- 68				5849	\$0.2897	\$0.1489	0.495	\$0.6698		1	\$0.000	\$0.0000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	U}-		NE		\$0.6764		\$0	\$0.1505 \$0.4011	\$0.4967	
TRANSPORTATION	RY ZO	2 2	\$0.5844	\$0.4951	2000		0.5106	\$0.6852	RY Z	2	\$0.000	\$0.000		\$0.0000				DELIVERY ZONE	2	\$0.5860	\$0.4967	\$0.2016	\$0.2913	\$0.5122	
		1	\$0.4203	\$0.3268		\$0.5849	0	\$0.9804		1 1 1 H	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	30.0000	\$0.000	\$0.0016		 	\$0.4219		\$0.4967	\$0.5865	\$0.8068	\$0.9820
FIRM		ij	 	\$0.1771						1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.000							; ; ; ; ;	40 1787	<b>}-</b>				
		i	\$0.2138	\$0.4318	\$0.5844	\$0.6748	\$0.7995 \$0.8952	\$1.0698		1	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.0000	30.0000	\$0.0000			0	\$0.2154	\$0.4334	\$0.5860	\$0.6764	\$0.8968	\$1.0714
	:	RECEIPT	0	그 ⊣			41 RJ	9		RECETPT ZONE	0	그 ㄷ	1 73	m <	។ ប	0 0			KECELPT	0 F	1 4	2	ch d	n u	9
RATES PER DEKATHERW	Base Rates								Surcharges		PCB Adjustment: 1/						Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA)	Maximum Rates 2/, 3/	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						

DELIVERY ZONE

Minimum Rates

! ! ! !	ו ו ו ו ו	9020	0250	7000	1,047.0 01.00	\$0.0184	40.040	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	40.003	1
1 1 1 1	1 1 1	ر ا	00	96	ט ה היינה היינה	1 C	707	200	7 7 7	j.
1 1	ر ا ا		30.0¢	( )	30.0V	10.00	70.04	00.00	0.00	. o.
: : : : : : : :	3 4 5	1 (	\$0.0233	0	\$0.020Z	30.0100	40.00% 120.00%	\$0.00±0	\$0.005 \$0.005	90.030
1 1 1 1	м	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191 \$0.0233 \$0.0200 \$0.0320	1	\$0.0067 \$0.0129 \$0.0159 \$10.020 \$ \$2.0067 \$0.006	\$0.0129 \$0.0024 \$0.0054 \$0.0100 \$0.0129 \$0.0184	\$0.0159 \$0.0054 \$0.0004 \$0.0050 \$0.005	\$0.0205 \$0.0100 \$0.0095 \$0.0010 \$ \$0.00\$	\$0.0131 \$0.0126 \$0.0032 \$0.0024	\$0.0184 \$0.000.0\$
1 1 1 1 1 1	73		\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
	H		\$0.0096		\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0205	\$0.0236	\$0.0294
1 1 1	ц	!!!!!!!!!!!!!		\$0.0034						
]   	0	1 1 1 1	\$0.0026		\$00.00\$	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0237	\$0.0268	\$0.0326
- татыта	ZONE		0	ц	н	2	m	4	īΩ	9
	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;									

by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued
November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.
Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.
The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses 1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required

3/

of .5%.

ctive

# 234

RATES PER DEKATHERM

ic tr.	No.
: Effecti	Sheet
Mo. 23A	Revised
Revised Sheet	Seventeenth
Eighteenth Re	Superseding:

RATES PER DEKATHERM			15 19 11 11 12 11	RATE	COMMODITY RAT SCHEDULE FOR	ESE E	T-A	11 17 11 11 11 11	
Base Commodity Rates	:			DELI	DELIVERY ZONE	H			
	RECEIPT	0	} ! !		1 2	. m	1 4	ו ו ו	! 1
	0 1	\$0.0439	1	\$0.0669	\$0.0880	\$0.0978	\$0.1118	\$0.1231	\$0.1608
	⊒ ⊢						\$0.1014		\$0.1503
	21	\$0.0880							\$0.1159
	m s	\$0.0978		\$0.0874	\$0.0530	\$0.0366		50.0765	\$0.1142 \$0.0834
	4 <sub>1</sub> 10	\$0.1231					\$0.0459	\$0.0427	\$0.0765
	φ	\$0.1608					\$0.0834	\$0.0765	\$0.0642
				£		Ē			
Commodity Rates 2/	H			TTTT	DELL VERT GONE	4	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	KECELPT	1 0		i	i 1		4	រប	9
	0	\$0.0026		\$0.0096	\$0,0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0233	\$0.0268	\$0
	Д,	7000	\$0.0034	40 0067	60 0130	01150	¢n 0203	40 0236	\$0 0294
	-1 (7)	\$0.0161		\$0.0129	\$0.0024		\$0.0100		\$0.0189
	m	\$0.0191		\$0.0159	\$0.0054				
	4	\$0.0237		\$0.0205	\$0.0100		\$0.0015	\$0.0032	
	ω i	\$0.0268		0	0.013	0	\$0.0032	\$0.0022	\$0.0069
	۵	\$0.0326		¥0.0294	φο.υταγ	\$0.0184	0 5 0 0 · 0 ¢	6000.04	
Maximum									
modity Rates 1/	E FEICE			DEL	DELIVERY ZONE	VE.	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ZONE	0		: : : :! :	1 01	6		ហ	9
	0	\$0.0455		\$0.0685	\$0.0896		0.1134	\$0.124	0.162
	<b>ц</b> -	30.0685	\$0.0302	\$0.0588	\$0.0792	\$0.0890	\$0.1030	\$0.1142	\$0.1519
	1 (2)	\$0.0896		\$0.0792			\$0.0697	\$0.0799	\$0.1175

\$0.0890 \$0.0546 \$0.0382 \$0.0679 \$0.0781 \$0.1158 \$0.1041 \$0.0697 \$0.0679 \$0.0417 \$0.0475 \$0.0850 \$0.1142 \$0.0799 \$0.0781 \$0.0475 \$0.0443 \$0.0781 \$0.1519 \$0.1175 \$0.1158 \$0.0850 \$0.0781 \$0.0658
\$0.0679 \$0.0417 \$0.0475 \$0.0850
\$0.0382 \$0.0679 \$0.0781 \$0.1158
\$0.0546 \$0.0697 \$0.0799 \$0.1175
\$0.0890 \$0.1041 \$0.1142 \$0.1519
\$0.0994 \$0.1145 \$0.1247 \$0.1624
ស 4 ៧ ០

Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

\$0,0016

2/ The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%.

Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B : Effective

Superseding: Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 23B

RATES PER DEKATHERM

FIRM TRANSPORTATION RATES RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G

\$15.15 \$10.39 \$10.14 \$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16 \$15.15 \$10.39 \$10.14 \$16.59 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$16.59 9 9 \$12.64 \$7.89 \$7.64 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$12.64 \$7.89 \$7.64 \$3.38 \$2.85 \$14.09 \$0.00 \$14,09 ľΩ 5 LO \$10.77 \$6.32 \$6.08 \$6.32 \$6.08 \$6.08 \$2.71 \$3.38 \$5.89 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$12.22 \$12.22 4 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$9.08 \$4.32 \$2.05 \$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$10.53 \$10.53 DELIVERY ZONE DELIVERY ZONE m DELIVERY ZONE m \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$0.00 \$9.06 \$7.62 \$2.86 \$4.32 \$6.32 \$7.89 \$9.06 N 7 Ø \$4.92 \$7.62 \$9.08 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$4.92 \$7.62 \$9.08 \$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15 \$6.45 \$6.45 \$2.71 \$0.00 \$2.71 Д Н H \$6.66 \$9.06 \$10.53 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.10 \$6.66 \$9.06 \$12.53 \$14.09 \$16.59 \$0.00 \$3.10 \$10.53 0 0 0 RECEIPT RECEIPT RECEIPT ZONE ZONE ZONE 3770 0 H H B B H F O 01112110 Maximum Reservation Rates 2/ PCB Adjustment: 1/ Base Reservation Rates Surcharges

\$5.89 \$4.93 \$3.16
\$3.38 \$2.85 \$4.93
\$2.71 \$3.38 \$5.89
\$6.08 \$7.64 \$10.14
\$6.32 \$7.89 \$10.39
\$11.08 \$12.64 \$15.15
\$12.53 \$14.09 \$16.59
4 10 0

Minimum Base Reservation Rates The minimum FT-G Reservation Rate is \$0.00 per Dth

Notes:

1/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000,
was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required by the
stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996. Maximum rates are inclusive of base rates and above surcharges.

72

Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C : Effective

Superseding: Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 23C

RATES PER DEKATHERM

COMMODITY RATES

\$0.0572 \$0.0776 \$0.0874 \$0.1014 \$0.1126 \$0.1503 \$0.0776 \$0.0433 \$0.0530 \$0.0681 \$0.0783 \$0.1159 \$0.0874 \$0.0530 \$0.0366 \$0.0663 \$0.0765 \$0.1142 \$0.1025 \$0.0681 \$0.0663 \$0.0401 \$0.0459 \$0.0834 \$0.1126 \$0.0783 \$0.0765 \$0.0459 \$0.0427 \$0.0765 \$0.11503 \$0.1159 \$0.1142 \$0.0834 \$0.0765 \$0.0642 \$0.0669 <u>\$0.0880</u> \$0.0978 \$0.1118 \$0.1231 \$0.1608 9 ហ RATE SCHEDULE FOR FT-G ო DELIVERY ZONE (4 \$0.0286 Н \$0.1129 \$0.1231 \$0.0439 \$0.0669 \$0.0880 \$0.0978 \$0.1608 0 RECEIPT ZONE 011126450 Base Commodity Rate

Commodity Rates 2/ Minimum

		0.0326	7000	# C T O T O T	SATD OF	30.0184	0600.00	\$0.00ey	\$0.003
	1	0.02	ט ט מיט ט	5 0CZO.0¢	\$0.0131	\$0.0126	\$0.0205 \$0.0100 \$0.0095 \$0.0015 \$0.0032 \$0.0090	\$0.0022	\$0.0069
	T T	\$0.0233		30.020Z	\$0.0100	\$0.00\$	\$0.0015	\$0.0032	\$0.0090
闰	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0191	1	SCIO.OS	\$0.0054	\$0.0004	\$00.095	\$0.0126	\$0.0184
DELIVERY ZONE	7	.0096 \$0.0161 \$0.0191		\$0.0129	\$0.0024	\$0.0054	\$0.0100	\$0.0131	\$0.0189
DELL	1	\$0.0096	1	\$0.0067	\$0.0129	\$0.0159	\$0.0205	\$0.0236	\$0.0294
	1 1	•	\$0.0034						
	0	\$0.0026		\$0.0096	\$0.0161	\$0.0191	\$0.0237	\$0.0268	\$0.0326
	RECEIPT ZONE	0	ı	Н	7	m	4	ល	9

1/, 2/ Commodity Rates Maximum

	i i		! ! ! !	\$0.1624		\$0.1519	\$0.1175
	1	ഹ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0685 \$0.0896 \$0.0994 \$0.1134 \$0.1247 \$0.1624		\$0.0588 \$0.0792 \$0.0890 \$0.1030 \$0.1142 \$0.1519	\$0.0792 \$0.0449 \$0.0546 \$0.0697 \$0.0799 \$0.1175
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	41	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.1134		\$0.1030	\$0.0697
띰	1 1 1 1 1	m	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0994		\$0.0890	\$0.0546
DELIVERY ZONE	1 1 1 1 1 1	7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0896		\$0.0792	\$0.0449
DELT	1 1 1 1 1 1	Н		\$0.0685		\$0.0588	\$0.0792
	1 1 1 1 1 1	ᄓ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$0.0302		
	1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1	\$0.0455		\$0.0685	\$0.0896
	RECEIPT	ZOME		0	Д	! ⊢	73

\$0.1158 \$0.0850 \$0.0781 \$0.0658
\$0.0781 \$0.0475 \$0.0443 \$0.0781
\$0.0679 \$0.0417 \$0.0475 \$0.0850
\$0.0382 \$0.0679 \$0.0781 \$0.1158
\$0.0546 \$0.0697 \$0.0799 \$0.1175
\$0.0890 \$0.0546 \$0.0382 \$0.0679 \$0.0781 \$0.1158 \$0.1041 \$0.0697 \$0.0679 \$0.0417 \$0.0475 \$0.0850 \$0.1142 \$0.0799 \$0.0781 \$0.0475 \$0.0443 \$0.0781 \$0.1519 \$0.1175 \$0.1158 \$0.0850 \$0.0781 \$0.0658
\$0.0994 \$0.1145 \$0.1247 \$0.1624
w 4 rv 0 ₩ 40 40 40

#### Notes:

1/ The above maximum rates include a per Dth charge for: (ACA) Annual Charge Adjustment

\$0.0016

The applicable fuel retention percentages are listed on Sheet No. 29, provided that for service rendered solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%. solely by displacement, shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of .5%. 2/

Sixteenth Revised Sheet No. 27 : Effective

# 23 Superseding: Fifteenth Revised Sheet No.

	Retention Percent 1/	1.49%	1.49%	1. 1. ዓ	1.49%
	Current Adjustment	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	\$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	\$0.0993 \$0.0053 \$0.0053
STORAGE SERVICE	ADJUSTMENTS (ACA) (TCSM) (PCB) 2/	\$0.000	\$0.000	0000.0\$	\$0.000
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Tariff Rate	\$2.02 \$0.0248 \$0.0053 \$0.0053 \$0.2427	\$1.15 \$0.0185 \$0.0102 \$0.0102 \$0.1380	ICE \$0.0848 \$0.0102 \$0.0102	TCE \$0.0993 \$0.0053
RATES PER DEKATHERM	Rate Schedule and Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS) - PRODUCTION AREA Deliverability Rate Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate Overrun Rate	FIRM STORAGE SERVICE (FS) - MARKET AREA	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - MARKET AREA ===================================	INTERRUPTIBLE STORAGE SERVICE (IS) - PRODUCTION AREA  Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate

<sup>1/</sup> The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required by the strewised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2008 as required by the Stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

	3.25%
\$0.7819	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1619
	\$0.000 \$0.000\$
\$0.0019	\$0.0019
\$0.7800	\$6.71 \$0.0132 \$0.0102 \$0.0936 \$1.1600
Excess Withdrawal Rate	SS-NE Deliverability Space Rate Injection Rate Withdrawal Rate

1/ The quantity of gas associated with losses is 0.5%.
2/ PCB adjustment surcharge originally effective for PCB Adjustment Period of July 1, 1995 - June 30, 2000, was revised and the PCB Adjustment Period has been extended until June 30, 2006 as required by the stipulation and Agreement filed on May 15, 1995 and approved by Commission Orders issued November 29, 1995 and February 20, 1996.

First Revised Sheet No. 29 : Effective Superseding: Substitute Original Sheet No. 29

FUEL AND LOSS RETENTION PERCENTAGE 1/,2/, 3/

## NOVEMBER - MARCH

			Deliv	Delivery Zone				
RECEIPT	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1
ZONE	0	ц	H	73	m	4	ហ	9
! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	; ; ; ; ; ; ;	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1
0	0.89%		2.79%	5.16%	5.88%	6.79%	7.88%	B.7.7
긔		1,01%						
Н	1.74%		1.918	4.28%	4.99%	5.90%	966.9	7.82%
7	4.59%		2.13%	1.43%	2.15%	3.05%	4.15%	4.98%
m	6.06%		3.60%	1.23%	0.69%	2.64%	3.69%	4.52%
ঝ	7.43%		4.97%	2.68%	3.078	1.09%	1.33%	2.17%
Ŋ	7.518		5.05%	2.76%	3.14%	1.16%	1.28%	2.09%
9	8.93%		6.47%	4.18%	4.56%	2.50%	1,40%	968.0

### APRIL - OCTOBER

	1 1	7.42%	6.67%	4,28%	3.90%	1.92%	1.86%	0.85%
	ī	6.72%	5.97%	3.58%	3.19%	1.21%	1.17%	1.27%
	4	5.80%	5.06%	2.66%	2,32%	1.01%	1.07%	2.20%
	1 100	5.04% 5.80%	4.29%	1.90%	0.67%	2.67%	2,74%	3.93%
y Zone		1	3,69%	1.30%	1,13%	2.35%	2.41%	3.61%
Delivery Zone	7	2.448	1.70%	1,88%	3.12%	4.28%	4.34%	5.53%
	, , ,	т О	, ) ,					
	0	0.84%	1.56%	3.95%	5.19%	6.34%	6.41%	7.61%
	RECEIPT ZONE	. 0 -	1 -1	2	33	4	ហ	9

- $1 \setminus 1$ ncluded in the above Fuel and Loss Retention Percentages is the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
  - 2) For service that is rendered entirely by displacement shipper shall render only the quantity of gas associated with losses of 0.5%.
- 3\ The above percentages are applicable to (IT) Interruptible Transportation, (FT-A) Firm Transportation, (FT-GS) Firm Transportation-GS, (PAT) Preferred Access Transportation, (IT-X) Interuptible Transportation-X, (FT-G) Firm Transportation-G, (EDS/ERS) FT- A Extended Transportation Service.

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 10 : Effective

# Superseding: Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 10

## CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE RATES

Bach rate set forth in this Tariff is the currently effective rate pertaining to the particular rate schedule to which it is referenced, but each such rate is separate and independent and the change in any such rate shall not thereby effect a change in any other rate or rate schedule.

mun Fuel Dt Reimbursement (5)		/7) 9. CC.T T#TO.O	0.0117 1.21 % (2)	0.0062 0.32 % (2)	0.0011 0.05 % (2)	0.0130 1.36 % (2)	0.0106 1.02 % (2)	0051 0.13 % (2)	0.0079 1.09 % (2)
Minimum Rate Per Dt	₹	η. -	₹/}-	₹/}-	-tv-	₹ <b>V</b> }-	£0.	\$ <del>.</del>	-CD-
Maximum Rate Per Dt	7097.6 \$	0.0141 0.3192 \$ 6.0096		\$ 4.5557 0.0062 0.1498				1	\$ 7.3683 0.0079 0.2422
Adjustment  Sec. 24 	ı	1 1 1	t t	1 1 1	t t t	; 1 1	1 1 1	1 1	j f i
Base Rate Per Dt	7607.6 \$	0.0141 0.3192 6 6 0096		\$ 4.5557 0.0062 0.1498					\$ 7.3683 0.0079 0.2422
Parr GCHEDIII. R PT	Field Zone to Zone 2 - Reservation Rate	- Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3) Zone 1A to Zone 2	- Keselvation water of the consideration water (1) - Overrun Rater (3) Zone 1B to Zone 2	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3) Zone 2 Only	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrum Rate (3) Field Zone to Zone 1B	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrum Rate (3) Zone 1A to Zone 1B	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3) Zone 1B Only	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3) Field Zone to Zone 1A	- Reservation Rate - Usage Rate (1) - Overrun Rate (3) Zone 1A Only

	\$ 3.6682	1	\$ 3.6682	3.6682 ". 0.0055 \$ 0.0055	0.75 % (2).
0.0055		**	0 1206	1	,
0.1200		ı			
4 3 7001		ł	\$ 3.7001	1	ı
1000			7600 0	¢ 0 0024	0.20 % (2)
0.0024		1	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	÷	
0.1216		1	0.1216	I	
athoring Charge (All Zones)					
\$ 0.3257			\$ 0.3257		
0.0107			0.0107		

(1) Excludes Section 21 Annual Charge Adjustment: \$0.0016 (2) Fuel reimbursement for backhauls is 0.31% (3) Maximum firm volumetric rate applicable for capacity release (1) Excludes Section 21 Annual Charge Adjustment: \$0.0018 (2) Fuel reimbursement for backhauls is 0.41% (3) Maximum firm volumetric rate applicable for capacity release

## Atmos Energy Corporation

Basis for Indexed Gas Cost For the Quarter of February 2007 - April 2007 2006-00000

The projected commodity price was provided by the Gas Supply Department and was based upon the following:

February 2007 - April 2007 during the period December 20, 2006 through December 29, 2006 The Gas Supply Department reviewed the NYMEX futures close prices for the quarter of which are listed below: Ą

Apr-07 (\$/MMBTU)	7.034	7.096	6.925	6.508	6.357	6.482	6.603	\$6.715
Mar-07 (\$/MMBTU)	7.014	7.063	6.880	6.418	6.257	6.392	6.503	\$6.647
Feb-07 (\$/MMBTU)	6.949	086.9	6.810	6.333	6.142	6.248	6.299	\$6.537
	20/00/64	14/20/00	00/17/21	00/22/21 00/32/21	70/00/00	30/0c/cr	12/29/06	

Wednesday

Tuesday

Thursday

Friday

Wednesday

Thursday

Friday

Gas Supply believes prices will remain stable and prices for the quarter of Feb 2007 - Apri 2007 will settle at 6.591 per Mmbtu for the period that the GCA is to be effective. B.

