



Jeffrey A. Been Executive Director

Stefanie A. Coomes Information Technology Manager

> Carolyn A. Dean Controller

James K. Elliott Development Director

Patricia A. Kennedy Human Resources Director

> Ronald Marstin Managing Attorney Urban Division

Michael "Buck" Morris Managing Attorney Volunteer Lawyer Program

> S. Stewart Pope Advocacy Director

Robert Frederick Smith Managing Attorney Rural Division

Attorneys
Andre Bergeron
Jeffrey S. Brown
Lea D Hardwick
Gwendolyn Horton
Lisa Kilkelly
Molly Oberhausen
Eileen L. Ordover
Neva-Marie Polley
Jeffrey B. Segal
Barbara A. Sullivan
Amy Karn Turner
Rita J. Ward
Stephanie C. Willis
John Young

Paralegals
Robbie D. Brice
Carol Dupin
Catherine M. Ford
Luke Hall
Andrea Y. Robbins
Robert Turpin
Kristie Wells
MaryAnn Werling
Allberta H. White

Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs Fellow:

Shawna Scheidel

August 29, 2006

VIA EXPRESS MAIL

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615 RECEIVED

AUG 3 0 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: In The Matter Of:

CONSIDERATION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE FEDERAL ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005 REGARDING TIME-BASED METERING, DEMAND RESPONSE AND INTERCONNECTION SERVICE, CASE NO. 2006-00045

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are the original and ten (10) copies of the Post-Hearing Brief of Metro Human Needs Alliance.

Please confirm your receipt of this filing by placing the stamp of your office with the date received on the enclosed additional copy and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me if you need any further information.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kilkelly Staff Attorney

di Kitely

Cc: Service list

#LSC



# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Post Hearing Brief of Metro Human Needs Alliance was served on the attached service list on the Angust, 2006, United States mail, postage prepaid.

Lisa Kilkelly

Legal Aid Society, Inc.

425 W. Muhammad Ali Blvd.

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 585-6980

Anne Marie Regan Office of Kentucky Legal Services Programs, Inc. 1139 East Broadway Louisville, KY 40204 (502) 584-0349

Attorneys for Metro Human Needs Alliance

RECEIVED

AUG 3 0 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Allen Anderson South Kentucky R.E.C.C. P.O. Box 910 925-929 N. Main Street Somerset, KY 42502-0910 Mark A. Bailey Kenergy Corp. 3111 Fairview Drive P.O. Box 1389 Owensboro, KY 42302 Michael S. Beer VP-Rates & Regulatory Kentucky Utilities Company C/o Louisville Gas & Electric Co. P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

Kent Blake
Director – State Regulation and Rates
Louisville Gas & Electric Company
220 W. Main Street
P.O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40232-2010

Dudley Bottom, Jr. Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. 620 Old Finchville Road Shelbyville, KY 40065 Daniel W. Brewer Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. P.O. Box 990 1201 Lexington Road Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

Jackie B. Browing Farmers R.E.C.C. 504 South Broadway P.O. Box 1298 Glasgow, KY 42141-1298

Sharon K. Carson Finance & Accounting Manager Jackson Energy Cooperative P.O. Box 307 U.S. Highway 421 S McKee, KY 40447 Michael H. Core Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P.O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42420

Paul G. Embs Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 748 2640 Ironworks Road Winchester, KY 40392-0748 Carol H. Fraley President and CEO Grayson R.E.C.C. 109 Bagby Park Grayson, KY 41143 James B. Gainer
Legal Division
The Union, Light, Heat and Power
Company
139 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Ted Hampton Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Highway 25E P.O. Box 440 Gray, KY 40734 David F. Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street 2110 CBLD Building Cincinnati, OH 45202 Larry Hicks
Salt River Electric Cooperative
Corporation
111 West Brashear Avenue
P.O. Box 609
Bardstown, KY 40004

Kerry K. Howard Licking Valley R.E.C.C. P.O. Box 605 271 Main Street West Liberty, KY 41472 James L. Jacobus Inter-County Energy Cooperative Corporation 1009 Hustonville Road P.O. Box 87 Danville, KY 40423-0087 Robert M. Marshall Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. 8205 Highway 127 North P.O. Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359 Mark R. Overstreet Stites & Harbison 421 West Main Street P.O. Box 634 Frankfort, KY 40602-0634

