SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ronald M. Sullivan
Jesse T. Mountjoy
Frank Stainback
James M. Miller
Michael A Fiorella
William R. Dexter
Allen W. Holbrook
R. Michael Sullivan
P. Marcum Willis
Bryan R. Reynolds
Tyson A. Kamuf

Mark W. Starnes

Iulia B. Hawes

May 11, 2006

RECEIVED

Via Federal Express

MAY 1 2 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Re: In the Matter of: Big Rivers Electric Corporation's

Notice of Intent to Reduce Revenue

PSC Case No. 2006-00137

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Enclosed are an original and five copies of the response of Big Rivers Electric Corporation to the First Data Request of Commission Staff to Big Rivers Electric Corporation dated April 28, 2006, in the above styled matter. I certify that I have served a copy of this response upon the attached service list. Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours,

Tyson Kamuf

TAK/ej Enclosures

cc: Michael H. Core
David Spainhoward
Mark A. Bailey
Burns Mercer
Kelly Nuckols
Service List

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

SERVICE LIST CASE NO. 2006-00137

Elizabeth Blackford, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of Rate Intervention 1024 Capital Center Drive Frankfort, KY 40601

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

RECEIVED

MAY 1 2 2006

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S) CASE NO. NOTICE OF INTENT TO REDUCE REVENUE) 2006-00137

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE FIRST DATA REQUEST OF THE COMMISSION STAFF TO ORDER OF APRIL 28, 2006

		•

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006

Item 1) Refer to the Application, page 3, item 8. Big Rivers states that it has signed a letter of intent with E.ON. U.S. LLC, formerly known as LG&E Energy LLC, and certain of its subsidiaries or affiliates to pursue terminating the various arrangements regarding operational control of Big Rivers' power plants and ownership of the electricity generated by them.

- a) Provide the anticipated time-frame for completing the transaction to terminate the agreements.
- **b)** Has Big Rivers performed an analysis to determine whether its existing rates will provide sufficient revenue to pay all its costs, service the debt, and provide a positive margin if the transaction to terminate the agreements is closed?
 - (1) If yes, provide the analysis and all supporting workpapers.
 - (2) If no, explain why such an analysis has not been performed.
- **Response)** a) Based upon the latest information, Big Rivers does not believe the unwind transaction can close earlier than the first quarter of 2007. Big Rivers has not yet entered into definitive documents on the basis of which the E.ON U.S. transaction can be unwound.
- b) Big Rivers has done, and continues to perform analyses of what Big Rivers wholesale rates would be following an unwind of its transaction with E.ON U.S. under various assumptions. The analyses of Big Rivers' rates going forward has not been finalized because a number of issues that could affect rates continue to be refined. If the unwind transaction progresses, when Big Rivers files with the Commission, it will file all the information requested by the Commission in this item. Until then, Big Rivers would request that it not be required to file information out of its ongoing and changing analyses.

Witness) C. William Blackburn

Item 1 Page 1 of 1

•		

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

Provide a schedule of capital projects Big Rivers intends to initiate Item 2) during 2006 and 2007, assuming Big Rivers regains control of its power plants by December 31, 2006. For each project listed include a description of the project, the total estimated cost, and how Big Rivers intends to fund the project.

Big Rivers plans regarding capital projects following an unwind are still in Response) flux, and are still under study. Much of what Big Rivers intends to initiate in 2006 and 2007 is dependant on the timing of the unwind closing. These plans will also be finalized and filed with the Commission with any request for approval of an unwind transaction. As for future financing of capital projects following an unwind, Big Rivers currently contemplates that projects in the first two years after an unwind would be financed with a combination of current cash flows and proceeds received by Big Rivers from the unwind transaction. Big Rivers requests that it not be required to file information out of its ongoing analyses at this time.

Witness) C. William Blackburn

> Item 2 Page 1 of 1

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006

anticipates incurring during 2006 and 2007 that were not incurred in 2005. For each

expense listed include a description of the expense, the total estimated expense, and

Provide a schedule of any significant operating expenses that Big Rivers

Item 3)

Response) Big Rivers expects its level of operating expenses in 2006 and 2007 until the unwind transaction closing date to be essentially the same as its operating expenses in 2005. Transmission capital additions for 2006 and 2007 would however, represent cost differences from 2005. A list of Big Rivers' Transmission Capital Projects is attached. The cost of adding the transmission improvements would be funded by internally generated funds absent the unwind transaction. However, those additions will be

Witness) C. William Blackburn

necessary with or without the unwind transaction.

how Big Rivers intends to fund the expense.