In support of Item B, a worksheet entitled "Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas" has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality in this Case.

Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division For the Month of November, 2006

WKG Cash-out Price	\$7.4356 6.6968 5.9580	\$7.4386 6.6998 5.9610	\$7.4510 6.7122 5.9734	\$6.9755 6.2868 5.5980
	11 II II	11 11 11	и и и	11 11 11
Transport Charge 2, 3	\$0.0476 0.0476 0.0476	\$0.0506 0.0506 0.0506	\$0.0630 0.0630 0.0630	\$0.0880 0.0880 0.0880
	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Indexed 1 Cash-out Price	\$7.3880 6.6492 5.9104	\$7.3880 6.6492 5.9104	\$7.3880 6.6492 5.9104	\$6.8875 6.1988 5.5100
s served in:	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price	100% of Index Price 90% of Index Price 80% of Index Price
For Kentucky customers served in:	A. Texas Gas: Zone 2 Area	Zone 3 Area	Zone 4 Area	B. Tennessee Gas: Zone 2 Area

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indexed cash-out price is from the pipeline's Electronic Bulletin Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Transport charge used for Texas Gas is its tariff sheet no. 20 commodity rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Transport charge used for Tennessee Gas is its tariff sheet no. 23A maximum commodity rate from zone 0 to zone 2.

Atmos Energy Corporation Estimated Weighted Average Cost of Gas February-07 Through April-07

Texas Gas Trunkline Tennessee Gas TX Gas Storage TN Gas Storage WKG Storage Midwestern

Volumes

February-07 Rate

February-07 Through April-07

March-07

Value Volumes Rate Value Volumes

April-07 Rate

Value

Volumes

Rate

Total Value

(This information has been filed under a Petition for Confidentiality)

WACOGs

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEWED

JAN 1 1 2007
PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

REVISED GAS COST ADJUSTMENT	)	CASE NO.
FILING OF	)	2006 - 00568
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION	)	

#### <u>PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION</u> BEING FILED WITH THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") respectfully petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and all other applicable law, for confidential treatment of the information which is described below and which is attached hereto. In support of this Petition, Atmos states as follows:

1. Atmos is filing its Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") for the quarterly period commencing on February 1, 2007. This GCA filing also contains Atmos' quarterly Correction Factor (CF) as well as information pertaining to Atmos' projected gas prices. The following attachment contains information which requires confidential treatment:

The attached Weighted Average Cost of Gas ("WACOG") schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 19 contains confidential information pertaining to prices projected to be paid by Atmos for purchase contracts.

2. Information of the type described above has previously been filed by Atmos with the Commission under petitions for confidentiality. Exhibit D contains information from which it

could be determined what Atmos is paying for natural gas under its gas supply agreement with its existing supplier. The Commission has consistently granted confidential protection to that type of information in each of the prior GCA filings in KPSC Case No. 1999-070. The information contained in the attached WACOG schedule has also been filed with the Commission under a Petition for Confidentiality in Case No. 97-513.

- 3. All of the information sought to be protected herein as confidential, if publicly disclosed, would have serious adverse consequences to Atmos and its customers. Public disclosure of this information would impose an unfair commercial disadvantage on Atmos. Atmos has successfully negotiated an extremely advantageous gas supply contract that is very beneficial to Atmos and its ratepayers. Detailed information concerning that contract, including commodity costs, demand and transportation charges, reservations fees, etc. on specifically identified pipelines, if made available to Atmos' competitors, (including specifically non-regulated gas marketers), would clearly put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage. Those competitors for gas supply would be able to gain information that is otherwise confidential about Atmos' gas purchases and transportation costs and strategies. The Commission has accordingly granted confidential protection to such information.
- 4. Likewise, the information contained in the WACOG schedule in support of Exhibit C, page 19, also constitutes sensitive, proprietary information which if publicly disclosed would put Atmos to an unfair commercial disadvantage in future negotiations.
- 5. Atmos would not, as a matter of company policy, disclose any of the information for which confidential protection is sought herein to any person or entity, except as required by law or pursuant to a court order or subpoena. Atmos' internal practices and policies are directed towards non-disclosure of the attached information. In fact, the information contained in the

attached report is not disclosed to any personnel of Atmos except those who need to know in order to discharge their responsibility. Atmos has never disclosed such information publicly. This information is not customarily disclosed to the public and is generally recognized as confidential and proprietary in the industry.

- 6. There is no significant interest in public disclosure of the attached information. Any public interest in favor of disclosure of the information is out weighed by the competitive interest in keeping the information confidential.
- 7. The attached information is also entitled to confidential treatment because it constitutes a trade secret under the two prong test of KRS 265.880: (a) the economic value of the information as derived by not being readily ascertainable by other persons who might obtain economic value by its disclosure; and, (b) the information is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. The economic value of the information is derived by Atmos maintaining the confidentiality of the information since competitors and entities with whom Atmos transacts business could obtain economic value by its disclosure.
- 8. Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(3) temporary confidentiality of the attached information should be maintained until the Commission enters an order as to this petition. Once the order regarding confidentiality has been issued, Atmos would have twenty (20) days to seek alternative remedies pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(4).

WHEREFORE, Atmos petitions the Commission to treat as confidential all of the material and information which is included in the attached one volume marked "Confidential".

Respectfully submitted this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2006.

974 Day of January, 2007.

Mark R. Hutchinson

611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Douglas Walther Atmos Energy Corporation P.O. Box 650250 Dallas, Texas 75265

John N. Hughes 124 W. Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Attorneys for Atmos Energy Corporation

#### Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 181

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Please identify and provide copies of the Commission orders that approved the present procedures used to calculate the gas cost adjustment and the weather normalization adjustment.

#### Response:

The current Weather Normalization Adjustment rider was approved for a five-year extension in Case No. 2005-00268. A copy of the Order in that Case is attached as Attachment AG DR 1-181 ATT1, WNA Sheets 1 and 2.

The present procedures used to calculate the Gas Cost Adjustment were established in Case No. 99-070. A copy of that Commission Order is attached and labeled AG DR 1-181 ATT2.

#### Attachment AG DR 1-181 ATT1 WNA Sheet 1 of 2

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

FOR FIVE (5) ADDITIONAL YEARS )	THE APPLICATION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION, FOR AN ORDER CONTINUING THE WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT FOR FIVE (5) ADDITIONAL YEARS	) ) )	CASE NO. 2005-00268
---------------------------------	---	-------------	------------------------

#### ORDER

On June 29, 2005, Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") filed an application requesting to continue its Weather Normalization Adjustment mechanism ("WNA") for 5 additional years, through October 31, 2010. Atmos's WNA was initially approved for a 5-year pilot period commencing on November 1, 2000, as part of the settlement in Case No. 1999-00070. On August 5, 2005, Atmos clarified various items in its application in its response to a Commission Staff data request.

A WNA is designed to mitigate the effects that abnormal heating season weather can have on sales volumes, customer bills, and utility revenues. Atmos's application states that its WNA "has performed very well during the pilot period and has met this intended purpose." Atmos proposes to continue its WNA with no changes to the tariff formulas or the workings of the mechanism.

Based on a review of the application and Atmos's data response and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds that Atmos's request to continue its WNA for 5 additional years is reasonable and should be approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case No. 1999-00070, The Application of Western Kentucky Gas Company for an Adjustment of Rates, Order dated December 21, 1999.

#### Attachment AG DR 1-181 ATT1 WNA Sheet 1 of 2

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- Atmos's WNA shall be continued for a period of 5 years commencing November 1, 2005.
- Within 20 days of the date of this Order, Atmos shall file its revised WNA tariff rider showing the date issued and that it was issued by authority of this Order.
   Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2005.

By the Commission

ATTEST

Executive Director

Case No. 2005-00268

2/2//2007

title:	199900070_12211999.doc
rw_attachment_flag:	N
path_num:	1
file_name:	199900070_12211999.doc
word_link:	msword97
rw_filter:	НТ
top_lines:	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION In the Matter of: THE APPLICATION OF WESTERN) KENTUCKY GAS COMPANY) CASE NO. 99-070 FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES) OR DER On June 23, 1999, Western Kentucky Gas Company ("Western"), a d

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF WESTERN )

KENTUCKY GAS COMPANY ) CASE NO. 99-070

FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES)

ORDER

On June 23, 1999, Western Kentucky Gas Company ("Western"), a division of **Atmos** Energy Corporation, filed a general rate application based on a forecasted test year ending December 31, 2000. Western proposed an increase in revenues of \$14,127,666, an increase of approximately 11.7 percent over its existing revenues.

To determine the reasonableness of the request, the Commission suspended the proposed rates for six months from their effective date pursuant to KRS 278.190(2) up to and including January 23, 2000. The Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention, and WBI Southern, Inc. ("WBI") intervened. The Commission established a procedural schedule that afforded all parties the opportunity to file direct testimony and engage in discovery.

On December 3, 1999, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation and Settlement ("Settlement") resolving, to their satisfaction, the issues in this case. The Settlement is attached as Appendix A. On December 6, 1999, the Commission ordered the parties to file evidence in support of the reasonableness of the Settlement. The parties filed their responses to this Order on December 9, 1999. After review of the Settlement, direct testimony, extensive discovery and the information submitted by the parties to support the settlement, the Commission determined the record to be sufficient to render a decision and cancelled the hearing on Western's rate application scheduled to begin on December 14, 1999.

The parties agree that the Settlement is for the purposes of this case only and shall not be binding on the parties in any other proceeding before this Commission or in any court and shall not be offered or relied upon in any other proceeding involving Western or any other utility

regulated by this Commission.

The parties urge the Commission to review and accept the Settlement in its entirety as a reasonable resolution of the issues in this proceeding. While the overall reasonableness of the Settlement is an important factor, the Commission is bound by law to act in the public interest and review all elements of the Settlement. In determining whether the results of the Settlement are in the public interest and beneficial to the ratepayers, the Commission considered the fact that the Settlement is a unanimous agreement of the parties.

After review of the Settlement, an examination of the record, and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds that the Settlement is generally reasonable, but that certain modifications should be made. Although acceptance of the Settlement is conditioned on certain modifications, the modifications described herein should not significantly affect the agreement.

The following is a synopsis of the terms of the Settlement and together with comments and descriptions of modifications the Commission finds necessary.

1. The parties agree that Western will receive additional annual revenues of approximately \$9,940,000, an overall revenue increase of 8.24 percent. The rate increase will be effective December 15, 1999 and will be allocated among Western's customer classes as follows:

Residential \$ 6,238,259

Commercial 2,385,006

Industrial 901,580

Other revenues 415,089

In determining the overall reasonableness of the proposed increase in annual revenues, the Commission has evaluated all revenue and expense adjustments proposed by Western in light of its traditional rate-making treatment. In addition, it has considered the current economic conditions and the rates of return on common equity that have been authorized in recent cases. Based on a review of all these factors and the evidence of record, the Commission finds that the \$9,940,000 revenue increase will result in earnings that fall within a range reasonable to both Western and its customers and result in rates that are fair, just and reasonable. The Commission finds the rates included in Exhibit A of the Settlement, which is attached as Appendix B of this Order, to be fair, just and reasonable. However, we find the effective date of the rates agreed to by the parties of December 15, 1999 to be untenable. Therefore, the effective date of the rates should be for services rendered on and after the date of this Order.

- 2. Western will recover its demand side management program expenses prospectively for three years beginning in January 2000.
- 3. Western will adjust and establish certain non-recurring charges, including a new late payment charge of 5 percent applicable to all customers served under Rate G-1 that fail to pay for services by the due date shown on their bill. Western will implement this late payment charge in April of 2000. This will provide Western sufficient time to educate its customers on

this new provision. The Commission finds that, in order for it to be familiar with Western's education program and be better prepared to respond to possible customer inquiries, all educational materials should be submitted to the Commission at the same time they are disseminated to Western's customers.

4. Western will implement, as a pilot program for a period of five years, the weather normalization adjustment ("WNA") tariff included in its application, commencing November 1, 2000. Under the terms of the Settlement, Western will submit a monthly report to the Commission summarizing the effect of its WNA on customer bills by cycle for each customer class as well as actual and normal degree days and the number of days in a normal cycle. In addition Western will report a WNA factor and actual total revenues for each cycle.

The Commission finds that a greater amount of information than Western proposes to file on the WNA is necessary, but finds that annual reports, rather than monthly reports, should be filed. Western should file annual reports on the WNA, including the information set out in Appendix C, as soon after each heating season as possible but no later than June 30th of the following summer.

The Commission finds that the commencement date of November 1, 2000 affords Western an opportunity to educate its customers on this new provision and that Western should prepare and disseminate information on this new provision to its customers no later than 90 days prior to the implementation. The Commission further finds that all educational materials and information disseminated by Western to its customers on the WNA should be filed with the Commission for the same reasons enumerated above in Paragraph 3.

Should Western wish to continue the WNA pilot beyond the five year period or implement the WNA on a permanent basis, Western should make such a request in the form of a formal application to be submitted to the Commission when it files its annual WNA report in June 2005.

- 5. Western will adjust its base customer charges as follows: (1) the residential customer charge will increase from \$5.10 to \$7.50; (2) the commercial customer charge will increase from \$13.60 to \$20.00; and (3) the industrial customer charge will increase from \$150.00 to \$220.00.
- 6. Western will implement the industrial margin loss recovery ("MLR") mechanism proposed in its application with one modification. Per the terms of the Settlement the parties agree on a 50-50 sharing of the lost revenue between shareholders and residential customers rather than the originally proposed sharing ratio of 10-90. Western will make semi-annual filings with the Commission, in January and July, that reflect the discounts implemented during the six months ended November and May, respectively.

The Commission finds that this proposal is one of first impression before this Commission and, as such, should be implemented as a pilot for a period of three years. Western should file semi-annual reports on the MLR with the Commission as agreed to in the Settlement with the first report filed in July 2000 reflecting all discounts implemented from the date of this Order through May of 2000. Should Western wish to continue the MLR pilot beyond the three year period or implement the MLR on a permanent basis, Western should make such a request in the form of a formal application to be submitted to the Commission when it makes its semi-annual MLR filing in July 2003.

The Commission finds that there is an unintended discrepancy between the text of the Settlement and the MLR tariff as to the applicability of the 50-50 sharing of lost revenues. Per the MLR tariff attached to the Settlement the 50-50 sharing of lost revenues is to be between the shareholders and all G-1, G-2, LVS-1 and LVS-2 customers. The proposed MLR tariff in Western's application also identified these rate classes as the classes that were to share in the lost revenues. The sharing of lost revenues is approved to apply to all customers served under these rate schedules, as stated in the tariff at Tariff Sheet 29L, not to residential customers only.

- 7. Western will separate its gas cost from base rates by bifurcating its commodity charge into a distribution charge and a gas charge. However, the parties agree that Western is not bound by this provision in future cases.
- 8. Western will begin filing its gas cost adjustment on a quarterly basis beginning with the first quarter following the Commission's ruling on the Settlement.
- 9. Western will begin collecting a Gas Research Institute research and development surcharge.
- 10. Western will modify its proposal on the Alternative Receipt Point T-5 Tariff. It will change the net monthly rate of \$0.10 per Mcf it originally proposed to a \$50.00 monthly administrative fee per customer. The fee will be waived if, during the month, the Alternate Receipt Point represents the only point of receipt utilized by the customer.
- 11. With regard to the interconnection of the East Diamond Field into Western's system, WBI or its subsidiary Kentucky Pipeline and Storage Company will contract for and install facilities in accordance with Western's specifications. Western will take title to the facilities and operate and maintain the facilities as the parties agree to and outline in a finalized interconnection agreement.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. The Settlement set forth in Appendix A to this Order is hereby incorporated into this Order as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. The terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement are approved as modified in this Order.
- 3. The rates and charges, and all other tariff changes included in Exhibit A of the Settlement and attached hereto as Appendix B to this Order are fair, just and reasonable and are approved for service on and after the date of this Order.
- 4. Any party wishing to exercise its right to withdraw from the Settlement because of modifications ordered herein shall notify the Commission in writing of its intent within 10 working days of the date of this Order.
- 5. If the Settlement is withdrawn due to any party's withdrawal from the Settlement, this Order will be vacated.
  - 6. Western shall disseminate educational materials to its customers on the WNA beginning

at least 90 days before its implementation on November 1, 2000.

- 7. Western shall file annual reports on the WNA as soon after each heating season as possible but no later than June 30th of the following summer in the format shown in Appendix C.
- 8. Western shall provide the Commission with all educational materials it provides its customers with regard to the late payment penalty and the WNA at the time such materials are provided to its customers.
- 9. Should Western seek to continue the WNA beyond the pilot period it shall do so only after filing a formal application requesting Commission approval of its proposal to continue the WNA.
- 10. The MLR proposed in the Settlement is approved as a pilot program for a period of three years and shall be applicable to all customers served under Western's G-1, G-2, LVS-1 and LVS-2 rate schedules.
- 11. Western shall file its first MLR report with the Commission in July 2000. The July 2000 MLR report shall reflect all discounts implemented from the date of this Order through May 31, 2000.
- 12. Should Western seek to continue the MLR beyond the pilot period it shall do so only after filing a formal application requesting Commission approval of its proposal to continue the MLR.
- 13. Within 20 days from the date of this Order, Western shall file with the Commission revised tariff sheets setting out the rates and tariffs approved herein for service rendered on and after the date of this Order. These tariff sheets shall show their date of issue, the effective date, and that they were issued by authority of this Order.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of December, 1999.

By the Commission

\*\*\*

ATTEST:			
Executive Director			
Document Properties			
	7		

Author:	PSCUSER
last saved by:	Lewis, Kathy
revision number:	2
company:	Public Service Commission

#### FOR ENTIRE SERVICE AREA P.S.C. NO. 1 Original SHEET No. 23

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### Gas Cost Adjustment

#### Rider GCA

#### 1. Applicable

Gas Tariffs in effect for the entire Service Area of the Company as designated in the particular tariff.

#### 2. Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA)

The Company shall file a Quarterly Report with the Commission which shall contain an updated Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) at least thirty (30) days prior to the beginning of each quarter. The quarterly GCA shall become effective in the months of February, May, August, and November. The GCA shall become effective for meter readings on and after the first day of the quarter. The Company may make out of time filings when warranted.

#### 3. Determination of GCA

The amount computed under each of the rate schedules to which this GCA is applicable shall be increased or decreased at a rate per Mcf calculated for each billing quarter in accordance with the following formula as applicable to each rate class:

$$GCA = EGC + CF + RF$$

Where:

EGC – is the weighted average Expected Gas Cost per Mcf of gas supply which is reasonably expected to be experienced during the quarter the GCA will be applied for billings.

