# Rubin & Hays

ATTORNEYSATLAW

Kentucky Home Trust Building, 450 South Third Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202-1410 Telephone (502) 569-7525 Telefax (502) 569-7555 Email: rh@rubinhays.com

CHARLES S. MUSSON W. RANDALL JONES CHRISTIAN L. JUCKETT

PARALEGAL

MARY M. EMBRY

RECEIVED

November 7, 2005 COMMISSION

Ms. Susan Hutcherson Docket Branch Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Re: Wood Creek Water District - PSC KRS 278.023 Case - 7005-00453

Dear Susan:

Enclosed please find two (2) original Preliminary and Final Engineering Reports relating to the Wood Creek Water District Public Service Commission Application.

If you need any additional information or documentation, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Rubin & Hays

Ville Veces V. Randall Jones

WRJ:jlm Enclosures



NOV 0 9 2005 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



## FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

## **20-INCH RAW WATER PIPELINE AND 24-INCH TRANSMISSION PIPELINE**

Cose No. 2005-00453

**Prepared By:** 

KENVIRONS, INC. 452 Versailles Road Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 



JUNE 16, 2005

Kenvirons, Inc.

Civil & Environmental Engineering and Laboratory Services

## FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

## 20-INCH RAW WATER PIPELINE AND 24-INCH TRANSMISSION PIPELINE

Prepared By:

KENVIRONS, INC. 452 VERSAILLES ROAD FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 



JUNE 16, 2005

A Preliminary Engineering Report dated March 2004 describes this project in detail and is included herewith by reference.

Bids were received on June 9, 2005 for Contract 2 - 20-Inch Raw Water Line and 24-Inch Transmission Line. Nine (9) bids were received. A Certified bid tabulation is included in this report.

Clay Pipeline, Inc. located in Manchester, Kentucky submitted the low bid. The bid was submitted as follows:

Base Project	\$2,236,394.00
Add Alternate	991,200.00
TOTAL	\$3,227,594.00

The initial engineers estimate for the construction of the pipeline work was \$2,100,000.00. A cost breakdown for this portion of the project is as follows:

TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$2,704,985.00
Contingency	200,000.00
Construction Inspection @ 4.46%	99,743.00
Engineering Design @ 7.55%	168,848.00
Construction (Base Project Only)	\$2,236,394.00

The recommended project funding is as follows:

1,006,000.00
500,000.00
\$1,199,000.00

Recommendations

The low Bidder, Clay Pipeline, Inc. has performed the construction on past projects for Kenvirons, Inc. and Wood Creek Water District. It is recommended to award the contract to Clay Pipeline, Inc. in the amount of \$2,236,394.00.

E8E4-268 (SO3) XAR TEL (502) 695-4357 452 VERSAILLES ROAD FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 KENVIRONS, INC.

BID TABULATIONS PROJECT: Contract 2 - Wood Creek Water District LCCATION: 24-Inch Transmission Plpeline and 20-Inch Raw Water Plpeline BID DATE: June 9, 2005 until 2:00 p.m. (local time)

						אדבת טוגדתוכד.	8455 810. 00 CREEK W 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8				445 8564 COJ 7.009 NJ, L 2	IDS RECEIVED	92 48 MG 8 30 MG	• DENDIZS AN ANTHURTIC ERROR WAS MADE O THE AGOVE ISA TRUE AND CONFLETE INBULATIO Caritos F. Miller Caritos F. Miller	\$00
00.052,167,52	]	00'\$82'029'2\$		00'01\$'099'2\$	<u> </u>	. \$3'451'24'00	I	23'325'160'00	T	00'765'122'6\$	·	(		GIB 3248 JATOT	
		<u> </u>	Í			1					1				_
D2.558,731,12		00'909'820'1\$	1	00.007,180,12	l .	00.022,000,12		00.005,520,12		00.002,1982				<b>JTANAJTJA JVITIODA</b>	
00.002,912	05.1	00.002,81	05.1	00.008,91	05.1	00.002,61	02.1	00.002,01	05.1	18,500.00	02.1	13'000	Ъ	16 Final Pipeline Cleanup	
00.858,512	09.787,S	00,200,21	00.100,5	15,500.00	2,500.00	00.000,01	2,000.00	00.002,71	3'200'00	00.002,71	00.002,5	ç	A3	12 24*×6" Stub-Out	
00.728\$	07.59	00.085	38.00	00.024	00.24	00.002	00.02	00.087	00,87	320.00	32'00	01	1	8.4 Concrete	
00'885\$	28.80	00.008	40.00	00.009	30.00	00.008	30.00	00.008	00.04	00.008	30.00	50	31	auonimulia yhu O htpi J 2.8	
\$13,663.00	12.01	00.001,8	00.7	00.008,£	3.00	00.001,8	00.7	00.000,8£	30.00	00.000.61	10.01	1,300	31	9.1 Crushed Stone	
			I	L										B Pavement Restoration	
220'029'02\$	14.766	00.002.78	448.00	00.027.84	325.00	00'000'86	260.00	00'000'25	380.00	00.02.14	00'522	051	31	Z Bote & Encarement for 24* Pine	
00'989'85\$	00.478.41	00.023.17	00.085.91	00.000.08	00.000.21	00,000,84	00.000.51	00.000.09	00.000.81	00.000.42	00.002.61	7	A3	A 24-inch Mi Gate Valve with Bavel Geat Onerator	~
00 055 000 12	58.92	900 000 188	100.88	00.000.858	00.22	00 052 728	195 28	00.025.858	[at ca	00 000 599	100 59	000 61		topi 10-4212 03 03 03 03 04 10 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	-
			4			1001000/021/20	<u> </u>	001001-000104		1	+				-
09 202 209 23	00.000	00.003,00	1	00.000,22	100:005	00'00'00	00:015	00 097 621 25	0000	100 PBE 9E2 CS .	100.020	00	~		200
00'070'55	00.026,6	00.000,2	00 992	00.000,4	00.000,4	00.002,1	00.002,1	00.006,2	00'002	00.000,2	00'900'2	US	V3		-
05,908,818	05,608,81	00'000'2	00'000'2	00'000'77	00'000'77	00,000,81	00.000,81	00.002,81	00.002,87	00'005'51	00.008,61	1	51		
00.006,858	06.1	00.006,45	00'1	00.006, FC	00'L	00.006,55	09.1	00.006,45	106.1	00.005,45	00.1	53'000	-17	16 Final Pipeline Cleanup	
00'/19'#2\$	00.118,42	00'008'95	00.008,66	00'000'25	35,000,00	00,000,04	40,000,05	00.000,15	00.000,15	00.441,15	21,144.00	1	51	15 CSX Railroad Crossing	
00'002'EL\$	00.008,8	00.408,45	12,302.00	00.000,51	00.000,8	00.000,8	00.000,4	00'000'21	00.000,7	00'002'EL	00.009,8	2	ΈV	14 6" Custom Combination Air Release Valve	
00'/#1'9/1\$	24.002	235,750.00	00.289	00.000,211	00.002	00.000,881	00.042	131,250.00	00'5/8	00.002,721	00'055	096	ΓĿ	13 1-75 Bore & Case for 24" DI	
02.575,912	2,767,60	00,700,15	00'100'E	00.002,71	2,500.00	00,000,41	2'000'00	24,500.00	3,500.00	54,500,00	00'005'E	Z	¥Ξ	12 24" x 6" Stub-Out	
07'22'33	07.557,20	00.008,8	00.008,8	00.000,5	00'000'L	00.000,41	14,000.00	00.007,4	00.007,4	00'095'5	00'055'7	1	51	11 KA 480E LIB-IV	
00'660'22\$	22,089.00	30,000,05	30,000,05	28,000.00	28'000'00	S6,000.00	00.000,85	00'009'22	55'200'00	00.000,71	00'000'21	L	S٦	10 Tie-In to 20" DI at US 25	
00'0/2'9\$	02'29	3,800.00	00.85	00.000,4	00.05	00.000,8	00.02	00.000,8	00.09	3,500.00	32.00	001	٦J	9.4 Cancrete	
00.450,82	90.34	00'000'6	00'06	00.002,4	00.24	00.000,5	00.05	00'005'9	00'59	00'000'E	30.00	001	Ъ	suonimuliä Yhu VyseH 6.9	
00'08£'5\$	26.90	00.000,8	00.05	00.000,8	30.00	00.000,8	30.00	00.000,8	40'00	00'000'9 .	00'0E	500	яı	8.2 Light Duty Bituminous	
00'269'66\$	10.01	22,400.00	00'2	00.009,6	00'E	22,400.00	00'L	00'000'96	30,00	32,000.00	00.01	3,200	-n	9.1 Crushed Stone	
00'0\$		00.0		00.0	<u> </u>	00.0		00.0		00.0				9 Pavement Restoration	-
08.549,012	182,36	00.000,8	120.00	00'000'B	00.021	12,000.00	200.00	00.000,S1	200.002	14,400.00	540.00	09	11	8 Open Cut & Encasement for 24" Pipe	
01.178,522	74.7EE	00.045,82	00.855	42,250.00	325.00	00.008,55	260.00	00.024,74	365,00	00.087,8£	00.875	130	LF	7 Bore & Encasement for 24" Pipe	
00.595,7112	00.478,41	00.040,821	00.085,01	120,000,021	15,000.00	00.000,88	12,000.00	00.000,021	15,000.00	00.000,801	13,500.00	8	¥∃	6 24-Inch MJ Gate Valve with Bevel Gear Operator	
00.028,687,12	56.8T	00.000,582,1	00.89	00.000,828,1	00 <sup>.</sup> 22	00.027,848,1	82.78	1,466,250.00	67.68	00.000,894,1	00.28	23'000	Ъ	5 24-Inch DI Pipe, CL250, Push-On Joint	
00'0\$		00.0	1	0.00		00.0		00.0		00.0				II 24" TRANSMISSION PIPELINE	
218'200'00	92.8	28,600.00	00.01	00.008,51	00.8	00.048,11	00.4	00.045,81	05.0	29,600.00	00.01	098'Z	٦J	3-Inch Schedule 80 PVC Pipe	
04.808,212	29.2	36,520.00	00.8	00.097,71	00.E	00.028,2	00.1	29,600.00	00,8	29,600.00	6.00	2'850	31	6 3/4-Inch HDPE Pipe, SDR 7	
00.488,82	1,144.00	00.002,81	3,200.00	00.008,4	00.008	00.002,7	00.005,1	00.004,8	00.004,1	00.005,61	2,200.00	9	A3	5 Concrete Junction Box	
\$4'353'00	00.525,4	00.000,8	00.000,8	00.000,6	3,000.00	00.000,01	00.000,01	00.002,5	3,500.00	3,500,00	00.002,6	14 100011	S7	A Raw Water Pipe Tie-In al Raw Water intake	
00.154,582	80.18	00.154,58	90.18	00.002,78	00.02	16,200.00	12.00	00.025.88	00.14	00'005'07	30.00	1.350		3 Interestination	
00.818,82	27.055	00.048,8	342.00	00'000'2	320,00	00.008.4	540.00	00.007.8	432.00	00.000.8	220 <sup>-00</sup>	00	1	2 Bore & Encasement for 20* Pine	
04.217,6218	£9.E8 <b>\$</b>	\$102,342.00	91.992	00.000,841\$	00.001\$		00'081\$	124.320.00	100.482	\$96,200.00	00'99\$		15	I Cocking Graskets	
	1803	ACT DECK	1		S LSOD S	1221122000000	LSOD		1503		LSOD	TUINTON	Since !	NOIL-INDERG WEIL	N.
COST == 1	חאוב	COST	LINO	COST:	חאוב	COst.	Тіми	1903	ICTINU=	Carson Target	LINN	VIIIA	14 Halle	EN STATISTICS DESCRIPTION OF STATISTICS	11
onstruction Company, Inc. nsburg Road 9, Kentucky 42743	O nozimsO eetO 0868 nudzneetO	aling Company, Inc. ane tucky 40701	Akins Excav 182 Busy Li Corbin, Ken	itacting Company, Inc. Ille Road Centucky 41311	Charles Con 314 Three M Beattwille K	struction Company, Inc. rsat Road nluckv 40741	Laurel Cone 5208 Some Anobno Leona	Coad 2014, LLC 2086-1202 2088-1202 2005	Kay & Kay Ci 1355 Keavy I London, Ken	inc. Road Angress	Clay Pipeline, Wanchester M				



SHEET 1 OF 2

KENVIRONS, INC. 452 VERSAILLES ROAD FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 TEL (502) 695-4357 FAX (502) 695-4353 
 BID TABULATIONS

 PROJECT:
 Contract 2 - Wood Creek Water District

 LOCATION:
 24-Inch Transmission Pipeline and 20-Inch Raw Water Pipeline

 BID DATE:
 June 9, 2005 until 2:00 p.m. (local time)

