

Elizabeth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission of Kentucky 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RECEIVED

NOV 302006 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

November 30, 2006

Re: The Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Order Authorizing the Issuance of Securities and the Assumption of Obligations – <u>Case No. 2006-00390</u>

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Enclosed please find an original and five (5) copies of the Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to the Commission Staff's First Data Request dated November 20, 2006 in the above-referenced docket.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Rick E. Lovekamp

cc: Parties of Record

Kentucky Utilities Company

State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.eon-us.com

Rick E. Lovekamp Manager – Regulatory Affairs T 502-627-3780 F 502-627-3213 rick.lovekamp@eon-us.com

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

NOV 302006 PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

THE APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES) **COMPANY FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING**) THE ISSUANCE OF SECURITIES AND THE) **ASSUMPTION OF OBLIGATIONS**)

> **RESPONSE OF** KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY TO FIRST DATA REQUEST OF COMMISSION STAFF **DATED NOVEMBER 20, 2006**

FILED: NOVEMBER 30, 2006

CASE NO. 2006-00390

RECEIVED

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

CASE N0. 2006-00390

Response to First Data Request of Commission Staff Dated November 20, 2006

Question No. 1

Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

- Q-1. KU's Application, Exhibit 5, presents a financial analysis of the proposal to refinance \$54 million of tax-exempt secured bonds by issuing tax-exempt unsecured debt. Provide a revised financial analysis that reflects both the proposed \$54 million refinancing and the anticipated issuance of \$1 billion of debt over the next 5 years, assuming KU issues 30-year secured bonds at the interest rate differential discussed in KU's response to the Commission's October 19, 2006 Order, Item No. 6.
- A-1. As requested above, a revised financial analysis is attached.

The analysis suggests that there would be a possible net cost to the Company of \$0.5 million. However, the assumption that KU issues only 30-year secured bonds for all new debt is unreasonable. KU's response to the Commission's October 19, 2006 Order, Item No. 6 provided two estimates using prices for tenyear unsecured bonds and thirty-year unsecured bonds for purposes of evaluating whether the Best Rate Method would produce a lower interest rate when compared to issuing debt secured by first mortgage lien. While the selection of the ten-year and thirty-year terms was based on KU's experience, the issuance of only 30-year secured bonds for all of KU's debt requirements is not consistent with KU's historical practice and is not a prudent business practice. Historically, out of the nine KU loans obtained from Fidelia, only one had a maturity of 30 years; and the other loans range in maturities of 2 to 10 years.¹ It is the Company's business practice when issuing debt to finance capital needs to utilize a range of maturities and term structures that are reflective of current operating and market conditions and anticipated cash flow requirements. Thus the possibility of the \$0.5 million cost to the Company is only a remote contingency. Please note that the thirty year rates quoted in the response to the Commission's October 19, 2006, Item No. 6 are high for E.ON AG because 30 year bonds are

¹ While KU has issued many 30-year tax exempt bonds to take advantage of this low cost funding source for the maximum tenor allowed, these evidences of indebtedness are not comparable to the debt at issue in this proceeding.

Prior to the LG&E/KU merger, taxable first mortgage bonds were issued for a variety of maturities ranging from 10 to 30 years. The Fidelia debt however is the only recent benchmark available because there has not been any other taxable debt issued publicly since the LG&E/KU merger.

quite uncommon in Europe. As a result, the rate includes a premium to entice investors into a longer term maturity. The analysis reflects the highest possible cost assuming KU obtains 30 year loans for the entire anticipated \$1 billion of debt. However, as discussed above, the assumption that KU would obtain only 30 year term loans is not reasonable. Given KU's business practice of using a prudent mix of maturities and the significant administrative benefits realized from the transaction, the net cost should be lower and the overall transaction should not be detrimental to the public interest.

KU acknowledges that the Commission's approval of this application has no implications for ratemaking purposes.