ISSUED: August 9, 2002 EFFECTIVE: October 1, 2002

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 99-070 dated December 21, 1999)

#### FOR ENTIRE SERVICE AREA P.S.C. NO. 1 Original SHEET No. 24

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### Gas Cost Adjustment Rider GCA

#### EGC is composed of the following:

- 1) Expected commodity costs of all current purchases at reasonably expected prices, including all related variable delivery costs and FERC authorized charges (i.e., take-or-pay, transition costs, etc.) billed to the Company on a commodity basis.
- 2) Expected non-commodity costs including pipeline demand charges, gas supplier reservation charges, and FERC authorized charges (i.e., take-or-pay, transition costs, etc.) billed to the Company on a non-commodity basis.
- 3) The cost of other gas sources for system supply (no-notice supply, Company storage, withdrawals, etc.).

#### Less

- 4) The cost of gas purchases expected to be injected into underground storage.
- 5) Projected recovery of non-commodity costs and Lost and Unaccounted for costs from transportation transactions.
- 6) Projected recovery of non-commodity and commodity costs from LVS-1 and LVS-2 transactions.
- 7) The cost of Company-use volumes.
- 8) Projected recovery of non-commodity costs from High Load Factor (HLF) demand charges.

ISSUED: August 9, 2002 EFFECTIVE: October 1, 2002

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 99-070 dated December 21, 1999)

#### FOR ENTIRE SERVICE AREA P.S.C. NO. 1 Original SHEET No. 25

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### Gas Cost Adjustment Rider GCA

CF - is the Correction Factor per Mcf which compensates for the difference between the expected gas cost and the actual gas cost for prior periods.

The Company shall file an updated Correction Factor (CF) in its January, April, July, and October GCA filings, to become effective in February, May, August, and November respectively.

- RF is the sum of any Refund Factors filed in the current and three preceding quarterly filings. The current Refund Factor reflects refunds received from suppliers during the reporting period. The Refund Factor will be determined by dividing the refunds received plus estimated interest<sup>1</sup>, by the annual sales used in the quarterly filing less transported volumes. After a refund factor has remained in effect for twelve months, the difference in the amount received and the amount refunded plus the accrued interest<sup>1</sup> will be rolled into the next refund calculation. The refund account will be operated independently of the CF and only added as a component to the GCA in order to obtain a net GCA. In the event of any large or unusual refunds, the Company may apply to the Commission for the right to depart from the refund procedure herein set forth.
  - At a rate equal to the average of the "3-Month Commercial Paper Rates" for the immediately preceding 12-month period less ½ of 1% to cover the costs of refunding as stated in the KPSC Order from Case No. 7157-KK. These monthly rates are reported in both the Federal Reserve Bulletin and the Federal Reserve Statistical Release.

#### 4. High Load Factor (HLF) Option

Customer with daily contract demands for firm service of 240 Mcf or greater may elect to contract for High Load Factor (HLF) service and will be applicable to G-1, LVS-1, and T-2/G-1 services.

The HLF option provides for billing of the non-commodity costs in the EGC applicable only to firm service on the basis of daily contract demand rather than on a commodity basis.

SSUED: August 9, 2002 EFFECTIVE: October 1, 2002

(Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 99-070 dated December 21, 1999)

### Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 182

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Please provide the zero-intercept study referred to on page 10 of Mr. Uffelman's testimony.

#### Response:

The zero-intercept distribution mains regression analysis is shown on Sheets 6 and 7 of the Kentucky class cost of service study as filed by Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division. The regression analysis is also included in the electronic class cost of service study (i.e., excel workbook tabs labeled "6 Mains" and "7 Mains") provided in response to the Attorney General's Initial Data Request, Question No. 175.

#### Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 183

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Has the Company implemented the "minimum size" methodology described by Mr. Uffelman on page 9 of his testimony? If not, please identify the historic unit cost of the minimum size main on the system and the total feet of main currently in the Company's Kentucky system.

#### Response:

No. The Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division utilized the zero-intercept or zero-inch linear regression analysis to determine the customer and demand components of distribution mains in the class cost of service study (CCOS) as stated on page 10, line 6 of Mr. Uffelman's testimony. The Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division considers two-inch main size to be the distribution mains system minimum for the Kentucky system. The distribution mains footage and historical unit costs for each size of distribution main is shown on Sheet 6 columns 3 and 5 for the 12 month study period ended August 31, 2006 of the CCOS study.

#### Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 184

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Please identify and provide the Commission orders approving the zero-intercept methodology described by Mr. Uffelman on page 10 of his testimony.

#### Response:

As stated on page 10, lines 12 and 13 of Mr. Uffelman's testimony, the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) approved the use of the zero-intercept analysis in the Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division's 1990 rate proceeding in Case No. 90-013. Please see the attachment labeled AG DR1-184 ATT for a copy of the final order in that case.

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



In the Matter of:

RATE ADJUSTMENT OF WESTERN KENTUCKY GAS COMPANY

CASE NO. 90-013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

NET INVESTMENT RATE BASE 2
PLANT ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT/ DEFERRED INCOME TAXES 2
Plant Acquisition Adjustment
Deferred Income Taxes6
Valuation of Working Gas12
Cash-Working Capital Allowance4
Computer Equipment15
12-Month Average for Underground Storage
Construction Work in Progress
Rate Base Determination17
CAPITAL STRUCTURE
REVENUES AND EXPENSES19
Revenue Normalization19
Merchandise Sales and Jobbing20
Amortization Expense21
Employee Dinners and Awards21
Aircraft Charges
Country Club Charges23
Outside Services23
Consultant Fees

Audit Accruals29
Intracompany Payroll Charges26
Payrol126
Payroll Taxes28
Demonstration and Selling Expense28
Heat Pump Advertising30
Miscellaneous Sales Expense30
LP Gas Expense31
Direct Payments to Western Employees31
Group Insurance32
Supplemental Retirement Benefits32
Personal Use of Company Automobiles
Benefits.,,33
Liability Insurance
Arthur Andersen Fees34
Attorney Fees
American Gas Association ("AGA") Dues
Workers' Compensation Audit
Clearing Account Balances
Relocation Expense37
Account 921
Corporate Allocations38
Rate Case Expense40
Pension Expense41
Interest Synchronization42
Federal and State Income Tax42

RATE	OF RETURN43
	Cost of Debt
	Return on Equity44
	Rate of Return Summary45
REVE	NUE REQUIREMENTS46
OTHE	R ISSUES46
	Cost-of-Service Study46
	Revenue Allocation52
	Rate Design
	Carriage Service61
	Energy Assurance Program62
	Standard Contract Form64
	Tariff Changes65
спии	ADV

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



In the Matter of:

;

RATE ADJUSTMENT OF WESTERN KENTUCKY GAS COMPANY

CASE NO. 90-013

# ORDER

On February 13, 1990, Western Kentucky Gas Company ("Western") filed its notice with this Commission requesting authority to adjust its rates for gas service on and after March 15, 1990. The rates proposed by Western would produce additional annual revenues of \$8,972,531, representing an increase of approximately 8 percent. In order to determine the reasonableness of Western's requested increase, the Commission suspended the proposed rates and charges until August 15, 1990.

Motions to intervene in this proceeding were filed by the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers ("KIUC"), Kentucky Legal Services ("KLS"), National Southwire Aluminum ("Southwire"), Logan Aluminum ("Logan"), and the Attorney General by and through his Utility and Rate Intervention Division ("AG"), and Mr. Everett Brawner, a customer of Western. All were granted. A public hearing was held in the Commission's offices in Frankfort, Kentucky, on June 20-22 and June 27-28, 1990. Simultaneous briefs were filed by August 8, 1990 and simultaneous reply briefs were filed by August 15, 1990.

This Order addresses the Commission's findings and determinations with regard to Western's revenue requirements and rate design and establishes rates and charges that will produce additional annual revenues of \$1,018,455 an increase of 1.0 percent over normalized test period revenues.

#### NET INVESTMENT RATE BASE

Western's proposed rate base includes a plant acquisition adjustment in the amount of \$4,119,284 as well as a revalution of working gas storage. 1

# PLANT ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT/DEFERRED INCOME TAXES

In November 1987, the assets of Western were acquired from Texas American Energy Corporation ("TAE"). TAE had operated Western Since 1980 as a division of its diversified gas and oil exploration and production, and natural gas distribution company. As negotiations unfolded in mid to late 1987 for the purchase, Atmos Energy Corporation, formerly Energas Company, ("Atmos") was one of the five finalists and ultimately the successful bidder for the acquisition of Western. Atmos focused all of its attention toward acquiring Western's assets, rather than the stock. However, just prior to the transfer, TAE reorganized Western as a subsidiary and consummated the sale as a stock sale. Western stated in testimony in this proceeding that the primary reason for Atmos' desire to acquire the assets from TAE was the assurance of

í

l Exhibit 6, page 4.

the specific assets it was acquiring and, more importantly, the liabilities it was assuming. Atmos was particularly concerned that since TAE was in a poor financial condition and subject to bankruptcy, that it would not subject itself to liability for any other obligations of TAE. Atmos also wanted to handle the transfer as an asset purchase in order to receive the tax benefits resulting from the increase in the cost basis of the depreciable assets for tax purposes.

The transfer of Western in 1987 had two very significant impacts on the financial statements of Western which affect the revenue requirements as determined for rate-making purposes. The purchase of Western at a price in excess of the depreciated net original cost basis resulted in a utility plant acquisition adjustment of approximately \$4.7 million. The other major impact on revenue requirements was the elimination of the deferred state and federal income taxes and unamortized investment tax credits of \$12.8 million from the books of Western upon the transfer.

# Plant Acquisition Adjustment

The plant acquisition adjustment is determined by calculating the difference in the depreciated net original cost and the purchase price of acquiring utility assets plus the acquisition costs. Western's response to Item 19 of the Commission's Order of April 24, 1990, item 19 reflected that the total acquisition cost used to determine the plant acquisition adjustment was \$6 million. Western proposed to include the entire plant acquisition adjustment in the net investment rate base and to amortize the plant acquisition adjustment acquisition adjustment over 15 years.

In determining the reasonable cost of assets used to provide service, the Commission holds that the depreciated utility original cost is the appropriate standard. However, in a case involving Delta Natural Gas Company, 2 ("Delta") in 1987, the Commission allowed Delta to recover its plant acquisition adjust-In that proceeding, the Commission established certain ment. criteria which a utility must meet in order to justify the increased cost associated with the acquisition. The basic substance of the criteria which must be met is that the additional benefits of the acquisition in excess of book value exceeds the These benefits related to both quality of additional cost. service and economics.

In response to Item 4 of the Commission's Order dated May 30, 1990, Western addressed the criteria established by the Commission in the Delta case. Although many of the benefits are not quantifiable, Western argued that the ratepayers were realizing an immediate benefit resulting from the treatment of the gas inventory. This resulted in a rate base reduction of \$3.8 million. Also, because of the deteriorating financial condition of the former owners, even though the gas distribution operations were not the cause of the financial distress. Western could have experienced increased capital costs had the transfer not taken place.

Case No. 9059, An Adjustment of Rates of Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc.

The AG argues that the plant acquisition adjustment should not be allowed because the primary reason for the acquisition adjustment is the \$6 million in acquisition costs, which are excessive. The AG specifically takes issue with the \$495,000 in bonuses paid to Atmos employees for their efforts in acquiring Western.

The Commission concurs with the AG's position that the acquisition costs are excessive to the extent that bonuses of \$495,000 were paid to Atmos employees. While these may be valid incurred in connection with the acquisition, stockholders of Atmos are the primary beneficiaries and Atmos should bear the cost of rewarding its employees for their efforts Therefore, the Commission has in the acquisition of Western. reduced the plant acquisition adjustment by \$495,000 resulting in a reduction to amortization expense of \$33,000 for rate-making The Commission is swayed by the uncontested arguments that cost savings will result from the change in ownership.

The Commission finds that the ratepayers and the stockholders of Atmos will both benefit from the acquisition of Western. Accordingly, the best method that will share these benefits and costs in the rate-making process is to allow the amortization of the adjusted plant acquisition adjustment in operating costs, but to exclude the acquisition adjustment from the rate base. This approach will give recognition to the additional investment to be borne by the ratepayers, but will require the stockholders to forego a return on the unamortized portion of the plant

acquisition adjustment in return for the benefits they receive as a result of the acquisition.

#### Deferred Income Taxes

Although the purchase of Western by Atmos was technically a stock purchase, the method of recording the transfer resulted in the elimination of deferred income taxes in the amount of \$12,783,597. The pre-acquisition deferred taxes were identified as investment Tax Credits in the amount of \$3,499,954 and Deferred Income Taxes of \$9,283,643. In Western's rate cases prior to the transfer, rate base was reduced by the investment tax credits and the deferred taxes. The Commission has allowed full tax normalization for rate-making purposes for Western, and Western was realizing the benefits of these tax credits and deferrals prior to the transfer.

The transfer was treated as an asset purchase and the deferred taxes were eliminated by Western in the post-acquisition journal entries. Western argued throughout the proceedings that the tax attributes of the seller could not be retained by the buyer, since there was no continuing ownership interest retained by the buyer. The seller was required to treat the asset sale as a gain (or loss) for tax purposes and was liable for any taxes due, as a result of a gain, as well as any recapture of investment tax credits. Western contends that since the purchase was treated as an asset purchase, there was no way for it to retain the deferred taxes on its books. Western did not submit substantial evidence that its decision to purchase the assets rather than the stock was in the best interests of the ratepayers financially. At

the hearing, Mr. Purser, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Atmos, testified that Atmos had not done any studies comparing the financial impact on the ratepayers of acquiring the stock versus acquiring the assets of Western.

issue with Western's The Commission does not take interpretation of the IRS code requirements that the transfer, the form of an asset purchase, results in the since it was in elimination of deferred taxes. However, the election to treat the acquisition as an asset purchase, was by Atmos' choice and Atmos received various benefits by acquiring the assets, in return for the elimination of deferred taxes, such as the increase in the depreciable tax basis of the assets. The record does not indicate that the impact on ratepayers was a consideration in determining the method of acquisition.

The loss of deferred taxes and ITCs is of considerable interest to the Commission and an issue which has a significant impact on the revenue requirements in this case. In evaluating the revenue requirements effect of the elimination of these deferred taxes, consideration must be given to the sources of the deferred taxes as well as the method in which benefits are realized by the ratepayers. A knowledge of the tax deferral process is essential to a complete understanding of the issue. It should be understood that deferred taxes are considered cost-free capital to utilities. Deferred taxes are generated when income tax expense determined for book purposes exceeds income tax expense determined for tax purposes. This cost free capital is provided by the ratepayers of the utility through the tax normalization rate-making approach.

There are tax differences which are permanent and those which are the result of temporary timing differences caused primarily by differences in depreciation expense deductions for book and tax purposes. The temporary book/tax depreciation timing differences reverse in the later years of the life of the depreciable asset. Thus, the deferred taxes arising from temporary timing differences constitute a "loan" to the utility from the ratepayers, which is repaid when the book/tax timing differences reverse and the IRS tax expense is greater than the book tax expense.

There are actually three categories of deferred taxes which were eliminated in the transfer of Western. Of the \$12,783,597, \$3,499,954 are identified as unamortized investment tax credits. Investment tax credits are direct reductions in income tax expense at the time an investment is made in qualifying utility assets. The ratepayers incur tax expense initially as though these credits had not occurred and the excess tax payments are returned to the ratepayers over the useful life of the assets giving rise to the ITCs. These ITCs were considered a permanent tax reduction until the time of the transfer. At that point, a portion of the ITC was potentially subject to recapture, due to the sale of the assets.

The remainder of the deferred taxes consisted of deferred federal and state income taxes which would have been eliminated at the 34 percent tax rate when the book/tax depreciation timing differences reversed; and the excess deferred taxes which were created in 1978 when the maximum corporate income tax rate was lowered from 48 to 46 percent and in 1987 when the Tax Reform Act of 1986 ("TRA") lowered the maximum corporate income tax rate from

46 to 34 percent. The elimination of the deferred taxes required to offset tax expenses when the book/tax timing differences reverse were a temporary loss to the ratepayers upon the transfer of Western, whereas the elimination of the excess deferred taxes result in a permanent loss to the ratepayers.

Temporary Losses. The Commission concurs with Western's contention that the deferred taxes previously created by book/tax depreciation timing differences will be restored through greater deferrals subsequent to the transfer. The purchase of Western by Atmos and the increase in the depreciable tax basis eliminated the book and tax depreciable basis difference which had given rise to the deferred taxes on the books prior to the transfer. depreciable tax basis now exceeds the net depreciable book basis which will further accelerate the restoration of the deferred By adjusting rate base to reflect the temporary loss of taxes. deferred taxes, which had previously been provided by the ratepayers, the Commission is restoring the investment which is due to the ratepayers and will be provided on the books of Western The Commission believes that the over the next few years. ratepayers should not be required to wait until these deferred taxes are restored to realize the benefits for the dollars they contributed prior to the transfer. By restoring these deferred taxes through a rate base reduction now, Western will not realize benefit of having an increased rate base for the double rate-making purposes as well as a decreasing rate base and higher annual earnings through the process of restoring the deferred taxes in future years. The book effect of the rate base

reduction will only be realized by Western during the period of time that the deferred taxes are not restored.

Permanent Losses. The elimination of the unamortized investment tax credits upon the transfer of Western resulted in a permanent loss to the ratepayers of funds provided for taxes. Western stated that the ITCs were subject to recapture and the seller was responsible for payment of the previously utilized tax credits. The Commission does not dispute Western's position that a portion of these ITCs would have become a tax liability of the seller upon the transfer. The fact remains, however, that the ratepayers provided the funds to cover the cost of these taxes in advance, and the action of the seller created the tax liability which would not have occurred had the transfer not occurred. There is no information in the record in this case which would allow the Commission to readily identify what component of the ITC was subject to recapture. Even if these amounts could be identified, the ITCs would not have been recaptured if the sale had not occurred. The payment of these additional taxes should be arranged in the purchase/sale transaction between the buyer and seller and the increased cost, if any, should not be borne by the ratepayers.

The excess deferred taxes resulting from the TRA tax rate reduction and the 1978 tax rate reduction, from 48 to 46 percent, should be restored to the benefit of the ratepayers. The TRA provided that the excess deferred taxes resulting from the tax rate reduction should be returned to the ratepayers using the average rate assumption method. This method would have flowed

this tax benefit back to the ratepayers of Western over the remaining useful life of the assets. Upon the sale of Western, the seller was not required to remit any of these excess deferred taxes to IRS since the tax rate should not have exceeded 34 percent. Once again, the seller was responsible for taxes on its recorded gain on the sale of the assets. As with the other permanent losses, the funds were provided by the ratepayers and should not result in an increase in rate base for the ratepayer. The ratepayers did not share in the gain realized by the seller; therefore, they should not be responsible for the taxes.

Western's primary rebuttal to questions at the hearing and to the testimony of the AG regarding the elimination of ITCs and deferred taxes, was that the ratepayers would benefit from the increase in the depreciable tax basis of the assets and the deferred taxes would be restored through MACRS depreciation. This observation is true with regard to the deferred taxes which were lost temporarily; however, the investment tax credits and the excess deferred taxes will not be restored and will result in a permanent loss to the ratepayers. The Commission finds that the ratepayers should not bear the loss of these deferred taxes. Therefore, an adjustment should be made, for rate-making purposes, restore the liability and refund these losses to the to For rate-making purposes, the temporary losses and ratepayers. permanent losses are treated differently. The temporary losses should be deducted from rate base with no amortization, since these deferred taxes will be restored. The permanent losses should be deducted from rate base and amortized over the remaining

book life of the assets at the time of the transfer. This will, in effect, provide the same rate-making impact that would have occurred without the transfer.

The Commission's decision on the loss of investment tax credits and deferred taxes results in a reduction to rate base of \$12,783,597 and a reduction to income tax expense of \$233,330 for amortization of the investment tax credits and a reduction to income tax expense of \$131,081 for amortization of the excess deferred taxes. The amount of excess deferred taxes was estimated by applying 26 percent to the level of deferred taxes on the books at the time of the transfer. The 26 percent factor represents the change in the maximum corporate income tax rate from 46 to 34 percent.