SHEET 2 OF 2

		Anderson Co	ntracting, Inc.	Reynolds, Inc		C.J. Hughes ConstructionCo., Inc.				
				2398 N. High	way 25W	4520 N. State	Road 37	75 West 3rd Ave		
				Williamsburg	Kentucky 40769	Orleans, Indi	ana 47452	Huntington, v	vest virgina 20770	
TEM	TENDECOURTION	INT	OUANTITY	UNIT	COST	UNIT	COST	UNIL	COST	
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	al a mend	COMMITTE	COST	的法学的法律法学	COST		COSI	production of the second states of the second state	
1	RAW WATER PIPELINE									
	20-Inch DI Pipe, CL250 Push-On Joint with								6120 240 00	
1	Locking Gaskets	LF	1,480	\$113.48	\$167,950.40	\$79.00	\$116,920.00	\$88.00	a 130,240.00	
2	Bore & Encasement for 20" Pipe	LF	20	378.70	7,574.00	500.00	10,000.00	565.00	11,700.00	
3	Intake Road Reconstruction	LF	1,350	31.41	42,403.50	65.00	87,750.00	7 000 00	7 000 00	
4	Raw Water Pipe Tie-In at Raw Water Intake	LS	1	6,167.37	6,167.37	20,000.00	20,000.00	1 750 00	10 500 00	
5	Concrete Junction Box	EA	6	2,014.15	12,084.90	2,000.00	12,000.00	1,750.00	7 755 20	
6	3/4-Inch HDPE Pipe, SDR 7	LF_	5,920	1.06	6,275.20	1.00	5,920.00	5.00	14 800 00	
7	3-Inch Schedule 80 PVC Pipe	<u> </u>	2,960	3.35	9,916.00	4.00	11,040.00		0.00	
11	24" TRANSMISSION PIPELINE				0.00	70.00	1 917 000 00	80.00	1 840 000 00	
5	24-Inch DI Pipe, CL250, Push-On Joint	LF	23,000	80.75	1.857,250.00	19.00	1,017,000.00	16 500 00	132 000 00	
6	24-Inch MJ Gate Valve with Bevel Gear Operato	EA	8	14,744.42	117,955.30	10,000.00	55 900 00	475.00	61,750.00	
7	Bore & Encasement for 24" Pipe	LF	130	316.71	41,172.30	430.00	9,000,00	262.00	15,720,00	
8	Open Cut & Encasement for 24" Pipe	LF	60	116.18	6,970.80	150.00	5,000.00	202.00	0.00	
9	Pavement Restoration		0.000		0.00	14.00	44 800 00	5.00	16 000.00	
	9.1 Crushed Stone	LF	3,200	2.94	9,400.00	27.00	5 400 00	10.00	2.000.00	
	9.2 Light Duty Bituminous	LF	200	10.73	2,140.00	30.00	3,400.00	18.00	1.800.00	
	9.3 Heavy Duty Bituminous	<u></u>	100	43.43	2,04,00	50.00	5,000,00	36.00	3.600.00	
L	9.4 Concrete		100	20.94	2,054.00	10,000,00	10,000,00	26 500.00	26,500.00	
10	Tie-In to 20" DI at US 25	LS		23,004.00	5 430 56	12 400 00	12 400 00	13 000.00	13,000,00	
11	KY 490E Tie-In	LS	7	2,430.30	18 623 20	2 500 00	17,500.00	3,500.00	24,500.00	
12	24" x 6" Slub-Out	EA	250	471 10	164 916 50	425.00	148,750.00	570.00	199,500.00	
13	1-75 Bore & Case for 24" DI	LP EA	330	6 131 33	12 262 66	8 600 00	17,200.00	5,200,00	10,400.00	
14	6" Custom Combination Air Release Valve	EA		28 643 45	38 643 45	30,000,00	30,000,00	40.000.00	40,000.00	
15	CSX Railroad Crossing	10	23.000	1 50	34 500.00	1.50	34,500.00	1.50	34,500.00	
16	Final Pipeline Cleanup	19	20,000	14 710 90	14 710.90	15.000.00	15.000.00	16,000.00	16,000.00	
17	Transmission Pipe Tie-In at WTP		1	2 054 29	2,054,29	800.00	800.00	2.000.00	2,000.00	
18	Concrete Infust Collar	EA EA	50	493.92	24,696.00	480.00	24,000.00	750.00	37,500.00	
19	Locking Gasker for Di Pusi-On tipe		1		\$2 632 612 54		\$2,634,680.00		\$2,700,615.20	
<u></u>	TOTAL BASE PROJECT	<u> </u>						1		
			1 13 000	80.75	1 049 750 00	79.00	1.027.000.00	80.00	1,040,000.00	
5	24-Inch DI Pipe, CL250, Push-On Joint		13,000	14 744 42	58 977 68	15 000.00	60,000,00	16,500.00	66,000.00	
6	24-Inch MJ Gate Valve with Bevel Gear Operato		150	316 71	47 506 50	430.00	64,500,00	475.00	71,250.00	
7	Bore & Encasement for 24" Pipe		1.00	510.71	0.00		0.00	j	0.00	
9	Pavement Restoration	10	1 300	2 94	3 822.00	14.00	18,200.00	5.00	6,500.00	
	9.1 Crusned Stone		1,300	10.73	214 60	27.00	540.00	10.00	200.00	
	9.2 Light Duty Bituminous		1	20.94	209.40	50.00	500.00	36.00	360.00	
	9.4 Concrete	EA		2 660 47	13.302.35	2.500.00	12,500.00	3,500.00	17,500.00	
12	24" X 6" Stud-Out		13.000	1 50	19,500.00	1.50	19,500.00	1.50	19,500.00	
16			10,000		\$1 193 282 5	3	\$1,202,740.00	)	\$1,221,310.00	
<u> </u>	ADDITIVE ALTERNATE	<u> </u>	<u></u>		+1,100,200.00			1		
L		<u></u>	+	┨┝━━━━━━	* \$3 825 895 07	7	\$3,837,420.00		* \$3,921,925.20	
B	TOTAL BASE BID	1	1	u	1 40,020,000,00	· R.	1	- I	And the second	

• DENOTES AN ARITHMETIC ERROR WAS MADE ON BASE BID, AMOUNT HAS BEEN CORRECTED TO REFLECT UNIT PRICE SUBMITTED ON BASE BID. THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND COMPLETE TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED AT 2:00 P.M., LOCAL TIME, THURSDAY, JUNE 9, 2005 AT THE WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT.





## FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

## WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENTS

Case No. 7005-00453

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 



SEPTEMBER, 2005

Kenvirons, Inc.

Civil & Environmental Engineering and Laboratory Services

## FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

#### FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

# WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENTS

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 

SEPTEMBER, 2005



A Preliminary Engineering Report dated March, 2004 describes this project in detail and is included herewith by reference.

Bids were received on August 25, 2005 for Contract 1 - Water Treatment Plant Expansion. Two (2) bids were received. A certified bid tabulation is included in this report.

Judy Construction, Inc. in Cynthiana, Kentucky submitted the low bid. There were four (4) deductive alternates. The decision was made to include Deductive Alternates Nos. 1, 3 and 4 and delete Deductive Alternate No. 2. The resultant bid amount for the contract is as follows:

Complete Project Bid	\$10,785,900
Deductive Alternate No. 2	180,000
Project Bid minus Deductive Alternate No. 2	\$10,605,900

The initial budget amount for this Contract was \$6,146,000 with a project budget of \$10,000,000. The low bid was \$10,605,900. A revised budget is contained in Exhibit 1, which shows a project budget of \$15,080,000. The difference between the revised and initial budgets is \$5,080,000. This difference is proposed to be covered with a state grant and KRWA loan of \$750,000 and \$3,605,000 respectively along with an increase in the Rural Development loan amount of \$725,000. A revised budget is shown in Exhibit No. 1.

The water rates have been adjusted from those contained in the Preliminary Engineering Project and the Rural Development Letter of Conditions to cover the expense of the increased borrowing. A summary of the revised rates is contained in Exhibit No. 2. Revised pages in the Rural Development Summary / Addendum showing the recommended rates are included herewith.

#### **Recommendations**

Judy Construction, Inc. is one of the premier water plant contractors. It is hereby recommended to award Contract 1 -Water Treatment Plant Expansion to Judy Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$10,605,900 contingent on securing the additional funding and enacting the rate adjustment as contained in this report.

It is further recommended to proceed with the application to the Public Service Commission for the certificate to construct and approval of rates.

## EXHIBIT 1

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT PROJECT SUMMARY

#### 1. PROJECT COST

2.

	Initial Budget		Revised Budget
Development			
Transmission Mains	\$ 2,100,000	\$	2,236,394
Water Treatment Plant	 6,146,000	-	10,605,900
	8,246,000		12,842,294
Land and Rights	10,000		
Legal & Administrative	48,000		74,750
Engineering	791,300		1,179,200
Interest	100,000		200,000
KRWA Bond Issuance Cost			142,000
Contingencies	 804,700		641,756
	\$ 10,000,000	\$	15,080,000
PROJECT FUNDING			
Rural Development Loan	\$ 7,250,000	\$	7,975,000
Rural Development Grant	1,000,000		1,000,000
ARC Grant	500,000		500,000
EDA Grant	1,199,000		1,199,000
Local Contribution	51,000		51,000
State Grant			750,000
KRWA Loan	 		3,605,000
KRWA Loan	\$ 10,000,000	\$	15,080,000

## EXHIBIT 2

## **SUMMARY OF RATES**

<u>5/8" x 3/4" Meter</u>	Existing	<b>Proposed</b>
First 2,000 gallons Next 1,500 gallons Next 1,500 gallons Next 2,500 gallons Over 7,500 gallons	\$ <ul> <li>9.48 (Minimum Bill)</li> <li>3.02 per 1,000 gallons</li> <li>2.69 per 1,000 gallons</li> <li>2.26 per 1,000 gallons</li> <li>1.78 per 1,000 gallons</li> </ul>	\$ 16.43 (Minimum Bill) 5.23 per 1,000 gallons 4.66 per 1,000 gallons 3.92 per 1,000 gallons 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
<u>1" Meter</u>		
First 5,000 gallons Next 2,500 gallons Over 7,500 gallons	\$ 18.05 (Minimum Bill) 2.26 per 1,000 gallons 1.78 per 1,000 gallons	\$ 31.26 (Minimum Bill) 3.92 per 1,000 gallons 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
<u>1 ½" Meter</u>		
First 10,000 gallons Over 10,000 gallons	\$ 28.15 (Minimum Bill) 1.78 per 1,000 gallons	\$ 48.78 (Minimum Bill) 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
<u>2" Meter</u>		
First 20,000 gallons Over 20,000 gallons	\$ 45.95 (Minimum Bill) 1.78 per 1,000 gallons	\$ 79.68 (Minimum Bill) 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
<u>3" Meter</u>		
First 30,000 gallons Over 30,000 gallons	\$ 63.75 (Minimum Bill) 1.78 per 1,000 gallons	\$ 110.58 (Minimum Bill) 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
<u>6" Meter</u>		
First 100,000 gallons Over 100,000 gallons	\$ 188.35 (Minimum Bill) 1.78 per 1,000 gallons	\$ 326.88 (Minimum Bill) 3.09 per 1,000 gallons
Wholesale Rate	1.24 per 1,000 gallons	2.32 per 1,000 gallons

1

## **REVISED EXHIBIT 8** WATER TREATMENT EXPENSE AND WHOLESALE RATE

ALLOCATION ALLOCATED

## 1. WTP Expenses

1.	WTP EXPENSES		FACTOR	Cost
	1.1 Existing			
	Bond Initial			
	Issue <u>Amount</u>			
	1985 \$760,000 @ 5%	\$44,150		
	1992 1,456,000 <u>@</u> 5%	83,515		
	2001 1,711,000 @ 4.75%	95,800		
	\$3,927,000	\$223,465		
	Depreciation (Exhibit 7, PLR)	\$176,798		
	Debt Service Coverage: \$223,465 x 0.10	22,346		
	WTP Operation & Maintenance <sup>(1)</sup>	1,004,341		
	1.2 Proposed Project			
	Debt Service (Ex. 8A, Item 1)	\$559,365		
	Coverage (Ex. 8A, Item 3)	75,306		
	Depreciation (Ex. 8A, Item 2)	265,187		
	TOTAL WTP EXPENSES	\$2,326,808	0.6515	\$1,515,915
2.	TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION			
	2.1 Existing			
	O & M Expense <sup>(2)</sup>	\$286,233		
	Depreciation Expense (Exhibit 7, PLR)	236,475		
	2.2 Proposed Project			
	Debt Service (Ex. 8A, Item 1)	117,832		
	Coverage (Ex. 8A, Item 3)	15,864		
	Depreciation (Ex. 8A, Item 2)	55,863		
	TOTAL T & D EXPENSE	\$712,267	0.2378	169,377
	TOTAL PROJECTED EXPENSES	\$3,039,075		\$1,685,292

Wholesale Rate =  $\frac{1,685,292}{723,864MG}$  = 2.32 per 1,000 gallons

<sup>(1)</sup> Total WTP Expense = \$1,012,261 (Exhibit 4) - \$1,624 (Hwy. 490 P.S. Power) - \$6,296 (Added Cust.) = ¢1 004 341

\$1,004,341		
<sup>(2)</sup> Total T & D in Annual Report (2002)		\$508,672
Adjustment on Pg. 10	\$683,458	
Included in this amount is Depreciation		
Of \$78,568 (vehicles, etc.) this	(-) 78,568	
Cost covered elsewhere		
EL & WL Expenses	\$604,890	
Deduct Customer Accts. & A&G	318,864	
Per W.C. Account		
		(-) 286,026

Wood Creek Only T & D Proposed Pipelines: 129 inch-miles x \$100/inch-mile \$222,646 12,900