(1,705) (30,322) (55,318) (108,537) (108,537) (103,009) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (97,294) (72,619) (55,193) (45,215 (601,194) Net Periodic Savings (Cost) or (249,748) (255,102) (260,61616) (17,719) (17,719) (23,744) (23,744) (29,951) (55,342) (83,423) (90,205) (97,191) (15,005) 16,750 36,117 52,358 76,063 89,067 88,5,312 88,5,312 77,461 77,461 77,461 77,3,357 64,778 64,778 66,276 66,2920 55,676 50,920 46,020 Taxes *** θ Underwriting, Defeasance and 3 (601,194) Issue Expenses ഗ 310,106 319,409 328,991 429,259 442,137 442,137 45,401 469,063 483,135 512,558 512,558 527,934 543,772 560,086 576,888 381,391 392,833 267,500 275,525 283,791 359,498 370,283 301,074 338,861 349,027 404,618 416,756 292,304 Adminstrative Savings ⁽⁶⁾ ю 69 69 θ 69 69 69 ÷ θ ÷ ÷ 69 (312,150) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400) Interest Savings Interest Differential due to Maturity on Anticipated Extension ⁽³⁾ Borrowings ⁽⁴⁾ (139,650) (195,900) (353,400) (353,400) 52,950) 353,400) 353,400) 353,400) 353,400) 353,400) 353,400) 353,400) (353,400) (353,400) 244,650) 707,400 531,035 707,400 707,400 Э G 69 *** ዓ ዓ *** (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) Debt Expense Amortization ⁽²⁾ (23,043) (23,043) (23,043) 15,362) 23,043) 23,043) Additional ÷ \$ ~~~~~~ (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) (154,330) and Ins Premium ⁽¹⁾ 154,330) 154,330) (154,330) 140,867) 100,330) (100,330) (154,330) 154,330) Interest Charges Increase in ŝ θ 69 ⇔ 69 69 60 69

(601,194) 41,865 (1,462) (24,070) (39,925) (60,806) (68,409) (45,727) (39,532) (33,925) (28,858) (24,284) (20,162) (60,105) (52,565) (16,453) (13,122) (10,134) 98,112 4,816 5,975 6,979 92,678 87,561 62,826 16,665 16,685 16,646 16,554 22,049 24,835 26,056 27,314 11,940 16,416 \$544,763 Present Value SAVINGS Jein, <u>Factor</u> 1.0000 0.7938 0.7350 0.6806 0.6302 0.5335 0.5403 0.5002 0.4632 0.4289 0.2919 0.2703 0.2502 0.2317 0.2145 0.1987 0.1883 0.1703 0.1777 0.1777 0.1752 0.1577 0.1460 0.1352 0.1459 0.1159 0.1159 0.1159 0.1159 0.00934 0.00932 0.00932 0.00730 0.0730 0.3405 0.3152 0.3677 0.9259 0.8573 0.3971 Present Value

143,604 154,235 165,185

104,386

594,195 612,021 630,381 649,293

(353,400) (353,400)

247,500) 180,000) 135,000) (82,500)

(353,400)

(353,400) (353,400) (353,400) (353,400)

100,330)

01-Jan-31

01-Jan-15 01-Jan-16 01-Jan-17 01-Jan-18 01-Jan-20 01-Jan-22 01-Jan-26 01-Jan-26 01-Jan-28 01-Jan-28 01-Jan-28 01-Jan-29 01-Jan-29 01-Jan-29

(53,260)

01-Jan-32 01-Jan-33 01-Jan-34 01-Jan-35 01-Jan-36

01-Jan-37 01-Jan-38 01-Jan-39 01-Jan-40 01-Jan-41

668,771 688,835 709,500

239,619 291,491 330,293 373,927

(111,797) (162,174) (197,280) (223,542) (253,073)

\$2,029,626

(1.458,220)

(\$601,194) \$

\$15,442,822

(\$10,425,300)

\$2,653,235

(\$476,230)

(\$3,581,717)