## Valuation of Working Gas

Western proposed to increase its rate base by \$2,801,235 in order to revalue its working gas storage to reflect the Texas Gas Zone 3 price as established in Western's Gas Cost Adjustment Case No. 9556-M<sup>3</sup> ("GCA 9556-M").<sup>4</sup>

The AG proposed a reduction of \$1,818,257 in the working gas storage balance based on the premise that a portion of the gas remained in storage throughout the test period. 5 Since the entire

Case No. 9556-M, Notice of Purchased Gas Adjustment Filing of Western Kentucky Gas.

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit MSL-8, page 4.

DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 21.

amount of working gas was not withdrawn from storage, the value of the gas stored will never equal the current price used by the company to price out the gas. The AG therefore argues that Western should value working gas inventory by excluding the amount at the point of the lowest storage level, that being at April 30, 1989. The AG's proposal would reduce the rate base by \$1,818,257.6

storage should be eliminated completely because it does not reflect a known and measurable change. In support of its position, KLS states: 1) the adjustment is based upon an estimate; 2) the estimate varies over time; 3) the gas purchased will not necessarily be the gas stored; and 4) the adjustment will lock into rates an estimated gas cost despite the certainty that this cost will fluctuate. 8

According to Western's response to an interrogatory during discovery and during cross-examination, Western's witness stated that its underground storage is priced at average cost. Western's witness further states that Western is asking for a return on inventory that is valued at the higher of the average cost and

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit TCD-1, Schedule 6.

<sup>7</sup> Brief of KLS, page 5.

<sup>8 &</sup>lt;u>Id.</u>, page 4.

the Texas Gas Zone 3 price. The Commission believes it to be inappropriate for Western to revalue its inventory for rate-making purposes at a value higher than its cost; and although the KLS proposal has merit, the Commission believes that an average rather than the test-period-end valuation is the more appropriate method because an average will account for any abnormalities that may occur during the test period. The Commission finds that the AG's proposal for revaluation is the more appropriate method.

# Cash-Working Capital Allowance

Western proposed, as a component of its rate base, a cashworking capital allowance of \$2,864,951. Western derived this amount based on the 1/8 formula method.

The AG has proposed a complete elimination of this adjustment because the formula method "always produces a working capital allowance, but does not produce an amount which truly represents a working capital requirement." The AG further states that Western has not justified its need for a cash-working capital requirement.

The Commission is aware of the AG's position regarding the 1/8 formula method for determining a cash-working capital allowance; however, the Commission is not persuaded to abandon the formula method in this case and will allow Western to calculate

<sup>9</sup> T.E., Vol. IV, page 25.

<sup>10</sup> Exhibit 6, page 4.

Deward Prefiled Testimony, page 23.

its cash-working capital requirement in this manner. The Commission, however, will reduce Western's proposed cash-working capital requirement by \$150,272 to reflect the level of operation and maintenance expenses found reasonable in this case.

## Computer Equipment

Included in Western's plant in service component of its rate base is computer equipment in the amount of \$2,158,659 that was sold subsequent to the test period. Also included was associated accumulated depreciation in the amount of \$1,181,331. The record in this proceeding indicates that the computer equipment was located at Western's office in Owensboro and was sold in February 1990. 12

The AG contends that since the computer has been sold, Western should not be allowed a return on the equipment and should not be allowed to recover the associated depreciation expense. 13

Western stated that although the equipment had been sold and was no longer in service, it was the only computer system on which the company was seeking a return and a recovery of costs. 14 Western's witness testified that no costs from the corporate data processing functions nor any actual test-period costs that had been removed during the test period are included in this proceeding. 15

<sup>12</sup> Brief of Western, page 35.

<sup>13</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 14.

<sup>14</sup> Brief of Western, page 36.

<sup>15</sup> T.E., Vol. III, page 213-214.

The Commission is very concerned about allowing any utility earn a return on plant that is not only no longer in service, but is no longer owned by the utility. On the other hand, the Commission would be hesitant to not allow a utility to recover a properly incurred cost of operations. Western has stated in its brief that at the time of its filing of this case, neither the timing of the sale nor the proper amount to be allocated by the corporate office was known. 16 If the Commission disallowed Western recovery of the computer that was sold, it would be, in effect. barring Western from recovering most of its data processing costs. The Commission believes that Western should be allowed the return on the equipment that was sold and finds that Western has included an appropriate amount in its rate base for computer equipment.

# 12-Month Average for Underground Storage

The AG proposed a \$275,436 reduction to Western's rate base using a 12-month average to value Western's gas stored underground as opposed to the usual 13-month. The AG's rationale for this proposal is that the inclusion of 13 months artificially inflates the balance by using two of the three highest month balances of the period. 17

This Commission has generally used the 13-month average for gas inventory and other rate base components as well as revenue and expense items. The basis for use of the 13-month average is

<sup>16</sup> Brief of Western, page 35.

<sup>17</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 22.

to dilute any abnormalities that may occur during the test period and to include the average for the appropriate time span. The Commission is not persuaded to abandon the 13-month average in this case.

# Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP")

The AG proposed that Western's rate base be reduced by \$107,341 to remove CWIP for which Western is expected to be reimbursed. 18 The Commission agrees.

Western contends that it is not known if the company will actually receive reimbursement for these items, but stated that it was subject to reimbursement of these items. 19

## Rate Base Determination

Based upon the above discussion, the Commission has determined Western's net investment rate base at September 30, 1989 to be \$63,401,818, determined as follows:

Gas Plant in Service	\$119,822,147
Construction Work in Progress	693,488
Gas Stored Underground	1,775,865
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	S122.291,500

#### Deduct:

Accumulated Depreciation (57,995,843)
Transfer Related Deferred Tax Losses(12,783,597)
Retirement Work in Progress (189,566)
Customer Advances for Construction (3,398,193)

<sup>18</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 23.

<sup>19</sup> Response to AG Data Request, March 30, 1990, Item 9.

add:

ĵ

Cash-Working Capital Allowance 2,714,679
Prepayments 699,813
Materials and Supplies 997,337
LP Gas Inventory 68,482
Working Gas Storage 10,997,206

Total Net Investment Rate Base \$ 63,401,818

#### CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Western proposed a capital structure of 50.58 percent debt and 49.42 percent common equity based on the actual end-of-test-year capital structure of Atmos, divided between long-term debt and equity. Western did not include in its capital structure short-term debt of \$31,600,000 which was outstanding at the end of the test period, stating that "the capital structure of Atmos is reasonable excluding short-term debt" and "short-term debt is not permanent and regularly has to be retired and replaced." 20

The AG proposed a capital structure of 50.00 percent long-term debt, 8.50 percent short-term debt, and 41.5 percent common equity. The AG proposed to include the average daily balance of short term debt for the test year of \$15,880,500 in the capital structure, and also proposed to include \$14,000,000 of additional long-term debt because this commitment was made prior to the end of the test year and an initial placement was made within 11 days of the test year.

The Commission finds that the adjusted capital structure as recommended by the AG is reasonable with one exception. The AG's proposed amount of short-term debt of \$15,880,500 differs slightly

Response to Commission's Order dated April 24, 1990, Item 35.

from the average daily amount of \$15,858,356 provided by Western; the Commission accepts the amount provided by Western as correct. The capital structure should reflect short-term debt because Western uses significant amounts of short-term debt on an ongoing basis and the additional \$14,000,000 long-term debt issuance should be reflected in the capital structure because it is known and measurable and occurred shortly after the end of the test period. Therefore, for rate-making purposes the capital structure for Western should be as follows:

	Amount	Percent
Long-Term Debt	\$ 93,552,812	49.99
Short-Term Debt	15,858,356	8.47
Common Equity	77,730,000	41.54
	\$187,141,168	100.00

## REVENUES AND EXPENSES

Western reported test-period operating income of \$10,369,695. In order to normalize current operating conditions, Western proposed several adjustments to revenues and expenses which resulted in adjusted operating income of \$4,710,874. 22

#### Revenue Normalization

Western proposed normalized gas operating revenues of \$112,477,915 based on the rates in effect at the time the application was filed. This amount consisted of \$78,077,942 in gas cost revenues and \$34,399,973 in base rate revenues. Though not an issue in this case, the total amount of gas cost revenues

<sup>Exhibit 5, page 1.
Exhibit 6, page 3.</sup> 

is a major component of Western's revenues and its rates. The rates authorized in this case will include gas cost recovery of \$67,027,082, reflecting Western's latest gas cost adjustment effective August 1, 1990.<sup>23</sup> Purchased gas cost has been adjusted in a similar manner to reflect Western's current cost of gas.

In normalizing its revenues, Western increased its sales and transportation volumes by 423,890 Mcf and 12,321 Mcf, respectively, to reflect its adjustment for weather normalization. Western decreased its sales volumes by 39,500 Mcf and increased transportation volumes by 165,100 Mcf to reflect normalized deliveries to large volume industrial customers. The Commission finds Western's adjustments to be reasonable and accepts Western's normalized base rate revenues.

# Merchandise Sales and Jobbing

The AG proposed that Western's net income be increased by \$322,784 by moving net income associated with merchandising and jobbing above the line. 24 The AG contends that there has not been a proper allocation of the expenses below the line and it is, therefore, inappropriate to include the income below the line. Western maintains that it has properly recorded both the revenues and expenses, per the Uniform System of Accounts ("USoA"), for the

Case No. 9556-O, Gas Cost Adjustment Filing of Western Kentucky Gas Company, Order dated August 1, 1990.

<sup>24</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 24.

merchandising and jobbing and that the AG had ample opportunity to examine the books and ledgers and to determine if Western had correctly recorded revenues and expenses.<sup>25</sup>

Upon thorough analysis, the Commission believes that Western has not properly segregated the expenses associated with merchandise sales and finds Western's test-period revenues should be increased by \$322,784, resulting in an increase to net operating income of \$195,462.<sup>26</sup> The expenses are discussed in more detail in another part of this Order.

#### Amortization Expense

í

Based upon treatment of the acquisition adjustment as discussed in a previous section of this Order, the Commission finds that Western's proposed amortization expense should be reduced by \$33,000, resulting in an increase to net operating income in the amount of \$19,983.

#### Employee Dinners and Awards

Western proposed to include in test-period expenses an amount of \$109,086 for employee service awards and dinners.<sup>27</sup> Included in this amount is approximately \$55,000 for Rolex brand watches given to 16 employees with at least 30 years of service.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>25</sup> Lovell Rebuttal Testimony, page 35.

<sup>26 \$322,784</sup> x .60555 (tax factor) = \$195,462.

<sup>27</sup> Brief of Western, page 70.

<sup>28</sup> Lovell Rebuttal Testimony, page 15.

The AG proposed to disallow the entire amount as excessive and inappropriate expenditures that should not be borne by the ratepayers.

This Commission has in the past allowed reasonable levels of expenditures for employee service awards. However, the Commission believes that in this case Western's expenditures are excessive. The Commission does not object to Western or any utility rewarding its employees for their service, but believes utilities should use discretion in their expenditures. The Commission does not believe that the ratepayers of Western should be forced to provide premium watches for Western employees. The Commission finds that such an expense should be borne by Western's shareholders and therefore reduces Western's test-period expenses by \$55,000, the cost of the premium watches. The Commission will allow the remainder of the service awards and dinners. This results in an increase of \$33,305 to Western's net operating income.

#### Aircraft Charges

ľ

Western included \$185,899 in aircraft expenses allocated to Western. The AG proposed to eliminate the charges since Western no longer leases aircraft and the charge will be nonrecurring.

Western has stated that although the company no longer leases aircraft, the expense has been replaced by commercial airfare.

The Commission notes that there were significant charges in the test period for commercial and charter aircraft and the allocated charges to Western were in addition to charges that were directly charged to Western. The Commission finds that the test period contained adequate charges for aircraft and due to the non-recurring nature of the allocated charges, Western's test-period expenses should be reduced by \$185,899, the total allocated aircraft charges. This increases Western's net operating income by \$112,571.

# Country Club Charges

A total of \$68,333 of expenditures in the test period were identified by various parties as country club dues or country club related charges. 29

This Commission has in the past found that such charges should be borne by shareholders and not the ratepayers. The Commission so finds in this case and will reduce Western's operating expenses by \$68,333, resulting in an increase to net operating income of \$41,379.

#### Outside Services

The AG contends that Western's operating expenses should be reduced by \$132,133 to eliminate expenses paid for temporary clerical services, principally provided by Kelly Services. The AG claims that these expenses are not necessary and are non-recurring. The AG further states that the expenses are duplicative because the expenses are recorded elsewhere. The AG also claims that Western's annualized payroll includes amounts for

<sup>29</sup> Exhibit TCD-1, Schedules 40, 41, and 42.

<sup>30</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 39.

employee salaries when actually some employees leave and are not immediately replaced.  $^{31}$ 

Western argues that the expenses are necessary and that they are an ongoing business expense.  $^{32}$ 

The Commission believes that there is some duplication of expenses because Western has been provided reasonable levels of wage expense and overtime and has failed to show that the temporary services provided do not duplicate work provided by Western's regular staff. The Commission, therefore, finds that Western's expenses should be reduced by \$132,133, resulting in an increase to net operating income of \$80,013.

#### Consultant Fees

The AG proposed that the consulting fees paid to C. R. Hayes, the retired president of Western, for the test period be disallowed. The AG's argument was that Mr. Hayes now resides outside of Western's operating area and over time the value of his services to Western will diminish.

Western contends that its decision to retain Mr. Hayes as a consultant was wise and prudent because of his extensive knowledge of the Western system.

This Commission has no doubt that Mr. Hayes provided Western a very valuable service and that his extensive knowledge and experience regarding Western's operations proved very valuable to

<sup>31</sup> Id.

<sup>32</sup> Brief of Western Kentucky Gas, page 63.

Atmos in the time immediately subsequent to the acquisition. However, the Commission feels that over time Mr. Hayes' services to Atmos will not be necessary and that to continue to allow recovery through rates of compensation to Mr. Hayes would be inappropriate. The Commission therefore reduces Western's operating expenses by \$33,487 for consulting fees paid to Mr. Hayes and country club charges incurred on his behalf. This action increases Western's net operating income by \$20,278.

#### Audit Accruals

The AG proposed a reduction of \$48,000 to Western's operating expense. The amount is the result of Western being assigned audit expense from the corporate level because Western maintained a separate ledger. Beginning January 1, 1990, Western no longer maintains a separate ledger and the AG argues that the charge will be nonrecurring and should be removed from test-period operations. 33

Western states that although its ledger is now combined with the other operating divisions and the cost will in the future be allocated to Western, the costs of audits, in this case, are not included in its proposed allocations from the general office. Since this cost will continue on an annual basis, as an

<sup>33</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 35.

allocation, an amount for this expense should remain in the test period.

Since Western did not make a provision to include the amount in its general office allocations, the Commission finds that it is reasonable to allow the charge in test-period operations.

## Intracompany Payroll Charges

A reduction to Western's test-period operating expense was proposed by the AG for charges by Atmos to Western for the services of two Atmos employees included on Western's payroll. Western has stated that it agrees with the AG's proposal. 35

The Commission finds the expenses unreasonable. Western's operating expenses should be reduced by \$134,194 to reflect the removal of these charges. This results in an increase of \$81,261 to Western's net operating income.

## Payroll

Western proposed to increase from 83 percent to 88.6 percent the level of wages expensed, thus reducing the level of wages capitalized. The proposal is based on an accounting change that allows capitalization of administrative and general expense ("A&G") at the corporate level and discontinues capitalization of such charges at the division level. 36

<sup>34</sup> Brief of Western, page 59.

<sup>35</sup> Brief of Western, page 60.

<sup>36</sup> Lovell Prefiled Testimony, page 18.

The AG proposed that Western be allowed to increase its percentage of capitalized wages from 83 percent to 83.54 percent. The AG also proposed that Western's annualized wage levels be adjusted to reflect work force reductions that occurred in February 1990.<sup>37</sup>

Western has accepted the AG's proposal to adjust the annualized wage levels due to subsequent work force reductions. 38 However, Western takes issue with the AG proposal to decrease Western's percentage of wages to be expensed. Western states that A&G functions have moved away from the division level and these duties are now more appropriately performed at the corporate level. Since the functions are being performed at the corporate level, the costs should be capitalized at that level.

The Commission agrees that if the costs are being incurred at the corporate level, they should be capitalized at that level and the appropriate allocation made to the division. The problem that the Commission finds is that if services are transferred from the division level to the corporate level, and costs should follow, then it would stand to reason that costs at the division level should decrease. According to Western, the A&G expenses at the division level were merely reclassified from A&G expenses to distribution costs.<sup>39</sup> Western did not indicate that costs at the division level would decrease, but that the amount allocated to

<sup>37</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 37.

<sup>38</sup> Brief of Western, page 61.

<sup>39</sup> T.E., Vol. IV, page 30.

Western from Atmos would decrease. 40 The Commission, for these reasons, rejects Western's proposal and will reduce operating expenses by \$682,853, the amount proposed by the AG. This will increase Western's net operating income by \$413,502.

#### Payroll Taxes

Based on the above adjustment to payroll, the Commission finds that Western's payroll taxes should be reduced by \$51,282, the amount proposed by the AG, thus increasing net operating income by \$31,054.

#### Demonstration and Selling Expense

The AG proposed to reduce Western's demonstration selling expense, Account 912, by \$664,895. This amount includes the entire test-period amount in Account 912 with the exception of an allowance for the salaries of two marketing representatives. 41 The costs included in Account 912 are broken down as follows: (1) builders' trip to San Francisco, \$47,146; (2) Affordable Gas Home Program, \$169,391; (3) Customer on the Main Program, \$160,055; and (4) Labor costs of \$250,965. 42 In addition, there were other costs identified as gift certificates and incentives to encourage the use of gas appliances. The AG's arguments revolves around 807 KAR 5:016, Section 4. This regulation deals with the subject of

<sup>40</sup> Id.

<sup>41</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 45.

<sup>42</sup> AG Data Request, March 30, 1990, Item 77.

disallowed advertising. The AG contends that the charges in Account 912 constitute disallowed advertising under 807 KAR 5:016 (4).

Western states in its brief that the expenses incurred and recorded in Account 912 do not constitute promotional advertising as defined in KAR 5:016.<sup>43</sup> Western contends that 807 KAR 5:016, Section 4(1)(d), allows the type of activity that gave rise to the expenditures recorded in Account 912, and that portion of the regulation defines what is not promotional advertising.

The USoA does not classify Account 912 expenditures as The Commission does believe that some of the advertising. expenses in Account 912 should be disallowed on the basis that they constitute promotional advertising. In addition, the USoA excludes any demonstration and selling expenditures from Account 912 that were incurred as a result of merchandising activity by the utility. Western has failed to show that it segregated the labor costs and other expenses associated with merchandising and jobbing from appropriate above the line expenses. For the above reasons, the Commission will not allow any of the Account 912 expenses for rate-making purposes. In any case, this Commission would have disallowed the cost of the San Francisco builders' conference. This cost should not be borne by the ratepayers. reduction of expenses by \$721,223 increases net operating income by \$436,737.

<sup>43</sup> Brief of Western, page 77.

## Heat Pump Advertising

The AG proposed a reduction of \$86,881 to Western's operating expenses for the removal of costs related to heat pump advertising.

The expenses incurred for heat pump advertising are clearly prohibited by regulation. 807 KAR 5:016, Section 4(1)(b), reads:

Promotional advertising means any advertising for the purpose of encouraging any person to select or use the service or additional service of an energy utility, or the selection or installation of any appliance or equipment designed to use such utility's service. (emphasis added)

Advertising designed to persuade consumers to switch from electric heat pumps to gas furnaces constitutes promotional advertising, and expenses incurred for such advertising are prohibited for rate-making purposes. The Commission, therefore, reduces Western's operating expenses by \$86,881, thereby increasing net operating income by \$52,611.