\$235,546 + \$28,257 (Infl.) + \$22,430 (Ins.) = \$286,233

## **REVISED EXHIBIT 8-A PROPOSED PROJECT EXPENSES**

#### 1. PROPOSED PROJECT DEBT SERVICE

	Rural Developm	nent Loan:	\$7,975,000 @ 4.5% for 38 yrs.			
			\$7,975,000 x 0.05551	= \$442,692		
	KRWA Loan:	\$3,605,00	0 @ 5% for 30 yrs.			
		\$3,605,00	$0 \ge 0.06505 = \$234,503$	5		
	Total Proposed	Debt Servio	ce = \$677,197			
	Transmission L	ines Cost	\$2,236,394	17.4%		
	WTP Cost		10,605,900	82.6%		
			\$12,842,294	100.0%		
	1.1 Transmiss	ion Lines:	\$677,197 x 0.174 = \$	117,832		
	WTP:		\$677,197 x 0.826 = \$	559,365		
2.	PROPOSED PROJ	ECT DEPRE	CIATION			
	Transmission:	\$321,050	x 0.174 = \$55,863			
		\$321,050	x 0.826 = \$265,187			
3.	PROPOSED PROJ	ect Debt S	Service Coverage			
	RD Loan:	\$442,692	x 0.10 = \$44,269			
	KRWA Loan:	\$234,505	x 0.20 = 46,901			

KRWA Loan: \$2	$34,505 \ge 0.20 = 46,901$
Total Covera	ge \$91,170
Transmission Line	s: $\$91,170 \ge 0.174 = \$15,864$
WTP:	\$91,170 x 0.826 = \$75,306

KENVIRONS, INC 452 VERSAILLES ROAD FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 TEL (502) 695-4357 FAX (502) 695-4363 
 BID TABULATIONS

 PROJECT:
 Wood Creek Water District, Water Treatment Plant Improvements

 LOCATION:
 Laurel County, Kentucky

 BID DATE:
 8/25/2005; 2:00 p.m. Local Time

	Judy Construction Co.			W. Rogers C		Company 11640		
		Cynthiana, ł	(Y 41	031		Lexington, k	(Y 40	576
		Lump Sum	Un	it Prices		Lump Sum	Un	it Prices
Item Description	<u> </u>	Bid		BIG	<u> </u>	BIO	<u> </u>	
Complete Project, Lump Sum Bid	<b>\$</b> 1	10,785,900.00			<b>\$</b> 1	1,548,000.00		
Deductive Alternative No. 1 - UV Disinfection Equipment	\$	280,000.00			\$	310,000.00		
Deductive Alternative No. 2 - Liner System for the Existing 1.000.000 Gallon Clearwell	\$	180,000.00			\$	175,000.00		
Deductive Alternative No. 3 - Demolition of the Existing Clearwells, Valve Vaults, and Storage Building	\$	7,200.00			\$	10,000.00		
Deductive Alternative No. 4 - Proposed 800,000 Gallon Clearwell	\$	499,000.00			\$	460,000.00		
Crushed Stone Structural Fill, (Ton)			\$	13.50			\$	12.00

THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND COMPLETE TABULATION OF BIDS RECEIVED AT 2:00 P.M., LOCAL TIME, THURSDAY AUGUST 25, 2005 AT THE WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT OFFICE, 1670 HAL ROGERS PARKWAY, LONDON, KENTUCKY 40741

P.E.

Sheet 1 of 1

## RURAL DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY/ADDENDUM REVISED PAGES

First	2,000	Gallons @	\$ 16.80	Minimum.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 5.41	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.84	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.10	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.27	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### A. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 5/8" x <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

B. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 5/8" x <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" Meter

First	2,000	Gallons @	\$ 16.43	Minimum.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 5.23	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.66	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.92	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.
		-		

#### 32.18 Minimum. First 5,000 Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. 2,500 Gallons @ \$ 4.10 Next \$ per 1,000 Gallons. Next Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. Next Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. Next Gallons @ Next Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. \$ per 1,000 Gallons. All Over 7,500 Gallons @ 3.27

#### A. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 1" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### B. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 1" Meter

First	5,000	Gallons @	\$ 31.26	Minimum.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.92	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.

First	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 50.60	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.27	per 1,000 Gallons.

## B. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 11/2" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### C. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 11/2" Meter

First	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 48.78	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.

First	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 83.30	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.27	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### C. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 2" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### D. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 2" Meter

First	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 79.68	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### D. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 3" Meter

First	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 116.00	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.27	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### E. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 3" Meter

First	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 110.58	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### Minimum. First 100,000 Gallons @ \$ 344.90 \$ per 1,000 Gallons. Gallons @ Next \$ Gallons @ per 1,000 Gallons. Next \$ Gallons @ per 1,000 Gallons. Next \$ Next Gallons @ per 1,000 Gallons. Next Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. \$ 3.27 All Over 100,000 Gallons @ per 1,000 Gallons.

#### E. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 6" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### F. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 6" Meter

First	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 326.88	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 3.09	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### XXII. WATER RATES – PROPOSED (Wholesale Rate)

First	Gallons @	\$ Minimum.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.

## F. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: \$2.37 per 1,000 Gallons

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

## G. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: \$2.32 per 1,000 Gallons

First	Gallons @	\$ Minimum.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.

Meter Size*	er Monthly Sewer Usage Ave		Average	Average Rate	Residential		Non-Residential					
							No. of Users**	Usage (1000)	Income	No. of Users	Usage (1000)	Income
	0	-	2,000	Gal.	1,000	16.43	1,115	842	18,319	139	74	2,284
	2,000	-	3,000	Gal.	2,900	21.14	844	2,439	17,842	30	82	634
	3,000	-	4,000	Gal.	4,000	24.40	876	3,643	21,374	16	64	390
	4,000	-	5,000	Gal.	4,500							
	5,000	-	6,000	Gal.	6,200	35.97	754	4,641	27,121	13	84	468
	6,000	-	7,000	Gal.	6,500							
5/8 x 3/4	7,000	-	8,000	Gal.	7,500							
Inch	8,000		9,000	Gal.	8,500	_						
	9,000	-	10,000	Gal.	9,500							
	10,000	-	11,000	Gal.	10,500							
	11,000	-	12,000	Gal.	11,500							
	12,000	-	13,000	Gal.	12,500							
	13,000	-	14,000	Gal.	13,500	59.61	712	9,693	42,442			
	14,000	-	15,000	Gal.	14,500	_						
	15,000	-	16,000	Gal.	15,500	-						
	16,000	-	17,000	Gal.	16,500							
	17,000	-	18,000	Gal.	17,500	-						
	18,000	-	19,000	Gal.	18,500							
	19,000	-	20,000	Gal.	19,500	-						
				Gal.	23,700	91.12				34	823	3,098
				Gal.								
	10/14-14			Gal.							······	
					Subtotal	-	(4,301)	(21,258)	(127,099)	(232)	(1,127)	(6,874)
			Ave	erage M	onthly Rate	(29.55)						
			Aver	age Mo	nthly Usage			(4.9)		-	(4.9)	

#### XXV. FORECAST OF WATER USAGE - INCOME - EXISTING SYSTEM - EXISTING USERS

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	0	5,000 Gal.		31.27		7	14	219	1	4	31
	5,000	10,000 Gal.	7,500	41.07		2	19	82	1	7	41
1-Inch	10,000	Gal.	12,400	56.21		1	11	56	-		
	over	Gal.	35,600	127.90		1	24	128	-		
		Gal.	13,200	58.68					1	6	59
			88,300	259.84					5	493	1,299
			Subtotal		(11	1)	(68)	(485)	(8)	(510)	(1,430)
	0	10,000 Gal.		48.79						1	49
	10,000	15,000 Gal.									
1-1/2	15,000	20,000									
Inch	over	Gal.	118 500	384.06					-	2 23	7 768
		Gal	118,500							2 2.	708
		Oui.	Subtotal		(	)	( )	()	(3	(23	7) (817)
					·····						
	0	20,000 Gal.		79.69	7			557	8	55	637
	over	20,000 Gal.	42,800	150.14	3			450			
2- Inch		Gal.									
		Gal.	133,700	431.02					14	1,927	6,034
		Gal.	Subtotal		(10)		()	(1.008)	(22)	(1.982)	(6.671)
			Subiolai		(10)			(1,008)	(22)	(1,962)	(0,071)
	0	30.000 Gal		110.59		1	228	110		1 2	1 110
	over	<u>30.000</u> Gal.	113,800	369.53			220	110		$\frac{1}{1}$ 170	370
3- Inch		Gal.				$\top$					
		Gal.									
		Gal.									
			Subtotal		(1	1)	(228)	(110)	(2	2) (172)	(480)
								1			
		Gal.			\ <u></u>				-		
1 Inch		Gal.							-		
4-Inch		Gal.				-+			r.		
		Oal. Gal					·····				
			Subtotal		(	5	()	()		) ()	
							<u>``</u> /	<u> </u>			

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".



#### MULTI-FAMILY AND APARTMENT USER ANALYSIS

If billed as a typical user, the information should be included in the residential information above. If not billed as a typical residential user, please explain below.

Name of Unit	Number of Units	Number of Meters	Revenue Ca	alculations
East Laurel W.D.			354.884 x 2.	32 = 823,330
West Laurel W.A			356,893 x 2.	32 = 827,992
Livingston			12,087 x 2.3	2 = 27,921
		Total	723,864	1,679,243

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

Meter Size*	Mon	thly	Sewer Usa	ge	Average	Average Rate		Residential		No	n-Resident	tial
							No. of Users**	Usage (1000)	Income	No. of Users	Usage (1000)	Income
	0	-	2,000	Gal.	1,000							
	2,000	-	3,000	Gal.	2,500							
	3,000	-	4,000	Gal.	3,500					, <u></u>		
	4,000	-	5,000	Gal.	4,500	28.94	159	795	4,601			
	5,000		6,000	Gal.	5,500				······································			<u> </u>
	6,000	-	7,000	Gal.	6,500							
5/8 x 3/4	7,000	-	8,000	Gal.	7,500							
Inch	8,000	-	9,000	Gal.	8,500						,	
	9,000	-	10,000	Gal.	9,500							
	10,000	-	11,000	Gal.	10,500							
	11,000	-	12,000	Gal.	11,500			l				
	12,000	-	13,000	Gal.	12,500							
	13,000	-	14,000	Gal.	13,500							
	14,000	-	15,000	Gal.	14,500							
	15,000	-	16,000	Gal.	15,500							
	16,000	-	17,000	Gal.	16,500							
	17,000	-	18,000	Gal.	17,500							
	18,000	-	19,000	Gal.	18,500							
	19,000	-	20,000	Gal.	19,500							
		-		Gal.								
		-		Gal.							<u> </u>	
		-		Gal.								
		-			Subtotal		(159)	(795)	(4,601)	( )		( )
			Ave	erage M	onthly Rate	(28.94)						
			Aver	age Mo	nthly Usage			(5,000)			_()	-

## XXVI. FORECAST OF WATER USAGE - INCOME - NEW USERS - EXTENSION ONLY

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

XXXI. <u>PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET (WATER SYSTEM) EXISTING SYSTEM AND NEW USERS</u> (1 of Full Vision of Operation) Vegr Ending 2006

(1st Full Year of Operation)	Year Ending <u>2006</u>
A. Operating Income:	
Water Sales Disconnect/Reconnect/Late Charge Fees	\$3,512,927 \$45,000
Other (Describe) Less Allowances and Deductions	()
Total Operating Income	\$3,557,927

B. Operation and Maintenance Expenses:

(Based on Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners)

Source of Supply Expense	\$ 43,662
Pumping Expense	151,534
Water Treatment Expense	840,353
Transmission and Distribution Expense	296,621
Customer Accounts Expense	167,447
Administrative and General Expense	235,127
Taxes	3,578
Capital Improvements	600,000
Total Operating Expenses	\$2,338,322
Net Operating Income	\$1,219,605
C. Non-Operating Income:	
Interest on Deposits	\$
Other (Identify)	
Total Non-Operating Income	\$
D. Net Income	\$
E. Debt Repayment:	
RUS Interest	\$ 500,957
RUS Principal	165,917
Non-RUS Interest	230,817
Non-RUS Principal	149,600
Total Debt Repayment	\$1,047,291
E Balance Available for Coverage	\$ 172.314

## XXXV. ESTIMATED PROJECT COST - WATER

Development	\$ 12,842,294
Land and Rights	
Legal	74,750
Engineering	1,179,200
Interest	200,000
Contingencies	641,756
Initial Operating and Maintenance	
Other	
TOTAL	\$ 15,080,000
XXXVI. PROPOSED PROJECT FUNDING	
Applicant – User Connection Fees	\$
Other Applicant Contribution	51,000
RUS Financial Assistance	7,975,000
RUS Grant	1,000,000
ARC Grant (If applicable)	500,000
State Grant (If applicable)	750,000
Other (Specify) EDA Grant	1,199,000
Other (Specify) – KRWA Bond Issue	3,605,000
	, ,



# **KENVIRO**

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

## WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION AND **IMPROVEMENTS**

Case No. 2005-00453

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 



**MARCH**, 2004

Kenvirons, Inc.

Civil & Environmental Engineering and Laboratory Services

## PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

FOR

## WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT

# WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENTS

**PROJECT NO. 2000104** 



**MARCH**, 2004

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION	1
PROJECT NEED	3
ALTERNATIVE SOURCES	4
EXISTING FACILITIES	4
PROPOSED FACILITIES	5
WATER SYSTEM OPERATION	5
LAND, RIGHTS AND OTHER RIGHTS AND PERMITS	5
FEASIBILITY STUDY	6
RECOMMENDATIONS	6

.

