## Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

Holly C. Wallace 502-540-2309 holly.wallace@dinslaw.com RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2006

June 22, 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Via Federal Express

Hon. Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: In the Matter of: Petition of West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative

Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed

Interconnection Agreement with NTCH-West, Inc.,

Case No. 2006-00231

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled case the original and eleven (11) copies of West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.'s Response to NTCH's June 15, 2006 Letter.

Please file-stamp one copy and return it to me in the enclosed, self-addressed, pre-paid envelope. Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

Holly C. Wallace

HCW/rk

Enclosures

Steven E. Watkins (w/encl.)

John E. Selent, Esq. (w/o encl.)

Edward T. Depp, Esq. (w/o encl.)

111285v1 35369-2

cc:

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

n the Matter of:	JUN 2 3 2006
Petition of West Kentucky Rural Telephone	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of	
Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed	
nterconnection Agreement with NTCH-West, Inc.	
Pursuant to the Communications Act of	Case No. 2006-00231
934, as Amended by the Telecommunications	
Act of 1996	
934, as Amended by the Telecommunications	Case No. 2000-00231

## **RESPONSE TO NTCH'S JUNE 15, 2006 LETTER**

West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. ("West Kentucky"), by counsel, hereby responds to NTCH's June 15, 2006 letter. On May 31, 2006, after attempting to negotiate an interconnection agreement with NTCH-West, Inc. ("NTCH") for over four months, West Kentucky filed a petition for arbitration in the above-referenced case. NTCH responded by letter dated June 15, 2006, stating that all traffic it sends to West Kentucky is completed through a long distance carrier. NTCH contends it has "no local exchange with West Kentucky."

NTCH is a signatory to the statewide CMRS agreement executed in Case No. 2003-00045 providing for the delivery and termination of traffic from CMRS providers such as NTCH to rural independent local exchange carriers ("Rural LECs") such as West Kentucky. NTCH's participation in this agreement indicates that NTCH terminates local traffic to the Rural LECs, or at least did at the time the statewide agreement was executed. Thus, West Kentucky suspects that NTCH continues to terminate local traffic to West Kentucky. If NTCH had not been terminating local traffic to the Rural LECs, it would not have needed to be a signatory to the statewide CMRS agreement. This voluntary BellSouth arrangement terminates at the end of 2006. Therefore, if NTCH intends to terminate traffic subject to the terms of local interconnection to West Kentucky

after December 31, 2006, then NTCH must execute an interconnection agreement with West Kentucky.

Nonetheless, West Kentucky would be willing to withdraw its Petition for Arbitration only if NTCH is willing to warrant unequivocally that it will not terminate any traffic to West Kentucky that would be subject to the terms of interconnection under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. As such, NTCH must state in a sworn affidavit to be filed with the Commission and West Kentucky that (a) NTCH will arrange for interexchange carriers to terminate all of NTCH's traffic with respect to West Kentucky; (b) all traffic of NTCH that may terminate to West Kentucky will be terminated by interexchange carriers; (c) NTCH agrees that West Kentucky's relationship for the termination of this traffic is solely with the interexchange carrier that obtains terminating switched access services from West Kentucky; and (d) NTCH agrees that all of such traffic will be subject to the terms of switched access with the interexchange carrier and that none of this traffic will be subject to the requirements of Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. Moreover, NTCH must also warrant that it will not deliver, or attempt to deliver, any traffic to West Kentucky via BellSouth's tandem(s) or any other tandem provider unless both NTCH and the tandem provider have put in place with West Kentucky proper agreements, acceptable to West Kentucky, that would cover any such voluntary arrangements.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Selent Holly C. Wallace

Edward T. Depp

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

1400 PNC Plaza

500 W. Jefferson Street

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 540-2300

(502) 585-2207 COUNSEL TO WEST KENTUCKY RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served via U.S. Mail on this day of the following individual(s): June, 2006, to the following individual(s):

Tom Sams NTCH-West, Inc. 1600 Ute Avenue, Suite 10 Grand Junction, CO 81501

> COUNSEL-ROWEST KENTUCKY RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.

111283v1 35369/2