#### Miscellaneous Sales Expense

Western included in its Miscellaneous Sales Expense \$35,735 for a trip to Las Vegas for employees who achieved certain sales levels for gas grills and yard lights.

Also included is \$1,900 for twenty season tickets to basketball games for Kentucky Weslyan College.

The AG has proposed removal of the above expenses.

The costs of the Las Vegas trip should be disallowed. Any benefit that the ratepayers may have derived from this conference could have been accomplished by less expensive means. In addition, the Commission believes that the cost of this campaign

constitutes promotional advertising and should be disallowed. The Commission, therefore, finds that the costs should not be borne by Western's ratepayers and has reduced Western's operating expenses by \$35,735. Further, the Commission finds that Western's operating expenses should be reduced by an additional \$1,900 spent for Kentucky Weslyan basketball tickets. The Commission finds ratepayers should not bear the costs of attendance to athletic events by utility employees.

The result of the above adjustments increases Western's net operating income by \$22,790.

#### LP Gas Expense

The AG proposed removal of \$4,836 of costs associated with Western's liquefied petroleum gas ("LP Gas") expense. It is the AG's contention that such costs are recovered through Western's quarterly gas cost adjustment.

Western contends that the AG is wrong and that the expense is not recovered through the gas cost adjustment.

The Commission finds that Western does recover such costs through the CGA and will allow the AG's proposed adjustment. This will increase net operating income by \$2,928.

#### Direct Payments to Western Employees

The AG proposed a reduction to Western's operating expenses to remove expenditures that were made directly to Western employees. The AG provided no support for this proposal other than to state it allowed full annualization of wages. 44

<sup>44</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 40

Western has stated that the payments were to reimburse employees for expenses they incurred while performing their job duties and are not a part of the employees' compensation.  $^{45}$ 

The Commission finds the expenditures were appropriate.

#### Group Insurance

į

The AG proposes to reduce Western's test-period expenses by \$269,787 to reflect an adjustment to group insurance expense. The AG reached this conclusion by annualizing one month of billings and adding that number to the actual claims paid for the test period. 46

Western's witness established that the difference in the company proposal and the actual test-year expenditures was approximately \$8,000.47

It is not reasonable to base a proposal on one month annualized. Western has provided a much more appropriate number based upon the test-period actual.

#### Supplemental Retirement Benefits

The AG proposed a reduction of \$64,166 in retirement benefits given to what the AG refers to as "certain key employees." The AG offered no other support for the proposal and as such the Commission finds it to be without merit. The supplemental

<sup>45</sup> Lovell Rebuttal Testimony, page 36.

<sup>46</sup> Exhibit TCD-1, Schedule 23.

<sup>47</sup> Exhibit MSL-16.

<sup>48</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 42.

retirement benefits are reasonable and an allowable rate-making expense.

## Personal Use of Company Automobiles

The AG objected to Western's inclusion in rates its expense in furnishing automobiles to some of its employees while allowing personal use of these autos. The AG simply states that the costs should not be borne by the ratepayers, but offers no insight as to why.  $^{49}$ 

The Commission has in the past allowed such costs as reasonable and is not persuaded to change in this proceeding.

#### Benefits

Western proposed to increase its benefits expense by \$177,703. The adjustment was proposed to correspondingly increase benefits to match the increased payroll.

The AG objected to this proposal because Western provided no documentation to support the total benefits package. Western based its proposed increase upon an approximate 21 percent benefits to payroll relationship, calculated based upon historical data. The Commission finds that both Western's benefits level and the methodology employed to determine the increase to be reasonable.

#### Liability Insurance

The AG proposed to reduce Western's operating expenses by \$263,300 to exclude the test-period costs of excess Property Loss

<sup>49</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 43.

<sup>50</sup> Exhibit 5, page 16.

and Property Damage insurance. The AG contends that Western provided no support for the expense.  $^{51}$ 

The Commission finds that Western has adequately supported its position by the production of actual insurance policies that state the cost to Western. The AG has not provided adequate information and has not offered evidence of a more appropriate level of cost.

## Arthur Andersen Fees

i

Western retained the services of the accounting firm of Arthur Andersen to assist it with the management audit. The AG proposed that the fees, in the amount of \$50,970, be disallowed and states that he has proposed allowance of the full cost of the management audit to be amortized over a 3-year period. 52

The Commission finds that Western was not unreasonable in retaining the benefit of experts to assist it with the management audit. The Commission does not feel that the fee is excessive and that Arthur Andersen provided a reasonably necessary service.

Based upon the above, the Commission finds that the fee should be allowed for rate-making purposes. The Commission will, however, require amortization of the cost over a three-year period. This action results in a decrease of \$33,980 to operating expense and an increase to net operating income of \$20,577.

<sup>51</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 44.

<sup>52 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>., page 49.

### Attorney Fees

The AG proposed that \$40,730 of legal fees incurred by Western be removed from test-period expenses because the fees represent a duplication of services. 53 Western merely changed law firms for representation of FERC matters during the test period.

The Commission finds that Western's legal fees for the test period are appropriate and should be allowed for rate-making purposes.

# American Gas Association ("AGA") Dues

The AG proposed that \$35,384 of expenses that represent AGA dues be removed from this rate proceeding. The AG contends that the fees are excessive based on the 1989 allocated amount and that a portion of the fees represent advertising and lobbying activities that would be disallowed for rate-making in Kentucky. 54

Western argues that the AG inappropriately went beyond the test period by including the total amount of 1989 expenditures for comparison purposes.

This Commission has always supported membership in the AGA and the USoA allows for inclusion of AGA dues above the line. The Commission, however, does not believe that the AG's adjustment is inappropriate. The amount that the AG proposed to exclude for lobbying and advertising is reasonable. Also, Western has failed to adequately explain the difference between the allocated amount

<sup>53</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 49.

<sup>54</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 50.

of AGA dues and the actual expenditure. The Commission reduces Western's test-period expenses by \$35,384, resulting in an increase to net operating income of \$21,427.

#### Workers' Compensation Audit

The AG proposed disallowance of a \$14,000 payment for a Workers' Compensation audit by stating that it was for a prior year's audit. The audit covered the prior year's activity but the actual audit took place during the test period and the cost was incurred during the test period. The Commission therefore finds the payment to be appropriate.

## Clearing Account Balances

The AG proposed a reduction to operating expense in the amount of \$107,255 attributable to excessive levels of expenses in clearing account balances. The AG states that the expenses were incurred in a prior period but were deferred to a clearing account. 55

The majority of the clearing account balances that the AG proposes to disallow includes account 163 undistributed stores expense. It would appear that Western has properly accounted for the expenses in the clearing accounts. Western argues and the Commission agrees that the AG's proposed adjustment violates the USoA, accrual accounting principles, and creates a mismatch.

<sup>55</sup> Deward Prefiled Testimony, page 51

#### Relocation Expense

The AG proposed removal of \$22,687 from the test period. This amount represents the loss on the sale of homes of employees that were relocated by the company.

Western argues in its brief that a proposal such as the one the AG has made would result in less than desirable circumstances because the employees would not be able to move or Western would be required to compensate the employees at a higher rate.

The Commission does not believe that the ratepayers of Western should have to bear the loss on the sale of Western employees' homes. Excluding this loss from test-period operations will increase net operating income by \$13,738.

#### Account 921

The AG cites several charges that it claims are inappropriate for rate-making and has proposed removal of the expenses. The charges are located in Account 921, Office Supplies and Expenses, and total \$11,863.56

After analysis of the charges, the Commission finds that some of the charges are inappropriate and they should be disallowed for rate-making purposes. Such charges include charges for golf outings, Kentucky Derby, and other expenses listed on TCD-1, Schedule 44, except the expenses for the stock promotion meetings and the management retreat. The total of the disallowed expenses is \$6,129. This will increase net operating income by \$3,711.

<sup>56</sup> Exhibit TCD-1, Schedule 44.

## Corporate Allocations

Western proposed a methodology for allocation of costs from the corporate to the division level. As a result of its proposal, Western would increase its operating expenses by \$3,193,002 in order to reflect the current level of allocations. \$7

Prior to this proceeding, Atmos allocated corporate services to Western based upon the methodology used by Western's prior parent TAE. TAE allocated charges to Western in the amount of \$332,400 annually. Subsequent to the acquisition of Western by Atmos, the allocation method used by TAE was continued as a temporary measure until Atmos could analyze and develop a more appropriate method.

The recent management audit of Western included specific recommendations concerning cost allocations. Recommendations IV-R1 provide for the development of an activity-based cost allocation system, documentation in a procedures manual, and review by the Commission prior to implementation. With minor exceptions, Western approved both recommendations and developed implementation plans.

Western's proposal calls for costs to be assigned to operating units on a direct basis whenever practical and when responsibility for the cost can be determined. Western has proposed that a business need for resources can be determined based on: (1) levels of investment, (2) business activity levels,

<sup>57</sup> Exhibit 5, page 3.

and (3) human resource requirements.<sup>58</sup> The factors derived by Western to determine business activity levels include: (1) Assets or direct plant; (2) Mcf received into the system; (3) number of customers; and (4) the number of employees. It was then determined, based upon the above activity factors, that Western represents roughly one-third (32.53 percent) of the total Atmos assets and operating activity.<sup>59</sup> Based upon these factors, Atmos determined the amount of costs from each corporate department that should be allocated to the division level.<sup>60</sup>

The AG identified what it stated to be problems with the proposed allocation methodology. First of all, the AG stated that this Commission should undertake an audit at the Atmos corporate level basically for verification of all expenditures to determine appropriate allocation treatment. The Commission does not agree that this is necessary at this time.

Some of the specific problems that the AG has with Western's proposed allocation methodology are shown on Exhibit TCD-1, Schedule 13-3. The AG believes that there are duplicate positions at each level, such as a Western president and an Atmos corporate president. The AG also contends that costs that were formerly

<sup>58</sup> Lovell Prefiled Testimony, page 11.

<sup>59 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>., page 12.

<sup>60</sup> Exhibit MSL-1.

<sup>61</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, pages 8-9.

<sup>62 &</sup>lt;u>Id.</u>, page 28.

directly assigned to specific operating divisions are now being allocated to all divisions.  $^{63}$ 

In the Management Audit Action Plan Progress Report, Western indicated that implementation of the actual plan was still in progress. For the purposes of this proceeding, the Commission has accepted Western's \$3,193,002 pro forma adjustments to increase expenses for corporate allocations; however, operating Western's proposed allocation accept Commission does not methodology. Western should continue to implement the cost allocation recommendations of the management audit. apparent from the record that Western does not have all of the allocation procedures in place. For example, Western did not include data processing costs or audit costs in its proposed overhead allocations. Until Western has implemented all of the recommendations in the management audit that apply to the cost allocation, the Commission will not give its approval to Western's proposed methodology.

The Commission has reduced Western's operating expenses by \$3,650 to reflect a subsequent revision made by Western to its initial filing thus reducing allocations. This will increase net operating income by \$2,210.

### Rate Case Expense

In its filing, Western proposed a level of rate case expense of \$93,000. In response to requests at the hearing, Western filed

<sup>63</sup> Id., page 28.

an updated amount of \$215,309.64 Western has proposed amortization of these costs over a two-year period.

The Commission expresses its concern with the level of costs incurred in this proceeding, but will allow the total amount. The Commission finds, however, that the costs should be amortized over a three-year period instead of two. This action increases Western's proposed operating expenses by \$25,603 which decreases Western's net operating income by \$15,504.

## Pension Expense

The AG proposed a reduction to Western's test-period operating expenses in the amount of \$467,605.<sup>65</sup> The AG bases its proposal on actuarial studies that assume Western's pension plan would not bear any of the plan's administrative costs. The AG also contends the expense should be reduced because the plan is overfunded.

Western argues that the pension costs included in this proceeding are appropriate because they are the actual costs incurred during the period. The costs include administrative costs, actual costs per FAS 87 and direct payments. 66

The Commission notes that Western's pension fund is overfunded; however, the overfunding helps to lower the costs to the company and, therefore, the ratepayer. In addition, under

<sup>64</sup> Western Kentucky Gas, Summary of Rate Case Expenses, Filed

August 2, 1990.

65 DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 41.

<sup>66</sup> Brief of Western, page 67.

current accounting, the plan will not remain overfunded. At some time Western will be required to begin to increase its contribution. There should be no reduction.

## Interest Synchronization

Based upon the rate base, capital structure, and rate of return, found reasonable by this Commission in this proceeding, the Commission has calculated an interest deduction for income tax purposes of \$3,806,334, a reduction to Western's proposed interest expense of \$4,252,781.67 This results in an increase to income tax expense and a decrease to net operating income of \$176,101.

# Federal and State Income Tax Expense

Western proposed total federal and state income tax expense of \$3,770,238. Western calculated the pro forma expense based on a Kentucky state tax rate of 7.25 percent. Subsequent to the filing of this proceeding, the rate was changed to 8.25 percent and the Commission has accordingly increased Western's income tax expense by \$4,939 resulting in a decrease to net operating income of the same.

The AG proposed several adjustments to Western's income tax expense. The AG proposed a \$100,000 deduction for employee stock ownership plan dividends ("ESOP"), a \$50,000 adjustment for savings realized from filing a consolidated tax return, and a \$950,000 deduction for depreciation on the excess of tax basis of assets over book basis.

<sup>67</sup> Exhibit 5, page 1.

The AG's proposed deduction of ESOP dividends is based only on an estimated number and cannot be accepted. 68

Regarding the AG's proposal to adjust for savings from a consolidated return, the Commission finds that since the tax expense is calculated on a going forward basis, any savings that may result is not known at this time.

Due to the treatment of the deferred tax items in the rate base section of this Order, the proposal to reduce taxes on the excess of tax basis over book basis is not necessary.

## RATE OF RETURN

## Cost of Debt

Western proposed a cost of long-term debt of 10.31 percent. Because Western proposed to exclude short-term debt from its capital structure, Western did not propose a cost of short-term debt. However, upon requests from the Commission, Western proposed that if short-term debt were to be included, it should be priced at the weighted average cost of capital excluding short-term debt. 69

The AG proposed a cost of long-term debt of 10.31 percent and a cost of short term debt of 9.30 percent. The rate proposed by the AG was the average cost, calculated on a daily basis, at the end of December 1989.

The Commission finds that the cost of long-term debt should be 10.31 percent. The Commission further finds that, because short-term debt rates fluctuate continuously, the cost of short-

<sup>68</sup> DeWard Prefiled Testimony, page 55.

<sup>69 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>.

term debt should be the average short-term rate for the test period of 10.03 percent. 70

## Return on Equity

Western recommended a return on equity ("ROE") in the range of 14.50 to 15.00 percent. The Western's recommendation was based on a discounted cash flow ("DCF") analysis for 15 gas distribution utilities, as well as comparative DCF analyses of electric utilities and unregulated companies. Western concluded that the average cost of common equity for gas distribution utilities is at least 13.50 percent based on a dividend yield of 7.08 percent and a dividend growth rate of 6.35 percent, and argued that special risk factors of Atmos and Western increase the required ROE by 1.0 to 1.5 percent.

The AG recommended an ROE in the range of 12.00 to 12.50 percent, based on a DCF analysis of five gas distribution utilities. The AG used four methods for developing the growth estimate for the DCF analysis: compound growth in dividends per share, compound growth in earnings per share, compound growth in book value per share, and the earnings retention ratio multiplied by the ROE. Each of the methods yielded substantially different results, ranging from the 2.92 percent growth estimate using earnings retention ratio times ROE, to the 5.95 percent growth estimate using dividends per share. The AG averaged these four

<sup>70</sup> Id.

<sup>71</sup> Testimony of Dr. Richard L. Wallace, page 54.

methods to arrive at a growth estimate in the range of 4.50 to 5.00 percent.

The Commission has traditionally used the DCF model in estimating ROE. Although one cannot rely on a strict interpretation of the DCF model, the Commission finds that the DCF approach based on dividend growth will provide the best estimate of an investor's expected ROE. The Commission finds that the historical, compound growth rate of 6.35 percent estimated by Western overstates the growth rate of dividends expected in the future. The Commission also finds that the evidence of record does not support an adjustment to Western's ROE of 1.0 to 1.5 percent for special risk factors. All companies have certain risk characteristics which differentiate them from other enterprises, and the evidence in this case is not persuasive that Western/Atmos's risk profile is so unique as to require an additional return beyond that allowed herein.

The Commission, having considered all of the evidence, including current economic conditions, finds that the cost of common equity is within a range of 12.0 to 13.0 percent. Within this range an ROE of 12.50 percent will best allow Western to attract capital at a reasonable cost, maintain its financial integrity to ensure continued service, provide for necessary expansion to meet future requirements, and also result in the lowest possible cost to ratepayers.

## Rate of Return Summary

Applying rates of 10.31 percent for long-term debt, 10.03 percent for short-term debt, and 12.50 percent for common equity

to the recommended capital structure approved herein produces an overall cost of capital of 11.20 percent. The Commission finds this overall cost of capital to be fair, just, and reasonable.

### REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Based upon the Commission's findings and determinations, Western requires an increase in revenues of \$1,018,455, determined as follows:

Net Investment Rate Base	\$63,401,818
Rate of Return	11.20%
Required Net Operating Income	7,101,004
Adjusted Net Operating Income	6,484,278
Deficiency	616,725
Tax Factor	.60555
Increase Required	\$ 1,018,455

#### OTHER ISSUES

## Cost-of-Service Study

fully allocated embedded class presented Western cost-of-service study for the purpose of distributing revenue requirements among rate classes and determining rates of return on rate base at present and proposed rates for the following rate Residential, Commercial, Firm Industrial (G-1 classes: Industrial), Interruptible customers using less than 200,000 Mcf per year (G-2 Interruptible), and Interruptible customers using over 200,000 Mcf per year (G-3 Interruptible). Western stated that these rate classes follow its current rate design and differ from one another in key load characteristics, such as annual use customer, seasonality of use, and load factor. 72 In per

<sup>72</sup> Prepared Testimony of Thomas H. Petersen, page 6.

distributing costs to rate classes, Western applied a three step allocation process, described by its witness in the following manner:

First, costs were distributed among the functions of gas cost, storage, distribution, transmission and production. Second, the costs in each function were further classified by whether they were primarily related to the number of customers served, the amount of the commodity delivered, or the daily demands placed on the system. Finally, each functionalized and classified cost was allocated among customer classes.

Western's cost-of-service study indicates that, at present rates, the Residential and Commercial classes have negative rates of return on rate base of (1.31 percent) and (0.71 percent), The G-1 Industrial class has a rate of return of respectively. 24.28 percent, while the rates of return for the G-2 and G-3 Interruptible classes are shown to be 33.6 percent and 37.24 percent, respectively. Overall system rate of return at present rates is 5.77 percent. At proposed rates, the differences between class rates of return are substantially reduced. Class rates of return at proposed rates are as follows: 12.02 percent for Residential, 9.3 percent for Commercial, 18.95 percent for G-1 Industrial, 17.26 percent for G-2 Interruptible, and 17.34 percent for G-3 Interruptible. Overall system rate of return at proposed rates is 12.5 percent.

<sup>73 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>., page 7.

Western stated that its present cost-of-service methodology differs from that filed in Case No. 9556<sup>74</sup> in two significant ways. 75 First, a zero-intercept method was used to classify distribution mains into customer and demand components instead of a minimum system method. Second, pipeline demand costs were allocated to interruptible and firm customers based on an average and peak demand method, instead of by class demands on design day with curtailment.

ł

The Commission believes that the zero-intercept methodology is a more acceptable way to divide distribution main costs into demand-related and customer-related components than the minimum Moreover, the Commission is convinced that the system method. zero-intercept method, which utilizes regression analysis to determine the average unit cost of a theoretical zero diameter main, is statistically and theoretically sound and less subjective than the minimum system method, in which a "minimum" size main arbitrarily order to determine the bе chosen in must customer-related component. The Commission, therefore, finds that this modification to Western's cost-of-service methodology is acceptable.