## List of Figures

FIGURE 1	Project Location Map	2
----------	----------------------	---

#### List of Exhibits

EXHIBIT 1	Opinion of Probable Construction Cost	7
EXHIBIT 2	Opinion of Probable Project Cost and Funding	8
EXHIBIT 3	Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses	9
EXHIBIT 4	Summary of Operation & Maintenance	
	Expenses and Adjustments	10
EXHIBIT 5	Revenue Requirement	11
EXHIBIT 6	Expense Allocation Factor for Wholesale Customers	12
EXHIBIT 7	Depreciation Analysis	13
EXHIBIT 8	Water Treatment Expense and Wholesale Rate	14
EXHIBIT 9	Rate Increase for General Customers	15

#### **Appendices**

Appendix I	RUS Summary/Addendum
------------	----------------------





#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Wood Creek Water District (WCWD) was originally formed in 1967 and was comprised of a 0.5 MGD water treatment plant and distribution system that served 634 customers in central and north-western Laurel County. Currently, WCWD serves potable water to over 4,600 residential and commercial customers, and also furnishes water for resale to the East Laurel Water District (ELWD) with over 4,300 customers in Laurel and Clay counties, the West Laurel Water Association (WLWA) with 4,300 customers, and the City of Livingston and the Rockcastle Water Association. ELWD, in turn, sells water to the Hima-Sibert Water District in Clay County and WLWA sells water to the Cumberland Falls Highway Water District in Whitley County. A total of over 15,000 customers depend on WCWD for their water supply.

WCWD is increasingly becoming a regional water supplier. Currently, WCWD produces water that is transmitted into Clay, Jackson, Laurel, Rockcastle and Whitley Counties. The main advantage of WCWD becoming a regional water supplier is that its water treatment plant is located directly above a large and adequate raw water source, Wood Creek Lake. A recent yield analysis of Tyner Lake, the raw water source for the majority of Jackson County, revealed that the Jackson County Water Association cannot extend or sell any significant quantities of water outside the county limits. It has further been suggested that the City of Manchester, the primary water supplier in Clay County, has an inadequate raw water source to meet user demands during an extended drought period. It is conceivable that the surrounding counties will be searching for alternative water sources to provide for the increasing demands with WCWD being the primary candidate to supply that need. During the calendar year of 2001, the average water plant production was 3.4 MGD with a peak day of 4.7 MGD. In order for WCWD to continue supplying potable water to its current users and the above mentioned utilities, expansion of the water treatment plant capacity, storage and transmission facilities are necessary.

#### **GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION**

Wood Creek Water District is located in Laurel County in the southeastern part of Kentucky. The county seat is London located on Interstate 75 approximately 75 miles south of Lexington, Kentucky. The Wood Creek service area includes generally the area of the county north and west of London. Figure 1 shows the county location.

#### PROJECT NEED

The WCWD treatment capacity is rated at 4.6 MGD or 138 million gallons per month. Currently, the District has the following water sales commitments:

Utility	Gallons Per Month
Wood Creek Water District	78,400,000*
East Laurel Water District	30,000,000
West Laurel Water District	25,000,000
Livingston	1,500,000
Hima Sibert	2,000,000
Cumberland Falls Highway Water District	1,000,000
Rockcastle Water Association	100,000
TOTAL	138,000,000

\* Includes water loss, backwash operations, etc.

The peak day during 2001 was 4.7 MGD. The severe need to expand this regional facility is obvious. The most recent project was funded with an RD loan and increased the plant capacity from 3.6 to 4.6 MGD. This project provided increased clearwell and high service pump capacities and a new raw water intake facility. A new chemical feed building was constructed with left-over monies. The capacity of the clearwell and raw water facilities is 8 MGD. In order for the treatment capacity to be rated at 8 MGD, an additional Super Pulsator/Greenleaf Filter train must be installed. WCWD is presently accumulating operating data relative to increasing the Super Pulsator rate from 2.0 GPM/SF to 3 GPM/SF which increases the capacity to 6.9 MGD. The KDOW has limited the rating of Super Pulsator units to 2 GPM/SF. During periods of normal maintenance, one of the Super Pulsator basins is removed from service and the loading rate through the remaining basin is increased to approximately 3.75 GPM/SF. Past experience has shown that, under this operating condition, the clarifiers are actually more efficient at turbidity removal. According to the Super Pulsator manufacturer, this phenomenon is typical since better flow distribution is attained at loading rates between 2.5 and 4.0 GPM/SF.

The installation of an additional treatment train will increase the treatment capacity to 8 MGD under the current hydraulic conditions of the clearwell, raw water facility and system transmission capability. Increasing the clearwell, raw water and high service pumping capacities and providing transmission main reinforcement will allow the plant to be conservatively rated at 11 MGD. The Wood Creek Lake source is adequate at this demand.

WCWD is a regional supplier providing the entire treated water supply directly to East Laurel Water District (ELWD), West Laurel Water Association (WLWA) and the City of Livingston. Its influence is far reaching through these adjacent utilities. Water is supplied to many customers in Clay County through ELWD. Water is supplied the Cumberland Falls Highway Water District (CFHWD) in Whitley County through WLWA. CFHWD is anticipating the purchase of a major part of their supply through their connection to WLWA by installing a new pump and tank. Water is supplied to Northern Rockcastle Water Association through Livingston and some customers in Jackson County are supplied by WCWD. WLWD, WLWA, CFHWD and WCWD are all experiencing phenomenal growth throughout all of the systems. The facilities of WCWD must be expanded in order for this regional facility to continue providing a good reliable water supply to the surrounding satellite utilities and its own general customers.

The primary transmission main leaving the treatment plant is a 20-inch D.I. pipeline. Using 4 feet per second as an allowable maximum for pipeline velocity, the maximum flow in a 20-inch pipeline is approximately 4000 GPM or 5.7 MGD. Pressure at the WTP in excess of 120 psi results in pipe ruptures in the distribution piping in many areas. The locations for the total demand for East Laurel Water District and 90% of the West Laurel Water Association demand are southeast from the WTP. The aforementioned 20-inch line presently extends in this direction. A new 2 million gallon tank is presently under construction in this area. Hydraulic studies indicate that a 24-inch line is needed from a connection to the existing 20-inch line at Snuffer Cemetery, at US 25 and I-75, east through East Bernstadt to the new 2 MG tank. This will allow the flow from the WTP to be increased from 4.6 MGD to about 6 MGD. When the flow is increased to 11 MGD, a 24-inch reinforcement line parallel with the existing 20-inch from Snuffer Cemetery to the WTP will be needed. This 24-ich pipeline allows a flow of 11 MGD from the WTP with velocities in the range of 3 to 3.3 fps in all pipelines and pressures at the WTP of about 100 psi.

The existing water storage tank volume in the system is 3.35 million gallons. Considering the effective storage in a standpipe is about one-third of the total volume, the actual effective storage volume is approximately 3 MG. The average demand during 2002 was 3.0 MGD with a peak day of 4.9 MGD. The Ten State Standards, which has been adopted into the KDOW regulations indicates a minimum storage volume should equal the average one day demand. A two-day storage is not excessive and the water district needs to keep ahead of the growth curve. Consequently, a 3 MG storage tank is proposed in this project as an additive alternate with the contingency that the funding is available.

Laurel, Clay, Jackson, Whitley and Rockcastle Counties continue to register below the statewide average in median household income. Therefore, these communities will be especially burdened by the utility rate increase necessary to pay for the upgrades needed for the Wood Creek Water Plant. For this reason, the acquisition of grant funds is especially crucial.

#### **ALTERNATIVE SOURCES**

There are no other viable alternatives for supplying water to the region. Wood Creek Lake is an excellent source of water that is owned and completely controlled by the water district. Improvement and expansion of this facility is the only viable alternative for meeting the increasing demands of Laurel and surrounding counties.
## EXISTING FACILITIES

The existing facilities consist generally of the following:

4.6 MGD water treatment plant156 miles of water lines in sizes 2" through 20"4 storage structures totaling 1,050,000 gallons

The facilities are in excellent condition with an unaccounted for water of less than 15% including line flushing and system maintenance.

## PROPOSED FACILITIES

The proposed project consists of the following improvements:

- (1) An additional treatment train exactly like the existing one including two Super Pulsator clarifier basins and a four cell Greenleaf filter unit.
- (2) Additional raw water and high service pumps.
- (3) 2,000 LF of 20-inch raw water transmission reinforcement main from the raw water pump station to the treatment plant.
- (4) 19,000 LF of 24-inch finished water transmission main reinforcements.
- (5) Improvements to the existing laboratory.
- (6) Yard piping, controls and associated appurtenances.
- (7) An additional one million gallon clearwell.
- (8) A 3 MG storage tank included as an additive alternate in the event adequate funds are available.

The proposed funding for the project is shown in Exhibit 1. The project cost is estimated to be \$10,000,000. A breakdown of the project cost is contained in Exhibit 1. Figure 2 shows the location of the proposed facilities. Figures 3 and 4 contain conceptual drawings of the improvements.

## WATER SYSTEM OPERATION

The intake facility consists of a suspended 30-inch HDPE pipeline in the central portion of the lake (70 feet deep) and extending to the existing pump house on shore. The intake point varies through a stainless steel cable and pulley system providing an infinite range of intake capability. The raw water pumping capability will be increased to 8 MGD by installing an additional 4 MGD pump. In the future when the treatment capacity is

increased to 11 MGD, the pumps will be replaced with 5.5 MGD pumps resulting in the operation of two pumps to provide the treatment capacity with a spare.

The high service pumping facility includes piping that allows suction from each clearwell. This allows taking any tank out of service for maintenance while pumping from the others.

### LAND, RIGHTS AND OTHER RIGHTS AND PERMITS

This project will require no acquisition of land. All treatment plant work will be done on property owned by the water district. Easements or highway right-of-way permits will be required for the finished water transmission main reinforcements. Approvals will be required from the Public Service Commission and Division of Water.

### FEASIBILITY STUDY

The project cost is shown in Exhibit 1 to be \$10,000,000. It is anticipated that this project will be funded as shown in Exhibit 1. This section contains an economic feasibility analysis to determine the affect of additional borrowing on the District's financial integrity and the need for a rate adjustment.

The District supplied computer billing sheets for the period Jan.-Dec., 2002. A billing analysis was prepared from this data (See RD Summary/Addendum).

Exhibit 3 shows adjustments to existing expenses.

Exhibits 6 through 8 shows the determination of the treatment cost and wholesale rate to the adjacent utilities.

Exhibit 9 shows the rationale for the rate increase to the general customers.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended to:

- 1. Implement the improvements to the water treatment facility as soon as possible.
- 2. Submit applications to the appropriate federal and state agencies for project funding.
- 3. Adjust the rates as shown in this report to maintain the financial integrity of the district.

## EXHIBIT 1 WOOD CREEK WATER DISTRICT WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION

## **OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST**

#### **OPINION OF PROBABLE PROJECT COST**

### BASE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT:

Super Pulsator, 2 Basins	\$834,000
Greenleaf Filters, 4 Basins	992,000
Process Building	1,100,000
Yard Piping	200,000
Raw Water & High Service Pumps	300,000
20" Raw Water Reinforcement Main: 2,000 LF @ \$50/ft.	100,000
Blacktop Road to Lake	100,000
Residual Disposal Facilities	820,000
Electrical	400,000
Lightning Protection System	60,000
Controls & Telemetry	200,000
Painting	350,000
Laboratory & Equipment	150,000
Clearwell	600,000
Finished Water Reinforcement Main: 36,000 LF @ \$50/LF	1,800,000
Mobilization	50,000
Supervision	140,000
Bonds & Insurance	50,000
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST	\$8,246,000

ADDITIVE A	<u>Alternate:</u>	

Three Million Gallon Storage Tank

\$1,500,000

## EXHIBIT 2 Opinion of Probable Project Cost AND Funding

### **BASE PROJECT**

1.	CONSTRUCTION (Exhibit 1)		\$8,246,000
2.	Engineering		
	2.1 Design	\$489,600	
	2.2 Construction Observation	246,700	
	2.3 Prel. Engineering Report	12,000	
	2.4 Geotechnical Investigation	28,000	
	2.5 Environmental	15,000	
			\$791,300
3.	Legal		
	Local Counsel	\$18,000	
	Bond Counsel	30,000	
			\$48,000
4.	LAND & RIGHTS		\$10,000
5.	CAPITALIZED INTEREST		100,000
6.	Contingencies		804,700
	TOTAL PROJEC	T COST	\$10,000,000

## **OPINION OF PROBABLE FUNDING**

Rural Development Loan	\$7,250,000
Rural Development Grant	1,000,000
ARC Grant	750,000
EDA Grant	1,000,000
	\$10,000,000
Additive Alternate:	
Construction Cost	\$1,500,000
Geotechnical Investigation	10,000
Engineering Design @ 7.62%	114,300
Construction Observation @ 4.1%	61,500
Surveying	2,500

### TOTAL ADDITIVE ALTERNATE COST \$1,688,300

,

## EXHIBIT 3 Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses

Cus	stom	er Count – Feb., 2004	4,729
Av	g. Cı	stomers during 2002	4,570
	Adjı	usted No. of Customers	159
1.	Add	ed Revenue	
		159 x 12 x \$18 =	\$34,344
_			
2.	Add	ed O & M Expenses	
	2.1	Treated water = $5.0 \times 12 \times 159$	$\div 0.90 = 10,600$ MGals
		Expense = 10,600 MGals x \$0.5	594/MGals = <b>\$6,296</b>
	2.1	Customer Expense = $159$ cust.	X \$30 = <b>\$4,770</b>
	2.2	Existing O & M Expenses Adju	stment at 3% per year to 2006
		<sup>(1)</sup> $$1,339,394 \times .12 = $160,727$	
	2.3	Adjustment for Health Insuranc	e Premium
		Cost for 2002 =	\$224,455
		Annualized Cost per March, 20	04
		\$24,965/month x 12	299,580
		Difference Adjustment	\$75,125
		Total Added O & M = \$246,9	18