Scott H. DeBroff Smigel Anderson & Sacks River Chase Office Center 4431 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

Timothy C. Mosher American Electric Power 101 A Enterprise Drive P.O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602

Anthony P. Overbey Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative P.O. Box 328 Flemingsburg, KY 41041

Elizabeth L. Cocanougher Senior Corporate Attorney E.ON U.S. LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202 Burns E. Mercer Meade County R.E.C.C. P.O. Box 489 Brandenburg, KY 40108-0489

Lawrence W. Cook Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate Intervention 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601-8204

Barry L. Myers, Manager Taylor County R.E.C.C. 100 West Main Street P.O. Box 100

Campbellsville, KY 42719

Roy M. Palk
East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
4775 Lexington, Road
P.O. Box 707
Winchester, KY 40392-0707

Kendrick R. Riggs Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC 1700 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202 Michael L. Miller President & CEO Nolin R.E.C.C. 411 Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701-8701

Jason R. Bentley McBrayer, McGinnis, Leslie & Kirkland PLLC 305 Ann Street, Suite 308 Frankfort, KY 40601

G. Kelly Nuckols Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P.O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030

504 11<sup>th</sup> Street
Paintsville, KY 41240-1422

Bobby D. Sexton

Big Sandy R.E.C.C.

President/General Manager

James M. Miller Tyson Kamuf Sullivan, Mountjoy, Stainback & Miller, P.S.C. 100 St. Ann Street P.O. Box 727 Owensboro, KY 42302-0727

David Spainhoward VP External Relations & Interim Chief Production Officer Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P.O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42419-0024

## **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

# RECEIVED

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

AUG 3 0 2006

In the Matter of:	)		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CONSIDERATION OF THE	)		
REQUIREMENTS OF THE FEDERAL	)		
ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005	)	CASE NO.	
REGARDING TIME-BASED METERING,	)	2006-00045	
DEMAND RESPONSE AND	)		
INTERCONNECTION SERVICE	)		

# POST-HEARING BRIEF OF METRO HUMAN NEEDS ALLIANCE

Lisa Kilkelly Legal Aid Society, Inc 425 West Muhammad Ali Blvd. Louisville, KY 40202 (502) 585-6980

Anne Marie Regan Office of Kentucky Legal Services Programs, Inc. 1139 East Broadway Louisville, KY 40204 (502) 584-0349

Attorneys for Metro Human Needs Alliance

## **Procedural History**

On February 24, 2006, the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") initiated this administrative case to consider the requirements of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 ("EPAct 2005"), Subtitle E, Section 1252, Smart Metering, and Section 1254, Interconnection. All jurisdictional electric utilities were made parties to the case.

Metro Human Needs Alliance ("MHNA") filed a motion for full intervention based on its concerns about the potential impact of implementation of the smart metering standards on low-income households. The Commission granted MHNA full intervention by order dated March 13, 2006. The Commission also allowed intervention by the Attorney General of Kentucky, the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, PJM Interconnection, Cellnet Technologies and Hunt Technologies.

In the course of these proceedings, the jurisdictional utilities responded to requests for information from the Commission and MHNA. The Commission conducted an informal conference May 10, 2006 for the purpose of clarifying issues arising from the utilities' responses to data requests and to discuss other issues pertinent to the case. The utilities and certain intervenors filed direct testimony and a public hearing took place on July 18, 2006 at the offices of the Commission.

MHNA files this brief for the purpose of addressing issues concerning the Section 1252 Smart Metering standards. MHNA has not taken a position on the Section 1254 Interconnection standard and does not address such standard in this brief.

#### Issues

EPAct 2005 sets forth the issues for the Commission to determine regarding Smart Metering:

[E]ach State regulatory authority shall, not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this paragraph conduct an investigation in accordance with section 115(i) and issue a decision whether it is appropriate to implement the standards set out in subparagraphs (A) and (C).