In Case No. 9556, the Commission recommended that Western include, in subsequent cost-of-service studies, alternative

<sup>74</sup> Case No. 9556, Rate Adjustment of Western Kentucky Gas Company On Notice.

<sup>75</sup> Prepared Testimony of Thomas H. Petersen, pages 8-9.

methods of cost allocation, such as the peak and average method. 76 This allocation methodology considers volume of use, in addition to peak demand, in determining class responsibility of certain demand-related costs. Use of this methodology by Western in its present cost-of-service study specifically addresses the Commission's concern, as expressed in Administrative Case No. 29777, regarding cost-of-service methodologies that allocate costs based entirely on maximum design day. The Commission, in that proceeding, stated that cost-of-service methodologies should give some consideration to volume of use. 78 The Commission, therefore, finds that Western's allocation of pipeline demand charges based on an average and peak methodology is acceptable.

KIUC supports Western's cost-of-service study and its rate llocation implications. KIUC's evidence underscored that the average and peak methodology is inappropriate for the allocation of Western's pipeline demand and transmission plant costs, because the method penalizes efficient consumption and encourages system under-utilization. Furthermore, according to KIUC, demand-related costs are unrelated to average demand. 80 KIUC recommends that the

<sup>76</sup> Case No. 9556, Order dated October 31, 1986, page 32.

<sup>77</sup> Administrative Case No. 297, An Investigation of the Impact of Federal Policy on Natural Gas to Kentucky Consumers and Suppliers, Order dated September 30, 1985, page 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Id.

<sup>79</sup> Brief of KIUC, page 1.

<sup>80</sup> Prefiled Testimony of Kenneth Eisdorfer, page 13.

Commission order Western to file a cost-of-service study in its next rate case that does not utilize the average and peak allocation of transmission plant and methodology for the demand-related purchased gas cost.81 The Commission will not order Western to file a cost-of-service study which excludes an average and peak allocation methodology since, in fact, it was Commission directives in Administrative Case No. 297 and Case No. 9556 that prompted Western to utilize such a methodology in its present cost-of-service study. However, the Commission encourages all utility companies and intervenors to file well researched and documented alternative and multiple-methodology cost-of-service studies in all future rate proceedings. In Case No. 10201,82 the stated that a well documented and separated Commission multiple-methodology approach to cost-of-service studies will provide it additional information for rate design. The Commission continues to believe that such an approach to cost-of-service studies is appropriate and beneficial.

Southwire contends that Western's cost-of-service study is biased toward overstating the cost of serving industrial and interruptible classes of customers.<sup>83</sup> In the opinion of

<sup>81</sup> Brief of KIUC, page 13.

<sup>82</sup> Case No. 10201, An Adjustment of Rates of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., Order dated October 21, 1988, page 54.

<sup>83</sup> Brief of Southwire, page 4.

Southwire, this bias is introduced into Western's cost-of-service study by the zero-intercept estimation which allocated more of the costs of distribution mains to the industrial classes than would a minimum system method. Notwithstanding those arguments, Southwire stated that Western's study, being the only cost-of-service study presented, resulted in a fair, just, and reasonable rate design. 85

Like Southwire, Logan asserts that Western's use of a zero-intercept methodology in its cost-of-service study, instead of the minimum system method, biased the results of the study in favor of the residential class of customers. 86 Nevertheless, Logan believes that Western's study accurately and appropriately functionalizes, classifies, and allocates Western's costs among the rate classes it serves. 87

The AG contends that Western's cost-of-service study is flawed since Western incorrectly allocated a portion of storage plant costs based on peak demand allocators instead of a volume-based allocator. 88 The AG asserts that, since Western's

<sup>84</sup> Id.

<sup>85</sup> Id., page 5.

<sup>86</sup> Brief of Logan, pages 8-9.

<sup>87 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>., page 10.

<sup>88</sup> Prefiled Testimony of Michael F. Sheehan, page 25.

storage plant is used for "financial purposes" and not for peaking purposes, allocation should have been based on volume. 89 Similarly, KLS criticizes Western's cost-of-service study because it did not allocate pipeline demand charges based entirely on annual volumes. 90

Western has presented the only complete cost-of-service study in this proceeding. Whereas all intervenors are critical of certain elements of Western's study, only the AG and KLS found it unacceptable as a guide in the design of rates in this case. None of the intervenors, however, presented alternative studies supporting their views. Based on its review of the record pertaining to Western's cost-of-service study, the Commission finds that Western's study is responsive to its concerns as expressed in Administrative Case No. 297 and Case No. 9556 and is reasonable and acceptable as a starting point for rate design.

## Revenue Allocation

Western's revenue allocation proposal consists of two parts:

(1) a reallocation of pipeline demand charges between firm and interruptible customers, and (2) a shift in the recovery of non-gas costs from interruptible to firm customers. Western based its revenue allocation on its class cost-of-service study as previously discussed:

The allocation of pipeline demand charges as proposed by Western would shift approximately \$2.2 million in costs from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Brief of the AG, page 40.

<sup>90</sup> Brief of KLS, page 5.

interruptible customers to firm customers. Western's proposal is based on an average and peak demand allocator, which recognizes the relationship between average (annual) volumes of 41.6 million Mcf and annualized peak (design day) volumes of 98.5 million Mcf. The resulting ratio of 42.2 percent is multiplied by Western's pipeline demand charges to arrive at the portion of demand charges to be spread over all volumes. The remaining 57.8 percent of pipeline demand charges would be spread over Western's firm volumes of 26.1 million Mcf.

Of its requested increase in base rate revenues of approximately \$9 million, Western proposed increases of \$9.5 million for firm service customers and decreases of \$.5 million for interruptible customers. This proposal reflected Western's cost-of-service study and gave recognition to competition from other fuels and the economic risks of bypass by industrial customers. The proposed allocation produced increases of 17.2 percent for residential customers and 11 percent for commercial customers with a 15.7 percent decrease for industrial customers.

KIUC, Southwire, and Logan generally supported Western's proposed revenue allocation as an appropriate step in the direction of cost-based rates, although all the industrial intervenors recommended a greater reduction in industrial rates than the reduction proposed by Western. KIUC cited biases in Western's cost-of-service study that it claimed tend to overstate the level of costs allocated to the industrial rate classes. 91

<sup>91</sup> Prepared Testimony of Kenneth Eisdorfer, pages 12-17.

The AG and KLS both argued that Western's cost-of-service study was flawed and that Western's rate proposals for industrial customers reflect competitive pricing rather than cost-of-service pricing. The AG argued that the industrial class, with its demonstrated ability to use alternate fuels and/or bypass Western, poses a greater risk to Western than its other customers and that such risk should be reflected in Western's cost allocation and rate design. 92

i

In one fashion or another, Western and the intervenors recognize the concept of rates based on fully allocated costs. However, beyond such recognition, there is little agreement as to the proper determination of fully allocated costs and how such costs should be reflected in the allocation of Western's revenues. The Commission is aware that various criticisms have been directed at Western's cost-of-service study as the basis for designing however, the study was responsive to the Commission's rates; Western's last rate case, Case No. 9556 and Orders Administrative Case No. 297. It is with the directives of those Orders in mind that the Commission has evaluated Western's revenue allocation.

In making its evaluation the Commission recognizes that the natural gas industry has undergone major changes in recent years. Those changes began with federal legislation in the late 1970s which provided for the removal of many of the controls on the

<sup>92</sup> Prepared Testimony of Michael F. Sheehan, pages 13-17.

wellhead price of gas. Those changes have continued through the 1980s with federal regulatory decisions that permit end-users to arrange for their own gas supplies and use the local distribution company ("LDC") as a transporter of those supplies. Federal regulatory decisions have also permitted end-users to bypass the LDC and take service directly from a pipeline supplier.

As a result of these actions, large volume end-users, mainly industrial customers, have sought out their own gas supplies at prices less than the LDC's price for its system supply gas. These industrial customers have also argued that absent cost-based transportation rates from the LDCs, those customers will bypass with the result being loss of load and loss of revenues for the LDC.

These circumstances represent a significant departure from the time when all customers were essentially captive and there was little incentive for companies or regulators to consider costs as a major factor in allocating revenues and designing rates. The results of regulation in this "pre-cost" era were that services were often priced at less than the cost of service to residential customers and priced at more than the cost of service to commercial and industrial customers. Conventional wisdom held that because commercial and industrial customers could pass along price increases to their customers it was more palatable to over-price services to those customers while under-pricing services to residential customers.

It is these past circumstances and practices that have contributed to the allocation and rate issues presented in this

case. The Commission recognizes these to be serious issues which require reasoned and deliberate analysis that considers the conditions existing in today's competitive environment as well as the rate impact on Western's captive customers. While recognizing that its decision may not be popular with those captive customers, the Commission believes that a restructuring of Western's rates is necessary as explained in the following paragraphs.

;

The most significant aspect of Western's rate restructuring is its proposed allocation of pipeline demand charges for recovery through its gas cost adjustment clause. The Commission finds that the average and peak allocator utilized by Western reflects both average volumes and design day volumes in the allocation of costs differing characteristics of firm and recognizes the It addresses the Commission's concern, interruptible loads. expressed in Administrative Case No. 297 that companies consider the possible de-averaging of the costs of gas and how to assign those costs by customer class. Furthermore, it is responsive to the Commission's Order in Case No. 9556 which specifically recommended that Western evaluate alternative methods of cost allocation such as the average and peak method. Therefore, the that Western's proposed allocation of Commission concludes pipeline demand charges is reasonable and equitable and should be The Commission also finds that the allocation of approved. pipeline demand charges should be updated annually as part of Western's first cost adjustment filing following the gas development of its design day plan.

The second part of Western's rate restructuring involves the allocation of non-gas, or base rate revenues. The Commission finds that the firm customer classes, at present rates, are not making an adequate contribution to Western's overall rate of return and that, in order to increase that contribution, the full amount of the increase granted herein should be allocated to those customer classes.

į

The Commission also finds that none of the increase granted herein should be allocated to Western's interruptible classes but rather that the base rate revenue contribution of the interruptible classes should remain unchanged. The Commission concurs with the AG that Western's interruptible customers, with their non-captive status, impose a greater level of risk on Western than do its firm, essentially captive customers. The Commission finds that such risk translates into higher rates of return, which Western attempted to reflect in its cost-of-service study. The Commission has previously made similar findings regarding the risks associated with serving non-captive industrial customers in Case No. 10498.93

The Commission finds that maintaining the test-year base rate revenue contribution for the interruptible rate classes recognizes the greater risks attendant with serving these classes and follows the moderate, gradual course of action for rate restructuring

<sup>93</sup> Case No. 10498, Adjustment of Rates of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., Order dated October 6, 1989, pages 48-49.

outlined by the Commission in Administrative Case No. 297. 94 As this is Western's first rate case since Administrative Case No. 297, the Commission, contrary to KIUC's arguments, concludes that gradualism should be recognized in the allocation of revenues. While Western contends that gradualism was considered in preparing its case, the requested increases and the proposed class rates of return reflect major revenue shifts with little regard to gradualism or rate continuity.

Maintaining the same interruptible revenue levels while pricing some of its contract volumes at tariffed rates will have the impact of reducing Western's interruptible rates. In conjunction with the reallocation of pipeline demand charges, this approach results in a significant restructuring of Western's rates.

#### Rate Design

Western proposed to double the customer charges for residential and non-residential firm customers to \$6 and \$16, respectively, and, for the first time, to impose a customer charge on interruptible customers. The interruptible customer charge would match the \$16 charge for non-residential firm customers. Western proposed to combine Interruptible Rate Schedules G-2 and G-3 and to change from a flat rate to a declining block rate structure for all rate schedules. For firm customers on Rate Schedule G-1, the first block of 300 Mcf would be priced 62.6

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>$  Order dated September 30, 1986, page 40.

cents above the second block of 14,700 Mcf, which in turn, would be priced 20 cents above the last block for sales above 15,000 Mcf. For interruptible customers on the combined Schedule G-2, the first block of 15,000 Mcf would be priced 20 cents above the second and, last, block for everything over 15,000 Mcf. Western indicated that the 15,000 Mcf break point and related 20 cents rate differential were based on its cost-of-service study with the intent of making the firm and interruptible schedules more compatible. Western also indicated that the first block of 300 Mcf on the G-1 Schedule was designed to capture all residential and most small commercial volumes at the higher rate in order to improve the rates of return for the residential and commercial classes.

The AG contends that the G-1 rate design proposed by Western for firm customers discourages conservation and places a disproportionate share of fixed cost recovery on low volume customers. The AG recommended a rate design with a smaller customer charge and a flat block, or flatter, declining block rate structure for firm volume customers.

The AG recommended that for interruptible customers Western should recover a much larger portion of fixed costs through the customer charge and first block than had been proposed. The AG maintains that such an approach would make fixed cost recovery less uncertain and would be consistent with Western's rate proposals for firm service customers.

The proposal to combine schedules G-2 and G-3 with one resulting G-2 rate schedule for interruptible customers equitably

reflects Western's cost of service and is acceptable. The Commission finds Western's objective in proposing a declining block rate structure is supported by the cost-of-service study and the proposed rate blocks for G-1 and G-2 appear to be reasonable; however, in consideration of the concerns expressed by the AG and in keeping with its goals of moderation, gradualism, and rate continuity, the Commission will set rates that reflect only a 15-cent differential between blocks. Western's proposed customer charges for firm customers have also been rolled back to \$3.50 and \$9.35 based on the amount of the increase granted herein.

Western proposed а customer charge for interruptible and set it at the \$16 level proposed for firm customers The \$16 charge was proposed even non-residential customers. though Western's calculation of its G-2/G-3 monthly customer costs ranged from \$344 to \$1,544. The AG's evidence argues for a larger, up-front charge as a means of recovering a larger proportion of fixed costs from these customers. 95 The Commission finds that a larger fixed charge would better reflect Western's cost of service and would result in reduced reliance on sales for the recovery of fixed costs. Therefore, volumes Commission finds a monthly customer charge or base charge of \$100 per delivery point for rates G-2 and T-3 to be reasonable as another component in the restructuring of Western's rates to better reflect its cost of service. Customers that take both firm

<sup>95</sup> Prepared Testimony of Michael F. Sheehan, page 16.

volumes and interruptible volumes should be billed as interruptible customers for purposes of determining the customer charge.

The rates set out in the Appendix will produce the additional revenues granted herein. The rate changes, by customer class, produce increases of 6.2 percent and 5.2 percent, respectively, for residential and commercial customers, and a decrease of 8.0 percent for industrial customers. These percentage changes do not reflect the decrease in Western's commodity gas costs since the filing of this case.

## Carriage Service

In compliance with the Commission's Order in Administrative Case No. 297, Western proposed a carriage (transportation) rate which excludes standby service. The proposed transportation rate, Rate T-3, recovers Western's simple margin applicable to interruptible service and includes those non-commodity gas costs related to take-or-pay recovery.

KIUC maintains that Rate T-3 should not be based on Western's simple margin as it includes costs related to gas stored underground and production plant. Western's proposal, which is similar to the carriage and transportation rates the Commission has approved for other companies, recognizes that establishing a smaller margin for carriage service could negatively impact earnings if substantial loads switched from Western's existing transportation service to carriage service.

Western's proposal to base its carriage rate on its simple margin applicable to interruptible service is reasonable and sound

from both a rate-making and economic perspective. The Commission, therefore, accepts this proposal and authorizes Western to provide carriage service based on the simple margin established in this case.

### Energy Assurance Program

KLS proposed that Western implement an energy assurance program ("EAP") to assist low-income customers in paying their gas bills and to improve Western's ability to collect from those customers. 96 KLS contends that Western's traditional collection mechanisms are not producing the maximum revenue stream possible from low-income customers which, in turn, results in additional costs being born by all ratepayers.

Under the EAP, households living at or below 150 percent of the federal poverty level with an annual energy bill that exceeds 6 percent of the household's income would make payments toward its current bill equal to 6 percent of its monthly income. Each household would be required to also make a monthly payment of \$3 for 36 months toward reducing its existing arrearages; Western would be required to write-off any arrearages in excess of the total of \$108 paid by the participant household. These households would also be targeted for education and energy conservation programs to encourage reduced energy use.

KLS estimated that Western could implement this program at virtually no cost and increase the revenues collected from its

<sup>96</sup> Prepared Testimony of Roger D. Colton, pages 9-15.

low-income customers. It is KLS' opinion that the provisions of the EAP do not conflict with either the statutes or the administrative regulations governing utility regulation in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. 97 KLS also stated that the EAP represents a collection issue and not a rate issue. 98

The Commission has concerns about the accuracy of predicted costs and cost savings of the EAP and questions whether such a program should be imposed on a company absent a detailed More importantly, contrary to the company-specific analysis. opinion of KLS, the Commission considers some aspects of the EAP to represent a rate issue which does not comport with Kentucky statutes 278.160 and 278.170. These statutes prohibit a utility from (1) giving any unreasonable rate preference or advantage to any customer and (2) charging or receiving any less compensation is prescribed in its filed rate schedules. EAP, Western would be charging less than the amount prescribed in its rate schedules and would, particularly in instances where the fixed payment based on a percentage of income would not recover variable costs, be giving an unreasonable preference to these Therefore, the Commission finds that the EAP proposed by KLS cannot be imposed on Western as such program does not comply with Kentucky statutes.

<sup>97</sup> T.E., Vol. III, pages 73 and 74.

<sup>98 &</sup>lt;u>Id</u>., pages 52-53.

In addition to the statutory prohibition, the Commission is concerned about the degree to which the EAP would place a utility in the position of administering a social program. While the Commission recognizes that a number of customers in the low-income category have difficulty paying their utility bills, the notion of a Commission-approved subsidy program is not the answer. The Commission believes that government-sponsored programs such as LIHEAP should be utilized to the fullest extent possible, with the emphasis on government-sponsored programs, as opposed to utility/ratepayer-sponsored programs.

## Standard Contract Form

As part of its application Western submitted a proposed service agreement with the heading "Large Volume Natural Gas Service Contract." Western's legal counsel stated that it was Western's intent that the standard contract form be approved to be filed as part of its tariffs. Western indicated that, with Commission approval of the standard contract form, it would intend that the general terms and conditions set forth in the contract would be applicable to all new contract customers and that the standard contract would be offered to those customers for their acceptance.

The Commission is concerned that a standard contract form might be too restrictive for some circumstances and could limit the flexibility of both Western and its customers. While the general terms and conditions appear to be reasonable, the Commission would prefer to review separately the merits of each individual contract, thereby giving all parties, including the

Commission, greater latitude in the area of customer service contracts. Therefore, the proposed standard contract form will not be approved to be included as part of Western's tariffs.

#### Tariff Changes

Western's proposed tariffs reflected its changes in rate design, the combining of rates G-2 and G-3, the proposed carriage service, and the changes in its gas cost adjustment clause resulting from its proposed allocation of pipeline demand charges. In addition, Western proposed several minor text changes in its tariffs which have not specifically been addressed herein. The major tariff changes or additions as approved by the Commission are shown in the Appendix to this Order. Any minor text changes not specifically shown in the Appendix are approved as proposed by Western.

## SUMMARY

After consideration of all matters of record, the evidence, and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds the following:

- 1. The rates in the Appendix, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, are the fair, just, and reasonable rates for Western to charge its customers for service rendered on and after the date of this Order.
- 2. The rates proposed by Western would produce revenue in excess of that found reasonable herein and should be denied.
- 3. The rate of return granted herein is fair, just, and reasonable and will provide for the financial obligations of Western with a reasonable amount remaining for equity growth.