- 3. Proposed Debt Service
  \$7,250,000 at 4.5% for 38 years
  \$7,250,000 x 0.05551 = \$402,477
- 4. Coverage = \$402,477 x 0.10 = \$40,245
- 5. Depreciation for Proposed Project \$8,246,000 ÷ 40 years = **\$206,150**

# Exhibit 4 Summary of Operation and Maintenance Expenses and Adjustments

	2002 Annual <u>Report</u>	Added <u>Cust.</u> (Ex. 3)	INFLATION (Ex. 3)	Health <u>Insurance</u> <u>(Ex. 3)</u>	Adjusted O & M <u>Expenses</u>
Source	\$37,023		\$4,699	\$1,940	\$43,662
Pumping	151,534				151,534
Water Treatment	701,518	\$6,296	89,032	20,219	817,065
Trans. & Dist.	222,646 <sup>(1)</sup>		28,257	22,430	273,333
Customer Accts.	124,200 (1)	4,770	15,763	22,714	167,447
Admin. & General	181,041 (1)		22,976	7,822	211,839
Power	\$1,417,962 - 151,534	\$11,066	\$160,727	\$75,125	\$1,664,880
	\$1,266,428				

;

# EXHIBIT 5 Revenue Requirement

:

	TOTAL REVE	NUE REQUIREMENT	\$3,260,599
			\$93,696
	4.2 Proposed: See Ex	xhibit 3, Item 3	40,245
	Bond Issue	\$155,167 x 0.20	31,033
	RD	\$224,182 x 0.10	\$22,418
	4.1 Existing:		
4.	Debt Service Coverage		
			\$716,619
	3.2 Proposed Project:	See Exhibit 3, Item 4	206,150
	3.1 2002 Annual Rep	ort	\$510,469
3.	Depreciation		
	See Exhibit 3,	, Item 3	\$402,477
	2.2 Proposed		
			\$379,349
	Interest		199,749
	Principal		\$179,600
2.	2.1 Existing (2003)		
2	Debt Service		
			\$1,668,458
	Taxes other than Inco	me	3,578
	Exhibit 4		\$1,664,880
1.	OPERATING AND MAINTER	nance Expenses	

## EXHIBIT 6

## EXPENSE ALLOCATION FACTOR FOR WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS (DATA: 2002 ANNUAL REPORT)

Water Produced	1,262,664,000 gallons	
WIP Use	118,240,000 or 9.30%	
Flushing, leaks, etc.	90,803,000 or 7.07%	
Wood Creek W. D.		
	GALLONS	<b>PROPORTION</b>
Retail Sales	319,242,000	
Fire Dept.	2,109,000	
Construction	2,346,000	
	323,697,000	30.90%
Wholesale Customers	723,864,000	<u>69.10%</u>
TOTAL SALES AND US	es 1,047,561,000	100.00%
Inch-Mile Ratio = $\frac{36}{3}$	$\frac{3.8(Joint lyUsedPipe)}{1056.8(Total Pipe)} = 0$	0.3442
Wood Creek Plant Use + La	osses = .0936 + .0767 = 0.	1703
Water Produc	tion Factor = $\frac{1}{1 - 0.1703}$ =	= 1.2053
Wholesale Customers Plant Use + L	s = .0936 + (.3442  x)	0767) = 0.1200
Water Produc	tion Factor = $\frac{1}{1-0.1200}$ =	= 1.1364

Wholesale Customers' Allocation Factor

$$WPF = \frac{1.1364 x 723,864}{1.2053 x 1,047,561} = 0.6515$$

Transmission Factor =  $0.3442 \ge 0.6910^{(1)} = 0.2378$ 

<sup>(1)</sup> Ratio of  $\frac{723,864}{1,047,561} = 0.6910$ 

## EXHIBIT 7 Wood Creek Water District Depreciation Analysis

## TOTAL DEPRECIATION

	Total Water <u>Plant</u>	%	Annual Depreciation
	\$21,836	0.13	\$675
\$1,780,680			
3,936,224			
	\$5,716,904	34.63	\$176,798
	9,148,985	55.43	282,936
	1,618,725	9.81	50,060
	\$16,506,450	100.0	\$510,469
	\$1,780,680 3,936,224	TOTAL WATER <u>PLANT</u> \$21,836 \$1,780,680 3,936,224 \$5,716,904 9,148,985 <u>1,618,725</u> \$16,506,450	TOTAL WATER         %           PLANT         \$21,836         0.13           \$1,780,680         \$21,836         0.13           \$3,936,224         \$5,716,904         34.63           \$9,148,985         55.43         1,618,725         9.81           \$16,506,450         100.0         0         0

## **REDISTRIBUTION OF T & D DEPRECIATION**

Structures & Improvements		\$947,310	10.46	\$29,586
Dist. Reservoirs		1,187,496	13.11	37,087
Mains		5,436,891	60.01	169,802
Services	\$863,186			
Meters & Meter Installations	549,557			
Hydrants	74,904			
		1,487,647	16.42	46,461
		\$9,059,344	100.00	\$282,936
Land (not included)		89,641		
		\$9,148,985		

### T & D EXPENSE FOR WHOLESALE RATE DETERMINATION

Total Depreciation	\$282,936
Less Meters, Services & Fire Hydrants	<u>(-) 46,461</u>
	\$236,475

## EXHIBIT 8 WATER TREATMENT EXPENSE AND WHOLESALE RATE

			ALLOCATION	ALLOCATED
WTP EXPENSES			FACTOR	COST
ISSUE AMOUNT				
1985 $$760,000$ @ 5%		\$44,150		
1983 \$700,000 @ 5%		83 515		
1772 $1,450,000$ $@ 5702001 1.711.000 @ 4.74$	50%	95 800		
	//0	\$223,000		
\$3,927,000		Ψ225,405		
Depreciation (Exhibit 7)		\$176,798		
Debt Service Coverage: \$223,465 x 0.2	20	44,693		
WTP Operation & Maintenance <sup>(1)</sup>		1,004,341		
		\$1,225,832		
TOTAL EXISTING WTP EXP	ENSES	\$1,449,297	0.6515	\$944,217
TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION				
O & M Expense <sup>(2)</sup>		\$286,233		
Depreciation Expense (Exhibit 7)		236,475		
TOTAL T & D EX	PENSE	\$522,708	0.2378	124,300
PROPOSED PROJECT				
Debt Service (Ex. 4, Item 2.2)		\$402,477		
Coverage (Ex. 4, Item 3)		40,248		
Depreciation (Ex. 4, Item 4)		206,150		
TOTAL PROPOSED PROJECT EXP	ENSES	\$648,872	0.6515	422,740
TOTAL PROJECTED EXPE	INSES	\$2,230,835		\$1,491,257
Wholesale Rate = $\frac{1,491,257}{723,864MG}$ = 2.00	6 per 1,000	) gallons (Use	\$2.00)	
<sup>(1)</sup> Total WTP Expense = \$1,012,261 (Exhibit	4) - \$1,624 (I	Hwy. 490 P.S. Po	wer) - \$6,296 (Addec	Cust.) = \$1,004,341
<sup>(2)</sup> Total T & D in Annual Report (2002)		\$508,	,672	
Adjustment on Pg. 10	\$683	,458		
Included in this amount is Depreciation	(_) 78	568		
Cost covered elsewhere	(),0	,500		
EL & WL Expenses	\$604	,890		
Deduct Cust. Accts. & A&G	318	,864		
Per W.C. Account		() 200	0.26	
Wood Creek Only T & D		<u>(-) 280.</u> \$777	<u>,020</u> 646	
Proposed Pipelines: 129 inch-miles x \$	100/inch-mile	e 12	,900	
		\$235	,546 + \$28,257 (Inf.	l.) + \$22,430 (Ins.) = \$286,233

## EXHIBIT 9 RATE INCREASE FOR GENERAL CUSTOMERS

1.	Revenues Existing Water Sales (2002 Annual Report) Operating Revenues Adjustments (Ex. 3) Interest Income (2001)	\$1,931,273 48,496 34,344 <u>57,305</u> \$2,071,418
2.	Revenue Requirement (Exhibit 4)	\$3,260,599
3.	Revenue Requirement Deficit	(\$1,189,181)
4.	Increased Revenue through Wholesale Rate Increase (2.00 – 1.24) 723,864	\$550,136
5.	Revenue Adjustment for General Customers	\$639,044
6.	Rate Adjustment for General Customers	

 $\frac{1,067,737^{(1)}+639,044}{1,067,737} = 1.60$  Use approximately 50%

7. Proposed Rates for 5/8" x 3/4" Meter

		Existing	Proposed	%
		Rate	Rate	Increase
First 2000 Gallons	\$9.48	(Min.)	\$14.22	50.0
Next 1500 Gallons	3.02	per 1000 Gals.	4.53	50.0
Next 1500 Gallons	2.69	per 1000 Gals.	4.03	50.0
Next 2500 Gallons	2.26	per 1000 Gals.	3.39	50.0
Over 7500	1.78	per 1000 Gals.	2.67	50.0
Wholesale Rate	\$1.24	per 1000 Gals.	\$2.00	61.0

<sup>(1)</sup> 1,033,393 (PSC Report, pg. 27) + 34,344 (Ex. 3, Item 1) = 1,067,737



N:\P\2000104\DWG\TOPO2







# **APPENDIX I**

## **RUS SUMMARY/ADDENDUM**

.

,

## SUMMARY ADDENDUM

# То

## PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

DATED \_\_\_\_\_ March, 2004 \_\_\_\_\_

FOR

Wood Creek Water District Water Treatment Plant Expansion (NAME OF PROJECT)

APPLICANT CONTACT PERSON \_\_\_\_\_ Eula Dalton, Manager \_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT PHONE NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ (606) 878-9420\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (TIN) \_\_\_\_61-0680859\_\_\_

## ITEMS IN BOLD ITALIC PRINT ARE APPLICABLE TO SEWER SYSTEMS.

In order to avoid unnecessary delays in application processing, the applicant and its consulting engineer should prepare a summary of the preliminary report in accordance with this Guide.

Please complete the applicable sections of the Summary Addendum. *Please note, if* water and sewer revenue will <u>both</u> be taken as security for the loan, all user information and characteristics of <u>both</u> utility systems will be needed even though the project will benefit only <u>one</u> utility.

Feasibility reviews and <u>grant determinations</u> may be processed more accurately and more rapidly if the Summary/Addendum is submitted simultaneously with the preliminary engineering report, or as soon thereafter as possible.

### I. <u>GENERAL</u>

A. Proposed Project: Provide a brief description of the proposed project. In addition to this summary, the applicant/engineer should submit a project map of the service area.

This project consists of the expansion of the water treatment capacity of the Wood Creek Water District treatment facility from 4.6 MGD to 11.0 MGD.

### II. FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF EXISTING SEWER SYSTEM

<i>A</i> . <i>S</i>	Sewage Treatment:
1	. Туре
2	P. Method of Sludge Disposal
Ĵ	8. Cost per 1,000 gallons if sewage treatment is contracted: \$
4	4. Date Constructed
<b>B.</b> 7	Treatment Capacity of Sewage Treatment Plant
<i>C</i> . 7	Type of Sewage Collector System (Describe)
-	
<b>D.</b> 1	Number and Capacity of Sewage Lift Stations

#### E. Sewage Collection System:

Lineal Feet of Collector Lin	nes, by size 6"	
10"	12",1	Larger
Date(s) Constructed		

F. Conditions of Existing System: Briefly describe the conditions and suitability for continued use of facility now owned by the applicant. Include any major renovation that will be needed within five to ten years.

#### III. FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF EXISTING WATER SYSTEM

A. Water Source: Describe adequacy of source (quality and quantity). Include an explanation of raw water source, raw water intake structure, treatment plant capacity, and current level of production (WTP). Also describe the adequacy of Water Purchase Contract if applicable.

	See Page 3A
If the aj	oplicant purchases water:
Sell	er(s):
	1
	2
	3
Pric	ce/1,000 gallons:
	1
	2
	3
Pre	sent Estimated Market Value of Existing System: \$

III A.

Raw water source is Wood Creek Lake, which has ample quantity with excellent quality. The lake is owned and controlled by the water district. The lake surface is 700 acres with a 22 square mile drainage area. Storage at normal pool is approximately 23,000 acrefect. Present treatment capacity is 4.61 MGD. Average daily production during 2000 was 3.25 MGD with a peak day of 4.83 MGD.

.

### B. Water Storage:

Type: Ground Storage Tank	Elevated Tank <u>1</u>
Standpipe 3	Other
Number of Storage Structures	4
Total Storage Volume Capacity	1,050,000
Date Storage Tank(s) Constructed	1970's
<b>C</b> ()	

#### C. Water Distribution System:

Pipe MaterialPVC, AC, DI	
Lineal Feet of Pipe: 3" Diameter	4"
Total: 156 miles 6"	
Size: 2" – 20" 10"	12"
Date(s) Water Lines Constructed 1970 to	1990
Number and Capacity of Pump Station(s) High Sector	ervice Pumps: (2) 3000 GPM each
Booster Pump:	(2) 1000 GPM each

D. Condition of Existing Water System:

Briefly describe the condition and suitability for continued use of facility now owned by the applicant. Include any major renovation that will be needed within five to ten years.