The standards set out in subparagraphs (A) and (C) provide:

- (A) Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this paragraph, each electric utility shall offer each of its customer classes, and provide individual customers upon customer request, a time-based rate schedule under which the rate charged by the electric utility varies during different time periods and reflects the variance, if any, in the utility's costs of generating and purchasing electricity at the wholesale level. The timebased rate schedule shall enable the electric consumer to manage energy use and cost through advanced metering and communications technology.<sup>2</sup>
- (C) Each electric utility subject to subparagraph (A) shall provide each customer requesting a time-based rate with a time-based meter capable of enabling the utility and customer to offer and receive such rate, respectively.3

#### Argument

THE COMMISSION SHOULD NOT IMPLEMENT THE SMART I. METERING STANDARDS IN SUCH A WAY AS TO INCREASE ENERGY COSTS FOR LOW-INCOME UTILITY CUSTOMERS.

# A. Low-income residential utility customers in Kentucky have experienced increases in the cost of energy in recent years.

Utility consumers in Kentucky as a whole have experienced increases in energy costs in recent years. For example, since 2003, the cost of natural gas has increased significantly. 4 MHNA has seen the effect of such increases among its clients, who come to MHNA agencies for financial assistance and for help avoiding utility disconnections. In recent years, MHNA clients have requested help with significantly higher utility bills

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 26 U.S.C. 2621(d)(14)(F). <sup>2</sup> 26 U.S.C. 2621(d)(14)(A). <sup>3</sup> 26 U.S.C. 2621(d)(14)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Rising Cost of Natural Gas, Kentucky Public Service Commission, January 20, 2006 available at http://psc.ky.gov/agencies/psc/press/012006/0120 r01.pdf.

than in the past.<sup>5</sup> MHNA believes that recent rate increases<sup>6</sup> and the higher costs of natural gas have contributed to these higher bills. <sup>7</sup>

In light of these rising utility costs, MHNA opposes further increasing costs on customers due to the implementation of smart metering standards. Increased costs could result if the Commission would direct utilities to implement programs in which participation was mandatory. Even if participation would be voluntary, increased costs could result if the Commission were to order utilities to offer programs to such a degree that the utilities would impose costs on non-participants. The evidence in this case is not clear that such programs would be cost effective.

# B. The Commission should not implement the smart metering standards in such a way that participation is mandatory.

MHNA opposes implementation of a smart metering standard in which participation among residential customers would be mandatory.<sup>8</sup> Several of the utilities who are parties to this case also oppose mandatory participation.<sup>9</sup>

EPAct 2005 does not require mandatory participation by utility customers in smart metering programs. The language of the standards clearly indicates voluntary participation. Each electric utility shall "offer each of its customer classes, and provide individual customers upon customer request, a time-based rate schedule." Subparagraph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Direct Testimony of Marlon Cummings on behalf of Metro Human Needs Alliance, Case No. 2006-00045 ("Cummings Direct") at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The last base rate increase in MHNA's service territory was approved by the Commission June 30, 2004 in Case No. 2003-00433, Application of Louisville Gas & Electric Co. for an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cummings Direct at 3.

bI <sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Direct Testimony of Bruce L. Sailers of Duke Energy Kentucky, Case No. 2006-00045 ("Sailers Direct") at 7; Direct Testimony of David M. Roush of May 18, 2006 on behalf of Kentucky Power Co., Case No. 2006-00045 ("Roush Direct") at 5-6; Direct Testimony of William A. Bosta of May 18, 2006 on behalf of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. and its Member Systems, Case No. 2006-00045 ("Bosta Direct") at 5

<sup>5. &</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 16 U.S.C. 2621(d)(14)(A)

(B) describes several types of programs, which may be "offered." Further, the standard provides that each utility shall provide each customer who requests it a time-based rate with a time-based meter. 12 The EPAct standards contemplate voluntary participation by customers rather than implementation of mandatory programs by utilities.

Depending on the type of program developed, programs requiring participation by residential customers would almost certainly result in additional costs to those customers. Several of the utilities have indicated that they would impose costs of participating in smart metering programs on those customers who choose to participate, such as the cost of meters and increased service charges, rather than spreading such costs among all customers.13

MHNA opposes mandatory participation in time-based rate programs because its clients may not be able to offset the higher costs of participation by shifting their usage. 14 As MHNA witness Marlon Cummings stated in direct testimony, some of its low-income clients, such as those who are elderly, disabled and unemployed, are home during the day and may not be able to reduce usage of air conditioning during peak hours of the afternoon.15

Big Rivers and its Members noted that some potential benefits of smart metering programs might not be available to low-income customers. 16 For instance, one potential benefit of a program utilizing two-way communication is that utility service can be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 16 U.S.C. 2621(d)(14) (B)