4. The tariff changes set forth in the Appendix are reasonable and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. The rates in the Appendix are approved for services rendered by Western on and after the date of this Order.
  - 2. The rates proposed by Western are hereby denied.
- 3. The text changes authorized herein and the tariffs set forth in the Appendix are hereby approved.
- 4. Within 30 days of the date of this Order, Western shall file with the Commission revised tariffs sheets setting out the rates and tariff provisions approved herein.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 13th day of September, 1990.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

í

Executive Director

#### APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 90-013 DATED 9/13/90

The following rates and charges are prescribed for the customers in the area served by Western Kentucky Gas Company. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of this Commission prior to the effective date of this Order. These rates reflect all gas cost adjustments through Case No. 9556-O.

#### GENERAL SALES SERVICE RATE G-1

#### Rate - Net:

Base Charge:	\$3.50 per meter per mo for resident service				
	\$9.35	per meter per month for non-residential service			

#### Commodity Charge:

First	300 Mcf	per	month	\$4,3435	per	1,000	cubic	feet
Next 14	,700 Mcf	per	month	\$4.1935	per	1,000	cubic	feet
Over 15.	.000 Mcf	per	month	\$4.0435	per	1,000	cubic	feet

All gas consumed by the customer (sales, transportation, firm and interruptible) will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

#### INTERRUPTIBLE SALES SERVICE RATE G-2

#### Rate - Net:

Base Charge: \$100.00 per delivery point per month

## Interruptible Service:

Gas used per month in excess of the high priority service shall be billed as follows:

First 15,000 Mcf per month \$3.6546 per 1,000 cubic feet All over 15,000 Mcf per month \$3.5046 per 1,000 cubic feet

All gas consumed by the customer (sales, transportation, firm and interruptible) will be considered for the purpose of determining whether the volume requirement of 15,000 Mcf has been achieved.

### GENERAL TRANSPORTATION TARIFF RATE T-2

#### Rate:

In addition to any and all charges assessed by other parties, there will be applied a Gross Margin Transportation Rate which shall be:

- A. The <u>Simple Margin</u> as being the difference between the otherwise applicable Sales Tariff Rate and the Base Cost of Gas (BCOG), fixed at \$3.4344, for firm service and \$3.1771 for interruptible service as approved by the Company's most recent rate Order, Case No. 90-013, plus
- B. The Non-Commodity Components as calculated in the Company's most recent Quarterly Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) filing.

## Special Provisions:

A. Service under this rate schedule entitles the customer to purchase sales gas from the Company at the applicable tariff rates when its supply requirements exceed the nominated volume. The customer is entitled to purchase natural gas from the Company consistent with the applicable Sales Rate Schedule.

#### CARRIAGE SERVICE TARIFF RATE T-3

### Applicable:

Entire service area of the Company to any customer for that portion of the customer's interruptible requirements not included under one of the Company's sales tariffs.

#### Availability of Service:

- A. Available to any customer with a daily nominated volume (see Definition, Section 4) which averages a minimum of 100 Mcf of gas per day for the billing period on an individual service at the same premise which has purchased its own supply of natural gas and requires carriage by the Company to the point of utilization, subject to suitable service being available from existing facilities. (See Section 7 if additional facilities are necessary.)
- B. The Company may decline to initiate service to a customer under this tariff or to allow a customer receiving service under this tariff to elect any other service provided by the Company, if in the Company's sole judgment, the performance of such service would be contrary to good operating practice or would have a detrimental impact on other customers serviced by the Company.

#### Rate:

Monthly Base Charge: \$100.00 per delivery point

Minimum Charge: The Base Charge

In addition to any and all charges assessed by other parties, there will be applied a Carriage Service Commodity Rate consisting of:

- A. The <u>Simple Margin</u> applicable to interruptible service, as approved in the Company's most recent rate Order, Case No. 90-013, plus
- B. Any applicable non-commodity components as approved in the Company's most recent Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) filing.

Carriage Service Commodity Rates are stated at PSC No. 19, Sheet No. 17.

### Nominated Volume:

Definition: "Nominated Volume" or "Nomination" - The level of daily usage in MMbtu (to be converted to Mcf for billing purposes) as requested by the customer to be carried by the Company.

Such nomination request (nomination form plus required offers of credit and/or walvers or any other data required) shall be made by the customer or its agent to the Company on a monthly basis a minimum of ten (10) working days prior to commencement of the

billing period. Such nomination may be adjusted prospectively from time to time during the billing period as may become necessary. However, the Company retains the right to limit the number of nomination adjustments during the billing period.

#### Curtailment:

- The Company shall have the right at any time, without Α. liability to the customer, to curtail or to discontinue the delivery of gas entirely to the customer for any period of time when such curtailment or discontinuance is necessary to protect the requirements of domestic and commercial customers; to avoid an increased maximum daily demand in the Company's gas purchases; to avoid excessive peak load and demands upon the gas transmission or distribution system; to relieve system capacity constraints; comply with any restriction or to agency having of any governmental curtailment jurisdiction over the Company or its supplier or to comply with any restriction or curtailment as may be imposed by the Company's supplier; to protect and insure operation of the Company's underground storage system; for any causes due to force majeure (which includes acts of God; strikes, lockouts, civil commotion, riots, epidemics, landslides, lightning, earthquakes, fires, storms, floods, etc.); and for any other necessary or expedient reason at the discretion of the Company.
- B. All curtailments or interruptions shall be in accordance with and subject to the Company's "Curtailment Order" as contained in Section 29 of its Rules and Regulations as filed with and approved by the Public Service Commission.

#### Measurement:

The unit of measurement shall be a Mcf at a pressure base of 14.65 psia, a temperature of 60 degrees Fahrenheit and 0.60 specific gravity.

#### Special Provisions:

It will be the responsibility of the customer to pay all costs for additional facilities and/or equipment which may be required as a result of receiving service under this Carriage Service Rate T-3.

A written contract with maximum daily and monthly carriage volumes and with a minimum term of one year shall be required.

No gas delivered under this rate schedule and applicable contract shall be available for resale.

#### Terms and Conditions:

- A. Specific details relating to volume, delivery point/meter number and similar matters shall be covered by a separate written contract or amendment with the customer.
- B. The Company will not be obligated to deliver a total supply of gas to the customer in excess of the customer's maximum daily carriage volumes. The Company has no obligation under this tariff to provide any sales gas to the customers.
- C. It shall be the customer's responsibility to make all necessary arrangements, including obtaining any regulatory approval required, to deliver gas under this Carriage Service Rate to the facilities of the Company.
- D. The Company reserves the right to refuse to accept gas that does not meet the Company's quality specifications.
- E. The Rules and Regulations and Orders of the Kentucky Public Service Commission and of the Company and the Company's General Terms and Conditions applicable to the Company's Sales Tariff Rates shall likewise apply to these Carriage Service Rates and all contracts and amendments thereunder.
- F. The customer must provide the Company a minimum 24 hour advance notice of any change in the status of the customer's gas supply or gas usage during the month. In the event the customer loses its gas supply, it will be allowed two working days in which to secure replacement volumes (up to the maximum daily carriage quantity) and resubmit its nomination to the Company. This volume will be subject to the provisions of Section G if not made up by the end of the month.
- G. Volumes taken by the customer in excess of carriage volumes available for delivery by the Company in a month shall be deemed as overrun and will be billed at \$10.00 per Mcf.
- H. In the event a customer fails in part or in whole to comply with a Company curtailment order either as to time or volume of gas used or uses a greater quantity of gas than its daily carriage demand or a quantity in excess of any temporary authorization whether a curtailment order is in effect or not, the customer shall pay for the unauthorized gas so used at the rate of \$15.00 per Mcf. Billing of this penalty shall be made within 90 days of the date of violation and shall be due and payable within 20 days of billing.

The payment of penalty charges shall not be considered as giving any customer the right to take unauthorized volumes of gas nor shall such penalty charges be considered as a substitute for any other remedy available to the Company.

I. The customer will be solely responsible to correct, or cause to be corrected, any imbalances it has caused on the applicable pipeline's system.

#### Late Payment Charge:

Should any customer fail to pay all of the amount of any bill within ten (10) days after such bill is rendered, interest on the unpaid portion of the bill shall accrue, at the then effective prime interest rate (Citizens Fidelity Bank and Trust Company, Louisville, Kentucky) from the due date, until the date of payment.

#### TRANSPORTATION RATE T-2 AND CARRIAGE RATE T-3

The General Transportation Tariff Rate T-2 and Carriage Service Rate T-3 for each respective service rate is as follows:

#### Transportation Service Rate T-2

Includes standby sales service under corresponding sales rates.

#### General Service Rate G-1:

danera			Simple Margin	+	Non- Commodity Components	=	Gross Margin Transporta- tion Rate Per 1,000 Cu. Ft.
First	300	Mcf/mo.	\$0.9091		0.4151		\$1.3242
Next	14,700	McE/mo.	0.7591		0.4151		1.1742
All over			0.6091		0.4151		1.0242
Interr	uptible	Service	Rate G-2:				Gross Margin
					Non-		Transporta-
			Simple		Commodity		tion Rate Per
			Margin	+	Components	==	1,000 Cu. Ft.
			***************************************				
First	15,000	Mcf/mo.	\$0.4775 0.3275		0.1573 0.1573		\$0.6348 0.4848

Carriage Service Rate T-3:

Excludes standby sales service.

			Simple Margin	+	Non- Commodity Components	Transporta- tion Rate Per = 1,000 Cu. Ft.
First	15,000	Mcf/mo.	\$0.4775		0.0358	\$0.5133
All over	15,000	Mcf/mo.	0.3275		0.0358	0.3633

#### GAS COST ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE

BCOG is the base cost of gas per 1,00 cubic feet:

Firm Service (Rate G-1)	\$3.4344	per	1,000	cubic	feet
Interruptible Service (Rate G-2)	\$3.1771	per	1,000	cubic	feet

#### Applicable to: All Service Rate Schedules

	<u>Firm</u>	Interruptible
Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) per	*** ****	A.A
l,000 cubic feet Refund Adjustment (RF) per	\$(0.5919)	\$(0.5924)
1,000 cubic feet Net GCA Factor per 1,000	0.0000	0.0000
cubic feet	(0.5919)	(0.5924)

#### Derivation of above adjustments:

Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA)	<u>Firm</u>	Interruptible
Expected Gas Cost Component (EGC) Less: Base Cost of Gas (BCCG)	\$ 2.9763 3.4344	\$ 2.7185 3.1771
Gas Cost Component (EGC minus BCOG)	(0.4581)	(0.4586)
Gas Cost Actual Adjustment (GCAA) Gas Cost Balance Adjustment (GCBA)	(0.0443) (0.0895)	(0.0443) (0.0895)
Sub-Total	\$(0.5919)	\$(0.5924)

#### Refund Adjustment (RF)

Refund factors continuing for 12 months from the effective date of each refund filing:

Refund effective 5/1/89 Case No. 9556-J	\$(0.0000)	\$(0.0000)
Total Refund Factor (RF)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
Net GCA Factor per 1,000	, <del></del> ,	
feet	\$(0.5919)	\$(0.5924)

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 185

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Are firm carriage services included in the same classes as interruptible services? If so, why?

#### Response:

For purposes of the CCOS study, firm carriage customers are included in the same rate class as interruptible service customers. To the extent that a carriage customer delivers and transports its gas on the Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division's system, such gas will be delivered and the service is considered a firm service. However, Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division has no obligation to provide gas supply to a customer electing service under the firm carriage service tariff. Any overrun volumes may be billed at a penalty rate up to \$15 per Mcf.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 186

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

At page 12, lines 9 through 11, Mr. Uffelman states that interruptible and carriage service may be curtailed under peak load conditions. Does this statement apply to Rate T-4, Firm Carriage Service? If so, please explain why this service is characterized as "firm."

#### Response:

Rate T-4 firm carriage service customers are subject to gas supply curtailment in accordance with Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division's T-4 rate tariff (Item No. 7). Firm Carriage service is considered a firm service as explained in the response to Attorney General's Initial Data Request, Question No. 185.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 187

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

For the most recent winter season, please identify:

- a. The number of interruptions to interruptible customers,
- b. The duration of each interruption,
- c. The number and load of interruptible customers who actually curtailed their service during each interruption,
- d. The number and load of interruptible customers who failed to curtail their service during each interruption.
- e. For purposes of this request, include both interruptible sales and interruptible carriage service as "interruptible."

#### Response:

For purposes of orientation, "interruptions" in today's environment are somewhat different than those of ten years ago. The vast majority of Atmos Energy's "interruptible" customers are those utilizing carriage transportation services (T-3 and T-4 tariffs). Carriage customers are transportation-only customers who forego their rights to the Company's gas supply, which is dedicated to sales customers and transporters with standby sales service (T-2 tariff). Under normal circumstances, modest daily imbalances by carriage customers pose no challenge to the Company's management of gas supply for sales customers. However, during critical periods, the Company will "curtail" carriage customer access to any overrun gas supply. These "curtailment" notices advise customers that they must deliver adequate daily transportation supply to cover their requirements in order to avoid the risk of potential penalties. Typically, if adjustments are necessary by the carriage customers, they supplement their nominated gas supply instead of reducing their gas usage.

Often, the Company will "curtail" only down to Priority 7 (imbalance sales to carriage customers) of its Curtailment Order (reference Sheet 95 of the Company's tariffs), which does not apply to the Company's few remaining interruptible sales customers.

During this most recent winter season (2006-2007), no curtailment notices have been issued by the Company. This is due to the relatively mild winter temperatures experienced this winter and the overall balancing performance of our transportation customers and their marketers/suppliers.

In the previous two winter seasons (2004-2005 and 2005-2006) Curtailment Orders were issued on a number of occasions, and compliance with the Orders by customers and their suppliers has been very favorable.

# Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 188

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Would the Company support or oppose separating gas costs from non-gas costs, with all of the former recovered through a Purchased Gas Charge and the latter through customer and energy Distribution Charges?

#### Response:

The Company supports, and currently employs, the referenced methodology. Please reference response to AG DR 1-178 for a listing of cost categories by account subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 189

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Please identify the proportion of gas costs recovered in base rates and the proportion recovered in the Gas Cost Adjustment during the four quarters of the base period.

#### Response:

0% of gas costs are recovered in base rates, 100% of gas costs are recovered through the GCA.

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 190

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Refer to page 2 of the CCOS study. Please confirm that "Winter Season as a % of Annual Use" should be "winter peak use as % of annual use." If so, please define the peak use period that is used for the percentages in line 2. If not, explain the very low percentages shown for the interruptible classes.

#### Response:

The "Winter Season as a % of Annual Use" language referenced on page 2 line 2 of the class cost of service (CCOS) study is correct as stated in the CCOS study. As the wording indicates, the percentages referenced on line 2 represents the amount of gas consumed during the winter season (i.e., November 2005 through March 2006) as a percentage of the total annual gas used during the 12 month period ended August 31, 2006 for each customer class. The gas volumes used to compute these percentages are shown on page 14 lines 2 and 14 of the CCOS study. The low percentages for the interruptible and carriage customers simply indicate that such customers do not consume significantly larger amounts of gas during the winter period than they do during the remaining months of the annual period ended August 31, 2006, as compared to the residential, commercial and industrial customer classes. This appears reasonable given the differences in usage patterns between the residential, commercial, industrial, and interruptible and carriage class customers.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 191

Witness: Tom Petersen

#### **Data Request:**

Why is gas stored underground considered a rate base item rather than a gas cost item? Provide any Commission order(s) that support this treatment?

#### Response:

The company's investment of capital in gas stored underground is an investment that provides utility service to customers. Gas stored underground was included in rate base in the Commission's final decision in Case 90-013, the last general Atmos rate case that was not settled. The final order in 90-013 is provided in response to AG DR 1-184. The company has not researched other utility cases in Kentucky with regard to this item.

#### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 192

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Reference footnote 1, page 3 of the CCOS. Why are prepayments allocated on the basis of gross plant?

#### Response:

Prepayments were allocated on the basis of gross plant consistent with the methodology used to allocate prepayments in the Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division's prior Kentucky rate proceeding, Docket 99-070.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 193

Witness: Bernard Uffelman

#### **Data Request:**

Reference page 5 of the CCOS: Do industrial, carriage and interruptible customers use services included in Account 380? If so, why are no service costs allocated to them?

#### Response:

The Atmos Energy Corporation Kentucky Division is not aware of any service investment associated with industrial, carriage or interruptible customers that was recorded to Account 380, therefore no investment costs were allocated to these customers. To the extent that such customers may have unidentified service investment recorded to Account 380, such investment would be minimal.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 194

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Reference page 16 of the CCOS: In light of the fact that almost no gas is sold in the rate block over 15,000 Mcf, would the Company object to eliminating this block? If the Company would object, please explain fully.

#### Response:

Although only 400 Mcf was sold in the greater than 15,000 Mcf per month block during the 12-months ending August 31, 2006, the report indicates 2,604,321 Mcf was transported in that corresponding greater than 15,000 Mcf per month block. With the large total volume within this rate block, the Company would not support elimination of the greater than 15,000 Mcf per month block.

In regard to eliminating the rate block for sales services only, the Company would not support the financial bias introduced by such an action.

One of the merits of the Company's rate structures is margin neutrality between sales and transportation services. With this fact, Atmos Energy can consult with customers qualifying for service options without financial bias to the customer's selection. Eliminating the rate block from sales service would serve little purpose and would have set the unintended precedent of introducing a financial bias for the Company between sales and transportation services.

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Page 1 Data Request Dated February 20

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 195

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Please refer to page 8 of Mr. Smith's testimony. Are the costs of the East Diamond storage field and contract interstate pipeline storage subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment, or are they in base rates?

#### Response:

The costs associated with the East Diamond storage field and contract interstate pipeline storage are recovered through the Gas Cost Adjustment.

Additionally, however, the traditional regulatory treatment of gas storage inventory costs recognizes the 13-month average balance as a rate base component. Refer also to the Company's response to AG DR 1-191.

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 196

Witness: Greg Waller

#### **Data Request:**

How are the costs of Atmos's centralized gas purchasing services recovered? What was the amount of those costs during the historical base period and what are they expected to be in the forecast period?

#### Response:

Atmos's centralized gas purchasing function ("Gas Supply Services") is recovered, as of January 1, 2007, (see Waller testimony p. 15 lines 15-21 for discussion) as a component of Atmos' Shared Services Unit which is allocated to Kentucky per the allocation methodology described in the testimony of Mr. Cagle. Gas Control Services have and continue to be recovered as a component of SSU. The costs are outlined below:

	Base Period	Forecast Period
Gas Supply Services	\$245,000	\$249,598
Gas Control Services	\$196,777	\$193,055

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 197

Witness: Tom Petersen

#### **Data Request:**

Please provide the historical record of design day gas usage per customer by class of customer for the last five fiscal years, the current year and the forecast year.

#### Response:

The company does not measure peak day usage by customer class. The peak day usage in Mcf for firm gas sales for the past four winters is:

2002-2003	258,523
2003-2004	219,982
2004-2005	205,856
2005-2006	185,984

Peak day usage for the winter of 2001-2002 is not readily available.

The company does not regularly prepare design day forecasts by class of customer. The company does prepare design day forecasts for gas sales requirements. The current design day forecast is 261,416 Mcf or 268,831 Dth. Mr. Uffelman's class cost of service study contains the results of the current design day forecast as allocated among customer classes.

## Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky Case No. 2006-00464 Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 198

Witness: Gary Smith

#### **Data Request:**

Please provide the calculation of the Margin Loss Recovery Rider for the most recent three fiscal years and, if available, for the forecast year.

#### Response:

For the past three years, in fact, since its inception in 1999, there have no Margin Losses subject to the referenced tariff rider. No Margin Loss Recoveries were included in the forecasted test year.

### Attorney General Initial Data Request Dated February 20, 2007 DR Item 199

Witness: Gary Smith

#### Data Request:

Please provide the calculation of the Weather Normalization Adjustment Riders for each month since the inception of the rider.