Condition of system is excellent. Additional system storage could be recommended in future to provide a more efficient operation of high service pumps. Transmission line reinforcement will be needed within ten years.

E. Percentage of Water Loss Existing System \_\_\_\_\_10%

## IV. EXISTING LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

	Date of <u>Issue</u>	Bond/Note <u>Holder</u>	Principal <u>Balance</u>	Payment Date	Bond Type Water/Sewer*			Amount on Deposit in Reserve Account
	1967 Issue	RD	\$ 72,500		100	%	%	
	1980 Issue	RD	\$ 39,000		100	%	%	
2004B	1991 Issue	RD	\$ 1,266,400		100	%	%	
	1992 Issue	RD	\$ 100,700		100	%	%	Total \$371,130
	1998 Issue	RD	\$ 1,646,000		100	%	%	
C	2003 Issue	RD	\$ 1,328,500		100	%	%	
Â	2003 Issue	RD	\$ 291,000		100	%	%	

A. List of Bonds and Notes:

\*If a combined issue, show attributable portion to each system.

## B. Principal and Interest Payments: (Begin with Next Fiscal Year Payment)

		Payn Ye 200	ent Payment r Year 4 2005		Payment Year 2006		
Date of <u>Issue</u>	Bond/Note <u>Holder</u>	Principal <u>Payment</u>	Interest <u>Payment</u>	Principal <u>Payment</u>	Interest <u>Payment</u>	Principal <u>Payment</u>	Interest <u>Payment</u>
1967 Issue	RD	32,000	1,519	36,000	169	36,000	1
1980 Issue	RD	1,500	1,875	1,500	1,800	2,000	1,700
1991 Issue	RD	20,600	62,290	21,900	61,195	23,300	60,030
1992 Issue	RD	1,600	4,995	1,700	4,870	1,800	4,780
1998 Issue	RD	17,000	77,377	19,000	77,287	19,000	75,572
2003 Issue	Private Bonds	16,900	39,968	41,900	47,386	86,500	45,955
2003 Issue	Private Bonds	8,000	12,555	11,000	12,165	11,000	11,712

#### V. EXISTING SHORT-TERM INDEBTEDNESS

A. List of All Short Term Debts: (Do Not Show Any Debt Listed in Paragraph IV Above)

Lender <u>or Lessor</u>	Date of Issue <u>(Month &amp; Year)</u>	Principal <u>Balance</u>	Purpose (Water and/ <u>or Sewer)</u>	Payment <u>Date</u>	Principal & Interest Payment (P&I)	Date to Be Paid <u>In Full</u>
		49 YO M TO LOUIS OF THE STORE ST			enne Malana meridaa en allan marka antika	
		<u></u>				
				<u></u>	·	
	••••	a		<u></u>		
	<u></u>	<u></u>				

#### VI. LAND AND RIGHTS - EXISTING SYSTEM(S)

Number of Treatment Plant Sites:	Water	1	Sewer	
Number of Storage Tank Sites	Water	4	Sewer	
Number of Pump Stations:	Water	1	Sewer	
Total Acreage:	Water	765	Acres Sewer	Acres
Purchase Price:	Water	<u>\$ 482,894</u>	Sewer	<u>\$</u>

#### VII. NUMBER OF EXISTING USERS

	Water	Sewer
Residential (In Town) *		······
Residential (Out of Town) *	4,242	
Non-Residential (In Town)	258	
Non-Residential (Out of Town)		
Total	4,503	
Number to Total Potential Users Living in the Service Area	5,000	

\*Note: <u>Residential Users</u>: Classify by type of user regardless of quantity of water used. This classification should include those meters serving individual rural residence.

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	\$ 300.00	<u>\$</u>
1-Inch	\$	<u>\$</u>

### IX. SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

 Percentage of Water Bill
 % Minimum Charge \$\_\_\_\_\_

 Other: (If Charge Not Based on Water Bill)
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Date This Rate Went Into Effect

#### X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 5/8" x 3/4"

First	2,000	Gallons @ \$	9.48	_ Minimum.	
Next	1,500	Gallons @ \$	3.02	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next	1,500	Gallons @ \$	2.69	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next	2,500	Gallons @ \$	2.26	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
All Over	7,500	Gallons @ \$	1.78	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
5/8" x 3/4"	\$	\$
1-Inch	\$ Actual Cost	\$

#### IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Date This Rate Went Into Effect

#### X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 1"

First	5,000	Gallons @	\$	18.05	Minimum.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$	2.26	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$	1.78	per 1,000 Gallons.
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
1 1/2-Inch	<u>\$ Actual Cost</u>	\$

## IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Percentage of Water Bill	%	Minimum Charge	\$
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wat	er B	ill)	

Date This Rate Went Into Effect \_\_\_\_\_

## X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 1 1/2"

First	10,000	Gallons @ \$ _28.15	_ Minimum.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
All Over	10,000	Gallons @ \$ _1.78	_ per 1,000 Gallons.	
Date This Rate Went Into Effect				

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
2-Inch	\$ Actual Cost	\$

## IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Percentage of Water Bill	%	Minimum Charge	\$
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wat	ter B	Sill)	

Date This Rate Went Into Effect \_\_\_\_\_

#### X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 2"

First	20,000	Gallons @ \$ _45.9	95 Minimum.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.		
All Over	20,000_	Gallons @ \$ _1.78	per 1,000 Gallons.		
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	\$	<u>s</u>
3-Inch	\$ Actual Cost	<u>\$</u>

#### IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Percentage of Water Bill	%	Minimum Charge	\$
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wat	er B	ill)	

Date This Rate Went Into Effect

### X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 3"

First	30,000	Gallons @ \$	63.75	Minimum.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
All Over	30,000	Gallons @ \$	1.78	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
6-Inch	\$ Actual Cost	\$

#### IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Percentage of Water Bill	%	Minimum Charge	\$
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wat	ter B	ill)	

Date This Rate Went Into Effect

#### X. WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing Rate Schedule: 6"

First	100,000	Gallons @ \$	188.35	Minimum.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$	*****	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Next		Gallons @ \$		per 1,000 Gallons.	
All Over	100,000	Gallons @ \$	1.78	per 1,000 Gallons.	
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

Meter Size	Water Connection Fee	Sewer Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
1-Inch	\$	<u>\$</u>

IX. <u>SEWER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

Percentage of Water Bill	%	Minimum Charge	\$
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wat	ter B	sill)	

Date This Rate Went Into Effect

## X. <u>WATER RATES - EXISTING SYSTEM</u>

#### WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS \$1.24 per 1,000 Gallons

,

Existing Rate Schedule:

First		Gallons @ \$	Minimum.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
Next		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
All Over		Gallons @ \$	_ per 1,000 Gallons.		
Date This Rate Went Into Effect					

# XI. ANALYSIS OF ACTUAL SEWER USAGE - EXISTING SYSTEM - 12 MONTH PERIOD

For Period \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Sizes	Monthly Sewer Usage				Average	Resid	ential	Non-Res	identia
						No. of	Usage	No. of	Usage
						Users	(1000)	Users	(1000)
	0	-	2,000	Gallons	1,000				
	2,000	~	3,000	Gallons	2,500			·	
	3,000		4,000	Gallons	3,500				
	4,000	~	5,000	Gallons	4,500				
	5,000	-	6,000	Gallons	5,500				
	6,000		7,000	Gallons	6,500				
	7,000	-	8,000	Gallons	7,500				
	8,000	-	9,000	Gallons	8,500				
	9,000	-	10,000	Gallons	9,500				
	10,000	-	11,000	Gallons	10,500				
	11,000	-	12,000	Gallons	11,500		<u></u>		
	12,000	-	13,000	Gallons	12,500				
	13,000	-	14,000	Gallons	13,500				
	14,000	-	15,000	Gallons	14,500				
	15,000	-	16,000	Gallons	15,500				
	16,000	-	17,000	Gallons	16,500				
	17,000	-	18,000	Gallons	17,500				
	18,000	-	19,000	Gallons	18,500				
	19,000	-	20,000	Gallons	19,500				
_		-		Gallons					
		-		Gallons					
		-		Gallons					
					Total	$(\Box)$		( )	(

	For Peri	od _	January	1	to	Dece	<u>mber 31, 20</u>	002	÷
All Meter									
<u>Sizes</u>	Mon	thly	Sewer Usa	ge	Average	Reside	ential	Non-Resi	dential
						No. of	Usage	No. of	Usage
	٥		2 000	Gal	1.000	13 407	10 116	1 709	911
	2 000	_	3,000	Gal	2 500	6 751	17 273	284	713
	3,000	_	4 000	Gal	3 500	6 804	24 125	197	687
	4 000		5 000	Gal	4 500	7 164	31 846	108	488
	5,000	-	6 000	Gal	5.500	4.519	24.946	89	489
	6.000	-	7.000	Gal.	6,500	3.386	22,108	61	400
	7.000	-	8,000	Gal.	7,500	2,351	17,692	64	481
	8.000	-	9,000	Gal.	8,500	1,799	15,323	52	445
	9,000	-	10,000	Gal.	9,500	1,276	12,171	36	342
	10,000	-	11,000	Gal.	10,500	883	9,296	36	380
	11,000	-	12,000	Gal.	11,500	701	8,075	29	339
	12,000	-	13,000	Gal.	12,500	473	5,922	28	354
	13,000	-	14,000	Gal.	13,500	437	5,898	25	339
	14,000	-	15,000	Gal.	14,500	309	4,495	20	291
	15,000	-	16,000	Gal.	15,500	238	3,695	15	233
	16,000	-	17,000	Gal.	16,500	203	3,357	11	183
	17,000	-	18,000	Gal.	17,500	149	2,618	8	140
	18,000	-	19,000	Gal.	18,500	130	2,405	13	240
	19,000	-	20,000	Gal.	19,500	129	2,521	10	196
		-		Gal.	-	71	1,463	18	371
		_		Gal.		83	1,789	21	453
		-		Gal.		643	33,392	429	52,511
		•			Total	(51,906)	(260,526)	(3,263)	(60,986)
				Avera	ige Usage		(5.0)		(22.2)
Total	Water Pu	rcha	used and/or	Produ	iced		1.262.664		
Total	Water So	ld				······································	1.043.106	And Sound a provide State Stat	
1 Unit		***			-	······································		And the second s	

### XII. ANALYSIS OF ACTUAL WATER USAGE - EXISTING SYSTEM - 12 MONTH PERIOD

Unaccounted for loss=

## XIII. FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF PROPOSED SEWER SYSTEM

	А.	Se	Sewage Treatment:	
		1.	1. Type	*****
		2.	2. Method of Sludge Disposal	
		3.	3. Cost per 1,000 gallons if sewage treatment is contracted: \$	
	<b>B</b> .	Tr	Treatment Capacity of Sewage Treatment Plant	
	С.	Ту	Type of Sewage Collector System (Describe)	
	D.	N	Number and Capacity of Sewage Lift Stations	
	E.	Se	Sewage Collection System:	
		Li	Lineal Feet of Collector Lines, by size 6" 8"	
		10	10", Larger	
XIV.	L	<u>4NI</u>	<u>ND AND RIGHTS - PROPOSED SEWER SYSTEM</u>	
	N	uml	nber of Treatment Plant Sites	
	$N_{i}$	uml	nber of Pump Sites	
	$N_{i}$	uml	nber of Other Sites	
	Та	otal	al Acreage	Acres

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Purchase Price

#### XV. FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF PROPOSED WATER SYSTEM

A.	Water Source: Describe adequacy of source (quality and quantity). Include an explanation of raw water source, raw water intake structure, treatment plant capacity,					
	and current level of production (WTP). Also descri	be the adequacy of Water				
	Purchase Contract II applicable.					
	<u> </u>					
	See pg. 3-A for description of ra	w water source				
В.	Water Storage:					
	Type: Ground Storage Tank	Elevated Tank				
	Standpipe	Other				
	Number of Storage Structures					
	Total Storage Volume Capacity					
_						
C.	Water Distribution System:					
	Pipe Material 30,000 L.F. of 16" D.I.					
	Lineal Feet of Pipe: 3" Diameter	4"				
	6"					
	10"	12"				
	Number and Capacity of Pump Station(s)					

## XVI. LAND AND RIGHTS - PROPOSED WATER SYSTEM N/A

Number of Treatment Plant Sites	
Number of Pump Sites	
Number of Other Sites	
Total Acreage	 Acres
Purchase Price	\$ <u></u>

Residential (In Town) *	<b>8</b>
Residential (Out of Town) *	
Non-Residential (In Town)	
Non-Residential (Out of Town)	
Total	
Number to Total Potential Users Living in the Service Area	

\*Note: <u>Residential Users</u>: Classify by type of user regardless of quantity of water used. This classification should include those meters serving individual rural residences.

#### XVIII. PROPOSED SEWER CONNECTION FEES FOR EACH SIZE WATER METER CONNECTION

Meter Size	Connection Fee
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	\$
<u>1 - Inch</u>	<u>\$</u>
<u>1-1/2 Inch</u>	\$
<u>2 - Inch</u>	\$
<u>3 - Inch</u>	\$
<u>4 - Inch</u>	<u>\$</u>
<u>5 - Inch</u>	\$
6 - Inch	\$

. . .