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006

1	ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-001 MAY 11, 2006	37
2	14111 11, 2000	
3		
4	2006 Capital Construction	
5		
6	Cumberland Resources 69 kV Line (7 miles)	\$ 900,000
7	KB Alloys Switching	75,000
8	Meade Co. 161 kV Line (18 miles)	
9	CPCN received from KPSC	3,000,000
10	LG&E (KU) 345 kV Interconnection	3,000,000
11	Wilson EHV RTU	65,000
12	Hard-Cloverport (LG&E) PL Corner	60,000
13	Hard #1 RC Switch	40,000
14	Meade Co. 161 kV Line Terminal	300,000
15		
16		
17		
18	2007 Capital Construction	
19		
20		
1	LG&E (KU) 345 kV Interconnection	\$ 3,000,000
21	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification	\$ 3,000,000 500,000
21 22		
	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification	500,000
22	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV	500,000 1,000,000
22 23	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements	500,000 1,000,000 100,000
22 23 24	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000
22 23 24 25	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles)	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000
22 23 24 25 26	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles)	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000
22 23 24 25 26 27	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles) McCracken Co. 69 kV Line Terminal	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000 250,000
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles) McCracken Co. 69 kV Line Terminal	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000 250,000
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles) McCracken Co. 69 kV Line Terminal	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000 250,000
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles) McCracken Co. 69 kV Line Terminal	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000 250,000
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Hardinsburg 161 kV Station Modification Re-conductor Reid-Onton Junction (10 miles) 69 kV Reid EHV & Coleman EHV RTU Replacements Olivet Church Road Top Switching McCracken Co. – Olivet Church Tap 69 kV Line (4 miles) McDaniels – Falls of Rough 69 kV Line (5 miles) McCracken Co. 69 kV Line Terminal	500,000 1,000,000 100,000 35,000 700,000 750,000 250,000

Item 3 Page 2 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006

1 2 3

4

5 6

7 8 9

10 11

12

Response)

13

14 15

17 18

16

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

Concerning Big Rivers' proposal to continue the revenue deduction, has Item 4) Big Rivers performed a cash flow analysis to determine the impact the revenue reduction will have on its financial condition?

- If yes, provide a copy of the cash flow analysis and explain a) whether the analysis reflects Big Rivers regaining control of its power plants and, if so, the anticipated date it regains control of its power plants.
 - If no, explain why such an analysis has not been performed. b)

Yes, but only for 2006.

- The cash flow statement for 2006 is attached, and does not anticipate the unwind occurring. Big Rivers "Cash & Temporary Investments and its Voluntarily Prepayment" ("Cushion Status") as of April 3, 2006 was \$115,900,000.00. Big Rivers projected December 31, 2006 Cushion Status is \$114,900,000.00. The requested revenue reduction of \$3,680,000.00 will not have a negative impact on Big Rivers' financial condition. As the Commission is aware, the financial plan approved in 1998 anticipated a significant "Cushion" by 2006 to insure Big Rivers does not exceed the Maximum Allowed Balance as required by the New RUS Note in future years. Big Rivers anticipates some permanent resolution of the current Member revenue discount upon successful completion of the unwind transaction.
 - b) Not applicable.

Witness) C. William Blackburn

> Item 4 Page 1 of 3

2006 Budget

Beginning Balance, January 1, 2006		02,000,000
Receipts Disbursements	221,100,173 (168,916,567)	
		52,183,606
Cash Available for Investment/Debt Prepayments		117,183,606
New RUS Note Prepayments:		
January	15,000,000	
April	17,300,236	
Auly	7,806,173	
October	15,379,021	
Total New RUS Note Prepayments		(55,485,430)
Ending Balance, December 31, 2006		61,698,176



"Cushion" Status (In Millions of &)

TODO MICTORY

Cash & Investments + Voluntarily Prepaid + Supplemental Amount + Cap X Adj. = "Cushion"

At 12/31/2005:

55.4

120.4

At 12/31/2006, Based Upon the 2006 Budget (results in 72.3 paid on New RUS Note during the year):

23.7

114,9

Reduction in "Cushion" during 2006

Next Required Payment on the New RUS Note-10/01/2007 \$6.5

6-10

RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 2006-00137 MAY 11, 2006 Item 5) Has Big Rivers obtained the necessary creditor approvals required to continue the proposed revenue reduction? a) If yes, provide a statement that all approvals have been received. If no, indicate when Big Rivers expects to receive all approvals **b**) and provide a statement when all approvals have been received. Big Rivers has not obtained all of the necessary creditor approvals at this Response) time. Big Rivers has received approvals from: Rural Utilities Service "RUS"; National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation "CFC"; US Bank National Association as Trustee; Ambac Assurance Corporation; and Ambac Credit Products, LLC. a) Not applicable. b) Big Rivers cannot predict when the remaining creditor approvals will be received. However, Big Rivers will notify the Commission when those approvals are received. Witness) C. William Blackburn

1

234

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

202122232425

2627282930313233

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S