Date 01-Jan-07

01-Jan-08 01-Jan-09

01-Jan-10 01-Jan-11 01-Jan-12 01-Jan-13 01-Jan-14

Attachment to Question No. 1 Page 1 of 2 Arbough

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

ANALYSIS PRESENT VALUE

100,000 80,000 80,000 93,000 5,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 812,194 aturity
100,000 189,000 80,000 93,000 42,194 6,000 6,000 6,000 312,194 aturity
ät
⊂ כ _{נומ} א אאאאאא א ⊂ כנ _{ומ} א אאאאאא א
ssance Costs and Issuance uance Costs Underwriting issue Costs I Issue Costs I issue Counsel any Counsel Ratings Printing stee Counsel Ratings Trustee suance costs unance costs suance costs suance costs suance costs and 1, 2007 fe L L A N E O te te
Defeasance Costs New Bond Issuance ond Issuance Costs Bond Issue Costs Underwriting Bond Counsel Company Counsel Nethings Printing Trustee Counsel Accountants Trustee Counsel Accountants Trustee Issuance costs Issuance costs
<u>_</u> (j)
Total \$ 1,178,000,000
С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С
Thereafter 353,4
0
i insurance Jally Jally 2028. 111 Loon,000 275,000,000 272,150
7.1 million and insu <u>ase</u> 20,930 2,400 2,400 5,4000 87,130 annually 67,200 annually 707,400 annually 707,400 annually 707,400 annually 707,400 annually 707,400 annually 707,450 \$ 275, 2000,000 \$ 275, 244,650 \$ 275,
7.1 million and ins ase 20,930 2,400 5,4000 54,000 67,200 annually 67,200 annually 707,400 annually
of \$87.1 millic Increase 2,400 2,400 7,400 67,200 67,200 707,400 700,400 707,500 707,500 707,500 707,500 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,50000 700,5000000 700,500000 700,50000000000000000000000000000000000
e debt د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د
aating ratu t <u>urity</u> 2032 2032 2032 2032 72032 72032 72032 72032 72032 72032 72032 72030 195,900
e non-insured floating ph maturity. <u>Maturity</u> 30,000 2/1/2032 00,000 2/1/2032 00,000 10/1/2032 30,000 10/1/2032 1/1/2028 30,000 10/1/2032 e \$54 million refunding 1.310% \$ 54,000,00 1.310% \$ 54,000,00 2.115032 8/11/2032 00,000 0 10/1/2032 er the next 5 years er the next 5 years 39,650 \$ 150,000,00 39,650 \$ 150,000,00
the non-insu ugh maturity 400,000 400,000 130,000 000,000 130,000 130,000 130,000 130,000 130,000 130,000 139,650 139,650 130,000
st charges related to the non-inition through maturn but of \$96 million through maturn \$ 2,400,000 \$ 2,400,000 \$ 7,400,000 \$ 7,400,000 \$ 7,400,000 \$ 7,400,000 \$ 1,310% 0.070% \$ 96,000,000 0.070% \$ 96,000,000 \$ 1,310% extension. 1,310% extension. 1,310% extension. 2008 353,000,000 \$ 225,000,000 52,950 \$ 139,650
50 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$ 20 \$
st charges reliant of \$96 mill of \$96 mill of \$96 mill of \$95 mill
ed debt debt of debt
AssumptionsAssumptions(1) Reflects an increase in interest charges related to the non-insured floating rate debt of \$87.1 million and insurance premium related to insured debt of \$87.1 million and insurance s 2.400.0000Interest threase $11/2032$ \$ 2.0,330,000 $21/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $21/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 2.400.000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $32/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 5.4,000,000 $31/12032$ \$ 2.4,000,000 $31/120$
Assumptions flects an increase arrest Increase arrest Increase flects the addition flects the addition rest Savings due arrest differential d suming borrowings mulative Interest mulative Interest
Assumptions Reflects an increase in premium related to insu Interest Increase Ins Premium Increase Reflects the additional Interest Savings due m Interest differential on t assuming borrowings o L-T Borrowings
(1) ア

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

CASE N0. 2006-00390

Response to First Data Request of Commission Staff Dated November 20, 2006

Question No. 2

Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

- Q-2. KU's Application, page 8, states that, "The Carroll County 1994 Series A Bonds are currently redeemable at 100% of par. . . ." However, KU's response to the Commission's October 19, 2006 Order, Item No. 4, includes drafts of a loan agreement and indenture of trust, both of which provide on page 2 that, "[T]he Refunded 1994 Series A Bonds are by their terms currently subject to redemption at the option of the Issuer in whole or part on any date, at the price of 102% of the principal amount thereof. . . ." Explain in detail the apparent discrepancy between the statements in KU's application and those in the 2006 loan agreement and indenture of trust.
- A-2. The 2006 loan agreement and indenture of trust in connection with the Carroll County 1994 Series A Bonds in response to the Commission's October 19, 2006 Order, Item No. 4 were discussion drafts based on prior issuances. The final agreements will reflect the Carroll County 1994 Series A Bonds are currently redeemable at 100% of par, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.