#### Response:

The Weather Normalization Adjustment (WNA) rider was first approved in Case 99-070, for a five year period beginning with the winter of 2000-2001. A five-year extension of the WNA rider was approved in KPSC Case No. 2006-00268. The formula for the WNA calculation has not changed to date, and is found on Sheet No. 22 of the Company's approved tariffs. The basis for normal weather remains as originally approved; the 30-year National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) published normal heating degree days for the period of 1961-1990. The only variables that are changed each year entering into the winter are the HSF, BL and R factors for each class of G-1 firm sales subject to WNA, residential, commercial and public authority. The workpapers showing this calculation for the winter of 2006-2007 are provided in the Company's response to AG DR 1-179. The billing system applies these factors in the calculation of the WNA including the normal heating degree days and actual heating degree days for each customer's billing period.

For each winter since inception, the attachments (Attachment AG DR 1-199, Sheets 1-6) summarize the applicable HSF, BL and R factors, and HDDs, along with the corresponding WNA revenues for each customer class by month.

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 1 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2000-2001 Heating Season
November - April

1.1900 1.10697 1.0697 1	RESIDENTIAL	' ,	November	December	2000-20	2000-2001 Heating Season nary February Marc	Season <u>March</u>	April	Total
\$\text{substitute} \text{substitute} subst		\$/mcf mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
\$ 248,039 -576,176 -708,774 333,646 110,470 -127,196 -7 (8.7) \$ 1,62			153,089	156,987	163,813	159,519	164,121	158,931	159,410
\$\text{fmcf} 1.0697 \		₩.	248,039	-576,176	-708,774	333,646	110,470	-127,196	-719,992
\$/mcf         1.0697         1.0693         1.0643907         0.043907         0.043907         0.043907         0.043907         1.06437         1.165         1.06437         1.176         1.064308         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06437         1.06438         1.06438         1.1614         1.0644         1.0644         1.0654 <td>customer</td> <td>Ð</td> <td>70.1</td> <td>(2.07)</td> <td>(4.55)</td> <td>5.03</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>(00.0)</td> <td>(4.32)</td>	customer	Ð	70.1	(2.07)	(4.55)	5.03	0.0	(00.0)	(4.32)
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		\$/mcf	1.0697	1.0697	1.0697	1.0697	1.0697	1.0697	
## workfuldid 0.043907 0.044908 0.9218 0.9218 0.9218 0.9218 0.054335 0.1543		mof	8.0378		8.0378	8.0378	8.0378	8.0378	
\$\liminsquare\text{\$\frac{1}{3}\text{Figs}}\$ \tag{4.540}{11.09} \tag{10.5459}{11.267} \tag{10.5459}{10.5469} \tag{11.715}{11.09} \tag{10.5459}{11.267} \tag{10.5459}{11.71} \tag{11.95}{11.95} \tag{11.723} \tag{23.069}{23.069} \tag{10.5459}{10.5469} \tag{11.715}{11.71} \tag{11.95}{11.95} \tag{11.723} \tag{23.069}{23.09218} \tag{0.9218}{0.9218} \tag{0.9218}{0.9238} \tag{0.9218}{0.924} \tag{0.921}{0.9238} \tag{0.9218}{0.9248} \tag{0.921}{0.9238} \tag{0.9218}{0.9248} \tag{0.921}{0.9238} \tag{0.9218}{0.9248} \tag{0.921}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.9238} \tag{0.9221}{0.92		mcf/hdd	0.043907	0.043907	0.043907	0.043907	0.043907	0.043907	18 033
\$\text{\$\}\$}\$}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\e		€9	78,540	-200,148	-233,069	105,459	31,715	-34,723	-252,227
\$\rm\text{\$\}\$\$}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\$}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\$}	Customer	€9	4.51	(11,09)	(12.67)	5.88	1.71	(1.95)	(13.99)
\$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	>-	:			,				
mcf/hdd 0.154335 0.154335 0.154335 19.8038 19.8038 mcf/hdd 0.154335 0.15433 0.154335 0.15433 0.154335		\$/mcf.	0.9218	7	0.9218	0.9218	0.9218	0.9218	
\$ 15.77 1.027 1.057 1.058 1.002 1.705 1.011 1.011 1.057 1.0570 1.		mof/hold	0 154335	19.6036	19.8036 0 154335	0.154335	0.154335	0.154335	
\$ 18,325       -44,733       -60,645       25,132       10,248       -10,570       -6         \$ 11,62       (27,49)       (36,64)       15,43       6.01       (6,56)       (7         \$ 344,903       -821,058       -1,002,487       464,236       152,432       -172,488       -1,03         \$ 330       644       924       933       638       413         % 25,8       -32.0       -25,6       12.8       5.8       -11.4         602       1,190       999       648       682       161         516       859       1,006       797       555       247         * -16.7       -38.5       0.7       18.7       -22.9       34.8			1,577	1,627	1,655	;	1,705	1,611	1,634
\$       11.62       (27.49)       (36.64)       15.43       6.01       (6.56)       (3         \$       344.903       -821,058       -1,002,487       464,236       152,432       -172,488       -1,03         245       850       1,161       814       601       460         330       644       924       933       638       413         %       25.8       -32.0       -25.6       12.8       5.8       -11.4         602       1,190       999       648       682       161         516       859       1,006       797       555       247         8       -16.7       -38.5       0.7       18.7       -22.9       34.8		↔	18,325	-44,733	-60,645		10,248	-10,570	-62,244
\$ 344,903 -821,058 -1,002,487 464,236 152,432 -172,488 -1,03 245 850 1,161 814 601 460 330 644 924 933 638 413 Normal % 25.8 -32.0 -25.6 12.8 5.8 -11.4  all 602 1,190 999 648 682 161  naf 516 859 1,006 797 555 247  Normal % -16.7 -38.5 0.7 18.7 -22.9 34.8	Sustomer	↔	11.62	(27.49)	(36.64)	15.43	6.01	(6.56)	(38.09)
245 850 1,161 814 601 460 330 644 924 933 638 413 11.4   Normal % 25.8 -32.0 -25.6 12.8 5.8 -11.4   all 602 1,190 999 648 682 161   Normal % -16.7 -38.5 0.7 18.7 -22.9 34.8		€9	344,903	-821.058	-1.002.487	464.236	152.432	-172.488	-1.034.462
245 850 1,161 814 601 460 460 330 644 924 933 638 413 A13 A13 625.8 -32.0 -25.6 12.8 5.8 -11.4 all 602 1,190 999 648 682 161 A14 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15 A15		٠						-	
330 644 924 933 638 413 % 25.8 -32.0 -25.6 12.8 5.8 -11.4 602 1,190 999 648 682 161 516 859 1,006 797 555 247 % -16.7 -38.5 0.7 18.7 -22.9 33.8			245	850	1,161	814	601	460	4,131
%       25.8       -32.0       -25.6       12.8       5.8       -11.4         602       1,190       999       648       682       161         516       859       1,006       797       555       247         7       -16.7       -38.5       0.7       18.7       -22.9       34.8			330	644	924		638	413	3,882
602 1,190 999 648 682 161 516 859 1,006 797 555 247 % -16.7 -38.5 0.7 18.7 -22.9 34.8	Normal	%	25.8	-32.0	-25.6		5.8	-11.4	-6.4
516 859 1,006 797 555 247 % -16.7 -38.5 0.7 18.7 -22.9 34.8	ual		602	1,190	666		682	161	4,282
	mal Normal	%	516 -16.7	858 -38.5	1,006 0.7	·	355 -22.9	24/	3,980 -7.6

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 2 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2001-2002 Heating Season
November - April

	ı			2001-20	2001-2002 Heating Season	Season		
		November	December	January	February	March	April	<u>  otal</u>
RESIDENTIAL								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
BL Factor	mcf	1.3757	1.3757	1.3757	1.3757	1.3757	1.3757	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.014202	0.014202	0.014202	0.014202	0.014202	0.014202	
WNA Customers		150,563	153,925	155,427	156,056	157,102	155,959	155,959
WNA Revenue	↔	121,806	531,085	166,900	705,938	37,251	-76,092	1,486,887
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	€	0.81	3.45	1.07	4.52	0.24	(0.49)	9.53
O O NAMATED O I A I								
Committee Total	\$/mof	1 0630	1 0630	1.0630	1 0630	1.0630	1.0630	
	i d	7.0536	7 9536	7 0536	7 0536	7 0536	7 0536	
BL racioi HSE Eactor	mof/hold	0.04815	0.044815	0.044815	0.044815	0.044815	0.044815	
WNA Customers		17 105	17.561	17.678	17.740	17,905	17,785	17.629
WNA Revenue	69	31.573	155.766	51,199	219,540	4,660	-23,213	439,524
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	₩	1.85	8.87	2.90	12.38	0.26	(1.31)	24.93
VTIBORTIIV OI IBI IB								
B Factor	\$/mcf	0.8990	0.8990	0.8990	0.8990	0.8990	0.8990	
	2	18 6680	18 6680	18 6680	18 6680	18 6680	18 6689	
טב דימניטו	2 5	600000	600000	00000	00000	00000	00000	
HSF Factor	mct/ndd	0.155152	5	0.155152	761661.0	761661.0	761661.0	
WNA Customers		1,626	1,642	1,644	1,647	1,655	1,658	1,645
WNA Revenue	↔	11,192	44,239	15,210	56,684	2,610	-5,252	124,684
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	₩.	6.88	26.94	9.25	34.42	1.58	(3.17)	75.78
; ;								
WNA Revenue	₩	164,571	731,090	233,309	982,162	44,521	-104,557	2,051,095
WEATHER Billing HDD'S Actual		345	430	928	721	621	450	3.495
Billing HDD'S Normal		377	683	963	974	650	391	4.038
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	2 8	37.0	3.6	26.0	4.5	-15.1	13.4
Calendar HDD'S Actual		337	702	777	720	596	223	3,355
Calendar HDD'S Normal		516	829	1,006	797	555	247	3,980
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	34.7	18.3	22.8	9.7	-7,4	9.7	15./

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 3 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2002-2003 Heating Season
November - April

	'			2002-20	2002-2003 Heating Season	Season		
I VIETNICIO LI COLO		November	December	January	February	March	April	Total
RESIDENTIAL R Factor	\$/mcf mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.013792	0.013792	0.013792	0.013792	0.013792	0.013792	
WNA Customers		156,789	158,591	159,832	160,676	160,129	159,139	159,193
WNA Revenue	<del>69</del> €	-168,698	-305,638	122,459	-273,129	-178,045	156,926	-646,125
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	∌	(1.08)	(1.93)	0.77	(0/:1)	(1.17)	0.89	(4.06)
COMMERCIAL								
R Factor	\$/mct	1.1370	1.1370	1.1370	1.1370	1.1370	1.1370	
BL Factor HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.0500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0300	0.0300	0.040816	
WNA Customers		17.656	17,827	17,941	17,998	17,960	17,779	17,860
WNA Revenue	↔	-51,026	-98,003	36,702	-94,159	-56,976	47,593	-215,869
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	↔	(2.89)	(2.50)	2.05	(5.23)	(3.17)	2.68	(12.09)
PUBLIC AUTHORITY								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.0590	1.0590	1.0590	1.0590	1.0590	1.0590	
BL Factor	mcf	19.6548	19.6548	19.6548	19.6548	19.6548	19.6548	
HSF Factor	mct/hdd	0.144676	0.144676	0.144676	0.144676	0.144676	0.144676	!
WNA Customers		1,658	1,654	1,653	1,655	1,659	1,662	1,657
WNA Revenue	↔	-17,694	-28,533	8,893	-25,437	-15,614	14,023	-64,362
Avg. WNA / Heating Customer	↔	(10.67)	(17.25)	5.38	(15.37)	(9.41)	8.44	(38.85)
TOTAL								
WNA Revenue	€	-237,418	-432,174	168,054	-392,725	-250,635	218,542	-926,356
WEATHER								
Billing HDD'S Actual		431	806	816	1059	728	301	4,141
Billing HDD'S Normal		358	650	924	941	635	384	3,892
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	-20.4	-24.0	11.7	-12.5	-14.6	21.6	-6.4
Calendar HDD'S Actual		621		1120	865	510	202	4,169
Calendar HDD'S Normal	ò	516		1,006	797	555	247	3,980
warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	5.05-	 	٠. د.	c.o-	- o	0.71	-4./

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 4 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2003-2004 Heating Season
November - April

				2003-20	2003-2004 Heating Season	eason		
	ı	November	December	January	February	March	April	Total
RESIDENTIAL								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
BL Factor	mcţ	1.3998	1.3998	1.3998	1.3998	1.3998	1.3998	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.013603	0.013603	0.013603	0.013603	0.013603	0.013603	
WNA Customers		153,602	156,249	157,100	159,012	159,533	157,000	157,083
WNA Revenue	₩	177,665	101,203	336,000	-123,323	221,352	74,024	786,922
Avg. WNA / Customer	₩	1.16	0.65	2.14	(0.78)	1.39	0.47	5.01
OMMEBOIA								
B Factor	4/m/	1 1240	1 1240	1 1240	1 1240	1 1240	1 1240	
ביים מכונים ביים	5 40	7 1091	7 1001	7 1001	7 1001	7 1001	7 1001	
DL Facior	13 J	7.1901	7.1901	1,1901	1.1901	0.044506	0.044506	
TOT FACTOR	mei/maa	0.044520	0.044520	0.044520	0.044320	0.044520	0.044520	1
WNA Customers		17,400	17,735	17,828	17,901	17,930	17,738	1/,/55
WNA Revenue	↔	65,202	32,141	117,158	-45,299	77,922	22,197	269,321
Avg. WNA / Customer	€9	3.75	1.81	6.57	(2.53)	4.35	1.25	15.17
PUBLIC AUTHORITY								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.0270	1.0270	1.0270	1.0270	1.0270	1.0270	
BL Factor	mcf	21.4909	21.4909	21.4909	21.4909	21.4909	21.4909	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.148968	0.148968	0.148968	0.148968	0.148968	0.148968	
WNA Customers		1.654		1,669	1,668	1,671	1,671	1,668
M/M/ Power of	¥	10 857		30,003	.11.313	22 431	7 044	78 738
Aria Mala Customor	<b>→</b> 6	20,01	2 5	40,530	(87.8)	10, 10,		17.03
Avg. vviva / custome	<del>9</del>	2.0.7	0.0	70:51	(0.7.0)	7.5	7.55	17:74
TOTAI								
WNA Revenue	↔	262,725	143,069	484,151	-179,935	321,706	103,265	1,134,981
WEATHER		Ö	C	0	Ċ	Ċ	6	C
Billing HUD's Actual		239	200	0/4	000	000	402	000,0
Billing HDD'S Normal		320	630	966	883	683	431	3,953
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	25.3	7.0	12.2	-5.0	7.7.7	6.7	6.7
Calendar HDD'S Actual		417	816	971	782	448	244	3,678
Calendar HDD'S Normal		516	~	1,006	797	555	247	3,980
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	19.2	5.0	3.5	6.	19.3	1.2	7.6

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 5 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2004-2005 Heating Season
November - April

			;	2004-20	2004-2005 Heating Season	eason		
	ļ	November	December	January	February	March	April	Total
RESIDENTIAL								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
BL Factor	mcf	1.3445	1.3445	1.3445	1.3445	1.3445	1.3445	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.013673	0.013673	0.013673	0.013673	0.013673	0.013673	
WNA Customers		152,832	156,212	157,010	159,346	159,253	158,060	157,119
WNA Revenue	₩	241,130	130,364	379,178	373,663	52,760	30,297	1,207,392
Avg. WNA / Customer	↔	1.58	0.83	2.41	2.34	0.33	0.19	2.68
COMMEBCIAL								
R Factor	#/mof	1 1280	1 1280	1 1280	1 1280	1 1080	1080	
10000	5 40	0.0650	0031.1	0.000.0	003	0.000.0		
ביים דיים ביים	211	0.2032	0.2032	0.2032	0.2032	2002.0	0.2032	
חטר המניטו		0.04200	0.042007	0.044000	0.045007	0.045007	0.045067	
WNA Customers		17,413	17,767	17,755	17,984	17,923	17,781	17,771
WNA Revenue	↔	600,77	37,681	132,680	123,522	11,418	13,499	395,809
Avg. WNA / Customer	€₽	4.42	2.12	7,47	6.87	0.64	0.76	22.27
PUBLIC AUTHORITY								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	1.0370	
BL Factor	mof	22.8017	22.8017	22.8017	22.8017	22.8017	22.8017	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.146240	0.146240	0.146240	0.146240	0.146240	0.146240	
WNA Customers		1,655	1,646	1,636	1,654	1,650	1,649	1,648
WNA Revenue	€	26.773	10.539	34.196	33,536	4.838	2,483	112,365
Avg. WNA / Customer	₩	16.18	6.40	20.90	20.28	2.93	1.51	68.17
)	-							
TOTAL								
WNA Revenue	↔	344,912	178,584	546,054	530,721	69,016	46,279	1,715,566
WEATHER								
Billing HDD'S Actual		199	601	836	745	675	409	3,465
Billing HDD'S Normal		319	658	970	882	693	424	3,946
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	37.6	8.7	13.8	15.5	2.6	3.5	12.2
Calendar HDD'S Actual		427	893	783	637	636	231	3,607
Calendar HDD'S Normal		516	829	1,006	797	555	247	3,980
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	17.2	-4.0	22.2	20.1	-14.6	6.5	9.4

Attachment AG DR 1-199 Sheet 6 of 6

Atmos Energy Corporation (KY Division)
WNA Summary Report
2005-2006 Heating Season
November - April

				2005-20	2005-2006 Heating Season	eason		
		November	December	January	February	March	April	Total
RESIDENTIAL								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	1.1900	
BL Factor	mcf	1.2450	1.2450	1.2450	1.2450	1.2450	1.2450	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.013418	0.013418	0.013418	0.013418	0.013418	0.013418	
WNA Customers		153,572	156,105	157,778	158,020	157,942	157,142	156,760
WNA Revenue	↔	48,860	-249,524	423,730	480,059	126,216	26,673	856,014
Avg. WNA / Customer	↔	0.32	(1.60)	2.69	3.04	0.80	0.17	5.46
COMMFBCIAL								
B Factor	\$/mcf	1,1260	1.1260	1.1260	1 1260	1 1260	1 1260	
Bl Factor	jour j	9.3018	93018	93018	93018	93018	93018	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.039134	0.039134	0.039134	0.039134	0.039134	0.039134	
WNA Customers		17,690	18,044	18,078	18.120	18,068	17,949	17.992
WNA Revenue	69	15,392	-89,665	154,454	153,566	42,788	10,098	286,633
Avg. WNA / Customer	↔	0.87	(4.97)	8.54	8.47	2.37	0.56	15.93
PUBLIC AUTHORITY								
R Factor	\$/mcf	1.0350	1.0350	1.0350	1.0350	1.0350	1.0350	
BL Factor	mcf	21.2899	21.2899	21.2899	21.2899	21,2899	21.2899	
HSF Factor	mcf/hdd	0.151728	0.151728	0.151728	0.151728	0.151728	0.151728	
WNA Customers		1,634	1,637	1,648	1,638	1,634	1,629	1,637
WNA Revenue	↔	4,862	-22,948	45,518	43,546	12,716	5,460	89,154
Avg. WNA / Customer	↔	2.98	(14.02)	27.62	26.58	7.78	3.35	54.47
TOTAL								
WNA Revenue	₩	69,114	-362,137	623,702	677,171	181,720	42,231	1,231,801
WEATHER								
Billing HDD'S Actual		292	753	807	989	699	379	3,586
Billing HDD'S Normal		318	648	972	878	722	387	3,925
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	8.2	-16.2	17.0	21.9	7.3	2.1	8.6
Calendar HDD'S Actual		483	950	656	6//	536	145	3,549
Calendar HDD'S Normal		516	829	1,006	797	555	247	3,980
Warmer(Colder) then Normal	%	6.4	-10.6	34.8	2.3	3.4	41.3	10.8