

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE)
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL)
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF KENTUCKY) CASE NO. 2005-00496
UTILITIES COMPANY FROM MAY 1,)
2005 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2005)

PETITION OF
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION

Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") petitions the Public Service Commission of Kentucky ("Commission") pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, to grant confidential protection to certain information relating to KU's solicitations for coal during the review period. In support of this Petition, KU states as follows:

1. On December 27, 2005, the Commission issued an Order in this proceeding which, among other things, directed KU to provide certain information relating to the cost of fuel during the period under review. Among the items requested by Item 17(b) of Appendix A to the Commission's Order was confidential information concerning KU's coal vendors, including the number of vendors solicited and the number and identity of those who responded to each coal solicitation, the bid tabulation sheets for each coal supply solicitation, and an explanation for each selection.

2. The Kentucky Open Records Act exempts from disclosure certain commercial information. KRS 61.878(1)(c). To qualify for this exemption and, therefore, maintain the confidentiality of the information, a party must establish that disclosure of the commercial information would permit an unfair advantage to competitors of the party seeking confidentiality.

3. KU's response to Item 17(b) of Appendix A to the Commission's Order regarding bid tabulation sheets, solicited and responding bidders and explanations of selections contains sensitive commercial information, the disclosure of which would unfairly advantage KU's competitors for both coal supplies and wholesale power sales. Any impairment of its ability to obtain the most advantageous price possible from coal suppliers will necessarily erode KU's competitive position among other electric utilities with whom KU competes for new and relocating industrial customers and for off-system sales.

4. Further, disclosure of these documents will damage KU's competitive position and business interests. These documents disclose how KU evaluates responses to solicitations for coal supply. This is highly sensitive information that, if made public, would enable future bidders to manipulate the solicitation process to the detriment of KU and its ratepayers. Instead of giving its best price and terms in its bid, a coal supplier with knowledge of KU's evaluation process and results could adjust its bid to correspond with KU's past bidding history on terms and prices. As a result, KU will not get the same quality of bids that would be produced by a system protected by the confidentiality employed by unregulated businesses. Any impairment of KU's ability to obtain fair prices for its coal supply will either increase the price KU and its customers will pay for coal or make its coal supply contracts less reliable, or both. As a result, KU will not be able to compete effectively for reasonably priced coal supplies, for new retail load, and for off-system sales.

5. This damage is exacerbated by the interstate nature of the competition KU faces for the sale of off-system power. Obviously, competitors of KU in this market that are located in another state are not subject to the Commission's regulations regarding the filing of coal supply cost information, and some enjoy utility commission-provided confidentiality protection for their coal contracts. To KU's knowledge, utilities located in Indiana, Ohio, West Virginia, and Pennsylvania are provided some protection for confidential coal supply data.

6. The information for which KU is seeking confidential treatment is not known outside of KU, and it is not disseminated within KU except to those employees with a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information.

7. The information for which KU seeks confidential protection in this case is identical to that requested by the Commission on December 27, 1993 in Case No. 92-493-B. The Commission granted confidential protection of this information in its Order of November 14, 1995 in Case No. 92-493-B and granted confidential protection to identical information in a number of subsequent fuel clause orders. See e.g., Letter of Thomas M. Dorman dated February 19, 2002 in Case No. 2000-498-B. KU does not object to disclosure of the confidential information, pursuant to a protective agreement, to intervenors and others with a legitimate interest in monitoring KU's fuel costs.

8. In accordance with the provisions of 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7, one copy of KU's response to the Commission's request with the confidential information highlighted and ten (10) copies of KU's response without the confidential information is herewith filed with the Commission.

WHEREFORE, Kentucky Utilities Company respectfully requests that the Commission grant confidential protection, or in the alternative, schedule an evidentiary hearing on all factual issues.

Respectfully submitted,




Kendrick R. Riggs
STOLL KEENON OGDEN
1700 Citizens Plaza
Louisville, KY 40202
(502) 582-1601

Elizabeth Cocanougher
Senior Corporate Attorney
220 West Main Street
P.O. Box 32010
Louisville, Kentucky 40232
(502) 627-2557

COUNSEL FOR
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Confidential Protection was served on the following parties of record this 17th day of January, 2006, by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid, through the U.S. Mail to: Hon. Elizabeth E. Blackford, Assistant Attorney General, Utility and Rate Intervention Division, 1024 Capital Center Drive, Frankfort, KY 40601-8204 and Hon. Michael L. Kurtz, Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry, 2110 CBLD Center, 36 East Seventh Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202.



Counsel for
Kentucky Utilities Company