#### XIX. NUMBER OF NEW WATER USERS N/A

Residential (In Town) *	
Residential (Out of Town) *	
Non-Residential (In Town)	
Non-Residential (Out of Town)	
Total	
Number to Total Potential Users Living in the Service Area	

\*Note: <u>Residential Users</u>: Classify by type of user regardless of quantity of water used. This classification should include those meters serving individual rural residences.

## XX. <u>PROPOSED WATER CONNECTION FEES FOR EACH SIZE WATER METER</u> CONNECTION:

<u>Meter Size</u>	Connection Fee			
<u>5/8" x 3/4"</u>	<u>\$</u>			
<u>1 - Inch</u>	\$			
<u>1-1/2 Inch</u>	\$			
<u>2 - Inch</u>	\$			
<u>3 - Inch</u>	\$			
<u>4 - Inch</u>	<u>\$</u>			
5 - Inch	<u>\$</u>			
6 - Inch	\$			

#### XXI. SEWER RATES - PROPOSED

A. Proposed Rate Schedule without RUS Grant: Percentage of Water Bill \_\_\_\_\_\_% Minimum Charge \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ Other: (If Charge Not Based on Water Bill) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Rate Schedule: (Without RUS Grant)

First	 Gallons @ \$	Minimum.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	 Gallons @ \$	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

**B.** Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant:

Percentage of Water Bill	_ %	Minimum Chai	rge	\$ 
Other: (If Charge Not Based on Wa	ter Bi	ill)		 

**Recommended Rate Schedule:** (With RUS Grant)

First	 Gallons @ \$	Minimum.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	 Gallons @ \$	 per 1,000 Gallons.

If more than one rate, use additional sheets.
First	2,000	Gallons @	\$ 14.49	Minimum.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.61	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.11	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.45	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### A. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 5/8" x <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

B. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 5/8" x <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" Meter

First	2,000	Gallons @	\$ 14.22	Minimum.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.53	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	1,500	Gallons @	\$ 4.03	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.39	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

# A. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 1" Meter

First	5,000	Gallons @	\$ 27.57	Minimum.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.45	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

B. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 1" Meter

First	5,000	Gallons @	\$ 27.06	Minimum.
Next	2,500	Gallons @	\$ 3.39	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	<u></u>	Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	7,500	Gallons @	\$ 2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

### B. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 11/2" Meter

First	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 43.00	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	10,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

C. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 11/2" Meter

1150 10,000	$\mathbf{O}$	Ψ	74.41	winninun.
Next	Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$		per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over 10,000	Gallons @	\$	2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### C. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 2" Meter

First	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 70.20	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	<u> </u>	Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

D. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 2" Meter

First	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 68.91	Minimum.
Next	- <u></u>	Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	<u>,</u>	Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	20,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### D. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 3" Meter

First	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 97.40	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

E. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 3" Meter

NextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonAll Over30,000Gallons @\$2.67	First	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 95.61	Minimum.
NextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonAll Over30,000Gallons @\$2.67	Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
NextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonAll Over30,000Gallons @\$2.67	Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
NextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonNextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonAll Over30,000Gallons @\$2.67per 1,000 Gallon\$2.67per 1,000 Gallon	Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
NextGallons @\$per 1,000 GallonAll Over30,000Gallons @\$2.67per 1,000 Gallon	Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over 30,000 Gallons @ \$ 2.67 per 1,000 Gallon	Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
	All Over	30,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

First	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 287.80	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$ 	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.72	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### E. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: 6" Meter

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### F. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: 6" Meter

First	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 282.51	Minimum.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
Next		Gallons @	\$	per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	100,000	Gallons @	\$ 2.67	per 1,000 Gallons.

#### First Gallons @ Minimum. \$ Next Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons. All Over Gallons @ \$ per 1,000 Gallons.

F. Proposed Rate Schedule Without RUS Grant: \$2.04 per 1,000 Gallons

The above proposed rate, without RUS grant, must be completed for each grant. If the applicant/engineer desires, there is no objection to recommending a proposed rate with an estimated RUS grant in the Table below. However, the preparer should remember that the Table (A) above must be completed prior to Table (B).

#### G. Recommended Rate Schedule with RUS Grant: \$2.00 per 1,000 Gallons

First	Gallons @	\$ Minimum.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
Next	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.
All Over	Gallons @	\$ per 1,000 Gallons.

#### XXIII. <u>FORECAST OF SEWER USAGE - INCOME - EXISTING SYSTEM - EXISTING</u> <u>USERS</u>

Meter Average Size* Monthly Sewer Usage Average Rate		Non-Residential					
	No. of Usage Income Users** (1000)	No. of Usage Income Users (1000)					
0 - 2,000 Gallons 1,000 2,000 - 3,000 Gallons 2,500 3,000 - 4,000 Gallons 3,500 4,000 - 5,000 Gallons 4,500 5,000 - 6,000 Gallons 5,500 6,000 - 7,000 Gallons 6,500 7,000 - 8,000 Gallons 7,500 8,000 - 9,000 Gallons 8,500 9,000 - 10,000 Gallons 9,500 5/8 10,000 - 11,000 Gallons 10,500 x 11,000 - 12,000 Gallons 11,500 3/4 12,000 - 13,000 Gallons 12,500							
Inch 13,000 - 14,000 Gallons 13,500 14,000 - 15,000 Gallons 14,500 15,000 - 16,000 Gallons 15,500 16,000 - 17,000 Gallons 16,500 17,000 - 18,000 Gallons 17,500 18,000 - 19,000 Gallons 18,500 19,000 - 20,000 Gallons 19,500 Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Average Monthly Rate ()							

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
1-	- Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	Sub-Total		$\Box \subset$	$\Box \subset$	$\Box \overline{c}$		$\Box \subset$	$\Box$
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
1-1/2	Gallons							
Inch	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Sub-Total						しこ	$\Box$
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
2	Gallons							
Inch	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Sub-Total	$\subseteq$						$\Box$
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
3-	Gallons							
Inch	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Sub-Total	(						
	Gallons							
	- Gallons							
4-	- Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	Sub-Total	$\overline{c}$	)(	)(	) (	)(	)(	)

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	Gallons	
	Gallons	
5	Gallons	
Inch	Gallons	
	- Gallons	
	- Gallons	
	Sub-Total	
	- Gallons	
••••••••••	- Gallons	
6-	- Gallons	
Inch	- Gallons	
	- Gallons	
	- Gallons	
	Sub-Total	
	TOTALS	

#### MULTI-FAMILY AND APARTMENT USER ANALYSIS

If billed as a typical user, the information should be included in the residential information above. If not billed as a typical residential user, please explain below.

Name <u>of Unit</u>	Number <u>of Units</u>	Number <u>of Meters</u>	Revenue <u>Calculations</u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

#### XXIV. FORECAST OF SEWER USAGE - INCOME - NEW USERS - EXTENSION ONLY

Meter Size*	· Ave Monthly Sewer Usage Average R	rage	P	asidanti	ial	Non-Pasidantial					
DILE	Moning Sever Osuze Average Ri	440	<u></u>	CSTUCITI	<u></u>						
			No. of Users**	Usage (1000)	Income	No. of Users	Usage (1000)	Income			
	0 - 2,000 Gallons 1,000										
	2,000 - 3,000 Gallons 2,500										
	3,000 - 4,000 Gallons 3,500										
	4,000 - 5,000 Gallons 4,500										
	5,000 - 6,000 Gallons 5,500										
	6,000 - 7,000 Gallons 6,500										
	7,000 - 8,000 Gallons 7,500										
	8,000 - 9,000 Gallons 8,500										
	9,000 - 10,000 Gallons 9,500										
5/8	10,000 - 11,000 Gallons 10,500	-									
x	11,000 - 12,000 Gallons 11,500	-									
3/4	12,000 - 13,000 Gallons 12,500										
Inch	13,000 - 14,000 Gallons 13,500										
	14,000 - 15,000 Gallons 14,500										
	15,000 - 16,000 Gallons 15,500										
	16,000 - 17,000 Gallons 16,500										
	17,000 - 18,000 Gallons 17,500				·		·				
	18,000 - 19,000 Gallons 18,500				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	19,000 - 20,000 Gallons 19,500			<b></b>							
	Gallons										
	Gallons		<u></u>		. <u></u>						
	Gallons				·		<u></u>				
	Sub-Total		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	( )	$\square$	( )	$\square$			
	Average Monthly Rate (										
	Average Monthly Usage			$\square$			$\bigcirc$				

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	- Gallons						
	- Gallons						
1-	- Gallons						
Inch	- Gallons						
	- Gallons					 	
	- Gallons						
	Sub-Total		$\Box \subset$		$\Box \overline{c}$		$\supset$
	- Gallons						
	- Gallons						
1-1/2	- Gallons						
Inch	- Gallons						
	- Gallons					 	
	- Gallons					 	
	Sub-Total		$\Box \Box$	$\Box \Box$	$\Box \overline{c}$	フロ	$\Box$
	Gallons						
	- Gallons						
2-	Gallons		,				
Inch	Gallons						
	Gallons						
	Gallons					 	
	Sub-Total	$\square$					$\Box$
	Gallons						
	- Gallons						
3-	Gallons						
Inch	Gallons						
	Gallons					 	
	Gallons					 	
	Sub-Total	(					
	Gallons						
	Gallons						
4-	- Gallons						
Inch	Gallons					 	
	- Gallons						
	- Gallons						
	Sub-Total	(					Ĵ

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	Gallons	
	Gallons	
5	Gallons	
Inch	Gallons	
	- Gallons	
	Gallons	
	Sub-Total	
	Gallons	
	- Gallons	
6	Gallons	
Inch	Gallons	
	Gallons	
	Gallons	
	Sub-Total	
	TOTALS	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )

#### MULTI-FAMILY AND APARTMENT USER ANALYSIS

If billed as a typical user, the information should be included in the residential information above. If not billed as a typical residential user, please explain below.

Name <u>of Unit</u>	Number <u>of Units</u>	Number <u>of Meters</u>	<i>Revenue</i> <u>Calculations</u>

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

Meter Size*	Mon	thly	Sewer Usa	ge	Average	Average Rate		Residential		Nor	Non-Residential					
							No. of	Usage	Income	No. of	Usage	Income				
	0		2 000	0.1	1 000	14.00		(1000)	16 055	Users	(1000)	1 077				
	0	-	2,000	Gal.	1,000	14.22	1,115	842	15,855	139	/4	1,977				
	2,000	**	3,000	Gal.	2,900	18.30	844	2,439	15,445		82	549				
	3,000	-	4,000	Gal.	4,000	23.03	876	3,643	20,174	16	64	368				
	4,000	-	5,000	Gal.	4,500	-										
	5,000	-	6,000	Gal.	6,200	31.12	754	4,641	23,464	13	84	404				
	6,000	-	7,000	Gal.	6,500	**										
5/8 x 3/4	7,000	-	8,000	Gal.	7,500	_										
Inch	8,000	-	9,000	Gal.	8,500											
	9,000	-	10,000	Gal.	9,500					:						
	10,000	-	11,000	Gal.	10,500	-										
	11,000	-	12,000	Gal.	11,500	-										
	12,000	-	13,000	Gal.	12,500	-			a - ///							
	13,000	-	14,000	Gal.	13,500	51.55	712	9,693	36,703			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	14,000	-	15,000	Gal.	14,500	-				-						
	15,000	-	16,000	Gal.	15,500	-										
	16,000	_	17,000	Gal.	16,500	-					······································	**************************************				
	17,000	-	18,000	Gal.	17,500	-										
	18,000	-	19,000	Gal.	18,500	-										
	19,000	_	20,000	Gal.	19,500	-			·							
	·	-		Gal.	23,700	78.79				34	823	2,679				
		-		Gal.		-					** <u></u>	······				
		-	···	Gal.	<u> </u>	-										
		•			Subtotal	-	(4,301)	(21,258)	(111,642)	(232)	(1,127)	(5,977)				
		Average Mo			onthly Rate	(25.96)										
			Avera	age Mo	nthly Usage			(4.9)	(4.9)							

#### XXV. FORECAST OF WATER USAGE - INCOME - EXISTING SYSTEM - EXISTING USERS

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	0	5,000	Gal.		27.06		7		14	189	)	1	4	27
	5,000	10,000	Gal.	7,500	35.53		2		19	7		1	7	35
1-Inch	10,000	20,000	Gal.	12,400	48.61		1		11	4	3			
	over	20,000	Gal.	35,600	110.56		1		24	110	)			
			Gal.	13,200	50.75								6	51
			-	88,300	251.27				((0))	(41)		5	493	1,256
				Subtotal		(1	1)		(68)	(41)	<u>.</u>	(8)	(510)	(1,309)
	0	10.000	Gal.		42.21		I					1		42
	10,000	15,000	- Gal.	······		<u></u>								
1-1/2	15,000	20,000	-											
Inch			Gal.											
	over	20,000	_ Gal.	118,500	331.90							2	23'	7 664
		······	_ Gal.							·····	<u> </u>			(70.0)
				Subtotal		(	)	(	)	(	) .	(3)	(237	) (706)
														1
	0	20,000	Gal.	40.000	68.91	7				482		8	55	551
0 T 1	over	20,000	Gal.	42,800	129.79	3				389			·····	
2- Inch			Gal.	122 700	372 /0					······································		14	1 027	5 214
			Gal.	155,700		<u></u>					<u></u>		1,927	5,214
			- Jai	Subtotal	No. 10.000	(10)		(	)	(871)		(22)	(1,982)	(5.765)
				54676142		()	1	·····						(-,)
	0	30,000	Gal		95.61		1		228	9	5	1	2	95
	over	30,000	Gal.	113,800	319.36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						1	170	319
3- Inch		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	- Gal.					*******						
			_ Gal.											
			Gal.											
				Subtotal		(	1)		(228)	(9:	5)	(2)	(172)	(414)
		<u> </u>	_ Gal.											
			_ Gal.			<u> </u>						<u></u>		
4-lnch			- Gal.		. <u> </u>							·		
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Gal.										<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		Martin	_ Ual.	Subtotal			$\neg \uparrow$		l	(	)	()		
				Subiolai		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	, ,	L		<u> </u>		

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

5- Inch			Gal. Gal. Gal. Gal. Gal.	Subtotal		(	)	(	)	(	)	(	)	( )	( )
6- Inch	0 over	100,000	Gal. Gal. Gal. Gal	464,400	282.51								1 2	8 1,044	282 2,511
			Gal.	Subtotal TOTALS		(4,3	) 23)	( (21,5	) 554)	(113,	) 026)	(2	(3) 70)	(1,052)	(2,793) (17,024)

#### MULTI-FAMILY AND APARTMENT USER ANALYSIS

If billed as a typical user, the information should be included in the residential information above. If not billed as a typical residential user, please explain below.