<sup>12 16</sup> U.S.C 2621(d)(14)(C)
13 Bosta Direct at 5; Direct Testimony of Russ Pogue on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corp., Jackson Purchase Energy Corp., Kenergy Corp., and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corp. ("Big Rivers and its Members") Case No. 2006-00045 ("Pogue Direct") at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "Residential customers that do not alter their consumption patterns may face a higher bill" Bosta Direct at 5; Response of LG&E and KU to First Request for Information of MHNA, Item No. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Cummings Direct at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Response of Big Rivers and its Members to the First Request for Information of MHNA, Item No. 2.

integrated with appliances such as smart thermostats. Big Rivers recognized that low-income consumers are less likely to have access to these types of appliances either because they rent their homes or because they are more likely to have older, less efficient appliances.<sup>17</sup>

For these reasons, MHNA urges the Commission not to impose any mandatory time-based rate program.

# C. The Commission should not impose the standards in such a way as to raise costs on nonparticipating customers.

EPAct 2005 gives utilities considerable flexibility in the types of time-based programs they may choose to offer. Utilities have each described a wide variety of programs possible in Kentucky, from simple, low cost seasonal rate mechanisms to highly complex and costly real-time pricing options. Although several of the utilities stated that they would seek to recover the costs of these programs from participating customers, there is evidence in this case that in some circumstances, utilities might spread costs over a larger customer base and that these costs could be significant. HNNA opposes the implementation of standards in such as way as to raise costs on nonparticipating customers.

Although theoretically, implementation of smart metering programs will lead to benefits such as lowering peak demand, the testimony in this case strongly indicates that, currently, there is not enough information to conclude that the time-based programs would be cost effective and successful in reducing demand. LG&E's witness Kent Blake

<sup>18</sup> See utility responses to Information Requested in Appendix C of Commission's Order dated 2/24/06, Item 2 (types of programs) and Item 3 (costs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> LG&E KU Response to Information Requested in Appendix C of Commission's Order dated 2/24/06, Item No. 4.

stated there is "currently insufficient data concerning the demand response effect of incremental time-based rate programs beyond those the Companies currently offer, as well as insufficient data concerning the cost-effectiveness of such programs." Duke Energy has not determined which schedule is most likely to produce the best results<sup>21</sup> and recommends that a cost benefit review should be performed. Kentucky Power reports a low level of participation in its current time based program offerings. East Kentucky Power Cooperative and its Member Systems are of the opinion that because of relatively low rates in Kentucky and because the incremental difference between the on-peak and off-peak rate is lower than in other, higher costs states, it is more difficult for customers to save a significant amount of money. Big Rivers and its Member Cooperatives report low customer interest. East New York Power Cooperatives report low customer interest.

MHNA does not oppose pilot programs to explore the costs and benefits of timebased rates and smart meter programs, so long as such pilots do not impose any costs on customers who choose not to participate.

## Conclusion

EPAct 2005 requires the Commission to consider the use of time-based rate schedules and smart meters in order to manage energy usage and allow customers more control over costs. While these approaches may be the wave of the future and should be explored as pilot programs, circumstances in Kentucky are such that mandatory implementation of these programs does not make sense at this time. Mandatory programs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Direct Testimony of Kent Blake on behalf of Louisville Gas and Electric Co. and Kentucky Utilities Co. Case No. 2006-00045 ("Blake Direct") at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Sailers Direct at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> <u>Id</u>. at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Roush Direct at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Bosta Direct at 5. See also Direct Testimony of Paul A. Dolloff, at 8 ("TOD rates would provide minimal cost savings to the consumers of Kentucky.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Pogue Direct at 4.

could be particularly detrimental to low-income customers. In deciding how to proceed under the standards of the EPAct of 2005, MNHA urges the Commission to keep in mind recent cost increases and not to implement standards which would increase costs on residential customers.

Respectfully Submitted

Lisa Kilkelly

Legal Aid Society, Inc

on Klily

425 West Muhammad Ali Blvd.

Louisville, KY 40202

(502) 585-6980

Anne Marie Regan

Office of Kentucky Legal Services

Programs, Inc.

1139 East Broadway

Louisville, KY 40204

(502) 584-0349

Attorneys for Metro Human Needs Alliance