Name of Unit	Number of Units	Number of Meters	Revenue Ca	alculations
East Laurel W.D.			354.884 x 2.	00 = 709,768
West Laurel W.A			356,893 x 2.	00 = 713,786
Livingston			12,087 x 2.0	0 = 24,174
		Total	723,864	1,447,728
			N-1-2-2014 - 2-1	
			<b></b>	

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different water rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

.

Meter Size*	Mon	thly	Sewer Usa	ge	Average	Average Rate		Residential		Nor	n-Resident	ial
01110							No. of Users**	Usage (1000)	Income	No. of Users	Usage (1000)	Income
	0	-	2,000	Gal.	1,000			, , , ,			. ,	
	2,000		3,000	Gal.	2,500							
	3,000	-	4,000	Gal.	3,500	·						
	4,000	-	5,000	Gal.	4,500	27.06	159	795	4,302			
	5,000	-	6,000	Gal.	5,500	,						
	6,000	-	7,000	Gal.	6,500							
5/8 x 3/4	7,000	-	8,000	Gal.	7,500							
Inch	8,000	-	9,000	Gal.	8,500							1
	9,000	-	10,000	Gal.	9,500							
	10,000		11,000	Gal.	10,500							
	11,000	-	12,000	Gal.	11,500							
	12,000	-	13,000	Gal.	12,500							
	13,000	-	14,000	Gal.	13,500							
	14,000	-	15,000	Gal.	14,500							
	15,000	-	16,000	Gal.	15,500				<u></u>			
	16,000	-	17,000	Gal.	16,500	·						
	17,000	-	18,000	Gal.	17,500							
	18,000	-	19,000	Gal.	18,500	·						
	19,000	-	20,000	Gal.	19,500							
		-		Gal.								
		-		Gal.								
		-		Gal.								
					Subtotal	-	(159)	(795)	(4,302)	( )	( )	( )
			Ave	erage M	lonthly Rate	(27.06)						
			Avera	age Mo	nthly Usage			(5,000)			()	

### XXVI. FORECAST OF WATER USAGE - INCOME - NEW USERS - EXTENSION ONLY

\* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.

\*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
1-	- Gallons							
Inch	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Sub-Total	(				_)(_		
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
1-1/2	Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	Sub-Total	(				_)(		
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
2-	- Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	Sub-Total	(				_)(_		
	Gallons							
	- Gallons							
3-	- Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Gallons							
	Sub-Total	(				_)(_		
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
4-	- Gallons							
Inch	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
	- Gallons							
- <u></u>	Sub-Total	(	)(	)(	) (	)(	)(	)

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

- 1 5 (5

(Triane interest	Gallons	
	Gallons	
5	Gallons	
Inch	Gallons	
	Gallons	
	Gallons	
	Sub-Total	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
<u></u>	Gallons	
	Gallons	
6	Gallons	
Inch	Gallons	
	Gallons	
F	Gallons	
	Sub-Total	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
	TOTALS	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )

#### MULTI-FAMILY AND APARTMENT USER ANALYSIS

If billed as a typical user, the information should be included in the residential information above. If not billed as a typical residential user, please explain below.

Name <u>of Unit</u>	Number of Units	Number <u>of Meters</u>	Revenue <u>Calculations</u>
			<u> </u>

- \* Breakdown of meter size usage is <u>not</u> required unless different sewer rates are charged based on size of water meter.
- \*\* Number of users should reflect the actual number of "meter settings".

# XXVII.<u>CURRENT OPERATING BUDGET - (SEWER SYSTEM)</u> (As of the last full operating year.)

<b>A.</b>	Operating Income:	
	Sewer Revenue	\$
	Late Charge Fees	
	Other (Describe)	
	Less Allowances and Deductions	()
	Total Operating Income	\$
<b>B</b> .	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: (Based on Uniform System of Accounts prescrib Regulatory Utility Commissioners)	ed by National Association of
	Operation Expense	\$
	Maintenance Expense	
	Customer Accounts Expense	
	Administrative and General Expense	
	Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses	\$
	Net Operating Income	\$
С.	Non-Operating Income:	
	Interest on Deposits	\$
	Other (Identify)	
	Total Non-Operating Income	\$
D.	Net Income	\$
E.	Debt Repayment:	
	RUS Interest	\$
	RUS Principal	
	Non-RUS Interest	
	Non-RUS Principal	
	Total Debt Repayment	\$
F.	Balance Available for Coverage	\$

.

#### XXVIII. <u>PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET - (SEWER SYSTEM) - EXISTING SYSTEM</u> <u>AND NEW USERS</u> (1st Full Year of Operation) Year Ending

A. Operating Income	:		
Sewer Revenue		\$	
Late Charge Fees			
Other (Describe)			
Less Allowance	es and Deductions	(	)
Total Operating In	icome	\$	
B. Operation and Ma (Based on Uniform Regulatory Utility	intenance Expenses: n System of Accounts prescribed Commissioners)	by National Association o	f
<b>Operation</b> Expense	e	\$	
Maintenance Expe	ense		
Customer Account	ts Expense		
Administrative and	l General Expense		
Total Operating a	nd Maintenance Expenses	\$	
Net Operating Inc	ome	\$	
C. Non-Operating In	come:	-	
Interest on Deposi	ts	\$	
Other (Identify)			
Total Non-Operati	ing Income	\$	
D. Net Income		\$	
E. Debt Repayment:			
<b>RUS</b> Interest		\$	
<b>RUS</b> Principal			
Non-RUS Interest			
Non-RUS Princip	al		
Total Debt Repays	nent	\$	
F. Balance Available	for Coverage	\$	

#### XXIX. <u>PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET - (SEWER SYSTEM) - NEW USERS -</u> <u>EXTENSION ONLY</u> (1st Full Year of Operation) Year Ending \_\_\_\_\_

А.	Operating Income:	
	Sewer Revenue	\$
	Late Charge Fees	
	Other (Describe)	
	Less Allowances and Deductions	()
	Total Operating Income	\$
В.	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: (Based on Uniform System of Accounts prescribed Regulatory Utility Commissioners)	by National Association of
	Operation Expense	\$
	Maintenance Expense	<u></u>
	Customer Accounts Expense	
	Administrative and General Expense	
	Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses	\$
	Net Operating Income	\$
С.	Non-Operating Income:	
	Interest on Deposits	\$
	Other (Identify)	
	Total Non-Operating Income	\$
D.	Net Income	\$
E.	Debt Repayment:	
	RUS Interest	\$
	RUS Principal	
	Non-RUS Interest	
	Non-RUS Principal	
	Total Debt Repayment	\$
F	Balance Available for Coverage	\$

XXX.	CURRENT OPERATING BUDGET (WATER SYSTEM)	Dec. 2002
F	(As of the last full operating year.) Year Endin	ng Dec., 2002
E.	Operating income.	
	Water Sales	\$1,979,769
	Disconnect/Reconnect/Late Charge Fees	48,496
	Other (Describe)	
	Less Allowances and Deductions	( )
	Total Operating Income	\$2 028 265
	Total Operating income	\$2,028,205
E.	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: (Based on Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by Nat Regulatory Utility Commissioners)	tional Association of
	Source of Supply Expense	\$ 37,023
	Pumping Expense	151,334
	Water Treatment Expense	701,518
	Transmission and Distribution Expense	222,646
	Customer Accounts Expense	124,200
	Administrative and General Expense	181,041
	Total Operating Expenses	\$1,417,962
	Net Operating Income	\$ 610,303
E.	Non-Operating Income:	
	Interest on Deposits	\$ 57,305
	Other (Identify)	
	Total Non-Operating Income	\$ 57,305
D.	Net Income	\$ 667,608
E.	Debt Repayment:	
	RUS Interest	\$ 273.533
	RUS Principal	141,200
	Non-RUS Interest	4,642
	Non-RUS Principal	
	Total Debt Repayment	\$ 419,375
	Capital Improvements	206,296
F.	Balance Available for Coverage	\$ 41,937

XXXI.	PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET (WATER SYSTEM)	) EXISTING SYSTEM AND NEW USERS
	(1st Full Year of Operation)	Year Ending <u>2006</u>
A.	Operating Income:	
	Water Sales	\$3,059,952
	Disconnect/Reconnect/Late Charge Fees	
	Other (Describe)	
	Less Allowances and Deductions	_()
	Total Operating Income	\$3,059,952
B.	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: (Based on Uniform System of Accounts pre Regulatory Utility Commissioners)	scribed by National Association of
	Source of Supply Expense	\$ 43,662
	Pumping Expense	151,534
	Water Treatment Expense	817,065
	Transmission and Distribution Expense	273,333
	Customer Accounts Expense	167,447
	Administrative and General Expense	211,839
	Taxes	3,578
	Capital Improvements	600,000
	Total Operating Expenses	\$2,268,458
	Net Operating Income	\$ 791,494
C.	Non-Operating Income:	
	Interest on Deposits	\$
	Other (Identify)	
	Total Non-Operating Income	\$
D.	Net Income	\$ 791,494
E.	Debt Repayment:	
	RUS Interest	\$ 468,332
	RUS Principal	82,100
	Non-RUS Interest	57,667
	Non-RUS Principal	97,500
	Total Debt Repayment	\$ 705,599
F.	Balance Available for Coverage	\$ 85,895

### XXXII.<u>PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET - (WATER SYSTEM) - NEW USERS -</u> N/A <u>EXTENSION ONLY</u> (1st Full Year of Operation) Year Ending

A.	Operating Income:		
	Water Sales	\$_	
	Disconnect/Reconnect/Late Charge Fees	-	
	Other (Describe)	-	
	Less Allowances and Deductions	(	()
	Total Operating Income	\$.	
B.	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: (Based on Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by Nationa Regulatory Utility Commissioners)	al	Association of
	Source of Supply Expense	\$	<u> </u>
	Pumping Expense	-	
	Water Treatment Expense		
	Transmission and Distribution Expense		
	Customer Accounts Expense		
	Administrative and General Expense		
	Total Operating Expenses	\$	
	Net Operating Income	\$	<u></u>
C.	Non-Operating Income:		
	Interest on Deposits	\$	
	Other (Identify)		
	Total Non-Operating Income	\$	
D.	Net Income	\$	
E.	Debt Repayment:		
	RUS Interest	\$	
	RUS Principal		
	Non-RUS Interest		
	Non-RUS Principal		
	Total Debt Repayment	\$	
F	Delence Available for Covernae	¢	
r.	Datatice Available for Coverage	φ	

# XXXIII. ESTIMATED PROJECT COST - SEWER

(Round to nearest \$100)

	<b>Collection</b>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Total</u>
Development			
Land and Rights			
Legal			
Engineering			
Interest			
Contingencies			
Initial Operating and Maintenance			<u></u>
Other			
TOTAL			

#### XXXIV. PROPOSED PROJECT FUNDING - SEWER

	<u>Collection</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Total</u>
Applicant - User Contribution Fees			
Other - Applicant Contribution			
RUS Loan			
RUS Grant			
ARC Grant (If applicable)			
CDBG (If applicable)		**************************************	
Other (Specify)			
Other (Specify)			

# XXXV. ESTIMATED PROJECT COST - WATER

Development	\$ 8,246,000
Land and Rights	10,000
Legal	48,000
Engineering	791,300
Interest	100,000
Contingencies	804,700
Initial Operating and Maintenance	
Other	
TOTAL	\$10,000,000
XXXVI. PROPOSED PROJECT FUNDING	
Applicant - User Connection Fees	\$
	•
Other Applicant Contribution	
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance	7,250,000
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance RUS Grant	7,250,000
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance RUS Grant ARC Grant (If applicable)	7,250,000 1,000,000 750,000
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance RUS Grant ARC Grant (If applicable) CDBG Grant (If applicable)	7,250,000 1,000,000 750,000
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance RUS Grant ARC Grant (If applicable) CDBG Grant (If applicable) Other (Specify) EDA Grant	7,250,000 1,000,000 750,000 1,000,000
Other Applicant Contribution RUS Financial Assistance RUS Grant ARC Grant (If applicable) CDBG Grant (If applicable) Other (Specify) EDA Grant Other (Specify)	7,250,000 1,000,000 750,000 1,000,000